

$f(R)$ Gravity Wormholes sourced by a Phantom Scalar Field

Thanasis Karakasis,^{1,*} Eleftherios Papantonopoulos,^{1,†} and Christoforos Vlachos^{1,‡}

¹*Physics Division, National Technical University of Athens,
15780 Zografou Campus, Athens, Greece.*

We derive an exact wormhole spacetime supported by a phantom scalar field in the context of $f(R)$ gravity. Without specifying the form of the $f(R)$ function, the scalar field self-interacts with a mass term potential that is derived from the scalar equation and the resulting $f(R)$ model is purely supported by the scalar field and it is free of ghosts and avoids the tachyonic instability.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wormholes in General Relativity (GR) are solutions of Einstein equations presenting hypothetical tunnels connecting different parts of the Universe or two different Universes. The first discussion of a wormhole configuration was presented by Flamm [1] and later Einstein and Rosen (ER) introduced the “ER bridge”, a physical space being connected by a wormhole-type solution [2]. The wormhole spacetimes as solution of GR were further investigated in the pioneering articles of Misner and Wheeler [3] and Wheeler [4].

Introducing a static spherically symmetric metric the necessary conditions to generate traversable Lorentzian wormholes as exact solutions in GR were found by Morris and Thorne [5]. In these structures a condition on the wormhole throat necessitates the introduction of exotic matter, which however leads to the violation of the null energy condition (NEC). This type of matter has been discussed in cosmological contexts [6], for possible observational settings. Recently, it was found in [7] that normal Dirac and Maxwell fields can support wormhole configurations and provide violation only of the NEC. Many wormhole solutions were discussed in the literature. To avoid the violation of NEC in [8] the construction of thin-shell wormholes was studied, where ordinary matter is concentrated on the wormhole throat. Wormholes in $d = 5$ dimensional Gauss-Bonnet and $d = 2n + 1$ where $d > 4$ Lovelock theory were found [9]. Recently there are many studies of wormhole solutions in modified gravity theories like Brans-Dicke theory [10], $f(R)$ gravity [11], Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory [12], Einstein-Cartan theory and general scalar-tensor theories [13].

* asis96kar@gmail.com

† lpapa@central.ntua.gr

‡ cvlach@mail.ntua.gr

Wormholes with AdS asymptotics have also been discussed [14] in an attempt to describe the physics of closed universes. Canonical scalar fields in the Horndeski scenario have also been used to construct wormhole geometries [15–17], their gravitational echoes have been recently investigated [18], while the formation of bound states of scalar fields in AdS-asymptotic wormholes were studied in [19]. A phantom scalar field was introduced in the Einstein-Hilbert action and wormhole solutions have been found by Ellis in [60], known as Ellis wormholes in literature. In recent years several generalizations were obtained [61–64]. Phantom matter was considered in Einstein-Maxwell dilaton theory and wormholes have been constructed in [65].

The need to describe the early and late cosmological history of our Universe promoted the study of $f(R)$ theories of gravity [20–28]. The main motivation to study these theories were the recent cosmological observational results on the deceleration-acceleration transition of late Universe. This requirement imposed constraints on the $f(R)$ models allowing viable choices of $f(R)$ [29]. These theories exclude contributions from any curvature invariants other than R and they avoid the Ostrogradski instability [30, 31]. In the recent years, several black hole and wormhole solutions have been found in $f(R)$ gravity.

Black holes in $f(R)$ gravity theories with constant and non-constant Ricci curvature in vacuum or coupled to electrodynamics have been found [32]–[45] while in [46–48] scalar fields are introduced as matter in $(2+1)$ and $(3+1)$ -dimensional $f(R)$ gravity and the corresponding black hole solutions are investigated. This particular type of theory, $f(R)$ gravity and non-minimally coupled scalar fields as matter has been previously considered for cosmological purposes [49, 50].

Wormhole solutions in $f(R)$ theory have been constructed in [51], where the matter threading the wormhole is a fluid that satisfies the energy conditions, so the violation of the energy conditions comes from the higher order terms that the $f(R)$ theory possesses. Thin-shell wormholes with circular symmetry in $(2+1)$ -dimensional $f(R)$ theories of gravity, with constant Ricci scalar have been investigated [52], while $(3+1)$ -dimensional thin-shell wormholes in $f(R)$ gravity with charge and constant curvature were discussed in [53], investigating also their stability. In quadratic $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ gravity spherically symmetric Lorentzian wormholes have been found with a constant scalar curvature [54]. Using the Karmarkar condition wormhole geometries have been investigated in several $f(R)$ models [55]. In [56] wormholes with a kinetic curvature scalar were considered and in [57] traversable $f(R)$ gravity wormholes with constant and dynamic redshift function were found. Finally in [58] wormholes in $f(R)$ -massive gravity were investigated employing several behaviors for the redshift function, and wormholes in generalized hybrid metric-Palatini gravity that satisfy the NEC were obtained.

