

BASS-SERRE THEORY FOR GROUPOIDS

GIULIA DAL VERME AND THOMAS WEIGEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper a Bass-Serre theory in the groupoid setting is developed and a structure theorem (cf. Theorem 8.4) is established. Any groupoid action without inversion of edges on a forest induces a graph of groupoids, while any graph of groupoids satisfying certain hypothesis admits a canonical associated groupoid, called the fundamental groupoid, and a forest, called the Bass-Serre forest, such that the fundamental groupoid acts on the Bass-Serre forest. The structure theorem states that these processes are mutually inverse.

1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation for our investigation came from the observation that group actions on trees can be generalized by groupoid actions on forests, as well as the Bass-Serre theory can be extended to the groupoid setting (cf. [1], [2]). The well-known Bass-Serre theory (cf. [14]) gives a complete and satisfactory description of groups acting on trees via the structure theorem. A graph of groups consists of a connected graph Γ together with a group for each vertex and edge of Γ , and group monomorphisms from each edge group to the adjacent vertex groups. Any group action (*without inversion*) on a tree induces a graph of groups, while any graph of groups has a canonical associated group, called the *fundamental group*, and a tree, called the *Bass-Serre tree*, such that the fundamental group acts on the Bass-Serre tree. The structure theorem says that these processes are mutually inverse, so that graphs of groups “encode” group actions on trees. Following these ideas, we build the appropriate analogue of Bass-Serre theory for groupoids.

In [4] the authors constructed a Bass-Serre theory in the C^* -algebraic setting: they constructed a graph of groups C^* -algebra, which is universal for generators and relations, and a boundary action crossed product C^* -algebra; then, they proved that these two constructions are related by stable isomorphism. In a subsequent paper, we will use Bass-Serre theory for groupoids developed in this paper in order to prove an analogous theorem in the groupoid C^* -algebraic setting.

Groupoids are algebraic objects that behave similar to groups (i.e., they satisfy conditions of associativity, left and right identities and inverses) except that the multiplication operation is only partially defined. The collection $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ of idempotent elements in a groupoid \mathcal{G} is called its *unit space*, since these are precisely the elements x that satisfy $x\alpha = \alpha$ and $\beta x = \beta$ whenever these products are defined. When considering an action of \mathcal{G} on a graph Γ , this leads to a fibred structure of Γ over $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Date: November 17, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18B40, 20L05.

Key words and phrases. Groupoids, graphs of groupoids, Bass-Serre theory.

A graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is given by a connected graph Γ together with a groupoid for each vertex and edge of Γ , and monomorphisms from each edge groupoid to the adjacent vertex groupoid. We will only work with graph of groupoids having discrete vertex and edge groupoids. We associate to any graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ a groupoid $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$, called the *fundamental groupoid*, and a forest $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$, called the *Bass-Serre forest*, such that $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ acts on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$. Hence, we prove a structure theorem (cf. Theorem 8.4) in this setting.

Theorem A. *Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting without inversion of edges on a forest F . Then \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to the fundamental groupoid of the graph of groupoids defined by a desingularization of the action of \mathcal{G} on F .*

One of the main differences between the two settings is the following: in the classical setting, given a group action without inversion on a graph, one of the ingredients used to build a graph of groups is the quotient graph given by such action; in the groupoid context, there is not a canonical graph associated to the action of a groupoid on a graph. Hence, we need to resort to the difficult notion of *desingularization* of a groupoid action on a graph. In case we consider groupoids whose unit space is a singleton, we recover the classical Bass-Serre theory.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Graphs. The notion of graph that we use is the same as the one used by J-P. Serre in [14]. A *graph* Γ (in the sense of J-P. Serre) consists of a non-empty set of vertices Γ^0 , a set of edges Γ^1 , a terminus map $t: \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Gamma^0$, an origin map $o: \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Gamma^0$ and an edge-reversing map $\bar{\cdot}: \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Gamma^1$ satisfying

$$\bar{\bar{e}} = e, \quad t(\bar{e}) = o(e).$$

Such a graph Γ can be viewed as an *unoriented* (or *undirected*) graph in which each geometric edge is replaced by a pair of edges e and \bar{e} .

A *subgraph* Λ of Γ consists of a non-empty subset $\Lambda^0 \subseteq \Gamma^0$ and a subset $\Lambda^1 \subseteq \Gamma^1$ such that $\bar{\Lambda}^1 \subseteq \Lambda^1$, $t(\Lambda^1) \subseteq \Lambda^0$ and $o(\Lambda^1) \subseteq \Lambda^0$.

An *orientation* $\Gamma_+^1 \subseteq \Gamma^1$ of Γ is a set of edges containing exactly one edge from each pair $\{e, \bar{e}\}$, $e \in \Gamma^1$. By definition, every subgraph of a graph is a graph.

Let Γ and Λ be two graphs. A *graph homomorphism* is a pair of mappings $\psi = (\psi^0, \psi^1)$, where $\psi^0: \Gamma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0$ and $\psi^1: \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^1$, which commutes with t , o and $\bar{\cdot}$, i.e.,

$$\psi^0(o(e)) = o(\psi^0(e)), \quad \psi^0(t(e)) = t(\psi^0(e)), \quad \psi^1(\bar{e}) = \overline{\psi^1(e)}.$$

A homomorphism of graphs is called an *isomorphism* if ψ^0 and ψ^1 are bijective. By definition, any subgraph Λ of a graph Γ defines a canonical injective homomorphism of graphs $\iota: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$.

For $v \in \Gamma^0$, we call the *star* of v in Γ the set

$$\text{st}_\Gamma(v) = \{e \in \Gamma^1 \mid t(e) = v\}$$

and we call the *valence* of v the cardinality of $\text{st}_\Gamma(v)$. We say that Γ is *locally-finite* if each vertex has finite valence, i.e., if $|t^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ for all $v \in \Gamma^0$. A locally-finite graph such that each vertex has valence k will be called *k-regular*.

A vertex of Γ is said to be *singular* if it has valence one, i.e., if it is the terminus (equivalently, origin) of a unique edge. We say that Γ is *nonsingular* if it has no singular vertices.

Definition 2.1. A *path* of length n in Γ is either a vertex $v \in \Gamma^0$ (when $n = 0$), or a sequence of edges $e_1 \dots e_n$ with $o(e_i) = t(e_{i+1})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. For a path $p = e_1 \dots e_n$ with $t(e_1) = v$ and $o(e_n) = w$ we say that p is a path from v to w . We put $t(p) = t(e_1)$ and $o(p) = o(e_n)$ and denote by $\ell(p) = n$ the length of the path p . If $e_{i+1} \neq \bar{e}_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, then we say that p is *without backtracking*. A path of length n is said to be *reduced* if either $n = 0$ or if there is no backtracking. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{v,w}$ the set of all paths from v to w without backtracking. A *cycle* is a path with origin equal to its terminus. We say that Γ is *connected* if $\mathcal{P}_{v,w} \neq \emptyset$ for all $v, w \in \Gamma^0$. For a path $p = e_1 \dots e_n$, we define the *reversal* path $\bar{p} = \bar{e}_n \dots \bar{e}_1$.

In a connected graph Γ one defines a distance function $\text{dist}_\Gamma: \Gamma^0 \times \Gamma^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \text{dist}_\Gamma(v, w) = \min\{\ell(p) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}_{v,w}\}, \quad v, w, \in \Gamma^0,$$

which satisfies $\text{dist}_\Gamma(v, w) = 0$ if and only if $v = w$.

Definition 2.2. A graph Γ is said to be a *tree* if it is connected and for every $v \in \Gamma^0$, the only reduced path which starts and ends at v is the path of length 0 at v . Equivalently, Γ is a tree if $|\mathcal{P}_{v,w}| = 1$ for all $v, w \in \Gamma^0$.

A *forest* is a graph whose connected components are trees.

Remark 2.3. Let Γ be a connected graph. The set $\text{SubTr}(\Gamma)$ of subgraphs of Γ which are trees, ordered by inclusion, is directed. Thus, by Zorn's lemma, it has maximal elements, which are called *maximal subtrees*. Note that for a maximal subtree $T \subseteq \Gamma$ one has that $T^0 = \Gamma^0$ (see [14, Proposition I.11]).

2.2. Categories and Groupoids. The algebraic structures that will be studied in the subsequent sections are groupoids. In order to give a more general view on groupoids, we recall the basic notions in category theory. A *small category* \mathcal{C} consists of the following data:

- (i) a class of objects $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$;
- (ii) a set of arrows $\text{Arr}(\mathcal{C})$;
- (iv) a family of multiplications $\text{Arr}(x, y) \times \text{Arr}(y, z) \rightarrow \text{Arr}(x, z)$, $(g, h) \mapsto gh$,

satisfying

- (1) if $g \in \text{Arr}(x, y)$, $h \in \text{Arr}(y, z)$ and $k \in \text{Arr}(z, w)$, then $(gh)k = g(hk)$;
- (2) for all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there exists an element $1_x \in \text{Arr}(x, x)$ such that $1_x x = x$ and $x 1_x = x$ whenever these multiplications are defined.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ the set of arrows $\text{Arr}(x, y)$ from y to x in \mathcal{C} . A *small category* \mathcal{C} is a category where the objects $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} form a set. A set is a class which is a member of some other class. For a small category \mathcal{C} , one may define an underlying graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ given by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}^0 = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}^1 = \text{Arr}(\mathcal{C})$.

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two categories. A *covariant functor* $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ assigns to each object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ an object $F(x) \in \mathcal{D}$ and to each arrow $g \in \mathcal{C}(x, y)$ an arrow $F(g) \in \mathcal{D}(F(x), F(y))$ such that $F(1_x) = 1_{F(x)}$ for each $u \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $F(gh) = F(g)F(h)$ whenever gh is defined. A *contravariant functor* $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ assigns to each object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ an object $F(x) \in \mathcal{D}$ and to each arrow $g \in \mathcal{C}(x, y)$ an arrow $F(g) \in \mathcal{D}(F(y), F(x))$ such that $F(1_x) = 1_{F(x)}$ for each $u \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $F(gh) = F(h)F(g)$ whenever gh is defined.

A covariant functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an *equivalence* if there exists a covariant functor $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that GF and FG are isomorphic to the identity functors $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ and

$1_{\mathcal{D}}$, respectively. If such an equivalence exists, we say that the categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are *equivalent*.

Remark 2.4. The identity functor $1_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is defined to be the identity map on objects and arrows.

An important class of functors are *forgetful functors*. We obtain forgetful functors from a groupoid to a category to a graph, $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, by thinking of a groupoid as a category and a category as a graph.

A *groupoid* \mathcal{G} is a small category in which all the morphisms are invertible. It can also be viewed as a generalization of a group which has a partially-defined product. We denote by $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ the set of objects and we call it the *unit space* of \mathcal{G} . We call *units* the elements of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. We denote by $r, s: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ the *range* and *source* maps, respectively. We call $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} = \{ (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \mid s(g_1) = r(g_2) \}$ the set of *composable pairs*. Note that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} = s(\mathcal{G}) = r(\mathcal{G})$ and the units of \mathcal{G} are the identity morphisms of the category \mathcal{G} , in the sense that $gs(g) = g = r(g)g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

A *topological groupoid* is a groupoid endowed with a topology under which the inverse map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $g \mapsto g^{-1}$, is continuous, and composition $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1g_2$ is continuous with respect to the topology induced by the product topology on $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$.

2.3. Groupoid homomorphisms. Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be groupoids. A map $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a *groupoid homomorphism* if whenever $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)}$, then $(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) \in \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ and in this case $\phi(\alpha\beta) = \phi(\alpha)\phi(\beta)$. If ϕ is also bijective then it is called a *groupoid isomorphism*. We define the *kernel* and the *image* of ϕ to be the subsets $\ker \phi = \{ g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \phi(g) \in \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \}$ and $\text{im } \phi = \{ \phi(g) \mid g \in \mathcal{G} \}$, respectively.

One has that $\ker \phi \trianglelefteq \mathcal{G}$ is a normal subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} , but, in general, $\text{im } \phi$ is not a subgroupoid of \mathcal{H} (see [3, Proposition 3.11]). Thus, we need to resort to *strong* homomorphisms, which have the same properties as group homomorphisms.

Definition 2.5. A groupoid homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be *strong* if for every $(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) \in \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ one has that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)}$.

Remark 2.6. For a strong groupoid homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, one has that ϕ is injective if and only if $\ker \phi = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ (cf. [3, Proposition 3.14]).

3. GROUPOID ACTIONS

The notion of a groupoid action on a space is a straightforward generalization of group actions. Much of this section is inspired by [11] and [5].

Definition 3.1. A groupoid \mathcal{G} is said to *act (on the left)* on a set X if there are given a surjective map $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, called the *momentum*, and a map $\mathcal{G} * X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, where $\mathcal{G} * X = \{ (g, x) \in \mathcal{G} \times X \mid s(g) = \varphi(x) \}$, that satisfy

- (A1) $\varphi(gx) = r(g)$ for all $(g, x) \in \mathcal{G} * X$;
- (A2) $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)}$, $(g_2, x) \in \mathcal{G} * X$ implies $(g_1g_2, x), (g_1, g_2x) \in \mathcal{G} * X$ and

$$g_1(g_2x) = (g_1g_2)x;$$

- (A3) $\varphi(x)x = x$ for all $x \in X$.

