

DIMENSIONAL TYPES AND P -SPACES

WOJCIECH BIELAS, ANDRZEJ KUCHARSKI, AND SZYMON PLEWIK

ABSTRACT. We investigate the category of discrete topological spaces, with emphasis on inverse systems of height ω_1 . Their inverse limits belong to the class of P -spaces, which allows us to explore dimensional types of these spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the connection between inverse limits of height ω_1 , which extend the category of discrete topological spaces. Results are concentrated on dimensional types of some P -spaces. The name “ P -space” was used by L. Gillman and M. Henriksen [6]. If a space X is completely regular and every countable intersection of open sets of X is open, then X is called P -space. A. K. Misra [14] proposed investigation of T_1 -spaces which satisfy this last condition and called them P -spaces, too. If X is a T_1 -space, then X endowed with the topology generated by all G_δ -sets is called the G_δ -modification of X , and it is denoted by $(X)_\delta$. Thus, any T_1 -space is a P -space if and only if it is its own G_δ -modification. Following M. Fréchet [5], K. Kuratowski [12] or W. Sierpiński [17], etc., we are convinced that pairs of topological spaces which embed into each other are interesting in themselves. This relation appeared under various names: *topological rank*, see [12, p. 112]; *dimensional type*, see [17, p. 130], etc. If X is homeomorphic to a subspace of Y , i.e. Y contains a homeomorphic copy of X , in short $X \subset_h Y$, then the dimensional type of X is less or equal to the dimensional type of Y . If $X \subset_h Y$ and $Y \subset_h X$, then we write $X =_h Y$. If $X \subset_h Y$ and Y does not contain a homeomorphic copy of X , then X has smaller dimensional type than Y . In the paper [2], the relation “ \subset_h ” was called “topological inclusion relation” and was used to examine topological arrow relation of the form $X \rightarrow (Y)_2^1$.

Date: November 7, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 54G10, ; Secondary: 54A10, 03E35.

Key words and phrases. P -space, G_δ -modification, dimensional type, topological rank, labeled tree.

One of the results of this paper, which improves some results from [3], is $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta \rightarrow (\Sigma)_2^1$, see Section 7.

We use the standard notation and terminology of [12], [7], or [4], as well as of papers [11] and [3] with some minor changes. A dense in itself space is called a *crowded* space. A *partition* is a cover consisting of pairwise disjoint open sets, hence elements of a partition are clopen sets, here a *clopen* set means a closed and open set. We refer the readers to the book [4, pp. 98–104] for details about limits of inverse systems. We use inverse systems $\{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, where each X_α is a discrete space.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we briefly sketch some facts about P -spaces. For the description of inverse systems, see [4], but notions related to trees are taken from [7]. In Sections 3 and 4, we discuss the G_δ -modifications of Cantor cubes and its subspaces, where we show that the Baire number varies among them. Theorem 13 says that, under the Continuum Hypothesis, any two dense subspaces of $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ of cardinality \mathfrak{c} are homeomorphic. In Sections 5–7, we investigate P -spaces of cardinality ω_1 , P -spaces of weight ω_1 and P -spaces of cardinality and weight ω_1 . Any P -space of weight ω_1 can be embedded into $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$, hence the space $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ has the greatest dimensional type in the class of all P -spaces of weight ω_1 , whenever the Continuum Hypothesis is assumed. In Section 8, we introduce the notion of a λ -thin labeling and prove that any two P -spaces which have a λ -thin labeling are homeomorphic. Also we prove that if a P -space X has an ω -thin labeling (or an ω_1 -thin labeling), then X has the smallest dimensional type in the class of all crowded P -spaces of weight ω_1 . Finally, we give a few remarks about rigid Lindelöf P -spaces.

2. P -SPACES, INVERSE LIMITS AND TREES

Before proceeding, let us note the following observation.

Proposition 1. *Any regular P -space is 0-dimensional.*

Proof. Fix a regular P -space X and $x \in V \subseteq X$, where V is an open set. By regularity of X , there exists a sequence (U_n) of open sets such that $x \in \text{cl} U_n \subseteq U_{n-1}$. The set

$$V^* = \bigcap_n \text{cl} U_n = \bigcap_n U_n \subseteq V$$

is clopen and $x \in V^* \subseteq V$. Therefore, X has a base consisting of clopen sets. \square

The family of all clopen sets of a regular P -space is a σ -algebra. For these reasons, from now on we assume that a P -space is completely regular.

Proposition 2. *If X is a P -space and a clopen subset $U \subseteq X$ has a limit point, then U contains uncountably many pairwise disjoint clopen subsets.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X$ be a clopen set with a limit point $y \in U$. By Proposition 1, there exists an uncountable base \mathcal{B} at y consisting of clopen subsets. Choose a strictly decreasing sequence

$$\{V_\alpha \subseteq U : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$$

The family $\{V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is as desired. \square

Proposition 3. *In a P -space, any countable family consisting of open covers has a common refinement.*

Proof. It suffices to consider a family $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n < \omega\}$ of covers, each one consists of clopen sets. For a point x , choose $V_{n,x} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $x \in V_{n,x}$. The intersection

$$V_x = \bigcap \{V_{n,x} : n < \omega\}$$

is a clopen set, so the family of all V_x is a desired refinement. \square

Let $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a family of discrete spaces. The G_δ -modification $(\prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha)_\delta$ of a product $\prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha$ with the Tychonoff topology has a base

$$\{[f] : f \in \prod_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta \text{ and } \alpha < \omega_1\}, \text{ where } [f] = \{g \in \prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha : f \subseteq g\}.$$

Assume that there are given bonding maps $\pi_\beta^\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ such that

$$\gamma < \beta < \alpha < \omega_1 \text{ implies } \pi_\gamma^\beta \circ \pi_\beta^\alpha = \pi_\gamma^\alpha.$$

We have an inverse system $\mathbb{P} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ and the inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathbb{P}$. By [4, Proposition 2.5.5.], the inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathbb{P}$ is a P -space. Given an inverse system $\mathbb{P} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, we would like to enrich it by injections $\iota_\beta^\alpha : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$, for each $\beta < \alpha$, such that

- (a) $\iota_\beta^\alpha \circ \iota_\gamma^\beta = \iota_\gamma^\alpha$, where $\gamma < \beta < \alpha < \omega_1$;
- (b) $\pi_\beta^\alpha \circ \iota_\beta^\alpha = \text{id}_{X_\beta}$, where $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$,

see Diagram 1.




DIAGRAM 1.

Given commutative diagrams, as Diagram 1 with $\gamma \leq \beta \leq \alpha < \omega_1$, we get an enriched inverse system $\mathbb{P} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \iota_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$. We use the same symbol as for the system $\{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, since both of them gives the same inverse limit. But the injections allow us to define the following P -spaces. Let

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}} = \{(p_\alpha) \in \varprojlim \mathbb{P} : \exists_{\gamma < \omega_1} \forall_{\beta > \gamma} p_\beta = \iota_\gamma^\beta(p_\gamma)\} \subseteq \varprojlim \mathbb{P} \subseteq \prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha$$

and let $\Sigma^{\mathbb{P}}$ be a subspace of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}$, which consists of threads $(p_\alpha) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}$ such that if α is an infinite limit ordinal, then there exists $\beta < \alpha$ such that $p_\alpha = \iota_\beta^\alpha(p_\beta)$. We say that a thread $(p_\alpha) \in \varprojlim \mathbb{P}$ has a *jump* at an ordinal β , whenever $\iota_\beta^{\beta+1}(p_\beta) \neq p_{\beta+1}$.