In [47] an exact black hole solution in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensions of a scalar field minimally coupled to gravity in the context of $f(R)$ gravity was found. Without specifying the form of the $f(R)$ function, an exact black hole solution was obtained dressed with a scalar hair and the scalar charge to appear both in the metric and in the $f(R)$ function. It was showed that thermodynamic and observational constraints required that the pure $f(R)$ theory should be builded with a phantom scalar field. The reason for this behaviour is that the entropy in the $f(R)$ theories receives an extra contribution and to have a positive entropy a contribution from phantom scalar field is required. Then, computing the Hawking temperature and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy it was found that they are both positive, with the temperature getting smaller with the increase of the scalar charge while the entropy behaves the opposite way, growing with the increase of the scalar charge.

These results indicate that in the context of the $f(R)$ gravity if we introduce a self-interacting phantom scalar field a wormhole configuration may be generated. In this work we derived an exact wormhole spacetime supported by a phantom scalar field in $(3 + 1)$ -dimensions in $f(R)$ gravity. The scalar field self-interacts with a mass term potential that is derived from the scalar equation and the resulting $f(R)$ model is free of ghosts and avoids the tachyonic instability.

This work is organized as follows: In Section II we set our theory, derive the field equations and discuss the restrictions a geometry has to obey in order to represent a wormhole configuration. In Section III we briefly discuss the GR case of a wormhole sourced by a phantom scalar field, the Ellis Drainhole [60] and obtain a new wormhole geometry sourced by a phantom scalar field in $f(R)$ gravity discussing also the energy conditions. Finally, in Section IV we conclude and point out some interesting ideas for future works.

II. THE SETUP-DERIVATION OF THE FIELD EQUATIONS

We consider the following theory

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{f(R)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - V(\phi) \right) , \quad (1)$$

which consists of an arbitrary differentiable function of the Ricci Scalar $f(R)$, a scalar field with negative kinetic energy and a self-interacting potential.

The field equations that arise by variation with respect to the fields are

$$R_{\mu\nu} f_R - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} f(R) + \left(g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \right) f_R = T_{\mu\nu}^\phi , \quad (2)$$

$$\square \phi + V_\phi(\phi) = 0 , \quad (3)$$

where $\square = \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu$ is the D'Alembert operator with respect to the metric, the subscript denotes derivative with respect to the argument and the energy momentum tensor is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\phi = -\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\alpha \phi \partial_\alpha \phi - g_{\mu\nu} V(\phi) . \quad (4)$$

We consider the following metric ansatz firstly used by Morris and Thorne [5] in spherical coordinates

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega , \quad (5)$$

where $\Phi(r)$ is the redshift function and $b(r)$ is the shape function and $d\Omega = d\theta^2 + \sin(\theta)^2 d\varphi^2$. In order to obtain a wormhole geometry, these functions have to satisfy the following conditions [5, 66], namely:

1. $\frac{b(r)}{r} \leq 1$ for every $[r_{th}, +\infty)$, where r_{th} is the radius of the throat. This condition ensures that the proper radial distance defined by $l(r) = \pm \int_{r_{th}}^r \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)^{-1} dr$ is finite everywhere in spacetime. Note that in the coordinates (t, l, θ, ϕ) the line element (5) can be written as

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(l)} dt^2 + dl^2 + r^2(l)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi) . \quad (6)$$

In this case the throat radius would be given by $r_{th} = \min\{r(l)\}$.

2. $\frac{b(r_{th})}{r_{th}} = 1$ at the throat. This relation comes from requiring the throat to be a stationary point of $r(l)$. Equivalently, one may arrive at this equation by demanding the embedded surface of the wormhole to be vertical at the throat.
3. $b'(r) < \frac{b(r)}{r}$ which reduces to $b'(r_{th}) \leq 1$ at the throat. This is known as the flare-out condition since it guarantees r_{th} to be a minimum and not any other stationary point.

