

# A NOTE ON NEWTON NON-DEGENERACY OF MIXED WEIGHTED HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS

SACHIKO SAITO AND KOSEI TAKASHIMIZU

ABSTRACT. A mixed polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is called a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial (Definition 5) if it is both radially and polar weighted homogeneous. Let  $f$  be a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial with respect to a strictly positive radial weight vector  $P$  and a polar weight vector  $Q$ . Suppose that  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate over a compact face  $\Delta(P)$  and polar weighted homogeneous of non-zero polar degree with respect to  $Q$ . Then  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has no mixed critical points. Moreover, under the assumption  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective. In other words, in this situation, Newton non-degeneracy over a compact face  $\Delta(P)$  implies strong Newton non-degeneracy over  $\Delta(P)$  (Proposition 10). With this fact as a starting point, we investigate the sets  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n}$ , and show the existence of a collection of mixed weighted homogeneous polynomials  $f = f_{\Delta(P)}$  of non-zero polar degree which satisfy  $\dim \Delta(P) \geq 1$  and  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} = \emptyset$  (Theorem 11). We also give an example of convenient mixed function germs of mixed weighted homogeneous face type which are not true non-degenerate (Definition 14).

## 1. MIXED FUNCTIONS AND THEIR RADIAL NEWTON POLYHEDRONS

Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{0}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We assume  $\bar{U} = U$ , where  $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$  stands for the complex conjugate  $(\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)$  of  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . For a complex valued holomorphic function  $F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$  on  $U \times U$  with complex  $2n$  variables, we set

$$f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) := F(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}),$$

which is defined over  $U$ . We call it a *mixed analytic function* (or *mixed function*)  $f$  on  $U$ . We assume that  $F(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) = 0$  throughout this paper, and hence,  $f(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ . Let  $F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \mathbf{w}^\mu$  be the Taylor expansion of  $F$  at  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ , where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ ,  $\nu_i \geq 0$ ,  $\mu_j \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{z}^\nu := z_1^{\nu_1} \cdots z_n^{\nu_n}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^\mu := w_1^{\mu_1} \cdots w_n^{\mu_n}$ . Then we have

$$(1.1) \quad f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu.$$

Note that the coefficients of the Taylor expansion (1.1) of a mixed function  $f$  are unique. We call  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  a *mixed polynomial* if the number of monomials  $c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$ ,  $c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0$  is finite.

Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  be a mixed function on  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . We say  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in U$  is a *mixed critical point* (or a *mixed singular point*) of  $f$  if the rank of the differential map  $(df)_{\mathbf{a}} : T_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow T_{f(\mathbf{a})}\mathbb{C} \cong T_{f(\mathbf{a})}\mathbb{R}^2$  is less than 2. We say  $\mathbf{a} \in U$  is a *mixed regular point* of  $f$  if it is not a mixed critical point of  $f$ .

We set  $K_+^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n \mid x_i \geq 0\}$ , where  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  be the germ of a mixed function  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\Gamma_+(f)$  be the convex hull of the set  $\bigcup_{c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0} (\nu + \mu) + \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , which we call the (*radial*) *Newton polyhedron* of the germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  of a mixed function  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$ .

For a “weight vector”  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n) (\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ , let  $d(P)$  be the minimum value of the linear function  $P : \Gamma_+(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P(\xi) := \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \xi_j$ , where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \Gamma_+(f)$ . We set  $\Delta(P) := \{\xi \in \Gamma_+(f) \mid P(\xi) = d(P)\}$ , which we call a *face* of  $\Gamma_+(f)$ . Note that  $\Delta(P) \neq \emptyset$  by its definition.

We say a weight vector  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  is *strictly positive* if  $p_i > 0$  for every  $i (= 1, \dots, n)$ . We write  $P \gg 0$  if  $P$  is strictly positive. Note that a face  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  is compact if and only if  $\Delta = \Delta(P)$  for some strictly positive weight vector  $P$  (see Lemma 17 in §5).

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14P05, 32S45.

*Key words and phrases.* mixed function, mixed polynomial, mixed weighted homogeneous, Newton non-degenerate.

For a compact face  $\Delta(P)$ , we define

$$f_{\Delta(P)}(\mathbf{z}) \text{ (or } f_P(\mathbf{z})) := \sum_{\mu+\nu \in \Delta(P)} c_{\nu,\mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu,$$

which we call a *face function* (or *face polynomial*) of a mixed function germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  ([4], p.78).

## 2. RADIALLY AND POLAR WEIGHTED HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS

**Definition 1** ([3]; [4], p.182).

- A mixed polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{\nu,\mu} c_{\nu,\mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  is called *radially weighted homogeneous* if there exists a weight vector  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n) (\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  and a positive integer  $d_r (> 0)$  such that  $c_{\nu,\mu} \neq 0 \implies P(\nu + \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(\nu_i + \mu_i) = d_r$ . We call  $d_r$  the *radial degree* of  $f$ , and define  $\text{rdeg}_P f := d_r$ .
- A mixed polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{\nu,\mu} c_{\nu,\mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  is called *polar weighted homogeneous* if there exists a weight vector  $Q = {}^t(q_1, \dots, q_n) (\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and an integer  $d_p (> 0, 0 \text{ or } < 0)$  such that  $c_{\nu,\mu} \neq 0 \implies Q(\nu - \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i(\nu_i - \mu_i) = d_p$ . We call  $d_p$  the *polar degree* of  $f$ , and define  $\text{pdeg}_Q f := d_p$ .

Since we assume  $f(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ , every face function  $f_{\Delta(P)}(\mathbf{z})$ , where  $P$  is strictly positive, of a mixed function germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is a radially weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree  $d(P) (> 0)$  with respect to the weight vector  $P$ .

**Example 2** ([4], Example 9.17).  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) := z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2^2$  is radially weighted homogeneous with respect to  $P = {}^t(1, 1)$  and polar weighted homogeneous with respect to  $Q = {}^t(1, -1)$ .

