

THE SPACE OF NON-EXTENDABLE QUASIMORPHISMS

MORIMICHI KAWASAKI, MITSUAKI KIMURA, SHUHEI MARUYAMA, TAKAHIRO MATSUSHITA,
AND MASATO MIMURA

ABSTRACT. For a pair (G, N) of a group G and its normal subgroup N , we consider the space of quasimorphisms and quasi-cocycles on N non-extendable to G . To treat this space, we establish the five-term exact sequence of cohomology relative to the bounded subcomplex. As its application, we study the spaces associated with the kernel of the (volume) flux homomorphism, the IA-automorphism group of a free group, and certain normal subgroups of Gromov hyperbolic groups.

Furthermore, we employ this space to prove that the stable commutator length is equivalent to the stable mixed commutator length for certain pairs of a group and its normal subgroup.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivations	2
1.2. Main theorem	5
2. Other applications of the main theorem	7
2.1. On equivalences of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$	7
2.2. The case of IA-automorphism groups of free groups	9
2.3. Applications to volume flux homomorphisms	10
2.4. Organization of the paper	11
3. Preliminaries	11
4. The spaces of non-extendable quasimorphisms	14
4.1. Proofs of Theorems 1.9 and 1.10	14
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1	16
4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and a related example	17
4.4. Other examples	21
5. Cohomology classes induced by the flux homomorphism	23
6. Proof of the main theorem	25
6.1. N -quasi-cocycle	25
6.2. The map τ/b	27
6.3. Proof of the exactness	29

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20J06, 20J05, 20F65, 57M07.

Key words and phrases. bounded cohomology, invariant quasimorphisms, group cohomology, bounded acyclicity.

7.	Proof of equivalences of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$	33
7.1.	Proof of (1) of Theorem 2.1	34
7.2.	Proof of (2) of Theorem 2.1	35
7.3.	Proof of (3) of Theorem 2.1	36
7.4.	Examples with non-equivalent scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$	37
8.	$\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and IA_n	38
8.1.	Proof of Theorem 2.3	38
8.2.	Quasi-cocycle analogues of Theorem 2.3	40
9.	Open problems	44
9.1.	Mystery of the Py class	44
9.2.	Problems on equivalences and coincidences of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$	46
9.3.	A question by De Chiffre, Glebsky, Lubotzky and Thom	48
	Acknowledgment	49
	Appendix A. Other exact sequences related to $\text{Q}(N)^G/(\text{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\text{Q}(G))$	50
	References	55

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivations.** A *quasimorphism* on a group G is a real-valued function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on G satisfying

$$D(f) := \sup\{|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)| \mid x, y \in G\} < \infty.$$

We call $D(f)$ the *defect* of the quasimorphism f . A quasimorphism f on G is said to be *homogeneous* if $f(x^n) = n \cdot f(x)$ for every $x \in G$ and for every integer n . Let $\text{Q}(G)$ denote the real vector space consisting of homogeneous quasimorphisms on G . The (homogeneous) quasimorphisms are closely related to the second bounded cohomology group $\text{H}_b^2(G) = \text{H}_b^2(G; \mathbb{R})$, and have been extensively studied in geometric group theory and symplectic geometry (see [Cal09], [Fri17], and [PR14]).

In this paper, we treat a pair (G, N) of a group G and its normal subgroup N . Let $i: N \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion map. In this setting, we can construct the following two real vector spaces:

- the space $\text{Q}(N)^G$ of all *G -invariant homogeneous quasimorphisms on N* , where $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *G -invariant* if $f(gxg^{-1}) = f(x)$ for every $g \in G$ and every $x \in N$,
- the space $\text{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\text{Q}(G)$, where $\text{H}^1(N)^G$ is the space of all *G -invariant homomorphisms from N to \mathbb{R}* , and i^* is the linear map from $\text{Q}(G)$ to $\text{Q}(N)$ induced by $i: N \hookrightarrow G$.

An element $f \in \text{Q}(N)$ belongs to $i^*\text{Q}(G)$ if and only if there exists $\hat{f} \in \text{Q}(G)$ such that $\hat{f}|_N \equiv f$; in this case, we say that f is *extendable* to G . Since a homogeneous quasimorphism is conjugation invariant (see Lemma 3.1), the space $i^*\text{Q}(G)$ is contained in $\text{Q}(N)^G$. The *extendability problem* asks whether there exists $f \in \text{Q}(N)^G$ that is not extendable to G ,

equivalently, whether the quotient vector space

$$\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$$

is non-zero. A stronger version of this problem asks whether the quotient space

$$\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G))$$

is non-zero. We have some reasons to take the quotient vector space over $\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$, instead of one over $i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$. Elements in $\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$ seem ‘trivial’ as quasimorphisms in $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G$; also, when we apply the Bavard duality theorem for stable mixed commutator lengths (see Theorem 7.1), exactly elements in $\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$ behave trivially. An example of a pair (G, N) such that $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$ is non-zero is provided by Shtern [Sht16], and an example of a pair such that $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G))$ is non-zero is provided by the first and second authors [KK19].

In the present paper, we reveal that under a certain condition on $\Gamma = G/N$ and a mild condition on G , the quotient real vector space $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G))$ is *finite dimensional*. For example, commutativity (or amenability) of Γ and finite presentability of G suffice. We exhibit here two such examples: one corresponds to a surface group (Theorem 1.1), and the other corresponds to the fundamental group of a hyperbolic mapping torus (Theorem 1.2). The main novel point of these theorems is that we obtain *non-zero finite dimensionality* of vector spaces associated with quasimorphisms: the (quotient) spaces of homogeneous quasimorphisms modulo genuine homomorphisms tend to be either zero or infinite dimensional for groups naturally appearing in geometric group theory. We discuss this point in more detail in the latter part of this subsection. We remark that in Theorem 1.2 the group quotient $\Gamma = G/N$ is *non-abelian solvable* in general.

Theorem 1.1 (Non-zero finite dimensionality in surface groups). *Let l be an integer greater than 1, $G = \pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ the surface group with genus l , and N the commutator subgroup $[\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)]$ of $\pi_1(\Sigma_l)$. Then*

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)) = l(2l - 1) \text{ and } \dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G))) = 1.$$

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$ be the mapping class group of the surface Σ_l and $s_l: \text{Mod}(\Sigma_l) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$ the symplectic representation. For a mapping class $\psi \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$, we take a diffeomorphism f representing ψ and let T_f denote the mapping torus of f . The fundamental group of T_f is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\pi_1(\Sigma_l) \rtimes_{f_*} \mathbb{Z}$ and surjects onto $\mathbb{Z}^{2l} \rtimes_{s_l(\psi)} \mathbb{Z}$ via the abelianization map $\pi_1(\Sigma_l) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_1(\Sigma_l; \mathbb{Z})$. Note that the kernel of the surjection is equal to the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma_l)$.

Theorem 1.2 (Non-zero finite dimensionality in hyperbolic mapping tori). *Let l be an integer greater than 1, $\psi \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$ a pseudo-Anosov element and f a diffeomorphism representing ψ . Let G be the fundamental group of the mapping torus T_f and N the kernel of the surjection $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2l} \rtimes_{s_l(\psi)} \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have*

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + \dim \text{Ker} \left(I_{\binom{2l}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi) \right)$$

and

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G))) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + 1.$$

Here, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, I_n denotes the identity matrix of size n and $\bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi): \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{2l} \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{2l}$ is the map induced by $s_l(\psi)$.

In particular, if ψ is in the Torelli group (that is, $s_l(\psi) = I_{2l}$), then

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = 2l + \binom{2l}{2} \text{ and } \dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) = 2l + 1.$$

In Theorem 1.2, the pseudo-Anosov property for ψ is assumed to ensure hyperbolicity of G ; see Theorem 4.8. In Theorems 4.5 and 4.11, we also obtain analogous results to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in the free group setting.

In study of quasimorphisms, it is often quite hard to obtain *non-zero finite dimensionality*. For instance, if a group G can act non-elementarily in a certain good manner on a Gromov hyperbolic geodesic space, then the dimension of $\mathbb{Q}(G)$ is of the cardinal of the continuum ([BF02]); contrastingly, a higher rank lattice G has zero $\mathbb{Q}(G)$ ([BM99]). For a group G such that the dimension of $\mathbb{Q}(G)$ is of the cardinal of the continuum, understanding *all* quasimorphisms on G might have been considered as an impossible subject. Our study of *the space of non-extendable quasimorphisms* might have some possibility of shedding light on this problem modulo ‘trivial or extendable’ quasimorphisms.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 treat the case where G is a non-elementary Gromov hyperbolic group and N a subgroup with solvable quotient. In this case, the result of Epstein and Fujiwara [EF97] implies that the dimension of $i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ is the cardinal of the *continuum*; this implies that the dimension of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G$ is also the cardinal of the *continuum*. Nevertheless, our results (Theorems 1.9 and 1.10) imply that the spaces $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$ are always both *finite dimensional*. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 provide *non-vanishing* examples, and it might be an interesting problem to understand *all* quasimorphism *classes* in these examples.

We outline how we deduce finite dimensionality of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$ under certain conditions in our results. Our main theorem, Theorem 1.5 (stated in Subsection 1.2), establishes the *five-term exact sequence* of the *cohomology* $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^\bullet$ associated with a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow 1.$$

Here, $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^\bullet$ relates the *bounded* cohomology \mathbb{H}_b^\bullet with the *ordinary* cohomology \mathbb{H}^\bullet ; see Subsection 1.2 for the precise definition of $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^\bullet$. In our theorems (Theorems 1.9 and 1.10), we assume that Γ is *boundedly 3-acyclic*, meaning that $\mathbb{H}_b^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{H}_b^3(\Gamma; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ (Definition 1.8). Then, the five-term exact sequence enables us to relate $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$, respectively to the ordinary second cohomology $\mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) = \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(G) = \mathbb{H}^2(G; \mathbb{R})$. Since second ordinary cohomology is finite dimensional under certain mild conditions, we obtain the desired finite dimensionality results. In this point of view, our main theorem (Theorem 1.5) might be regarded as filling in a missing piece between the bounded cohomology theory and the ordinary cohomology theory.

We also note that the extendability and non-extendability of invariant quasimorphisms themselves have applications. See Subsection 2.1 on application to the stable (mixed) commutator lengths, and Subsection 2.3 on one to symplectic geometry. As a notable extrinsic application, we state the following theorem in [KKMM21] by the authors.

Theorem 1.3 ([KKMM21, Theorem 1.1]). *Let Σ_l be a closed orientable surface whose genus l is at least two and Ω an area form on S . Let $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \Omega)$ denote the identity component of the group of diffeomorphisms of Σ_l that preserve Ω . Assume that a pair $f, g \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \Omega)$ satisfies $fg = gf$. Then*

$$\text{Flux}_\Omega(f) \smile \text{Flux}_\Omega(g) = 0$$

holds true. Here, $\text{Flux}_\Omega: \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\Sigma_l; \mathbb{R})$ is the volume flux homomorphism, and $\smile: \mathbb{H}^1(\Sigma_l; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H}^1(\Sigma_l; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(\Sigma_l; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ denotes the cup product.

The statement of Theorem 1.3 might not seem to have any relation to quasimorphisms. Nevertheless, the key to the proof is comparison between vanishing and non-vanishing of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$, where $G = \text{Flux}_\Omega^{-1}(\langle \text{Flux}_\Omega(f), \text{Flux}_\Omega(g)/k \rangle)$ for a sufficiently large integer k and $N = \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega)$; see Subsection 2.3 for basic concepts around volume flux homomorphisms. (We discuss a related example in Example 7.15.)

1.2. Main theorem. To treat the spaces of non-extendable quasimorphisms, we establish the five-term exact sequence of group cohomology relative to bounded cochain complexes. Throughout the paper, the coefficient module of the cohomology groups is the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers unless otherwise specified.

Let V be a left normed G -module, and $C^n(G; V)$ the space of functions from the n -fold direct product $G^{\times n}$ of G to V . The group cohomology is defined by the cohomology group of $C^n(G; V)$ with a certain differential (see Section 3 for the precise definition). Recall that the spaces $C_b^n(G; V)$ of the bounded functions form a subcomplex of $C^\bullet(G; V)$, and its cohomology group is the bounded cohomology group of G . We write $C_{/b}^\bullet(G; V)$ to indicate the quotient complex $C^\bullet(G; V)/C_b^\bullet(G; V)$, and write $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^\bullet(G; V)$ to mean its cohomology group.

Our main result is the five-term exact sequence of the cohomology $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^\bullet$. Before stating our main theorem, we first recall the five-term exact sequence of ordinary group cohomology.

Theorem 1.4 (Five-term exact sequence of group cohomology). *Let $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of groups and V a left $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\Gamma; V) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbb{H}^1(G; V) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathbb{H}^1(N; V)^G \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma; V) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbb{H}^2(G; V).$$

The following theorem is the main result in this paper:

Theorem 1.5 (Main Theorem). *Let $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of groups and V a left Banach $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module equipped with a Γ -invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma; V) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G; V) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N; V)^G \xrightarrow{\tau/b} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma; V) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(G; V).$$

Moreover, the exact sequence above is compatible with the five-term exact sequence of group cohomology, that is, the following diagram commutes:

(1.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma; V) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{H}^1(G; V) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathrm{H}^1(N; V)^G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma; V) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{H}^2(G; V) \\
& & \downarrow \xi_1 & & \downarrow \xi_2 & & \downarrow \xi_3 & & \downarrow \xi_4 & & \downarrow \xi_5 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma; V) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{H}_{/b}^1(G; V) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathrm{H}_{/b}^1(N; V)^G & \xrightarrow{\tau/b} & \mathrm{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma; V) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{H}_{/b}^2(G; V).
\end{array}$$

Here ξ_i 's are the maps induced from the quotient map $C^\bullet \rightarrow C_{/b}^\bullet$.

Remark 1.6. Since the first relative cohomology group $\mathrm{H}_{/b}^1(-) = H_{/b}^1(-; \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the space $\mathrm{Q}(-)$ of homogeneous quasimorphisms, diagram (1.2) gives rise to the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
(1.3) \quad 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{H}^1(G) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathrm{H}^1(N)^G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{H}^2(G) \\
& & \downarrow \xi_1 & & \downarrow \xi_2 & & \downarrow \xi_3 & & \downarrow \xi_4 & & \downarrow \xi_5 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Q}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{Q}(G) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathrm{Q}(N)^G & \xrightarrow{\tau/b} & \mathrm{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{H}_{/b}^2(G).
\end{array}$$

Note that the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathrm{Q}(G) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathrm{Q}(N)^G$$

is well known (see Remark 2.90 of [Cal09]).

Remark 1.7. It is straightforward to show that the quotient space $\mathrm{H}_{/b}^1(N; V)^G / i^* \mathrm{H}_{/b}^1(G; V)$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}(N; V)^{\mathrm{Q}G} / i^* \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}Z(G; V)$, where $\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}Z(G; V)$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}(N; V)^{\mathrm{Q}G}$ are the spaces of quasi-cocycles on G and G -quasi-equivariant V -valued quasimorphisms on N , respectively (see Definition 6.1 and Section 8.2; see also Remark 6.4). In Section 8.2, we will apply Theorem 1.5 to the extension problem of G -quasi-equivariant quasimorphisms on N to quasi-cocycles on G .

This theorem provides several arguments to estimate the dimensions of the spaces $\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / (\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathrm{Q}(G))$ as follows. Here we recall the definition of *bounded k -acyclicity* of groups from [Iva20] and [MR21].

Definition 1.8. (bounded k -acyclicity) Let k be a positive integer. A group G is said to be *boundedly k -acyclic* if $\mathrm{H}_b^i(G) = 0$ holds for every positive integer i with $i \leq k$.

We note that $\mathrm{H}_b^1(G) = 0$ for every group G . We recall properties and examples of boundedly k -acyclic groups in Theorem 3.6. In particular, we recall that amenable groups, such as abelian groups, are boundedly k -acyclic for all k (Theorem 3.5 (5)).

Theorem 1.9. *If the quotient group $\Gamma = G/N$ is boundedly 3-acyclic, then*

$$\dim(\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)) \leq \dim \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma).$$

Moreover, if G is Gromov hyperbolic, then

$$\dim(\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)) = \dim \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma).$$

On the space $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$, we also obtain the following:

Theorem 1.10. *If $\Gamma = G/N$ is boundedly 3-acyclic, then the map $p^* \circ (\xi_4)^{-1} \circ \tau/b$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) \cong \text{Im}(p^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G),$$

where $c_G: \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(G)$ is the comparison map. In particular, if Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic, then

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) \leq \dim \mathbb{H}^2(G).$$

When $N = [G, G]$, we have a more precise calculation of $\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)))$ (see Corollary 4.3). As we mentioned in the previous subsection, there are many examples of finitely presented groups such that the space of its homogeneous quasimorphisms is infinite dimensional: for instance, all non-elementary Gromov hyperbolic groups ([EF97]). Nevertheless, if we assume that $\Gamma = G/N$ is boundedly 3-acyclic, then we have the following two statements. The space $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$ is finite dimensional if G is finitely presented (following from Theorem 1.10); the space $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ is finite dimensional if Γ is finitely presented (following from Theorem 1.9).

There are several known conditions that guarantee $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$, *i.e.*, every G -invariant quasimorphism is extendable (see [Sht16], [Ish14], and [KKMM20]). We say that a group homomorphism $p: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ *virtually splits* if there exist a subgroup Λ of finite index of Γ and a group homomorphism $s: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ such that $f \circ s(x) = x$ for every $x \in \Lambda$. The first, second, fourth, and fifth authors showed that if the group homomorphism $p: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ *virtually splits*, then $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ (see [KKMM20]). Thus the space $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$, which we consider in Theorem 1.9, can be seen as a space of obstructions to the existence of virtual splittings.

2. OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we provide several other applications of our main theorem (Theorem 1.5); we also use its corollaries, Theorems 1.9 and 1.10. In the last part of this section, we briefly describe the organization of the present paper.

2.1. On equivalences of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$. As an application of the spaces of non-extendable quasimorphisms, we treat the equivalence problems of the stabilizations of usual and mixed commutator lengths. For two non-negative-valued functions μ and ν on a group G , we say that μ and ν are *bi-Lipschitzly equivalent* (or *equivalent* in short) if there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1\nu \leq \mu \leq C_2\nu$. By Theorem 1.10, $\mathbb{H}^2(G) = 0$ implies that $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = 0$ if $\Gamma = G/N$ is boundedly 3-acyclic. We show that the condition $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = 0$ implies that certain two stable word lengths related to commutators are bi-Lipschitzly equivalent.

Let G be a group and N a normal subgroup. A (G, N) -commutator is an element of G of the form $[g, x] = gxg^{-1}x^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$ and $x \in N$. Let $[G, N]$ be the group generated by the set of (G, N) -commutators. Then $[G, N]$ is a normal subgroup of G . For an element x in $[G, N]$, the (G, N) -commutator length or the mixed commutator length of x is defined

to be the minimum number n such that there exist n (G, N) -commutators c_1, \dots, c_n such that $x = c_1 \cdots c_n$, and is denoted by $\text{cl}_{G,N}(x)$. Then there exists a limit

$$\text{scl}_{G,N}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{cl}_{G,N}(x^n)}{n}$$

and call $\text{scl}_{G,N}(x)$ the *stable (G, N) -commutator length of x* .

When $N = G$, then $\text{cl}_{G,G}(x)$ and $\text{scl}_{G,G}(x)$ are called the commutator length and stable commutator length of x , respectively; and we write $\text{cl}_G(x)$ and $\text{scl}_G(x)$ instead of $\text{cl}_{G,G}(x)$ and $\text{scl}_{G,G}(x)$. The commutator lengths and stable commutator lengths have a long history of study, for instance, in the study of theory of mapping class groups (see [EK01], [CMS14], and [BBF16b]) and diffeomorphism groups (see [BIP08], [Tsu08], [Tsu12], [Tsu17] and [BHW21]). The celebrated Bavard duality theorem [Bav91] describes the relationship between homogeneous quasimorphisms and the stable commutator length. In particular, for an element $x \in [G, G]$, $\text{scl}_G(x)$ is non-zero if and only if there exists a homogeneous quasimorphism f on G with $f(x) \neq 0$.

In [KK19] and [KKMM20], we construct a pair (G, N) such that scl_N and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are not bi-Lipschitzly equivalent on $[N, N]$. Contrastingly, in several cases it is known that scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are bi-Lipschitzly equivalent on $[G, N]$. For example, if the map $p: G \rightarrow \Gamma = G/N$ virtually splits, then scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are bi-Lipschitzly equivalent on $[G, N]$. In this paper, the vanishing of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$ implies the equivalence of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ as follows. We note that $\mathbb{H}^2(G) = 0$ implies $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = 0$ by Theorem 1.10.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$. Then*

- (1) scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are bi-Lipschitzly equivalent on $[G, N]$.
- (2) If $\Gamma = G/N$ is amenable, then $\text{scl}_G(x) \leq \text{scl}_{G,N}(x) \leq 2 \cdot \text{scl}_G(x)$ for all $x \in [G, N]$.
- (3) If $\Gamma = G/N$ is solvable, then $\text{scl}_G(x) = \text{scl}_{G,N}(x)$ for all $x \in [G, N]$.

Remark 2.2. Recently, several examples of non-amenable boundedly acyclic groups have been constructed (see [FFLM21b], [FFLM21a], [MN21] and [Mon]: we also recall some of them in Theorem 3.6). However, our proof of (2) of Theorem 2.1 does *not* remain working if the assumption of amenability of Γ in (2) is replaced with bounded 3-acyclicity. Indeed, in our proof, we use the fact that $\mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^2(N)^G$ is *isometric*, which is deduced from amenability of Γ .

By Theorem 1.10, when G/N is boundedly 3-acyclic, then $\mathbb{H}^2(G) = 0$ implies that $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$, and hence $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ and scl_G are equivalent on $[G, N]$. There are plenty of examples of groups whose second cohomology groups vanish as follows (in these cases, we set $N = [G, G]$):

- Free groups F_n .
- Let l be a positive integer. Let N_l be the non-orientable closed surface with genus l , and set $G = \pi_1(N_l)$. Then, $G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_l \mid a_1^2 \cdots a_l^2 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(G) = \mathbb{H}^2(N_l) = 0$.
- Let K be a knot in S^3 . Then the knot group G of K is defined to be the fundamental group of the complement $S^3 \setminus K$. Since $S^3 \setminus K$ is an Eilenberg-MacLane space, we have $\mathbb{H}^2(G) = \mathbb{H}^2(S^3 \setminus K) = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_0(K) = 0$.