Moreover, to simplify the calculations and to ensure the absence of horizons we will consider the case $\Phi(r) = C$ as in [51], where C is a constant. Computing the $tt, rr, \theta\theta$ and Klein-Gordon equations we find

$$rb'f'_R + 3bf'_R + 2r(b-r)f''_R - rb\phi'^2 - 4rf'_R + r^2f - 2r^2V + r^2\phi'^2 = 0 , \quad (7)$$

$$2f_R(b-rb') + 4r(b-r)f'_R + r^2(b-r)\phi'^2 + r^3(f-2V) = 0 , \quad (8)$$

$$r(r((b'-2)f'_R + r(-2f''_R + f - 2V + \phi'^2)) - f_R b') - b(r(-f'_R - 2rf''_R + r\phi'^2) + f_R) = 0 , \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{(r(b'-4) + 3b)\phi' + 2r(b-r)\phi''}{2r^2} - \frac{V'}{\phi'} = 0 , \quad (10)$$

where primes denote derivative with respect to the argument, the radial co-ordinate r and $f = f(R) = f(r)$, the gravitational model as a function of the radial co-ordinate r . Eliminating f from equation (7) we obtain

$$f = 2V - \frac{(r(b' - 4) + 3b)f'_R + r(r - b)(\phi'^2 - 2f''_R)}{r^2}. \quad (11)$$

Now substituting f back to (8) and (9) we can obtain the following equations

$$r(r(b'f'_R - 2rf''_R + 2r\phi'^2) + 2f_Rb') - b(r(f'_R - 2rf''_R + 2r\phi'^2) + 2f_R) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$r(f_Rb' - 2rf'_R) + b(2rf'_R + f_R) = 0. \quad (13)$$

As can be seen in (13), there is a direct relation between the geometry and the $f(R)$ gravity, while the scalar field will affect both the $f(R)$ function and the geometry as we can see in (12).

III. WORMHOLE SOLUTIONS

In this Section we will discuss the wormhole solutions of the field equations. We will first review the Ellis Drainhole.

A. The Ellis Drainhole

The Ellis Drainhole [60] is a wormhole solution of an action that consists of a pure Einstein-Hilbert term and a scalar field with negative kinetic energy

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi \right). \quad (14)$$

Assuming the metric ansatz (5), setting in equations (7),(8),(9) calculated above $f(r) = R(r)$, $f_R(r) = 1$, $V(r) = 0$ we obtain the following solution

$$b(r) = A^2/r, \quad (15)$$

$$\phi(r) = \sqrt{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{r^2 - A^2}}{A} \right) + \phi_\infty, \quad (16)$$

$$f(r) = R(r) = -\frac{2A^2}{r^4}, \quad (17)$$

where A, ϕ_∞ are two constants of integration. The resulting spacetime is asymptotically flat as it can be seen from both $b(r)$ and $R(r)$. The wormhole throat is the solution of the equation

$$g_{rr}^{-1} = 0 \rightarrow r_{th} = \pm A. \quad (18)$$

The solution also satisfies the flaring-out condition and $b'(r_{th}) = -1$. We can see that the phantom scalar field sources the wormhole geometry. The scalar field takes a constant value at infinity $\phi(r \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} + \phi_\infty$, so one could set $\phi_\infty = -\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$ to make the scalar field vanish at large distances. However, since only derivatives of the scalar field appear in the field equations, its asymptotic value ϕ_∞ does not change the physical interpretation of the solution. The scalar field takes the asymptotic value at infinity at the position of the throat $\phi(r = r_{th}) = \phi_\infty$. The bigger the constant A the bigger the wormhole throat. The Ricci scalar at the position of the throat takes the value $R_{r=r_{th}}(A) = -2/A^2$.

B. $f(R)$ Gravity Phantom Wormhole

The two equations (12), (13) together with equation (10) constitute a system of three independent differential equations for the four unknown functions: $b(r), f_R(r), V(r), \phi(r)$, therefore in principle we have to fix one of the unknown functions to solve for the others. One can also see that equation (10) can be obtained by taking the covariant derivative of equation (2). We found that for a particular scalar field configuration we can obtain a rather simple solution. Therefore we fix

$$\phi(r) = \sqrt{6} \frac{\sqrt{A}}{r}, \quad (19)$$

where $A > 0$ is the scalar charge, a constant that determines the behavior of the scalar field, with units $[L]^2$. Then the solution to equations (12), (13) and (10) becomes