For radially and polar weighted homogeneous polynomials, we have the following facts:

**Lemma 3** (cf.[1], [2]). *Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  be a mixed polynomial. We have the following.*

- Let  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n) (\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  be a weight vector and  $d_r$  be a positive integer. For a positive real number  $t$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we define  $t \circ \mathbf{z} := (t^{p_1} z_1, \dots, t^{p_n} z_n)$ . Then  $f(t \circ \mathbf{z}) = t^{d_r} f(\mathbf{z})$  ( $\forall t > 0, \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ) if and only if  $c_{\nu,\mu} \neq 0 \implies P(\nu + \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(\nu_i + \mu_i) = d_r$ .
- Let  $Q = {}^t(q_1, \dots, q_n) (\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a weight vector and  $d_p$  be an integer. For a real number  $\theta$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we define  $\theta \circ \mathbf{z} := (e^{iq_1\theta} z_1, \dots, e^{iq_n\theta} z_n)$ . Then  $f(\theta \circ \mathbf{z}) = e^{id_p\theta} f(\mathbf{z})$  ( $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ) if and only if  $c_{\nu,\mu} \neq 0 \implies Q(\nu - \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i(\nu_i - \mu_i) = d_p$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4** (Euler equalities, [4], [7], [5]). *Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{\nu,\mu} c_{\nu,\mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  be a mixed polynomial.*

**(R):** *If  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a radially weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree  $d_r (> 0)$  with respect to a weight vector  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , then we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left( z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} + \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right) = d_r f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}).$$

**(P):** *If  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of polar degree  $d_p$  with respect to a weight vector  $Q = {}^t(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ , then we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n q_j \left( z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right) = d_p f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}). \quad \square$$

Although Lemma 3 and Proposition 4 are well known, we would like to give the proofs of them in §5.

**Definition 5** (mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial, Oka [4], pp.182–184). We say a mixed polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a *mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial* if it is both radially and polar weighted homogeneous. Here, the corresponding weight vectors  $P$  and  $Q$  are possibly different. We say a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a *strongly mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial* if  $f$  is radially and polar weighted homogeneous with respect to the same weight vector  $P$ . Furthermore, a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial  $f$  is called a *strongly polar positive mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial* with

respect to a weight vector  $P$  if  $f$  is radially and polar weighted homogeneous with respect to the same weight vector  $P$  and  $\text{pdeg}_P f > 0$ .

**Definition 6** (cf.[4],Definition 9.18; [3],p.174). Let  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  be a mixed function germ at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

- The germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  of a mixed function  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called *of mixed weighted homogeneous face type* if the face function  $f_\Delta(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial (Definition 5) for every compact face  $\Delta$ .
- The germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  of a mixed function  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called *of strongly polar positive mixed weighted homogeneous face type* if for every <sup>1)</sup> compact face  $\Delta$ , the face function  $f_\Delta(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a strongly polar positive mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial (Definition 5) with respect to *some* strictly positive weight vector  $P$  with  $\Delta = \Delta(P)$ .

### 3. NEWTON NON-DEGENERACY AND STRONG NEWTON NON-DEGENERACY

**Definition 7** ([2], p.6, Definition 3; [4], p.80 and pp.181–182). Let  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  be the germ of a mixed function  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

- (1) We say  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is *Newton non-degenerate over a compact face  $\Delta$*  if 0 is not a mixed critical value of the face function  $f_\Delta : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . (In particular, if  $f_\Delta^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} = \emptyset$ , then 0 is not a mixed critical value of the face function  $f_\Delta : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .)
- (2) Let  $\Delta$  be a compact face with  $\dim \Delta \geq 1$ . We say  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is *strongly Newton non-degenerate over  $\Delta$*  if the face function  $f_\Delta : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has no mixed critical points and  $f_\Delta : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective onto  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (3) Let  $\Delta$  be a compact face with  $\dim \Delta = 0$ , that is,  $\Delta$  is a vertex of  $\Gamma_+(f)$ . We say  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is *strongly Newton non-degenerate over  $\Delta$*  if the face function  $f_\Delta : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has no mixed critical points. (In this case we do not need the surjectivity from  $\mathbb{C}^{*n}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .)

**Definition 8** ([4], p.80 and p.182). We say the germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  of a mixed function  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is *Newton non-degenerate* (respectively, *strongly Newton non-degenerate*) if  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is Newton non-degenerate (respectively, strongly Newton non-degenerate) over every compact face  $\Delta$ .

**Example 9** ([4], 8.3.1). *The germ of the mixed homogeneous polynomial  $\rho(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_j = \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2$  of degree 2 at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is Newton nondegenerate, but not strongly Newton nondegenerate. Actually, for every compact face  $\Delta$ , we have  $\rho_\Delta^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} = \emptyset$ . However, since  $\rho_\Delta(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , every point in  $\mathbb{C}^{*n}$  is a mixed critical point. Hence,  $\rho$  is not strongly Newton nondegenerate.*

Now note that  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective under the assumption that “ $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} \neq \emptyset$ ”. Namely, in this case, Newton non-degeneracy over a compact face  $\Delta(P)$  implies strong Newton non-degeneracy over  $\Delta(P)$ . See the next Proposition 10 for more precise statements:

**Proposition 10** ([2], Remark 4; [7] and [6]). *Let  $f(\mathbf{z})$  be a holomorphic weighted homogeneous polynomial of positive degree with respect to a strictly positive weight vector  $P$ . (Then,  $f = f_{\Delta(P)}$ .)*

**(i)** *Suppose that  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate over  $\Delta(P)$ , namely, 0 is not a critical value of  $f_{\Delta(P)} = f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f_{\Delta(P)} = f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has no critical point. Hence, with **(ii)** below,  $f$  is strongly Newton non-degenerate over  $\Delta(P)$ .*