We say that X is a *left \mathcal{G} -set*. A left \mathcal{G} -set X is said to be *transitive* if given $x, y \in X$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $gx = y$. The action is said to be *free* if $gx = x$ for some x implies $g = \varphi(x) \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. The set of orbits $G * x = \{gx \mid g \in \mathcal{G}, s(g) = \varphi(x)\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{G} \backslash X$.

Right actions and right \mathcal{G} -spaces are defined similarly except that the action is defined on the set $X * \mathcal{G} = \{(x, g) \in X \times \mathcal{G} \mid r(g) = \varphi(x)\}$.

Definition 3.2. A topological groupoid \mathcal{G} is said to *act (on the left)* on a locally compact space X , if there are given a continuous, open surjection $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, called the *momentum*, and a continuous map $\mathcal{G} * X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, where

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{G} * X = \{(g, x) \in \mathcal{G} \times X \mid s(g) = \varphi(x)\},$$

that satisfy (A1)-(A3) of Definition 3.1.

Remark 3.3. The fibered product $\mathcal{G} * X$ has a natural structure of groupoid, called the *action groupoid* or *semi-direct product* and is denoted by $\mathcal{G} \times X$, where

$$(3.2) \quad (\mathcal{G} \times X)^{(2)} = \{((g_1, x_1), (g_2, x_2)) \mid x_1 = g_2 x_2\},$$

with operations

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1, g_2 x_2)(g_2, x_2) &= (g_1 g_2, x_2), \\ (g, x)^{-1} &= (g^{-1}, gx), \end{aligned}$$

source and range maps given by

$$\begin{aligned} s(g, x) &= (s(g), x) = (\varphi(x), x) \\ r(g, x) &= (r(g), g \cdot x) = (\varphi(gx), gx), \end{aligned}$$

and the unit space $(\mathcal{G} \times X)^{(0)}$ may be identified with X via the map

$$i: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times X, \quad i(x) = (\varphi(x), x).$$

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid and let X and X' be left \mathcal{G} -sets. A *morphism* of left \mathcal{G} -sets is a map $F: X \rightarrow X'$ such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{F} & X' \\ & \searrow \varphi & \swarrow \varphi' \\ & & \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} * X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \text{id} \times F & & \downarrow F \\ \mathcal{G} * X' & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array}$$

We now clarify the notions of groupoid cosets and of conjugation between sub-groupoids.

Definition 3.5. Let \mathcal{H} be a wide subgroupoid of a groupoid \mathcal{G} . We define a relation $\sim_{\mathcal{H}}$ on \mathcal{G} by

$$(3.3) \quad g_1 \sim_{\mathcal{H}} g_2 \iff \text{there exists } h \in \mathcal{H} : g_1 = g_2 h.$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\sim_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an equivalence relation.

Definition 3.6. The equivalence classes of $\sim_{\mathcal{H}}$ are called *cosets* and denoted by

$$g\mathcal{H} = \{gh \mid h \in \mathcal{H}, s(g) = r(h)\},$$

and the set of cosets is denoted by $\mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{H} = \{g\mathcal{H} \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}$. As in group theory, one may choose a set of coset representatives called a *transversal*.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{H} be a wide subgroupoid of a groupoid \mathcal{G} . For $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, one has that $g_1 \in g_2\mathcal{H}$ if and only if $g_2 \in g_1\mathcal{H}$. In this case, $g_1\mathcal{H} = g_2\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Let $g_1 \in g_2\mathcal{H}$. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $g_1 = g_2h$. Thus, $g_2 = g_1h^{-1} \in g_1\mathcal{H}$. Viceversa, if $g_2 \in g_1\mathcal{H}$, then there exists $k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $g_2 = g_1k$. Then one has that $g_1 = g_2k^{-1} \in g_2\mathcal{H}$. Let $a = g_1l \in g_1\mathcal{H}$. Then $a = g_2hl \in g_2\mathcal{H}$, since $r(l) = s(g_1) = s(h)$. Hence, one has that $g_1\mathcal{H} \subseteq g_2\mathcal{H}$. Similarly, for $b = g_2m \in g_2\mathcal{H}$ one has that $b = g_1km \in g_1\mathcal{H}$, since $r(m) = s(g_2) = s(k)$. Thus, $g_1\mathcal{H} = g_2\mathcal{H}$. \square

One has the following straightforward proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *Let \mathcal{H} be a wide subgroupoid of a groupoid \mathcal{G} . Then $\mathcal{G}\backslash\mathcal{H}$ is a left \mathcal{G} -set with momentum map $\varsigma: \mathcal{G}\backslash\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ given by*

$$\varsigma(g\mathcal{H}) = r(g),$$

and the action $\mathcal{G} * \mathcal{G}\backslash\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\backslash\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$(g_1, g_2\mathcal{H}) \mapsto g_1g_2\mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. One verifies easily that (A1)-(A3) of Definition 3.1 are satisfied. \square

Remark 3.9. In [6, Proposition 3.10] the right cosets are characterized by the stabilizer subgroupoid, as in the classical case of groups. That is, given a groupoid \mathcal{G} acting on the left on a set X , for $x \in X$ one has an isomorphism of left \mathcal{G} -sets $\mathcal{G}\backslash\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} * x$, $g\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(x) \mapsto gx$.

The concept of conjugation for subgroupoids of a given groupoid is rather recent and unexplored. The following definition is taken from [7].

Definition 3.10. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid and let \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H} be subgroupoids of \mathcal{G} . We say that \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} are *conjugated* (or *conjugally equivalent*) if there exists a functor $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which is an equivalence of categories and if there exists a family $\{g_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{K}^{(0)}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $g_x \in \mathcal{G}(F(x), x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{K}^{(0)}$;
- (ii) for all $k \in \mathcal{K}(x_2, x_1)$ one has that $F(k) = g_{x_2} k g_{x_1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}(F(x_2), F(x_1))$.

It is shown in [7] that the conjugacy relation is reflexive, symmetric and also transitive, i.e., it is an equivalence relation on the set of all subgroupoids of a given groupoid. In contrast with the classical group setting, conjugated subgroupoids are not necessarily isomorphic. In fact, we only know that conjugated subgroupoids have equivalent underlying categories.

We will use conjugated subgroupoids such that the functor F is an injective equivalence of categories. In particular, one has the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid and let \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H} be conjugated subgroupoids of \mathcal{G} . Let $i_g: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ denote the conjugation map, i.e., $i_g(k) = g_{r(\gamma)} k g_{s(\gamma)}^{-1}$. If the equivalence of categories $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is injective, then i_g is an injective homomorphism of groupoids.*

Proof. We first prove that i_g is a groupoid homomorphism. For $x \in \mathcal{K}^{(0)}$, one has that

$$(3.4) \quad i_g(x) = g_x x g_x^{-1} = g_x g_x^{-1} = F(x) \in \mathcal{H}^{(0)}.$$

Moreover, for $k, l \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $s(k) = r(l)$, one has

$$(3.5) \quad i_g(kl) = g_{r(k)} k l g_{s(l)}^{-1} = g_{r(k)} k g_{s(k)}^{-1} g_{r(l)} l g_{s(l)}^{-1} = i_g(k) i_g(l).$$

Hence, i_g is a groupoid homomorphism. Suppose that there exist $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $i_g(h) = i_g(h')$. Then one has

$$(3.6) \quad g_{r(h)} h g_{s(h)}^{-1} = g_{r(h')} h' g_{s(h')}^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$(3.7) \quad r(g_{r(h)}) = r(g_{r(h')}) \quad \text{and} \quad s(g_{s(h)}^{-1}) = s(g_{s(h')}^{-1}).$$

Hence, by (i) of Definition 3.10, one has that

$$(3.8) \quad F(r(h)) = F(r(h')) \quad \text{and} \quad F(s(h)) = F(s(h')).$$

Since F is injective, this implies that $r(h) = r(h')$ and $s(h) = s(h')$. Then one has that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} h &= g_{r(h)}^{-1} g_{r(h)} h g_{s(h)}^{-1} g_{s(h)} \\ &= g_{r(h)}^{-1} g_{r(h')} h' g_{s(h')}^{-1} g_{s(h)} \\ &= g_{r(h')}^{-1} g_{r(h')} h' g_{s(h')}^{-1} g_{s(h')} \\ &= h'. \end{aligned}$$

That is, i_g is injective. \square

4. GROUPOIDS ACTIONS ON GRAPHS

Definition 4.1. Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma^0, \Gamma^1, o, t)$ be a topological graph, i.e. Γ^0 and Γ^1 are locally compact spaces, $o, t: \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Gamma^0$ are continuous maps and o is a local homeomorphism. We say that a topological groupoid \mathcal{G} acts on Γ if there exist a continuous open surjection $\varphi: \Gamma^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, called the *momentum*, such that

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi \circ o = \varphi \circ t: \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$$

and continuous maps

$$(4.2) \quad \mu^0: \mathcal{G} * \Gamma^0 \rightarrow \Gamma^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^1: \mathcal{G} * \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Gamma^1$$

which satisfy (A1) – (A3) and

$$(4.3) \quad o(\mu^1(\gamma, e)) = \mu^0(\gamma, o(e)),$$

$$(4.4) \quad t(\mu^1(\gamma, e)) = \mu^0(\gamma \cdot t(e))$$

for all $(\gamma, e) \in \mathcal{G} * \Gamma^1$.

Note that since φ and o are open maps, $\varphi \circ o$ is open.

Remark 4.2. Since $\varphi \circ o = \varphi \circ t$, if $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is discrete one has that Γ is a union of graphs $x\Gamma$ for $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, where $x\Gamma^0 = \varphi^{-1}(x)$, and $x\Gamma^1 = t^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(x))$.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma^0, \Gamma^1)$. Then we define $\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma$ by

$$(4.5) \quad (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^0 = \{ \mu^0(\mathcal{G} * x) \mid x \in \Gamma^0 \}, \quad (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^1 = \{ \mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e) \mid e \in \Gamma^1 \}.$$

Then one has maps

$$(4.6) \quad o: (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^0, \quad t: (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^0, \quad \bar{\cdot}: (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma)^1,$$

given by

$$(4.7) \quad o(\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)) = \mu^0(\mathcal{G} * o(e)),$$

$$(4.8) \quad t(\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)) = \mu^0(\mathcal{G} * t(e)),$$

$$(4.9) \quad \overline{\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)} = \mu^1(\mathcal{G} * \bar{e}),$$

satisfying for all $e \in \Gamma^1$

- (i) $\overline{\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)} = \mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)$;
- (ii) $o(\overline{\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)}) = t(\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e))$ and $t(\overline{\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)}) = o(\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e))$.

However, $\overline{\mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)} \neq \mu^1(\mathcal{G} * e)$ is not necessarily satisfied.

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a graph Γ . We say that \mathcal{G} is *acting without inversion of edges* if for all $(\gamma, e) \in \mathcal{G} * \Gamma^1$ one has $\mu^1(\gamma, e) \neq \bar{e}$.

Then, for a groupoid \mathcal{G} acting on a graph Γ , one has that $\mathcal{G} \backslash \Gamma$ is a graph if and only if \mathcal{G} is acting on Γ without inversion of edges.

Definition 4.5. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting without inversion on a graph Γ . We say that Γ is a \mathcal{G} -*forest* if $x\Gamma$ is a tree for every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

4.1. Cayley graphs.

Definition 4.6. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid. A subset \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{G} is said to be an *admissible system* for \mathcal{G} if it does not contain any unit of \mathcal{G} and if $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{S}^{-1} = \{s^{-1} \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\}$. We say that an admissible system \mathcal{S} is a *generating system* if the groupoid generated by \mathcal{S} coincides with \mathcal{G} .

Definition 4.7. Given a groupoid \mathcal{G} and a generating system \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{G} , the *Cayley graph* $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ is the graph defined by

$$(i) \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})^0 = \mathcal{G}$$

$$(ii) \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})^1 = \{(gs, g) \mid (g, s) \in \mathcal{G} * \mathcal{S}\}$$

where the maps t , o and $\bar{}$ are given by

$$(4.10) \quad t((gs, g)) = gs, \quad o((gs, g)) = g, \quad \overline{(gs, g)} = (g, gs).$$

Since for any unit $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ one has $x \notin \mathcal{S}$, one has that $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})^1 \subseteq \mathcal{G} * \mathcal{G} \setminus \Delta(\mathcal{G})$, where $\Delta(\mathcal{G}) = \{(g, g) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

Remark 4.8. Note that the Cayley graph $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ is fibered on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ via the map $\varphi = r: \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})^0 = \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. For x in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ we denote by $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_x$ the fiber of x , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_x^0 &= \varphi^{-1}(x), \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_x^1 &= o^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since for $(gs, s) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_x^1$ and $(hr, r) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_y^1$ one has that $\varphi(o(gs, s)) = \varphi(t(gs, s)) = x$ and $\varphi(o(hr, r)) = \varphi(t(hr, r)) = y$, one has that $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_x$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_y$ are disjoint for any $x \neq y$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be an admissible system. Then the following are equivalent*

- (i) \mathcal{S} is a generating system;

(ii) $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_x$ is connected for any $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Suppose that (i) holds and let $g, h \in x\mathcal{G} = \varphi^{-1}(x)$ such that $g \neq h$. Since \mathcal{S} generates \mathcal{G} , there exist elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $s(a_i) = r(a_{i+1})$, $s(h) = r(a_1)$, $s(g) = s(a_n)$ and $h^{-1}g = a_1 \cdots a_n$. Hence one has $g = ha_1 \cdots a_n$, and thus

$$(h, ha_1)(ha_1, ha_1a_2) \cdots (ha_1a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}, ha_1a_2 \cdots a_n)$$

is a path from h to g . Thus, $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})_x$ is connected.