Lemma 4. *Any thread in $\Sigma^{\mathbb{P}}$ has finitely many jumps.*

Proof. Suppose that a thread (p_α) belongs to $\Sigma^{\mathbb{P}}$. If there exists a limit ordinal γ which is a supremum of infinitely many jumps for this thread, then $\iota_\beta^\gamma(p_\beta) \neq p_\gamma$ for any $\beta < \gamma$; a contradiction with $(p_\alpha) \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{P}}$. \square

Let $\mathbb{Q} = \{Q_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \iota_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ and $\mathbb{R} = \{R_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, e_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ be enriched inverse sequences.




DIAGRAM 2.

Lemma 5. *Let $s_\alpha: Q_\alpha \rightarrow R_\alpha$ be one-to-one functions such that Diagram 2 is commutative, whenever $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$, then*

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset_h \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ and } \Sigma^{\mathbb{Q}} \subset_h \Sigma^{\mathbb{R}}, \text{ and } \varprojlim \mathbb{Q} \subset_h \varprojlim \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, if each s_α is a bijection, then $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is homeomorphic to $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is homeomorphic to $\Sigma^{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\varprojlim \mathbb{Q}$ is homeomorphic to $\varprojlim \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If $(u_\alpha) \in \varprojlim \mathbb{Q}$, then the formula $(u_\alpha) \mapsto (s_\alpha(u_\alpha))$ defines an embedding from $\varprojlim \mathbb{Q}$ to $\varprojlim \mathbb{R}$. The restrictions of this embedding give embeddings $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\mathbb{R}}$. But if all s_α are bijections, then these embeddings are homeomorphisms. \square

Any inverse system $\mathbb{P} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ can be interpreted as a tree of height ω_1 , we refer the readers for basic notions about trees to the book [7]. Namely, assume that the sets X_α are pairwise disjoint. Let

$$T = \bigcup \{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$$

and we put $x \leq y$, whenever $x \in X_\alpha$ and $y \in X_\beta$, and $x = \pi_\beta^\alpha(y)$. Let $[T]$ be the family of all branches of length ω_1 . If $A \in [T]$, then $A = \{p_\alpha : p_\alpha \in X_\alpha \text{ and } \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and $(p_\alpha) \in \varprojlim \mathbb{P}$. So, the mapping $A \mapsto (p_\alpha)$ is a bijection between $[T]$ and $\varprojlim \mathbb{P}$, which is also a homeomorphism, whenever $[T]$ is endowed with the topology generated by the family

$$\{\{b \in [T] : x \in b\} : x \in T\}.$$

Some authors use a notion *tree topology* for the topology just defined on $[T]$, compare [16, p. 14].

The interpretation of an inverse limit as a tree which yields a topological space, consisting of branches of length ω_1 , leads us to the notion of labeling. Namely, a surjection $E: T \rightarrow Y \subseteq [T]$ is called *labeling*, if for every $x, y \in T$ we have $x \in E(x)$ and the following implication holds:

$$x \leq y \text{ and } y \in E(x) \Rightarrow E(x) = E(y).$$

If $E: T \rightarrow Y \subseteq [T]$ is a labeling, then (T, \leq, E) is a *labelled tree*, which corresponds to the enriched inverse system $\{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \iota_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, where X_α is the α -th level of T , and $\pi_\beta^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ is such that $\pi_\beta^\alpha(x) \leq x$ for every $x \in X_\alpha$. The injections ι_β^α are defined as follows. If $\alpha < \beta$ and $x \in X_\alpha$, then $\iota_\beta^\alpha(x)$ is the unique element of $E(x) \cap X_\beta$. Finally $Y = \{E(x) : x \in T\}$.

3. THE G_δ -MODIFICATIONS OF THE CANTOR CUBES

For an infinite cardinal κ , let 2^κ denote the Cantor cube with the product topology. Thus $(2^\kappa)_\delta$ is the G_δ -modification of the Cantor cube 2^κ . Recall that if $f: A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is a function and $A \subseteq \kappa$, then $[f]$

denotes the family of all extensions of f with the domain κ and values in $\{0, 1\}$. The next lemma is well-known, for example for readers familiar with box products.

Lemma 6. *If κ is an infinite cardinal, then the family*

$$\{[f]: f \in 2^A \text{ and } A \in [\kappa]^\omega\}$$

is a base for $(2^\kappa)_\delta$. □

Recall that the *Baire number* of a crowded topological space X is the smallest cardinal κ such that X cannot be covered by a family of cardinality less than κ and consisting of nowhere dense subsets. The Baire number of $(2^\kappa)_\delta$ is always at least ω_2 .

Proposition 7. *If κ is an uncountable cardinal, then any union of at most ω_1 nowhere dense subsets of $(2^\kappa)_\delta$ is a boundary set.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\beta: \beta < \omega_1\}$ be a family of nowhere dense subsets of $(2^\kappa)_\delta$. Fix a non-empty open set $U \subseteq (2^\kappa)_\delta$ and then choose a function $f_0: A_0 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $[f_0] \subseteq U \setminus F_0$ and $A_0 \in [\kappa]^\omega$. Suppose that for $\beta < \alpha$ there are defined functions $f_\beta: A_\beta \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $[f_\gamma] \supseteq [f_\beta]$ and $A_\beta \in [\kappa]^\omega$ whenever $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$. Choose $A_\alpha \in [\kappa]^\omega$ and $f_\alpha: A_\alpha \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$[f_\alpha] \cap F_\alpha = \emptyset \text{ and } \bigcup \{f_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \subseteq f_\alpha.$$

If $\bigcup \{f_\beta: \beta < \omega_1\} \subseteq f \in 2^\kappa$, then $f \in U \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{F}$, i.e. the complement of $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ is a dense subset of $(2^\kappa)_\delta$. □

4. THE G_δ -MODIFICATION OF 2^{ω_1}

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, assume that the set 2^α is equipped with the discrete topology and let $\pi_\beta^\alpha(f) = f|_\beta$, whenever $\beta < \alpha$ and $f \in 2^\alpha$. We have

$$\prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} 2^\alpha \supseteq \varprojlim \{2^\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\} \xrightarrow{\varphi} (2^{\omega_1})_\delta,$$

where $\varphi((p_\alpha)) = \bigcup \{p_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ for each $(p_\alpha) \in \varprojlim \{2^\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$. Since $\varphi(\pi_\alpha^{-1}(p_\alpha)) = [p_\alpha]$, then the bijection φ is a homeomorphism.

If $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $f \in 2^\alpha$, then put

$$f^*(\gamma) = \begin{cases} f(\gamma), & \text{when } \gamma < \alpha; \\ 0, & \text{when } \alpha \leq \gamma < \omega_1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Sigma = \{f^*: f \in 2^\alpha \text{ and } \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Thus, if any $X_\alpha = 2^\alpha$ is endowed with the discrete topology and $\iota_\beta^\alpha(f) = f^*|_\alpha$, then $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}$, where $\mathbb{P} = \{2^\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \iota_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$. Clearly, the mapping $[f] \mapsto f^*$ is a labeling.