**(ii)** *Suppose that  $\dim \Delta(P) \geq 1$ , namely,  $f = f_{\Delta(P)}$  has at least two monomials. Then  $f_{\Delta(P)} = f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective.*

*Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  be a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial (Definition 5) with respect to a radial weight vector  $P (\gg 0)$  and a polar weight vector  $Q$ .*

---

<sup>1)</sup>In [3], a convenient (see Definition 15 of this paper) mixed function  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is called *of strongly polar positive (mixed) weighted homogeneous face type* if the face function  $f_\Delta(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a strongly polar positive (mixed) weighted homogeneous polynomial for every  $(n-1)$ -dimensional face. After this definition, Proposition 10 of [3] proves that for a convenient mixed function  $f$  of strongly polar positive (mixed) weighted homogeneous face type (in the sense of [3]) and any weight vector  $P$ , the face function  $f_{\Delta(P)}$  is also a strongly polar positive mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial with respect to  $P$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is Newton non-degenerate over a compact face  $\Delta(P)$  and the face function  $f_{\Delta(P)} = f$  is a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of non-zero polar degree with respect to the polar weight vector  $Q$ . Then  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has no mixed critical points.

(iv) In addition to (iii), we assume that  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective. Hence, with (iii), in this case, Newton non-degeneracy over a compact face  $\Delta(P)$  implies strong Newton non-degeneracy over  $\Delta(P)$ .  $\square$

The facts in Proposition 10 are mentioned in Remark 4 of [2]. We would like to give their detailed proofs in §5.

#### 4. THE MAIN THEOREM AND TRUE NON-DEGENERACY

Related to the assertions (iii) and (iv) of Proposition 10 in the previous section, we are interested in the condition

$$f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 11 below shows the existence of a collection of mixed weighted homogeneous polynomials  $g(z, \bar{z})$  with respect to radial weight vectors  $P (\gg 0)$  and polar weight vectors  $Q$  of non-zero polar degree which satisfy  $\dim \Delta(P) \geq 1$  and  $g^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} = \emptyset$ :

**Theorem 11.** *Let us consider the mixed polynomial*

$$g(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) := z_1^a \bar{z}_1^{\alpha-a} z_2^b \bar{z}_2^{\beta-b} - z_1^{a'} \bar{z}_1^{\alpha-a'} z_2^{b'} \bar{z}_2^{\beta-b'} + z_1^c \bar{z}_1^{\gamma-c} z_2^d \bar{z}_2^{\delta-d} + z_1^{c'} \bar{z}_1^{\gamma-c'} z_2^{d'} \bar{z}_2^{\delta-d'},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  are non-negative integers with  $\alpha < \gamma$  and  $\delta < \beta$ . Let  $P = {}^t(p_1, p_2)$  be a strictly positive weight vector and  $Q = {}^t(q_1, q_2) \neq \mathbf{0}$  be a weight vector ( $\in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ). We assume that

$$(4.1) \quad p_1(\gamma - \alpha) + p_2(\delta - \beta) = 0,$$

$$(4.2) \quad a - a' = q_2 k \quad \text{and} \quad b - b' = -q_1 k \quad \text{for some non-zero integer } k,$$

$$(4.3) \quad (a - a', b - b') = (c - c', d - d'),$$

$$(4.4) \quad (2(a - c) - \alpha + \gamma, 2(b - d) - \beta + \delta) = \pm(a - a', b - b'),$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad q_1(2a - \alpha) + q_2(2b - \beta) \neq 0.$$

Then,  $g$  is a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree  $\text{rdeg}_P g = d_r (> 0)$  with respect to the radial weight vector  $P$  and of polar degree  $\text{pdeg}_Q g = d_p (\neq 0)$  with respect to the polar weight vector  $Q$ . We have  $\dim \Delta(P) = 1$ ,  $g = g_{\Delta(P)}$ , and

$$g^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*2} = \emptyset.$$

Hence,  $g$  is Newton non-degenerate and not strongly Newton non-degenerate over  $\Delta(P)$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the radial Newton polyhedron  $\Gamma_+(g)$  of the germ  $(g, \mathbf{0})$ . Then note that the first and second terms correspond to the 0-dimensional face  $\{(\alpha, \beta)\}$ , and the third and fourth terms correspond to the 0-dimensional face  $\{(\gamma, \delta)\}$ .




FIGURE 1. The radial Newton polyhedron of  $g(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2)$ .

By the assumption (4.1) we have

$$(4.6) \quad p_1\alpha + p_2\beta = p_1\gamma + p_2\delta = d_r (> 0).$$

Hence,  $\dim \Delta(P) = 1$  and  $g = g_{\Delta(P)}$ . Let us consider the following condition:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & q_1(2a - \alpha) + q_2(2b - \beta) \\ &= q_1(2a' - \alpha) + q_2(2b' - \beta) \\ &= q_1(2c - \gamma) + q_2(2d - \delta) \\ &= q_1(2c' - \gamma) + q_2(2d' - \delta) \\ &= d_p (\neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) we have

$$(4.8) \quad q_1(2a - \alpha) + q_2(2b - \beta) = q_1(2a' - \alpha) + q_2(2b' - \beta).$$

By (4.3) we have

$$(4.9) \quad q_1(2c - \gamma) + q_2(2d - \delta) = q_1(2c' - \gamma) + q_2(2d' - \delta).$$

By (4.4) we have

$$q_1(2a - 2c - \alpha + \gamma) + q_2(2b - 2d - \beta + \delta) = 0,$$

and hence,

$$(4.10) \quad q_1(2a - \alpha) + q_2(2b - \beta) = q_1(2c - \gamma) + q_2(2d - \delta).$$

We see that (4.8), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.5) imply (4.7). From the conditions (4.6) and (4.7),  $g$  is a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree  $\text{rdeg}_P g = d_r (> 0)$  with respect to the radial weight vector  $P$  and of polar degree  $\text{pdeg}_Q g = d_p (\neq 0)$  with respect to the polar weight vector  $Q$ . From (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$(4.11) \quad ((a + a' - \alpha) - (c + c' - \gamma), (b + b' - \beta) - (d + d' - \delta)) = \pm(a - a', b - b').$$

We see that  $g = g_{\Delta(P)}$  and  $\dim \Delta(P) = 1$ . We will show that  $g^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*2} = \emptyset$ .

