

SEMI-PROREPRESENTABILITY OF FORMAL MODULI PROBLEMS AND EQUIVARIANT STRUCTURES

ABSTRACT. We generalize the notion of semi-universality in the classical deformation problems to the context of derived deformation theories. A criterion for a formal moduli problem to be semi-prorepresentable is produced. This can be seen as an analogue of Schlessinger's conditions for a functor of Artinian rings to have a semi-universal element. We also give a sufficient condition for a semi-prorepresentable formal moduli problem to admit a G -equivariant structure in a sense specified below, where G is a linearly reductive group. Finally, by making use of these criteria, we derive many classical results including the existence of G -equivariance structure on formal semi-universal deformations of algebraic schemes and that of complex compact manifolds.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Formal moduli problem revisited	4
1.1. Presentable ∞ -categories	4
1.2. Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of dglas and Koszul duality	9
1.3. Mapping spaces in \mathbf{Lie}_k and in \mathbf{cdga}_k	11
1.4. Formal moduli problems for \mathbf{cdga}_k	11
1.5. Representations of dglas	15
1.6. Derived schemes	17
2. Semi-prorepresentability of formal moduli problems	17
2.1. Smooth and étale morphisms of formal moduli problems	17
2.2. Semi-prorepresentable formal moduli problems	19
2.3. A criterion for semi-prorepresentability	19
2.4. Semi-prorepresentability and G -equivariant structure	22
3. Applications: Derived deformations of some geometric objects	28
3.1. Deformations of algebraic schemes	28
3.2. Equivariant deformations of algebraic schemes	31
3.3. Deformations of complex compact manifolds	35
3.4. Equivariant deformations of complex compact manifolds	37
References	41

Date: June 26, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14D15, 14B10, 13D10.

Key words and phrases. Deformation theory, Moduli theory, Formal moduli problem, Equivariance structure.

INTRODUCTION

The theory of deformations of algebraic schemes with algebraic group actions is first studied by the voluntary work of Pinkham (see [13]) in which affine cones with \mathbb{G}_m -actions are taken into account. Six years later, Rim obtains a far-reaching result which claims that if G is a linearly reductive group acting algebraically on an algebraic scheme X_0 where X_0 is supposed to be either an affine scheme with at most isolated singularities or a complete algebraic variety then a G -equivariant formal semi-universal deformation of X_0 exists, unique up to G -equivariant isomorphism (see [17]). In the language of functors of Artin rings, this result can be rephrased as follows. Let k be an algebraically closed field and \mathbf{Art}_k (resp. $\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k$) be the category of local artinian k -algebras (resp. complete local noetherian k -algebras) with residue field k . The functor $F_{X_0}: \mathbf{Art}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ which associates to each local artinian k -algebra A , the set of flat morphisms of schemes $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ with an isomorphism

$$X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(k) \cong X_0$$

has a formal semi-universal element, i.e. there exists a pro-object R in $\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k$ and an element $\hat{u} \in \widehat{F}_{X_0}(R)$ such that the morphism of functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k}(R, -) \rightarrow F_{X_0}$$

defined by \hat{u} is smooth and such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k}(R, k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)) \rightarrow F_{X_0}(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$$

is bijective, where \widehat{F}_{X_0} is the extension of F_{X_0} on $\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k$ (see [19, §2.2] for more details) and $k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ is the ring of dual numbers. Furthermore, this formal semi-universal element can be made G -equivariant. A recently-constructed counter-example in [2] has shown that the reductiveness assumption on G turns out to be optimal. In general, hardly is F_{X_0} prorepresentable by a pro-object due to the existence of non-trivial automorphisms of X_0 as always. Therefore, the smooth morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k}(R, -) \rightarrow F_{X_0}$$

can be considered the best formal approximation of F_{X_0} that we can expect. A similar result on the existence of G -equivariant Kuranishi family of compact complex manifolds is obtained as well in [3]. The main difference here is that on the analytic side, all deformations are required to be convergent.

Besides, a well-known philosophy of Drinfeld states that: “If X is a moduli space over a field k of characteristic zero, then a formal neighborhood of any point $x \in X$ is controlled by a differential graded Lie algebra” of which Lurie’s famous thesis (cf. [9]) has given a rigorous formulation. Namely, instead of working with \mathbf{Art}_k , he works with the category of differential graded commutative artinian augmented k -algebras, denoted by \mathbf{dgArt}_k and a formal moduli problem in his sense is defined to be a functor from

$\mathbf{dgArt}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{SEns}$ satisfying certain exactness conditions, where \mathbf{SEns} is the ∞ -category of simplicial sets. Then he proves that there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories between the homotopic category of formal moduli problems and that of differential graded Lie algebras. Furthermore, the prorepresentability (which corresponds to the notion of universality in the classical sense) of a formal moduli problem is reduced to checking some cohomological conditions on its associated differential graded Lie algebra, which is feasible for most of natural formal moduli problems that we encounter in reality. This can be viewed as an extremely astonishing generalization of Schlessinger’s work on functors of artinian rings (cf. [18]).

However, the notion of semi-universality apparently does not exist in the derived literature. Therefore, in this paper, our aim is to introduce such a notion which we shall call “semi-prorepresentability”. This notion should generalize the notion of semi-universality given by M. Schlessinger. Then we prove the semi-prorepresentability for a class of formal moduli problems of which the formal moduli problem Def_{X_0} associated to derived deformations of algebraic schemes or to those of complex compact manifolds (which is a natural extension of the functor F_{X_0} in the derived literature) is a typical example. This gives us an algebraic way to recover the formal existence of semi-universal deformations in the classical setting. At last, we will prove a theorem of Rim’s type. More precisely, we would like to provide a G -equivariant structure to the pro-object in \mathbf{dgArt}_k , which semi-prorepresents Def_{X_0} . Inspired by the spirit of Lurie’s equivalence, we shall carry things out on the corresponding differential graded Lie algebra. Once again, Rim’s result in the non-derived setting is just an immediate corollary of this.

Let us now outline the organization of this paper. We first, in §1, give an overview of the ∞ -equivalence between formal moduli problems and differential graded Lie algebras. The representations of differential graded Lie algebras, which are one of the essential tools for the rest of the article, is recalled as well. In §2, we shall introduce the notion of semi-prorepresentability and a criterion for a formal moduli problem to be semi-prorepresentable. If further the associated differential graded Lie algebra of this formal moduli problem is equipped with an appropriate action of some linearly reductive group G , we show that the corresponding semi-prorepresentable pro-object can be equipped with a versal compatible G -action (cf. Definition 2.3 below). What concerns us first in §3 is a folklore, in derived deformation theory, which says that the differential graded Lie algebra corresponding to the derived deformation functor Def_{X_0} of an algebraic scheme is the derived global section of $\mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}$ where $\mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}$ is the tangent complex of X_0 over k . It is well-known but we can not find a literature that contains a proof of it. Therefore, our aim is to give a detailed proof, with the help of Lurie’s general results on representations of differential graded Lie algebras. Afterwards, we give a characterization of G -equivariant derived deformations of X_0 in terms of this differential graded Lie algebra. Next, we recall also the famous differential graded Lie algebra which controls analytic deformations of a given

complex compact manifold. Finally, the existence of (G -equivariant) formal semi-universal deformation of algebraic schemes and that of complex compact manifolds are just immediate consequences of what we have done in §2.

Conventions and notations:

- A field of characteristic 0 will be always denoted by k .
- dgl_k is the abbreviation of differential graded Lie k -algebra while cgda means commutative differential graded augmented k -algebra.
- Mod_k is the category of chain complexes of k -modules and \mathbf{Mod}_k is the corresponding ∞ -category.
- Lie_k is the category of differential graded Lie k -algebras and \mathbf{Lie}_k is the corresponding ∞ -category.
- cdga_k is the category of commutative differential graded augmented k -algebras and \mathbf{cdga}_k is the corresponding ∞ -category.
- \mathbf{dgArt}_k denotes the full sub-category of cdga_k consisting of commutative differential graded artinian algebras cohomologically concentrated in non-positive degrees.
- \mathbf{Art}_k denotes the category of local artinian k -algebras with residue field k .
- \mathbf{SEns} is the category of simplicial sets.
- fmp is the abbreviation of formal moduli problem.
- \mathcal{FMP} is the homotopy category of formal moduli problems.

Acknowledgements. We would like to profoundly thank Prof. Bertrand Toën for explaining some notions in [20] and [21]. We are extremely grateful to Prof. Julien Grivaux for many precious discussions.

1. FORMAL MODULI PROBLEM REVISITED

1.1. **Presentable ∞ -categories.** A glimpse on presentable ∞ -categories is provided in this section. Let $\mathbf{\Delta}$ be the category of finite ordinal numbers with order-preserving maps between them. Concretely, the objects of $\mathbf{\Delta}$ are strings

$$\mathbf{n} : 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n$$

where n is a positive integer and morphisms of $\mathbf{\Delta}$ are order-preserving set functors $\mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$. For each $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{\Delta}$, consider the following morphisms:

$$d^i : \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$$

$$(0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n - 1) \mapsto (0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i - 1 \rightarrow i + 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n)$$

and

$$s^j : \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$$

$$(0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n + 1) \mapsto (0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow j \rightarrow j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n).$$

The former ones are called cofaces while the latter ones are called codegeneracies. They satisfies the following cosimplicial identities

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} d^j d^i = d^i d^{j-1} & \text{if } i < j \\ s^j d^i = d^i s^{j-1} & \text{if } i < j \\ s^j d^j = \text{Id} = s^j d^{j+1} \\ s^j d^i = d^{i-1} s^j & \text{if } i > j + 1 \\ s^j s^i = s^i s^{j+1} & \text{if } i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

The maps d^i, s^j together with these relations constitute a set of generators and relations for Δ (cf. [8]).

Definition 1.1. *A simplicial set is a contravariant functor $X : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$. A map of simplicial sets $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is simply a natural transformation of contravariant set-valued functors defined over Δ .*

Using the generators d^i, s^j and the relations (1.1), to give a simplicial set Y is equivalent to giving sets $Y_n, n \geq 0$ together with maps

$$\begin{cases} d_i : Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n-1}, & 0 \leq i \leq n \text{ (faces)} \\ s_j : Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}, & 0 \leq j \leq n \text{ (degeneracies)} \end{cases}$$

satisfying the simplicial identities


$$\begin{cases} d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i & \text{if } i < j \\ d_i s_j = s_{j-1} d_i & \text{if } i < j \\ d_j s_j = \text{Id} = d_{j+1} s_j \\ d_i s_j = s_j d_{i-1} & \text{if } i > j + 1 \\ s_i s_j = s_{j+1} s_i & \text{if } i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

We denote the category of simplicial sets by \mathbf{SEns} and refer the reader to [5] for a complete study of this category.


Definition 1.2. (1) *The standard n -simplex in the category \mathbf{SEns} is defined by*

$$\Delta^n = \text{Hom}_{\Delta}(\cdot, \mathbf{n}).$$

(2) *Denote by ι_n the standard simplex $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{n}} \in \text{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})$. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, the k -horn Λ_k^n of Δ^n is the union of all the faces $d_j(\iota_n)$ except $d_k(\iota_n)$.*



Definition 1.3. An ∞ -category is a simplicial set K which has the following property: for any $0 < k < n$, any map $f_0 : \Lambda_k^n \rightarrow K$ admits an extension $f : \Delta^n \rightarrow K$



(cf. [11, Definition 1.1.2.4]). A functor (often called ∞ -functor) between two ∞ -categories is simply a map of simplicial sets.

To end this section, we introduce the notion of presentability of ∞ -categories. (cf. [11, Definition 5.4.2.1, Proposition 5.4.2.2 and Definition 5.5.0.1]).