Proposition 8. *The Baire number of the subspace $\Sigma \subseteq (2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is ω_1 .*

Proof. Each set $A_\alpha = \{f^*: f \in 2^\alpha\} \subseteq \Sigma$ is a discrete subset and $\Sigma = \bigcup\{A_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$, hence the Baire number of Σ is at most ω_1 .

If $\{F_n: n < \omega\}$ is an increasing sequence of nowhere dense subsets of Σ , then inductively define a function $f_n: \alpha_n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that (α_n) is an increasing sequence of countable ordinals such that $[f_n] \subseteq [f_{n-1}] \setminus F_n$. We get $f^* \in \Sigma \setminus \bigcup\{F_n: n < \omega\}$, whenever $f = \bigcup\{f_n: n < \omega\}$. \square

A small modification of the proof above gives that any first category subset of a dense subspace of $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is nowhere dense.

Corollary 9. *$(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is not homeomorphic to a subspace of Σ .*

Proof. Any crowded subspace of Σ , being a union of at most ω_1 many discrete subspaces, has the Baire number not greater than ω_1 . But $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ has the Baire number at least ω_2 . \square

Recall that the family $\mathfrak{B} = \{[f]: f \in 2^\alpha \text{ and } \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a base for $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$. Below, we present a modified proof from [10], cf. [1, 3.1. Theorem].

Proposition 10. *If $\omega_2 \leq \mathfrak{c}$, then the space $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is the union of an increasing sequence $\{D_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_2\}$ of nowhere dense subsets.*

Proof. If $V = [f]$ and $f \in 2^\alpha$, then let $\{V_\nu \subseteq V: \nu < \omega_2\}$ be a family consisting of pairwise disjoint elements of \mathfrak{B} . Put

$$D_\alpha = (2^{\omega_1})_\delta \setminus \bigcup\{V_\nu: \alpha < \nu < \omega_2 \text{ and } V \in \mathfrak{B}\}.$$

The sequence $\{D_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_2\}$ is increasing and its elements are nowhere dense sets. If $x \in (2^{\omega_1})_\delta$, then x belongs to elements of the form $[x]_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}$ only. In other words, x belongs to ω_1 many elements $V \in \mathfrak{B}$. Thus, there exists $\alpha_x < \omega_2$ such that $x \in D_{\alpha_x}$, which implies $\bigcup\{D_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_2\} = (2^{\omega_1})_\delta$. \square

In fact, we have shown that the Baire number of $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ equals ω_2 is consistent with ZFC, for example, when $|2^{\omega_1}| = \omega_2$.

The space Σ is a counterpart of a P -space of cardinality and weight ω_1 , which appears in Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 in [3]. If the Continuum Hypothesis fails, the space Σ being of cardinality \mathfrak{c} , is not homeomorphic to a P -space of cardinality ω_1 .

Theorem 11. *Any dense subset of $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ contains a homeomorphic copy of the space Σ .*

Proof. Let $Y \subseteq (2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ be a dense subset. Inductively, define a sequence of functions $S_\alpha: 2^\alpha \rightarrow 2^\alpha$, for $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, such that the following conditions are fulfilled.

- (A). If $f \in 2^\alpha$, then $S_{\alpha+1}$ restricted to the set $\{f \frown 0, f \frown 1\}$ is a bijection onto the set $\{S_\alpha(f) \frown 0, S_\alpha(f) \frown 1\}$, where $f \frown i = f \cup \{(\alpha, i)\}$.
 (B). If α is a limit ordinal and $f \in 2^\alpha$, then

$$S_\alpha(f) = \bigcup \{S_\beta(f|_\beta) : \beta < \alpha\},$$

in particular $S_\alpha(f) \in 2^\alpha$.

- (C). If $g \in \Sigma$, then

$$\bigcup \{S_\alpha(g|_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\} \in Y.$$

If $f \in 2^1$, then choose $y(f) \in Y \cap [f]$, and put $S_\alpha(f^*|_\alpha) = y(f)|_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Thus, $y(f) = \bigcup \{S_\alpha(f^*|_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and $S_1(f) = f$.

Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$ and assume that bijections $S_\beta: 2^\beta \rightarrow 2^\beta$ are defined, whenever $\beta < \alpha$, such that conditions (A)–(C) are fulfilled, in particular, for $g \in 2^\beta$ and $\beta < \alpha$, the values $S_\gamma(g^*|_\gamma)$ are defined such that

$$\bigcup \{S_\gamma(g^*|_\gamma) : \gamma < \omega_1\} \in Y.$$

If α is a limit ordinal and $g \in 2^\alpha$, and $S_\alpha(g)$ has not been defined, i.e. $\beta < \alpha$ implies $g^* \neq (g|_\beta)^*$, then choose

$$y(g) \in Y \cap \left[\bigcup \{S_\beta(g|_\beta) : \beta < \alpha\} \right]$$

and put $S_\gamma(g^*|_\gamma) = y(g)|_\gamma$ for $\alpha \leq \gamma < \omega_1$. We get

$$S_\alpha(g^*|_\alpha) = S_\alpha(g) = \bigcup \{S_\beta(g|_\beta) : \beta < \alpha\} \in 2^\alpha$$

and $\bigcup \{S_\gamma(g^*|_\gamma) : \gamma < \omega_1\} = y(g) \in Y$.

If $\beta < \alpha$ and $g \in 2^\beta$, then all the values $S_\gamma(g^*|_\gamma)$ are defined by induction assumption. Since $g^* = (g \frown 0)^*$, it remains to define $S_\alpha(g \frown 1)$,

where $\alpha = \beta + 1$, and $S_\gamma((g \frown 1)^*|_\gamma)$ for $\alpha < \gamma < \omega_1$. Namely, let $i \in \{0, 1\}$ be such that $S_\beta(g) \frown i \neq S_{\beta+1}(g \frown 0) \in 2^{\beta+1}$. Then put

$$S_{\beta+1}(g \frown 1) = S_\beta(g) \frown i,$$

and choose $y(g) \in Y \cap [S_{\beta+1}(g \frown 1)]$, and put

$$S_\gamma((g \frown 1)^*|_\gamma) = y(g)|_\gamma,$$

whenever $\beta + 1 < \gamma < \omega_1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2^\beta & \xleftarrow{\pi_\beta^\alpha} & 2^\alpha \\ | & & | \\ S_\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow S_\alpha \\ 2^\beta & \xleftarrow{\pi_\beta^\alpha} & 2^\alpha \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 3.

By the definition, Diagram 3, where $\pi_\beta^\alpha(f) = f|_\beta$, is commutative.

Equipping each 2^α with the discrete topology, we obtain that $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta = \varprojlim \{2^\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ and we get an automorphism

$$S: (2^{\omega_1})_\delta \rightarrow (2^{\omega_1})_\delta,$$

where $S(f) = \bigcup \{S_\alpha(f|_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. By condition (C), the image $S[\Sigma] \subseteq Y$ is a homeomorphic copy of Σ . \square

For any partition $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta = A \cup B$, we have $\Sigma \subset_h A$ or $\Sigma \subset_h B$, in other words, the topological arrow relation $(2^{\omega_1}) \rightarrow (\Sigma)_\delta^1$ is fulfilled. Namely, there exists $f \in 2^\alpha$, where $\alpha < \omega_1$, such that $A \cap [f]$ or $B \cap [f]$ is dense in $[f]$, since both sets A and B cannot be nowhere dense. But the subspace $[f] \subseteq (2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is homeomorphic to $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$.