Now suppose that (ii) holds and let $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $r(g) = x$. Then there exists a path from x to g given by

$$(x, b_1)(b_1, b_2) \cdots (b_{m-1}, b_m)$$

where $r(b_i) = x$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $b_m = g$. By definition, $b_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $b_{j+1}^{-1}b_j \in \mathcal{S}$. So, by induction, $g = b_m \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$. Thus \mathcal{S} generates \mathcal{G} . \square

5. GRAPHS OF GROUPOIDS

Definition 5.1. A *graph of groupoids* $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ consists of a connected combinatorial graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma^0, \Gamma^1)$ together with the following data:

- (i) a *vertex* groupoid \mathcal{G}_v for every vertex $v \in \Gamma^0$;
- (ii) an *edge* groupoid \mathcal{G}_e for every edge $e \in \Gamma^1$ satisfying $\mathcal{G}_e = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}}$;
- (iii) an injective homomorphism of groupoids $\alpha_e: \mathcal{G}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ for every $e \in \Gamma^1$.

If Γ is a tree, we call $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ a *tree of groupoids*.

Standing Assumption. We suppose that $\alpha_e(\mathcal{G}_e)$ is a *wide* subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ for all $e \in \Gamma^1$, i.e., it has the same unit space as $\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$, and that $\mathcal{G}_v^{(0)}$ is discrete for all $v \in \Gamma^0$.

Notation 5.2. For $e \in \Gamma^1$, we put $\mathcal{H}_e = \alpha_e(\mathcal{G}_e)$. We denote by

$$\phi_e: \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{o(e)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_e \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$$

the map given by

$$\phi_e(g) = (\alpha_e \circ \alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1})(g), \quad g \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}}.$$

Hence, ϕ_e is an isomorphism between the groupoids $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}}$ and \mathcal{H}_e and one has $\phi_{\bar{e}} = \phi_e^{-1}$.


Definition 5.3. A *graph of partial isomorphisms* Γ^{pi} is given by a graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma^0, \Gamma^1)$ together with

- (i) a set Γ_v for each vertex $v \in \Gamma^0$;
- (ii) a partial isomorphism ϕ_e^0 for each edge $e \in \Gamma^1$, i.e., an isomorphism such that the domain and image of ϕ_e^0 are subspaces $\text{dom}(\phi_e^0) \subseteq \Gamma_{o(e)}$ and $\text{im}(\phi_e^0) \subseteq \Gamma_{t(e)}$ respectively, and such that $\phi_{\bar{e}}^0 = (\phi_e^0)^{-1}$.

If Γ is a tree, we call Γ^{pi} a *tree of partial isomorphisms*.

Remark 5.4. Let Γ^{pi} be a tree of partial isomorphisms.

(a) Since Γ is a tree, for $v, w \in \Gamma^0$ there exists a unique reduced path $p \in \mathcal{P}_{v,w}$, i.e., $p = e_1 \cdots e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $o(p) = o(e_n) = w$ and $t(p) = t(e_1) = v$.



Each edge e_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, carries a partial isomorphism

$$\phi_{e_i} : D_i \rightarrow C_i,$$

where $D_i = \text{dom}(\phi_{e_i}) \subseteq \Gamma_{o(e_i)}$ and $C_i = \text{im}\phi_{e_i} \subseteq \Gamma_{t(e_i)}$. Let $A_n = \text{dom}(\phi_{e_n})$ and $A_i = D_i \cap C_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Suppose that $A_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$(5.1) \quad \Phi_p := \phi_{e_1}|_{A_1} \circ \phi_{e_2}|_{A_2} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{e_n}|_{A_n}$$

defines a partial isomorphism between Γ_w and Γ_v .

(b) Let $U = \bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \Gamma_v$. Then the partial isomorphisms ϕ_e , $e \in \Gamma^1$, associated to the edges of Γ induce an equivalence relation on U given by

$$(5.2) \quad x \sim y \iff \text{there exists } p \in \mathcal{P}_{v,w} \text{ such that } \Phi_p(y) = x, x \in \Gamma_v, y \in \Gamma_w.$$

Clearly, \sim is reflexive. It is also symmetric, since $\Phi_p(x) = y$ implies that $\Phi_{\bar{p}}(y) = x$, where \bar{p} is the reversal path of p . Finally, it is transitive, since $\Phi_p(x) = y$ and $\Phi_q(y) = z$ implies that $o(p) = t(q)$ and $\Phi_{pq}(x) = z$.

Therefore one has that for each $x \in \text{im}(\Phi_p) \subseteq \Gamma_v$ there exists a unique $y \in \text{dom}(\Phi_p) \subseteq \Gamma_w$ such that $x \sim y$.

Definition 5.5. Let A be a set, and let \mathcal{R} be an equivalence relation on A . A subset B of A is said to be *saturated* with respect to \mathcal{R} if for all $x, y \in A$, $x \in B$ and $x\mathcal{R}y$ imply $y \in B$. Equivalently, B is saturated if it is the union of a family of equivalence classes with respect to \mathcal{R} . For a subset C of A , the *saturation* of C with respect to \mathcal{R} is the least saturated subset $S(C)$ of A that contains C .

Definition 5.6. A tree of partial isomorphisms Γ^{pi} is said to be *rooted* if there exists a vertex $v \in \Gamma^0$, called the *root*, such that Γ_v is a system of representatives for the relation \sim defined in (5.2).

Remark 5.7. Via the forgetful functor, one may associate to any graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda)$ a tree of partial isomorphisms Γ^{pi} where $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda$ is a maximal subtree of Λ . The sets associated to the vertices of Γ are given by $\Gamma_v = \mathcal{G}_v^{(0)}$, $v \in \Gamma^0$, and the partial isomorphisms ϕ_e^0 , $e \in \Gamma^1$, are given by

$$\phi_e^0 = \phi_e|_{\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}} : \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}^{(0)}.$$

Since we will only consider graphs of groupoids where the edge groupoids are wide subgroupoids of the adjacent vertex groupoids, i.e., $\alpha_e(\mathcal{G}_e) = \mathcal{H}_e \subseteq \mathcal{G}_e$ is a wide subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ for all $e \in \Gamma^1$, we will only deal with tree of partial isomorphisms Γ^{pi} such that each $\phi_e^0 : \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}^{(0)}$ is bijective, $e \in \Gamma^1$. Therefore, each Γ_v is a system of representative for the equivalence relation \sim defined in (5.2).

Thus, under our assumptions, we will deal with rooted trees of full isomorphisms.

Remark 5.8. Let Γ^{pi} be a rooted tree of partial isomorphisms with root $r \in \Gamma^0$. Then Γ^{pi} defines naturally a forest $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)$ given as follows.

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)^0 = \bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \Gamma_v$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)^1 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}{}^0 \times \mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}{}^0 \mid x \in \Gamma_{o(e)}, y \in \Gamma_{t(e)}, \phi_e(x) = y, e \in \Gamma^1 \}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)$ is a graph with terminus and origin maps given by the projection on the first and second component, respectively, and inversion given by the interchange

of the components. Moreover, it is a disjoint union of graphs since each connected component is given by an equivalence class of the relation \sim defined in (5.2). Finally, since Γ is a tree, for each pair of vertices $x \neq y$, x and y in the same connected component of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)$ there is at most one path from x to y . Thus, each connected component of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)$ is a tree and hence $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)$ is a forest.

Definition 5.9. Let Γ^{pi} be a rooted tree of partial isomorphisms and let F be a forest. A *representation* of Γ^{pi} on F is a graph homomorphism $\chi: \mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow F$ from the forest $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)$ defined by Γ^{pi} on its root to F . We say that $F' = \chi(\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)) \subseteq F$ is the *representation* of Γ^{pi} on F .

Given a tree of partial isomorphisms Γ^{pi} , we can associate to each edge $e \in \Gamma^1$ a set Γ_e with injections $\alpha_{\bar{e}}: \Gamma_e \rightarrow \Gamma_{o(e)}$ and $\alpha_e: \Gamma_e \rightarrow \Gamma_{t(e)}$ in such a way that $\alpha_{\bar{e}}(\Gamma_e) = \text{dom}(\phi_e)$ and $\alpha_e(\Gamma_e) = \text{im}(\phi_e)$.

Definition 5.10. Let $F' = \chi(\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)) \subseteq F$ be a representation of a tree of partial isomorphisms Γ^{pi} on F .

For $v \in \Gamma^0$, we denote by $\chi(\Gamma_v)$ the set

$$\chi(\Gamma_v) = \{ \chi(x) \mid x \in \Gamma_v \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Gamma)^0 \} \subseteq F'^0$$

and we call it the *representation of Γ_v on F* . For $e \in \Gamma^1$, we denote by

$$\chi(\Gamma_e) = \{ \varepsilon \in F'^1 \mid o(\varepsilon) \in \chi(\Gamma_{o(e)}), t(\varepsilon) \in \chi(\Gamma_{t(e)}) \} \subseteq F'^1$$

and we call it the *representation of Γ_e on F* .

Remark 5.11. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a forest F with momentum map $\varphi: F^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and let $F' \subseteq F$ be any \mathcal{G} -invariant representation of a tree of partial isomorphisms Γ^{pi} on F as in Definition 5.9, i.e., $\mu^0(\mathcal{G}, F'^0) \subseteq F'^0$ and $\mu^1(\mathcal{G}, F'^1) \subseteq F'^1$. The action of \mathcal{G} on F induces an action of \mathcal{G} on F' which gives rise to a tree of groupoids $\mathcal{G}_\chi(\Gamma)$ based on Γ as follows. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\chi,v}^{(0)} &:= \Gamma_v, & v \in \Gamma^0, \\ \mathcal{G}_{\chi,e}^{(0)} &:= \Gamma_e, & e \in \Gamma^1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we define $\mathcal{G}_{\chi,v}$ to be the groupoid on $\mathcal{G}_{\chi,v}^{(0)}$ whose morphisms are given by the action of \mathcal{G} on $\chi(\Gamma_v) \subseteq F'^0$, i.e.,

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\chi,v} = \{ g \in \mathcal{G} \mid s(g) = \varphi(\nu), \nu \in \chi(\Gamma_v) \text{ and } \mu(g, \nu) \in \chi(\Gamma_v) \}.$$

Similarly, we define $\mathcal{G}_{\chi,e}$ to be the groupoid on $\mathcal{G}_{\chi,e}^{(0)}$ whose morphisms are given by the action of \mathcal{G} on $\chi(\Gamma_e) \subseteq F'^1$, i.e.,

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\chi,e} = \{ g \in \mathcal{G} \mid s(g) = \varphi(o(\varepsilon)), \varepsilon \in \chi(\Gamma_e) \text{ and } \mu(g, \varepsilon) \in \chi(\Gamma_e) \}.$$

Definition 5.12. Let $\mathcal{G}(T)$ be a tree of groupoids and let F be a forest. A *representation of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ on F* is a representation χ of the tree of partial isomorphisms T^{pi} underlying $\mathcal{G}(T)$ on F (see Remark 5.7) such that there are groupoid isomorphisms between the vertex and edge groupoids of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ and the groupoids defined by the action of \mathcal{G} on the representations of the T_v 's and T_e 's on F , i.e., groupoid isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{G}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\chi,v}, \quad \mathcal{G}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\chi,e},$$

for $v \in T^0$ and $e \in T^1$.

Remark 5.13. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a forest F . The action of \mathcal{G} on F induces an equivalence relation on F^0 and F^1 , which we denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, defined by

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} v\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}w &\iff \text{there exists } g \in \mathcal{G} : \mu(g, v) = w \\ e\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}f &\iff \text{there exists } h \in \mathcal{G} : \mu(h, e) = f. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.14. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting without inversion on a forest F . A *tree of representatives* $(\mathcal{G}(T), \chi)$ of the action of \mathcal{G} on F is given by a rooted tree of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(T)$, based on a rooted tree T , with vertex groupoids \mathcal{G}_x , $x \in T^0$, and a representation χ of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ on F such that the saturations of the $\chi(\mathcal{G}_x^{(0)})$'s, $x \in T^0$, with respect to the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ give a partition of F^0 .