Definition 1.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a category (or an ∞ -category). We say that \mathcal{C} is presentable if \mathcal{C} admits small colimits and is generated under small colimits by a set of κ -compact objects, for some regular cardinal number κ . Here, an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be κ -compact if the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, -)$ preserves κ -filtered colimits

Remark 1.1. We often omit the cardinal number κ and say simply “compact” and “filtered” for simplicity.

The following two lemmas concerning adjoint functors of ∞ -categories are useful in the sequel (cf. [14, Corollary 2.1.65] and [11, Corollary 5.5.2.9], respectively).

Lemma 1.1. Let $g : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor of ∞ -categories and $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a right adjoint of g . Then f is an equivalence if and only if

- (1) f reflects equivalences,
- (2) the unit transformation $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow f \circ g$ is an equivalence.

Lemma 1.2. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a ∞ -functor between two presentable ∞ -categories.

- (1) The functor F has a right adjoint if and only if it preserves small colimits.
- (2) The functor F has a left adjoint if and only if it preserves small limits and filtered colimits.

There is a general effective method to construct presentable ∞ -categories via combinatorial model categories (see [7] for the notion of combinatorial model category) and Dwyer-Kan simplicial localization ([4]), which we shall use several times in the sequel. We recall it here for completeness. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category and $N(\mathcal{C})$ its associated nerve category (cf. [11, Definition 1.1.5.5]). Concretely, the simplices of $N(\mathcal{C})$ can be explicitly described as follows.

- 0-simplices are objects of \mathcal{C} ,
- 1-simplices are morphisms of \mathcal{C} .
- ...
- n -simplices are strings of n composable morphisms

$$C_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_n} C_n$$

which the face map d_i and the degeneracy map s_j carry to

$$C_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1} \circ f_i} C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_n} C_n$$

and

$$C_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_j} C_j \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{C_j}} C_j \xrightarrow{f_{j+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_n} C_n,$$

respectively.

By formally inverting the class $W_{\mathcal{C}}$ of weak equivalences in \mathcal{C} , we obtain a category $\mathbf{N}(\mathcal{C})[W_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}]$ which is the associated ∞ -category of \mathcal{C} . The presentability of $\mathbf{N}(\mathcal{C})[W_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}]$ follows immediately from the following theorem (cf. [10, Proposition 1.3.4.22]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a combinatorial model category. Then the associated ∞ -category of \mathcal{C} is presentable.*

As fundamental examples, we shall mention the associated presentable ∞ -categories of the category \mathbf{SEns} of simplicial sets, of the category of differential graded Lie algebras and of the category of commutative differential graded augmented k -algebras.

Proposition 1.1. *The category \mathbf{SEns} of simplicial sets admits a combinatorial model category structure where*

- (W) *A map of simplicial sets $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if its geometric realization is a weak homotopy equivalence of topological spaces.*
- (F) *A map of simplicial sets $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration if and only if it satisfies the Kan condition, i.e. for any $0 \leq k \leq n$ and any diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Lambda_k^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
 \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \exists f_0 & \downarrow f \\
 \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

of maps of simplicial sets, there exists a map f_0 such that the above diagram commutes.

The reader is referred to [7, Chapter 3.3.2] for a detailed treatment of this proposition. We denote the associated presentable ∞ -category of \mathbf{SEns} by \mathbf{SEns} .

Definition 1.5. A differential graded Lie algebra (or briefly dgla) over k is a chain complex (\mathfrak{g}_*, d) of k -vector spaces equipped with a Lie bracket $[-, -] : \mathfrak{g}_p \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{p+q}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_q$, we have $[x, y] + (-1)^{pq}[y, x] = 0$.
- (2) For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}_q$ and $z \in \mathfrak{g}_r$, we have

$$(-1)^{pr}[x, [y, z]] + (-1)^{pq}[y, [z, x]] + (-1)^{qr}[z, [x, y]] = 0.$$

- (3) The differential d is of degree 1 and is a derivation with respect to the Lie bracket. That is, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_q$,

$$d[x, y] = [dx, y] + (-1)^p[x, dy].$$

Given a pair of dglas (\mathfrak{g}_*, d) and (\mathfrak{g}'_*, d') , a map of dglas from (\mathfrak{g}_*, d) to (\mathfrak{g}'_*, d') is a map of chain complexes $F : (\mathfrak{g}_*, d) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}'_*, d')$ such that

$$F([x, y]) = [F(x), F(y)]$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_q$.

The collection of all dglas over k forms a category, which we shall denote by \mathbf{Lie}_k .

Proposition 1.2. The category \mathbf{Lie}_k of dglas over k admits a combinatorial model category structure where

- (W) A map of dglas $f : \mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_*$ is a weak equivalence if and only if it is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes.
- (F) A map of dglas $f : \mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_*$ is a fibration if and only if it is degree-wise surjective.

Proof. See [9, Proposition 2.1.10]. □

By the construction mentioned previously, we obtain the associated ∞ -category $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Lie}_k)[W^{-1}]$, denoted simply by \mathbf{Lie}_k . As an immediate consequence, we have the following.

Corollary 1.1. The ∞ -category \mathbf{Lie}_k is presentable.

Definition 1.6. A commutative differential graded algebra (or briefly cdga) over k is a chain complex (A, d) equipped with a morphism of chain complexes (multiplication map) $\mu : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$ and with a 0-cocycle 1 (neutral element) such that

- (1) $\mu(a, \mu(b, c)) = \mu(\mu(a, b), c)$ (associativity),
- (2) $\mu(a, b) = (-1)^{pq}\mu(b, a)$ (commutativity),
- (3) $\mu(a, 1) = \mu(1, a) = a$,

for any $a \in A_p$ and $b \in A_q$. A morphism of cdgas is a morphism of chain complexes commuting with multiplication maps. The collection of all cdgas over k forms a category, which we shall denote by \mathbf{CAlg}_k .

Proposition 1.3. The category \mathbf{CAlg}_k of dglas over k possesses a combinatorial model category structure where

- (W) A map of cdgas $f : \mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_*$ is a weak equivalence if and only if it is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes.
- (F) A map of cdgas $f : \mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_*$ is a fibration if and only if it is degree-wise surjective.

The same construction as in the case of dglas gives us the associated ∞ -category \mathbf{CAlg}_k of \mathbf{CAlg}_k . Let us denote by \mathbf{cdga}_k the full sub-category of \mathbf{CAlg}_k consisting of cdgas A with an additional augmented map $A \rightarrow k$. This sub-category inherits a combinatorial model category structure from \mathbf{CAlg}_k , which permits us to talk about its corresponding ∞ -category, denoted by \mathbf{cdga}_k . Finally, we introduce a sub-category of \mathbf{cdga}_k , on which formal moduli problems are defined.

Definition 1.7. A commutative differential graded augmented k -algebra $A \in \mathbf{cdga}_k$ is said to be artinian if the three following conditions hold:

- (1) The cohomology groups $H^n(A) = 0$ for n positive and for n sufficiently negative.
- (2) All cohomology groups $H^n(A)$ are of finite dimension over k .
- (3) $H^0(A)$ is a local artinian ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and the morphism

$$H^0(A)/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow k$$

is an isomorphism.

We denote the full sub-category of \mathbf{cdga}_k consisting of artinian commutative differential graded augmented k -algebras by \mathbf{dgArt}_k .

1.2. Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of dglas and Koszul duality.

Definition 1.8. Let (\mathfrak{g}_*, d) be a differential graded Lie algebra over a field k . The cone of \mathfrak{g}_* , denoted by $\mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$, is defined as follows:

- (1) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the vector space $\mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$ is $\mathfrak{g}_n \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$. A general element of $\mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$ is of the form

$$x + \epsilon y,$$

where $x \in \mathfrak{g}_n$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ and ϵ is a formal symbol.

- (2) The differential on $\mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$ is given by the formula

$$d(x + \epsilon y) = dx + y - \epsilon dy.$$

- (3) The Lie bracket on $\mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$ is given by

$$[x + \epsilon y, x' + \epsilon y'] = [x, x'] + \epsilon([y, x'] + (-1)^p[x, y']).$$

By definition, $\mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$ is also a differential graded Lie algebra. Moreover, its underlying chain complex can be identified with the mapping cone of the identity: $\mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$. In particular, $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$ is a quasi-isomorphism of dglas. Note that the zero map $\mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow 0$ induces a map of differential graded algebras $U(\mathfrak{g}_*) \rightarrow U(0) = k$, where $U(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ and $U(0)$ are the universal enveloping differential graded algebras of \mathfrak{g}_* and that of 0 , respectively. Another evident map of dglas is the inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*$.

Definition 1.9. *The cohomological Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of \mathfrak{g}_* is defined to be the linear dual of the tensor product*

$$U(\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*)) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_*)}^{\mathbb{L}} k,$$

which we shall denote by $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$.

There is a natural multiplication on $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$. More precisely, for $\lambda \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ and $\mu \in C^q(\mathfrak{g}_*)$, we define $\lambda\mu \in C^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ by the formula

$$(\lambda\mu)(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \sum_{S, S'} \epsilon(S, S') \lambda(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}) \mu(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_{n-m}}),$$

where $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{r_i}$, the sum is taken over all disjoint sets $S = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_m\}$ and $S' = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-m}\}$ and $r_{i_1} + \cdots + r_{i_m} = p$, and $\epsilon(S, S') = \prod_{i \in S', j \in S, i < j} (-1)^{r_i r_j}$. This multiplication imposes a structure of cdga on $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$.

Proposition 1.4. *With above notations, we have the followings:*

- (1) *The construction $\mathfrak{g}_* \mapsto C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ sends quasi-isomorphisms of dglas to quasi-isomorphisms of cdgas. In particular, we obtain a functor between ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Lie}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{cdga}_k^{\mathrm{op}}$, which, by abuse of notation, we still denote by C^* .*
- (2) *Let V_* be a chain complex of vector spaces and $\mathrm{Free}(V_*)$ be the free dgl generated by V_* then we have a map*

$$C^*(\mathrm{Free}(V_*)) \rightarrow k \oplus V_*^{\vee}[-1]$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism of cdgas, here V_*^{\vee} is the linear dual of V_* .

- (3) *The ∞ -functor C^* preserves small co-limits. Thus, C^* admits a right adjoint $D: \mathbf{cdga}_k^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lie}_k$ to which we refer as Koszul duality.*
- (4) *The unit map*

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*D(A)$$

is an equivalence in \mathbf{dgArt}_k and

$$DC^*D(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(A)$$

in \mathbf{Lie}_k .

Proof. For the first three statements, see [9, Chapter 2, Proposition 2.2.6, Proposition 2.2.7, Proposition 2.2.17]. For the last one, see [14, Chapter 4, Proposition 4.3.5]. \square

Definition 1.10. *We say that an object \mathfrak{g}_* in \mathbf{Lie}_k is good if it is cofibrant with respect to the model structure on \mathbf{Lie}_k and there exists a graded vector subspace $V_* \subset \mathfrak{g}_*$ such that*

- (1) *For every integer n , V_n is of finite dimension.*
- (2) *For every non-negative integer n , V_n is trivial.*
- (3) *As a graded Lie algebra, \mathfrak{g}_* is freely generated by V_* , i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_* = \mathrm{Free}(V_*)$.*

Denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{Lie}_k spanned by those good objects by \mathcal{C}° .

1.3. Mapping spaces in \mathbf{Lie}_k and in \mathbf{cdga}_k . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the algebraic simplex Δ^n of dimension n is the sub-variety of the affine space \mathbb{A}^{n+1} , defined by the equation $\sum_i x_i = 1$. Let L and L' be two dglas then the simplicial set of morphisms from L to L' is the simplicial set

$$\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\Delta(L, L') : [n] \mapsto \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(L, L' \otimes_k C^*(\Delta^n))$$

where $C^*(\Delta^n)$ is the de Rham differential graded algebra on the algebraic simplex Δ^n and $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(L, L' \otimes_k C^*(\Delta^n))$ is the usual set of morphisms between two dglas L and $L' \otimes_k C^*(\Delta^n)$.