- The braid group B_n . Akita and Liu [AL18] gave sufficient conditions on a labelled graph Γ such that the real second cohomology group of the Artin group $A(\Gamma)$ vanishes (see Corollary 3.21 of [AL18]).
- Free products of the above groups.

For other examples satisfying that $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$, see Example 4.13 and Corollaries 4.12, 4.14, and 4.16.

Finally, we discuss the non-equivalence of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$. In [KK19], the first and second authors provided the first example of (G, N) (Example 7.14); we obtain another example with smaller G in Example 7.15, which follows from the work [KKMM21]. These two examples may be seen as one example, coming from symplectic geometry. Unfortunately, in the present paper, we are unable to provide any new example from a different background. By Theorem 2.1, the vanishing of $Q(N)^G/(H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G))$ implies the equivalence of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$. We discuss problems on the equivalence/non-equivalence in more detail in Subsection 9.2.

2.2. The case of IA-automorphism groups of free groups. Here we provide an example that $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$ but Γ is not amenable. Our example comes from the automorphism group of a free group and the IA-automorphism group. The group of automorphisms of a group G is denoted by $\text{Aut}(G)$. Let IA_n be the IA-automorphism group of the free group F_n , *i.e.*, the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\text{Aut}(F_n)_+$ denote the preimage of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ in $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. The following theorem will be proved in Section 8; see Theorem 8.9 for a more general statement.

Theorem 2.3. (1) *For every $n \geq 2$, $Q(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{Aut}(F_n)} = i^*Q(\text{Aut}(F_n))$ and $Q(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{Aut}_+(F_n)} = i^*Q(\text{Aut}_+(F_n))$ hold.*
 (2) *For every $n \geq 6$ and for every subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ of finite index, $Q(N)^G = i^*Q(G)$ holds. Here, $N = \text{IA}_n \cap G$.*

Remark 2.4. (1) The bound ‘ $n \geq 6$ ’ in (2) of Theorem 2.3 comes from (1) of Theorem 8.6, which treats an effective bound of the *Borel stable range* for second ordinary cohomology with the trivial real coefficient of SL_n .

- (2) Corollary 3.8 of [Ger84] implies that $H^2(\text{Aut}(F_n)) = 0$ for $n \geq 5$. However, $H^2(\Lambda)$ of a subgroup Λ of finite index of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is mysterious in general. Even on H^1 , quite recently it has been proved that $H^1(\Lambda) = 0$ if $n \geq 4$; the proof is based on Kazhdan’s property (T) for $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ for $n \geq 4$. See [KNO19], [KKN21], and [Nit20]. We refer to [BdlHV08] for a comprehensive treatise on property (T). Contrastingly, by [McC89], there exists a subgroup Λ of finite index of $\text{Aut}(F_3)$ such that $H^1(\Lambda) \neq 0$.
- (3) The same conclusions as ones in Theorem 2.3 hold if we replace $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and IA_n with $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\overline{\text{IA}}_n$, respectively. Here, $\overline{\text{IA}}_n$ denotes the kernel of the natural map $\text{Out}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Indeed, the proofs which will be presented in Section 8 remain to work without any essential change.
- (4) If $n \geq 3$ and if G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ of finite index, then the real vector space $i^*Q(G)$ is infinite dimensional. Indeed, we can employ [BBF16a] to the acylindrically hyperbolic group $\text{Out}(F_n)$, whose amenable radical is trivial. Thus we may construct an infinitely collection of homogeneous quasimorphisms on $\text{Out}(F_n)$ which is linearly independent even when these quasimorphisms are restricted on $[\overline{\text{IA}}_n \cap \overline{G}, \overline{\text{IA}}_n \cap \overline{G}]$. Here

\overline{G} is the image of G under the natural projection $\text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{Out}(F_n)$. Then, consider the restriction of this collection on \overline{G} , and take the pull-back of it under the projection $G \rightarrow \overline{G}$.

In fact, Corollary 1.2 of [BBF16a] treats quasi-cocycles into unitary representations. Then the following may be deduced in a similar manner to one above: let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ of finite index with $n \geq 3$, and $\Gamma := G/(\text{IA}_n \cap G)$. Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a unitary Γ -representation, and $(\overline{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$ the pull-back of it under the projection $G \rightarrow \Gamma$. Then the vector space $i^* \widehat{QZ}(G, \overline{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$ of the quasi-cocycles is infinite dimensional. Furthermore, Corollary 1.2 of [BBF16a] and its proof can be employed to obtain the corresponding result to the setting where G is a subgroup of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$ of finite index with $l \geq 3$, and (π, \mathcal{H}) is a unitary representation of $G/(\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_l) \cap G)$. Here, $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_l)$ denotes the Torelli group.

If (G, N) equals $(\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l), \mathcal{I}(\Sigma_l))$ or its analog for the setting of subgroups of finite index, then the situation is subtle. See Theorem 8.10 for our result. We remark that the question on the extendability of quasimorphisms might be open; see Problem 8.16.

2.3. Applications to volume flux homomorphisms. In Section 5, we will provide applications of Theorem 1.10 to diffeomorphism groups.

We study the problem to determine which cohomology class admits a bounded representative. Notably, the problem on (subgroups of) diffeomorphism groups is interesting and has been studied in view of characteristic classes of fiber bundles. However, the problem is often quite difficult, and in fact, there are only a few cohomology classes that are known to be bounded or not. Here we restrict our attention to the case of degree two cohomology classes. The best-known example is the Euler class of $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$, which has a bounded representative. The Godbillon–Vey class integrated along the fiber defines a cohomology class of $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$, which has no bounded representatives [Thu72]. It was shown in [Cal04] that the Euler class of $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is unbounded. In the case of three-dimensional manifolds, the identity components of the diffeomorphism groups of several closed Seifert-fibered three-manifolds admit cohomology classes of degree two which do not have bounded representatives [Man20].

Let M be an m -dimensional manifold and Ω a volume form. Then, we can define the flux homomorphism (on the universal covering) $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega: \widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{H}^{m-1}(M)$, the flux group Γ_Ω , and the flux homomorphism $\text{Flux}_\Omega: \text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{H}^{m-1}(M)/\Gamma_\Omega$; see Section 5 for the precise definition.

As an application of Theorem 1.10, we have a few results related to the comparison maps $\text{H}_b^2(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)) \rightarrow \text{H}^2(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega))$ and $\text{H}_b^2(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega)) \rightarrow \text{H}^2(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega))$.

Kotschick and Morita [KM07] essentially pointed out that the spaces $\text{H}^2(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega))$ and $\text{H}^2(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega))$ can be very large due to the following proposition (note that $\text{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(\mathbb{R}^m); \mathbb{R})$).

Proposition 2.5 ([KM07]). *The homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flux}_\Omega^*: \text{H}^2(\text{H}^{m-1}(M)/\Gamma_\Omega) &\rightarrow \text{H}^2(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)), \\ \widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega^*: \text{H}^2(\text{H}^{m-1}(M)) &\rightarrow \text{H}^2(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

induced by the flux homomorphisms are injective.

As an application of Theorem 1.10, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6. *Let (M, Ω) be an m -dimensional closed manifold with a volume form Ω . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If $m = 2$ and the genus of M is at least 2, then there exists at least one non-trivial element of $\text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*)$ represented by a bounded 2-cochain.*
- (2) *Otherwise, every non-trivial element of $\text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*)$ and $\text{Im}(\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega^*)$ cannot be represented by a bounded 2-cochain.*

Note that in case (1), it is known that $\pi_1(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)) = 0$, in particular, the flux group Γ_Ω is zero.

In the proof of (1) of Theorem 2.6, we essentially prove the non-triviality of the cohomology class $c_P \in \text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*)$ called the *Py class*. In Subsection 9.1, we provide some observations on the Py class.

2.4. Organization of the paper. Section 3 collects preliminary facts. In Section 4, we first prove Theorem 1.9 and 1.10, assuming Theorem 1.5. Secondly, we show Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 5, we provide applications of Theorem 1.5 to the volume flux homomorphisms. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.5. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 2.1. In Section 8, we prove Theorem 2.3. In Section 9, we provide several open problems. In Appendix, we show other exact sequences related to the space $\mathbb{Q}(G)/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$ and the seven-term exact sequence of groups.

3. PRELIMINARIES

Before proceeding to the main part of this section, we collect basic properties of quasimorphisms and state them as Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2; see [Cal09] for more details. Lemma 3.1 follows from the equality $(ghg^{-1})^n = gh^n g^{-1}$ for every $g, h \in G$ and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.1 (See [Cal09]). *A homogeneous quasimorphism is conjugation invariant.*

In particular, the restriction of a homogeneous quasimorphism f of G to a normal subgroup N is G -invariant.

For a quasimorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Fekete lemma guarantees that the limit

$$\bar{f}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x^n)}{n}$$

exists. The function \bar{f} defined by the above equation is called the *homogenization of f* . Then the following hold; see [Cal09, Lemma 2.58] for (3).

Lemma 3.2 (See [Cal09]). *The following hold:*

- (1) \bar{f} is a homogeneous quasimorphism.
- (2) $|\bar{f}(x) - f(x)| \leq D(f)$ for every $x \in G$.
- (3) $D(\bar{f}) \leq 2D(f)$.

In this section, we recall definitions and facts related to the cohomology of groups. For a more comprehensive introduction to this subject, we refer to [Gro82], [Cal09], and [Fri17].

Let V be a left $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module and $C^n(G; V)$ the vector space consisting of functions from the n -fold direct product $G^{\times n}$ to V . Let $\delta: C^n(G; V) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G; V)$ be the \mathbb{R} -linear map defined by

$$(\delta f)(g_0, \dots, g_n) = g_0 \cdot f(g_1, \dots, g_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(g_0, \dots, g_{i-1} g_i, \dots, g_n) + (-1)^{n+1} f(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}).$$

Then $\delta^2 = 0$ and its n -th cohomology is the *ordinary group cohomology* $H^n(G; V)$.

Next, suppose that V is equipped with a G -invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$, *i.e.*, $\|g \cdot v\| = \|v\|$ for every $g \in G$ and for every $v \in V$. Then define $C_b^n(G; V)$ by the subspace

$$C_b^n(G; V) = \left\{ f: G^{\times n} \rightarrow V \mid \sup_{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^{\times n}} \|f(g_1, \dots, g_n)\| < \infty \right\}$$

of $C^n(G; V)$. Then $C_b^\bullet(G; V)$ is a subcomplex of $C^\bullet(G; V)$, and we call the n -th cohomology of $C_b^\bullet(G; V)$ the *n -th bounded cohomology of G* , and denote it by $H_b^n(G; V)$. The inclusion $C_b^\bullet(G; V) \rightarrow C^\bullet(G; V)$ induces the map $c_G: H_b^\bullet(G; V) \rightarrow H^\bullet(G; V)$ called the *comparison map*.

Let $H_{/b}^\bullet(G; V)$ denote their relative cohomology, that is, the cohomology of the quotient complex $C_{/b}^\bullet(G; V) = C^\bullet(G; V)/C_b^\bullet(G; V)$. Then, the short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(G; V) \rightarrow C^\bullet(G; V) \rightarrow C_{/b}^\bullet(G; V) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the cohomology long exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_b^n(G; V) \xrightarrow{c_G} H^n(G; V) \rightarrow H_{/b}^n(G; V) \rightarrow H_{/b}^{n+1}(G; V) \rightarrow \dots$$

If we need to specify the G -representation ρ , we may use the symbols $H^\bullet(G; \rho, V)$, $H_b^\bullet(G; \rho, V)$, and $H_{/b}^\bullet(G; \rho, V)$ instead of $H^\bullet(G; V)$, $H_b^\bullet(G; V)$, and $H_{/b}^\bullet(G; V)$, respectively. Let \mathbb{R} denote the field of real numbers equipped with the trivial G -action. In this case, we write $H^n(G)$, $H_b^n(G)$, and $H_{/b}^n(G)$ instead of $H^n(G; \mathbb{R})$, $H_b^n(G; \mathbb{R})$, and $H_{/b}^n(G; \mathbb{R})$, respectively.

Let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then G acts on N by conjugation, and hence G acts on $C^n(N; V)$. This G -action is described by

$$({}^g f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g \cdot f(g^{-1}x_1g, \dots, g^{-1}x_ng).$$

The action induces G -actions on $H^n(N; V)$, $H_b^n(N; V)$, and $H_{/b}^n(N; V)$. When $N = G$, these G -actions on $H^n(G; V)$, $H_b^n(G; V)$, and $H_{/b}^n(G; V)$ are trivial. By definition, a cocycle $f: N \rightarrow V$ in $C_{/b}^1(N; V)$ defines a class of $H_{/b}^1(N; V)^G$ if and only if the function ${}^g f - f: N \rightarrow V$ is bounded for every $g \in G$.

Until the end of Section 5, we consider the case of the trivial real coefficients. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous quasimorphism. Then f is considered as an element of $C^1(G)$, and its coboundary δf is

$$(\delta f)(x, y) = f(x) - f(xy) + f(y).$$

Since f is a quasimorphism, the coboundary δf is a bounded cocycle. Hence we obtain a map $\delta: Q(G) \rightarrow H_b^2(G)$ by $f \mapsto [\delta f]$. Then the following lemma is well known:

Lemma 3.3. *The following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G) \rightarrow Q(G) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_b^2(G) \xrightarrow{c_G} H^2(G).$$

Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism. A *virtual section* of φ is a pair (Λ, x) consisting of a subgroup Λ of finite index of H and a group homomorphism $s: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ satisfying $\varphi(s(x)) = x$ for every $x \in \Lambda$. The group homomorphism φ is said to *virtually split* if φ admits a virtual section. The following proposition is a generalization of Ishida [Ish14] and Shtern [Sht16]. For a further generalization of this result, see Theorem 1.4 of [KKMM21].

Proposition 3.4 (Proposition 6.4 of [KKMM20]). *If the projection $p: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ virtually splits, then the map $i^*: Q(G) \rightarrow Q(N)^G$ is surjective.*

In the present paper, we often consider amenable groups and boundedly acyclic groups. Here, we review basic properties related to them. First, we collect those for amenable groups (for example, see [Fri17] for more details).

Theorem 3.5 (Known results for amenable groups). *The following hold.*

- (1) *Every finite group is amenable.*
- (2) *Every abelian group is amenable.*
- (3) *Every subgroup of an amenable group is amenable.*
- (4) *Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of groups. Then G is amenable if and only if N and Γ are amenable.*
- (5) *Every amenable group is boundedly k -acyclic for all $k \geq 1$.*

Secondly, we collect recent progress on study of bounded acyclicity by various researchers; these results are not used in the present paper, but it might be convenient to the reader to have some examples of boundedly 3-acyclic groups that are non-amenable. See also Remark 8.8 for one more example.

Theorem 3.6 (Known results for boundedly acyclic groups). *The following hold.*

- (1) ([MR21]) *Let k be an integer at least 1. Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. Assume that N is boundedly k -acyclic. Then G is boundedly k -acyclic if and only if Γ is.*
- (2) ([FFLM21b]) *Every binate group (see [FFLM21b, Definition 3.1]) is boundedly k -acyclic for all $k \geq 1$.*
- (3) ([FFLM21a]) *There exist continuum many non-isomorphic 5-generated non-amenable groups that are boundedly k -acyclic for all $k \geq 1$. There exists a finitely presented non-amenable group that are boundedly k -acyclic for all $k \geq 1$.*
- (4) ([Mon]) *Thompson's group F is boundedly k -acyclic for all $k \geq 1$.*
- (5) ([Mon]) *Let L be an arbitrary group. Let Γ be an infinite amenable group. Then the wreath product $L \wr \Gamma = (\bigoplus_{\Gamma} L) \rtimes \Gamma$ is boundedly k -acyclic for all $k \geq 1$.*
- (6) ([MN21]) *For every integer n with $n \geq 2$, the identity component $\text{Homeo}_0(S^n)$ of the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S^n is boundedly 3-acyclic. The group $\text{Homeo}_0(S^3)$ is boundedly 4-acyclic.*

On (4), we remark that it is a major open problem asking whether Thompson's group F is amenable.

The seven-term exact sequence and the calculation of first cohomology mentioned below will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.11.

Theorem 3.7 (Seven-term exact sequence). *Let $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence. Then there exists the following exact sequence:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathrm{H}^1(G) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathrm{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma) \\ \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(i^* : \mathrm{H}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(N)) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma; \mathrm{H}^1(N)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^3(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{H}^1(N)$ is regarded as a left $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module by the Γ -action induced from the conjugation G -action on N .

Lemma 3.8. *For a left $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -module V , let $\rho: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ be the representation. Then, the first cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}; V)$ is isomorphic to $V/\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{id}_V - \rho(1))$.*

Proof. By definition, the set $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}; V)$ of cocycles on \mathbb{Z} with coefficients in V is equal to the set of crossed homomorphisms, that is,

$$\{h: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow V \mid h(n+m) = \rho(n)(h(m)) + h(n) \text{ for every } n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since every crossed homomorphism on \mathbb{Z} is determined by its value on $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}; V) \cong V$. The set $B^1(\mathbb{Z}; V)$ of coboundaries on \mathbb{Z} with coefficients in V is equal to

$$\{h: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow V \mid h(1) = v - \rho(1)(v) \text{ for some } v \in V\}.$$

Hence we have $B^1(\mathbb{Z}; V) \cong \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{id}_V - \rho(1))$ and the lemma follows. \square

4. THE SPACES OF NON-EXTENDABLE QUASIMORPHISMS

The purpose of this section is to provide several applications of our main theorem (Theorem 1.5) to the spaces $\mathrm{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathrm{Q}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Q}(N)^G/(\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathrm{Q}(G))$. In Section 3.1, we prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 modulo Theorem 1.5, and in Section 3.2, we provide several examples of pairs (G, N) such that the space $\mathrm{Q}(N)^G/(\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathrm{Q}(G))$ does not vanish (Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 4.18).

4.1. Proofs of Theorems 1.9 and 1.10. The goal of this section is to prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 modulo Theorem 1.5.

First, we prove Theorem 1.9. Recall that if G is Gromov hyperbolic, then the comparison map $\mathrm{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(G)$ is surjective [Gro87]. Hence, Theorem 1.9 follows from the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of groups. Assume that Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\dim(\mathrm{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathrm{Q}(G)) \leq \dim \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma).$$

Moreover, if the comparison map $c_G: \mathrm{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(G)$ is surjective, then

$$\dim(\mathrm{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathrm{Q}(G)) = \dim \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma).$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.5, we have the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Q}(G) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathbb{Q}(N)^G \xrightarrow{\tau/b} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma).$$

Hence, we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) \leq \dim \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma).$$

Since Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic, the map $\xi_4: \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism by (3.1), and therefore we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) \leq \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma).$$

Next, we show the latter assertion. Suppose that the comparison map $c_G: \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(G)$ is surjective. Then, the map $\xi_5: \mathbb{H}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(G)$ is the zero-map. Since $\xi_4: \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism, the map $p^*: \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(G)$ is also zero. Hence

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = \dim \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma). \quad \square$$

To prove Theorem 1.10, we use the following lemma in homological algebra.

Lemma 4.2. *For a commutative diagram of \mathbb{R} -vector spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & C \\ & & & & & & \downarrow c \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ B_2 & \xrightarrow{b_2} & B_3 & \xrightarrow{b_3} & B_4 & & \\ & & \downarrow c_2 & \cong \downarrow c_3 & \downarrow c_4 & & \\ A_1 & \xrightarrow{a_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{a_2} & A_3 & \xrightarrow{a_3} & A_4, \end{array}$$

where the lows and the last column are exact and c_3 is an isomorphism, the map $b_3 \circ c_3^{-1} \circ a_2$ induces an isomorphism

$$A_2/(\text{Im}(a_1) + \text{Im}(c_2)) \cong \text{Im}(b_3) \cap \text{Im}(c).$$

Because the proof of Lemma 4.2 is done by a standard diagram chasing, we omit it.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. If $\Gamma = G/N$ is boundedly 3-acyclic, $\xi_4: \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore Theorem 1.10 follows by applying Lemma 4.2 to commutative diagram (1.3). \square

The following corollary of Theorem 1.10 will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that N is contained in the commutator subgroup G' of G , and Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) \leq \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) - \dim \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G.$$

Moreover, if the comparison map $\mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(G)$ is surjective,

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) - \dim \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G.$$

Proof. Since N is contained in the commutator subgroup of G , we conclude that the map $i^*: \mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$ is zero, and hence $\dim \text{Im}(p^*) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) - \dim \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$. Therefore Theorem 1.10 implies the corollary. \square

Remark 4.4. Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence, and suppose that the group N is amenable. Then it is known that the map $\xi_3: \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G$ in (1.3) is an isomorphism. Hence, Lemma 4.2 implies that the composite $\tau \circ \xi_3^{-1} \circ i^*$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}(G)/(\mathbb{H}^1(G) + p^*\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma)) \cong \text{Im}(\tau) \cap \text{Im}(c_\Gamma).$$

This isomorphism was obtained in [KM20] in a different way and applied to study boundedness of characteristic classes of foliated bundles.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. The goal of this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.1 by using the results proved in the previous subsection. This theorem treats surface groups. Before proceeding to this case, we first prove the following theorem for free groups.

Theorem 4.5 (Computations of dimensions for free groups). *For $n \geq 1$, set $G = F_n$ and $N = [F_n, F_n]$. Then*

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text{ and } \dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) = 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(G/N) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

By Theorem 1.10, we obtain

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) \leq \dim \mathbb{H}^2(G) = 0. \quad \square$$

Next we show Theorem 1.1. In the proof, we need the precise description of the space $\mathbb{H}^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$ of F_n -invariant homomorphisms on the commutator subgroup $F'_n = [F_n, F_n]$ of the free group F_n . Throughout this subsection, we write a_1, \dots, a_n to mean the canonical basis of F_n .