Corollary 12. *The family*

$$\{Y \subseteq (2^{\omega_1})_\delta : Y \text{ is a dense subset}\}$$

contains the least element with respect to the relation \subset_h . \square

But under the Continuum Hypothesis, we conclude the following.

Theorem 13. *If the Continuum Hypothesis is assumed, then any two dense subsets of $(2^{\mathfrak{c}})_\delta$ of cardinality \mathfrak{c} are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 = \mathfrak{c}\} \subseteq (2^{\mathfrak{c}})_\delta$ be a dense subset and let $\mathfrak{M}_X = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$, where $\mathcal{P}_\alpha = \{[f] \cap X : f \in 2^\alpha\}$. If $V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$, since $X \subseteq (2^{\mathfrak{c}})_\delta$ is dense, then there exists $\beta = \inf\{\nu : x_\nu \in V\}$. Put

$E(V) = x_\beta$, and then put $E(U) = x_\beta$, whenever $E(V) \in U \subseteq V$ and $U \in \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X$. The function $E: \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \rightarrow X$ is a labeling. Indeed, fix $\alpha < \omega_1$, then the set $\{x_\gamma: \gamma < \alpha\}$ is closed, being countable. But $\bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X$ is a base for X , hence there exists $\beta < \omega_1$ and $V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ such that $x_\alpha \in V$ and $V \cap \{x_\gamma: \gamma < \alpha\} = \emptyset$. Then $E(V) = x_\alpha$, thus E is a surjection. We have a labeling $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X \rightarrow X$ and bijections S_α defined analogously as in the proof of 11 together with Lemma 5 give needed homeomorphism from Σ to X , where $[f] \mapsto f^*$ is a labeling with the image Σ . \square

In order to avoid a modification of our proof of Theorem 11, we end this section by stating without proof that any two dense subsets of $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ which have labelings are homeomorphic.

5. P -SPACES OF CARDINALITY ω_1

The below lemma is a counterpart of [15, Theorem 3].

Lemma 14. *If \mathcal{B} is a base consisting of clopen sets of a P -space X of cardinality ω_1 , then any open cover of X has a refinement, which is a partition and consists of elements of \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -space. Fix an open cover \mathcal{P} of X . Since clopen subsets of X constitute a σ -algebra, define inductively a desired partition $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ as follows. If $x_\alpha \in U \in \mathcal{P}$ and $x_\alpha \notin \bigcup \{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$, then choose $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$, satisfying $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha \subseteq U$ and $V_\alpha \cap \bigcup \{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} = \emptyset$, otherwise put $V_\alpha = V_0$. \square

Since Proposition 3 and Lemma 14, we clearly have the following.

Corollary 15. *If X is a P -space of cardinality ω_1 , then for any countable family \mathcal{P} consisting of open covers there exists a partition of X , which refines any cover from \mathcal{P} .* \square

Recall that a cover is point-countable, whenever each point belongs to at most countable many elements of this cover.

Proposition 16. *Any base for a P -space of cardinality ω_1 contains a point-countable cover.*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -space. Fix a base \mathcal{B} of open subsets. Choose $U_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x_0 \in U_0$, then choose a clopen set V_0 such that $x_0 \in V_0 \subseteq U_0$. Suppose that sets $U_\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ and clopen sets V_β are defined for $\beta < \alpha$ in such a way that

- $\{x_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \subseteq \bigcup\{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$;
- If $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$, then $V_\gamma \cap U_\beta = \emptyset$.

If $\delta < \omega_1$ is the minimal ordinal such that $x_\delta \notin \bigcup\{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$, then choose $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$x_\delta \in U_\alpha \text{ and } U_\alpha \cap \bigcup\{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} = \emptyset$$

and fix a clopen set V_α such that $x_\delta \in V_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$. By the definition, the family $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a partition on X . But the family $\{U_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is a point-countable open cover. Indeed, if $x \in V_\alpha$, then $x \notin U_\gamma$ for each $\gamma > \alpha$, hence the set $\{\beta: x \in U_\beta\}$ is countable. \square

As far as we are aware, covering properties of P -spaces have not been deeply investigated. If a P -space is of cardinality or weight ω_1 , then it is paracompact. We do not know when a paracompact P -space is totally paracompact, for the definition of totally paracompactness, see [13].

6. P -SPACES OF WEIGHT ω_1

If X is a P -space of weight ω_1 , then any open cover of X has a refinement which is a partition. Indeed, X has a base of cardinality ω_1 which consists of clopen sets. If \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X , then let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a refinement of \mathcal{U} which consists of clopen sets. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, put

$$U_\alpha = V_\alpha \setminus \bigcup\{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}.$$

The sets U_α constitute the desired partition.

Under the Continuum Hypothesis, by Lemma 6, the space $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is of weight $\omega_1 = \mathfrak{c}$. But without the Continuum Hypothesis, any open cover of $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ has a refinement which is a partition. Indeed, the family

$$\mathcal{B} = \{[f]: f \in 2^\alpha \text{ and } \alpha < \omega_1\}$$

is a base for $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$. If \mathcal{U} is an open cover of $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$, then let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a refinement of \mathcal{U} . The family of all maximal elements of \mathcal{V} , with respect to the inclusion, is the desired partition. In particular, we see that $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is a paracompact space.

If X is a P -space, then a family $\mathfrak{M}_X = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is called P -matrix, whenever

- (1) Each \mathcal{P}_α is a partition of X .
- (2) If $\beta < \alpha$, then \mathcal{P}_α refines \mathcal{P}_β .

- (3) The union $\bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X$ is a base for X .
(4) If α is an infinite limit ordinal and $U \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$, then

$$U = \bigcap \{V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta : \beta < \alpha \text{ and } U \subseteq V\}.$$

If a P -space X has a P -matrix $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, then any open cover of X has a refinement which is a partition, since a slightly modified argument used for $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ works. Indeed, if \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X , then let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a refinement of \mathcal{U} . The family of all maximal elements of \mathcal{V} , with respect to the inclusion, is the desired partition.

Lemma 17. *Any P -space of weight ω_1 has a P -matrix.*

Proof. Let X be a P -space with a base $\{U_{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ consisting of clopen sets. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{X\}$. Assume that partitions $\{\mathcal{P}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ are already defined. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha^* = \left\{ \bigcap L : L \text{ is a maximal chain in } \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right\}.$$

If α is an infinite limit ordinal, then put $\mathcal{P}_\alpha = \mathcal{P}_\alpha^*$. If α is not a limit ordinal, then let \mathcal{P}_α be a partition which refines $\{U_\alpha, X \setminus U_\alpha\}$ and the partition \mathcal{P}_α^* . The family $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is the desired P -matrix. \square

Let (γ_α) be the increasing enumeration of all countable infinite limit ordinals. Put $Q_\alpha = 2^{\gamma_\alpha}$. Since $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta = \varprojlim \{2^\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ and the family of countable limit ordinals is cofinal in ω_1 , we get

$$(2^{\omega_1})_\delta = \varprojlim \{Q_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\},$$

where $\pi_\beta^\alpha : Q_\alpha \rightarrow Q_\beta$ and $\pi_\beta^\alpha(f) = f|_{\gamma_\beta}$: the symbol π_β^α has been used in two different meanings, but this does not lead to confusion. Note that, each Q_α is of cardinality \mathfrak{c} .