$$b(r) = \frac{c_1 r^3}{A^2} + 2r, \quad (20)$$

$$f_R(r) = \frac{A}{r^2}, \quad (21)$$

$$V(r) = -\frac{3c_1}{Ar^2}, \quad (22)$$

$$f(r) = \frac{2A}{r^4}, \quad (23)$$

$$R(r) = \frac{6c_1}{A^2} + \frac{4}{r^2}, \quad (24)$$

$$f(R) = \frac{(A^2 R - 6c_1)^2}{8A^3}, \quad (25)$$

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{c_1 \phi^2}{2A^2}, \quad (26)$$

where c_1 is a constant of integration with units $[L]^2$ in order $b(r)/r$ to be dimensionless. We can see that the resulting potential is a mass term potential, hence we set: $c_1 = -A^2 m^2$ and now the

configurations become

$$b(r) = 2r - m^2 r^3, \quad (27)$$

$$V(r) = \frac{3Am^2}{r^2}, \quad (28)$$

$$R(r) = \frac{4}{r^2} - 6m^2, \quad (29)$$

$$f(R) = \frac{1}{8}A(6m^2 + R)^2, \quad (30)$$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2. \quad (31)$$

Our obtained gravitational model is completely supported by the scalar field, since for the vanishing of A , $f(R)$ vanishes too, and it also satisfies the Dolgov-Kawasaki stability criterion [20, 67–69] which states that $f_{RR} > 0$. For our solution we have that $f_{RR} = A/4 > 0$. Another desired property of $f(R)$ theories is the absence of ghosts in the context of cosmological perturbations which corresponds to the condition $f_R > 0$. In our case as we can see in (21) this condition is satisfied since $A > 0$.

The Kretschmann scalar and the norm of the Weyl tensor read

$$K = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -\frac{16m^2}{r^2} + 12m^4 + \frac{16}{r^4}, \quad (32)$$

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{16}{3r^4}. \quad (33)$$

We can see that the only curvature singularity is for $r \rightarrow 0$ which lies out of the range of the wormhole geometry, hence the region of interest is free of singularities. Now we proceed to check if our solution satisfies the aforementioned wormhole criteria.

1. Condition $\frac{b(r)}{r} \leq 1$ reduces to $m^2 r^2 \geq 1$ and is satisfied for every r in $[\frac{1}{m}, +\infty)$.
2. $\frac{b(r)}{r} = 1$ yields the throat location $r_{th} = \frac{1}{m}$.
3. Solving the flaring-out condition $b'(r) < \frac{b(r)}{r}$ we arrive at $m^2 r^2 > 0$ which is satisfied for every $r > 0$ and $m \neq 0$. Finally, it is easy to verify that $b'(r_{th}) = -1$ hence the relation $b'(r_{th}) \leq 1$ always holds true.

In FIG. 1 we plot the throat radius $r_{th} = m^{-1} = \sqrt{-A^2/c_1}$ as a function of the scalar charge A , where we can see their linear relation, having set $c_1 = -1$. Wormholes exist only for negative c_1 . To understand better what exactly happens at the throat of the wormhole, we also plot the Ricci scalar




FIG. 1. The wormhole throat $r_{\text{th}}(A)$ and the Ricci scalar $R_{\text{th}}(A)$ at the wormhole throat as a function of the scalar charge A , having set $c_1 = -1$.

$R(r)$ at the throat as a function of the parameter A : $R_{r=r_{\text{th}}}(A) = -2m^2 = 2c_1/A^2$. A calculation of the Kretschmann scalar at the wormhole throat yields $K = 12c_1^2/A^4$, which is in agreement with the behavior of the Ricci scalar. We can see that for larger A the Ricci scalar gets weaker at the wormhole throat, so does the Kretschmann scalar. Thus, by increasing the scalar charge A we obtain wormholes with larger throat radii which in turn decreases the curvature in the vicinity of the throat. Hence, the scalar charge affects the properties of our compact object in a similar manner with Ellis's drainhole.

Additionally, we can perform a co-ordinate transformation to a chart (t, l, θ, ϕ) where l is the proper radial distance from the throat. In this chart the metric would be given by (6). Hence, by confronting the metrics (5) and (6) we can find the line element in this new coordinate system

$$ds^2 = -Cdt^2 + dl^2 + r(l)^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (34)$$

$$= -Cdt^2 + dl^2 + \left(\frac{e^{-lm} + e^{lm}}{2m} \right)^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (35)$$

where, $r(l) = \frac{e^{-lm} + e^{lm}}{2m} = \frac{1}{m} \cosh(lm)$ and $l \in (-\infty, \infty)$ covering both sides of the wormhole. In FIG. 2 we can see the proper radial distance. The minimum represents the position of the wormhole throat.