Definition 1.11. *With the above notations, the mapping space $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(L, L')$ between two dglas L and L' is the simplicial set $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\Delta(QL, L')$ where QL is a cofibration replacement of L .*

Remark 1.2. In particular, $\pi_0(\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(L, L')) = \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(QL, L')$.

The mapping space $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{cdga}_k}(A, A')$ between two cdgas A and A' can be defined in a very similar way.

1.4. Formal moduli problems for \mathbf{cdga}_k . In this subsection, we shall work with the deformation context $(\mathbf{cdga}_k, \{k \oplus k[n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$. Here, the cdga $k \oplus k[n]$ is the square extension of k by $k[n]$.

Definition 1.12. *A functor $X : \mathbf{dgArt}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{SEns}$ is called a formal moduli problem if the following conditions are fulfilled.*

- (1) *The space $X(k)$ is contractible.*
- (2) *For every pullback diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & R_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_1 & \longrightarrow & R_{01} \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{dgArt}_k , if $\pi_0(R_0) \rightarrow \pi_0(R_{01}) \leftarrow \pi_0(R_1)$ are surjective, then the diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(R) & \longrightarrow & X(R_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(R_1) & \longrightarrow & X(R_{01}) \end{array}$$

is also a pullback diagram.

Remark 1.3. We can equivalently replace the condition (2) in the above definition by the following condition: for every pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R' & \longrightarrow & k \oplus k[n] \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{dgArt}_k , the diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(R) & \longrightarrow & X(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(R') & \longrightarrow & X(k \oplus k[n]) \end{array}$$

is also a pullback diagram for any $n \geq 1$ (see [1, Remark 1.5 and Corollary 1.6] for a proof).

We would like to study the full ∞ -subcategory $\mathcal{FMP} \subset \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{dgArt}_k, \mathbf{SEns})$ spanned by formal moduli problems.

Theorem 1.2. *The functor $D : \mathbf{cdga}_k^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lie}_k$ in Proposition 1.4 satisfies the following conditions*

- (i) *The ∞ -category \mathbf{Lie}_k is presentable.*
- (ii) *The functor D admits a left adjoint $C^* : \mathbf{Lie}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{cdga}_k^{op}$.*
- (iii) *The full subcategory \mathcal{C}° of \mathbf{Lie}_k in Definition 1.10 fulfills the following conditions*
 - (a) *For every object \mathfrak{g}_* in \mathcal{C}° , the unit map $\mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow DC^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ is an equivalence in \mathbf{Lie}_k .*
 - (b) *The initial object 0 of \mathbf{Lie}_k is in \mathcal{C}° .*
 - (c) *For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $K_n = \mathbf{Free}(k[-n-1]) \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$, then $C^*(K_n) \simeq k \oplus k[n]$ in \mathbf{cdga}_k .*
 - (d) *For every push-out diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n & \longrightarrow & K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K' \end{array}$$

if $K \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$ then so is K' .

Proof. (i) is essentially Corollary 1.1. (ii) follows from Proposition 1.4. For a detailed proof of (iii) see [9, Proposition 2.3.4]. \square

Remark 1.4. In general the pair

$$D : \mathbf{cdga}_k \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Lie}_k^{op} : C^*$$

does not induce an equivalence of categories. However, its restriction to the sub-categories \mathbf{dgArt}_k and \mathcal{C}° really does, i.e. the following pair

$$D : \mathbf{dgArt}_k \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathcal{C}^\circ : \mathcal{C}^*$$

is indeed an equivalence for the sake of Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 1.2. In addition, \mathcal{C}° contains essentially compact objects of \mathbf{Lie}_k (cf. Definition 1.4 for the notion of compact object).

Now, we are in a position to give a sketch for the proof of the following very well-known fundamental result in derived deformation theory, proved independently by Lurie in [9] and Pridham in [15].

Theorem 1.3. *The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \mathbf{Lie}_k &\rightarrow \mathcal{FMP} \\ \mathfrak{g}_* &\mapsto \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*) \end{aligned}$$

induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories between \mathbf{Lie}_k and \mathcal{FMP} .

Proof. First, we verify that for each $\mathfrak{g}_* \in \mathbf{Lie}_k$, the functor $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ defines a formal moduli problem in the sense of Definition 1.12. Indeed, it is obvious that $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(k), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ is contractible due to the fact that $D(k) \simeq 0$. It remains to verify the condition (2) in Definition 1.12. By Remark 1.3, we can consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \longrightarrow & k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \longrightarrow & k \oplus k[n] \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{dgArt}_k . Applying the functor D , we get a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(N) & \longleftarrow & D(k) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ D(M) & \longleftarrow & D(k \oplus k[n]) \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{Lie}_k^{op} by Remark 1.4 and therefore a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(N), \mathfrak{g}_*) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(k), \mathfrak{g}_*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(M), \mathfrak{g}_*) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(k \oplus k[n]), \mathfrak{g}_*). \end{array}$$

This justifies $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ being a formal moduli problem.

Next, Ψ preserves small limits by its definition. Moreover, for each $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, $D(A)$ is a compact object (cf. Definition 1.4) in \mathbf{Lie}_k by Remark 1.4. Hence, Ψ preserves also filtered colimits in \mathbf{Lie}_k . Therefore, the adjoint functor theorem 1.2 guarantees the existence of a left adjoint Φ of Ψ . By Lemma 1.1, it is reduced to showing that

- (1) Ψ reflects equivalences,
- (2) the unit transformation $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{FMP}} \rightarrow \Psi \circ \Phi$ is an equivalence.

To prove (1), let $f : \mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_*$ be a morphism of dglas, inducing an equivalence $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}_*) \simeq \Psi(\mathfrak{h}_*)$ of formal moduli problems. In particular, for n positive,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(k \oplus k[n]), \mathfrak{g}_*) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(k \oplus k[n]), \mathfrak{h}_*) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(DC^*(\mathrm{Free}(k[-n-1])), \mathfrak{g}_*) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(DC^*(\mathrm{Free}(k[-n-1])), \mathfrak{h}_*) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(\mathrm{Free}(k[-n-1]), \mathfrak{g}_*) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(\mathrm{Free}(k[-n-1]), \mathfrak{h}_*) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Mod}_k}(k[-n-1], \mathfrak{g}_*) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Mod}_k}(k[-n-1], \mathfrak{h}_*) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Mod}_k}(k, \mathfrak{g}_*[n+1]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Mod}_k}(k, \mathfrak{h}_*[n+1]) \end{aligned}$$

where the second and the third line follow from Theorem 1.2(iii)(c) and Remark 1.4, respectively (here, \mathbf{Mod}_k is the ∞ -category of chain complexes of k -vector spaces). As a sequence, we have a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes $\mathfrak{g}_*[n+1] \simeq \mathfrak{h}_*[n+1]$, or equivalently, a quasi-isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_* \simeq \mathfrak{h}_*$. Thus, (1) follows.

By a smooth hypercovering argument (see [9, Proposition 1.5.8]), it is sufficient to prove (2) for representable formal moduli problems, i.e. formal moduli problems of the form $\mathrm{Spec}(A) := \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{cdga}_k}(A, -)$ where $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$. For representable fmps, Φ can be explicitly described. Indeed, for any $\mathfrak{g}_* \in \mathbf{Lie}_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(\Phi(\mathrm{Spec}(A)), \mathfrak{g}_*) & \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{FMP}}(\mathrm{Spec}(A), \Psi(\mathfrak{g}_*)) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{FMP}}(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{cdga}_k}(A, -), \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{FMP}}(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), D(A)), \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(A), \mathfrak{g}_*) \end{aligned}$$

which gives an equivalence

$$\Phi(\mathrm{Spec}(A)) \simeq D(A).$$

So, to finish the verification, we just need to show that the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \Psi(D(A))$ is an equivalence. This is indeed the case since for each $B \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, the following chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(B), D(A)) & \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{cdga}_k}(A, C^*D(B)) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{cdga}_k}(A, B) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Spec}(A) \end{aligned}$$

is available again by Remark 1.4. □

1.5. Representations of dglas.

Definition 1.13. Let \mathfrak{g}_* be a dgl over a field k . A **representation** of \mathfrak{g}_* is a differential graded vector space V_* , equipped with a map

$$\mathfrak{g}_* \otimes_k V_* \rightarrow V_*$$

such that

$$[x, y]v = x(yv) + (-1)^{pq}y(xv)$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_q$.

A morphism between two representations V_* and W_* of \mathfrak{g}_* is a morphism of differential graded vector spaces $f : V_* \rightarrow W_*$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}_* \otimes_k V_* & \longrightarrow & V_* \\ \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}_*} \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathfrak{g}_* \otimes_k W_* & \longrightarrow & W_* \end{array}$$

The representations of \mathfrak{g}_* comprise a category which we will denote by $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{dg}$.

Proposition 1.5. The category $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{dg}$ of representations of a dgl \mathfrak{g}_* admits a combinatorial model structure, where:

- (1) A map $f : V_* \rightarrow W_*$ of representations of \mathfrak{g}_* is a weak equivalence if and only if it is an isomorphism on cohomology.
- (2) A map $f : V_* \rightarrow W_*$ of representations of \mathfrak{g}_* is a fibration if and only if it is degreewise surjective.

We denote $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$ to be the corresponding ∞ -category of $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{dg}$ with respect to this model structure.

Proof. See [9, Chapter 2, Proposition 2.4.5]. □

Definition 1.14. Let \mathfrak{g}_* be a dgl and $V_* \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{dg}$. The cohomological Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of \mathfrak{g}_* with coefficients in V_* is defined to be the differential graded vector space of $U(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ -module maps from $U(\text{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*))$ into V_* .

Observe that $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*, V_*)$ has the structure of a module over the differential graded algebra $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$. The action is given by k -bilinear maps

$$C^p(\mathfrak{g}_*) \times C^q(\mathfrak{g}_*, V_*) \rightarrow C^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}_*, V_*)$$

which send $\lambda \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ and $\mu \in C^q(\mathfrak{g}_*, V_*)$ to the element $\lambda\mu \in C^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}_*, V_*)$ provided by

$$(\lambda\mu)(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \sum_{S, S'} \epsilon(S, S') \lambda(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}) \mu(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{i_n-m}),$$

as in the construction of multiplication on $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$.

Let \mathfrak{g}_* be a dgla and $\mathbf{Mod}_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)}^{dg}$ be the category of differential graded modules over $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)$.

Theorem 1.4. *The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*, -) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{dg} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)}^{dg} \\ V_* &\mapsto C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*, V_*) \end{aligned}$$

preserves weak equivalences and fibrations. Moreover, it has a left adjoint F given by

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbf{Mod}_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)}^{dg} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{dg} \\ M_* &\mapsto U(\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*) \otimes_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)} M_*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $C^(\mathfrak{g}_*, -)$ is a right Quillen functor, which induces a map between ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)}$.*

Proof. See [9, Chapter 2, Proposition 2.4.10 and Remark 2.4.11]. \square

Definition 1.15. *Let \mathfrak{g}_* be a dgla and V_* be a representation of \mathfrak{g}_* . V_* is said to be **connective** if the cohomology groups of the chain complex V_* are concentrated in non-positive degrees. Let $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{cn}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$ spanned by the connective \mathfrak{g}_* -modules.*

Theorem 1.5. *Let f be the corresponding ∞ -functor of F in Theorem 1.4, then f induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)}^{cn} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}^{cn}$$

which sends M_ to*

$$U(\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g})_*) \otimes_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*)}^{\mathbb{L}} M_*,$$

i.e. f is the left derived functor of F .

Proof. See [9, Chapter 2, Proposition 2.4.16]. \square

To end this section, we recall a little bit about tensor products of representations.