6. THE GRAPH OF GROUPOIDS ASSOCIATED TO A GROUPOID ACTION ON A FOREST

6.1. Fibered spaces. A topological space F is said to be *fibered* on a topological space X if there exists a continuous and surjective map $\pi: F \rightarrow X$, called the *projection*, such that $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is countable for each $x \in X$. We call $F_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ the *fiber* of x , $x \in X$. A *section* of F is a map $\sigma: X \rightarrow F$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_X$. If $A \subseteq X$ and $\sigma_A: A \rightarrow F$ is such that $\pi \circ \sigma_A = \text{id}_A$, we say that σ_A is a *partial section* of F .

Remark 6.1. By [12, Theorem 12.13], if F is fibered on X , then there exists a countable family of partial sections of F such that their images form a partition of F . Moreover, by the Lusin-Novikov theorem (see [10, Theorem 18.10]), there exists an enumeration of the fibers of F , i.e., there exists a map $N: F \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $N|_{F_x}: F_x \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is injective for all $x \in X$. One may suppose that the enumeration in each fiber of F starts from 1 and follows the natural enumeration of \mathbb{N} .

6.2. Groupoid actions on forests. We will deal with groupoid actions on fibered spaces.

Definition 6.2. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a fibered space (F, π) on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. If $\sigma: A \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow F$ is a partial section of F , we call the *stabilizer* of σ the subgroupoid

$$(6.1) \quad \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma) = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid g \cdot \sigma(s(g)) = \sigma(r(g)), s(g), r(g) \in A\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}.$$

Remark 6.3. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma^0, \Gamma^1)$ (cf. Definition 4.1). Then Γ^0 is fibered on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ via the momentum map $\varphi: \Gamma^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting without inversion on a forest $F = (F^0, F^1)$. Then there exists a countable or finite family $\Sigma = \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of partial sections of F^0 with domains $X_i \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, i.e., $\sigma_i: X_i \rightarrow F^0$, $i \in I$, such that*

- (i) $\tilde{U}_i \cap \tilde{U}_k = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq k$, where $U_i = \sigma_i(X_i)$ and $\tilde{U}_i = S(U_i)$ denotes the saturation of U_i with respect to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$;
- (ii) for $V = \sqcup_{i \in I} U_i$, one has that $V \cap \varphi_0^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$;
- (iii) $\tilde{V} = F^0$.

Proof. We construct recursively a sequence of partial sections $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, satisfying (i) and (ii). Let $X = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ be the unit space of the groupoid \mathcal{G} . Since we only consider discrete and thus countable groupoids, we may suppose that $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. The graph $F = (F^0, F^1)$ is fibered on X via the map $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$, where $\varphi_0: F^0 \rightarrow X$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi_0 \circ t: F^1 \rightarrow X$. For each i , we enumerate the elements in the fiber $\varphi_0^{-1}(x_i)$ and choose the one corresponding to the smallest

number, say y_i is such an element in $\varphi_0^{-1}(x_i)$. Let $\sigma_1: X \rightarrow F^0$ be the partial section defined by

$$(6.2) \quad \sigma_1(x_i) = y_i.$$

Let $U_1 = \text{im}(\sigma_1)$ and let $\tilde{U}_1 = S(U_1)$ be the saturation of U_1 with respect to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Put $C_1 = F^0 \setminus \tilde{U}_1$. If $C_1 = \emptyset$, then U_1 is a complete domain for the action of \mathcal{G} on F^0 and the thesis follows by choosing $n = 1$ and $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1\}$. Otherwise, let $X_2 = \varphi_0(C_1)$. For each $x_i \in X_2$, let $z_i \in C_1 \cap \varphi_0^{-1}(x_i)$ be the element corresponding to the smallest number in the enumeration of $C_1 \cap \varphi_0^{-1}(x_i)$. Let $\sigma_2: X_2 \rightarrow F^0$ be the partial section defined by

$$(6.3) \quad \sigma_2(x_i) = z_i.$$

Let $U_2 = \text{im}(\sigma_2)$, $\tilde{U}_2 = S(U_2)$ and $C_2 = F^0 \setminus (\tilde{U}_1 \sqcup \tilde{U}_2)$. If $C_2 = \emptyset$, then $U_1 \sqcup U_2$ is a complete domain for the action of \mathcal{G} on F^0 and the thesis follows by choosing $n = 2$ and $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$. Otherwise, we use the same argument as above to construct the partial sections σ_k , $k > 2$, recursively.

Let $n \geq 1$. Suppose that we have constructed n partial sections $\{\sigma_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). Let $V_n = \sqcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and let $C_n = F^0 \setminus \tilde{V}_n$. Then either $C_n = \emptyset$ and thus (iii) holds for $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, or $C_n \neq \emptyset$ and we construct a partial section σ_{n+1} as follows. Let $C'_n = \{x \in C_n \mid \text{dist}(x, V_n) = 1\}$ and put $X_{n+1} = \{x \in X \mid \varphi_0^{-1}(x) \cap C'_n \neq \emptyset\}$. We define $\sigma_{n+1}: X_{n+1} \rightarrow C'_n$ by $\sigma_{n+1}(x) = \min\{\varphi_0^{-1}(x) \cap C'_n\}$, where the minimum is taken over the enumeration of the elements of each fiber $\varphi_0^{-1}(x)$.

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $F^0 \neq \tilde{V}$, where $V = \sqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k$. Then the set $C = \{x \in F^0 \setminus \tilde{V} \mid \text{dist}(x, V) = 1\}$ is nonempty. Let $a \in C$. Then there exists $v \in \tilde{U}_k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\text{dist}(a, v) = 1$. Hence, $\text{dist}(a, \tilde{V}_l) = 1$ for all $l \geq k$, and one has that if $a \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(a)) \cap C_l$ is the m -th element in the enumeration, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(6.4) \quad a \in \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq m} \text{im}(\sigma_{k+j}) \subseteq V,$$

a contradiction. Hence, one has that $V = F^0$. \square

Remark 6.5. By the construction of the σ_i 's used above, one has that $\text{im}(\sigma_i)$ contains the smallest elements which have distance 1 from $V_{i-1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \text{im}(\sigma_k)$ in each fiber $\varphi_0^{-1}(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ one has a map

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} m_i: F^0 &\rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \\ a &\mapsto m_i(a), \end{aligned}$$

where $m_i(a)$ denotes the integer $m_i(a) < i$ such that the vertex a is adjacent to $\text{im}(\sigma_{m_i(a)})$ in the fiber of $\varphi(a)$. That is, there exists $b \in \text{im}(\sigma_{m_i(a)}) \cap \varphi_0^{-1}(y)$, where $y = \varphi(a)$, such that a and b are adjacent in F_y^0 .

Notation 6.6. For a graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma^0, \Gamma^1)$, $|\Gamma^0| \geq 2$, and $v \in \Gamma^0$, we denote by $\Gamma - v$ the subgraph of Γ given by


$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Gamma - v)^0 &= \Gamma^0 \setminus \{v\} \\ (\Gamma - v)^1 &= \Gamma^1 \setminus \{e \in \Gamma^1 \mid t(e) = v \text{ or } o(e) = v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $T = (T^0, T^1)$ is a connected graph, $|T^0| \geq 2$ and $v \in T^0$ is a terminal vertex, then T is a tree if and only if $T - v$ is a tree (see [14, Proposition 9]).

We are now ready to show the existence of a tree of representatives for the action of a groupoid on a forest (see Definition 5.14).

Proposition 6.7. *Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting without inversion on a forest F . Then there exists a tree of representatives $(\mathcal{G}(T), \chi)$ of the action of \mathcal{G} on F such that $\varphi(\chi(\mathcal{G}_r^{(0)})) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, where $r \in T^0$ is the root of T .*

Proof. By Theorem 6.4, there exists a family $\Sigma = \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of partial sections of F^0 satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) of the theorem. If $I = \{1\}$, the thesis follows by choosing T to be the tree with one vertex v and no edges. Then $\mathcal{G}_v = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_1)$ (cf. Definition 6.2) is the groupoid associated to the vertex v . Moreover, the graph $F' = (F'^0, F'^1)$ given by $F'^0 = U_1$, $F'^1 = \emptyset$ is the representation of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ on F . If $I = \{1, 2\}$, the thesis follows by choosing $T = (T^0, T^1)$ to be the segment tree, i.e., $T^0 = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $T^1 = \{e, \bar{e}\}$ as follows



with vertex groupoids $\mathcal{G}_{v_1} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{v_2} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_2)$. Moreover, the graph $F' = (F'^0, F'^1)$ given by

$$(6.7) \quad F'^0 = U_1 \sqcup U_2, \quad F'^1 = \{e \in F^1 \mid o(e), t(e) \in F'^0\}$$

is the representation of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ on F . For $k \geq 2$, $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$, we define the graph with k vertices $T_k = (T_k^0, T_k^1)$ by

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_k^0 &= \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \\ T_k^1 &= \{\{v_i, v_j\} \in T^0 \times T^0 \mid v_i \in \text{im}(\sigma_{m_j(v_j)}), i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, k\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_i \in \text{im}(\sigma_i)$ is adjacent to $v_j \in \text{im}(\sigma_{m_i(v_i)})$ and m_i is the function defined in Remark 6.5. Then for each $k \geq 2$ one has that

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} T_k^0 &= T_{k-1}^0 \sqcup \{v_k\} \\ T_k^1 &= T_{k-1}^1 \sqcup \{e \in T^1 \mid o(e) = v_k \text{ or } t(e) = v_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $k \geq 1$, one has that T_k is a tree. Finally, we put

$$(6.10) \quad T = \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i.$$

We associate to each $v_i \in T^0$ the groupoid

$$(6.11) \quad G_{v_i} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_i)$$

Moreover, for $e \in T^1$ we define the partial section $\sigma_e: A \subseteq X \rightarrow F^1$ by putting $A = \text{dom}(\sigma_{o(e)}) \cap \text{dom}(\sigma_{t(e)})$ and

$$(6.12) \quad \sigma_e(x) = f,$$

where f is the unique $f \in F^1$ such that $o(f) \in \sigma_{o(e)}(x)$, $t(f) \in \sigma_{t(e)}(x)$, $x \in A$.

Thus, we associate to each $e \in T^1$ the groupoid

$$(6.13) \quad G_e = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_e).$$

Finally, we put

$$(6.14) \quad F'^0 = V, \quad F'^1 = \{ e \in F^1 \mid o(e), t(e) \in F'^0 \}.$$

Since $\tilde{V} = F^0$, one has that the graph $F' = (F'^0, F'^1)$ is the representation of a tree of representatives of the action of \mathcal{G} on F . By construction, if $v, w \in F^0$ are in the same orbit, then either $v \in F'^0$ or $w \in F'^0$. \square

Note that by definition of T , one has an orientation $T_+^1 \subseteq T^1$ of T .

Remark 6.8. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a forest F . Then there exists a subforest F' of F such that the vertices of F' are a fundamental domain for the action of \mathcal{G} on F^0 . In particular, the vertices of F' are a fundamental domain for the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ generated by the action of \mathcal{G} on F^0 . Then the restriction $\pi|_{F'}: F' \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \backslash F$ is injective on the vertex set and its image $\pi|_{F'}(F')$ is a maximal subforest of $\mathcal{G} \backslash F$, i.e., $\pi|_{F'^0}(F'^0) = (\mathcal{G} \backslash F)^0$.

In classical Bass-Serre theory, given a group acting without inversion on a tree, one defines a tree of groups by considering a maximal subtree of the quotient graph and the stabilizers of its vertices and edges. A tree of representatives has the same role in this context. However, the tree of representatives $(\mathcal{G}(T), \chi)$ associated to a groupoid action on a forest F does not contain all the information we need: in general, the \mathcal{G} -orbits of the $\chi(G_e)$'s, $e \in T^1$, do not cover F^1 . Thus, we need the following definition.

Definition 6.9. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a forest F . A *desingularization* $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{G}, F)$ of the action of \mathcal{G} on F (or a desingularization of $\mathcal{G} \backslash F$) consists of

- (D1) a connected graph Γ with orientation Γ_+^1 ;
- (D2) a graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ based on Γ ;
- (D3) a maximal rooted subtree $T \subseteq \Gamma$;
- (D4) a tree of representatives $(\mathcal{G}(T), \chi)$;
- (D5) a partial section $\sigma_e: X_e \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow F^1$ together with a family of elements $g_e = \{ g_{e,x} \}_{x \in \varphi(\text{im}(\sigma_e))} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ for any $e \in \Gamma_+^1 \setminus T^1$;

satisfying the following properties:

- (i) the tree of groupoids $(\mathcal{G}(T), \chi)$ induced on T is a tree of representatives for the action of \mathcal{G} on F where $\varphi(\chi(T_r^{(0)})) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $r \in T^0$ is the root of T ;
- (ii) for each $e \in \Gamma_+^1 \setminus T^1$ and for $\varepsilon \in \text{im}(\sigma_e)$ one has that $o(\varepsilon) \in \chi(\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)})$ and $g_{e, \varphi(t(\varepsilon))} \cdot t(\varepsilon) \in \chi(\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}^{(0)})$;
- (iii) for each $e \in \Gamma_+^1 \setminus T^1$, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\bar{e}}: \mathcal{G}_e &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}, \\ \alpha_e: \mathcal{G}_e &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t(e)} \end{aligned}$$

are given by inclusion and conjugation by g_e (cf. Definition 3.10) composed with inclusion, respectively;

- (iv) the saturations with respect to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the $\chi(\mathcal{G}_e)$'s, $e \in \Gamma^1$, form a partition of F^1 .