Lemma 4.6. *Let i and j be integers such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then there exist F_n -invariant homomorphisms $\alpha_{i,j}: F'_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq k < l \leq n$,*

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha_{i,j}([a_k, a_l]) = \begin{cases} 1 & ((i, j) = (k, l)) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $\alpha_{i,j}$ are a basis of $\mathbb{H}^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$. In particular,

$$\dim \mathbb{H}^1(F'_n)^{F_n} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

Proof. When $G = F_n$ and $N = F'_n$, the five-term exact sequence (Theorem 1.4) implies that the dimension of $\mathbb{H}^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$ is $n(n-1)/2$. Hence it suffices to construct $\alpha_{i,j}$ satisfying (4.1).

We first consider the case $n = 2$. Since $\dim(\mathbb{H}^1(F'_2)^{F_2}) = 1$, it suffices to show that there exists an F_2 -invariant homomorphism $\alpha: F'_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha([a_1, a_2]) \neq 0$. Let $\varphi: F'_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-trivial F_2 -invariant homomorphism. Then there exists a pair x and y of elements of F_2 such that $\varphi([x, y]) \neq 0$. Let $f: F_2 \rightarrow F_2$ be the group homomorphism sending a_1 to x and a_2 to y . Then $\varphi \circ (f|_{F'_2}): F'_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an F_2 -invariant homomorphism satisfying $\varphi \circ f([a_1, a_2]) \neq 0$. This completes the proof of the case $n = 2$.

Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Then for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i < j$, define a homomorphism $q_{i,j}: F_n \rightarrow F_2$ which sends a_i to a_1 , a_j to a_2 , and a_k to the unit element of F_2 for $k \neq i, j$. Then $q_{i,j}$ induces a surjection F'_n to F'_2 , and induces a homomorphism $q_{i,j}^*: \mathbb{H}^1(F'_2)^{F_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$. Set $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{1,2} \circ q_{i,j}$. Then $\alpha_{i,j}$ clearly satisfies (4.1), and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 1.1 follows from Corollary 4.3 and the following proposition:

Proposition 4.7. *For $l \geq 1$, the following equality holds:*

$$\dim \mathbb{H}^1([\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)])^{\pi_1(\Sigma_l)} = l(2l - 1) - 1.$$

Proof. Recall that $\pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ has the following presentation:

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_{2l} \mid [a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{2l-1}, a_{2l}] \rangle.$$

Let $f: F_{2l} \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ be the natural epimorphism sending a_i to a_i , and K the kernel of f , i.e., K is the normal subgroup generated by $[a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{2l-1}, a_{2l}]$ in F_{2l} . Then f induces an epimorphism $f|_{F'_{2l}}: F'_{2l} \rightarrow [\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)]$ between their commutator subgroups, and its kernel coincides with K since K is contained in F'_{2l} . This means that for a homomorphism $\varphi: F'_{2l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, φ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: [\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$\varphi([a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{2l-1}, a_{2l}]) = 0.$$

It is straightforward to show that φ is F_{2l} -invariant if and only if $\bar{\varphi}$ is $\pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ -invariant. Hence the image of the monomorphism $\mathbb{H}^1([\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)])^{\pi_1(\Sigma_l)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(F'_{2l})^{F_{2l}}$ is the subspace consisting of elements

$$\sum_{i < j} k_{ij} \alpha_{ij}$$

such that

$$k_{1,2} + k_{3,4} + \cdots + k_{2l-1,2l} = 0.$$

Since the dimension of $\mathbb{H}^1(F'_{2l})^{F_{2l}}$ is $l(2l - 1)$ (see Lemma 4.6), this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the abelianization $\Gamma = \pi_1(\Sigma_l)/[\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)]$ of the surface group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{2l} , we have $\dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) = l(2l - 1)$. Since the comparison map $\mathbb{H}_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_l)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_l))$ is surjective, we obtain

$$\dim (\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G))) = 1$$

by Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.7. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and a related example. To prove Theorem 1.2, we now recall some terminology of mapping class groups.

Let l be an integer at least 2 and Σ_l the oriented closed surface with genus l . The *mapping class group* $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$ of Σ_l is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms on Σ_l . By considering the action on the first homology group, the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$ has a natural epimorphism $s_l: \text{Mod}(\Sigma_l) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2l; \mathbb{Z})$ called the *symplectic representation*.

For $\psi \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$, we take a diffeomorphism f that represents ψ . The mapping torus T_f is an orientable closed 3-manifold equipped with a natural fibration structure $\Sigma_l \rightarrow T_f \rightarrow S^1$. The following is known.

Theorem 4.8 ([Thu86]). *A mapping class ψ is a pseudo-Anosov element if and only if the mapping torus T_f is a hyperbolic manifold.*

Set $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{2l} \rtimes_{s_l(\psi)} \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$(4.2) \quad G = \pi_1(T_f) = \pi_1(\Sigma_l) \rtimes_{f_*} \mathbb{Z} \\ = \left\langle a_1, \dots, a_{2l+1} \left| \begin{array}{l} [a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{2l-1}, a_{2l}] = 1_G, \\ a_{2l+1} \cdot a_i = (f_* a_i) \cdot a_{2l+1} \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq 2l \end{array} \right. \right\rangle,$$

where $f_*: \pi_1(\Sigma_l) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ is the pushforward of f .

Lemma 4.9. *The following hold true.*

- (1) $\dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + \dim \text{Ker} \left(I_{\binom{2l}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi) \right)$.
- (2) $\dim \mathbb{H}^2(G) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + 1$.

Proof. Let T^{2l} be the $2l$ -dimensional torus. By the natural inclusion $\text{Sp}(2l; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(T^{2l})$, we regard the element $s_l(\psi)$ as a homeomorphism of T^{2l} . Let $M_{s_l(\psi)}$ be the mapping torus of $s_l(\psi) \in \text{Homeo}(T^{2l})$. Since $M_{s_l(\psi)}$ is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -manifold, we have $\dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(M_{s_l(\psi)})$. Let us consider the cohomology long exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(T^{2l}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathbb{H}^2(M_{s_l(\psi)}, T^{2l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(M_{s_l(\psi)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(T^{2l}) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathbb{H}^3(M_{s_l(\psi)}, T^{2l}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $M_{s_l(\psi)}$ is a mapping torus, $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(M_{s_l(\psi)}, T^{2l})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^n(T^{2l})$ and the map δ_n is given by

$$\text{id}_{\mathbb{H}^n(T^{2l})} - s_l(\psi)^*: \mathbb{H}^n(T^{2l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n(T^{2l}) \cong \mathbb{H}^{n+1}(M_{s_l(\psi)}, T^{2l}).$$

This, together with (4.3) and the fact that $\mathbb{H}^2(T^{2l}) \cong \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}^1(T^{2l})$, implies that

$$\dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(M_{s_l(\psi)}) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + \dim \text{Ker} \left(I_{\binom{2l}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi) \right).$$

The computation of $\dim \mathbb{H}^2(G)$ is done in a similar manner. \square

Let N be the kernel of the natural epimorphism $G \rightarrow \Gamma$. Note that N is isomorphic to the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma_l)$.

Lemma 4.10. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\dim \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \leq \dim \text{Ker} \left(I_{\binom{2l}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi) \right) - 1.$$

Proof. We set $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_1(\Sigma_l; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\iota: \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right)$ be the map defined by

$$\iota(h)(q(x) \wedge q(y)) = h([x, y]),$$

where $x, y \in \pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ and $q: \pi_1(\Sigma_l) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is the abelianization map. We claim that this map ι is well-defined. To verify this, let $h \in \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$. By commutator calculus, $[x_1x_2, y] = x_1[x_2, y]x_1^{-1} \cdot [x_1, y]$ holds for every $x_1, x_2, y \in \pi_1(\Sigma_l)$. Since h is G -invariant, this implies that

$$h([x_1x_2, y]) = h([x_1, y]) + h([x_2, y]).$$

In a similar manner to one above, we can see that

$$h([xz, yw]) = h([x, y])$$

for every $x, y \in \pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ and every $z, w \in N = [\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)]$. Now, it is straightforward to confirm that ι is well-defined. Moreover, since N is normally generated by $\{[a_i, a_j]\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2l}$ in G , the map ι is injective.

We set

$$\text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right)^{\wedge^2 s_l(\psi)} = \left\{ h \in \text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right) \mid h \circ \bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi) = h \right\}.$$

Then the image of ι is contained in $\text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right)^{\wedge^2 s_l(\psi)}$. Indeed, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 2l$ and for $h \in \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(h) \left(\bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi)(q(a_i) \wedge q(a_j)) \right) &= h([f_*a_i, f_*a_j]) \\ &= h([a_{2l+1} \cdot a_i \cdot a_{2l+1}, a_{2l+1} \cdot a_j \cdot a_{2l+1}]) \\ &= h([a_i, a_j]) = \iota(h)(q(a_i) \wedge q(a_j)), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from the relation in (4.2) and the third equality comes from the G -invariance of h .

Since $\dim \text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right)^{\wedge^2 s_l(\psi)}$ is equal to $\dim \text{Ker} \left(I_{\binom{2l}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi) \right)$, it suffices to show that the map

$$\iota: \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right)^{\wedge^2 s_l(\psi)}$$

is not surjective. We set $v_1 = q(a_1) \wedge q(a_2) + \cdots + q(a_{2l-1}) \wedge q(a_{2l}) \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}$, then the map $\bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi): \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}$ preserves v_1 . Hence, for a suitable basis containing v_1 , the dual v_1^* is contained in $\text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right)^{\wedge^2 s_l(\psi)}$. However, v_1^* is not contained in the image of ι . Indeed, for every $h \in \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$, we have

$$\iota(h)(v_1) = h([a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{2l-1}, a_{2l}]) = 0.$$

Hence the map $\iota: \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R} \right)^{\wedge^2 s_l(\psi)}$ is not surjective, and the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The group G is Gromov hyperbolic by Theorem 4.8 and Γ is amenable by Theorem 3.5 (4). Hence, Theorem 1.9, together with Lemma 4.9 (1), asserts that

$$\dim((\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)) = \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + \dim \text{Ker} \left(I_{\binom{2l}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 s_l(\psi) \right).$$

By Theorem 1.10 and Lemma 4.9 (2), we obtain

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) \leq \dim \mathbb{H}^2(G) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + 1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) &= \dim \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) - \dim \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \\ &\geq \dim \text{Ker}(I_{2l} - s_l(\psi)) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 4.3, Lemma 4.9 (1), and Lemma 4.10. \square

As we mentioned in the introduction, we obtain an analog (Theorem 4.11) of Theorem 1.2 in the free group setting. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ be the automorphism group of F_n . Let $t_n: \text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the representation induced by the action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on the abelianization of F_n . Then, the group $F_n \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ naturally surjects onto $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{t_n(\psi)} \mathbb{Z}$ via abelianization of F_n . We say that an automorphism ψ of F_n is *atoroidal* if it has no periodic conjugacy classes; namely, there does not exist a pair $(a, k) \in F_n \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \neq 1_{F_n}$ and $k \neq 0$ such that $\psi^k(a)$ is conjugate to a . Bestvina and Feighn [BF92] showed that $\psi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ is atoroidal if and only if $F_n \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ is Gromov hyperbolic.

Theorem 4.11 (Computations of dimensions for free-by-cyclic groups). *Let n be an integer greater than 1 and $\psi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ an atoroidal automorphism. Set $G = F_n \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ and let N be the kernel of the surjection $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{t_n(\psi)} \mathbb{Z}$ defined via the abelianization map $F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then we have*

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_n - t_n(\psi)) + \dim \text{Ker}\left(I_{\binom{n}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 t_n(\psi)\right)$$

and

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_n - t_n(\psi)),$$

where $\bigwedge^2 t_n(\psi)$ is the map induced by $t_n(\psi)$.

Proof. Since the quotient G/N is isomorphic to $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{t_n(\psi)} \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_n - t_n(\psi)) + \dim \text{Ker}\left(I_{\binom{n}{2}} - \bigwedge^2 t_n(\psi)\right)$$

in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We set $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_1(F_n; \mathbb{Z}) = F_n/[F_n, F_n]$. As in Lemma 4.10, we can define a monomorphism $\iota: \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigwedge \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R})^{\bigwedge^2 g_n(\psi)}$. Hence, together with Corollary 4.3, we obtain an inequality

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) \geq \dim \text{Ker}(I_n - t_n(\psi)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))) \leq \dim \mathbb{H}^2(G)$$

by Theorem 1.10. By the seven-term exact sequence (Theorem 3.7) applied to the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{t_n(\psi)} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{H}^2(G) \cong \mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{H}^1(F_n)).$$

By Lemma 3.8, $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{H}^1(F_n))$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{H}^1(F_n)/\text{Im}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{H}^1(F_n)} - \psi^*),$$

where $\psi^* : H^1(F_n) \rightarrow H^1(F_n)$ is the pullback of ψ . Hence we obtain that

$$\dim H^2(G) = \dim H^1(\mathbb{Z}; H^1(F_n)) = \dim \text{Ker}(I_n - t_n(\psi))$$

and the theorem follows. \square

4.4. Other examples. It follows from Theorem 1.10 that $H^2(G) = 0$ implies $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$, and we provide several examples of groups G with $H^2(G) = 0$ in Subsection 2.1.

As an application of [FS02, Theorem 2.4], we provide another example of a group G satisfying $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$.

Corollary 4.12. *Let L be a hyperbolic link in S^3 such that the number of the connected components of L is two. Let G be the link group of L (i.e., the fundamental group of the complement $S^3 \setminus L$ of L) and N the commutator subgroup of G . Then we have $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.10, it suffices to show that the comparison map $c_G : H_b^2(G) \rightarrow H^2(G)$ is equal to zero. By using [FS02, Theorem 2.4], we have $\text{Im}(c_G) \neq H^2(G)$. Since the number of the connected components of L is two, the second cohomology group $H^2(G)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} . Hence we obtain $\text{Im}(c_G) = 0$. \square

Here we provide other examples (G, N) such that $H^2(G) \neq 0$ and $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$.

Example 4.13. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let H_i be a boundedly 2-acyclic group and assume that $H^2(H_1) \neq 0$ (for example, we can take $H_1 = \mathbb{Z}^2$). Set $G = H_1 * H_2 * \dots * H_n$ and $N = [G, G]$. Then we have $H^2(G) = H^2(H_1) \oplus H^2(H_2) \oplus \dots \oplus H^2(H_n) \neq 0$ but the comparison map $c_G : H_b^2(G) \rightarrow H^2(G)$ is the zero map. It follows from Theorem 1.10 that $Q(N)^G / (H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)) = 0$.

Corollary 4.14. *Let $E \rightarrow \Sigma_l$ be a non-trivial circle bundle over a closed oriented surface of genus $l > 1$. For the fundamental group $G = \pi_1(E)$ and its normal subgroup $N = [G, G]$, we have*

$$\dim(Q(N)^G / i^*Q(G)) = l(2l - 1) \text{ and } \dim(Q(N)^G / (H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G))) = 0.$$

Remark 4.15. (1) The dimension of $Q(G)$ (and hence, the dimension of $Q(N)^G$) is the cardinal of the continuum since G surjects onto the surface group $\pi_1(\Sigma_l)$.

(2) The cohomology group $H^2(G)$ is non-zero. In fact, the dimension of $H^2(G) \cong H^2(E)$ is equal to $2l$.

Proof of Corollary 4.14. Let n be the Euler number of the bundle $E \rightarrow \Sigma_l$. Note that n is non-zero since the bundle is non-trivial (see Theorem 11.16 of [Fri17]). Since the group G has a presentation

$$G = \pi_1(E) = \left\langle a_1, \dots, a_{2l+1} \left| \begin{array}{l} [a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{2l-1}, a_{2l}] = a_{2l+1}^{-n}, \\ [a_i, a_{2l+1}] = 1_G \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq 2l \end{array} \right. \right\rangle,$$

the abelianization $\Gamma = G/N$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{2l} \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Hence we have $\dim H^2(\Gamma) = l(2l - 1)$. By the relation $[a_i, a_{2l+1}] = 1_G$ for each i and the fact that N is normally

generated by $\{[a_i, a_j]\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2l+1}$ in G , we obtain $\dim \mathrm{H}^1(N)^G = l(2l+1) - 2l = l(2l-1)$. Hence Corollary 4.3 asserts that

$$\dim (\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / (\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathrm{Q}(G))) \leq \dim \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma) - \dim \mathrm{H}^1(N)^G = 0.$$

Since N is the commutator subgroup of G , the space $\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G$ injects into $\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)$. Hence we have

$$\dim (\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)) \geq l(2l-1).$$

On the other hand, Theorem 4.1 asserts that

$$\dim (\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)) \leq \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma) = l(2l-1).$$

This completes the proof. \square

For elements $r_1, \dots, r_m \in G$, we write $\langle\langle r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle\rangle$ to mean the normal subgroup of G generated by r_1, \dots, r_m .

Corollary 4.16. *Let $r_1, \dots, r_m \in [F_n, [F_n, F_n]]$ and set*

$$G = F_n / \langle\langle r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle\rangle.$$

Then we have $\mathrm{Q}(G')^G = \mathrm{H}^1(G')^G + i^ \mathrm{Q}(G)$.*

Proof. Let q be the natural projection $F_n \rightarrow G$. Then the image of the monomorphism $q^*: \mathrm{H}^1(G')^G \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F_n')^{F_n}$ is the space of F_n -invariant homomorphisms $f: F_n' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f(r_1) = \dots = f(r_m) = 0$. Since every F_n -invariant homomorphism of F_n' vanishes on $[F_n, [F_n, F_n]]$, we conclude that q^* is an isomorphism, and hence we have $\dim \mathrm{H}^1(G')^G = n(n-1)/2$. Since $\Gamma = G/G' = \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have $\dim \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma) = n(n-1)/2$. Hence Corollary 4.3 implies that $\mathrm{Q}(G')^G / (\mathrm{H}^1(G')^G + i^* \mathrm{Q}(G))$ is trivial. \square

Remark 4.17. Suppose that N is the commutator subgroup of G . As will be seen in Corollaries 6.19 and 7.11, the sum $\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)$ is actually a direct sum in this case, and the map $\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)$ is an isomorphism. Hence, if G is a group provided in Corollary 4.16 and N is the commutator subgroup of G , then the basis of $\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)$ is provided by the G -invariant homomorphism $\alpha'_{i,j}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, which is the homomorphism induced by $\alpha_{i,j}: F_n' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ described in Lemma 4.6.

As an example of a pair (G, N) satisfying $\mathrm{Q}(N) \neq \mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathrm{Q}(G)$, we provide a certain family of one-relator groups. Recall that a *one-relator group* is a group isomorphic to $F_n / \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle$ for some positive integer n and an element r of F_n .

Theorem 4.18. *Let n and k be integers at least 2, and r an element of $[F_n, F_n]$ such that there exists $f_0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(F_n')^{F_n}$ with $f_0(r) \neq 0$. Set $G = F_n / \langle\langle r^k \rangle\rangle$ and $N = G'$. Then*

$$\dim (\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / (\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathrm{Q}(G))) = 1.$$

Proof. By Newman's Spelling theorem [New68], every one-relator group with torsion is hyperbolic, and hence G is hyperbolic. Indeed, r does not belong to $\langle\langle r^k \rangle\rangle$ since $f_0(x)$ belongs to $kf_0(r)\mathbb{Z}$ for every element x of $\langle\langle r^k \rangle\rangle$. Since $\Gamma = G/N$ is abelian, we see that Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic. By Corollary 4.3, it suffices to see

$$\dim (\mathrm{Q}(N)^G / (\mathrm{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathrm{Q}(G))) = \dim \mathrm{H}^2(\Gamma) - \dim \mathrm{H}^1(N)^G = 1.$$

Since $r^k \in F'_n$, we have $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $\dim H^2(\Gamma) = n(n-1)/2$. Hence it only remains to show that

$$(4.4) \quad \dim H^1(N)^G = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1.$$

Let $q: F_n \rightarrow G = F_n/\langle\langle r^k \rangle\rangle$ be the natural quotient. Then q induces a monomorphism $q^*: H^1(N)^G \rightarrow H^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$. As is the case of the proof of Proposition 4.7, it is straightforward to show that the image of $q^*: H^1(N)^G \rightarrow H^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$ is the space of F_n -invariant homomorphisms $f: F'_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(r) = 0$. Since there exists an element f_0 of $H^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$ with $f_0(r) \neq 0$, we conclude that the codimension of the image of $q^*: H^1(N)^G \rightarrow H^1(F'_n)^{F_n}$ is 1. This implies (4.4), and hence completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.19. Let k be a positive integer. Here we construct a finitely presented group G satisfying

$$\dim(Q(G')^G / (H^1(G')^G + i^*Q(G))) = k.$$

Let $F_{2k} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{2k} \rangle$ be a free group and define the group G by

$$G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{2k} \mid [a_1, a_2]^2, \dots, [a_{2k-1}, a_{2k}]^2 \rangle.$$

Set $H = \langle a_1, a_2 \mid [a_1, a_2]^2 \rangle$. Then G is the k -fold free product of H . Since H is a one-relator group with torsion, H is hyperbolic. Since a finite free product of hyperbolic groups is hyperbolic, G is hyperbolic. Hence the comparison map $H_b^2(G) \rightarrow H^2(G)$ is surjective.

Let $q: F_{2k} \rightarrow G$ be the natural quotient. Then the image of the monomorphism $q^*: H^1(G')^G \rightarrow H^1(F'_{2k})^{F_{2k}}$ consists of the F_{2k} -invariant homomorphisms $\varphi: F'_{2k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi([a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore Corollary 4.3 implies that $\dim(Q(G')^G / (H^1(G')^G + i^*Q(G))) = k$.

5. COHOMOLOGY CLASSES INDUCED BY THE FLUX HOMOMORPHISM

First, we review the definition of the (volume) flux homomorphism (for instance, see [Ban97]).

Let $\text{Diff}(M, \Omega)$ denote the group of diffeomorphisms on an m -dimensional smooth manifold M which preserve a volume form Ω on M , $\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)$ the identity component of $\text{Diff}(M, \Omega)$, and $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega)$ the universal cover of $\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)$. Then the (volume) flux homomorphism $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega: \widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega) \rightarrow H^{m-1}(M)$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega([\{\psi^t\}_{t \in [0,1]}]) = \int_0^1 [\iota_{X_t} \Omega] dt,$$

where $X_t = \dot{\psi}_t$. The image of $\pi_1(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega))$ under $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega$ is called the flux group of the pair (M, Ω) , and denoted by Γ_Ω . The flux homomorphism $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega$ descends a homomorphism

$$\text{Flux}_\Omega: \text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega) \rightarrow H^{m-1}(M)/\Gamma_\Omega.$$

These homomorphisms are fundamental objects in theory of diffeomorphism groups, and have been extensively studied by several researchers (for example, see [KKM06], [Ish17]).