Theorem 18. *Any P -space of weight ω_1 can be embedded into $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$.*

Proof. Let X be a P -space of weight ω_1 and let $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -matrix for X . Thus, we have an inverse system $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, where each r_β^α is the restriction of the inclusion and each \mathcal{P}_α is equipped with the discrete topology. Each $x \in X$ determines the thread in $\varprojlim \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, since $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a base for X . Hence X can be embedded into $\varprojlim \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, by Proposition 2.5.5 [4].

To show that $\varprojlim \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ can be embedded into $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta = \varprojlim \{Q_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, where $Q_\alpha = 2^{\gamma_\alpha}$, we shall define a sequence of injections $S_\alpha : \mathcal{P}_\alpha \rightarrow Q_\alpha$ such that Diagram 4 is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{P}_\beta & \xleftarrow{r_\beta^\alpha} & \mathcal{P}_\alpha \\
 \downarrow S_\beta & & \downarrow S_\alpha \\
 Q_\beta & \xleftarrow{\pi_\beta^\alpha} & Q_\alpha
 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 4.

Let $S_0: \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow Q_0$ be an arbitrary injection. Assume that for each $\beta < \alpha$ an injection S_β is defined such that appropriate diagrams are commutative, i.e. $S_\gamma \circ r_\gamma^\beta = \pi_\gamma^\beta \circ S_\beta$ for $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$. If α is a limit ordinal and $U \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$, then

$$S_\alpha(U) = \bigcup \{S_\beta(V) : V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta \text{ and } V \supseteq U \text{ and } \beta < \alpha\}.$$

Let $\alpha = \beta + 1$. For each $V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$, let $\mathcal{P}_V = \{U \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha : U \subseteq V\}$ and let $Q_V = \{f \in Q_\alpha : S_\beta(V) \subseteq f\}$. Choose arbitrary injections $S_V: \mathcal{P}_V \rightarrow Q_V$ for each $V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$. Then put $S_\alpha = \bigcup \{S_V : V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta\}$.

Since each S_α is an injection, hence by Lemma 2.5.9, [4], we obtain the desired embedding. \square

Under the Continuum Hypothesis, the space $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ has the greatest dimensional type in the class of all P -spaces of weight ω_1 . But if the Continuum Hypothesis fails, then our argumentation does not work, since $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is of weight \mathfrak{c} .

7. P -SPACES OF CARDINALITY AND WEIGHT ω_1

Let X be a P -space with a P -matrix $\mathfrak{M}_X = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. If X has weight ω_1 , then such a P -matrix exists by Lemma 17. But, if $Z \subseteq X$ is a dense subset of cardinality and weight ω_1 , then there exists a labeling $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X \rightarrow Z$.

Lemma 19. *If X is a P -space of cardinality and weight ω_1 , then there exists a labeling $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X \rightarrow X$ for any P -matrix \mathfrak{M}_X .*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and let $\mathfrak{M}_X = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -matrix. If $V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$, then let $\beta = \inf\{\nu : x_\nu \in V\}$ and then put $E(V) = x_\beta$. The function $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X \rightarrow X$ is a labeling, which can be checked as in the proof of Theorem 13. \square

The below theorem, under the Continuum Hypothesis, follows from [3, Lemma 2.2].

Theorem 20. *Let Y be a P -space with a P -matrix*

$$\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$$

such that each \mathcal{P}_α is of cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} . If there exists a labeling $E: \mathfrak{M}_Y \rightarrow Y$, then Y can be embedded into Σ .

Proof. Fix a P -space Y with a P -matrix

$$\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\},$$

such that each \mathcal{P}_α is of cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} . Let $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_Y \rightarrow Y$ be a labeling. Analogously as in the proof of Theorem 18 we shall define Diagram 5, which is a version of Diagram 2, where $\iota_\beta^\alpha: Q_\beta \rightarrow Q_\alpha$ and $\pi_\beta^\alpha: Q_\alpha \rightarrow Q_\beta$ are defined just before Theorem 18.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\eta_\beta^\alpha} & \\ \mathcal{P}_\beta & \xleftarrow{r_\beta^\alpha} & \mathcal{P}_\alpha \\ \downarrow S_\beta & & \downarrow S_\alpha \\ Q_\beta & \xleftarrow{\pi_\beta^\alpha} & Q_\alpha \\ & \xrightarrow{\iota_\beta^\alpha} & \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 5.

But injections $\eta_\beta^\alpha: \mathcal{P}_\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ are determined by the labeling $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_Y \rightarrow Y$, i.e. $\eta_\beta^\alpha(U) \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ and $r_\beta^\alpha(V) \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ are unique elements such that $E(U) \in \eta_\beta^\alpha(U)$ and $r_\beta^\alpha(V) \supseteq V$, for $U \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ and $V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$. Thus, we have defined two enriched inverse systems $\mathbb{Q} = \{Q_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \iota_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ and $\mathbb{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \eta_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, so it remains to define injections S_α , which will be done by a modification of the proof of Theorem 11. Namely, let $S_0: \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow Q_0$ be an injection. Let $\alpha < \omega_1$. Assume that we have defined a sequence of injections $S_\gamma: \mathcal{P}_\gamma \rightarrow Q_\gamma$ for $\gamma < \alpha$, such that the diagrams obtained from Diagram 5 by replacing α with γ are commutative, where $\beta < \gamma < \alpha$.

If $\alpha = \gamma + 1$, then fix $V \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma$. Choose an injection

$$S_\alpha^V: \{U \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha : U \subseteq V\} \rightarrow \{W \in Q_\alpha : W \subseteq S_\gamma(V)\}$$

such that $S_\alpha^V(\eta_\gamma^\alpha(V)) = \iota_\gamma^\alpha(S_\gamma(V))$. Put $S_\alpha(U) = S_\alpha^V(U)$, where V is a unique element of \mathcal{P}_γ containing U .