For  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{*2}$  with  $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, z_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$  ( $r_1 > 0, r_2 > 0$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(z_1, z_2, \overline{z_1}, \overline{z_2}) \\
&= r_1^\alpha r_2^\beta e^{i((2a-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b-\beta)\theta_2)} - r_1^\alpha r_2^\beta e^{i((2a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b'-\beta)\theta_2)} + r_1^\gamma r_2^\delta e^{i((2c-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d-\gamma)\theta_2)} + r_1^\gamma r_2^\delta e^{i((2c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d'-\gamma)\theta_2)} \\
&= r_1^\alpha r_2^\delta (r_2^{\beta-\delta} e^{i((2a-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b-\beta)\theta_2)} - r_2^{\beta-\delta} e^{i((2a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b'-\beta)\theta_2)} + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{i((2c-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d-\gamma)\theta_2)} \\
&\quad + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{i((2c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d'-\gamma)\theta_2)}) \\
&= r_1^\alpha r_2^\delta (r_2^{\beta-\delta} (e^{i((2a-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b-\beta)\theta_2)} - e^{i((2a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b'-\beta)\theta_2)}) \\
&\quad + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} (e^{i((2c-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d-\gamma)\theta_2)} + e^{i((2c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d'-\gamma)\theta_2)})).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( r_2^{\beta-\delta} (e^{i((2a-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b-\beta)\theta_2)} - e^{i((2a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b'-\beta)\theta_2)}) + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} (e^{i((2c-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d-\gamma)\theta_2)} + e^{i((2c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d'-\gamma)\theta_2)}) \right) \\
&= 2i r_2^{\beta-\delta} \sin \frac{1}{2} ((2a-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b-\beta)\theta_2 - ((2a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b'-\beta)\theta_2)) \\
&\quad \times e^{i(((2a-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b-\beta)\theta_2) + ((2a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (2b'-\beta)\theta_2))/2} \\
&\quad + 2r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} \cos \frac{1}{2} (((2c-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d-\delta)\theta_2) - ((2c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d'-\delta)\theta_2)) \\
&\quad \times e^{i(((2c-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d-\delta)\theta_2) + ((2c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (2d'-\delta)\theta_2))/2} \\
&= 2i r_2^{\beta-\delta} \sin((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i((a+a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (b+b'-\beta)\theta_2)} \\
&\quad + 2r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} \cos((c-c')\theta_1 + (d-d')\theta_2) e^{i((c+c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (d+d'-\delta)\theta_2)} \\
&= 2(r_2^{\beta-\delta} \sin((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i((a+a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (b+b'-\beta)\theta_2 + \pi/2)} \\
&\quad + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} \cos((c-c')\theta_1 + (d-d')\theta_2) e^{i((c+c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (d+d'-\delta)\theta_2)}) \\
&= 2(r_2^{\beta-\delta} \sin((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i((a+a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (b+b'-\beta)\theta_2 + \pi/2)} \\
&\quad + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} \cos((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i((c+c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (d+d'-\delta)\theta_2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

If one of  $\sin((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2)$ ,  $\cos((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2)$  is 0, then the other is not 0, and hence, we have  $g(z_1, z_2, \overline{z_1}, \overline{z_2}) \neq 0$ . Thus, if  $g(z_1, z_2, \overline{z_1}, \overline{z_2}) = 0$ , then we have  $\sin((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) \neq 0$  and  $\cos((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) \neq 0$ . From the equality  $r_2^{\beta-\delta} \sin((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i((a+a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (b+b'-\beta)\theta_2 + \pi/2)} + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} \cos((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i((c+c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (d+d'-\delta)\theta_2)} = 0$ , we have

$$r_2^{\beta-\delta} \tan((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i((a+a'-\alpha)\theta_1 + (b+b'-\beta)\theta_2 + \pi/2)} + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{i((c+c'-\gamma)\theta_1 + (d+d'-\delta)\theta_2)} = 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$r_2^{\beta-\delta} \tan((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i(((a+a'-\alpha)-(c+c'-\gamma))\theta_1 + ((b+b'-\beta)-(d+d'-\delta))\theta_2 + \pi/2)} + r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} = 0.$$

Using (4.11), we have

$$r_1^{\gamma-\alpha} = -r_2^{\beta-\delta} \tan((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) e^{i(\pm((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) + \pi/2)}.$$

Hence,  $e^{i(\pm((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) + \pi/2)}$  is a real number, and

$$\pm((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) + \pi/2 = m\pi$$

for some integer  $m$ . Namely,

$$((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) \pm \pi/2 = m'\pi$$

for some integer  $m'$ . Then we have  $\cos((a-a')\theta_1 + (b-b')\theta_2) = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus we have  $g^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*2} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Example 12.** As an example of Theorem 11, let us consider the mixed polynomial

$$g(z_1, z_2, \overline{z_1}, \overline{z_2}) := z_1^a \overline{z_1}^{3-a} z_2^b \overline{z_2}^{8-b} - z_1^{a'} \overline{z_1}^{3-a'} z_2^{b'} \overline{z_2}^{8-b'} + z_1^c \overline{z_1}^{8-c} z_2^d \overline{z_2}^{7-d} + z_1^{c'} \overline{z_1}^{8-c'} z_2^{d'} \overline{z_2}^{7-d'}.$$

Then  $g$  is a radially weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree 43 with respect to the radial weight vector  $P := {}^t(1, 5)$ . We set  $Q := {}^t(1, 1)$ . Using Theorem 11, let us enumerate up all

$$(a, b, a', b', c, d, c', d') \text{'s}$$

satisfying the conditions:

$$(4.12) \quad (a-a', b-b') = (c-c', d-d') = (-1, 1),$$

$$(4.13) \quad (2(a-c) - 3 + 8, 2(b-d) - 8 + 7) = \pm(-1, 1),$$

and

$$(4.14) \quad (2a - 3) + (2b - 8) > 0.$$

Here we restrict ourselves to the cases where  $g$  is a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of positive polar degree  $2a + 2b - 11$  with respect to the polar weight vector  $Q = {}^t(1, 1)$  by the condition (4.14).