As we wrote in Subsection 2.3, Proposition 2.5 is essentially due to [KM07]; we state the proof for the reader's convenience.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Suppose that the pair (G, N) of groups is $(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega), \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega))$ or $(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega), \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega))$. Since the kernels of the homomorphisms Flux_Ω and $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega$ are perfect (see [Thu] and [Ban78], see also Theorems 4.3.1 and 5.1.3 of [Ban97]), we have $H^1(N) = 0$. Hence this proposition follows from the five-term exact sequence (Theorem 1.4). \square

To prove (1) of Theorem 2.6, we use Py's Calabi quasimorphism $f_P: \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which was introduced in [Py06]. For an oriented closed surface whose genus l is at least 2 and a volume form Ω on M , Py constructed a $\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)$ -invariant homogeneous quasimorphism $f_P: \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on $\text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. First, we prove (1). Suppose that Σ_l is an oriented closed surface whose genus l is at least 2, and let Ω be its volume form. Since in this case Γ_Ω is trivial, the two flux homomorphisms Flux_Ω and $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega$ coincide.

Set $G = \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \Omega)$ and $N = [\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \Omega), \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \Omega)] = \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega)$. Since N is perfect ([Ban78, Théorème II.6.1]), we have $H^1(N) = H^1(N)^G = 0$. Since G/N is abelian, Theorem 1.10 implies that

$$\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G) = \mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (H^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)) \cong \text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G).$$

Since Py's Calabi quasimorphism f_P is not extendable to $G = \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \omega)$ ([KK19, Theorem 1.11]), we conclude that $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$ is not trivial. Hence, we conclude that $\text{Flux}_\Omega^* \circ \xi_4^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}([f_P]) \in \text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G)$ is non-zero.

Now we show (2). Suppose that $m = 2$. The case that M is a 2-sphere is clear since $H^1(M) = 0$, and hence the flux homomorphisms are trivial. The case M is a torus follows from the fact that both Flux_Ω and $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega$ have section homomorphisms. Hence, by Proposition 3.4, we have $\text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \cong \mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G) = 0$.

Suppose that $m \geq 3$. Then Proposition 5.1 mentioned below implies that Flux_Ω has a section homomorphism. Hence, by Proposition 3.4, we have $\text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \cong \mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.1 (Proposition 6.1 of [Fat80]). *Let m be an integer at least 3, M an m -dimensional differential manifold, and Ω a volume form on M . Then there exists a section homomorphism of the reduced flux homomorphism $\text{Flux}_\Omega: \text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega) \rightarrow H^{m-1}(M, \Omega)/\Gamma_\Omega$. In addition, there exists a section homomorphism of $\widetilde{\text{Flux}}_\Omega: \widetilde{\text{Diff}}_0(M, \Omega) \rightarrow H^{m-1}(M, \Omega)$.*

The idea of Theorem 2.6 is also useful in (higher-dimensional) symplectic geometry. For notions in symplectic geometry, for example, see [Ban97] and [PR14]. For a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , let $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ denote the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with compact support. For an exact symplectic manifold (M, ω) , let $\text{Cal}_\omega: \text{Ham}(M, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the Calabi homomorphism. We note that the map Cal_ω^* is injective, where $\text{Cal}_\omega^*: H^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Ham}(M, \omega); \mathbb{R})$ is the homomorphism induced by Cal_ω . Indeed, because $\text{Ker}(\text{Cal}_\omega)$ is perfect ([Ban78]), we can prove the injectivity of Cal_ω^* similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.5. Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *For an exact symplectic manifold (M, ω) , every non-trivial element of $\text{Im}(\text{Cal}_\omega^*)$ cannot be represented by a bounded 2-cochain.*

Note that $\text{Cal}_\omega: \text{Ham}(M, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a section homomorphism. Indeed, for a (time-independent) Hamiltonian function whose integral over M is 1 and its Hamiltonian flow $\{\phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, the homomorphism $t \mapsto \phi^t$ is a section of the Calabi homomorphism Cal_ω . Hence the proof of Theorem 5.2 is similar to Theorem 2.6.

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.5, which is the five-term exact sequence of the cohomology of groups relative to the bounded subcomplex.

Notation. Throughout this section, V denotes a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and an isometric G -action whose restriction to N is trivial. For a non-negative real number $D \geq 0$, the symbol $v \underset{D}{\approx} w$ means that the inequality $\|v - w\| \leq D$ holds. For functions $f, g: S \rightarrow V$ on a set S , the symbol $f \underset{D}{\approx} g$ means that the condition $f(s) \underset{D}{\approx} g(s)$ holds for every $s \in S$.

6.1. N -quasi-cocycle. To define the map $\tau_{/b}: \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N; V)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma; V)$ in Theorem 1.5, it is convenient to introduce the notion called the N -quasi-cocycle. First, we recall the definition of quasi-cocycles.

Definition 6.1. Let G be a group and V a left $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module with a G -invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$. A function $F: G \rightarrow V$ is called a *quasi-cocycle* if there exists a non-negative number D such that

$$F(g_1 g_2) \underset{D}{\approx} F(g_1) + g_1 \cdot F(g_2)$$

holds for every $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Such a smallest D is called the *defect* of F and denoted by $D(F)$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z(G; V)$ denote the \mathbb{R} -vector space of all quasi-cocycles on G .

Remark 6.2. If we need to specify the G -representation ρ , we use the symbol $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z(G; \rho, V)$ instead of $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z(G; V)$.

We introduce the concept of N -quasi-cocycles, which is a generalization of the concept of partial quasimorphisms introduced in [EP06] (see also [MVZ12], [Kaw16], [Kim18], [BK18], and [KKMM20]).

Definition 6.3. Let N be a normal subgroup of G . A function $F: G \rightarrow V$ is called an *N -quasi-cocycle* if there exists a non-negative number D'' such that

$$(6.1) \quad F(ng) \underset{D''}{\approx} F(n) + F(g) \quad \text{and} \quad F(gn) \underset{D''}{\approx} F(g) + g \cdot F(n)$$

hold for every $g \in G$ and $n \in N$. Such a smallest D'' is called the *defect* of the N -quasi-cocycle F and denoted by $D''(F)$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z_N(G; V)$ denote the \mathbb{R} -vector space of all N -quasi-cocycles on G .

If the G -action on V is trivial, then a quasi-cocycle is also called a *V -valued quasimorphism*. In this case, we use the symbol $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(G; V)$ instead of $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z(G; V)$ to denote the space of quasi-cocycles. A V -valued quasimorphism F is said to be *homogeneous* if the condition $F(g^k) = k \cdot F(g)$ holds for every $g \in G$ and every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The homogenization of

V -valued quasimorphisms is well-defined as in the case of (\mathbb{R} -valued) quasimorphisms. We write $\mathbb{Q}(G; V)$ to denote the space of V -valued homogeneous quasimorphisms.

Recall that in our setting the restriction of the G -action on V to N is always trivial. Then a left G -action on $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)$ is defined by

$$({}^g f)(n) = g \cdot f(g^{-1}ng)$$

for every $g \in G$ and every $n \in N$. We call an element of $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G$ a G -equivariant V -valued homogeneous quasimorphism.

Remark 6.4. Note that an element $f \in \mathbb{Q}(N; V)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G$ if and only if the condition

$$g \cdot f(n) = f(gng^{-1})$$

holds for every $g \in G$ and every $n \in N$. This is the reason why we call an element of $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G$ G -equivariant.

Remark 6.5. There exists a canonical isomorphism $i^*: \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N; V)$ described as follows. For every $a \in \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G; V)$, let $f \in C^1(G; V)$ be its representative. By the definition of the cocycle on $C_{/b}^1(G; V)$, the restriction $f|_N$ to N is a V -valued quasimorphism. Then $i^*(a)$ is the homogenization of $f|_N$. Moreover, this isomorphism i^* is compatible with respect to the G -actions on $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N; V)$.

The elements of $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G = \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N; V)^G$ are G -invariant (as cohomology classes). However, respecting the condition $g \cdot f(n) = f(gng^{-1})$ for $f \in \mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G$, we call the elements of $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G$ G -equivariant V -valued homogeneous quasimorphisms.

Lemma 6.6. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G and V a left $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module. Assume that the induced N -action on V is trivial. Then, for an N -quasi-cocycle $F \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z_N(G; V)$, there exists a bounded cochain $b \in C_b^1(G; V)$ such that the restriction $(F + b)|_N$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G$.*

Proof. By the definition of N -quasi-cocycles, the restriction $F|_N: N \rightarrow V$ is a quasimorphism. Let $\overline{F|_N}$ be the homogenization of $F|_N$. Then the map

$$b' = \overline{F|_N} - F|_N: N \rightarrow V$$

is bounded. Define $b: G \rightarrow V$ by

$$b(g) = \begin{cases} b'(g) & g \in N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the map b is also bounded. Set $\Phi = F + b$, then $\Phi|_N = (F + b)|_N = \overline{F|_N}$. Since Φ is an N -quasi-cocycle, we have

$$({}^g \Phi)(n) = g \cdot \Phi(g^{-1}ng) \underset{D''(\Phi)}{\approx} \Phi(g \cdot g^{-1}ng) - \Phi(g) = \Phi(ng) - \Phi(g) \underset{D''(\Phi)}{\approx} \Phi(n)$$

for $g \in G$ and $n \in N$. Hence the difference ${}^g \Phi - \Phi$ is in $C_b^1(N; V)$. Since $({}^g \Phi)|_N$ and $\Phi|_N$ are homogeneous quasimorphisms, we have ${}^g \Phi|_N - \Phi|_N = 0$, and this implies that the element $\Phi|_N = (F + b)|_N$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G$. \square

If V is the trivial G -module \mathbb{R} , then N -quasi-cocycles are also called N -quasimorphisms (this word was first introduced in [Kaw17]). In this case, Lemma 6.6 is as follows.

Corollary 6.7. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G . For an N -quasimorphism $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G)$, there exists a bounded cochain $b \in C_b^1(G)$ such that the restriction $(F + b)|_N$ is in $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G$.*

6.2. The map $\tau_{/b}$. Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.5. The goal in this subsection is to construct the map $\tau_{/b}: H_{/b}^1(N)^G \rightarrow H_{/b}^2(G)$. Here we only present the proofs in the case where the coefficient module V is the trivial module \mathbb{R} . When $V \neq \mathbb{R}$, the proofs remain to work without any essential change (see Remarks 6.5, 6.8, and 6.14).

First, we define the map $\tau_{/b}: H_{/b}^1(N)^G \rightarrow H_{/b}^2(G)$. Let $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be a group extension. It is known that the map $h: \mathcal{Q}(N) \rightarrow H_{/b}^1(N)$ defined by $h(f) = [[\delta f]]$ is an isomorphism. Thus we identify the first relative cohomology $H_{/b}^1(N)$ with the space to $\mathcal{Q}(N)$. Moreover, this isomorphism induces one between $H_{/b}^1(N)^G$ and $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G) = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G; \mathbb{R})$ be the \mathbb{R} -vector space of all N -quasimorphisms whose restrictions to N are homogeneous quasimorphisms on N , that is,

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G) = \{F: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid F \text{ is an } N\text{-quasimorphism such that } F|_N \in \mathcal{Q}(N)^G\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G).$$

By definition, the restriction of the domain defines a map

$$i^*: \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(N)^G.$$

Remark 6.8. In the case that the G -action on V is non-trivial, we need to replace the space $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G)$ by

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N^1(G; V) = \{F: G \rightarrow V \mid F \text{ is an } N\text{-quasi-cocycle such that } F|_N \in \mathcal{Q}(N; V)^G\}.$$

Lemma 6.9. *The map $i^*: \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(N)^G$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ be a section of p satisfying $s(1_\Gamma) = 1_G$. For $f \in \mathcal{Q}(N)^G$, define a map $F_{f,s}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_{f,s}(g) = f(g \cdot sp(g)^{-1})$$

for $g \in G$. Then the equality $F_{f,s}|_N = f$ holds since $sp(n) = 1_G$ for every $n \in N$. Moreover, the map $F_{f,s}$ is an N -quasimorphism. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{f,s}(ng) &= f(ng \cdot sp(ng)^{-1}) = f(ng \cdot sp(g)^{-1}) \\ &\stackrel{\approx}{\underset{D(f)}{}} f(n) + f(g \cdot sp(g)^{-1}) = F_{f,s}(n) + F_{f,s}(g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{f,s}(gn) &= F_{f,s}(gng^{-1}g) \stackrel{\approx}{\underset{D(f)}{}} F_{f,s}(gng^{-1}) + F_{f,s}(g) \\ &= f(gng^{-1}) + F_{f,s}(g) = f(n) + F_{f,s}(g) = F_{f,s}(n) + F_{f,s}(g) \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of quasimorphisms and the G -invariance of f . This means $i^*(F_{f,s}) = f$, and hence the map i^* is surjective. \square

Lemma 6.10. *For $F \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G)$ and for $g_i, g'_i \in G$ satisfying $p(g_i) = p(g'_i) \in \Gamma$, the following condition holds:*

$$\delta F(g_1, g_2) \underset{4D''(F)}{\approx} \delta F(g'_1, g'_2).$$

Proof. By the assumption, there exist $n_1, n_2 \in N$ satisfying $g'_1 = n_1 g_1$ and $g'_2 = g_2 n_2$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F(g'_1, g'_2) &= F(g_2 n_2) - F(n_1 g_1 g_2 n_2) + F(n_1 g_1) \\ &\underset{4D''(F)}{\approx} F(g_2) + F(n_2) - (F(n_1) + F(g_1 g_2) + F(n_2)) + F(n_1) + F(g_1) \\ &= \delta F(g_1, g_2). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For $F \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G)$ and a section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ of p , we set $\alpha_{F,s} = s^* \delta F \in C^2(\Gamma)$. By Lemma 6.10, the element $[\alpha_{F,s}] \in C^2_{/b}(\Gamma) = C^2(\Gamma)/C^2_b(\Gamma)$ is independent of the choice of the section s . Therefore we set $\alpha_F = [\alpha_{F,s}] \in C^2_{/b}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 6.11. *The cochain α_F is a cocycle on $C^\bullet_{/b}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the coboundary $\delta \alpha_{F,s}$ belongs to $C^3_b(\Gamma)$. For $f, g, h \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \alpha_{F,s}(f, g, h) &= \delta F(s(g), s(h)) - \delta F(s(fg), s(h)) + \delta F(s(f), s(gh)) - \delta F(s(f), s(g)) \\ &\underset{8D''(F)}{\approx} \delta F(s(g), s(h)) - \delta F(s(f)s(g), s(h)) \\ &\quad + \delta F(s(f), s(g)s(h)) - \delta F(s(f), s(g)) \\ &= \delta(\delta F)(s(f), s(g), s(h)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.10. □

By Lemmas 6.9 and 6.11, we obtain a map

$$(6.2) \quad \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G) \rightarrow H^2_{/b}(\Gamma); F \mapsto [\alpha_F].$$

Lemma 6.12. *The cohomology class $[\alpha_F] \in H^2_{/b}(\Gamma)$ depends only on the restriction $F|_N$.*

Proof. Let $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ be a section of p and Φ an element of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_N(G)$ satisfying $\Phi|_N = F|_N$. Then, for every $g, h \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{F,s} - \alpha_{\Phi,s})(g, h) &= \delta F(s(g), s(h)) - \delta \Phi(s(g), s(h)) \\ &= F(s(h)) - F(s(g)s(h)) + F(s(g)) \\ &\quad - (\Phi(s(h)) - \Phi(s(g)s(h)) + \Phi(s(g))) \\ &= \delta(F \circ s)(g, h) - \delta(\Phi \circ s)(g, h) \\ &\quad + F(s(gh)) - F(s(g)s(h)) - (\Phi(s(gh)) - \Phi(s(g)s(h))). \end{aligned}$$

Since F and Φ are N -quasimorphisms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(s(gh)) - F(s(g)s(h)) &\underset{D''(F)}{\approx} F(s(gh)s(h)^{-1}s(g)^{-1}), \\ \Phi(s(gh)) - \Phi(s(g)s(h)) &\underset{D''(\Phi)}{\approx} \Phi(s(gh)s(h)^{-1}s(g)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Together with the equality $F(s(gh)s(h)^{-1}s(g)^{-1}) = \Phi(s(gh)s(h)^{-1}s(g)^{-1})$, we have

$$\alpha_{F,s} - \alpha_{\Phi,s} \underset{D''(F)+D''(\Phi)}{\approx} \delta(F \circ s - \Phi \circ s),$$

and this implies $[\alpha_F] = [\alpha_\Phi] \in \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$. \square

By Lemma 6.12, the map defined in (6.2) descends to a map $\tau_{/b}: \mathbb{Q}(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$, that is, the map $\tau_{/b}$ is defined by

$$\tau_{/b}(f) = [\alpha_F],$$

where F is an element of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)$ satisfying $F|_N = f$. Under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G \cong \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N)^G$, we obtain the map

$$\tau_{/b}: \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma).$$

6.3. Proof of the exactness. Now we proceed to the proof of the exactness of the sequence

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathbb{Q}(N)^G \xrightarrow{\tau_{/b}} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(G),$$

where we identify $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G$ with $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(N)^G$.

Proposition 6.13. *Sequence (6.3) is exact at $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G)$.*

Remark 6.14. In the case of the trivial real coefficients, this proposition is well known (see [Cal09]). Indeed, the spaces $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(G)$, respectively, and the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G$$

follows from the homogeneity of the elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma)$. However, in general, the spaces $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma; V)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G; V)$ are not isomorphic to the space of V -valued homogeneous quasimorphisms $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma; V)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(G; V)$, respectively. Therefore, we present proof of Proposition 6.13 that is applicable to the case of non-trivial coefficients.

Proof of Proposition 6.13. We first show the exactness at $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma)$. Let $a \in \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma)$ and suppose $p^*a = 0$. Let $f \in C^1(\Gamma)$ be a representative of a . Since $p^*a = 0$ in $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G)$, there exists $c \in \mathbb{R} \cong C^0(\Gamma)$ such that $p^*f - \delta c = p^*f$ is bounded. Since p is surjective, we see that f is bounded, and hence $a = 0$. This means the exactness at $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(\Gamma)$.

Next we prove the exactness of $\mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G)$. Since the map $p \circ i$ is zero, the composite $i^* \circ p^*$ is also zero. For $a \in \mathbb{H}_{/b}^1(G)$ satisfying $i^*a = 0$, it follows from Lemma 6.6 that there exists a representative $f \in C^1(G)$ of a satisfying $f|_N = 0$. For a section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ of p , set

$f_s = s^*f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then f_s is a quasimorphism on Γ . Indeed, since f is a quasimorphism on G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(g_1g_2) &= f(s(g_1g_2)) = f(s(g_1g_2)s(g_2)^{-1}s(g_1)^{-1}s(g_1)s(g_2)) \\ &\underset{D(f)}{\approx} f(s(g_1g_2)s(g_2)^{-1}s(g_1)^{-1}) + f(s(g_1)s(g_2)) = f(s(g_1)s(g_2)) \\ &\underset{D(f)}{\approx} f(s(g_2)) + f(s(g_1)) = f_s(g_2) + f_s(g_1) \end{aligned}$$

by the triviality $f|_N = 0$. Hence the cochain f_s is a cocycle on $C_{/b}^\bullet(\Gamma)$, and let $a_s \in H_{/b}^1(\Gamma)$ denote the relative cohomology class represented by f_s . For $g \in G$, we have

$$p^*f_s(g) = f(sp(g)) = f(sp(g)g^{-1}g) \underset{D(f)}{\approx} f(sp(g)g^{-1}) + f(g) = f(g).$$

Therefore, the cochain p^*f_s is equal to f as relative cochains on G , and this implies that the equality $p^*a_s = a$ holds. \square

Proposition 6.15. *Sequence (6.3) is exact at $Q(N)^G$.*

Proof. Note that representatives of first relative cohomology classes of G are quasimorphisms, and that quasimorphisms on G are N -quasimorphisms. For every $a \in H_{/b}^1(G)$, there exists a representative $F \in C^1(G)$ of a such that the restriction $F|_N$ is a homogeneous quasimorphism on N by Lemma 6.6. By the definition of the map $\tau_{/b}: Q(N)^G \rightarrow H_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$, we have

$$\tau_{/b}(i^*(a)) = \tau_{/b}(F|_N) = [\alpha_F].$$

Since the cochain F is a quasimorphism, the cocycle $\alpha_F \in C_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$ is equal to zero. Therefore we have $\tau_{/b}(i^*(a)) = [\alpha_F] = 0$.

Suppose that $f \in Q(N)^G$ satisfies $\tau_{/b}(f) = 0$. By Lemma 6.9, we obtain $F \in \overline{Q}_N(G)$ satisfying $F|_N = f$. Let $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ be a section of p . The triviality of $[\alpha_F] = \tau_{/b}(f) = 0$ implies that there exist $\beta \in C^1(\Gamma)$ and $b \in C_b^2(\Gamma)$ satisfying

$$\alpha_{F,s} - \delta\beta = b.$$

For $g_i \in G$, we have

$$\delta F(g_1, g_2) \underset{4D''(F)}{\approx} \delta F(sp(g_1), sp(g_2)) = \alpha_{F,s}(p(g_1), p(g_2))$$

by Lemma 6.10. Hence we have

$$\delta(F - p^*\beta)(g_1, g_2) \underset{4D''(F)}{\approx} (\alpha_{F,s} - \delta\beta)(p(g_1), p(g_2)) = p^*b(g_1, g_2).$$

Since the cochain b is bounded, the cochain is a cocycle $F - p^*\beta$ as of $C_{/b}^1(G)$. Moreover, since $F|_N = f$, the restriction $(F - p^*\beta + \beta(1_\Gamma))|_N$ is equal to f . Therefore we have $i^*([F - p^*\beta + \beta(1_\Gamma)]) = f$, and this implies the exactness. \square

Proposition 6.16. *Sequence (6.3) is exact at $H_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. For $f \in \mathbb{Q}(N)^G$, we have $F \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)$ satisfying $F|_N = f$ by Lemma 6.9. Then a representative of $p^*(\tau|_b(f)) \in H^2_b(G)$ is given by $p^*\alpha_{F,s} \in C^2(G)$ for some section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ of p . For $g_i \in G$, we have

$$p^*\alpha_{F,s}(g_1, g_2) = s^*\delta F(p(g_1), p(g_2)) = \delta F(sp(g_1), sp(g_2)) \underset{4D''(F)}{\approx} \delta F(g_1, g_2)$$

by Lemma 6.10. This implies $p^*(\tau|_b(f)) = 0$.