If α is a limit ordinal and $V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$, then $V = \bigcap \{U \in \mathcal{P}_\beta : \beta < \alpha \text{ and } V \subseteq U\}$, so put

$$S_\alpha(V) = \bigcup \{S_\beta(U) : \beta < \alpha \text{ and } V \subseteq U \in \mathcal{P}_\beta\}.$$

By Lemma 2.5.9 [4], the function $S: \varprojlim \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathbb{Q}$, given by the formula $S((x_\alpha)) = (S_\alpha(x_\alpha))$, is an embedding such that

$$S|_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}} : \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} = \Sigma.$$

The proof is completed, since Y has to be homeomorphic to $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}$. Indeed, if $f_\alpha: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ are functions such that $x \in f_\alpha(x)$, then the function $f: Y \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}$, given by the formula $x \mapsto f(x) = (f_\alpha(x))$, is a homeomorphism. \square

Corollary 21. *Any P -space of cardinality and weight ω_1 can be embedded into the space Σ .*

Proof. By Lemmas 17 and 19, any P -space of cardinality and weight ω_1 has a P -matrix and a labeling as it is required in Theorem 20. \square

If X and Y are topological spaces, then $X \rightarrow (Y)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ means that Y can be embedded into one of A or B for any subspaces A and B such that $X = A \cup B$. If $Z \subseteq (2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ is a dense subset, then any P -space of cardinality and weight ω_1 can be embedded into Z , see A. Dow [3]. Thus, Theorem 11 and Corollary 21 provide another proof of Dow's result. Also, Theorem 11 implies $(2^{\omega_1})_\delta \rightarrow (\Sigma)_{\frac{1}{2}}$, which gives an example concerning Question 6.2 stated in [2].

8. ON LINDELÖF AND NOWHERE LINDELÖF P -SPACES

Recall that a space is *Lindelöf* if its every open cover has a countable subcover. We say that a topological space is *nowhere Lindelöf*, whenever it does not contain a non-empty open subset with the Lindelöf property. Assume that λ is an infinite cardinal number and a P -matrix $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ satisfies conditions (1)–(4). We shall add another condition.

(5- λ). Each \mathcal{P}_α is of cardinality λ and if $\beta < \alpha$, then any $V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ contains λ many elements of \mathcal{P}_α .

We are particularly interested in $\lambda \in \{\omega, \omega_1, \mathfrak{c}\}$. If X is a P -space with a P -matrix $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ which satisfies condition (5- λ), then we have an inverse system $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ defined analogously as $\{Q_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ just before Theorem 18. If each \mathcal{P}_α is equipped with the discrete topology

and $r_\beta^\alpha: \mathcal{P}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\beta$, where $r_\beta^\alpha(U) \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ is a unique element containing U , then we get the inverse limit

$$\varprojlim \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\},$$

which contains a homeomorphic copy of X as a dense subset. Thus, $\varprojlim \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ is a crowded P -space of weight and density equal to

$$\max\{\lambda, \omega_1\} = |\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}|.$$

Proposition 22. *If a Lindelöf (nowhere Lindelöf) P -space X is of cardinality and weight ω_1 , then X has a P -matrix $\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \omega_1\}$ such that if $\beta < \alpha$, then any $V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ contains countably (respectively ω_1) many elements of \mathcal{P}_α .*

Proof. If X is a Lindelöf space, then a P -matrix constructed as in the proof of Lemma 17 is suitable. But, if X is a nowhere Lindelöf space, it suffices to modify the construction of a P -matrix $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ from the proof of Lemma 17, defining a new P -matrix $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha^*: \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Namely, each partition $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1}^*$ is such that any $V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha^*$ contains ω_1 many elements of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1}^*$. But, if α is a limit ordinal, then \mathcal{P}_α^* is defined analogously as \mathcal{P}_α . \square

Let X be a P -space with a P -matrix $\mathfrak{M}_X = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ which satisfies condition (5- λ). Suppose that there exists a labeling $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X \rightarrow X$ which satisfy the following condition.

(*). *If $\alpha < \omega_1$ and \mathcal{L} is a chain contained in $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $V \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $E(V) \in \bigcap \mathcal{L}$.*

In this case we say that X has a λ -thin labeling. By the definition, any P -space with a λ -thin labeling is a crowded space since every base set contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint subsets. Also, if X has a λ -thin labeling $E: \mathfrak{M}_X \rightarrow X$, then

$$E[\mathcal{P}_\alpha] = E[\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}],$$

for each limit ordinal α . Applying Lemma 4, one can check that an arbitrary P -space with a λ -thin labeling has to be of first category.

Lemma 23. *If a crowded P -space X is of weight ω_1 , then there exists $Z \subseteq X$ such that Z has an ω -thin labeling.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 17, choose a dense subset $Z \subseteq X$ of cardinality ω_1 with a P -matrix $\mathfrak{M}_Z = \{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Let $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_Z \rightarrow Z$ be a labeling, which exists by Lemma 19. Without loss of generality, because Z is crowded, assume that if $\beta < \alpha$ and $V \in \mathcal{Q}_\beta$, then V contains

infinitely many elements of \mathcal{Q}_α . Choose a family $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_0$ such that $|\mathcal{P}_0| = \omega$. For each $V \in \mathcal{P}_0$, choose a point $E(V) \in V$. Suppose families $\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$ and points

$$\{E(V): V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}\}$$

are defined. If $V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$, then put

$$\mathcal{L}_V = \{W \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}: E(V) \in W\}.$$

Then choose a family $\mathcal{R}_V \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+1}$ consisting of ω many pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_V \subseteq V$ such that $E(V) \in \bigcup \mathcal{R}_V$. For each $W \in \mathcal{R}_V$ such that $E(V) \notin W$, choose a point $E(W) \in W$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{R}_V: \mathcal{R}_V \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+1} \text{ and } V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}\}.$$

Let Z be the set of all points $E(V)$, which are defined above. Any base set of Z contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint subsets, hence Z is crowded. Putting $\mathcal{P}_\alpha^* = \{V \cap Z: V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha\}$, define the function $E^*: \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha^*: \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow Z$ by the formula $V \cap Z \mapsto E(V)$. The map E^* is a labeling. \square

Theorem 24. *If a P -space Y has an ω -thin labeling, then Y is a Lindelöf space.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -matrix for Y and

$$E: \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow Y$$

be an ω -thin labeling. Fix an open cover \mathcal{U} of Y . We can assume that \mathcal{U} is a partition of Y , since Y is of cardinality and weight ω_1 , see Lemma 14. Let $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$ be an ordinal number such that if $V \in \mathcal{P}_0$, then there exist $\beta \leq \alpha_0$, $W_V \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ and U_V such that

$$E(V) \in W_V \subseteq U_V \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Assume that an ordinal α_n is defined such that if $V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta \leq \alpha_{n-1}\}$, then there exist $\gamma \leq \alpha_n$, $W_V \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma$ and U_V such that

$$E(W_V) = E(V) \in W_V \subseteq U_V \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Let $\alpha_{n+1} > \alpha_n$ be a countable ordinal such that if $V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta \leq \alpha_n\}$, then there $W_V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta \leq \alpha_{n+1}\}$ and U_V , fulfilling

$$E(V) \in W_V \subseteq U_V \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Since E is an ω -thin labeling, using conditions (5- ω) and (*), we check that if $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n: n > 0\}$, then the partition \mathcal{P}_α refines \mathcal{U} . \square

Translating the above proof to the language of category theory, we get that P -matrix for Y is a Fraïssé ω_1 -sequence in the category of

all open covers of Y , with refining pairs of covers as morphisms. We recommend the paper [9] for details about Fraïssé sequences.

If $\mathbb{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta, \omega_1\}$ is an inverse system, where \mathcal{P}_α are countable discrete spaces, then $\varprojlim \mathbb{P}$ is not necessary a Lindelöf space. This has been observed in [8], compare [11, Lemma 2]. Let us present a sketch of proof. Let \mathbb{T}_A be an Aronszajn tree. Let $\{\ell_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a sequence of branches of \mathbb{T}_A with different height. Then, each ℓ_α is extended by a copy of a tree determined by a P -space which has an ω -thin labeling. The family of all just extended branches gives a tree of height ω_1 with all levels countable, i.e. we get the desired inverse limit which is not Lindelöf.