We prove that there exists a desingularization for any groupoid action without inversion on a forest. This result is analogous to the construction of a graph of groups associated to a group action without inversion on a tree. The main difference is that in the classical Bass-Serre theory the underlying graph Λ of the

graph of groups $G(\Lambda)$ associated to a group action without inversion on a tree T is the quotient graph $\Lambda = G \backslash T$, while in this context there is no canonical graph underlying the desingularization.

Theorem 6.10. *Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a forest F . Then there exists a desingularization of the action of \mathcal{G} on F .*

Proof. By Proposition 6.7, there exists a tree T (see (6.10)) and a tree of representatives $(\mathcal{G}(T), \chi)$ such that $\varphi(\chi(\mathcal{G}_r^{(0)})) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, where $r \in T^0$ is the root of T . Let $F' = (F'^0, F'^1) \subseteq F$ be the representation of T^{pi} on F . Then one has

$$(6.15) \quad F^0 = \bigsqcup_{v \in T^0} \mu^0(\mathcal{G}, \chi(\mathcal{G}_v^{(0)})),$$

i.e., the \mathcal{G} -orbits of the $\chi(\mathcal{G}_v^{(0)})$'s, $v \in T^0$, form a partition of F^0 . Let

$$(6.16) \quad S = \bigsqcup_{e \in T^1} \mu^1(\mathcal{G}, \chi(\mathcal{G}_e^{(0)}))$$

i.e., S is the union of the \mathcal{G} -orbits of the $\chi(\mathcal{G}_e)$'s, $e \in T^1$. Let $C = F^1 \setminus S$. If $C = \emptyset$, then we put $\Gamma = T$ and $\mathcal{G}(T)$ is the graph of groupoids required (cf. Proposition 6.7). Suppose that $C \neq \emptyset$ and let

$$(6.17) \quad C' = \{ e \in C \mid o(e) \in F'^0 \}.$$

Then $C' \neq \emptyset$ since the fibers of F are connected graphs and since the action of \mathcal{G} preserves the distances on F^0 . Thus, we construct a family of partial sections of C such that the saturations of their images form a partition of C . Let $v \in T^0$ and let σ_v be the partial section associated to v . For all $w \in T^0$, let

$$(6.18) \quad C_{v,w} = \{ e \in C \mid o(e) \in \text{im}(\sigma_v), t(e) \in S(\chi(\mathcal{G}_w)) \},$$

where $S(\chi(\mathcal{G}_w))$ denotes the saturation of $\chi(\mathcal{G}_w)$ with respect to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$. If $C_{v,w} \neq \emptyset$, then it is fibered on $\varphi \circ o(C_{v,w}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, where φ is the momentum map. Then by Theorem 6.4 there exists a family $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of partial sections such that

$$(6.19) \quad C_{v,w} = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} S(\text{im}(\sigma_i))$$

gives a partition of $C_{v,w}$. For each i in the decomposition of $C_{v,w}$ we define a new edge $f_i(v, w) \in \Gamma^1$ such that $o(f_i(v, w)) = v$, $t(f_i(v, w)) = w$. Thus, $\Gamma = (\Gamma^0, \Gamma^1)$ is the graph given by

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma^0 &= T^0, \\ \Gamma^1 &= T^1 \sqcup \{ f_i(v, w), \bar{f}_i(v, w) \mid i \in I, v, w \in T^0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$(6.21) \quad \Upsilon_+ = \{ f_i(v, w) \mid i \in I, v, w \in T^0 \}, \quad \Upsilon_- = \{ \bar{f} \mid f \in \Upsilon_+ \},$$

and $\Gamma_+^1 = T_+^1 \sqcup \Upsilon_+$. Thus, we have (D1) and (D3). It remains to construct (D2), (D4), (D5). For $v \in T^0$ and $e \in T^1$, we put $\mathcal{G}_v = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_v)$ and $\mathcal{G}_e = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_e)$ as in (6.11) and (6.13), respectively. For $f_i \in \Upsilon_+$, we associate to f_i the groupoid

$$(6.22) \quad \mathcal{G}_{f_i} = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{f}_i} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_i).$$

Then \mathcal{G}_{f_i} injects naturally into \mathcal{G}_v , i.e.,

$$(6.23) \quad \alpha_{\bar{f}_i}: \mathcal{G}_{f_i} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_i) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_v = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_v)$$

is given by inclusion. Moreover, by construction one has that for $\varepsilon_i \in \text{im}(\sigma_i)$, there exists $g_{f_i, \varphi(t(\varepsilon_i))} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$(6.24) \quad \mu^0(g_{f_i, \varphi(t(\varepsilon_i))}, t(\varepsilon_i)) \in F'^0.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_w = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_w)$ as in (6.11). Then the groupoid \mathcal{G}_{f_i} injects into \mathcal{G}_w via the conjugation by $g_{f_i} = \{g_{f_i, \varphi(t(\varepsilon_i))}\}_{\varepsilon_i \in \text{im}(\sigma_i)}$ as follows. Put $\sigma_\tau := t \circ \sigma_i : \text{dom}(\sigma_i) \rightarrow F^0$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{f_i} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_i)$ injects naturally into $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_\tau)$, i.e., the map $\iota : \mathcal{G}_{f_i} \hookrightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_\tau)$ is given by inclusion. Since the subgroupoid $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}_w \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ have the same unit space, the equivalence of categories $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_w$ in Definition 3.10 is an identity on the unit space $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_\tau)^{(0)}$, and the conjugation map is given by

$$(6.25) \quad \begin{aligned} i_{g_{f_i}} : \text{Stab}(\sigma_\tau) &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_w \\ \gamma &\mapsto g_{f_i, r(\gamma)} \gamma g_{f_i, s(\gamma)}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the identity functor is an injective equivalence of categories, by Lemma 3.11 one has that $i_{g_{f_i}}$ is injective. Hence, the composition

$$(6.26) \quad \alpha_{f_i} : \mathcal{G}_{f_i} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_\tau) \xrightarrow{i_{g_{f_i}}} \mathcal{G}_w,$$

where the first map is given by inclusion and the second map by conjugation by g_{f_i} , is an injective homomorphism of groupoids. Thus, we have (D2), (D4) and (D5). \square

7. THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUPOID OF A GRAPH OF GROUPOIDS

In the previous section we have proved that given a groupoid \mathcal{G} acting without inversion of edges on a forest F , there exists a desingularization $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{G}, F)$ associated to the action of \mathcal{G} on F (cf. Theorem 6.10), and hence a graph of groupoids associated to the action of \mathcal{G} on F . We now want to prove that it is possible to reconstruct \mathcal{G} in terms of the vertex end edge groupoids of the graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$. In particular, we will prove that \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to the fundamental groupoid of the graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$.

7.1. The fundamental groupoid of a graph of groupoids. Let $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ be a graph of groupoids and let $T \subseteq \Gamma$ be a maximal rooted subtree. Let $\mathcal{H}_e = \alpha_e(\mathcal{G}_e)$ and let $\phi_e : \mathcal{H}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_e$ be the map given by $\phi_e(g) = \alpha_e(\alpha_e^{-1}(g))$. Since the groupoids \mathcal{H}_e are wide subgroupoids of $\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ for all $e \in \Gamma^1$, the map

$$(7.1) \quad \phi_e^0 = \phi_e|_{\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}} : \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}^{(0)}$$

is a bijection for all $e \in \Gamma^1$. Then the maps ϕ_e^0 's induce a rooted tree of partial isomorphisms T^{pi} which defines an equivalence relation \sim (see (5.2)) on $Y = \sqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \mathcal{G}_v^{(0)}$. Let $\tilde{Y} = Y / \sim$ and $\pi : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be the canonical projection. Let $r \in T^0$ be the root of the tree T . Then

$$(7.2) \quad X = \mathcal{G}_r^{(0)}$$

is a fundamental domain for the equivalence relation \sim and the map

$$(7.3) \quad \tau_0 := \pi|_X^{-1} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$$

is bijective. Let

$$(7.4) \quad \tau = \tau_0 \circ \pi : Y \rightarrow X$$

the map assigning to each $y \in Y$ the representative $x \in X$ such that $y \sim x$.

Definition 7.1. The *fundamental groupoid* $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ of the graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is the groupoid defined as follows (see [8, §3] for presentation of groupoids). It has unit space X defined in (7.2) and generators

$$(7.5) \quad \left(\bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \mathcal{G}_v \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{\substack{e \in \Gamma^1, \\ x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}}} (\phi_e(x), x) \right).$$

Note that for $z \in \mathcal{G}_e^{(0)}$ one has that $(\alpha_e(z), \alpha_{\bar{e}}(z))$ is a generator, since $\phi_e(\alpha_{\bar{e}}(z)) = \alpha_e \circ \alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1}(\alpha_{\bar{e}}(z)) = \alpha_e(z)$. The range and source maps $r, s: \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \rightarrow X$ are defined by

$$(7.6) \quad r(g) = \tau(r'(g)), \quad r((\phi_e(x), x)) = \tau(\phi_e(x)),$$

$$(7.7) \quad s(g) = \tau(s'(g)), \quad s((\phi_e(x), x)) = \tau(x),$$

where r' and s' denotes the range and source maps in \mathcal{G}_v , $v \in \Gamma^0$, and multiplication is given by concatenation. The inverse map is given by the inverse map in \mathcal{G}_v for elements $g \in \mathcal{G}_v$, $v \in \Gamma^0$, and by $(\phi_e(x), x)^{-1} = (x, \phi_e(x))$ for $e \in \Gamma^1$, $x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}$. Moreover, the generators are subject to the relations in the vertex groupoids together with relations

$$(R1) \quad (\phi_e(x), x) = \text{id}_{\tau(x)} \text{ for } e \in T^1, x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)};$$

$$(R2) \quad (\alpha_e(r(g)), \alpha_{\bar{e}}(r(g))) \cdot \alpha_{\bar{e}}(g) \cdot (\alpha_{\bar{e}}(s(g)), \alpha_e(s(g))) = \alpha_e(g) \text{ for } g \in \mathcal{G}_e, e \in \Gamma^1.$$

Definition 7.2. We call the *free groupoid* $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ the groupoid with the same generators as $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$, i.e., the generators in (7.5), subject to the relations in the vertex groupoids together with the relation (R2).

Notation 7.3. In order to simplify calculations with fundamental groupoids elements, we will use the following notation:

$$\phi_e(x)e = ex := (\phi_e(x), x),$$

for $x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}$, $e \in \Gamma^1$. Thus, we may rewrite relations (R1) and (R2) as follows:

$$(R1) \quad \phi_e(x)e = ex = \text{id}_{\tau(x)}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}, e \in T^1.$$

$$(R2) \quad e \alpha_{\bar{e}}(r(g)) \cdot \alpha_{\bar{e}}(g) \cdot \alpha_{\bar{e}}(s(g)) \bar{e} = \alpha_e(g) \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{G}_e, e \in \Gamma^1.$$

Since for any groupoid G and any morphism $\gamma \in G$ one has $r(\gamma)\gamma = \gamma = \gamma s(\gamma)$, we put

$$(7.8) \quad g_1 e g_2 = g_1 \cdot s(g_1) e r(g_2) \cdot g_2$$

for $g_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$, $g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}$, $s(g_1) = \phi_e(r(g_2))$. With this notation, (R2) becomes

$$(R2) \quad e \alpha_{\bar{e}}(g) \bar{e} = \alpha_e(g),$$

for $g \in \mathcal{G}_e$, $e \in \Gamma^1$.

We can extend our definition of a path in Γ as follows.

Definition 7.4. A *graph of groupoids word* w in $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a sequence of elements

$$(7.9) \quad w = g_1 e_1 g_2 e_2 \cdots g_n e_n g_{n+1},$$

where

- $e_i \in \Gamma^1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$;
- $o(e_i) = t(e_{i+1})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$;
- $g_i \in \mathcal{G}_{t(e_i)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $g_{n+1} \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e_n)}$;
- for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ one has $\phi_{\bar{e}_i}(s(g_i)) = r(g_{i+1})$.

We say that w has *length* n . A *subword* of w is a sequence $g_i e_i \cdots g_{i+k} e_{i+k}$ such that $i \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$ and $i+k \leq n$.