Definition 1.16. *Let V_* and W_* be two representations of \mathfrak{g}_* , then tensor product $V_* \otimes_k W_*$ can be considered a representation of \mathfrak{g}_* with action given by the formula*

$$x(v \otimes w) = (xv) \otimes w + (-1)^{pq} v \otimes (xw)$$

for homogeneous elements $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p, v \in V_q$ and $w \in W_r$.

By a general theorem of Lurie, we can prove that the construction

$$W_* \mapsto V_* \otimes_k W_*$$

preserves quasi-isomorphisms. Consequently, the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure.

1.6. Derived schemes. Let \mathbf{sComm}_k be the ∞ -category of simplicial commutative rings (some authors use the terminology “derived rings”).

Definition 1.17. *A derived scheme is a data (X, \mathcal{O}_X) where X is a topological space and \mathcal{O}_X is a stack of derived rings on X such that two following conditions are satisfied*

- (1) *The truncation $(X, \pi_0(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is a scheme.*
- (2) *For all i the sheaf of $\pi_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -modules $\pi_i(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is quasi-coherent.*

We denote the ∞ -category of derived schemes by \mathbf{dSch}_k . We let also \mathbf{dAff}_k be the full ∞ -sub-category of \mathbf{dSch}_k consisting of derived schemes whose truncation $\pi_0(X)$ is an affine scheme.

In the world of derived schemes, we also have a derived version of the global section functor which we denote by $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(-, -)$. This functor takes a derived scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) to the space of global functions $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ on X . The following theorem is fundamental (see [20, Page 186]).

Theorem 1.6. *There is an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma(-, -) : \mathbf{dAff}_k^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{sComm}_k$$

whose inverse functor is denoted by $\mathrm{Spec}(-)$. Moreover, for any derived scheme X and any derived affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ where $A \in \mathbf{sComm}_k$, we have an equivalence of simplicial sets

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{dSch}_k}(X, \mathrm{Spec}(A)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{sComm}_k}(A, \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

2. SEMI-PROREPRESENTABILITY OF FORMAL MODULI PROBLEMS

2.1. Smooth and étale morphisms of formal moduli problems.

Definition 2.1. *Let X and Y be fmps and $u : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between them.*

- (i) *u is said to be smooth if for every small map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{dgArt}_k , the natural map*

$$X(A) \rightarrow X(B) \times_{Y(B)} Y(A)$$

is surjective on connected components.

- (ii) *u is étale if it is smooth and furthermore*

$$\pi_0(X(k \oplus k)) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y(k \oplus k))$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.1. In the definition of étaleness, the condition that

$$\pi_0(X(k \oplus k)) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y(k \oplus k))$$

is an isomorphism can be weakened to only an injection because the surjectivity of this map follows from its smoothness applying to the small morphism $k \oplus k \rightarrow k$.

Remark 2.2. Let \mathfrak{g}_* and \mathfrak{h}_* be the dglas associated to X and Y , respectively. Then the condition that $\pi_0(X(k \oplus k)) \cong \pi_0(Y(k \oplus k))$ is equivalent to the more explicit condition that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(k \oplus k), \mathfrak{g}_*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(k \oplus k), \mathfrak{h}_*),$$

on the side of dglas.

Proposition 2.1. *Using the same notations as in Definition 2.1. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) u is smooth.
- (ii) for every $n > 0$, the homotopy fiber of

$$X(k \oplus k[n]) \rightarrow Y(k \oplus k[n])$$

is connected.

Proof. See [9, Proposition 1.5.5]. □

The following statement gives an explicit criterion for a morphism of fmps to be étale, on the side of corresponding dglas.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X and Y be fmps whose associated dglas are \mathfrak{g}_* and \mathfrak{h}_* , respectively and $u : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between them, inducing a map $u^* : \mathfrak{g}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_*$ of dglas. If $H^i(\mathfrak{g}_*) \cong H^i(\mathfrak{h}_*)$ for any $i > 0$ then u is étale.*

Proof. Note that we always have that

$$\begin{cases} H^{n-i}(\mathfrak{g}_*) = \pi_i X(k \oplus k[n-1]) \\ H^{n-i}(\mathfrak{h}_*) = \pi_i Y(k \oplus k[n-1]) \end{cases}$$

for any $i, n \geq 0$. In particular,

$$\begin{cases} H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}_*) = \pi_0 X(k \oplus k[n]), & H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{h}_*) = \pi_0 Y(k \oplus k[n]) & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ H^n(\mathfrak{g}_*) = \pi_1 X(k \oplus k[n]), & H^n(\mathfrak{h}_*) = \pi_1 Y(k \oplus k[n]) & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the homotopy pull-back

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & X(k \oplus k[n]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & Y(k \oplus k[n]) \end{array}$$

whose corresponding homotopy fiber sequence is

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \pi_1(X(k \oplus k[n])) &\rightarrow \pi_1(Y(k \oplus k[n])) \rightarrow \pi_0(F) \\ &\rightarrow \pi_0(X(k \oplus k[n])) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y(k \oplus k[n])) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption we have that

$$\pi_1(X(k \oplus k[n])) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y(k \oplus k[n]))$$

and

$$\pi_0(X(k \oplus k[n])) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y(k \oplus k[n]))$$

are all isomorphisms for $n > 0$. Thus, $\pi_0(F) = 0$ and then F is connected so that u is smooth by Proposition 2.1. Besides,

$$\pi_0(X(k \oplus k)) = H^1(\mathfrak{g}_*) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{h}_*) = \pi_0(Y(k \oplus k)).$$

Hence, u is étale. \square

Remark 2.3. The notion of smoothness and the one of étaleness are in fact a generalization of those introduced by M. Schlessinger (cf. [18])

2.2. Semi-prorepresentable formal moduli problems. One of the corollaries of Theorem 1.3 is the following criterion for a fmp to be prorepresentable (cf. [9, Corollary 2.3.6]).

Theorem 2.1. *A fmp F is prorepresentable by a pro-object in \mathbf{dgArt}_k if and only if the corresponding dgla \mathfrak{g}_* is cohomologically concentrated in degrees $[1, +\infty)$.*

However, in reality there are many fmps which are not prorepresentable due to the fact that their associated dglas have some components in negatives degrees. The typical example is the derived deformation functor Def_{X_0} of a given algebraic scheme X_0 . The 0^{th} -cohomology group of the associated dgla of Def_{X_0} is nothing but the vector space of global vector fields on X_0 , which is not vanishing in general (cf. Theorem 3.2). This leads us to a weaker notion of prorepresentability, which in fact generalizes that of semi-universality in the classical sense.

Definition 2.2. *A fmp F is said to be semi-prorepresentable if there exists a pro-object in \mathbf{dgArt}_k and a morphism of fmps $u : \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{dgArt}_k}(A, -) \rightarrow F$ such that u is étale.*

Remark 2.4. In particular, if F is a semi-prorepresentable fmp in the sense of Definition 2.2 then the functor of artinian rings $E := \pi_0(F)$ is semi-prorepresentable by $H^0(QA)$ in Schlessinger's sense:

- (a) the morphism of functors $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k}(H^0(QA), -) \rightarrow E$ is smooth,
- (b) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k}(H^0(QA), k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)) \rightarrow E(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$ is bijective,

where QA is the cofibrant replacement of A (cf. [18] or [19] for more details).

2.3. A criterion for semi-prorepresentability. In this section we try to give a sufficient condition for a given fmp whose associated dgla is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$ to be semi-prorepresentable.

Theorem 2.2. *Let F be a fmp whose associated dgla \mathfrak{g}_* is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$. Assume further that $H^i(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space for each $i \geq 0$. Then F is semi-prorepresentable.*

Proof. We first treat the case when each \mathfrak{g}_i is finite-dimensional. Denote $B^1(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ and $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ to be the first space of boundaries and the one of cycles, respectively. Since, \mathfrak{g}_1 is finite-dimensional we can choose the following splittings:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = Z^1(\mathfrak{g}_*) \oplus E^1, \quad Z^1(\mathfrak{g}_*) = B^1(\mathfrak{g}_*) \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}_*).$$

Define a new dgla \mathfrak{k}_*

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{k}_i = 0 & \text{if } i \leq 0 \\ \mathfrak{k}_1 = E^1 \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \mathfrak{k}_i = \mathfrak{g}_i & \text{if } i > 1, \end{cases}$$

whose Lie bracket and differential are induced by those of \mathfrak{g}_* . The natural inclusion $u : \mathfrak{k}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ induces isomorphisms

$$H^i(\mathfrak{k}_*) \rightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{g}_*),$$

for $i > 0$ by construction. For the sake of Proposition 2.2, the corresponding map of fmps

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{k}_*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*) = F(-)$$

is étale. Moreover, \mathfrak{k}_* is cohomologically concentrated in $[1, +\infty)$, by construction. Thus, the fmp $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{k}_*)$ is prorepresentable by a pro object in \mathbf{dgArt}_k , let's say K , i.e.

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{k}_*) = \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{cdga}_k}(K, -)$$

by Theorem 2.1. Therefore, F is semi-prorepresentable, which finishes the proof of this case.

For the general case, we have that $\mathfrak{g}_* = \mathrm{colim}_i \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ where each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_k$ is of finite dimension and $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$. This fact will be proved in Lemma 2.1 below. Then for each dgla $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$, we repeat the above procedure to obtain $\mathfrak{k}(i)_*$. Denote $\mathfrak{k}_* := \mathrm{colim}_i \mathfrak{k}(i)_*$. Then, the induced map

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{k}_*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*) = F(-)$$

is étale. Furthermore, since each $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{k}(i)_*)$ is prorepresentable then so is $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{k}_*)$. \square

Remark 2.5. The dgla \mathfrak{k}_* constructed in Theorem 2.2 is unique up to quasi-isomorphisms in \mathbf{Lie}_k .

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{g}_* be a dgla which is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$. If all the cohomology groups of \mathfrak{g}_* are of finite dimension then*

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \mathrm{colim}_i \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

where each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_k$ is finite-dimensional and $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$

Proof. Dually, we can assume equivalently that the homology $H_i(\mathfrak{g}_*) \simeq 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. We aim to construct by induction a sequence of dglas

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}(0)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \cdots$$

equipped with maps $\phi(i) : \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}} \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

and that for all $i \geq 0$

$$H_n(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \geq 1.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we pick a finite-dimensional graded subspace $V_n \in \mathfrak{g}_n$ consisting of cycles which maps isomorphically onto the homology $H_n(\mathfrak{g}_*)$. We think of V_* as a differential graded vector space with the trivial differential. Let $\mathfrak{g}(1)_*$ denote the free differential graded Lie algebra generated by V_* and let $\phi(1) : \mathfrak{g}(1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ be the canonical map. By construction, we have that

$$H_n(\mathfrak{g}(1)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \geq 1$$

and that the inclusion $V_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(1)_0$ induces an isomorphism

$$V_0 \rightarrow H_0(\mathfrak{g}(1)_*).$$

Now, suppose that $i \geq 1$ and that we have built a map $\phi(i) : \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ extending $\phi(1)$. Then $\phi(i)$ induces a surjection

$$\theta(i) : H_*(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \rightarrow H_*(\mathfrak{g}_*).$$

Choose a collection of cycles $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}(i)_{n_\alpha}$ whose images form a basis for $\ker(\theta)$. So, we can write

$$\phi(i)(x_\alpha) = dy_\alpha$$

for some $y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{n_\alpha+1}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ be the differential graded Lie algebra obtained from $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ by freely adding elements Y_α (in degrees $n_\alpha + 1$) such that $dY_\alpha = x_\alpha$. We let $\phi(i+1) : \mathfrak{g}(i+1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ denote the unique extension of $\phi(i)$ satisfying

$$\phi(i+1)(Y_\alpha) = y_\alpha.$$

We shall prove that by induction on i that

$$H_n(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \geq 1$$

and that the inclusion $V_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)_0$ induces an isomorphism

$$V_0 \rightarrow H_0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$$

for each $i \geq 1$. The case $i = 1$ is obvious by the above explanation. Suppose that it holds for i , we must prove that it also holds for $i + 1$. Indeed, by construction, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V_0 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \\
 & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \theta(i) \\
 & & H_0(\mathfrak{g}_*)
 \end{array}$$

Hence, $\phi(i)$ is an isomorphism in degrees ≥ 0 . Thus, $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ by freely adding generators Y_α in degree ≤ 0 , which implies that

$$H_n(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*) \simeq 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Furthermore, we can write

$$\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0 \simeq \mathfrak{g}(i)_0 \oplus W$$

where W is the subspace generated by the elements Y_α with $n_\alpha = -1$, constructed as above. Note that the differential on $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ induces an injective map

$$d: W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)_{-1}/d\mathfrak{g}(i)_0$$

because by construction the set of $dY_\alpha = x_\alpha$ form a basis for $\ker(\theta) \subset \mathfrak{g}(i)_{-1}/d\mathfrak{g}(i)_0$. Therefore,

$$H_0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) = H_0(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*)$$

so that the inclusion $V_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$ induces an isomorphism

$$V_0 \rightarrow H_0(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*).$$

This finishes the induction argument.