Proposition 25. *If a crowded P -space X is of weight ω_1 , then there exists $Y \subseteq X$ such that Y is nowhere Lindelöf.*

Proof. Let X be a crowded P -space with a P -matrix $\{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$. If $V \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, then choose a family \mathcal{P}_V consisting of ω_1 pairwise disjoint open sets such that $\bigcup \mathcal{P}_V \subseteq V$. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_V: V \in \mathcal{Q}_0\}$ and let $Y_0 \subseteq X$ be such that $Y_0 \cap V$ is a singleton for each $V \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Assume that families $\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$ are defined. If $V \in \mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ and there exists $W_\beta \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$, for each $\beta < \alpha$, such that

$$V \cap \bigcap \{W_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \neq \emptyset,$$

then choose a family \mathcal{P}_V consisting of ω_1 pairwise disjoint open sets such that $\bigcup \mathcal{P}_V \subseteq V \cap \bigcap \{W_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$, otherwise $\mathcal{P}_V = \emptyset$.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_V: V \in \mathcal{Q}_\alpha\}$$

and let $Y_\alpha \subseteq X$ be such that $\bigcup \{Y_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \subseteq Y_\alpha$ and $Y_\alpha \cap V$ is a singleton for each $V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$. Thus $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a P -matrix for the subset $Y = \bigcup \{Y_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq X$. Put $E(V) = Y_\alpha \cap V$, whenever $V \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$. Thus, $E: \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow Y$ is a desired labeling. \square

Corollary 26. *If a crowded P -space X is of weight ω_1 , then there exists $Z \subseteq X$ such that Z has an ω_1 -thin labeling.*

Proof. Using Proposition 25, take $Y \subseteq X$ such that Y is a nowhere Lindelöf subspace. Let $\{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -matrix for Y such that if $\alpha < \beta$ and $V \in \mathcal{Q}_\alpha$, then V contains ω_1 many elements of \mathcal{Q}_β . The rest of the proof is a modification of the reasoning of the proof of Lemma 23. Namely, the family $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_0$ is chosen to be of cardinality ω_1 . If $V \in \mathcal{P}_0$, then select a point $E(V) \in V$. Assume that families

$\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$ and points

$$\{E(V): V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}\}$$

are defined. If $V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$, then we repeat the definition of

$$\mathcal{L}_V = \{W \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}: E(V) \in W\}.$$

Then a family $\mathcal{R}_V \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+1}$ is chosen such that it consists of ω_1 many pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_V \subseteq V$ and $E(V) \in \bigcup \mathcal{R}_V$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha = \bigcup\{\mathcal{R}_V: \mathcal{R}_V \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+1} \text{ and } V \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}\}.$$

If $W \in \mathcal{R}_V$ and $E(V) \notin W$, then choose a point $E(W) \in W$. Let Z be the set of all points $E(V)$, which are defined above. By the definition, any base set of $Z \subseteq X$ has a partition consisting of ω_1 clopen subsets, then Z is nowhere Lindelöf.

Since $E: \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow Z$ is a surjection, then Z has an ω_1 -thin labeling. \square

Now, we can prove counterparts of Theorem 13.

Theorem 27. *If λ is an infinite cardinal number, then any two P -spaces which have λ -thin labelings are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Assume that X and Y have λ -thin labelings. Let $\mathfrak{M}_X = \{Q_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -matrix of X with a λ -thin labeling $E: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_X \rightarrow X$ and let $\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{R_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -matrix of Y with a λ -thin labeling $F: \bigcup \mathfrak{M}_Y \rightarrow Y$. Thus, we have two enriched systems $\mathbb{Q} = \{Q_\alpha, q_\beta^\alpha, \iota_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$ and $\mathbb{R} = \{R_\alpha, r_\beta^\alpha, \eta_\beta^\alpha, \omega_1\}$, where ι_β^α and η_β^α are determined by λ -thin labelings E and F , respectively, but q_β^α and r_β^α are determined by the inclusion. We shall define a bijection $s_\alpha: Q_\alpha \rightarrow R_\alpha$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_\beta & \xleftarrow[\text{\scriptsize } q_\beta^\alpha]{\text{\scriptsize } \iota_\beta^\alpha} & Q_\alpha \\ | & & | \\ s_\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow s_\alpha \\ R_\beta & \xleftarrow[\text{\scriptsize } r_\beta^\alpha]{\text{\scriptsize } \eta_\beta^\alpha} & R_\alpha \end{array}$$

is commutative, whenever $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$.

If $\alpha = \gamma + 1$, then fix $V \in Q_\gamma$. Choose an injection

$$s_\alpha^V: \{U \in Q_\alpha: U \subseteq V\} \rightarrow \{W \in R_\alpha: W \subseteq s_\gamma(V)\}$$

such that $s_\alpha^V(\iota_\gamma^\alpha(V)) = \eta_\gamma^\alpha(s_\gamma(V))$. Put $s_\alpha(U) = s_\alpha^V(U)$, where V is a unique element of Q_γ containing U .

If α is a limit ordinal and $V \in Q_\alpha$, then, by condition (*), it follows that there exists $\gamma < \alpha$ and $U \in Q_\gamma$ such that $E(V) = E(U)$, hence we define $s_\alpha(V) = s_\gamma(U)$.

By Lemma 5, the inverse limits $\varprojlim \mathbb{Q}$ and $\varprojlim \mathbb{R}$ are homeomorphic. Condition (*) and Lemma 4 imply that $X = \varprojlim \mathbb{Q}$ and $Y = \varprojlim \mathbb{R}$. \square

Because of condition (*), if a P -space X has a λ -thin labeling, then X is an inverse limit, as it focused on at the end of the above proof.

Corollary 28. *If a P -space Y has a λ -thin labeling and a subset $Z \subseteq Y$ is non-empty and clopen, then $Z \subseteq Y$ also has a λ -thin labeling.*

Proof. Assume that $\{P_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a P -matrix and $E: \bigcup\{P_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow Y$ is a λ -thin labeling. Consider the family

$$R = \{V \subseteq Z: V \in P_\alpha \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < \omega_1\}.$$

Let $R_0 \subseteq R$ be a maximal family of cardinality λ , consisting of pairwise disjoint sets. Assume that families $\{R_\beta \subseteq R: \beta < \alpha\}$ are defined. Fix a maximal chain $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \bigcup\{R_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$. Let $L \subseteq \{V \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{L}: V \in R\}$ be the set of all maximal subsets with respect to inclusion and let R_α be the union of all just defined families L . Check that $E|_{\bigcup\{R_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}}$ is a λ -thin labeling for Z . \square

Thus we have the following facts about dimensional types of crowded P -spaces of cardinality and weight ω_1 .