The condition (4.14) is equivalent to  $a + b \geq 6$ . By (4.12), we have  $a' = a + 1$ ,  $b' = b - 1$ ,  $c' = c + 1$ , and  $d' = d - 1$ . Hence,  $(a', b', c', d')$  is determined by  $(a, b, c, d)$ , and  $0 \leq a \leq 2$ ,  $1 \leq b \leq 8$ ,  $0 \leq c \leq 7$ , and  $1 \leq d \leq 7$ . We have

$$(2(a - c) - 3 + 8, 2(b - d) - 8 + 7) = (-1, 1) \iff I: \quad c = a + 3 \text{ and } d = b - 1,$$

and

$$(2(a - c) - 3 + 8, 2(b - d) - 8 + 7) = (1, -1) \iff II: \quad c = a + 2 \text{ and } d = b.$$

Finally,  $(c, d)$  is determined by  $(a, b)$ . In Case I,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  should satisfy the conditions

$$a + b \geq 6, \quad 0 \leq a \leq 2, \quad 2 \leq b \leq 8,$$

and in Case II,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  should satisfy the conditions

$$a + b \geq 6, \quad 0 \leq a \leq 2, \quad 1 \leq b \leq 7.$$

See Figure 2. We see that there are 21  $(a, b, a', b', c, d, c', d')$  's (mixed weighted homogeneous polynomials).




FIGURE 2.

**Example 13.** Let us consider the mixed polynomial

$$h(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) := \bar{z}_1^3 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2^3 - z_1^3 z_2^4 \bar{z}_2^5 + \bar{z}_1^6 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2 + z_1^3 \bar{z}_1^3 z_2^4 \bar{z}_2^3.$$

Then  $h$  is a strongly mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree 33 and polar degree 3 with respect to the weight vector  $P := {}^t(2, 3)$ . We have  $\dim \Delta(P) = 1$  and  $h = h_{\Delta(P)}$ . Since  $h$  satisfies the conditions  $(a - a', b - b') = (c - c', d - d') = (-3, 2)$  and  $(2(a - c) - \alpha + \gamma, 2(b - d) - \beta + \delta) = (3, -2)$ , by Theorem 11, we have  $h^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*2} = \emptyset$ .

Related to the condition  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} \neq \emptyset$  in Proposition 10, the notion “true non-degeneracy” is introduced in Oka [2]:

**Definition 14** ([2], Definition 3). A mixed function germ  $f(z, \bar{z})$  at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called *true non-degenerate* if  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate and  $f_{\Delta}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} \neq \emptyset$  for every compact face  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  with  $\dim \Delta \geq 1$ .

Now let  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  be the germ of a mixed function  $f(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} z^{\nu} \bar{z}^{\mu}$ . We assume that  $f(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ . For a subset  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we set  $\mathbb{C}^I := \{z \mid z_j = 0 \text{ for every } j \notin I\}$  and  $f^I := f|_{\mathbb{C}^I}$ .

**Definition 15.** A mixed function germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is *convenient* if  $f^I \not\equiv 0$  for every  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $I \neq \emptyset$ .

Note that if  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is a convenient Newton non-degenerate mixed function germ, then  $\mathbf{0}$  is a mixed regular point of  $f$  or an isolated mixed critical point of  $f$  in the mixed hypersurface  $V := f^{-1}(0)$  ([2], Theorem 19 (1)).

**Example 16.** *Let us consider the mixed function germ*

$$f(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) := z_2^7 \bar{z}_2^2 + z_1^3 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2^2 - \bar{z}_1^3 z_2^7 \bar{z}_2 + z_1^7 \bar{z}_1 z_2^5 \bar{z}_2^2 + z_1^4 \bar{z}_1^4 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2 + z_1^{35} \bar{z}_1^{20}.$$

It is obvious that  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is convenient<sup>2)</sup>. We set  $P := {}^t(1, 3)$ ,  $Q := {}^t(1, 5)$ ,  $R := {}^t(7, 47)$ . Then we have:

- The 1-dimensional face function  $f_{\Delta(P)} = z_2^7 \bar{z}_2^2 + z_1^3 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2^2 - \bar{z}_1^3 z_2^7 \bar{z}_2$  is a strongly mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree 27 and polar degree 15 with respect to the weight vector  $P$ .
- The 1-dimensional face function  $f_{\Delta(Q)} = z_1^3 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2^2 - \bar{z}_1^3 z_2^7 \bar{z}_2 + z_1^7 \bar{z}_1 z_2^5 \bar{z}_2^2 + z_1^4 \bar{z}_1^4 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2$  is a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree 43 with respect to the radial weight vector  $Q$  and of polar degree 15 with respect to the polar weight vector  $P$ . By Theorem 11, we have

$$f_{\Delta(Q)}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*2} = \emptyset.$$

- The 1-dimensional face function  $f_{\Delta(R)} = z_1^7 \bar{z}_1 z_2^5 \bar{z}_2^2 + z_1^4 \bar{z}_1^4 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2 + z_1^{35} \bar{z}_1^{20}$  is a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree 385 with respect to the radial weight vector  $R$  and of polar degree 15 with respect to the polar weight vector  $P$ .

Thus  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is a convenient mixed function germ of mixed weighted homogeneous face type (Definition 6) which is not true non-degenerate.