Finally, we let \mathfrak{g}'_* denote the colimit of the sequence $\{\mathfrak{g}(i)_*\}_{i \geq 0}$. The canonical map $\mathfrak{g}'_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ is surjective on homology since the map $\mathfrak{g}(1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ is surjective on homology. Let $\eta \in \ker(H_*(\mathfrak{g}'_*) \rightarrow H_*(\mathfrak{g}_*))$ then η is represented by a class $\bar{\eta} \in \ker(H_*(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \rightarrow H_*(\mathfrak{g}_*))$ for i sufficiently large. By construction, the image of $\bar{\eta}$ vanishes in $H_*(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*)$. Thus, $\eta = 0$ so that

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{colim}} \mathfrak{g}(i)_*.$$

This ends the proof. \square

Remark 2.6. The finiteness condition on the cohomology groups of \mathfrak{g}_* can be seen as a generalization of Schlessinger's finiteness condition on the tangent space of a classical functor of artinian rings.

2.4. Semi-prorepresentability and G -equivariant structure. In this subsection, we intend to generalize the notion of G -equivariant structure on versal deformations initiated by D. S. Rim in [17] (see also Introduction), in the world of formal moduli problems.

Let F be a fmp and let \mathfrak{g}_* be its corresponding dgla. Suppose that F is semi-prorepresentable and that \mathfrak{g}_* is prescribed an action of some group G .

Definition 2.3. F is said to have a G -equivariant structure if there exists a pro-object K in \mathbf{dgArt}_k such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) F is semi-prorepresentable by K ,
- (ii) Denote the associated dgla of K by \mathfrak{k}_* . Then we can equip \mathfrak{k}_* with a compatible G -action such that
 - (a) the natural morphism of dglas $\Phi : \mathfrak{k}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ is G -equivariant with respect to the prescribed G -action on \mathfrak{g}_* ,
 - (b) \mathfrak{k}_* is versal in the following sense: for any $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$ and any G -equivariant map $\phi : QD(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ with respect to the given G -action on \mathfrak{g}_* , there exists a G -equivariant map $\tau : QD(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}_*$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QD(A) & \overset{\tau}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathfrak{k}_* \\ & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & \mathfrak{g}_* \end{array}$$

where $QD(A)$ is a cofibrant replacement of $D(A)$,

- (c) the construction in (b) is a bijection on the tangent level. In other words,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}^G(D(k \oplus k), \mathfrak{k}_*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}^G(D(k \oplus k), \mathfrak{g}_*)$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}^G(D(k \oplus k), \mathfrak{k}_*)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}^G(D(k \oplus k), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ are sets of G -equivariant maps of dglas into \mathfrak{g}_* and \mathfrak{k}_* with the prescribed G -actions, respectively.

Remark 2.7. The G -equivariant structure on F with respect to a fixed G -action on its corresponding dgla is unique up to G -quasi-isomorphisms.

Remark 2.8. If F has a G -equivariant structure then K in the above definition will naturally carry a G -action. So, the map $\tau : QD(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}_*$ in (b) will correspond to a G -equivariant map of cdgas: $QK \rightarrow A$, as well.

A criterion for a semi-prorepresentable formal moduli problem to have a G -equivariant structure will be given by the following.

Theorem 2.3. Let F be a fmp whose associated dgla \mathfrak{g}_* is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$ and G be a linearly reductive algebraic group defined over k , acting on \mathfrak{g}_* . Assume further that $H^i(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space for each $i \geq 0$ and that the following colimit is available

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_* = \mathrm{colim}_i \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

where

- (i) each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_k$ is finite-dimensional,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$,
- (iii) each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ carries an algebraic G -action and the colimit of these G -actions gives back the initial G -action on \mathfrak{g}_* .

Then F admits a G -equivariant structure.

Proof. As usual, we first deal with the case where each \mathfrak{g}_i is finite-dimensional. Denote $B^1(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ and $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ to be the first space of boundaries and the one of cycles, respectively. Note that $B^1(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ and $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ are also G -invariant. Since \mathfrak{g}_1 is a finite-dimensional G -module and G is reductive, we can choose the following splittings:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = Z^1(\mathfrak{g}_*) \oplus E^1, \quad Z^1(\mathfrak{g}_*) = B^1(\mathfrak{g}_*) \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}_*)$$

as G -modules. Define a new dgla \mathfrak{k}_*

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{k}_i = 0 & \text{if } i \leq 0 \\ \mathfrak{k}_1 = E^1 \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \mathfrak{k}_i = \mathfrak{g}_i & \text{if } i > 1, \end{cases}$$

whose Lie bracket and differential are induced by those of \mathfrak{g}_* . It is clear that \mathfrak{k}_* inherits an algebraic G -action. By the proof of Theorem 2.2, the fmp $F = \text{Map}_{\text{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ is semi-prorepresentable by a pro-object K whose associated dgla is exactly \mathfrak{k}_* . Moreover, the natural map of dglas $\Phi : \mathfrak{k}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ is G -equivariant, by construction. It is left to verify the versality of \mathfrak{k}_* . However, this follows immediately from the étaleness of the map

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{k}_*) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{Lie}_k}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*) = F$$

and the injectivity of the natural map $\Phi : \mathfrak{k}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$.

To deal with the general case, we shall make use of the assumption (2.1). For each dgla $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$, we repeat the above procedure to obtain $\mathfrak{k}(i)_*$. Finally, the desired \mathfrak{k}_* is nothing but $\text{colim}_i \mathfrak{k}(i)_*$. \square

Remark 2.9. The approximation (2.1) in fact can be done in several specific situations, for example, if we make a condition that each G -module \mathfrak{g}_i is rational G -module (this will be proved in Lemma 2.2 below) or when \mathfrak{g}_* is the Kodaira-Spencer dgla that controls deformations of compact complex manifolds equipped with an appropriate holomorphic action of a reductive complex Lie group (cf. Lemma 3.1 below). These two cases cover all the deformation functors that we would like to treat in this chapter.

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathfrak{g}_* be a dgla which has no component in degrees ≤ -1 . Suppose that all the cohomology groups of \mathfrak{g}_* are finite-dimensional and that each component \mathfrak{g}_i is a rational G -module. Then*

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \text{colim}_i \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

where

- (i) each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_k$ is finite-dimensional,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$,
- (iii) each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ carries an algebraic G -action and the colimit of these G -actions gives back the initial G -action on \mathfrak{g}_* .

Proof. We aim to construct by induction a sequence of dglas with G -actions

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}(0)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \cdots$$

equipped with G -equivariant maps $\phi(i) : \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \varinjlim \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

and that for all $i \geq 0$

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \leq -1.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we pick a finite-dimensional graded subspace $\tilde{V}_n \in \mathfrak{g}_n$ consisting of cocycles which maps isomorphically onto the cohomology $H^n(\mathfrak{g}_*)$. Let V_n be the sub-representation of \mathfrak{g}_n generated by \tilde{V}_n under the G -action. Since G acts rationally on \mathfrak{g}_n then V_n is finite-dimensional. Note that \tilde{V}_0 is nothing but the space of cocycles of \mathfrak{g}_* due to the fact that \mathfrak{g}_* has no components in degrees ≤ -1 . As a matter of fact, \tilde{V}_0 is already G -invariant and $V_0 = \tilde{V}_0$. We think of V_* as a differential graded vector space with the trivial differential. Let $\mathfrak{g}(1)_*$ denote the free differential graded Lie algebra generated by V_* and let $\phi(1) : \mathfrak{g}(1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ be the canonical map. By construction, we have that $\phi(1)$ is G -equivariant and that

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}(1)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \leq -1$$

and that the inclusion $V_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(1)_0$ induces an isomorphism

$$V_0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{g}(1)_*).$$

Now, suppose that $i \geq 1$ and that we have built a G -equivariant map $\phi(i) : \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ extending $\phi(1)$. Then $\phi(i)$ induces a surjection

$$\theta(i) : H^*(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \rightarrow H^*(\mathfrak{g}_*).$$

For each n , since n^{th} -component $\ker(\theta)_n$ of $\ker(\theta)$ is a G -invariant finite-dimensional sub-vector space of $H^n(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$, we choose a collection of cocycles $\{x_\alpha^n\}_{\alpha \in A_n} \subset \mathfrak{g}(i)_n$ whose images form a basis for $\ker(\theta)_n$, where A_n is a finite index set. For each $\alpha \in A_n$ and $g \in G$, we write

$$\begin{cases} g.x_\alpha^n = \sum_{\beta \in A_n} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^g x_\beta^n, \\ \phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) = dy_\alpha^{n-1} \end{cases}$$

for some $y_\alpha^{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$. On one hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(g \cdot y_\alpha^n) &= g d y_\alpha^{n-1}, \text{ since } d \text{ is equivariant,} \\
 &= g \phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) \text{ by construction,} \\
 &= \phi(i)(g x_\alpha^n) \text{ by the equivariance of } \phi(i), \\
 &= \phi(i) \left(\sum_{\beta \in A_n} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^g x_\beta^n \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\beta \in A_n} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^g \phi(i)(x_\beta^n) \\
 &= \sum_{\beta \in A_n} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^g d(y_\beta^{n-1}) \\
 &= d \left(\sum_{\beta \in A_n} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^g y_\beta^{n-1} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote $z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1} = g \cdot y_\alpha^{n-1} - \sum_{\beta \in A_n} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^g y_\beta^{n-1}$ then

$$(2.2) \quad d z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1} = 0$$

Let T_{n-1} be the vector space generated by y_α^{n-1} 's and $z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1}$'s. On the other hand, since \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} is a rational G -module then the sub-representation of G generated by y_α^{n-1} 's is a finite-dimensional vector space, which we shall call T'_{n-1} . Clearly, T_{n-1} is included in T'_{n-1} . Hence, T_{n-1} is also finite-dimensional. Moreover, it is easy to see that T_{n-1} is also G -invariant, by construction. Let W_{n-1} be a vector space identical to T_{n-1} , as G -representations. Let Y_α^{n-1} 's and $Z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1}$'s be elements in W_{n-1} corresponding to y_α^{n-1} 's and $z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1}$'s, respectively. Finally, for each n , define $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ to be the differential graded Lie algebra obtained from $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ by freely adding a basis of W_{n-1} (in degrees $n-1$) such that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} d(Y_\alpha^{n-1}) = x_\alpha^n \\ d(Z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and let $\phi(i+1) : \mathfrak{g}(i+1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ denote the unique extension of $\phi(i)$ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \phi(i+1)(Y_\alpha^{n-1}) = y_\alpha^{n-1} \\ \phi(i+1)(Z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1}) = z_{\alpha,g}^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that d and $\phi(i+1)$ defined in this way are G -equivariant.