Theorem 29. *Assume that a P -space X of cardinality and weight ω_1 is crowded. If a P -space Y has an ω -thin labeling and a P -space Z has an ω_1 -thin labeling, then $Y =_h Z \subseteq_h X$.*

Proof. By Lemma 23 and Corollary 26, any crowded P -space X of cardinality and weight ω_1 contains copies of a space with an ω -thin labeling and a space with an ω_1 -thin labeling. Theorem 27 implies that $Y =_h Z \subseteq_h X$. \square

Corollary 30. *If a P -space X has an ω -thin labeling (or an ω_1 -thin labeling), then X has the smallest dimensional type in the class of all crowded P -spaces of weight ω_1 .*

Proof. Any crowded P -space X of weight ω_1 contains a dense subset $Z \subseteq X$ of cardinality ω_1 . Since Z is a T_1 -space, it is crowded and hence Z contains subspaces which have an ω -thin labeling and an ω_1 -thin labeling. \square

9. REMARKS ON RIGID P -SPACES

K. Kunen showed that there exists a rigid Lindelöf P -space of cardinality and weight ω_1 , see [11, 2.1. Theorem]. Let us add a few remarks about rigid Lindelöf P -spaces.

Proposition 31. *There exist at least \mathfrak{c} many (rigid) P -spaces of cardinality and weight ω_1 such that any two of them are not homeomorphic.*

Proof. Let X be a rigid Lindelöf P -space. Choose an infinite family $\{U_n: n < \omega\}$ of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of X . Assign each $A \subseteq \omega$ a subspace $X_A = \bigcup\{U_n: n \in A\} \subseteq X$, which is a clopen subset. If $A \neq B$, then X_A and X_B cannot be homeomorphic. Indeed, if $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ and $h: X_A \rightarrow X_B$ is a homeomorphism, then $H: X \rightarrow X$, given by the formula

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} h(x), & \text{if } x \in X_A \setminus X_B; \\ h^{-1}(x), & \text{if } x \in h[X_A \setminus X_B]; \\ x, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a non-trivial homeomorphism. So, X is not a rigid space.

Thus, if X is a rigid P -space constructed by Kunen [11], then spaces $\{X_A: A \subseteq \omega\}$ are of cardinality and weight \mathfrak{c} , whenever $A \neq \emptyset$, and also are rigid, Lindelöf and not homeomorphic. \square

Corollary 32. *If a rigid P -space X is of cardinality and weight ω_1 , then a closed subset of X , which has an ω -thin labeling or an ω_1 -thin labeling, is a nowhere dense set.*

Proof. Suppose a closed subset $Y \subseteq X$ is not nowhere dense. Choose two disjoint subsets $U, V \subseteq Y$, which are clopen in X . If Y has an ω -thin labeling (ω_1 -thin labeling), then U is homeomorphic to V , since Theorem 27 and Corollary 28, which contradicts the rigidity of X . \square

Theorem 33. *If a P -space X of cardinality and weight ω_1 is rigid and a P -space Y has an ω -thin labeling, then the relation $X \subset_h Y$ is not fulfilled.*

Proof. It suffices to show that, if there is an embedding of X into a P -space Y , which has an ω -thin labeling, then X contains a clopen subset, which has an ω -thin labeling. Indeed, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding, then the image $f[X]$ has a P -matrix, which is defined as follows. Assume that $X = \{x_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and let $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -matrix for Y , and let $E: \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow Y$ be an ω -thin labeling. Choose a maximal and pairwise disjoint family $\mathcal{R}_0 \subseteq \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$

such that $f[X] \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{R}_0$ and if $V \in \mathcal{R}_0$, then $E(V) \in f[X]$ and also there exists $V \in \mathcal{R}_0$ such that $E(V) = f(x_0)$. Suppose that families $\{\mathcal{R}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$ are defined. If $V \in \bigcup \{\mathcal{R}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$, then let

$$L_V = \bigcap \{W \in \bigcup \{\mathcal{R}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}: E(W) = E(V)\}$$

and then choose a family $R_V \subseteq \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \omega_1\}$ such that

- R_V consists of pairwise disjoint sets;
- R_V is of the maximal possible cardinality, i.e. ω_1 or ω ;
- $f[X] \cap L_V \subseteq \bigcup R_V \subseteq L_V$;
- If $f(x_\alpha) \in V$, then there exists $W \in \mathcal{R}_V$ such that $E(W) = f(x_\alpha)$;
- If $W \in R_V$, then $E(W) \in f[X]$.

Let \mathcal{R}_α be the union of above defined families R_V , here we assume that if $L_V = L_W$, then $R_V = R_W$. For any $\alpha < \omega_1$, put

$$\mathcal{Q}_\alpha = \{V \cap f[X]: V \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha\}.$$

Thus $\{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ constitute a P -matrix for $f[X] \subseteq Y$ such that if $E^*(V \cap f[X]) = E(V)$, then

$$E^*: \bigcup \{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow f[X]$$

is a labeling. If all families R_V are of cardinality ω_1 , then $f[X]$ has an ω_1 -thin labeling; a contradiction. If there exists R_V of cardinality ω , then $f[X]$ contains the clopen subset (in the topology inherited on $f[X]$), which has an ω -thin labeling; again a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Balcar, J. Pelant, and P. Simon, *The space of ultrafilters on N covered by nowhere dense sets*. Fund. Math. 110 (1980), no. 1, 11–24.
- [2] W. W. Comfort, A. Kato and S. Shelah, *Topological partition relations of the form $\omega^* \rightarrow (Y)_{\frac{1}{2}}$* , Papers on general topology and applications (Madison, WI, 1991), Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 704, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1993, 70–79.
- [3] A. Dow, *More topological partition relations on $\beta\omega$* . Topology Appl. 259 (2019), 50–66.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General topology*. Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 60, PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, (1977).
- [5] M. Fréchet, *Les dimensions d'un ensemble abstrait*. Math. Ann. 68 (1910), no. 2, 145–168.
- [6] L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, *Concerning rings of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1954), 340–362.
- [7] T. Jech, *Set theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2003), The third millennium edition, revised and expanded.
- [8] I. Juhász and W. Weiss, *On a problem of Sikorski*, Fund. Math. 100 (1978) 223–227.

- [9] W. Kubiś, *Fraïssé sequences: category-theoretic approach to universal homogeneous structures*. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 165 (2014) 1755–1811.
- [10] W. Kulpa and A. Szymański, *Decompositions into nowhere dense sets*. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 25 (1977), no. 1, 37–39.
- [11] K. Kunen, *Rigid P -spaces*. Fund. Math. 133(1) (1989), 59–65.
- [12] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*. Vol. I. Academic Press, New York-London; Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw (1966).
- [13] A. Lelek, *On totally paracompact metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 168–170.
- [14] A. K. Misra, *A topological view of P -spaces*. General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 349–362.
- [15] Sz. Plewik and M. Walczyńska, *On metric σ -discrete spaces*. Algebra, logic and number theory, 239–253, Banach Center Publ., 108, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, (2016).
- [16] M. E. Rudin, *Lectures on set theoretic topology*, Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. no. 23, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1975).
- [17] W. Sierpiński, *General topology*. Translated by C. Cecilia Krieger. Mathematical Expositions, No. 7, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1952.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA IN KATOWICE, UL.
BANKOWA 14, 40-007 KATOWICE

Email address: wojciech.bielas@us.edu.pl

Email address: andrzej.kucharski@us.edu.pl

Email address: szymon.plewik@us.edu.pl