C. Energy Conditions

Since we have obtained a wormhole configuration that satisfies the relative wormhole criteria we will briefly discuss the energy conditions and their violation. Therefore we recast Einstein equation (2) in the form

$$G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{grav}} + f_R^{-1} T_{\mu\nu}^{\phi} = T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{total}}, \quad (36)$$




FIG. 2. The function $r(l)$ for different values of the mass of the scalar field.

where, $T_{\mu\nu}^{grav}$ is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{grav} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(f_R^{-1}f(R) - R) - f_R^{-1}(g_{\mu\nu}\square f_R - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f_R) \quad (37)$$

and can be regarded as the effective stress energy tensor that non-linear gravity generates. Now, we switch to a set of orthonormal basis vectors, the proper reference frame where the basis vectors are expressed as [5]

$$e_{\hat{t}} = \exp(-\Phi)e_t, \quad e_{\hat{r}} = (1 - b/r)^{1/2}e_r, \quad e_{\hat{\theta}} = r^{-1}e_\theta, \quad e_{\hat{\varphi}} = (r \sin \theta)^{-1}e_\varphi. \quad (38)$$

In this reference frame, we can identify, the energy density ρ , the radial pressure p_r and the transverse pressure $p_{\theta,\varphi}$ in the following manner [66, 70]

$$\rho = G_{\hat{t}\hat{t}} = T_{\hat{t}\hat{t}}^{total}, \quad (39)$$

$$p_r = G_{\hat{r}\hat{r}} = T_{\hat{r}\hat{r}}^{total}, \quad (40)$$

$$p_{\theta,\varphi} = G_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta},\hat{\varphi}\hat{\varphi}} = T_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta},\hat{\varphi}\hat{\varphi}}^{total}. \quad (41)$$

Now we will discuss the violation of the energy conditions [71]. The Weak Energy Condition (WEC) implies that any time like vector x^μ satisfies the condition

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{total}x^\mu x^\nu \geq 0. \quad (42)$$

In the aforementioned reference frame this inequality takes the form

$$\rho > 0. \quad (43)$$

In our case we have

$$\rho = \frac{2}{r^2} - 3m^2 > 0. \quad (44)$$

The WEC is satisfied for any $m \neq 0$ and $0 < r < \sqrt{\frac{2}{3m^2}} < \frac{1}{m} = r_{\text{th}}$. Therefore WEC is violated for any $r > r_{\text{th}}$.

The Null Energy Condition (NEC) states that

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{total}} k^\mu k^\nu \geq 0 , \quad (45)$$

for any null vector k^μ . By continuity we expect that the WEC implies NEC. In the orthonormal frame the NEC reads

$$\rho + p_r \geq 0 , \quad (46)$$

In our case NEC gives

$$\frac{2 - 3m^2 r^2}{r^2} + m^2 - \frac{2}{r^2} = -2m^2 < 0 . \quad (47)$$

Therefore NEC is always violated.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we consider arbitrary $f(R)$ gravity and a self-interacting scalar field with negative kinetic energy. Fixing the scalar field function, we solved the field equations and reconstructed $f(R)$. The resulting model is purely supported by the scalar field. For the vanishing of scalar charge A the field equations are trivially satisfied. The resulting $f(R)$ model is tachyonically stable, the self-interacting potential turns out to be a mass term and the WEC is violated.

It would be interesting to consider a more general metric ansatz such the one in [72] and try to find a solution to the $f(R)$ field equations that reduces to the known solutions of GR [72–74]. In this work, the scalar field is minimally coupled to gravity via the volume element, so one could consider a regular non-minimally coupled scalar field that will violate the energy conditions and try to find wormhole solutions. It would also be interesting to check the stability of the obtained wormhole solution.

Since the modification $R \rightarrow f(R)$ introduces a scale to the theory, a wormhole solution that resembles the original Ellis wormhole [60, 75] (or the d -dimensional generalization [76]) is impossible to be found, another scale has to be introduced to the theory via a self interacting scalar potential for the scalar field that will cancel the gravitational scale theory will generate, hence the scale introduced by the potential will not be a new, independent scale. So, one can consider our metric

ansatz (5) and the Ellis scalar field (16) to find, at least in principle, a wormhole geometry, which will be reduced to the Ellis one, after parametrizing the constants of the solution appropriately.