## 5. APPENDIX

In this section we give some proofs of facts stated in §1–§4 for unfamiliar readers.

**Lemma 17** (cf. [5]). *A face  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  is compact if and only if  $\Delta = \Delta(P)$  for some strictly positive weight vector  $P$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  is strictly positive, then the set  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = d(P)\}$  is an  $(n-1)$ -simplex whose vertices are  $d(P)(1/p_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, d(P)(0, \dots, 0, 1/p_n)$ . Since the face  $\Delta(P)$  is contained in this  $(n-1)$ -simplex, it is a bounded closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , and hence, it is compact. Conversely, if a face  $\Delta = \Delta(Q)$  is compact, where  $Q = {}^t(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ , then we can take  $Q$  to be strictly positive. Actually, take the minimum number  $m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ) such that  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$  changing the order of the components of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if necessary. Then, we have  $Q(\mathbf{x}) = {}^t(q_1, \dots, q_m, M, \dots, M)(\mathbf{x})$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta$  and every positive real number  $M$ . If we take a sufficiently large positive integer  $M_0$ , then we have  $Q(\mathbf{x}) \leq {}^t(q_1, \dots, q_m, M_0, \dots, M_0)(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_+(f)$ . Put  $P := {}^t(q_1, \dots, q_m, M_0, \dots, M_0)$ . Then we have  $\Delta = \Delta(Q) = \Delta(P)$ . By the definition of  $m$ , there exists a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta$  such that  $x_i \neq 0$  for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Suppose that  $q_i = 0$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}' := (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma_+(f)$ , where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary non-negative real number, is contained in  $\Delta(P) = \Delta$ . This contradicts the compactness of  $\Delta$ . Hence,  $P$  is strictly positive.  $\square$

We next give the proof of Lemma 3 as follows: If  $f(t \circ \mathbf{z}) = t^{d_r} f(\mathbf{z})$  ( $\forall t > 0, \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ), then we have  $\sum_{\nu, \mu} t^{P(\nu+\mu)} c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu = \sum_{\nu, \mu} t^{d_r} c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$ . By the uniqueness of the Taylor expansion, we have

$$c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0 \implies t^{P(\nu+\mu)} = t^{d_r} \quad (\forall t > 0).$$

Hence, we have  $P(\nu + \mu) = d_r$ . The proof of the converse is easy. Now if  $f(\theta \circ \mathbf{z}) = e^{id_p \theta} f(\mathbf{z})$  ( $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ), then we have  $\sum_{\nu, \mu} e^{iQ(\nu-\mu)\theta} c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu = \sum_{\nu, \mu} e^{id_p \theta} c_{\nu, \mu} \mathbf{z}^\nu \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$ . By the uniqueness of the Taylor expansion, we have

$$c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0 \implies e^{iQ(\nu-\mu)\theta} = e^{id_p \theta} \quad (\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}).$$

<sup>2)</sup>A mixed function germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is convenient if and only if for every fixed  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there exists a pair of non-negative integers  $(\nu_i, \mu_i)$  with  $\nu_i + \mu_i > 0$  such that  $f$  has the term  $c_{\nu, \mu} z_i^{\nu_i} \bar{z}_i^{\mu_i}$  ( $c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0$ ), where  $\nu := (0, \dots, 0, \nu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\mu := (0, \dots, 0, \mu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ . See Lemma 18 in §5.



Take a real number  $\theta (\neq 0)$  such that  $-\pi < Q(\nu - \mu)\theta$ ,  $d_p\theta \leq \pi$ . Then we have  $Q(\nu - \mu) = d_p$ . The proof of the converse is easy.  $\square$

We now give a direct proof of Proposition 4. This proof is different from p.183 of [4]. Let us prove **(R)**. If  $f$  is a radially weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial degree  $d_r (> 0)$  with respect to a weight vector  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , then we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} \nu_j z_1^{\nu_1} \cdots z_j^{\nu_j - 1} \cdots z_n^{\nu_n} \bar{z}^\mu.$$

Hence, we have  $p_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} p_j \nu_j z_1^{\nu_1} \cdots z_n^{\nu_n} \bar{z}^\mu$ . Hence, we have

$$\sum_j p_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} \left( \sum_j p_j \nu_j z^\nu \bar{z}^\mu \right)$$

and

$$\sum_j p_j \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} \left( \sum_j p_j \mu_j z^\nu \bar{z}^\mu \right).$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left( z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} + \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right) = \sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} \left( \sum_j p_j (\nu_j + \mu_j) \right) z^\nu \bar{z}^\mu = d_r f(z, \bar{z}).$$

We can prove **(P)** in the same way.  $\square$

Here we would like to give a detailed proof of Proposition 10. The facts in Proposition 10 are mentioned in Remark 4 of [2].

**(i)** Suppose that  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate over  $\Delta(P)$ , namely, 0 is not a critical value of  $f_{\Delta(P)} = f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . By Euler equality (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} = (\deg_P f) \cdot f(z).$$

Hence, if  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{*n}$  is a critical point of  $f$ , then we have  $(\deg_P f) \cdot f(z) = 0$ . Since  $\deg_P f > 0$ , we have  $f(z) = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

**(ii)** Suppose that  $\dim \Delta(P) \geq 1$ , namely,  $f = f_{\Delta(P)}$  has at least two monomials. We will show that  $f_{\Delta(P)} = f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective by the induction on  $n$ . Here we have  $n \geq 2$  since  $f = f_{\Delta(P)}$  has at least two monomials.

We put  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu \in \Delta(P)} c_\nu z^\nu$ ,  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ , where  $P = {}^t(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \gg 0$  and  $d := \deg_P f (> 0)$ .