For $a \in H^2_b(\Gamma)$ satisfying $p^*a = 0$, let $\alpha \in C^2(\Gamma)$ be a representative of a . We can assume that the cochain satisfies

$$(6.4) \quad \alpha(1_\Gamma, 1_\Gamma) = 0.$$

Indeed, if $\alpha(1_\Gamma, 1_\Gamma) = c \in \mathbb{R}$, then the cochain $\alpha - c$ satisfies (6.4) and is also a representative of a since the constant function c is bounded. Note that the cocycle condition of $C^2_b(\Gamma)$ implies that there exists a non-negative constant D such that the condition

$$\delta\alpha \underset{D}{\approx} 0$$

holds. Hence, for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, we have

$$0 \underset{D}{\approx} \delta\alpha(\gamma_1, 1_\Gamma, \gamma_2) = \alpha(1_\Gamma, \gamma_2) - \alpha(\gamma_1, 1_\Gamma).$$

In particular, we have

$$(6.5) \quad \alpha(1_\Gamma, \gamma) \underset{D}{\approx} \alpha(1_\Gamma, 1_\Gamma) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\gamma, 1_\Gamma) \underset{D}{\approx} \alpha(1_\Gamma, 1_\Gamma) = 0$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The equality $p^*a = 0$ implies that there exists $\beta \in C^1(G)$ and non-negative constant D' satisfying

$$(6.6) \quad p^*\alpha - \delta\beta \underset{D'}{\approx} 0.$$

Define a cochain $\zeta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(6.7) \quad \zeta(g) = \beta(g) - \alpha(p(g), 1_\Gamma),$$

then it is an N -quasimorphism. Indeed, by using $p(n) = 1_\Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\zeta(n, g) &= \delta\beta(n, g) - (\alpha(p(g), 1_\Gamma) - \alpha(p(g), 1_\Gamma) + \alpha(1_\Gamma, 1_\Gamma)) \\ &\underset{D}{\approx} (\delta\beta - p^*\alpha)(g, n) \underset{D'}{\approx} 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\zeta(g, n) &= \delta\beta(g, n) - (\alpha(1_\Gamma, 1_\Gamma) - \alpha(p(g), 1_\Gamma) + \alpha(p(g), 1_\Gamma)) \\ &\underset{D}{\approx} (\delta\beta - p^*\alpha)(g, n) \underset{D'}{\approx} 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (6.5) and (6.6). By Lemma 6.6, there exists a bounded cochain $b \in C^1_b(G)$ such that the restriction $(\zeta + b)|_N$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(N)^\Gamma$. Set $\Phi = \zeta + b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)$, then a representative of $\tau|_b(\Phi|_N)$ is given by $\alpha_{\Phi,s}$ for some section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ of p . For $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{\Phi,s} - \alpha)(g_1, g_2) &= (\delta\Phi - p^*\alpha)(s(g_1), s(g_2)) \\ &\underset{D'}{\approx} (\delta\Phi - \delta\beta)(s(g_1), s(g_2)) \end{aligned}$$

by (6.6). By (6.7), we have

$$(\Phi - \beta)(g) = (\zeta + b - \beta)(g) = b(g) - \alpha(p(g), 1_\Gamma).$$

Together with (6.5) and the boundedness of b , the cochain $\Phi - \beta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Hence the cochain $\alpha_{\Phi, s} - \alpha$ is also bounded, and this implies the equality $a = [\alpha_\Phi] = \tau_{/b}(\Phi|_N)$. Therefore the proposition follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The exactness is obtained from Propositions 6.13, 6.15, and 6.16. The commutativities of the first, second, and fourth squares are obtained from the cochain level calculations. The commutativity of the third square follows from the definition of the map $\tau_{/b}$ and Proposition 6.17 below. \square

Proposition 6.17 ([NSW08, Proposition 1.6.6]). *Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence and V an Γ -module. For a G -invariant homomorphism $f \in H^1(N; V)^G$, there exists a map $F: G \rightarrow V$ such that the restriction $F|_N$ is equal to f and the coboundary δF descends to a group two cocycle $\alpha_F \in C^2(\Gamma; V)$, that is, the equality $p^*\alpha_F = \delta F$ holds. Then the map $\tau: H^1(N; V)^G \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma; V)$ in the five-term exact sequence of group cohomology is obtained by $\tau(f) = [\alpha_F]$.*

We conclude this section by the following applications of Theorem 1.5 to the extendability of G -invariant homomorphisms.

Proposition 6.18. *Let $\Gamma = G/N$. Assume that $H_b^2(\Gamma) = 0$ and $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a G -invariant homomorphism on N . If f is extended to a quasimorphism on G , then f is extended to a homomorphism on G .*

Proof. Note that the assumption $H_b^2(\Gamma) = 0$ implies that the map $H^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_b^2(\Gamma)$ is injective. By the diagram chasing on (1.3), the proposition holds. \square

This proposition immediately implies the following corollary:

Corollary 6.19. *Let $\Gamma = G/N$. Assume that $H_b^2(\Gamma) = 0$ and N is a subgroup of $[G, G]$. Then every non-zero G -invariant homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be extended to G as a quasimorphism. Namely, $H^1(N)^G \cap i^*Q(G) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that a homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be extended to G as a quasimorphism. Then Proposition 6.18 implies that there exists a homomorphism $f': G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f'|_N = f$. Since f' vanishes on $[G, G]$, we have $f = f'|_N = 0$. \square

In general, there exists a G -invariant homomorphism which is extendable to G as a quasimorphism such that it is *not* extendable to G as a (genuine) homomorphism. To see this, let $G = \widetilde{\text{Homeo}}_+(S^1)$ and $N = \pi_1(\text{Homeo}_+(S^1))$. Then, Poincaré's rotation number $\rho: \widetilde{\text{Homeo}}_+(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an extension of the homomorphism $\pi_1(\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. However, this homomorphism $\pi_1(\text{Homeo}(S^1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be extendable to $\widetilde{\text{Homeo}}_+(S^1)$ as a homomorphism since $\widetilde{\text{Homeo}}_+(S^1)$ is perfect.

7. PROOF OF EQUIVALENCES OF scl_G AND $\text{scl}_{G,N}$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2.1. The main tool in this section is the Bavard duality theorem for $\text{scl}_{G,N}$, which are proved by the first, second, fourth, and fifth authors:

Theorem 7.1 (Bavard duality theorem for stable mixed commutator lengths, [KKMM20]). *Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G . Then, for every $x \in [G, N]$, the following equality holds:*

$$\text{scl}_{G,N}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{Q}(N)^G - \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G} \frac{|f(x)|}{D(f)}.$$

Here we set that the supremum in the right-hand side of the above equality to be zero if $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G$.

This theorem yields the following criterion to show the equivalence of $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ and scl_G :

Proposition 7.2. *Let C be a real number such that for every $f \in \mathcal{Q}(N)^G$ there exists $f' \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$ satisfying $f'|_N - f \in \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G$ and $D(f') \leq C \cdot D(f)$. Then for every $x \in [G, N]$,*

$$\text{scl}_G(x) \leq \text{scl}_{G,N}(x) \leq C \cdot \text{scl}_G(x).$$

The existence of such C as in the assumption of Proposition 7.2 is equivalent to saying that $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathcal{Q}(G)$. See Subsection 7.1 for details.

Proof. Let $x \in [G, N]$. It is clear that $\text{scl}_G(x) \leq \text{scl}_{G,N}(x)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then Theorem 7.1 implies that there exists $f \in \mathcal{Q}(N)^G$ such that

$$\text{scl}_{G,N}(x) - \varepsilon \leq \frac{f(x)}{2D(f)}.$$

By assumption, there exists $f' \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$ such that $f'' = f'|_N - f \in \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G$ and $D(f') \leq C \cdot D(f)$. Since f'' is a G -invariant homomorphism and $x \in [G, N]$, we have $f''(x) = 0$, and hence $f'(x) = f(x)$. Hence we have

$$\text{scl}_{G,N}(x) - \varepsilon \leq \frac{f(x)}{2D(f)} \leq C \cdot \frac{f'(x)}{2D(f')} \leq C \cdot \text{scl}_G(x).$$

Here we use Theorem 7.1 to prove the last inequality. Since ε is an arbitrary number, we complete the proof. \square

In the proofs of (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.1, we use the following corollary of Proposition 7.2:

Corollary 7.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathcal{Q}(G)$, and that there exists $C \geq 1$ such that $f \in \mathcal{Q}(N)^G$ implies that $D(f) \leq C \cdot D(f|_N)$. Then for every $x \in [G, N]$,*

$$\text{scl}_G(x) \leq \text{scl}_{G,N}(x) \leq C \cdot \text{scl}_G(x).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{Q}(N)^G$. Then, by the assumption that $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathcal{Q}(G)$, there exists $f' \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$ such that $f'|_N - f$ is a G -invariant quasimorphism. Note that $D(f'|_N) = D(f)$. Indeed, for every $a, b \in N$, we have

$$f(ab) - f(a) - f(b) = f'(ab) - f'(a) - f'(b)$$

since $f'|_N - f$ is a homomorphism. Hence we have $C \cdot D(f) = C \cdot D(f'|_N) \geq D(f')$. Hence Proposition 7.2 implies that

$$\text{scl}_G(x) \leq \text{scl}_{G,N}(x) \leq C \cdot \text{scl}_G(x)$$

for every $x \in [G, N]$. □

7.1. Proof of (1) of Theorem 2.1. The main difficulty in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is to prove Theorem 7.4 mentioned below. Note that the defect D defines a seminorm on $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G$, and its kernel is $\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$.

Theorem 7.4. *The normed space $(\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G, D)$ is a Banach space.*

To show this theorem, we recall some concepts introduced in [KKMM20]. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G) = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G; \mathbb{R})$ denote the \mathbb{R} -vector space of N -quasimorphisms (see Definition 6.3). We call $f \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)$ an N -homomorphism if $D''(f) = 0$, and let $\mathbb{H}_N^1(G)$ denote the space of N -homomorphisms on G . It is clear that the defect D'' is a seminorm on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)$, and in fact, the norm $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)/\mathbb{H}_N^1(G)$ is complete:

Proposition 7.5 ([KKMM20, Corollary 3.6]). *The normed space $(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)/\mathbb{H}_N^1(G), D'')$ is a Banach space.*

A quasimorphism $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be G -quasi-invariant if the number

$$D'(f) = \sup_{g \in G} |f(gxg^{-1}) - f(x)|$$

is finite. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}$ denote the space of G -quasi-invariant quasimorphisms on N . The function $D + D'$, which assigns $D(f) + D'(f)$ to $f \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}$ defines a seminorm on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}$. For an N -quasimorphism f on G , the restriction $f|_N$ is a G -quasi-invariant quasimorphism (Lemma 2.3 of [KKMM20]). Conversely, for every G -quasi-invariant quasimorphism f on N , there exists an N -quasimorphism $f': G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f'|_N = f$ (Proposition 2.4 of [KKMM20]).

Lemma 7.6. *The normed space $(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}/\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G, D + D')$ is a Banach space.*

Proof. In what follows, we will define bounded operators

$$A: \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)/\mathbb{H}_N^1(G) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}/\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G,$$

$$B: \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}/\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)/\mathbb{H}_N^1(G)$$

such that $A \circ B$ is the identity of $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}/\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$. First, we define A by the restriction, i.e., $A(f) = f|_N$. Clearly, the operator norm of A is at most 3.

Let S be a subset of G such that $1_G \in S$ and the map

$$S \times N \rightarrow G, (s, x) \mapsto sx$$

is bijective. For an $f \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}$, define a function $B(f): G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $B(f)(sx) = f(x)$ for $s \in S$ and $x \in N$. Then $B(f)$ is an N -quasimorphism on G satisfying $D''(B(f)) \leq D(f) + D'(f)$. Hence the map B induces a bounded operator $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}/\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_N(G)/\mathbb{H}_N^1(G)$ whose operator norm is at most 1, and we conclude that $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{\text{QG}}/\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G$ is isomorphic

to $B(\widehat{Q}(N)^{\mathbb{Q}G}/H^1(N)^G)$. Proposition 7.5 implies that $\widehat{Q}_N(G)/H_N^1(G)$ is a Banach space. Therefore it suffices to see that $B(\widehat{Q}(N)^{\mathbb{Q}G}/H^1(N)^G)$ is a closed subset of $\widehat{Q}_N(G)/H_N^1(G)$, but this is deduced from the following well-known fact (Lemma 7.7). \square

Lemma 7.7. *Let X be a topological subspace of a Hausdorff space Y . If X is a retract of Y , then X is a closed subset of Y .*

Proof. Let $r: Y \rightarrow X$ be a retraction of the inclusion map $i: X \rightarrow Y$. Since $X = \{y \in Y \mid i \circ r(y) = y\}$ and Y is a Hausdorff space, we conclude that X is a closed subset of Y . \square

Proof of Theorem 7.4. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in N$, define a function $\alpha_{n,x}: \widehat{Q}(N)^{\mathbb{Q}G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\alpha_{n,x}(f) = f(x^n) - n \cdot f(x).$$

Since $|\alpha_{n,x}(f)| \leq (n-1)D(f)$, we conclude that $\alpha_{n,x}$ is bounded with respect to the norm $D + D'$, and hence $\alpha_{n,x}$ induces a bounded operator $\bar{\alpha}_{n,x}: \widehat{Q}(N)^{\mathbb{Q}G}/H^1(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$Q(N)^G/H^1(N)^G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in N} \text{Ker}(\bar{\alpha}_{n,x}),$$

the space $Q(N)^G/H^1(N)^G$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space $\widehat{Q}(N)^{\mathbb{Q}G}/H^1(N)^G$ (see Lemma 7.6). Since $D' = 0$ on $Q(N)^G$ (Lemma 2.1 of [KKMM20]), we conclude that the normed space $(Q(N)^G/H^1(N)^G, D)$ is a Banach space. \square

Proof of (1) of Theorem 2.1. It is clear that $\text{scl}_G(x) \leq \text{scl}_{G,N}(x)$ for every $x \in [G, N]$. Hence it suffices to show that there exists $C > 1$ such that for every $x \in [G, N]$, the inequality $\text{scl}_{G,N}(x) \leq C \cdot \text{scl}_G(x)$ holds.

It follows from Theorem 7.4 that $(Q(G)/H^1(G), D)$ and $(Q(N)^G/H^1(N)^G, D)$ are Banach spaces. Let $T: Q(G)/H^1(G) \rightarrow Q(N)^G/H^1(N)^G$ be the bounded operator induced by the restriction $Q(G) \rightarrow Q(N)^G$. Let X be the kernel of T . Then T induces a bounded operator

$$\bar{T}: (Q(G)/H^1(G))/X \rightarrow Q(N)^G/H^1(N)^G.$$

The assumption $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$ implies that the map T is surjective, and hence we see that \bar{T} is a bijective bounded operator. By the open mapping theorem, we conclude that the inverse $S = \bar{T}^{-1}$ is a bounded operator, and set $C = \|S\| + 1$, where $\|S\|$ denotes the operator norm of S . Then for every $[f] \in Q(N)^G/H^1(N)^G$, there exists $f' \in Q(G)$ such that $D(f') \leq C \cdot D(f)$ and $f'|_N - f \in H^1(N)^G$. Hence Proposition 7.2 implies that

$$\text{scl}_G \leq \text{scl}_{G,N} \leq C \cdot \text{scl}_G$$

on $[G, N]$. This completes the proof of (1) of Theorem 2.1. \square

7.2. Proof of (2) of Theorem 2.1. In this subsection, we prove (2) of Theorem 2.1.

Here we recall the definition of the seminorm on $H_b^n(G)$. The space $C_b^n(G; \mathbb{R})$ of bounded n -cochains on G is a Banach space with respect to the ∞ -norm

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = \sup\{|\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in G\}.$$

Since $H_b^n(G) = H_b^n(G; \mathbb{R})$ is a subquotient of $C_b^n(G; \mathbb{R})$, it has a seminorm induced by the norm on $C_b^n(G; \mathbb{R})$. Namely, for $\alpha \in H_b^n(G)$ the seminorm $\|\alpha\|$ on $H_b^n(G)$ is defined by

$$\|\alpha\| = \inf\{\|\varphi\|_\infty \mid \varphi \text{ is a bounded } n\text{-cocycle on } G \text{ satisfying } [\varphi] = \alpha\}.$$

Theorem 7.8 (See Theorem 2.47 of [Cal09]). *If $\Gamma = G/N$ is amenable, then the map $H_b^n(G) \rightarrow H_b^n(N)^G$ is an isometric isomorphism for every n .*

We recall the following estimate of the defect of the homogenization:

Lemma 7.9 (Lemma 2.58 of [Cal09]). *Let $\delta: Q(G) \rightarrow H_b^2(G)$ be the natural map. Then*

$$\|\delta f\| \leq D(f) \leq 2 \cdot \|\delta f\|.$$

Proof of (2) of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $\Gamma = G/N$ is amenable and $Q(N)^G = H^1(N)^G + i^*Q(G)$. Let $\varphi \in Q(G)$. By Corollary 7.3, it suffices to show that $2D(\varphi|_N) \geq D(\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in Q(G)$. This is deduced from the following inequalities:

$$2D(\varphi|_N) \geq 2\|\delta\varphi|_N\| \geq 2\|\delta\varphi\| \geq D(\varphi).$$

Here the third and last inequalities are deduced from Theorem 7.8 and Lemma 7.9, respectively. \square

7.3. Proof of (3) of Theorem 2.1. Next, we prove (3) of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an extendable homogeneous quasimorphism on N . Then for each $a, b \in G$ satisfying $[a, b] \in N$, we have*

$$|f([a, b])| \leq D(f).$$

Proof. We first prove the following equality:

$$(7.1) \quad [a^n, b] = a^{n-1}[a, b]a^{-(n-1)} \cdot a^{(n-2)}[a, b]a^{-(n-2)} \cdots [a, b].$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a^n, b] &= a^n b a^{-n} b^{-1} \\ &= a^{n-1} \cdot a b a^{-1} b^{-1} \cdot a^{-(n-1)} \cdot a^{n-1} b a^{-(n-1)} b^{-1} \\ &= a^{n-1} [a, b] a^{-(n-1)} \cdot [a^{n-1}, b]. \end{aligned}$$

By induction on n , we have proved (7.1). Since f is G -invariant, we have

$$f([a^n, b]) \underset{(n-1)D(f)}{\approx} f(a^{n-1}[a, b]a^{-(n-1)}) + \cdots + f([a, b]) = n \cdot f([a, b]).$$

Therefore we have

$$|f([a^n, b])| \geq n \cdot (|f([a, b])| - D(f)).$$

Suppose that $|f([a, b])| > D(f)$. Then the right of the above inequality can be unbounded with respect to n . However, since f is extendable, the left of the above inequality is bounded. This is a contradiction. \square

In Corollary 6.19, we provide a condition that a G -invariant homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be extended to G as a quasimorphism. Here we present another condition.

Corollary 7.11. *Let $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a G -invariant homomorphism and assume that N is generated by single commutators of G . If f is non-zero, then f is not extendable.*

Proof. If f is extendable, then Lemma 7.10 implies that $f(c) = 0$ for every single commutator c of G contained in N . Since N is generated by single commutators of G , this means that $f = 0$. \square

Lemma 7.12. *Let f be a homogeneous quasimorphism on G , and assume that $\Gamma = G/N$ is solvable. Then $D(f) = D(f|_N)$.*

Proof. We first assume that Γ is abelian. It is known that the equality $D(f) = \sup_{a,b \in G} |f([a,b])|$ holds (see Lemma 2.24 of [Cal09]). Applying Lemma 7.10 to $f|_N$, we have

$$D(f) = \sup_{a,b \in G} |f([a,b])| \leq D(f|_N) \leq D(f),$$

and in particular, $D(f) = D(f|_N)$.

Next we consider the general case. Let $G^{(n)}$ denote the n -th derived subgroup of G . Then there exists a positive integer n such that $G^{(n)} \subset N$ since Γ is solvable. By the previous paragraph, we have

$$D(f) = D(f|_{G^{(1)}}) = \cdots = D(f|_{G^{(n)}}) \leq D(f|_N) \leq D(f). \quad \square$$

Proof of (3) of Theorem 2.1. Combining Lemma 7.12 and Corollary 7.3. \square

Here we provide some applications of (3) of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 7.13. *If one of the following conditions holds, then $\text{scl}_G = \text{scl}_{G,N}$ on $[G, N]$. Here, $\Gamma = G/N$.*

- (1) Γ is finite solvable group.
- (2) Γ is finitely generated abelian group whose rank is at most 1.

Proof. This clearly follows from Proposition 3.4 and (3) of Theorem 2.1. \square

In Subsection 9.2, we propose several problems on the coincidence and equivalence of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$.

7.4. Examples with non-equivalent scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$. At the end of this section, we provide some examples of group pairs (G, N) for which scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ fail to be bi-Lipschitzly equivalent on $[G, N]$.