Remark 7.5. For all $e \in \Gamma^1$ we choose a *left transversal* \mathcal{T}_e of $\alpha_e(\mathcal{G}_e)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ containing the identity elements of $\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$, i.e., for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ there exist $\tau = \tau(g, e) \in \mathcal{T}_e$ and $h = h(g, e) \in \mathcal{G}_e$ such that

$$(7.10) \quad g = \tau \alpha_e(h).$$

Then we may represent a graph of groupoids word w by

$$(7.11) \quad w = g_1 e_1 g_2 e_2 \cdots g_n e_n g_{n+1},$$

where

- $e_i \in \Gamma^1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$;
- $t(e_i) = o(e_{i-1})$ for all $i = 2, \dots, n$;
- $g_i \in \mathcal{G}_{t(e_i)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $g_{n+1} \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e_n)}$;
- for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, subwords $g_i e_i g_{i+1} = \tau_i \alpha_{e_i}(h_i) e_i \tau_{i+1} \alpha_{e_{i+1}}(h_{i+1})$ must satisfy

$$s(\alpha_{\bar{e}_i}(h_i)) = r(\tau_{i+1}).$$

Definition 7.6. A graph of groupoids word $w = g_1 e_1 \cdots g_n e_n g_{n+1}$ is said to be *reduced* if

- $g_i \in \mathcal{T}_{e_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $g_{n+1} \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e_n)}$;
- if $\bar{e}_i = e_{i-1}$ for some $i = 2, \dots, n$, then $g_i \notin \text{im}(\alpha_{e_i})$.

In particular, if $e_1 \cdots e_n$ is a path in Γ without backtracking, then w is reduced.

We define the *reduction* of words by using the relations (R2). There are two types of reduction: coset and length.

Definition 7.7. Let $u = geg'$ be a subword of a graph of groupoids word w , where $g = \tau \alpha_e(h)$ as in (7.10). The *coset reduction* w' is the graph of groupoids word obtained from w by replacing u by $v = \tau e g''$, where $g'' = \alpha_{\bar{e}}(h)g'$.

Definition 7.8. Let $u = eg\bar{e}$, $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}}$, be a subword of a graph of groupoids word w . The *length reduction* w' is the graph of groupoids word obtained from w by replacing u by $\phi_e(g)$. Note that when length reduction is applied to a graph of groupoids word, its length is decreased by two.

Two graph of groupoids words w and w' are said to be *equivalent* if one can get one from the other by using coset and length reductions.

Hence a reduced graph of groupoids word is a word in which no coset and no length reduction can be applied. The reduction process removes subwords $eg\bar{e}$, where $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}}$, and moves $\alpha_e(h)$ in the decomposition $\tau \alpha_e(h)$ to the right. We prove that $p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ is represented uniquely by a reduced graph of groupoids word $p = g_1 e_1 g_2 e_2 \cdots g_n e_n g_{n+1}$.

By adapting the proofs of [9, §3] and [13, Theorem 2.1.7], one shows the following property.

Theorem 7.9. *Every morphism of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ is represented by a unique reduced graph of groupoids word.*

Definition 7.10. A graph of groupoids word $g = g_1 e_1 g_2 e_2 \cdots g_n e_n g_{n+1}$ is said to be reduced *in the sense of Serre* if it satisfies the following: if $n = 0$, then g_1 is not a unit; if $n > 0$ and $e_{i+1} = \bar{e}_i$, then $g_{i+1} \notin \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}_i}$.

One has the following analogue of Britton's Lemma.

Lemma 7.11 (Britton's Lemma). *Let $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ be a graph of groupoids based on Γ and let $p = g_1 e_1 g_2 \cdots g_n e_n g_{n+1}$ be a graph of groupoids word which is reduced in the sense of Serre. Then p is not a unit.*

Proof. The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Thus, let $n > 0$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $h_i \in \mathcal{H}_{e_i}$ and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}_{e_i}$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &= \tau_i h_i, \\ \phi_{\bar{e}_i}(h_i) g_{i+1} &= \tau_{i+1} h_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by pulling the h_i 's to the right one has that $p = \tau_1 e_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_n e_n a$, where $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}_{e_i}$ and $a \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e_n)}$. If there exists i such that $e_{i+1} = \bar{e}_i$, then one has that $\phi_{\bar{e}_i}(h_i)$ and h_{i+1} are both in $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}_i}$ and hence it must be $\tau_{i+1} \neq x$, with $x = r(h_{i+1})$. Thus, $\tau_1 e_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_n e_n a$ is not a unit. \square

7.2. The universal cover of a graph of groupoids. Let $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ be a graph of groupoids and let $T \subseteq \Gamma$ be a maximal subtree of Γ which induces an equivalence relation as in (5.2). For $v \in \Gamma^0$ and $e \in \Gamma^1$, the groupoids \mathcal{G}_v and \mathcal{G}_e induce subgroupoids of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$. This allows us to define a *universal cover* for $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$.

Definition 7.12. Let $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ be a graph of groupoids and let $\mathcal{H}_e = \alpha_e(\mathcal{G}_e) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$, $e \in \Gamma^1$. We fix an orientation Γ^1_+ of Γ and put

$$(7.12) \quad \varepsilon(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e \in \Gamma^1_+ \\ 1 & \text{if } e \in \Gamma^1 \setminus \Gamma^1_+. \end{cases}$$

In particular, one has that

$$\varepsilon(\bar{e}) = 1 - \varepsilon(e)$$

for all $e \in \Gamma^1$. We denote by $|e|$ the edge satisfying $\{|e|\} = \{e, \bar{e}\} \cap \Gamma^1_+$.

Note that by definition, one has that $\mathcal{H}_{|e|} = \mathcal{H}_{|\bar{e}|}$ for all $e \in \Gamma^1$.

Notation 7.13. For $e \in \Gamma^1$, we put

- (i) $e \mathcal{G}_{o(e)} = \{ \phi_e(r(g)) e g \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)} \}$,
- (ii) $e \mathcal{G}_{o(e)} e^{-1} = \{ \phi_e(r(g)) e g e^{-1} \phi_e(s(g)) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)} \}$.

Remark 7.14. Let $e \in \Gamma^1$. With the notations above, one has the following

- (a) $\mathcal{H}_e = \mathcal{G}_{t(e)} \cap e \mathcal{G}_{o(e)} e^{-1}$;
- (b) $\mathcal{H}_{|e|} = e^{1-\varepsilon(e)} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}} e^{\varepsilon(e)-1}$;
- (c) $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{|e|} &= e^{1-\varepsilon(e)} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}} e^{\varepsilon(e)-1} \\ &= e^{1-\varepsilon(e)} (\mathcal{G}_{o(e)} \cap e^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{t(e)} e) e^{\varepsilon(e)-1} \\ &= e^{1-\varepsilon(e)} \mathcal{G}_{o(e)} e^{\varepsilon(e)-1} \cap e^{-\varepsilon(e)} \mathcal{G}_{t(e)} e^{\varepsilon(e)}. \end{aligned}$

We remind that for $v \in \Gamma^0$ and $p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$, the set $p\mathcal{G}_v$ is the set $p\mathcal{G}_v = \{pg \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_v, s(p) = r(g)\}$. In what follows we simplify the groupoid notation and write $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))/\mathcal{G}_v$ to indicate the set $\{p\mathcal{G}_v \mid p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)), s(p) \in \tau(\mathcal{G}_v^{(0)})\}$, for $v \in \Gamma^0$.

Definition 7.15. The *Bass-Serre forest* $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ of the graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$, also known as its *universal cover*, is the graph defined by

$$(7.13) \quad X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0 = \bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))/\mathcal{G}_v[v],$$

$$(7.14) \quad X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1 = \bigsqcup_{e \in \Gamma^1} \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))/\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e].$$

The maps $o, t: X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^0$ and $\bar{\cdot}: X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1 \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1$ are given by

$$(7.15) \quad o(p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}) = pe^{1-\varepsilon(e)}\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)] \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))/\mathcal{G}_{o(e)},$$

$$(7.16) \quad t(p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}) = pe^{-\varepsilon(e)}\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}[t(e)] \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))/\mathcal{G}_{t(e)},$$

and

$$(7.17) \quad \overline{p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]} = p\mathcal{H}_{|\bar{e}|}[\bar{e}].$$

Note that t and o are well defined by Remark 7.14. In fact, suppose that for $p, q \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ and $e \in \Gamma^1$ one has that $p \in q\mathcal{H}_{|e|}$. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}_{|e|}$ such that $p = qh$. By Remark 7.14 (c), there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}$ such that $h = e^{1-\varepsilon(e)}ge^{\varepsilon(e)-1}$. Then one has

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} o(p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}) &= pe^{1-\varepsilon(e)}\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)] \\ &= qhe^{1-\varepsilon(e)}\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)] \\ &= qe^{1-\varepsilon(e)}ge^{\varepsilon(e)-1}e^{1-\varepsilon(e)}\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)] \\ &= qe^{1-\varepsilon(e)}g\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)] \\ &= qe^{1-\varepsilon(e)}r(g)\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)] \\ &= o(q\mathcal{H}_{|e|}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$(7.19) \quad \overline{p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]} \neq p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]$$

$$(7.20) \quad \overline{\overline{p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]}} = p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e],$$

and, by (7.12)

$$(7.21) \quad \begin{aligned} o(\overline{p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]}) &= o(p\mathcal{H}_{|\bar{e}|}[\bar{e}]) \\ &= p\bar{e}^{1-\varepsilon(\bar{e})}\mathcal{G}_{o(\bar{e})}[o(\bar{e})], \\ &= pe^{-\varepsilon(e)}\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}[t(e)], \\ &= t(p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is a graph.

Remark 7.16. The fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ acts on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ by left multiplication with momentum map

$$(7.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}: X_{\mathcal{G}}^0 &\rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)} \\ p\mathcal{G}_v &\mapsto r(p) \end{aligned}$$

(see Definition 4.1). That is, for $p, p' \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$, $q\mathcal{G}_v[v] \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0$, $q'\mathcal{H}_e[e] \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1$ with $s(p) = \tilde{\varphi}(q\mathcal{G}_v) = r(q)$ and $s(p') = \tilde{\varphi} \circ o(q'\mathcal{H}_e) = r(q')$ one has that

$$(7.23) \quad \mu^0(p, q\mathcal{G}_v[v]) = pq\mathcal{G}_v[v],$$

$$(7.24) \quad \mu^1(p', q'\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]) = p'q'\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e],$$

where $pq \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ is the reduced graph of groupoids word obtained from the concatenation of p and q .

Note further that for $x \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$ and for all $e \in T^1$ one has that

$$(7.25) \quad o(x\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]) = x\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)], \quad t(x\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]) = x\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}[t(e)],$$

and for all $e \in \Gamma_+^1 \setminus T^1$ one has that

$$(7.26) \quad o(x\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]) = xe\mathcal{G}_{o(e)}[o(e)], \quad t(x\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e]) = x\mathcal{G}_{t(e)}[t(e)].$$

Remark 7.17. The Bass-Serre forest $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is fibered on $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$ via the map $\tilde{\varphi}$ defined in (7.22). For each unit $x \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$, we denote by $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ the subgraph of $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ which is fibered on $\{x\}$, i.e.,

$$(7.27) \quad \begin{aligned} xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0 &= \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x), \\ xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1 &= o^{-1}(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ and $yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ are disconnected for all $x \neq y$, $x, y \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$. Since each vertex in $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ starts with x and each vertex in $yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ starts with y , i.e., for $p\mathcal{G}_v \in X_x$ and $q\mathcal{G}_w \in X_y$ one has $r(p) = x$ and $r(q) = y$, there is no edge connecting $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ and $yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$. In fact, the map $\tilde{\varphi}$ is such that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ o = \tilde{\varphi} \circ t$ by definition. Moreover, $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is a tree (cf. Proposition 7.18). It follows that $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is a forest, so that the terminology used in Definition 7.15 is justified.

Proposition 7.18. $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is a tree for all $x \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$.