Step 1: Let us consider the case  $n = 2$ . Let  $m_1 > 0$  be the highest exponent of  $z_1$ . We have

$$f(z) = c_{m_1, m_2} z_2^{m_2} z_1^{m_1} + \cdots + c_{n_1, n_2} z_2^{n_2} z_1^{n_1}, \quad c_{m_1, m_2} \neq 0, \quad c_{n_1, n_2} \neq 0,$$

where  $n_1$  is the lowest exponent of  $z_1$ . Since  $f$  has at least two monomials, we have  $m_1 > n_1 \geq 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_1, 1) &= c_{m_1, m_2} z_1^{m_1} + \cdots + c_{n_1, n_2} z_1^{n_1} \\ &= z_1^{n_1} (c_{m_1, m_2} z_1^{m_1 - n_1} + \cdots + c_{n_1, n_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $f(z_1, z_2) \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{*2}$ . Then  $f(z_1, 1) = 0$  for every  $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and hence, there exist infinitely many roots of the equation  $f(z_1, 1) = 0$ . Since  $f(z_1, 1)$  is a holomorphic polynomial with complex coefficients and 1 variable of degree  $m_1 (> 0)$ , this is a contradiction. Thus, there exists  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{*2}$  such

that  $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \neq 0$ . Since  $f$  is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  ( $> 0$ ) with respect to the weight vector  $P$  we have

$$f(t^{p_1}\alpha_1, t^{p_2}\alpha_2) = t^d f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

for every  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Hence, we see that  $f(\mathbb{C}^{*2}) \supset \mathbb{C}^*$ . Moreover, we have  $f(z_1, 1) = 0$  if and only if  $z_1 = 0$  or  $c_{m_1, m_2} z_1^{m_1 - n_1} + \dots + c_{n_1, n_2} = 0$ , where  $m_1 - n_1 > 0$ ,  $c_{m_1, m_2} \neq 0$ ,  $c_{n_1, n_2} \neq 0$ . Hence, the equation  $f(z_1, 1) = 0$  has a root  $z_1$  ( $\neq 0$ ). Thus,  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective.

Step 2: Let us consider  $n$  ( $\geq 3$ ) variables case. Suppose that the following assertion (\*) is true.

(\*): *If  $f(\mathbf{z})$  is a holomorphic weighted homogeneous polynomial with  $(n - 1)$  variables of positive degree with respect to a strictly positive weight vector  $P$  having at least two monomials, then  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective.*

Let  $m_1 > 0$  be the highest exponent of  $z_1$ . We have

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = p(z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^{m_1} + \dots$$

(1) Let us consider the case  $f(\mathbf{z}) = p(z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^{m_1}$ . Then  $p(z_2, \dots, z_n)$  has at least 2 monomials, and it is a holomorphic weighted homogeneous polynomial with  $(n - 1)$  variables of degree  $d - m_1 p_1 > 0$  with respect to the weight vector  ${}^t(p_2, \dots, p_n)$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $p : \mathbb{C}^{*(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective. Since  $f(1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = p(z_2, \dots, z_n)$ , we see that  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective.

(2) Let us consider the case  $f(\mathbf{z}) = p(z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^{m_1} + \dots + q(z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^{n_1}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ ,  $m_1 > n_1 \geq 0$ . If both  $p$  and  $q$  are monomials, then we have  $p(1, \dots, 1)q(1, \dots, 1) \neq 0$ . If  $p$  or  $q$  has at least 2 monomials, then  $p(z_2, \dots, z_n)q(z_2, \dots, z_n)$  also has at least 2 monomials, and it is a holomorphic weighted homogeneous polynomial with  $(n - 1)$  variables of degree  $\deg pq > 0$ <sup>3)</sup>. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, there exists  $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{*(n-1)}$  such that  $p(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)q(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq 0$ . Thus, in both cases we see that there exists  $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{*(n-1)}$  such that  $p(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq 0$  and  $q(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq 0$ . Since  $f(z_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = z_1^{n_1} (p(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) z_1^{m_1 - n_1} + \dots + q(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n))$ , we see that  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective by the same argument as in Step 1.

(iii) We set  $d_r := \text{rdeg}_P f$ ,  $d_p := \text{pdeg}_Q f$ . We will show that there are no mixed critical points of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{*n}$ . Note that a 0-dimensional face (vertex) of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  possibly corresponds to plural terms (monomials) of  $f$ .

Since  $f$  is a mixed weighted homogeneous polynomial (Definition 5) with respect to a radial weight vector  $P$  and a polar weight vector  $Q$ , we have the Euler equalities:

$$d_r \cdot f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( p_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) + p_j \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \right), \quad d_p \cdot f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( q_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) - q_j \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \right).$$

Suppose that  $\mathbf{z}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^{*n}$  is a mixed critical point of  $f$ . Then, by Proposition 1 of Oka [1], there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| = 1$  such that

$$\left( \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0), \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) \right) = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) \right).$$

We have  $f(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) \neq 0$  since  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_r \cdot f(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( p_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) + p_j \bar{z}_j \cdot \overline{\alpha} \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left( z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) + \overline{\alpha} \cdot z_j \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}}(\mathbf{z}^0, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^0) \right) \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>3)</sup>Let  $p(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\nu} b_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $q(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} z^{\mu}$  be weighted homogeneous polynomials with respect to the same weight vector  $\mathbf{a}$ . Then,  $p(\mathbf{z})q(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\nu, \mu} b_{\nu} c_{\mu} z^{\nu + \mu}$  is also a weighted homogeneous polynomials of degree  $\deg p + \deg q$  with respect to  $\mathbf{a}$ . Actually, if  $\nu + \mu = \lambda$  for a fixed exponent  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  and  $b_{\nu} c_{\mu} \neq 0$ , then we have  $b_{\nu} \neq 0$ ,  $c_{\mu} \neq 0$ , and hence,  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (\nu_j + \mu_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \nu_j + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j = \deg p + \deg q$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
d_p \cdot f(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( q_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0}) - q_j \overline{z_j} \cdot \overline{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n q_j \left( z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0}) - \overline{\alpha} \cdot \overline{z_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We now set  $c := \sum_{j=1}^n p_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0})$ ,  $c' := \sum_{j=1}^n q_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0})$ . Then we have  $d_r \cdot f(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0}) = c + \overline{\alpha c}$  and  $d_p \cdot f(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0}) = c' - \overline{\alpha c'}$ . We set  $\alpha = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $c = \rho e^{i\tau}$ ,  $c' = \rho' e^{i\tau'}$  and we have  $c + \overline{\alpha c} = 2\rho \cos \frac{2\tau+\theta}{2} (\cos(-\frac{\theta}{2}) + i \sin(-\frac{\theta}{2}))$  and  $c' - \overline{\alpha c'} = 2\rho' \sin \frac{2\tau'+\theta}{2} (\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}) + i \sin(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}))$ . However, since  $d_r, d_p$  are non-zero integers, the difference of the arguments of the complex numbers  $d_r \cdot f(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0})$  and  $d_p \cdot f(\mathbf{z}^0, \overline{\mathbf{z}^0})$  is written as  $n\pi$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). This is a contradiction.