Example 7.14. Let l be an integer at least 2, and Ω be an area form of Σ_l . In this case, the flux group Γ_Ω is known to be trivial; thus we have the volume flux homomorphism $\text{Flux}_\Omega: \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, \Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma_l)$. In [KK19], the authors proved that for the pair

$$(G, N) = (\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_l, \Omega), \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega)),$$

scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are *not* bi-Lipschitzly equivalent on $[G, N]$. More precisely, we found an element γ in $[G, N]$ such that

$$\text{scl}_G(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \text{scl}_{G,N}(\gamma) > 0.$$

Example 7.15. We can provide the following example, which is related to Example 7.14 with smaller G , from results in [KKMM21]. We stick to the setting of Example 7.14. Take an arbitrary pair (v, w) with $v, w \in H^1(\Sigma_l)$ that satisfies

$$(7.2) \quad v \smile w \neq 0.$$

Here, recall from Theorem 1.3 that $\smile: H^1(\Sigma_l) \times H^1(\Sigma_l) \rightarrow H^2(\Sigma_l) \cong \mathbb{R}$ denotes the cup product. Then, from results in [KKMM21] we can deduce the following: there exists a

positive integer k_0 depending only on w and the area of Σ_l such that for every $k \geq k_0$, if we set

$$\Lambda_k = \langle v, w/k \rangle$$

and

$$(G, N) = (\text{Flux}_\Omega^{-1}(\Lambda_k), \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega)),$$

then scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are *not* bi-Lipschitzly equivalent on $[G, N]$. To see this, by following [KKMM21, Section 4], we construct a sequence $(\gamma_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $[G, N]$. Then, Proposition 4.6 in [KKMM21], together with Bavard's duality theorem, implies that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \text{scl}_G(\gamma_m) \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Contrastingly, (3) of Proposition 4.7 in [KKMM21], together with Theorem 7.1, implies that

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{scl}_{G,N}(\gamma_m)}{m} \geq \frac{1}{2k \cdot D(f_P)} |\mathfrak{b}_I(v, w)| > 0.$$

Here $\mathfrak{b}_I(v, w) = \langle v \smile w, [\Sigma_l] \rangle_{\Sigma_l} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the intersection number of v and w , where $[\Sigma_l]$ is the fundamental class of Σ_l and $\langle -, - \rangle_{\Sigma_l} : H^2(\Sigma_l) \times H_2(\Sigma_l) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the Kronecker pairing of Σ_l . The map $f_P : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Py's Calabi quasimorphism (recall Section 5; see also [KKMM21, Subsections 2.4 and 2.5]). We also note that v, w and f_P here correspond to \bar{v}, \bar{w} and μ_P in [KKMM21], respectively.

8. $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ AND IA_n

8.1. Proof of Theorem 2.3. An *IA-automorphism* of a group G is an automorphism f on G which acts as identity on the abelianization $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})$ of G . We write IA_n to indicate the group of IA-automorphisms on F_n . Then we have exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow \text{IA}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \rightarrow \text{IA}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}_+(F_n) \rightarrow \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Theorem 2.3 (1) claims that the equalities $\mathbb{Q}(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{Aut}(F_n)} = i^* \mathbb{Q}(\text{Aut}(F_n))$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{Aut}_+(F_n)} = i^* \mathbb{Q}(\text{Aut}_+(F_n))$ hold. To show it, we use the following facts, which can be derived from the computation of the second integral homology $H_2(\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 8.1 (See [Mil71]). *For $n \geq 3$, $H^2(\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})) = 0$ and $H^2(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})) = 0$.*

It is known that the following holds, which is obtained from [Mon10, Corollary 1.4] and [Mon04, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 8.2. *Let n be an integer at least 3 and Γ_0 a subgroup of finite index of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $H_b^3(\Gamma_0) = 0$.*

Remark 8.3. In [Mon10], Monod used H_b^\bullet to mean the continuous bounded cohomology H_{cb}^\bullet .

The following theorem is known, which is a special case of [Mon01, Proposition 8.6.2].

Theorem 8.4. *Let N be a subgroup of finite index in G and V a Banach G -module, then the restriction $H_b^n(G; V) \rightarrow H_b^n(N; V)$ is injective for every $n \geq 0$.*

Now we proceed to the proof of (1) of Theorem 2.3. First, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 8.5. *Let n be an integer at least 3, and Γ_0 a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $H_b^3(\Gamma_0) = 0$.*

Proof. Since the intersection $\Gamma_0 \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, we have $H_b^3(\Gamma_0 \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})) = 0$ by Theorem 8.2. Since $\Gamma_0 \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup of finite index of Γ_0 , we obtain $H_b^3(\Gamma_0) = 0$ by Theorem 8.4. \square

Proof of (1) of Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $n = 2$. Then $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ have a subgroup of finite index which is isomorphic to a free group. Therefore this case is proved by Proposition 3.4. In what follows, we treat the case where n is greater than 2. Let Γ be either $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. By Theorem 8.1, Lemma 8.5, and the cohomology long exact sequence, we have $H_b^2(\Gamma) = 0$. Hence Theorem 1.5 implies that $Q(\mathrm{IA}_n)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)}/i^*Q(\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)) = 0$ and $Q(\mathrm{IA}_n)^{\mathrm{Aut}_+(F_n)}/i^*Q(\mathrm{Aut}_+(F_n)) = 0$. \square

Next, we prove (2) of Theorem 2.3. In the proof, we use the following theorem, due to Borel [Bor74], [Bor80], [Bor81] and Hain [Hai97] (and Tshishiku [Tsh19]).

Theorem 8.6. *The following hold:*

- (1) *For every $n \geq 6$ and for every subgroup Γ_0 of finite index of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, $H^2(\Gamma_0) = 0$.*
- (2) *For every $l \geq 3$ and for every subgroup Γ_0 of finite index of $\mathrm{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$, the inclusion map $\Gamma_0 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$ induces an isomorphism of cohomology $H^2(\mathrm{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})) \cong H^2(\Gamma_0)$. In particular, the cohomology $H^2(\Gamma_0)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .*

For the convenience of the reader, we describe the deduction of Theorem 8.6 from the work of Borel, Hain and Tshishiku.

Proof. First, we discuss (2). It is stated in Theorem 3.2 of [Hai97]; see [Tsh19] for the complete proof.

Next, we treat (1). Let $\Lambda = \Gamma_0 \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then Λ is a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. An argument using the transfer (similar to one in the proof of Theorem 8.4) shows that the restriction $H^2(\Gamma_0) \rightarrow H^2(\Lambda)$ is injective. Hence, to prove (1), it suffices to show that $H^2(\Lambda) = 0$. In what follows, we sketch the deduction of $H^2(\Lambda) = 0$ from the work of Borel; see also the discussion in the introduction of [Tsh19].

We appeal to Borel's theorem, Theorem 1 in [Bor80], with $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$, $\Gamma = \Lambda$, and r being the trivial complex representation. (See also Theorem 11.1 in [Bor74].) Then we have the following conclusion: there exists a natural homomorphism $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathbb{C})^\Lambda \rightarrow H^q(\Lambda; \mathbb{C})$ and if $q \leq \min\{c(\mathrm{SL}_n), \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})) - 1\}$, then this map is an isomorphism. Here $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathbb{C})^\Lambda$ is a Lie algebraic cohomology (\mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} stand for the Lie algebras of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, respectively); it is known that $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathbb{C})^\Lambda = 0$; see 11.4 of [Bor74]. For the definition of the constant $c(G) = c(G, 0)$ for G being a connected semisimple group defined over \mathbb{Q} , see 7.1 of [Bor74]. We remark that for the trivial complex representation r , $c(G, r) = (c(G, 0) =)c(G)$. Since SL_n is of type A_{n-1} , the constant $c(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ equals $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$; see [Bor80] (and 9.1 of [Bor74]). Here, $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function. The number $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}))$ means the real rank of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$; it equals $n - 1$. Since $n \geq 6$, we hence have $\min\{c(\mathrm{SL}_n), \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})) - 1\} \geq 2$. Therefore, we conclude that $H^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{C}) = 0$. This immediately implies that $H^2(\Lambda) = 0$, as desired. (In [Bor81], Borel considered a better constant $C(G)$ than $c(G)$ in general, but $C(\mathrm{SL}_n) = \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$; see [Bor81] and also [Tsh19].) \square

Remark 8.7. In the proof of (2) of Theorem 2.3, we only use (1) of Theorem 8.6. We will use (2) of Theorem 8.6 in the proofs of claims in the next subsection.

Proof of (2) of Theorem 2.3. Let n be an integer at least 6. Let G be a group of finite index of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. Set $N = G \cap \text{IA}_n$ and $\Gamma = G/N$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$$

and Γ is a subgroup of finite index of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma 8.5 and (1) of Theorem 8.6, the second relative cohomology group $H_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$ is trivial. Therefore, by Theorem 1.5, we have $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G) = 0$. \square

Remark 8.8. By Theorem 8.2 and [MS04, Theorem 1.4], the following holds true: for every $n \geq 3$, every subgroup of finite index of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is boundedly 3-acyclic.

8.2. Quasi-cocycle analogues of Theorem 2.3. To state our next result, we need some notation. In Subsection 7.1, we introduced the notion of G -quasi-equivariant quasimorphism. Let V be an $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module whose G -action on V is trivial at N . The G -quasi-invariance can be extended to the V -valued quasimorphisms as the G -quasi-equivariance. Recall from Remark 6.4 that a V -valued quasimorphism $f: N \rightarrow V$ is G -equivariant if the condition $f(gxg^{-1}) - g \cdot f(x) = 0$ holds. A V -valued quasimorphism $f: N \rightarrow V$ is said to be G -quasi-equivariant if the number

$$D'(f) = \sup_{g \in G, x \in N} \|f(gxg^{-1}) - g \cdot f(x)\|$$

is finite. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N; V)^{\text{QG}}$ denote the \mathbb{R} -vector space of all G -quasi-equivariant V -valued quasimorphisms. Let $F: G \rightarrow V$ be a quasi-cocycle, then the restriction $F|_N$ belongs to $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N; V)^{\text{QG}}$ by definition. It is straightforward to show that the quotient $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N; V)^{\text{QG}} / i^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z(G; V)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(N; V)^G / i^* H_{/b}^1(G; V) = H_{/b}^1(N; V)^G / i^* H_{/b}^1(G; V)$.

Let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. Then we set $N = G \cap \text{IA}_n$ and set $\Gamma = G/N$. Our main results in this section are the following two theorems:

Theorem 8.9. *Let n be an integer at least 6, and G a subgroup of finite index of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. Then for every finite dimensional unitary representation π of Γ , the equality*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N; \mathcal{H})^{\text{QG}} = i^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z(G; \bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$$

holds. Here $(\bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$ is the pull-back representation of G of the representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of Γ .

Theorem 8.10. *Let l be an integer at least 3, and G a subgroup of finite index of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$. Set $N = G \cap \mathcal{I}(\Sigma_l)$ and $\Gamma = G/N$. Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a finite dimensional Γ -unitary representation such that $1_G \notin \pi$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}^{\pi(\Gamma)} = 0$. Then we have the equality*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(N; \mathcal{H})^{\text{QG}} = i^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}Z(G; \bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H}).$$

Here $\bar{\pi}$ is the pull-back of π by the quotient homomorphism $G \rightarrow \Gamma$.

Before proceeding to the proofs of Theorems 8.9 and 8.10, we mention some known results we need in the proofs. The following theorem is well known (see Corollary 4.C.16 and Corollary 4.B.6 of [BdlH20]).

Theorem 8.11. *Let Γ_0 be a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ for $n \geq 3$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$ for $l \geq 3$, and (π, \mathcal{H}) a finite dimensional unitary Γ_0 -representation. Then $\Gamma_0(\pi) := \mathrm{Ker}(\pi: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a subgroup of finite index of Γ_0 , where $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the group of unitary operators on \mathcal{H} .*

Theorem 8.12 ([Mon10, Corollary 1.6]). *Let l be an integer at least 2 and Γ_0 a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$. Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a unitary Γ_0 -representation such that $\pi \not\supseteq 1$. Then $H_b^3(\Gamma_0; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$.*

By the higher inflation-restriction exact sequence ([HS53, Theorem 2 of Chapter III]), we obtain the following:

Lemma 8.13. *Let N be a normal subgroup of finite index of G , V a real G -module, and q_0 a positive integer. Assume that $H^q(N; V) = 0$ for every q with $1 \leq q < q_0$. Then the restriction induces an isomorphism $H^{q_0}(G; V) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{q_0}(N; V)^\Gamma$.*

Corollary 8.14. *The following hold:*

- (1) *Let n be an integer at least 6, and Γ_0 a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a finite dimensional unitary Γ_0 -representation. Then $H^2(\Gamma_0; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$.*
- (2) *Let l be an integer at least 3, Γ_0 a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$, and (π, \mathcal{H}) a finite dimensional unitary Γ_0 -representation such that $\pi \not\supseteq 1$. Then $H^2(\Gamma_0; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$.*

Proof. We first prove (2). Set $\Gamma_0(\pi) = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi)$. Then, Theorem 8.11 implies that $\Gamma_0(\pi)$ is of finite index in Γ_0 . We claim that $H^1(\Gamma_0(\pi); \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Indeed, it follows from the Matsushima vanishing theorem [Mat62]. Or alternatively, we may appeal to the fact that $\Gamma_0(\pi)$ has property (T); see [BdlHV08]. By Lemma 8.13, we have an isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma_0; \pi, \mathcal{H}) \cong H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi); \mathcal{H})^{\Gamma_0/\Gamma_0(\pi)}$.

We now show the following claims:

Claim. The conjugation action by Γ_0 on the cohomology $H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi))$ is trivial.

Proof of Claim. By (2) of Theorem 8.6 and Theorem 8.11, the inclusion $i: \Gamma_0(\pi) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_0$ induces an isomorphism $i^*: H^2(\Gamma_0) \cong H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi))$. Hence, for every $a \in H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi))$, there exists a cocycle $c \in C^2(\Gamma_0)$ such that $[i^*c] = a$. By definition, the equalities

$$\gamma a = [\gamma(i^*c)] = [i^*(\gamma c)] = i^*(\gamma[c])$$

hold for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$. Since the conjugation Γ_0 -action on $H^2(\Gamma_0)$ is trivial, the class $\gamma[c] \in H^2(\Gamma_0)$ is equal to $[c]$. Therefore we have

$$\gamma a = i^*\gamma[c] = i^*[c] = a,$$

and the claim follows.

Claim. There exists a canonical isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi); \mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{H}$, and this isomorphism induces an isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi); \mathcal{H})^{\Gamma_0/\Gamma_0(\pi)} \cong \mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_0/\Gamma_0(\pi)}$.

Proof of Claim. By (2) of Theorem 8.6, the cohomology $H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} , and hence the cohomology $H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi); \mathcal{H})$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{H} . In what follows, we exhibit a concrete isomorphism. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$, we define a cochain $c_\alpha \in C^2(\Gamma_0(\pi); \mathcal{H})$ by

$$c_\alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = c(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cdot \alpha \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $c \in C^2(\Gamma_0(\pi))$ is a cocycle whose cohomology class corresponds to $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ under the isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi)) \cong \mathbb{R}$. This cochain c_α is a cocycle since the $\Gamma_0(\pi)$ -action on \mathcal{H} is trivial. Then the map sending α to $[c_\alpha]$ gives rise to an isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\Gamma_0(\pi); \mathcal{H})$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_0(\pi)$, the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^\gamma c_\alpha)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= \pi(\gamma) \cdot c_\alpha(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1\gamma, \gamma^{-1}\gamma_2\gamma) \\ &= \pi(\gamma) \cdot (({}^\gamma c)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cdot \alpha) \\ &= ({}^\gamma c)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cdot (\pi(\gamma) \cdot \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

hold. Moreover, by the claim above, there exists a cochain $b \in C^1(\Gamma_0(\pi))$ satisfying ${}^\gamma c = c + \delta b$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^\gamma c_\alpha)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= ({}^\gamma c)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cdot (\pi(\gamma) \cdot \alpha) = (c + \delta b)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cdot (\pi(\gamma) \cdot \alpha) \\ &= (c + \delta b)_{\pi(\gamma) \cdot \alpha}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the cohomology class ${}^\gamma [c_\alpha]$ corresponds to the element $\pi(\gamma) \cdot \alpha$ under the isomorphism, and this implies the claim.

By claims above and the assumption that π does not contain trivial representation, we have $H^2(\Gamma_0; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. This completes the proof of (2).

We can deduce (1) by the same arguments as above with Theorem 8.6, Theorem 8.11, and Lemma 8.13. \square

Proof of Theorem 8.9. Let n be an integer at least 6. Let G be a group of finite index of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. Set $N = G \cap \text{IA}_n$ and $\Gamma = G/N$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$$

and Γ is a subgroup of finite index of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a finite dimensional unitary Γ -representation. Set $\Gamma(\pi) = \text{Ker}(\pi)$. By Theorem 8.11, $\Gamma(\pi)$ is a normal subgroup of finite index of Γ . By using Lemma 8.5, we have $H_b^3(\Gamma(\pi); \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Together with Theorem 8.4, we obtain $H_b^3(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Hence, by Corollary 8.14 (1), we have $H_b^2(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Therefore, the quotient $H_b^1(N; \mathcal{H})/i^*H_b^1(G; \pi, \mathcal{H})$ is trivial by Theorem 1.5. Since the quotient $H_b^1(N; \mathcal{H})/i^*H_b^1(G; \pi, \mathcal{H})$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{Q}(N; \mathcal{H})^{\text{QG}}/i^*\widehat{Q}Z(G; \pi, \mathcal{H})$, this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 8.10. Let l be an integer at least 3. Let G be a subgroup of finite index of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$. Set $N = G \cap \mathcal{I}(\Sigma_l)$ and $\Gamma = G/N$. Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a finite dimensional unitary Γ -representation not containing trivial representation. Then, Theorem 8.12 and (2) of Corollary 8.14 imply that the second relative cohomology group $H_b^2(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H})$ is trivial. Hence, by the arguments similar to ones in the proof of Theorem 8.9, we obtain the theorem. \square

We conclude this subsection by an extension theorem of quasi-cocycles. Recall that every G -quasi-invariant quasimorphism on N is extendable to G if the projection $G \rightarrow G/N$ virtually splits (Proposition 3.4). This can be generalized as follows:

Theorem 8.15. *Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence and V an $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module with a Γ -invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$. Assume that the exact sequence virtually splits. Then for every V -valued G -quasi-equivariant quasimorphism $f \in \widehat{Q}(N; V)^{\text{QG}}$, there exists a quasi-cocycle*

$F \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}Z(G; V)$ such that the equality $F|_N = f$ and the inequality $D(F) \leq D(f) + 3D'(f)$ hold.

The proof is parallel to that of [KKMM20, Proposition 6.4] (Proposition 3.4). For the sake of completeness, we include the proof; see [KKMM20, the proof of Proposition 6.4] for more details.

Proof of Theorem 8.15. Let (s, Λ) be a virtual section of $p: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ (see Section 2). Let B be a finite subset of Γ such that the map $\Lambda \times B \rightarrow \Gamma, (\lambda, b) \mapsto \lambda b$ is bijective. Let $s': B \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a map satisfying $p \circ s'(b) = b$ for every $b \in B$. Define a map $t: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ by setting $t(\lambda b) = s(\lambda)s'(b)$. Given $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(N; V)^{\mathcal{Q}G}$, define a function $F: G \rightarrow V$ by

$$F(g) = \frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} f(g \cdot t(b \cdot p(g))^{-1} \cdot t(b)).$$

Then we have $F|_N = f$. Moreover, for $g_1, g_2 \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(g_1 g_2) &= \frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} f(g_1 g_2 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1 g_2))^{-1} t(b)) \\ &\stackrel{D'(f)}{\approx} \frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} b^{-1} \cdot f(t(b) \cdot g_1 g_2 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1 g_2))^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} b^{-1} \cdot f(t(b) \cdot g_1 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1))^{-1} \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1)) \cdot g_2 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1 g_2))^{-1}) \\ &\stackrel{D(f)}{\approx} \frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} b^{-1} \cdot \left(f(t(b) \cdot g_1 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1))^{-1}) + f(t(b \cdot p(g_1)) \cdot g_2 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1 g_2))^{-1}) \right) \\ &\stackrel{2D'(f)}{\approx} \frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} f(g_1 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1))^{-1} \cdot t(b)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} p(g_1) \cdot f(g_2 \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1 g_2))^{-1} \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1))) \\ &= F(g_1) + g_1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} f(g_2 \cdot t((b \cdot p(g_1)) \cdot p(g_2))^{-1} \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the arguments in the proof of [KKMM20, Proposition 6.4], we have

$$\frac{1}{\#B} \sum_{b \in B} f(g_2 \cdot t((b \cdot p(g_1)) \cdot p(g_2))^{-1} \cdot t(b \cdot p(g_1))) = F(g_2).$$

Therefore we have $F(g_1 g_2) \stackrel{D(f)+3D'(f)}{\approx} F(g_1) + g_1 \cdot F(g_2)$. This completes the proof. \square

The counterpart of Theorem 8.10 in the case of the trivial real coefficients is an open problem.

Problem 8.16. *Let G be a subgroup of finite index of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$. Set $N = G \cap \mathcal{I}(\Sigma_l)$ and $\Gamma = G/N$. Then does $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = i^* \mathcal{Q}(G)$ hold?*

In [CHH12], Cochran, Harvey, and Horn constructed $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ -invariant quasimorphisms on $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ for a surface Σ with at least one boundary component. The problem asking whether their quasimorphisms are extendable may be of special interest.

9. OPEN PROBLEMS

9.1. Mystery of the Py class. Let M be a closed connected orientable surface whose genus l is at least 2 and Ω a volume form on M . Recall that Py [Py06] constructed a Calabi quasimorphism f_P on $[\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega), \text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)]$ which is a $\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)$ -invariant, and the first and second authors showed that f_P is not extendable to $\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)$ (recall Section 5 and Example 7.15). We define $\bar{c}_P \in \text{H}^2(\text{H}^1(M))$ and $c_P \in \text{H}^2(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega))$ by $\bar{c}_P = \xi_4^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}(f_P)$ and $c_P = \text{Flux}_{\Omega}^*(\bar{c}_P)$, respectively. We call c_P the *Py class*. Note that we essentially proved the non-triviality of the Py class in the proof of (1) of Theorem 2.6.

When we construct the class $\bar{c}_P \in \text{H}^2(\text{H}^1(M))$, we used the morphism $\xi_4: \text{H}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$. Since the bounded cohomology groups of an amenable group are zero, the map ξ_4 is an isomorphism and we see that there exists the inverse $\xi_4^{-1}: \text{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{H}^2(\Gamma)$ of ξ_4 . Because the vanishing of the bounded cohomology of amenable groups is shown by a transcendental method, we do not have a precise description of the map ξ_4^{-1} .