We shall prove by induction on i that

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \leq -1$$

and that the inclusion $V_0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$ induces an isomorphism

$$V_0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$$

for each $i \geq 1$. The case $i = 1$ is obvious by the above explanation. Suppose that it holds for i , we must prove that it also holds for $i + 1$. Indeed, by construction, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_0 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \theta(i) \\ & & H_0(\mathfrak{g}_*) \end{array}$$

Hence, $\theta(i)$ is an isomorphism in degrees ≤ 0 . Thus, $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ by freely adding generators Y_α^{n+1} and $Z_{\alpha,g}^{n+1}$ in degree ≥ 0 , which implies that

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*) \simeq 0$$

for all $n \leq -1$. Furthermore, we can write

$$\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0 \simeq \mathfrak{g}(i)_0 \oplus Y_0 \oplus Z_0$$

where Y_0 and Z_0 are the subspaces generated by the elements Y_α^0 and $Z_{\alpha,g}^0$, constructed as above. Note that the differential on $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ induces an injective map

$$d : Y_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)_1/d\mathfrak{g}(i)_0$$

because by construction the set of $dY_\alpha^0 = x_\alpha^1$ form a basis for

$$\ker(\theta)_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}(i)_1/d\mathfrak{g}(i)_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(i+1)_1/d\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0.$$

This guarantees that there are no new cocycles coming from Y_0 . However, the space Z_0 consists merely of new cocycles on $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$ by (2.3). Therefore, in general,

$$\boxed{V_0 \cong H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \neq H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*)}.$$

In order to remedy this situation, we note that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\theta(i) : H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{g}_*)$$

as G -representations. By (2.2), $z_{\alpha,g}^0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a cocycle. Let $\bar{z}_{\alpha,g}^0$ denote its cohomology class in $H^0(\mathfrak{g}_*)$. So, there exists a unique cohomology class $\bar{z}'_{\alpha,g}{}^0 \in H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$ such that

$$\theta(i)(\bar{z}'_{\alpha,g}{}^0) = \bar{z}_{\alpha,g}^0.$$

On the other hand, we have a decomposition of

$$\mathfrak{g}(i)_0 = E_0 \oplus \mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$$

where E_0 is some subspace and $\mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$ is the space of cocycles. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0 \simeq E_0 \oplus \mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \oplus Z_0 \oplus Y_0$$

Let $\pi : \mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$ denote the canonical projection. We define a linear map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \oplus Z_0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$$

as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x) = \pi(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*), \\ \Phi(Z_{\alpha,g}^0) = \overline{z_{\alpha,g}^0} & \text{for any } Z_{\alpha,g}^0 \in Z_0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \oplus Z_0 = \ker(\Phi) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \oplus Z_0}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \oplus Z_0}$ is isomorphic to the quotient $(\mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \oplus Z_0) / \ker \Phi$. If we denote by $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$ the minimal G -stable sub-vector space generated by $E_0 \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \oplus Z_0} \oplus Y_0$ under the G -action on $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$ then $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$ is in general not a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0}$ be the Lie sub-algebra generated by $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$ under the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$. Replace the 0th-component $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_0}$ with the induced differential map and the induced map of $\phi(i+1)$. Note that these induced maps are well-defined by construction (2.3) and (2.4). Now, with this new dgla $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$, we have at last that

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \cong H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*)$$

because on the cohomological level, each new cocycle in Z_0 is eventually some cocycle coming from $\mathfrak{g}(i)_0$, by construction. In other words, there is no new cohomology class created by Z_0 in $H^0(\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*)$. This finishes the induction argument.

The rest of the proof is identical to the one of Lemma 2.1. \square

3. APPLICATIONS: DERIVED DEFORMATIONS OF SOME GEOMETRIC OBJECTS

3.1. Deformations of algebraic schemes. Let X_0 be an algebraic scheme defined over k . For each $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, denote C_A the category of flat morphisms of derived schemes $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. A morphism between two objects $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and $Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ in C_A is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(A) \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{dSch}_k . Consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Def} : \mathbf{dgArt}_k &\rightarrow \mathbf{SEns} \\ A &\mapsto \mathcal{N}(C_A / \text{quasi-isomorphisms}) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{N} is the nerve of the category C_A . Let $\phi: A \rightarrow A'$ be a morphism in \mathbf{dgArt}_k , then we have an induced morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Def}(\phi) : \mathrm{Def}(A) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Def}(A') \\ (X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)) &\mapsto (X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A')) \end{aligned}$$

which clearly preserves the quasi-isomorphisms. The fact that $X_0 \in \mathrm{Def}(k)$ allows us to define a new functor

$$\mathrm{Def}_{X_0} : \mathbf{dgArt}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{SEns}$$

which sends $(A \xrightarrow{\phi_A} k)$ to the homotopy fiber at X_0 , i.e. $\mathrm{Def}(A) \times_{\mathrm{Def}(k)} X_0$ which is equivalent to the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Def}(A) \times_{\mathrm{Def}(k)} X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \mathrm{Def}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Def}(\phi_A)} & \mathrm{Def}(k) \end{array}$$

Thus, Def_{X_0} is the derived deformation functor of X_0 and $\mathrm{Def}_{X_0} \in \mathcal{FMP}$. If $X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(B_0)$ is an affine scheme, $\mathrm{Def}_{X_0}(A)$ is simply the set of cofibrant flat commutative A -dg-algebras B such that we have the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & B_0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow i \\ A & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & k \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{cdga}_k .

Remark 3.1. The formal moduli problem Def_{X_0} defined as above is the natural extension of the functor of artinian rings F_{X_0} discussed in the introduction of this chapter.

Using the setting of Section 1, we now compute the dgla associated to Def_{X_0} . The case that $X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(B_0)$ is an affine scheme shall be treated in advance. We follow strictly the sketch of proof given by B. Toën in [21, Page 1111-30]. Theorem 1.5 turns out to be the key tool. Let $\mathfrak{g}_*^A := D(A)$ for each $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, and f be the ∞ -functor defined as in Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 3.1. *The dgla corresponding to the derived deformation functor of an affine scheme $X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(B_0)$ is*

$$\mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0)$$

the dg-derivations of B'_0 , where B'_0 is a cofibration replacement of $(k \rightarrow B_0)$.

Proof. Observe that by definition all the elements of \mathbf{dgArt}_k are connective (cf. Definition 1.15) and then so are those of $\mathrm{Def}_{B_0}(A)$ for each $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$ (by flatness). Let $B \in \mathrm{Def}_{B_0}(A)$ then $f(B)$ is a connective \mathfrak{g}_*^A -module in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{g}_*^A}^{cn}$. Recall again that $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*^A}$ has a symmetric monoidal structure. Thus, saying that $f(B)$ is a cdga in $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}_*^A}$ is the same as saying that B is a representation of \mathfrak{g}_*^A and the “multiplication” map

$$B \otimes_k B \rightarrow B$$

is a morphism of representations. However, by Definition 1.16 about tensor product of two representations, the multiplication map

$$B \otimes_k B \rightarrow B$$

being a morphism of representations \mathfrak{g}_*^A means exactly that each $l \in \mathfrak{g}_*^A$ acts on $f(B)$ by derivations. Equivalently, there exists a morphism of dglas:

$$\mathfrak{g}_*^A \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(f(B), f(B)).$$

In brief, what we have just done is to associate to each element of $\mathrm{Def}_{B_0}(A)$, an element of $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A, \mathrm{Der}_k(f(B), f(B)))$. Finally, since f is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, this correspondence is an equivalence of simplicial sets.

Now, unwinding the definition of f , we have

$$f(B) = U(\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)) \otimes_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)}^{\mathbb{L}} B$$

The cone $\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)$ of \mathfrak{g}_*^A is a contractible chain complex since its underlying chain complex can be identified with the mapping cone of the identity $\mathfrak{g}_*^A \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*^A$. In particular, $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of dglas. Because the universal enveloping algebra construction preserves quasi-isomorphisms, $U(0) = k \rightarrow U(\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A))$ is also a weak equivalence. Thus,

$$U(\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)) \otimes_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)}^{\mathbb{L}} B \simeq k \otimes_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)}^{\mathbb{L}} B.$$

Moreover, by Proposition 1.4, we have an equivalence in \mathbf{dgArt}_k

$$A \xrightarrow{\simeq} C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*^A).$$

As a consequence,

$$f(B) \simeq U(\mathrm{Cn}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)) \otimes_{C^*(\mathfrak{g}_*^A)}^{\mathbb{L}} B \simeq k \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B.$$

By the definition of B , this is just the image B_0 in the homotopic category of cdgas. In other words, if we take B'_0 a cofibrant replacement of B_0 then

$$f(B) \simeq B'_0.$$

Therefore, we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Def}_{B_0}(A) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A, \mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0))$$

as simplicial sets. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we deal with the general case where X_0 is an arbitrary scheme.

Theorem 3.2. *The dgla corresponding to the derived deformation functor Def_{X_0} of a scheme X_0 is*

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$$

where $\mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}$ is the tangent complex of X_0 over k .

Proof. For $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, an object in $\text{Def}_{X_0}(A)$ is a flat morphism of derived schemes $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$. By Theorem 1.6, it corresponds to a flat morphism

$$A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

By the proof of affine case, it is equivalent to a morphism of dglas:

$$\mathfrak{g}_*^A \rightarrow \text{Der}(f(\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)), f(\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))) \simeq \text{Der}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}), \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Der}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}), \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})) &= \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{D}\text{er}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})) \\ &= \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{D}\text{er}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})) \\ &= \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \text{Map}_{L_{qcoh}(X)}(\mathbb{L}_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})) \\ &= \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}). \end{aligned}$$

where $L_{qcoh}(X)$ is the ∞ -category of derived quasi-coherent sheaves of X_0 . Thus, we have just proved that

$$\text{Def}_{X_0}(A) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_k}(\mathfrak{g}_*^A, \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}))$$

as simplicial sets. This tells us that the dgla corresponding to Def_{X_0} is $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *If X_0 is either an affine scheme with at most isolated singularities or a complete algebraic variety then Def_{X_0} is semi-prorepresentable. Consequently, the classical functor of deformations $\pi_0(\text{Def}_{X_0}(\pi_0(-)))$ of X_0 has a semi-universal element.*

Proof. Since X_0 is either an affine scheme with at most isolated singularities or a complete algebraic variety then all the cohomology groups of $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$ are finite-dimensional vector spaces. Moreover, $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$ is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$. Therefore, Def_{X_0} is semi-prorepresentable by Theorem 2.2. The last statement follows immediately by Remark 2.4. \square

3.2. Equivariant deformations of algebraic schemes. Now, suppose further that there is an algebraic group G acting algebraically on X_0 . For $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, we consider a special type of derived deformations of X_0 over $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Definition 3.1. *An element $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ of $\text{Def}_{X_0}(A)$ is said to be G -equivariant if the following conditions are satisfied*

- (i) *X and $\text{Spec}(A)$ can be equipped with some G -actions with respect to which π is G -equivariant,*
- (ii) *The isomorphism $X \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} \text{Spec}(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} X_0$ is G -equivariant.*

Remark 3.2. For each $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, we can define a G -action on $\mathrm{Def}_{X_0}(A)$ by the central-fiber-changing trick as follows. For each $g \in G$ and each $(X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)) \in \mathrm{Def}_{X_0}(A)$, $g.(X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A))$ is the following deformation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{X} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \cdot & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \cdot & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(A).
 \end{array}$$

Hence, we obtain a G -action on Def_{X_0} , which then gives a G -action on the associated dgla $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$, by Theorem 1.3. Moreover, the initial G -action of X_0 induces also a G -action on the derived global section $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$ of its tangent complex $\mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}$. It can be seen that this G -action coincides with the one induced by the central-fiber-changing trick.