Proof. Fix $x \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$. First we need to prove that $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is a connected graph. Let Ξ be the smallest subgraph of $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ containing $\{x\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e] \mid e \in \Gamma^1\}$. Then Ξ is connected by (7.25). and (7.26). Moreover, $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} = x\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))x * \Xi$, where $x\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))x$ is the isotropy group of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ at x , i.e.,

$$x\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))x = \{p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \mid s(p) = x = r(p)\}.$$

By Proposition 4.9, it suffices to show that there exists a generating system $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ such that $\Xi \cup a * \Xi$ is connected for all $a \in x\mathcal{S}x$. Then one has that the graph

$$(7.28) \quad \Xi \cup a_1 * \Xi \cup a_1 a_2 \Xi \cup \dots \cup a_1 a_2 \dots a_n * \Xi$$

is connected for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in x\mathcal{S}x$ by induction on n . It suffices to prove the claim for $a \in x\mathcal{S}x$. Choosing

$$(7.29) \quad \mathcal{S} = \left(\bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \mathcal{G}_v \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{e \in \Gamma_+^1, y \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}} \phi_e(y)ey \right).$$

one verifies the claim for $a \in x\mathcal{S}x$. Since Ξ is connected by construction and $a * \Xi$ is connected because the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ preserves the distances, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a vertex lying in both Ξ and $a * \Xi$, i.e., $\Xi^0 \cap a * \Xi^0 \neq \emptyset$. By definition, for any $a \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists a vertex $p\mathcal{G}_v$, $v \in \Gamma^0$, such that $a * p\mathcal{G}_v$ is defined and $a * p\mathcal{G}_v = p\mathcal{G}_v$. Fix $a \in x\mathcal{S}x$, $v \in \Gamma^0$ such that $a * x\mathcal{G}_v = x\mathcal{G}_v$. Then one has that $x\mathcal{G}_v \in \Xi^0 \cap a * \Xi^0 \neq \emptyset$, which proves that $\Xi \cup a * \Xi$ is connected. It remains to prove that $xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ does not contain non-trivial reduced paths from v to v , $v \in xX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0$. Let

$$(7.30) \quad \mathbf{p} = (p_1\mathcal{H}_{|e_1|}[e_1]) (p_2\mathcal{H}_{|e_2|}[e_2]) \cdots (p_n\mathcal{H}_{|e_n|}[e_n]), \quad n \geq 1$$

be such a path. Put $v_i = o(e_i)$. In particular, one has $v_n = o(e_n) = t(e_1)$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} o(p_n\mathcal{H}_{|e_n|}[e_n]) &= p_n e_n^{1-\varepsilon(e_n)} \mathcal{G}_{v_n}[v_n] = p_1 e_1^{-\varepsilon(e_1)} \mathcal{G}_{v_n}[v_1] = t(p_1\mathcal{H}_{|e_1|}[e_1]), \\ o(p_1\mathcal{H}_{|e_1|}[e_1]) &= p_1 e_1^{1-\varepsilon(e_1)} \mathcal{G}_{v_1}[v_1] = p_2 e_2^{-\varepsilon(e_2)} \mathcal{G}_{v_1}[v_2] = t(p_2\mathcal{H}_{|e_2|}[e_2]), \\ &\vdots \\ o(p_{n-1}\mathcal{H}_{|e_{n-1}|}[e_{n-1}]) &= p_{n-1} e_{n-1}^{1-\varepsilon(e_{n-1})} \mathcal{G}_{v_{n-1}}[v_{n-1}] = p_n e_n^{-\varepsilon(e_n)} \mathcal{G}_{v_{n-1}}[v_n] = t(p_n\mathcal{H}_{|e_n|}[e_n]). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, putting $q_i = p_i e_i^{-\varepsilon(e_i)}$, there exist elements $a_i \in \mathcal{G}_{v_i}$ with $r(a_i) = \phi_{\bar{e}_1}(s(q_i))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} q_n e_n a_n &= q_1 \\ q_1 e_1 a_1 &= q_2 \\ &\vdots \\ q_{n-1} e_{n-1} a_{n-1} &= q_n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, one has

$$\begin{aligned} s(q_n) e_n a_n &= q_n^{-1} q_1 \\ s(q_1) e_1 a_1 &= q_1^{-1} q_2 \\ &\vdots \\ s(q_{n-1}) e_{n-1} a_{n-1} &= q_{n-1}^{-1} q_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then one has

$$(7.31) \quad s(q_1) e_1 a_1 e_2 a_2 \cdots e_n a_n = s(q_1).$$

Thus, by Lemma 7.11, $\mathbf{q} := s(q_1) e_1 a_1 e_2 a_2 \cdots e_n a_n$ is not reduced. Hence there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $e_{i+1} = \bar{e}_i$ and $a_i \in \text{im}(\alpha_{\bar{e}_i}) = \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}_i}$, i.e., there exists $b \in \mathcal{G}_{e_i}$ such that $a_i = \alpha_{\bar{e}_i}(b)$ and

$$(7.32) \quad e_i a_i e_{i+1} = e_i \alpha_{\bar{e}_i}(b) \bar{e}_i = \alpha_e(b) \in \mathcal{G}_{t(e_i)} = \mathcal{G}_{v_{i-1}}$$

Here we put $v_0 = v_n$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.33) \quad p_{i+1}\mathcal{H}_{|e_{i+1}|}[e_{i+1}] &= q_{i+1}e_{i+1}^{\varepsilon(e_{i+1})}\mathcal{H}_{|e_i|}[\bar{e}_i] \\
&= q_i e_i a_i \bar{e}_i^{\varepsilon(\bar{e}_i)} \mathcal{H}_{|e_i|}[\bar{e}_i] \\
&= p_i e_i^{1-\varepsilon(e_i)} a_i \bar{e}_i^{1-\varepsilon(e_i)} \mathcal{H}_{|e_i|}[\bar{e}_i] \\
&= p_i e_i^{1-\varepsilon(e_i)} a_i e_i^{\varepsilon(e_i)-1} \mathcal{H}_{|e_i|}[\bar{e}_i].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(7.34) \quad e_i^{1-\varepsilon(e_i)} a_i e_i^{\varepsilon(e_i)-1} = \begin{cases} e_i a_i e_i^{-1} & \text{if } |e_i| = e_i, \\ a_i & \text{if } |e_i| = \bar{e}_i \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{e_i}(b) & \text{if } |e_i| = e_i, \\ a_i & \text{if } |e_i| = \bar{e}_i. \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha_{e_i}(b) \in \mathcal{H}_{e_i}$ and $a_i = \alpha_{\bar{e}_i}(b) \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}_i}$, one has that $e_i^{1-\varepsilon(e_i)} a_i e_i^{\varepsilon(e_i)-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{|e_i|}$ by Remark 7.14 (b). Hence one has

$$(7.35) \quad p_{i+1}\mathcal{H}_{|e_{i+1}|}[e_{i+1}] = p_i \mathcal{H}_{|e_i|}[\bar{e}_i] = \overline{p_i \mathcal{H}_{|e_i|}[e_i]}.$$

Thus, \mathfrak{p} is not reduced, a contradiction, and this yields the claim. \square

8. THE STRUCTURE THEOREM

In this section we collect all the results of the previous sections. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid acting on a forest F without inversion of edges. Then we have seen in Section 6 that one has a desingularization $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{G}, F)$, i.e., a graph of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ based on a graph $\Gamma = T \sqcup \Upsilon_+ \sqcup \Upsilon_-$, where $T \subseteq \Gamma$ is a maximal subtree such that $\mathcal{G}(T)$ is a tree of representatives for the action of \mathcal{G} on F and Υ_+ and Υ_- are as in (6.21), and a family of groupoid elements $g_e = \{g_{e,x}\}_{x \in \varphi(t(\text{im}(\sigma_e)))}$ for each $e \in \Gamma^1$, such that

- (i) $\mathcal{G}_v = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_v)$ for $v \in \Gamma^0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{G}_e = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_e)$ for $e \in \Gamma^1$;
- (iii) $\alpha_e: \mathcal{G}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ is given by inclusion for $e \in T^1 \sqcup \Upsilon_+$;
- (iv) $\alpha_e: \mathcal{G}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t(e)}$ is given by conjugation by g_e for $e \in \Upsilon_-$ (see (6.26)).

We put $g_e = \{\text{id}_x\}_{x \in \varphi(\text{im}(\sigma_e))}$ for $e \in T^1$ and $g_e = g_{\bar{e}}^{-1}$ for $e \in \Upsilon_+$.

So by definition for all $e \in \Gamma_+^1$ one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathcal{G}_e & \\
\alpha_{\bar{e}} \swarrow & & \searrow \alpha_e \\
\mathcal{G}_{o(e)} & & \mathcal{G}_{t(e)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{i_{g_e}} & \mathcal{G}
\end{array}$$

Proposition 8.1. *For \mathcal{G} , F , $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{G}, F)$ and $\{g_e\}_{e \in \Gamma^1}$ as above, the assignment*

$$(8.1) \quad \psi_{\circ}: \bigsqcup_{\substack{e \in \Gamma_+^1 \\ x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}}} (\phi_e(x), x) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \mathcal{G}_v \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

given by

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{\circ}(g) &= g, & g \in \mathcal{G}_v, \\ \psi_{\circ}((\phi_e(x), x)) &= g_{e,x}, & e \in \Gamma^1, x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

defines a groupoid homomorphism

$$(8.3) \quad \psi: \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}.$$

Proof. By definition, ψ_{\circ} satisfies the relation

$$(8.4) \quad \psi_{\circ}((\phi_e(x), x)) \psi_{\circ}((x, \phi_e(x))) = \text{id}_{\phi_e(x)}$$

for all $e \in \Gamma^1$, $x \in \mathcal{G}_{o(e)}^{(0)}$. Moreover, by definition one has

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{\circ}((\alpha_e(x), \alpha_{\bar{e}}(x))) \psi_{\circ}(\alpha_{\bar{e}}(g)) \psi_{\circ}((\alpha_{\bar{e}}(y), \alpha_e(y))) &= g_{e, \alpha_{\bar{e}}(x)} \alpha_{\bar{e}}(g) g_{e, \alpha_{\bar{e}}(y)}^{-1} \\ &= \alpha_e(g) \\ &= \psi_{\circ}(\alpha_e(g)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $e \in \Gamma^1$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_e$ with $r(g) = x$ and $s(g) = y$. \square

Corollary 8.2. *One has that ψ is a strong groupoid homomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose that for $p, q \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ one has that $(\psi(p), \psi(q)) \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)}$, i.e., $s(\psi(p)) = r(\psi(q))$. Since ψ is a homomorphism, one has that $\psi(s(p)) = \psi(r(q))$. By (8.2), one has that $s(p) = r(q)$, i.e., $(p, q) \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(2)}$. \square

Since $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ in the desingularization of the action of \mathcal{G} on F is a graph of groupoids, one has that the fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ of $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ acts on the Bass-Serre forest $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ associated to $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ as we have seen in Subsection 7.1.

Proposition 8.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$, $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ and $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ be as above. Let*

$$(8.6) \quad \Psi = (\Psi^0, \Psi^1): X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} \longrightarrow F$$

be the mapping defined by

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi^0(p\mathcal{G}_v[v]) &= \mu^0(\psi(p), \sigma_v(\psi(s(p))))), \\ \Psi^1(p\mathcal{H}_{|e}[e]) &= \mu^1(\psi(p), \sigma_e(\psi(s(p))))), \end{aligned}$$

where σ_v and σ_e are the partial section associated to $v \in \Gamma^0$ and $e \in \Gamma^1$, respectively. Then Ψ is a ψ -equivariant homomorphism of graphs, i.e.,

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi^0(\mu^0(p, w)) &= \mu^0(\psi(p), \Psi^0(w)), \\ \Psi^1(\mu^1(p, f)) &= \mu^1(\psi(p), \Psi^1(f)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$, $w \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0$ and $f \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1$.

Proof. We first prove that Ψ is a homomorphism of graphs. Note that for $e \in \Upsilon_-$ and $p\mathcal{H}_{|e}[e] \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1$, one has

$$(8.9) \quad t(\sigma_e(\psi(s(p)))) = \mu^0(\psi(g_{e,s(p)}), \sigma_{t(e)}(\psi(s(p))))),$$

$$(8.10) \quad o(\sigma_e(\psi(s(p)))) = \sigma_{o(e)}(\psi(s(p))).$$

For $e \in T^1 \sqcup \Upsilon_+$ and $p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e] \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} t(\sigma_e(\psi(s(p)))) &= o(\sigma_{\bar{e}}(\psi(s(p)))) \\ &= \sigma_{o(\bar{e})}(\psi(s(p))) \\ &= \sigma_{t(e)}(\psi(s(p))), \\ o(\sigma_e(\psi(s(p)))) &= t(\sigma_{\bar{e}}(\psi(s(p)))) \\ &= \mu^0(\psi(g_{\bar{e},s(p)}), \sigma_{t(\bar{e})}(\psi(s(p)))) \\ &= \mu^0(\psi(g_{e,s(p)})^{-1}, \sigma_{o(e)}(\psi(s(p))))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus with the notation as in (7.12), one obtains

$$(8.11) \quad \overline{\sigma_e(x)} = \sigma_{\bar{e}}(x),$$

$$(8.12) \quad t(\sigma_e(x)) = \mu^0(\psi(g_{e,x})^{1-\varepsilon(e)}, \sigma_{t(e)}(x)),$$

$$(8.13) \quad o(\sigma_e(x)) = \mu^0(\psi(g_{e,x})^{-\varepsilon(e)}, \sigma_{o(e)}(x)),$$

for all $e \in \Gamma^1$, $x \in \text{dom } \sigma_e$. This shows that Ψ is a homomorphism of graphs which commutes with the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$. \square

One has the following structure theorem.

Theorem 8.4. *With the notation as above, ψ is an isomorphism of groupoids and Ψ is an isomorphism of graphs.*

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 8.4.

Lemma 8.5. *Let $\Psi = (\Psi^0, \Psi^1): \Lambda \rightarrow \Delta$ be a homomorphism of graphs such that*

- (i) Λ is connected;
- (ii) Δ is a tree;
- (iii) for all $u \in \Lambda^0$ the map $\Psi^1|_{\text{st}_\Lambda(u)}: \text{st}_\Lambda(u) \rightarrow \text{st}_\Delta(\Psi^0(u))$ is injective.

Then Ψ is injective.