Remark 9.1. If we fix a (right-)invariant mean m on the amenable group Γ , then we have the following description of the map ξ_4^{-1} . For a cocycle $[c] \in C_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$, a cocycle $f \in C^2(\Gamma)$ representing the class $\xi_4^{-1}([c])$ can be given by the following formula:

$$f(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = c(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - m(\delta c(\cdot, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)).$$

However, we have the following observations on the Py class. Here we consider $\text{H}^1(M)$ as a symplectic vector space by the intersection form.


Theorem 9.2. *Let M be a closed connected orientable surface whose genus l is at least 2 and Ω a volume form on M . For a subgroup Λ of $\text{H}^1(M)$, let $\iota_{\Lambda}: \Lambda \rightarrow \text{H}^1(M)$ be the inclusion map.*

- (1) *Let v and w be elements in $\text{H}^1(M)$ with $v \smile w \neq 0$. Then there exists a positive integer k_0 such that for every integer k at least k_0 , the following holds: for the subgroup $\Lambda = \langle v, \frac{w}{k} \rangle$ of $\text{H}^1(M)$, we have $\iota_{\Lambda}^* \bar{c}_P \neq 0$. Here, \smile denotes the cup product.*
- (2) *If a subgroup Λ of $\text{H}^1(M)$ is contained in linear subspaces $\langle [\alpha_1]^*, \dots, [\alpha_l]^* \rangle$ or $\langle [\beta_1]^*, \dots, [\beta_l]^* \rangle$, then $\iota_{\Lambda}^* \bar{c}_P = 0$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_l$ are curves described in Figure 1.*

To prove Theorem 9.2, we use the following observation.

Let $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of groups such that Γ is amenable. For a subgroup Γ^0 of Γ , $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} p^{-1}(\Gamma^0) \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma^0 \rightarrow 1$ is also an exact sequence and it is known that Γ^0 is also amenable ((3) of Theorem 3.5).

Then, by Theorem 1.5, we have the following commuting diagrams.

FIGURE 1. $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_l: [0, 1] \rightarrow S$

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{H}^1(G) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{H}^2(G) \\ & & \downarrow \xi_1 & & \downarrow \xi_2 & & \downarrow \xi_3 & & \downarrow \xi_4 & & \downarrow \xi_5 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{Q}(G) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathbb{Q}(N)^G & \xrightarrow{\tau/b} & \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(G), \end{array}$$

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(\Gamma^0) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{H}^1(p^{-1}(\Gamma^0)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathbb{H}^1(N)^{p^{-1}(\Gamma^0)} & \xrightarrow{\tau^0} & \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma^0) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{H}^2(p^{-1}(\Gamma^0)) \\ & & \downarrow \xi_1^0 & & \downarrow \xi_2^0 & & \downarrow \xi_3^0 & & \downarrow \xi_4^0 & & \downarrow \xi_5^0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(\Gamma^0) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{Q}(p^{-1}(\Gamma^0)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathbb{Q}(N)^{p^{-1}(\Gamma^0)} & \xrightarrow{\tau/b^0} & \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma^0) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(p^{-1}(\Gamma^0)). \end{array}$$

Since Γ and Γ^0 are boundedly 3-acyclic ((5) of Theorem 3.5), $\xi_4: \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma)$ and $\xi_4^0: \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma^0) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{/b}^2(\Gamma^0)$ are isomorphisms. The following lemma is deduced from the definitions of τ/b and τ/b^0 .

Lemma 9.3.

$$(\xi_4^0)^{-1} \circ \tau/b^0 \circ I_1^* = I_2^* \circ (\xi_4)^{-1} \circ \tau/b,$$

where $I_1^*: \mathbb{Q}(N)^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^{\Gamma^0}$, $I_2^*: \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma^0)$ are the homomorphisms induced from the inclusion $I: \Gamma^0 \rightarrow \Gamma$.

We employ the following theorem, which is related to Example 7.15, in order to prove Theorem 9.2.

Theorem 9.4 ([KKMM21, Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.10]). *Let M be a closed connected orientable surface whose genus l is at least 2 and Ω a volume form on M . Let Λ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{H}^1(M)$ and set $G = \text{Flux}^{-1}(\Lambda)$ and $N = \text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega)$. Then, the following hold true.*

- (1) *Let v and w be elements in $\mathbb{H}^1(M)$ with $v \smile w \neq 0$. Then there exists a positive integer k_0 such that for every integer k at least k_0 , the following holds for $\Lambda = \langle v, \frac{w}{k} \rangle$: $[f_P]$ is a non-trivial element of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$.*

- (2) If Λ is contained in linear subspaces $\langle [\alpha_1]^*, \dots, [\alpha_l]^* \rangle$ or $\langle [\beta_1]^*, \dots, [\beta_l]^* \rangle$, then $[f_P]$ is the trivial element of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_l$ are curves described in Figure 1.

On (2), see also Remark 4.8 of [KKMM21].

Proof of Theorem 9.2. Set $\Gamma = \mathbb{H}^1(M)$, $\Gamma^0 = \Lambda$ and $G = \text{Flux}_\Omega^{-1}(\Lambda)$. We use the notation in diagrams (9.1) and (9.2).

First, to prove (1), suppose that the dimension of Λ is larger than l . Then, since $[f_P]$ is a non-trivial element of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$, by Theorem 1.10, $(\xi_4^0)^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}^0 \circ I_1^*(f_P)$ is also a non-trivial element of $\mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma^0) = \mathbb{H}^2(\Lambda)$. Hence, by Lemma 9.3, $\iota_\Lambda^* \bar{c}_P = I_2^* \circ (\xi_4)^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}(f_P) = (\xi_4^0)^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}^0 \circ I_1^*(f_P)$ is also a non-trivial element of $\mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma^0) = \mathbb{H}^2(\Lambda)$.

Next, to prove (2), suppose that Λ is contained in linear subspaces $\langle [\alpha_1]^*, \dots, [\alpha_l]^* \rangle$ or $\langle [\beta_1]^*, \dots, [\beta_l]^* \rangle$. Then, since $[f_P]$ is the trivial element of $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$, by Theorem 1.10 and Proposition 2.5, $(\xi_4^0)^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}^0 \circ I_1^*(f_P)$ is also the trivial element of $\mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma^0) = \mathbb{H}^2(\Lambda)$. Hence, by Lemma 9.3, $\iota_\Lambda^* \bar{c}_P = I_2^* \circ (\xi_4)^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}(f_P) = (\xi_4^0)^{-1} \circ \tau_{/b}^0 \circ I_1^*(f_P)$ is also the trivial element of $\mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma^0) = \mathbb{H}^2(\Lambda)$. \square

Finally, we pose the following problems on the Py class.

Problem 9.5. Give precise descriptions of a cochain representing $\bar{c}_P \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{H}^1(M))$ and a bounded cochain representing $c_P \in \mathbb{H}^2(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega))$.

Problem 9.6. Let M be a closed connected orientable surface whose genus l is at least 2 and Ω a volume form on M . Is the vector space $\text{Im}(\text{Flux}_\Omega^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_{\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega)})$ spanned by c_P ?

By Theorem 1.10, Problem 9.6 is rephrased as follows.

Problem 9.7. Let M be a closed connected orientable surface whose genus l is at least 2 and Ω a volume form on M . Is the vector space $\mathbb{Q}(\text{Ker}(\text{Flux}_\Omega))^{(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega))} / i^* \mathbb{Q}(\text{Diff}_0(M, \Omega))$ spanned by $[f_P]$?

9.2. Problems on equivalences and coincidences of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$. By Theorem 2.1, $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$ implies that scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are equivalent on $[G, N]$. Moreover, if N is the commutator subgroup of G and $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$, then scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ coincide on $[G, N]$. Since $\mathbb{H}^2(G) = 0$ implies $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$ (Theorem 1.10), there are several examples of pairs (G, N) such that $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ and scl_G are equivalent (see Subsection 2.1). In Section 3, we provided several examples of groups G with $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G \neq \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$ (see Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 4.18), but in this paper, we are unable to determine whether scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are equivalent on $[G, N]$ in these examples. Hence, the example that $G = \text{Diff}(\Sigma_l, \omega)$ with $l \geq 2$ and $N = [G, G]$ raised by [KK19] (see also [KKMM21]) has remained the essentially only one known example that scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are not equivalent on $[G, N]$. In fact, this is the only one example that scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ do not coincide on $[G, N]$. Here, we provide several problems on equivalences and coincidences of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$.

Problem 9.8. Is it true that $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G = \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$ implies that $\text{scl}_G = \text{scl}_{G,N}$ on $[G, N]$?

Problem 9.9. Find a pair (G, N) such that G is finitely generated and scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$ are not equivalent. In particular, for $l \geq 2$, are $\text{scl}_{\pi_1(\Sigma_l)}$ and $\text{scl}_{\pi_1(\Sigma_l), [\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)]}$ equivalent on $[\pi_1(\Sigma_l), [\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)]]$?

We also pose the following problem. Let B_n be the n -th braid group and P_n the n -th pure braid group.

Problem 9.10. For $n \geq 3$, does $\text{scl}_{B_n} = \text{scl}_{B_n, [P_n, P_n]}$ hold on $[B_n, [P_n, P_n]]$?

From the aspect of the following proposition, we can regard Problem 9.10 as a special case of Problem 9.8.

Proposition 9.11. For $n \geq 2$, let $G = B_n$ and $N = [P_n, P_n]$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathcal{Q}(G)$. In particular, $\text{scl}_G(x) \leq \text{scl}_{G,N}(x) \leq 2 \cdot \text{scl}_G(x)$ holds for all $x \in [G, N]$.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow P_n/[P_n, P_n] \rightarrow B_n/[P_n, P_n] \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow 1,$$

where \mathfrak{S}_n is the symmetric group. By (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.5, \mathfrak{S}_n and $P_n/[P_n, P_n]$ are amenable. Hence (4) of Theorem 3.5 implies that $B_n/[P_n, P_n]$ is also amenable. As pointed out in Subsection 2.1, the second cohomology of the braid group B_n vanishes. Hence Theorem 1.10 implies that $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathcal{Q}(G)$. The equivalence between scl_{B_n} and $\text{scl}_{B_n, [P_n, P_n]}$ follows from (2) of Theorem 2.1. \square

As another special case of Problem 9.8, we provide the following problem.

Problem 9.12. For $n \geq 2$, does $\text{scl}_{\text{Aut}(F_n)} = \text{scl}_{\text{Aut}(F_n), \text{IA}_n}$ hold on $[\text{Aut}(F_n), \text{IA}_n]$?

Even the following weaker variant of Problem 9.12 seems open. We note that (2) of Theorem 2.1 does *not* apply to the setting of Theorem 2.3.

Problem 9.13. Let $n \geq 3$. Find an explicit real constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\text{scl}_{\text{Aut}(F_n), \text{IA}_n} \leq C \cdot \text{scl}_{\text{Aut}(F_n)}$ holds on $[\text{Aut}(F_n), \text{IA}_n]$.

Due to the following proposition, we can regard Problem 9.10 as a special case of Problem 9.8.

In [KKMM20], the first, second, fourth, and fifth authors considered the equivalence problem between cl_G and $\text{cl}_{G,N}$. We provide the following problem.

Problem 9.14. Is it true that $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathcal{Q}(G)$ implies the bi-Lipschitz equivalence of cl_G and $\text{cl}_{G,N}$ on $[G, N]$?

We note that (1) of Theorem 2.1 states that $\mathcal{Q}(N)^G = \mathcal{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathcal{Q}(G)$ implies the bi-Lipschitz equivalence of scl_G and $\text{scl}_{G,N}$. To the best knowledge of the authors, Problem 9.14, even for the case where $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ virtually splits, might be open in general.

From the aspect of Proposition 9.11 and Theorem 2.3, we can regard the following problem as special cases of Problem 9.14.

Problem 9.15. For $(G, N) = (B_n, [P_n, P_n])$ ($n \geq 3$), $(\text{Aut}(F_n), \text{IA}_n)$ ($n \geq 2$), are cl_G and $\text{cl}_{G,N}$ equivalent on $[G, N]$?

We note that cl_G and $\text{cl}_{G,N}$ are known to be bi-Lipschitzly equivalent when $(G, N) = (B_n, P_n \cap [B_n, B_n] = [P_n, B_n])$ ([KKMM20]).

9.3. A question by De Chiffre, Glebsky, Lubotzky and Thom. In Definition 4.1 of [DCGLT20], De Chiffre, Glebsky, Lubotzky and Thom introduced the following property.

Definition 9.16 ([DCGLT20]). Let n be a positive integer. A discrete group Γ is said to be n -Kazhdan if for every unitary Γ -representation (ϖ, \mathcal{K}) , $H^n(\Gamma; \varpi, \mathcal{K}) = 0$ holds.

The celebrated Delorme–Guichardet theorem states that for a finitely generated group, the 1-Kazhdan property is equivalent to Kazhdan’s property (T); see [BdlHV08] for details.

In [DCGLT20, Question 4.4], they asked the following question.

Problem 9.17 ([DCGLT20]). *Is $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ 2-Kazhdan for $n \geq 4$? Or weakly, does there exist $n_1 \geq 4$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$, $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is 2-Kazhdan?*

The motivation of De Chiffre, Glebsky, Lubotzky and Thom to study the 2-Kazhdan property is the stability on group approximations by finite dimensional unitary groups with respect to the Frobenius norm; see [DCGLT20] and also [Tho18]. The present work shows that the 2-Kazhdan property furthermore relates to the space of non-extendable quasimorphisms with non-trivial coefficients. For example, the positive solution to Problem 9.17 will provide a generalization of Theorem 8.9 for *all* unitary representations, including infinite dimensional ones. The following proposition gives the precise statement.

Proposition 9.18. *Fix an integer n with $n \geq 4$. Assume that $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is 2-Kazhdan. Then, for every subgroup G of finite index of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$, and for every unitary representation π of Γ , the equality*

$$\widehat{Q}(N; \mathcal{H})^{\text{Q}G} = i^* \widehat{Q}Z(G; \bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$$

holds. Here we set $N = G \cap \text{IA}_n$ and $\Gamma = G/N$; the representation $(\bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$ of G is the pull-back of the representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of Γ .

Proof. By Theorem 1.5 and exact sequence (3.1), it suffices to prove that $H^2(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$ and that $H_b^3(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Here, recall Remark 1.7. Note that Γ is a subgroup of finite index of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

First, we will verify that $H_b^3(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Set $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma \cap \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\pi_0 := \pi|_{\Gamma_0}$. Then Γ_0 is a subgroup of finite index of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, and (π_0, \mathcal{H}) is a unitary Γ_0 -representation. Decompose the Γ_0 -representation space \mathcal{H} as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_0} \oplus (\mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_0})^\perp$, where $(\mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_0})^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{H}^{Γ_0} in \mathcal{H} . Then, the restriction π_0^{inv} of π_0 on \mathcal{H}^{Γ_0} is trivial, and the restriction π_0^{orth} of π_0 on $(\mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_0})^\perp$ does not admit a non-zero Γ_0 -invariant vector. Theorem 8.2 (Monod’s theorem) implies that $H_b^3(\Gamma_0; \pi_0^{\text{inv}}, \mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_0}) = 0$. By another theorem of Monod [Mon07, Theorem 2], we also have $H_b^3(\Gamma_0; \pi_0^{\text{orth}}, (\mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_0})^\perp) = 0$. (See Corollary 1.6 of [Mon10] for a more general statement.) Hence, we have $H_b^3(\Gamma_0; \pi_0, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Now, Theorem 8.4 implies that $H_b^3(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$, as desired.

Finally, we will prove $H^2(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$ under the assumption of the theorem. The Shapiro lemma (for group cohomology) implies that the 2-Kazhdan property passes to a group of finite index. In what follows, we sketch the deduction above. Let H_0 be a subgroup of a group H of finite index. Take an arbitrary unitary H_0 -representation (σ, \mathfrak{H}) . Then since

H_0 is of finite index in H , the coinduced module $\text{Coind}_{H_0}^H(\mathfrak{H})$ is canonically isomorphic to the induced module $\text{Ind}_{H_0}^H(\mathfrak{H})$. Therefore, the Shapiro lemma shows that $\text{H}^2(H_0; \sigma, \mathfrak{H}) \cong \text{H}^2(H; \varsigma, \mathfrak{K})$. Note that the induced representation $(\varsigma, \mathfrak{K}) = (\text{Ind}_{H_0}^H(\sigma), \text{Ind}_{H_0}^H(\mathfrak{H}))$ is a unitary H -representation. Hence, if H is 2-Kazhdan, then $\text{H}^2(H; \varsigma, \mathfrak{K}) = 0$ holds; it then follows that H_0 is 2-Kazhdan. Also, a standard argument using the transfer shows the following: if H_0 is a subgroup of \tilde{H} of finite index and if H_0 is 2-Kazhdan, then \tilde{H} is 2-Kazhdan. (See Proposition 4.4 of [DCGLT20] for a more general statement.) Recall that Γ_0 is a subgroup of finite index of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, and that Γ_0 is a subgroup of Γ of index at most 2. Therefore, since we assume that $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is 2-Kazhdan, we conclude that Γ is 2-Kazhdan. Hence we have $\text{H}^2(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$, and this completes the proof. \square

A counterpart of Proposition 9.18 in the setting of mapping class groups can be stated in the following manner. Proposition 9.19 asserts that under a certain assumption, Theorem 8.10 may be extended to infinite dimensional cases.

Proposition 9.19. *Fix an integer l with $l \geq 3$. Assume that for every unitary $\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$ -representation (ϖ, \mathcal{K}) with $\varpi \not\geq 1$, $\text{H}^2(\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z}); \varpi, \mathcal{K}) = 0$ holds. Then, for every subgroup G of finite index of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_l)$, and for every unitary representation π of Γ with $\pi \not\geq 1$, the equality*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(N; \mathcal{H})^{\text{QG}} = i^* \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}Z(G; \bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$$

holds. Here we set $N = G \cap \mathcal{I}(\Sigma_l)$ and $\Gamma = G/N$; the representation $(\bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$ of G is the pull-back of the representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of Γ .

Proof. Since $\pi \not\geq 1$, Theorem 8.12 (Monod's theorem) shows that $\text{H}_b^3(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. In addition, since $\pi \not\geq 1$, the induced unitary $\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$ -representation $\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^{\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})}(\pi)$ does not admit a non-zero invariant vector. Therefore, by the assumption on $\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$, the Shapiro lemma implies that $\text{H}^2(\Gamma; \pi, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. Now Theorem 1.5, together with exact sequence (3.1) and Remark 1.7, ends the proof. \square

In relation to Proposition 9.19, the following problem may be of interest.

Problem 9.20. *Does there exist $l_1 \geq 3$ satisfying the following? For all $l \geq l_1$, for every unitary $\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$ -representation (ϖ, \mathcal{K}) with $\varpi \not\geq 1$, $\text{H}^2(\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z}); \varpi, \mathcal{K}) = 0$ holds.*

We note that $\text{H}^2(\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{R}$ for every $l \geq 2$; see [Bor74]. In particular, $\text{Sp}(2l, \mathbb{Z})$ is not 2-Kazhdan for any $l \geq 2$.

Remark 9.21. From Corollary 8.14, we have the following: if we impose an additional condition on ϖ that it is *finite dimensional* in the settings of Problem 9.17 and Problem 9.20, then we may take $n_1 = 6$ and $l_1 = 3$, respectively.

It is also a question of interest asking what the best bound of n in (1) of Theorem 8.6 is. The bound we have is ' $n \geq 6$.' Is it possible to improve this bound to ' $n \geq 4$ '?

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Toshiyuki Akita, Tomohiro Asano, Masayuki Asaoka, Nicolas Monod, Yuta Nozaki, Takuya Sakasai, Masatoshi Sato, and Takao Satoh for fruitful discussions on applications of results of the present paper and for providing several references. The fifth

author is grateful to Andrew Putman and Bena Tshishiku for the bound of n in (1) of Theorem 8.6, and Masatoshi Sato for the discussion on (2) of Corollary 8.14.

The first author is supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP18J00765 and JP21K13790. The second author and the third author are supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20H00114 and JP21J11199, respectively. The fourth author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K14536. The fifth author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17H04822 and JP21K03241.

APPENDIX A. OTHER EXACT SEQUENCES RELATED TO $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$

In this appendix, we show some exact sequences which are related to the quotient space $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$ and the seven-term exact sequence, and show that these sequences give alternative proofs of some results (Theorem 1.1, 1.9, 1.10 and 1.2) in this paper.

The seven-term exact sequence (Theorem 3.7) is one of the main tools in this appendix. In particular, we will use the following cocycle description of the map ρ in the seven-term exact sequence.

Theorem A.1 (Section 10.3 of [DHW12]). *Let $c \in \text{Ker}(i^* : \mathbb{H}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(N))$, and let f be a 2-cocycle on G satisfying $f|_{N \times N} = 0$ and $[f] = c$. Then*

$$(\rho(c)(p(g)))(n) = f(g, g^{-1}ng) - f(n, g),$$

where $g \in G$ and $n \in N$.

Let EH_b^2 denote the kernel of the comparison map $\mathbb{H}_b^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$. We are now ready to state our main results in this appendix:

Theorem A.2. *Let G be a group, N a normal subgroup of G , and Γ the quotient G/N . Then the following hold:*

(1) *There exists the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G/i^*\text{EH}_b^2(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)).$$

(2) *There exists the following exact sequence*

$$\mathbb{H}_b^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G/i^*\text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^3(\Gamma).$$

Here i^* is the map $\mathbb{H}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(N)$ induced by the inclusion $N \hookrightarrow G$, and $c_G : \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(G)$ is the comparison map.

(3) *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Ker}(i^*) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{H}^1(\Gamma; \mathbb{H}^1(N)) \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G/i^*\text{EH}_b^2(G) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)). \end{array}$$

Here j is an inclusion, and α , β , and ρ are the maps appearing in (1), (2), and the seven-term exact sequence, respectively.