We would like to give a characterization of G -equivariant derived deformation in terms of dglas. As usual, we deal with the affine case first. Let $X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(B_0)$ be an affine scheme equipped with an action of some algebraic group G . If B'_0 is a cofibrant replacement of $k \rightarrow B_0$ then by the functoriality of the cofibrant replacement functor, we have an induced G -action on B'_0 and then a G -action on $\mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0)$ is given by conjugations, i.e. for $g \in G$ and $d \in \mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0)$, we have that $g.d = g \circ d \circ g^{-1}$. Hence, $\mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0)$ is a G -object in \mathbf{Lie}_k .

Theorem 3.4. *For $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, a G -equivariant derived $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ of $X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(B_0)$ corresponds homotopically to a G -equivariant maps of dglas: $D(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0)$*

Proof. For $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_k$, let $\mathfrak{g}_*^A := D(A)$ and let $\phi_A : A \rightarrow B$ be an object in $\mathrm{Def}_{X_0}^G(A)$. First, by Theorem 3.1, it corresponds to a morphism of dglas

$$\Phi_A : \mathfrak{g}_*^A \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0).$$

We shall prove that Φ_A is G -equivariant with respect to the fixed G -action on $\mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0)$ and the G -action on \mathfrak{g}_*^A , induced from the G -action on A by the functor D . Indeed, let

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A' & \xrightarrow{\phi_{A'}} & B \\
 g \uparrow & & \uparrow h \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & B
 \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram in \mathbf{cdga}_k where g and h are isomorphisms. Let also f_A and $f_{A'}$ be the functor f corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_*^A and $\mathfrak{g}_*^{A'}$, respectively

in Theorem 1.5. By Koszul duality, we have a morphism

$$D(g) : \mathfrak{g}_*^{A'} = D(A') \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*^A = D(A).$$

Note as well that we have a canonical morphism

$$A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_A B.$$

Thus, $A' \otimes_A B \in \mathbf{Mod}_{A'}^{cn}$ so that $f_{A'}(A' \otimes_A B)$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{g}_*^{A'}$. The functoriality of $f_{A'}$ tells us exactly that the differential graded vector space of $f_{A'}(A' \otimes_A B)$ is nothing but $f_A(B)$ with action of $\mathfrak{g}_*^{A'}$ given by the morphism

$$D(g) : \mathfrak{g}_*^{A'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*^A.$$

More precisely, if we let

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{g}_*^A \otimes_k f_A(B) \rightarrow f_A(B)$$

be the representation of \mathfrak{g}_*^A corresponding to the arrow $\phi_A : A \rightarrow B$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : \mathfrak{g}_*^{A'} \otimes_k f_A(B) &\rightarrow f_A(B) \\ x \otimes v &\mapsto \alpha(D(g)(x) \otimes v) \end{aligned}$$

is the representation of $\mathfrak{g}_*^{A'}$ corresponding to $f_{A'}(A' \otimes_A B)$. Now, let

$$\alpha' : \mathfrak{g}_*^{A'} \otimes_k f_{A'}(B) \rightarrow f_{A'}(B)$$

be the representation of $\mathfrak{g}_*^{A'}$ corresponding to the arrow $\phi_{A'} : A' \rightarrow B$. Then h corresponds exactly to a morphism of two representations α' and β of $\mathfrak{g}_*^{A'}$, which will be denoted by $\rho_h : \alpha' \rightarrow \beta$. In other words, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_*^{A'}$ and $v \in f_A(B)$, we have

$$\rho_h(\alpha'(x \otimes v)) = \beta(x \otimes \rho_h(v))$$

which is the same as

$$\rho_h(\alpha'(x \otimes v)) = \alpha(D(g)(x) \otimes \rho_h(v)).$$

Now, let $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_k(A)$ and $\nu : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_k(B)$ be the G -actions on A and B , respectively. By the functoriality of D and that of f_A , we have induced actions on \mathfrak{g}_*^A and $f_A(B)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu} : G &\rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathfrak{g}_*^A) \\ g &\mapsto D(\mu(g)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nu} : G &\rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_k(f_A(B)) \\ g &\mapsto \rho_{\nu(g)}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & B \\
 \mu(g) \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu(g) \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & B
 \end{array}$$

By the previous paragraph, we have

$$\rho_{\nu(g)}(\alpha(x \otimes v)) = \alpha\left(D(\mu(g))(x) \otimes \rho_{\nu(g)}(v)\right),$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_*^A$ and $v \in f_A(B)$. Or equivalently,

$$\rho_{\nu(g)} \circ \Phi_A(x) = \Phi_A(D(\mu(g))x) \circ \rho_{\nu(g)}$$

which is the same as

$$\Phi_A(D(\mu(g))x) = \rho_{\nu(g)} \circ \Phi_A(x) \circ \rho_{\nu(g)}^{-1}$$

But $f_A(B)$ is nothing but B'_0 so that

$$\rho_{\nu(g)} = g$$

for all $g \in G$. So,

$$\Phi_A(D(\mu(g))x) = g \cdot \Phi_A(x).$$

This precisely means the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{g}_*^A & \xrightarrow{\Phi_A} & \mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0) \\
 D(\mu(g)) \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
 \mathfrak{g}_*^A & \xrightarrow{\Phi_A} & \mathrm{Der}_k(B'_0, B'_0)
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, Φ_A is G -equivariant. This ends the proof. \square

Finally we deal with X_0 a general algebraic scheme. Let $\mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}$ be its tangent complex and $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$ be its derived global section equipped with the G -action in Remark 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. *For $A \in \mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{Art}_k$, a G -equivariant derived deformation $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ of X_0 corresponds homotopically to a G -equivariant maps of dglas: $D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$*

Proof. The proof is just an adaptation of the one of Theorem 3.4 and that of Theorem 3.2, in the equivariant case. \square

The following theorem generalizes the result of the existence of equivariance G -structure on versal deformations of algebraic schemes, obtained by D.S. Rim, in the derived setting.

Theorem 3.6. *If X_0 is either an affine scheme with at most isolated singularities or a complete algebraic variety and G is a linearly reductive group acting algebraically on X_0 , there exists a G -equivariant structure on the semi-prorepresentable dg-object of Def_{X_0} . Consequently, the classical functor of G -equivariant deformations $\pi_0(\text{Def}_{X_0})$ of X_0 has a G -equivariant semi-universal element.*

Proof. Since G acts algebraically on X_0 then the tangent complex $\mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}$ of X_0 is a complex of G -equivariant quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_{X_0} -modules (cf. [16] for the notion of G -equivariant sheaves). Let us denote the category of G -equivariant quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_{X_0} -modules by $\text{QCoh}_{X_0}^G$ and the corresponding derived category by $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}_{X_0}^G)$. By [16, Lemma 2.13], the derived global section of $\mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}$ can be calculated in $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}_{X_0}^G)$. Using [16, Proposition 2.16] for the structure morphism $X_0 \rightarrow k$, we have that

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k}) \in \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}_k^G) = \mathbf{D}(\text{Rep}_k(G))$$

where $\text{Rep}_k(G)$ is the category of rational representations of G and $\mathbf{D}(\text{Rep}_k(G))$ is its associated derived category. Hence each component of $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$ is a rational G -module.

For the sake of Theorem 2.2, Def_{X_0} is semi-prorepresentable by a pro-object K in \mathbf{dgArt}_k . Let \mathfrak{k}_* be the corresponding dgla of K . By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, there exists a compatible G -action on \mathfrak{k}_* which is also versal in the sense mentioned therein. Equivalently, there exists a compatible G -action on K which is versal in the following sense. By Theorem 3.5, any G -equivariant derived deformation $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ of X_0 corresponds to a (non-homotopic) G -equivariant map of dglas: $QD(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X_0, \mathbb{T}_{X_0/k})$ which then corresponds to a G -equivariant map of dglas $QD(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}_*$. Finally, the last map gives rise to a G -equivariant of cdgas $QK \rightarrow A$.

For the last statement, restricting our fmp on the category of local artinian rings \mathbf{Art}_k and unwinding the definition of versality mentioned in the previous paragraph, we can see that $H^0(QK)$ is nothing but the base space of the G -equivariant semi-universal constructed by Rim in [17]. \square

3.3. Deformations of complex compact manifolds. Let X_0 be a complex manifold and \mathcal{T}_{X_0} be its holomorphic tangent bundle. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ the sheaf of differential forms of type (p, q) and by $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(\mathcal{T}_{X_0})$ the sheaf of differential forms of type (p, q) with values in \mathcal{T}_{X_0} . Let \mathfrak{g}_* be the following differential graded Lie algebra

$$\Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{A}^{0,0}(\mathcal{T}_{X_0})) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(\mathcal{T}_{X_0})) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{A}^{0,2}(\mathcal{T}_{X_0})) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \dots$$

with the Lie bracket defined by

$$[\phi d\bar{z}_I, \psi d\bar{z}_J] = [\phi, \psi]' d\bar{z}_I \wedge \bar{z}_J$$

where $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}^{0,0}(\mathcal{T}_{X_0})$ are vector fields on X_0 , $[-, -]'$ is the usual Lie bracket of vector fields, $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and z_1, \dots, z_n are local holomorphic coordinates. Note that \mathfrak{g}_* is concentrated in degrees ≥ 0 . It is well-known that deformations of X_0 is governed by this \mathfrak{g}_* . Furthermore if there is a reductive Lie group acting holomorphically on X_0 , then \mathfrak{g}_* receives naturally an induced linear G -action and any G -equivariant deformation of X_0 is controlled by \mathfrak{g}_* equipped with this induced G -action (for a quick review of (equivariant) deformations of complex compact manifolds, we refer the reader to [3]).

Now, we would like to recall the classical deformation functor $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$ associated to \mathfrak{g}_* , defined via the Maurer-Cartan equation (see [12, §6] for more details). We have two functors:

- (1) The Gauge functor

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Grp} \\ A &\mapsto \exp(\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{m}_A is the unique maximal ideal of A and \mathbf{Grp} is the category of groupoids.

- (2) The Maurer-Cartan functor $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Grp} \\ A &\mapsto \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A \mid \bar{\partial}x + \frac{1}{2}[x, x] = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each A , the gauge action of $G_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(A)$ on the set $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(A)$ is functorial in A and gives an action of the group functor $G_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$ on $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$. This allows us to define the quotient functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Sets} \\ A &\mapsto \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(A)/G_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(A), \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{Def}_{X_0} : \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{Def}_{X_0}^G : \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}^G \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$) be the functor which associates to each local artinian k -algebra (resp. G -local artinian k -algebra) A , the isomorphism (resp. G -equivariant isomorphism) classes of flat proper morphisms of analytic spaces $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ with an isomorphism (resp. G -equivariant isomorphism)

$$X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \cong X_0.$$

The following is fundamental (cf. [12, Theorem V.55]).

Theorem 3.7. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{Def}_{X_0} \cong \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$$

as functors of Artin rings.

On one hand, the classical deformation functor $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}$ can be naturally extended to a formal moduli problem in Lurie's sense (cf. §1.4) via a simplicial version of the Maurer-Cartan equation (see [6] for such a construction). In other words, we have a fmp

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathbf{dgArt}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{SEns}$$

such that

$$\pi_0(\mathfrak{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}) = \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}.$$

On the other hand, there is an equivalence

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$$

as fmps (cf. [9, §2]). Consequently, we can think of $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ as a natural extension of \mathfrak{Def}_{X_0} in the derived world.

Theorem 3.8. *The fmp $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ is semi-prorepresentable. Consequently, the classical functor of deformations \mathfrak{Def}_{X_0} has a formal semi-universal element.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that \mathfrak{g}_* is concentrated in degrees $[0, +\infty)$ and that all the cohomologies $H^i(\mathfrak{g}_*)$ are finite-dimensional vector spaces. The last statement is the immediate consequence of the following chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Def}_{X_0} &\cong \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*} \\ &\cong \pi_0(\mathfrak{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}_*}) \\ &\cong \pi_0(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)) \end{aligned}$$

and of Remark 2.4. □

Remark 3.3. The above theorem gives an algebraic approach to produce a formal solution to the deformation problem of complex compact manifolds. The base of the formal semi-universal element can be thought of as a formal Kuranishi space in the classical sense. However, the hardest part is always to ensure that among the formal solutions, there exists at least a convergent one.