(iv) Suppose that  $f(\mathbf{z}) = 0$  for every  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{*n}$ . Then  $\mathbf{z}$  is a mixed critical point of  $f$  and  $f(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ . This contradicts the Newton non-degeneracy of  $f$ . Hence, there exists  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{*n}$  such that  $f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \neq 0$ . Since  $f$  is mixed weighted homogeneous and  $d_r > 0$ ,  $d_p \neq 0$ , by Lemma 3, we have

$$f(t \circ (e^{i\theta} \circ \boldsymbol{\alpha})) = t^{d_r} e^{id_p \theta} f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \quad (\forall t > 0, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and hence,  $f(\mathbb{C}^{*n}) \supset \mathbb{C}^*$ . With the assumption  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{C}^{*n} \neq \emptyset$ , we see that  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective. This completes the proof of Proposition 10.  $\square$

Here we note that the non-zero polar degree condition ( $d_p \neq 0$ ) is a key in both proofs of (iii) (the non-existence of mixed critical points of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{*n}$ ) and (iv) (the surjectivity of  $f : \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) of Proposition 10.

**Lemma 18** (cf. [3], §2, p.174). *A mixed function germ  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is convenient if and only if for every fixed  $i$  ( $= 1, \dots, n$ ), there exists a pair of non-negative integers  $(\nu_i, \mu_i)$  with  $\nu_i + \mu_i > 0$  such that  $f$  has the term  $c_{\nu, \mu} z_i^{\nu_i} \overline{z_i}^{\mu_i}$  ( $c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0$ ), where  $\nu := (0, \dots, 0, \nu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\mu := (0, \dots, 0, \mu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* This is well-known. Here we give a proof. Suppose that  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is convenient. If for some  $i$  ( $= 1, \dots, n$ ), there are no pair of non-negative integers  $(\nu_i, \mu_i)$  with  $\nu_i + \mu_i > 0$  such that  $c_{\nu, \mu} z_i^{\nu_i} \overline{z_i}^{\mu_i}$  ( $c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0$ ), where  $\nu := (0, \dots, 0, \nu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\mu := (0, \dots, 0, \mu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ , is a term of  $f$ , then we have  $f^{\{i\}} \equiv 0$ . This contradicts the convenience of  $(f, \mathbf{0})$ . Conversely, suppose that for every fixed  $i$  ( $= 1, \dots, n$ ), there exists a pair of non-negative integers  $(\nu_i, \mu_i)$  with  $\nu_i + \mu_i > 0$  such that  $f$  has the term  $c_{\nu, \mu} z_i^{\nu_i} \overline{z_i}^{\mu_i}$  ( $c_{\nu, \mu} \neq 0$ ), where  $\nu := (0, \dots, 0, \nu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\mu := (0, \dots, 0, \mu_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then  $f$  is a mixed function  $\sum_{\nu, \mu} c_{\nu, \mu} z_i^{\nu_i} \overline{z_i}^{\mu_i}$  with 1 variable  $z_i$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{\{i\}}$  ( $z_i$ -axis). By the uniqueness of the Taylor expansion of a mixed function,  $f^{\{i\}} \neq 0$ . Hence, we have  $f^I \neq 0$  for every  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $I \neq \emptyset$ . Namely,  $(f, \mathbf{0})$  is convenient.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. OKA, Topology of polar weighted homogeneous hypersurfaces, Kodai Math. J. **31** (2008), 163–182.
- [2] M. OKA, Non-degenerate mixed functions, Kodai Math. J. **33** (2010), 1–62.
- [3] M. OKA, Mixed functions of strongly polar weighted homogeneous face type, Singularities in Geometry and Topology 2011, Advanced Study in Pure Math. **66** (2015), 173–202.
- [4] M. OKA, Introduction to Complex and Mixed hypersurface singularities (in Japanese), Maruzen, Tokyo, 2018.
- [5] S. SAITO AND K. TAKASHIMIZU, Newton Non-degeneracy of Mixed Functions (in Japanese), *Hokkaido Kyoikudaigaku Kiyo* **72-1** (2021), 1–8.
- [6] S. SAITO AND K. TAKASHIMIZU, Resolutions of Newton non-degenerate mixed polynomials of strongly polar non-negative mixed weighted homogeneous face type, arXiv:2101.09631, Kodai Math. J. **44** (2021), 457–491.
- [7] K. TAKASHIMIZU, Toric resolutions of mixed hypersurface singularities (in Japanese), Master's thesis, Hokkaido University of Education, 2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, ASAHIKAWA CAMPUS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, ASAHIKAWA 070-8621, HOKKAIDO, JAPAN, SEIRYO JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL, KUSHIRO 085-0814, HOKKAIDO, JAPAN.

*Email address:* saito.sachiko@a.hokkyodai.ac.jp, tkosei25@gmail.com