Proof. Since Ψ^1 is injective by hypothesis, it suffices to show that Ψ^0 is injective. Suppose that there exist $v, w \in \Lambda^0$, $v \neq w$, such that $\Psi^0(v) = \Psi^0(w)$. Since Λ is connected, there exists a path $p \in \mathcal{P}_{v,w}(\Lambda)$ from v to w . Since Δ is a tree, by (iii) one has that $\Psi_e(p)$ is a reduced path from $\Psi^0(v)$ to $\Psi^0(w)$, which is a contradiction. Thus, Ψ^0 is injective. \square

Remark 8.6. We recall that by the construction of the desingularization $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{G}, F)$, one has that Γ is a fundamental domain for the action of \mathcal{G} on F . That is, for any $w \in F^0$ there exists $v \in \Gamma^0$ such that w is in the saturation of $\text{im } \sigma_v$, i.e., there exists $u \in \text{im } \sigma_v \subseteq F^0$ such that w is in the orbit of u . Similarly, for any $\varepsilon \in F^1$ there exists $e \in \Gamma^1$ such that ε is in the saturation of $\text{im } \sigma_e$, i.e., there exists $f \in \text{im } \sigma_e \subseteq F^1$ such that ε is in the orbit of f .

We are now ready to prove the structure theorem.

Proof of Theorem 8.4. Let $\mathfrak{D}(\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)), X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)})$ be the desingularization of the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$, given by a graph of groupoids $\mathfrak{G}(\Delta)$ based on a graph Δ and a maximal subtree $\Lambda \subseteq \Delta$ such that $(\mathfrak{G}(\Lambda), \rho)$ is a tree of representatives of the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$. For $v \in \Gamma^0$, consider the partial section σ_v associated to v and the stabilizer

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_v) = \{ g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \mu^0(g, \sigma_v(s(g))) = \sigma_v(r(g)), s(g), r(g) \in \text{dom}(\sigma_v) \}.$$

Fix a unit $x \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$ and consider the vertex $x\mathcal{G}_v[v] \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0$. Then there exists $w \in \Delta^0$ such that $x\mathcal{G}_v[v]$ is in the saturation of $\text{im } \sigma_w$. Consider the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))}(\sigma_w) = \{p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \mid \mu^0(p, \sigma_w(s(p))) = \sigma_w(r(p)), s(p), r(p) \in \text{dom}(\sigma_w)\}$.

Then the map

$$(8.14) \quad \psi|_{\text{Stab}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))}(\sigma_w)} : \text{Stab}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))}(\sigma_w) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_w)$$

is an isomorphism by construction. Then one has that the following diagram of graphs commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & F \\ \rho \uparrow & & \uparrow \chi \\ \mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Psi}} & \mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(T) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pi}}(T)$ are the forests of partial isomorphisms induced by $\mathfrak{G}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{G}(T)$, respectively (cf. Remark 5.8). In particular, $\widehat{\Psi}$ is an isomorphism of graphs. Hence one has that

$$(8.15) \quad \mu^0(\psi(\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))), \text{im } \sigma_w) = \mu^0(\mathcal{G}, \text{im } \sigma_w)$$

and, by (8.14), the groupoid homomorphism $\psi: \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is surjective. Thus, since

$$(8.16) \quad \begin{aligned} F^0 &= \bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma^0} \mu^0(\mathcal{G}, \text{im } \sigma_v), \\ F^1 &= \bigsqcup_{e \in \Gamma^1} \mu^1(\mathcal{G}, \text{im } \sigma_e), \end{aligned}$$

by Remark 8.6, one has that Ψ is surjective.

Note that $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is fibered on $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ and each fiber corresponds to a connected component of $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$, i.e.

$$(8.17) \quad X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} = \bigsqcup_{y \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}} yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)},$$

where $yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ denotes the fiber of $y \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$ (cf. Remark 7.17). Similarly, the forest F is fibered on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and hence one has

$$(8.18) \quad F = \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} aF.$$

Since Ψ is a ψ -equivariant homomorphism of graphs, one has that $\Psi(yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}) \subseteq F_{\psi(y)}$ for all $y \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$. Then for $e \in \text{st}_{\Gamma}(v)$ one has canonical bijections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{e \in \text{st}_{\Gamma}(v)} x\mathcal{G}_v / \text{im}(\alpha_{\bar{e}}) & & \\ \swarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \text{st}_{\Gamma}(v)} a_e & & \searrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \text{st}_{\Gamma}(v)} b_e \\ \text{st}_{X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}}(x\mathcal{G}_v[v]) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^1|_{\text{st}_{X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}}(x\mathcal{G}_v[v])}} & \text{st}_F(\sigma_v(x)) \end{array}$$

given by

$$(8.19) \quad a_e(g) = g\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e],$$

$$(8.20) \quad b_e(g) = \sigma_e(s(g)),$$

for $g \in \mathcal{G}_v$ with $r(g) = x$. Hence $\Psi^1|_{\text{st}_{X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}}(x\mathcal{G}_v[v])}$ is bijective for every $x\mathcal{G}_v[v] \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0$. Thus, for any $y \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$ the restriction

$$(8.21) \quad \Psi|_{yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}} : yX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow F_{\psi(y)}$$


is an injective homomorphism of graphs by Lemma 8.5. Therefore, Ψ is injective. Thus, Ψ is an isomorphism of graphs.

It remains to show that ψ is injective. Let $N := \ker(\psi) = \{p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \mid \psi(p) \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\}$. For $w \in \Lambda^0$, let $\pi_w = \text{Stab}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))}(\sigma_w)$. One has that $N \cap \pi_w = \pi_w^{(0)}$ by (8.14). On the other hand, for $n \in N$ and $v \in X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^{(0)}$ such that $s(n) = \tilde{\varphi}(v)$ and v is in the saturation of $\text{im } \sigma_w$, one has

$$(8.22) \quad \Psi^0(\mu^0(n, v)) = \mu^0(\psi(n), \Psi^0(v)) = \Psi^0(v)$$

since Ψ is a ψ -equivariant homomorphism of graphs. Since Ψ is injective, one has that $\mu^0(n, v) = v$, i.e., $n = \tilde{\varphi}(v) \in N \cap \pi_w = \pi_w^{(0)}$. Thus, $N = \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)}$ and since ψ is a strong groupoid homomorphism (see Corollary 8.2), it is injective by Remark 2.6. This proves that ψ is an isomorphism of groupoids. \square

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ be the graph of groupoids



where $\Gamma = (\{v, w\}, \{e\})$ and the vertex and edge groupoids are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_v &= \{x_1, x_2, a_v, a_v^{-1}\}, & s(a_v) &= x_1, r(a_v) = x_2, \\ \mathcal{G}_w &= \{y_1, y_2, a_w, a_w^{-1}\}, & s(a_w) &= y_1, r(a_w) = y_2, \\ \mathcal{G}_e &= \mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}} = \{z_1, z_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

The monomorphisms $\alpha_e : \mathcal{G}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_w$ and $\alpha_{\bar{e}} : \mathcal{G}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_v$ are given by

$$\alpha_e(z_i) = y_i, \quad \alpha_{\bar{e}}(z_i) = x_i,$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then one has $\mathcal{H}_e = \text{im}(\alpha_e) = \mathcal{G}_w^{(0)}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{e}} = \text{im}(\alpha_{\bar{e}}) = \mathcal{G}_v^{(0)}$, and transversals $\mathcal{T}_e = \mathcal{G}_w$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{e}} = \mathcal{G}_v$. We identify x_i with y_i for $i = 1, 2$ via the relation (5.2). Then the fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ has unit space $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)} = \{u_1, u_2\}$, generators $\{a_v, a_v^{-1}, a_w, a_w^{-1}, y_1ex_1, y_2ex_2, x_1\bar{e}y_1, x_2\bar{e}y_2\}$ and defining relations $a_v^{-1}a_v = u_1$, $a_v a_v^{-1} = u_2$, $a_w^{-1}a_w = u_1$, $a_w a_w^{-1} = u_2$, together with relations


$$(R1) \quad x_1\bar{e}y_1 = \text{id}_{u_1}, \quad x_2\bar{e}y_2 = \text{id}_{u_2}, \quad y_1ex_1 = \text{id}_{u_1}, \quad y_2ex_2 = \text{id}_{u_2};$$

$$(R2) \quad ex_1\bar{e} = y_1, \quad ex_2\bar{e} = y_2, \quad \bar{e}y_1e = x_1, \quad \bar{e}y_2e = x_2.$$

Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) = \{ & u_1, u_2, \\ & a_v, a_v^{-1}, a_w, a_w^{-1}, \\ & a_v a_w^{-1}, a_v^{-1} a_w, a_w a_v^{-1}, a_w^{-1} a_v \\ & a_v a_w^{-1} a_v, a_v^{-1} a_w a_v^{-1}, a_w a_v^{-1} a_w, a_w^{-1} a_v a_w^{-1} \\ & \dots \}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the morphisms of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ are the finite words given by the alternation of composable red and blue arrows where no two successive arrows of the same color occur, as shown in the subsequent diagram:




The Bass-Serre forest $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0 &= \{ p\mathcal{G}_v[v] \mid p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \} \sqcup \{ p\mathcal{G}_w[w] \mid p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \}, \\ X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1 &= \{ p\mathcal{H}_{|e|}[e] \mid p \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p\mathcal{G}_v = \{ pg \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_v, s(p) = r(g) \}$ (see Definition 7.15). Then $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is a bipartite graph, since the vertices of $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ are naturally partitioned into two disjoint sets and each edge has initial vertex in one of these sets and terminal vertex in the other set. The Bass-Serre forest $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ is the union of the graphs $uX_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$, for $u \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G})^{(0)}$, i.e.,

$$X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} = u_1 X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} \sqcup u_2 X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} :$$



The fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ acts on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ by left multiplication with momentum map $\varphi: X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0 \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{G})^{(0)}$ given by $\varphi(q\mathcal{G}_v) = r(q)$. Hence one has that $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} = \varphi^{-1}(u_1) \sqcup \varphi^{-1}(u_2)$. There are two orbits of the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0$: one is given by the collection of the red vertices and the other is given by the collection of the blue vertices, i.e.,

$$X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0 = \mu^0(\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)), u_1\mathcal{G}_v) \sqcup \mu^0(\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)), u_1\mathcal{G}_w).$$


We enumerate the fibers of u_1 and u_2 (see the proof of Theorem 6.10), i.e., we enumerate the vertices of $u_1 X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ and $u_2 X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$ as follows:



Such enumeration gives two partial sections of vertices $\sigma_1, \sigma_2: \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^0$ and a partial section of edges $\sigma_e: \pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))^{(0)} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}^1$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(u_i) &= u_i \mathcal{G}_v[v], \\ \sigma_2(u_i) &= u_i \mathcal{G}_w[w], \\ \sigma_e(u_i) &= u_i \mathcal{H}_e[e],\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, we have a tree of representatives $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ based on the segment tree $T = (\{v_1, v_2\}, \{e, \bar{e}\})$ as follows



where $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \text{Stab}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))}(\sigma_1)$, $\mathfrak{G}_2 = \text{Stab}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))}(\sigma_2)$, and $\mathfrak{G}_e = \text{Stab}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))}(\sigma_e)$. In particular, $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ is a desingularization of the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma))$ on $X_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alvarez, *Une théorie de Bass-Serre pour les relations d'équivalence et les groupoides boréliens*, Ph.D. thesis, 2008.
- [2] ———, *Théorème de Kurosh pour les relations d'équivalence boréliennes*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **60** (2010), no. 4, 1161–1200.
- [3] J. Ávila, V. Marín, and H. Pinedo, *Isomorphism theorems for groupoids and some applications*, arXiv:1905.09389 (2020).
- [4] N. Brownlowe, A. Munday, D. Pask, J. Spielberg, and A. Thomas, *C*-algebras associated to graphs of groups*, Advances in Mathematics **316** (2017), 114–186.
- [5] Valentin Deaconu, *Groupoid actions on C*-correspondences*, arXiv:1712.10059 (2017).
- [6] L. El Kaoutit and L. Spinosa, *Mackey formula for bisets over groupoids*, arXiv:1711.07203 (2017).
- [7] ———, *Burnside theory for groupoids*, arXiv:1807.04470 (2018).
- [8] P. J. Higgins, *Presentations of groupoids, with applications to groups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. (1964), no. 60, 7–20.
- [9] ———, *The fundamental groupoid of a graph of groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (1976), no. 13, 145–149.
- [10] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [11] A. Kumjian, P. S. Muhly, J. Renault, and D. P. Williams, *The Brauer group of a locally compact groupoid*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 5, 901–954.

- [12] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [13] E. J. Moore, *Graphs of groups: Word computations and free crossed resolutions*, Ph.D. thesis, 2000.
- [14] J-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, Translated from the French original by John Stillwell, Corrected 2nd printing of the 1980 English translation. MR 1954121

G. DAL VERME, TH. WEIGEL, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO-BICOCCA, ED. U5, VIA R.COZZI 55, 20125 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: `giulia.dalverme01@universitadipavia.it`

Email address: `thomas.weigel@unimib.it`