3.4. Equivariant deformations of complex compact manifolds. Finally, we allow the group action to rejoin the game. The rest of this section is devoted to proving the existence of a formal G -equivariant semi-universal element for the functor $\mathfrak{Def}_{X_0}^G$. Recall that \mathfrak{g} has naturally a G -action induced from the one on X_0 .

Remark 3.4. In order to approximate \mathfrak{g}_* , we can not apply directly Lemma 2.2 as in the algebraic case since each component of \mathfrak{g}_* is not a rational G -module, in general. This is the reason why we shall make use of a G -equivariant version of Hodge decomposition for complex compact manifolds.

Lemma 3.1.

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \operatorname{colim}_i \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

where

- (i) each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_k$ is finite-dimensional,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ is cohomologically concentrated in $[0, +\infty)$,
- (iii) each $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ carries a G -action and the colimit of these G -actions gives back the initial G -action on \mathfrak{g}_* .

Proof. We treat the case when G is a compact Lie group first then the case when G is a reductive complex Lie group will be deduced by a complexification argument.

Since G is compact, we can impose a G -invariant Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathcal{T}_{X_0} by means of Weyl's trick. Therefore, we have a G -invariant metric on $\mathfrak{g}_p = \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{A}^{0,p}(\mathcal{T}_{X_0}))$. As usual, we find the formal adjoint $\bar{\partial}^*$ of $\bar{\partial}$. Since G acts on X_0 by biholomorphisms then the operator $\bar{\partial}$ is G -equivariant. By the adjoint property together with the fact that the imposed metric is G -invariant, we also have that $\bar{\partial}^*$ is G -equivariant. Hence, so is the Laplacian $\square := \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*$. As a matter of fact, Hodge theory provides us an orthogonal decomposition

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{g}_p = \mathcal{H}^{0,p} \oplus \square \mathfrak{g}_p$$

as representations of G and two linear operators:

- (a) The Green operator $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{g}_p \rightarrow \square \mathfrak{g}_p$,
- (b) The harmonic projection operator $H : \mathfrak{g}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{0,p}$,

where $\mathcal{H}^{0,p}$ is the vector space of all harmonic vector $(0, p)$ -forms on X_0 (this space can also be canonically identified with $H^p(X_0, \mathcal{T}_{X_0})$ as G -modules, such that for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}_p$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad v = Hv + \square \mathcal{G}v.$$

Therefore, we can deduce the following decomposition.

$$(3.5) \quad \mathfrak{g}_p = \mathcal{H}^{0,p} \oplus \bar{\partial} \mathfrak{g}_{p-1} \oplus \bar{\partial}^* \mathfrak{g}_{p+1}$$

as G -modules.

We aim to construct by induction a sequence of dglas with G -actions

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}(0)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \cdots$$

equipped with G -equivariant maps $\phi(i) : \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \operatorname{colim}_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

and that for all $i \geq 0$

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \leq -1.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $V_n := \mathcal{H}^{0,n}$. We think of V_* as a differential graded vector space with the trivial differential. Let $\mathfrak{g}(1)_*$ denote the free differential graded Lie algebra generated by V_* and let $\phi(1) : \mathfrak{g}(1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ be the

canonical map. By construction, we have that $\phi(1)$ is G -equivariant and that

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}(1)_*) \simeq 0, \forall n \leq -1$$

and that the inclusion $V_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(1)_0$ induces an isomorphism

$$V_0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{g}(1)_*).$$

Now, suppose that $i \geq 1$ and that we have built a G -equivariant map $\phi(i) : \mathfrak{g}(i)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ extending $\phi(1)$. Then $\phi(i)$ induces a surjection on cohomologies

$$\theta(i) : H^*(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*) \rightarrow H^*(\mathfrak{g}_*).$$

For each n , since n^{th} -component $\ker(\theta)_n$ of $\ker(\theta)$ is a G -invariant finite-dimensional sub-vector space of $H_n(\mathfrak{g}(i)_*)$, we choose a collection of cycles $\{x_\alpha^n\}_{\alpha \in A_n} \subset \mathfrak{g}(i)_n$ whose images form a basis for $\ker(\theta)_n$, where A_n is a finite index set. For each x_α^n , we choose $z_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) = \bar{\partial} z_\alpha^{n-1}.$$

Now, setting $y_\alpha^{n-1} = \bar{\partial}^* \mathcal{G}\phi(i)(x_\alpha^n)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) &= \square \mathcal{G}\phi(i)(x_\alpha^n), \text{ since } \phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) \text{ has no hamornic part by (3.6),} \\ &= (\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*) \mathcal{G}\phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) \\ &= \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} \mathcal{G}\phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \mathcal{G}\phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) \\ &= \bar{\partial}^* \mathcal{G} \bar{\partial} \phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \mathcal{G}\phi(i)(x_\alpha^n), \text{ since } \mathcal{G} \text{ commutes with } \bar{\partial}, \\ &= \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \mathcal{G}\phi(i)(x_\alpha^n) \text{ by (3.6),} \\ &= \bar{\partial} y_\alpha^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let T_{n-1} be the vector space generated by y_α^{n-1} 's. Since both $\bar{\partial}^*$ and \mathcal{G} are G -equivariant (see [3, Lemma 4.1]) then T_{n-1} is a finite-dimensional sub-representation of G . Let W_{n-1} be a vector space identical to T_{n-1} , as G -representations. Let Y_α^{n-1} 's be elements in W_{n-1} corresponding to y_α^{n-1} 's. Finally, for each n , define $\mathfrak{g}(i+1)_*$ to be the differential graded Lie algebra obtained from $\mathfrak{g}(i)_*$ by freely adding a basis of W_{n-1} (in degrees $n-1$) such that

$$\bar{\partial}(Y_\alpha^{n-1}) = x_\alpha^n$$

and let $\phi(i+1) : \mathfrak{g}(i+1)_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ denote the unique extension of $\phi(i)$ satisfying

$$\phi(i+1)(Y_\alpha^{n-1}) = y_\alpha^{n-1}.$$

It is easy to see that $\bar{\partial}$ and $\phi(i+1)$ defined in this way are G -equivariant. The rest of the proof now is identical the the one given in Lemma 2.1.

Finally, for G a general reductive complex Lie group, let K be its maximal compact subgroup whose complexification is exactly G . Then by the case of compact groups, we have the limit

$$\mathfrak{g}_* = \text{colim}_i \mathfrak{g}(i)_*$$

satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 3.1 for K . Complexifying all the maps $\phi(i)$ will give the desired colimit for \mathfrak{g}_* . \square

Theorem 3.9. *There exists a G -equivariant structure on the semi-prorepresentable object of $\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ with respect to the action on \mathfrak{g}_* , induced by the fixed one on X_0 . Consequently, the classical functor of G -equivariant deformations $\mathfrak{Def}_{X_0}^G$ of X_0 has a formal G -equivariant semi-universal element.*

Proof. For the sake of Theorem 2.2, the fmp $\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(-), \mathfrak{g}_*)$ is semi-prorepresentable by a pro-object K in \mathbf{dgArt}_k . Let \mathfrak{k}_* be the corresponding dgl of K . By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.3, there exists a compatible G -action on \mathfrak{k}_* which is also versal in the sense mentioned therein. Equivalently, there exists a compatible G -action on K which is versal in the following sense. For each $A \in \mathbf{dgArt}_{\mathbb{C}}$, denote by $Q(A)$ any cofibrant replacement of A . Then any (non-homotopic) G -equivariant map of dgl: $QD(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ which then corresponds to a G -equivariant map of cdgas from $QK \rightarrow A$. Note also that $H^0(QK)$ is a pro-object in $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$.

For the last statement, we claim that $\mathfrak{Def}_{X_0}^G$ is semi-prorepresentable by $H^0(QK)$ in the sense that

- (a) the induced morphism of functors $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k^G}(H^0(QK), -) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Def}_{X_0}^G$ is surjective,
- (b) $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k^G}(H^0(QK), k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Def}_{X_0}^G(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$ is bijective

(cf. Remark 2.4 above). Let $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be an element of $\mathfrak{Def}_{X_0}^G$ where $A \in \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$. By [3, Theorem 2.3.1 and Theorem 2.3.2], it corresponds to a G -equivariant map $\Phi_A : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ with respect to the action on \mathfrak{g}_* , induced by the fixed one on X_0 such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\Phi_A(0) = 0$,
- (ii) $\Phi_A(a) + \frac{1}{2}[\Phi_A(a), \Phi_A(a)] = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

This is equivalent to a G -equivariant map $\phi_A : D(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_*$ by Theorem 3.7, isomorphisms 3.1 and 3.2. Hence, by the previous paragraph, we have that ϕ_A corresponds to a G -equivariant map of cdgas $\sigma_A : QK \rightarrow A$. However, A is concentrated in degree 0. Thus, σ_A can be given as a G -equivariant map $H^0(QK) \rightarrow A$. Hence (a) is proved. Finally, (b) can be deduced from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_k^G}(H^0(QK), k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)) &= \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)), \mathfrak{g}_*)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}}(D(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)), \mathfrak{g}_*). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. Once again a formal version of the existence G -equivariant Kuranishi space shown in [3] is given by a purely algebraic method except the step in which we used a G -equivariant version of the famous Hodge

decomposition for complex compact manifolds. This reflects a natural phenomenon when dealing with analytic deformations of geometric objects, i.e. a formal solution is always somewhat easy to produce.

REFERENCES

1. D. Calaque, J. Grivaux, *Formal moduli problems and formal derived stacks*, Panoramas et Synthèses, 55, 85-146, 2021.
2. A-K. Doan, *A counter-example to the equivariance structure on semi-universal deformation*, Journal of Geometric analysis (2020).
3. A-K. Doan, *Equivariant Kuranishi family of complex compact manifolds*, Manuscripta Mathematica (2021).
4. W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan *Simplicial localizations of categories*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra Volume 17, Issue 3, (1980): 267-284.
5. P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics (2009).
6. V. Hinich, *DG coalgebras as formal stacks*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, Volume 162, Issues 2-3, (2001): 209-250.
7. M. Hovey, *Model Categories*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 63, American Mathematical Society, (1999).
8. S. M. Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Graduate Texts in Math., Vol. 5, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1971).
9. J. Lurie, *Derived Algebraic Geometry X: Formal Moduli Problems*, preprint available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-X.pdf>, (2011).
10. J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*. Preprint available at <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, (2012).
11. J. Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies 170, Princeton University Press, (2009).
12. M. Manetti, *Lectures on deformations on complex manifolds*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) 24 (2004): 1-183.
13. H. C. Pinkham, *Deformations of algebraic varieties with \mathbb{G}_m -action*, Astérisque No. 20, Soc. Math. France, Paris, (1974).
14. M. Porta, *Derived formal moduli problem*, Master Thesis, (2013).
15. J. P. Pridham, *Unifying derived deformation theories*, Advances in Mathematics, 224(3) (2010): 772-826.
16. A. T. Ricolfi, *The equivariant Atiyah class*, Comptes Rendus. Mathématique, Tome 359 (2021) no. 3, pp. 257-282.
17. D. S. Rim, *Equivariant G -structure on versal deformations*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 257(1) (1980): 217-226.
18. M. Schlessinger, *Functors of Artin rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (1968) 208-222.
19. E. Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 334. Springer, Berlin (2006).
20. B. Toën, *Derived algebraic geometry*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 1. (2014): 153-240.
21. B. Toën, *Problèmes de modules formels*, Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2015/2016. Exposé 1104-1119 (2016).

AN-KHUONG DOAN, IMJ-PRG, UMR 7586, SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, CASE 247, 4
PLACE JUSSIEU, 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE
Email address: an-khuong.doan@imj-prg.fr