From (1) and (2) of Theorem A.2, we obtain the following:

Corollary A.3. *If G/N is boundedly 3-acyclic, there exists the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)).$$

Proof of (1) of Theorem A.2. Recall that $\text{EH}_b^2(G)$ is the kernel of the comparison map $c_G: \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(G)$. By Lemma 3.3, $\text{EH}_b^2(G)$ coincides with the image of $\delta: \mathbb{Q}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^2(G)$. Therefore we have a short exact sequence

$$(A.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(G) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow 0.$$

For a G -module V , we write V^G the subspace consisting of the elements of V which are fixed by every element of G . Since the functor $(-)^G$ is a left exact and its right derived functor is $V \mapsto \mathbb{H}^\bullet(G; V)$, we have an exact sequence

$$(A.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)).$$

Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{EH}_b^2(G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(N)^G & \longrightarrow & \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)). \end{array}$$

Taking cokernels of the vertical maps, we have a sequence

$$(A.4) \quad \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)).$$

The exactness of the first three terms of this sequence follows from the snake lemma. The exactness of the last three terms can be checked by the diagram chasing. Since the cokernel of $\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G / i^* \mathbb{Q}(G)$ is $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (i^* \mathbb{Q}(G) + \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G)$, we have an exact sequence

$$(A.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G / (i^* \mathbb{Q}(G) + \mathbb{H}^1(N)) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)).$$

This completes the proof of (1) of Theorem A.2. \square

To prove (2) of Theorem A.2, we recall the following result by Bouarich.

Theorem A.4 ([Bou95]). *There exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^2(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^3(\Gamma).$$

Proof of (2) of Theorem A.2. By Lemma 3.3, we have the following commutative diagram

$$(A.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{EH}_b^2(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(c_G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}_b^2(N)^G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^2(N)^G & & \end{array},$$

where each row is exact. The exactness of the second row follows from Lemma 3.3 and the left exactness of the functor $(-)^G$. Let K and W denote the kernel and cokernel of the map $i^*: \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^2(N)^G$. Note that the kernel of $\text{Im}(c_G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(N)^G$ is $\text{Im}(c_G) \cap$

$\text{Ker}(i^*: \mathbb{H}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(N)^G)$. Applying the snake lemma, we have the following exact sequence

$$(A.7) \quad K \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow W.$$

By Theorem A.4, K is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}_b^2(G/N)$, and there exists a monomorphism from W to $\mathbb{H}_b^3(G)$. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$(A.8) \quad \mathbb{H}^2(G/N) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N) / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^3(G).$$

Here the last map $\text{EH}_b^2(N)^G / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^3(G)$ is the composite of the map $\text{EH}_b^2(N)^G / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow W$ and the monomorphism $W \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_b^3(G)$. This completes the proof of (2) of Theorem A.2. \square

Proof of (3) of Theorem A.2. Recall that $\alpha: \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N))$ in (1) of Theorem A.2 is induced by the last map φ of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)).$$

We first describe φ . Let $c \in \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G$. Since $\delta: \mathbb{Q}(N) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N)$ is surjective, there exists a homogeneous quasimorphism f on N such that $c = [\delta f]$. Since c is G -invariant, we have ${}^g c = c$ for every $g \in G$. Namely, for each $g \in G$, there exists a bounded 1-cochain $b_g \in C_b^1(N)$ such that

$$(A.9) \quad {}^g(\delta f) = \delta f + \delta b_g.$$

Note that this b_g is unique. Indeed, if $\delta b_g = \delta b'_g$, then $b_g - b'_g$ is a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is bounded, and is 0.

Define the cochain $\varphi_f \in C^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N))$ by

$$\varphi_f(g) = f - {}^g f - b_g.$$

It follows from (A.9) that $\varphi_f \in \mathbb{H}^1(N)$. Now we show that this correspondence induces a map from $\text{EH}_b^2(N) / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G)$ to $\mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N))$. Suppose that $c = [\delta f] = [\delta f']$ for $f, f' \in \mathbb{Q}(N)$. Then $h = f - f' \in \mathbb{H}^1(N)$. Therefore we have $\delta f = \delta f'$, and hence we have

$${}^g(\delta f') = \delta f' + \delta b_g.$$

Hence we have

$$(\varphi_{f'} - \varphi_f)(g) = (f' - {}^g f' + b_g) - (f - {}^g f + b_g) = {}^g h - h = \delta h(g).$$

Therefore $\varphi_{f'}$ and φ_f represent the same cohomology class of $\mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N))$. This correspondence is the precise description of $\alpha: \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N))$.

Next, we see the precise description of the composite of

$$\text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{EH}_b^2(G) / i^* \text{EH}_b^2(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)).$$

Let $c \in \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G)$. Since $c \in \text{Im}(c_G)$, there exists a bounded cocycle $f: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $c = [f]$ in $\mathbb{H}^2(G)$. Since $i^* c = 0$, there exists $f' \in C^1(N)$ such that $f|_{N \times N} = \delta f'$ in $C^2(N)$. Since f is bounded, f' is a quasimorphism on N . Define f to be the homogenization

of f' . Then $b_N = f - f': N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded 1-cochain on N . Next define the function $b: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} b_N(x) & x \in N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $b \in C_b^1(G)$, $f + \delta b$ is a bounded cocycle which represents c in $H^2(G)$. Replacing $f + \delta b$ to f , we can assume that $f|_{N \times N} = \delta f$. Then by the definition of the connecting homomorphism in snake lemma, we have $\beta(c) = [\delta f]$.

Recall that there exists a unique bounded function $b_g: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi([\delta f])(g) = f - {}^g f + b_g.$$

Claim. $b_g(n) = f(g, g^{-1}ng)$.

Define a_g by $a_g(n) = f(g, g^{-1}ng)$. Let n and m be elements of N . Since $\delta f = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta a_g(n, m) &= \delta a_g + \delta f(g, g^{-1}ng, g^{-1}mg) + \delta f(n, m, g) - \delta f(n, g, g^{-1}mg) \\ &= f(g^{-1}ng, g^{-1}mg) - f(n, m) \\ &= ({}^g \delta f - \delta f)(n, m). \\ &= \delta b_g. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of b_g , we have $a_g = b_g$. This completes the proof of Claim. Hence we have $\varphi_f(g) = f - {}^g f + a_g$, and thus we obtain a precise description of $\alpha \circ \beta$.

Now we complete the proof of (3) of Theorem A.2. For $c \in \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G)$, there exists a bounded 2-cocycle f of G such that $f|_{N \times N} = \delta f'$ for some $f' \in \mathcal{Q}(N)$. Define $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f'(x) & x \in N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f - \delta f$ is a (possibly unbounded) cocycle such that $(f - \delta f)|_{N \times N} = 0$. Hence Theorem A.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} ((p^* \rho(c))(g))(u) &= (\rho(c)(p(g)))(u) \\ &= (f - \delta f)(g, g^{-1}ng) - (f - \delta f)(u, g) \\ &= f(g, g^{-1}ng) - f(n, g) + f(ng) - f(g) - f(g^{-1}ng) + f(g) - f(ug) + f(u) \\ &= f(n) - {}^g f(u) + b_g(n) \\ &= (f - {}^g f + b_g)(n) \\ &= \varphi_f(g)(n). \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from Theorem A.1 and the fourth equality follows from Claim. Hence we have

$$(A.10) \quad ((p^* \rho(c))(g))(u) = \varphi_f(g)(n),$$

and $\alpha \circ \beta(c) = p^* \circ \rho(c)$ follows from the description of $\alpha \circ \beta$ and (A.10). This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we show that Theorem A.2 implies some results in this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.10 by using Theorem A.2. It follows from (1) of Theorem A.2 that $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G))$ are isomorphic. Since Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic, (2) of Theorem A.2 implies that β is an isomorphism. Since the homomorphism $\mathbb{H}^1(\Gamma; \mathbb{H}^1(N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N))$ is injective, (3) of Theorem A.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\alpha) &\cong \text{Ker}(\rho \circ j: \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\Gamma; \mathbb{H}^1(N))) \\ &= \text{Ker}(\rho) \cap \text{Im}(c_G). \end{aligned}$$

By the seven term exact sequence (Theorem 3.7), we have $\text{Ker}(\rho) = \text{Im}(p^*)$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.9 by using Theorem A.2. We first show that the map $\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ is injective if Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic. Indeed, applying the snake lemma to diagram (A.6), we have $\text{Ker}(\text{EH}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \text{EH}_b^2(N)^G) = 0$ since $\mathbb{H}_b^2(\Gamma) = 0$. Next, applying the snake lemma to diagram (A.3), we see that the map $\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)$ is injective.

Thus we have two exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{Q}(G) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G/i^*\mathbb{H}^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\rho) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the second exact sequence is deduced from the seven term exact sequence (Theorem 3.7). It suffices to see that $\text{Ker}(\rho) \cong \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ by (3) of Theorem A.2.

- Since G is hyperbolic, we have $\text{Im}(c_G) = \mathbb{H}^2(G)$. Therefore j is an isomorphism.
- Since Γ is boundedly 3-acyclic, it follows from (2) of Theorem A.2 that β is an isomorphism.
- The map $\mathbb{H}^1(\Gamma; \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G)$ is injective.

From the above facts, we conclude that $\text{Ker}(\rho) \cong \text{Ker}(\alpha)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 by using Theorem A.2 and Corollary A.3. Let G be the surface group $\pi_1(\Sigma_l)$ and N the commutator subgroup $[\pi_1(\Sigma_l), \pi_1(\Sigma_l)]$. Then the quotient G/N is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{2l} . By (3) of Theorem A.2 and Corollary A.3, we have the following commutative diagram whose rows are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N) + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) & \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ \beta} & \mathbb{H}^1(G; \mathbb{H}^1(N)) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{H}^1(N)^G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{Z}^{2l}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(i^*) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}^{2l}; \mathbb{H}^1(N)) \end{array}$$

where $i^*: \mathbb{H}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(N)$ and the second row is a part of the seven-term exact sequence. Since $\dim(\mathbb{H}^1(N)^G) = l(2l-1) - 1$ (Proposition 4.7) and $\dim(\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}^{2l})) = l(2l-1)$, we have $\dim \text{Ker}(i^*) = 1$ and $\rho = 0$. Since the comparison map $c_G: \mathbb{H}_b^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(G)$ is surjective, we have $\text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) = \text{Ker}(i^*) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Since $\rho = 0$, the map $\alpha \circ \beta$ is also the zero map, and this implies

$$\mathbb{Q}(N)^G/(\mathbb{H}^1(N) + i^*\mathbb{Q}(G)) \cong \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap \text{Im}(c_G) \cong \mathbb{R}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [AL18] Toshiyuki Akita and Ye Liu, *Second mod 2 homology of Artin groups*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **18** (2018), no. 1, 547–568.
- [Ban78] Augustin Banyaga, *Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **53** (1978), no. 2, 174–227.
- [Ban97] ———, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, *Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 400, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [Bav91] Christophe Bavard, *Longueur stable des commutateurs*, *Enseign. Math. (2)* **37** (1991), no. 1-2, 109–150.
- [BBF16a] Mladen Bestvina, Ken Bromberg, and Koji Fujiwara, *Bounded cohomology with coefficients in uniformly convex Banach spaces*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **91** (2016), no. 2, 203–218.
- [BBF16b] ———, *Stable commutator length on mapping class groups*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **66** (2016), no. 3, 871–898.
- [BdlH20] Bachir Bekka and Pierre de la Harpe, *Unitary representations of groups, duals, and characters*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 250, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2020.
- [BdlHV08] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette, *Kazhdan’s property (T)*, *New Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 11, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [BF92] M. Bestvina and M. Feighn, *A combination theorem for negatively curved groups*, *J. Differential Geom.* **35** (1992), no. 1, 85–101.
- [BF02] Mladen Bestvina and Koji Fujiwara, *Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups*, *Geom. Topol.* **6** (2002), 69–89.
- [BHW21] Jonathan Bowden, Sebastian Hensel, and Richard Webb, *Quasi-morphisms on surface diffeomorphism groups*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, published online (2021).
- [BIP08] Dmitri Burago, Sergei Ivanov, and Leonid Polterovich, *Conjugation-invariant norms on groups of geometric origin*, *Groups of diffeomorphisms*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, vol. 52, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008, pp. 221–250.
- [BK18] Michael Brandenbursky and Jarek Kędra, *Fragmentation norm and relative quasimorphisms*, to appear in *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:1804.06592v2 (2018).
- [BM99] M. Burger and N. Monod, *Bounded cohomology of lattices in higher rank Lie groups*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **1** (1999), no. 2, 199–235.
- [Bor74] Armand Borel, *Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **7** (1974), 235–272 (1975).
- [Bor80] A. Borel, *Stable and L^2 -cohomology of arithmetic groups*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **3** (1980), no. 3, 1025–1027.
- [Bor81] Armand Borel, *Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups. II*, *Manifolds and Lie groups* (Notre Dame, Ind., 1980), *Progr. Math.*, vol. 14, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1981, pp. 21–55.
- [Bou95] Abdessalam Bouarich, *Suites exactes en cohomologie bornée réelle des groupes discrets*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **320** (1995), no. 11, 1355–1359.
- [Cal04] Danny Calegari, *Circular groups, planar groups, and the Euler class*, *Proceedings of the Casson Fest*, *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, vol. 7, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2004, pp. 431–491.
- [Cal09] ———, *scl*, *MSJ Memoirs*, vol. 20, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2009.
- [CHH12] Tim D. Cochran, Shelly Harvey, and Peter D. Horn, *Higher-order signature cocycles for subgroups of mapping class groups and homology cylinders*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2012), no. 14, 3311–3373.
- [CMS14] Danny Calegari, Naoyuki Monden, and Masatoshi Sato, *On stable commutator length in hyper-elliptic mapping class groups*, *Pacific J. Math.* **272** (2014), no. 2, 323–351.
- [DCGLT20] Marcus De Chiffre, Lev Glebsky, Alexander Lubotzky, and Andreas Thom, *Stability, cohomology vanishing, and nonapproximable groups*, *Forum Math. Sigma* **8** (2020), Paper No. e18, 37.

- [DHW12] Karel Dekimpe, Manfred Hartl, and Sarah Wauters, *A seven-term exact sequence for the cohomology of a group extension*, J. Algebra **369** (2012), 70–95.
- [EF97] David B. A. Epstein and Koji Fujiwara, *The second bounded cohomology of word-hyperbolic groups*, Topology **36** (1997), no. 6, 1275–1289.
- [EK01] Hisaaki Endo and Dieter Kotschick, *Bounded cohomology and non-uniform perfection of mapping class groups*, Invent. Math. **144** (2001), no. 1, 169–175.
- [EP06] Michael Entov and Leonid Polterovich, *Quasi-states and symplectic intersections*, Comment. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), no. 1, 75–99.
- [Fat80] Albert Fathi, *Structure of the group of homeomorphisms preserving a good measure on a compact manifold*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), no. 1, 45–93.
- [FFLM21a] Francesco Fournier-Facio, Clara Löh, and Marco Moraschini, *Bounded cohomology and binate groups*, preprint, arXiv:2111.04305v2 (2021).
- [FFLM21b] ———, *Bounded cohomology of finitely generated groups: vanishing, non-vanishing, and computability*, preprint, arXiv:2106.13567v2 (2021).
- [Fri17] Roberto Frigerio, *Bounded cohomology of discrete groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 227, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [FS02] Koji Fujiwara and Teruhiko Soma, *Bounded classes in the cohomology of manifolds*, vol. 92, 2002, Dedicated to John Stallings on the occasion of his 65th birthday, pp. 73–85.
- [Ger84] Stephen M. Gersten, *A presentation for the special automorphism group of a free group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **33** (1984), no. 3, 269–279.
- [Gro82] Michael Gromov, *Volume and bounded cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1982), no. 56, 5–99 (1983).
- [Gro87] ———, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 8, Springer, New York, 1987, pp. 75–263.
- [Hai97] Richard Hain, *Infinitesimal presentations of the Torelli groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), no. 3, 597–651.
- [HS53] Gerhard Paul Hochschild and Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cohomology of group extensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1953), 110–134.
- [Ish14] Tomohiko Ishida, *Quasi-morphisms on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the 2-disk via braid groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **1** (2014), 43–51.
- [Ish17] ———, *Homomorphisms on groups of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms via fundamental groups*, Geometry, dynamics, and foliations 2013, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 72, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017, pp. 387–393.
- [Iva20] Nikolai V. Ivanov, *Leray theorems in bounded cohomology theory*, preprint, arXiv:2012.08038v1 (2020).
- [Kaw16] Morimichi Kawasaki, *Relative quasimorphisms and stably unbounded norms on the group of symplectomorphisms of the Euclidean spaces*, J. Symplectic Geom. **14** (2016), no. 1, 297–304.
- [Kaw17] ———, *Bavard’s duality theorem on conjugation-invariant norms*, Pacific J. Math. **288** (2017), no. 1, 157–170.
- [Kim18] Mitsuaki Kimura, *Conjugation-invariant norms on the commutator subgroup of the infinite braid group*, J. Topol. Anal. **10** (2018), no. 2, 471–476.
- [KK19] Morimichi Kawasaki and Mitsuaki Kimura, *\hat{G} -invariant quasimorphisms and symplectic geometry of surfaces*, to appear in *Israel J. Math*, arXiv:1911.10855v2 (2019).
- [KKM06] J. Kędra, D. Kotschick, and S. Morita, *Crossed flux homomorphisms and vanishing theorems for flux groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 6, 1246–1273.
- [KKMM20] Morimichi Kawasaki, Mitsuaki Kimura, Takahiro Matsushita, and Masato Mimura, *Bavard’s duality theorem for mixed commutator length*, arXiv:2007.02257v3, to appear in *Enseign. Math.* (2020).
- [KKMM21] ———, *Commuting symplectomorphisms on a surface and the flux homomorphism*, preprint, arXiv:2102.12161v3 (2021).
- [KKN21] Marek Kaluba, Dawid Kielak, and Piotr W. Nowak, *On property (T) for $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **193** (2021), no. 2, 539–562.

- [KM07] D. Kotschick and S. Morita, *Characteristic classes of foliated surface bundles with area-preserving holonomy*, J. Differential Geom. **75** (2007), no. 2, 273–302.
- [KM20] Morimichi Kawasaki and Shuhei Maruyama, *On boundedness of characteristic class via quasi-morphism*, preprint, arXiv:2012.10612v2 (2020).
- [KNO19] Marek Kaluba, Piotr W. Nowak, and Narutaka Ozawa, *Aut(\mathbb{F}_5) has property (T)*, Math. Ann. **375** (2019), no. 3-4, 1169–1191.
- [Man20] Kathryn Mann, *Unboundedness of some higher Euler classes*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **20** (2020), no. 3, 1221–1234.
- [Mat62] Yozô Matsushima, *On the first Betti number of compact quotient spaces of higher-dimensional symmetric spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 312–330.
- [McC89] James McCool, *A faithful polynomial representation of Out F_3* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **106** (1989), no. 2, 207–213.
- [Mil71] John Milnor, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1971, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72.
- [MN21] Nicolas Monod and Sam Nariman, *Bounded and unbounded cohomology of homeomorphism and diffeomorphism groups*, preprint, arXiv:2111.04365v3 (2021).
- [Mon] Nicolas Monod, *Lamplighters and the bounded cohomology of Thompson’s group*, preprint, arXiv:2112.13741v1.
- [Mon01] Nicolas Monod, *Continuous bounded cohomology of locally compact groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1758, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [Mon04] ———, *Stabilization for SL_n in bounded cohomology*, Discrete geometric analysis, Contemp. Math., vol. 347, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 191–202.
- [Mon07] ———, *Vanishing up to the rank in bounded cohomology*, Math. Res. Lett. **14** (2007), no. 4, 681–687.
- [Mon10] ———, *On the bounded cohomology of semi-simple groups, S -arithmetic groups and products*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **640** (2010), 167–202.
- [MR21] Marco Moraschini and George Raptis, *Amenability and acyclicity in bounded cohomology theory*, preprint, arXiv:2105.02821v2 (2021).
- [MS04] Nicolas Monod and Yehuda Shalom, *Cocycle superrigidity and bounded cohomology for negatively curved spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **67** (2004), no. 3, 395–455.
- [MVZ12] Alexandra Monzner, Nicolas Vichery, and Frol Zapolsky, *Partial quasimorphisms and quasistates on cotangent bundles, and symplectic homogenization*, J. Mod. Dyn. **6** (2012), no. 2, 205–249.
- [New68] B. B. Newman, *Some results on one-relator groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 568–571.
- [Nit20] Martin Nitsche, *Computer proofs for property (T), and SDP duality*, preprint, arXiv:2009.05134v2 (2020).
- [NSW08] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [PR14] Leonid Polterovich and Daniel Rosen, *Function theory on symplectic manifolds*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.
- [Py06] Pierre Py, *Quasi-morphismes et invariant de Calabi*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **39** (2006), no. 1, 177–195.
- [Sht16] Alexander I. Shtern, *Extension of pseudocharacters from normal subgroups, III*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **19** (2016), no. 4, 609–614.
- [Tho18] Andreas Thom, *Finitary approximations of groups and their applications*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. III. Invited lectures, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018, pp. 1779–1799.
- [Thu] William P. Thurston, *On the structure of the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms*, preprint.
- [Thu72] ———, *Noncobordant foliations of S^3* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 511–514.
- [Thu86] ———, *Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds, II: Surface groups and 3-manifolds which fiber over the circle*, 1986.

- [Tsh19] Bena Tshishiku, *Borel's stable range for the cohomology of arithmetic groups*, J. Lie Theory **29** (2019), no. 4, 1093–1102.
- [Tsu08] Takashi Tsuboi, *On the uniform perfectness of diffeomorphism groups*, Groups of diffeomorphisms, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 52, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008, pp. 505–524.
- [Tsu12] ———, *On the uniform perfectness of the groups of diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **87** (2012), no. 1, 141–185.
- [Tsu17] ———, *Several problems on groups of diffeomorphisms*, Geometry, dynamics, and foliations 2013, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 72, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017, pp. 239–248.

(Morimichi Kawasaki) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AOYAMA GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, 5-10-1 FUCHINOBE, CHUO-KU, SAGAMIHARA-SHI, KANAGAWA, 252-5258, JAPAN

Email address: `kawasaki@math.aoyama.ac.jp`

(Mitsuaki Kimura) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address: `mkimura@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

(Shuhei Maruyama) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: `m17037h@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

(Takahiro Matsushita) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF THE RYUKYUS, NISHIHARA-CHO, OKINAWA 903-0213, JAPAN

Email address: `mtst@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp`

(Masato Mimura) MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, 6-3, ARAMAKI AZA-AOBA, AOBA-KU, SENDAI 9808578, JAPAN

Email address: `m.masato.mimura.m@tohoku.ac.jp`