-
- [1] L. Flamm, *Phys. Z.*17(1916) 448.
- [2] A. Einstein and N. Rosen, *Phys. Rev.*48(1935) 73.
- [3] C. W. Misner and J. A. Wheeler, *Ann. Phys.*2, 525 (1957); C. W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.*118, 1110 (1960).
- [4] J. A. Wheeler, *Ann. Phys.*2, 604 (1957); J. A. Wheeler, *Geometrodynamics* (Academic, New York, 1962).
- [5] M.S. Morris and K.S. Thorne, *Am. J. Phys.*56, 395 (1988); M. S. Morris, K. S. Thorne and U. Yurtsever, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*61, 1446 (1988).
- [6] V. Sahni and A. A. Starobinsky, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*09, 373 (2000); S. M. Carroll, *Living Rev. Rel.*4, 1 (2001); P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*75, 559 (2003); P. F. Gonzales-Diaz, *Phys. Rev. D*65, 104035 (2002).
- [7] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, ‘‘Traversable wormholes in General Relativity without exotic matter,’’ [arXiv:2106.05034 [gr-qc]].
- [8] E. Poisson and M. Visser, ‘‘Thin shell wormholes: Linearization stability,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 7318-7321 (1995) [arXiv:gr-qc/9506083 [gr-qc]].
- [9] G. Dotti, J. Oliva and R. Troncoso, ‘‘Static wormhole solution for higher-dimensional gravity in vacuum,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 024002 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.024002 [arXiv:hep-th/0607062 [hep-th]].
- [10] L. A. Anchordoqui, S. E. Perez Bergliaffa and D. F. Torres, ‘‘Brans-Dicke wormholes in nonvacuum space-time,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5226-5229 (1997) [arXiv:gr-qc/9610070 [gr-qc]]. A. G. Agnese and M. La Camera, ‘‘Wormholes in the Brans-Dicke theory of gravitation,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 2011-2013 (1995) K. K. Nandi, B. Bhattacharjee, S. M. K. Alam and J. Evans, ‘‘Brans-Dicke wormholes in the Jordan and Einstein frames,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 823-828 (1998) [arXiv:0906.0181 [gr-qc]]. A. Bhattacharya, I. Nigmatzyanov, R. Izmailov and K. K. Nandi, ‘‘Brans-Dicke Wormhole Revisited,’’ *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 235017 (2009) [arXiv:0910.1109 [gr-qc]]. K. K. Nandi, A. Islam and J. Evans, ‘‘Brans wormholes,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 2497-2500 (1997) [arXiv:0906.0436 [gr-qc]]; E. F. Eiroa, M. G. Richarte and C. Simeone, ‘‘Thin-shell wormholes in Brans-Dicke gravity,’’ *Phys. Lett. A* **373**, 1-4 (2008) [erratum: *Phys. Lett.* **373**, 2399-2400 (2009)] [arXiv:0809.1623 [gr-qc]]; F. S. N. Lobo and M. A. Oliveira, ‘‘General class of vacuum Brans-Dicke wormholes,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 067501 (2010) [arXiv:1001.0995 [gr-qc]]. S. V. Sushkov and S. M. Kozyrev, ‘‘Composite vacuum Brans-Dicke wormholes,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 124026 (2011) [arXiv:1109.2273 [gr-qc]]; E. Papantonopoulos and C. Vlachos, ‘‘Wormhole solutions in modified Brans-Dicke theory,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.6, 064025 (2020) [arXiv:1912.04005 [gr-qc]].
- [11] M. G. Richarte and C. Simeone, ‘‘Wormholes in Einstein-Born-Infeld theory,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 104033 (2009) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 109903 (2010)] [arXiv:2006.12272 [gr-qc]]; N. M. Garcia and F. S. N. Lobo, ‘‘Wormhole geometries supported by a nonminimal curvature-matter coupling,’’

- Phys. Rev. D **82**, 104018 (2010) [arXiv:1007.3040 [gr-qc]]; N. Montelongo Garcia and F. S. N. Lobo, “Nonminimal curvature-matter coupled wormholes with matter satisfying the null energy condition,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 085018 (2011) [arXiv:1012.2443 [gr-qc]].
- [12] M. G. Richarte and C. Simeone, “Thin-shell wormholes supported by ordinary matter in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 087502 (2007) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 089903 (2008)] [arXiv:0710.2041 [gr-qc]]; P. Kanti, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, “Wormholes in Dilatonic Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 271101 (2011) [arXiv:1108.3003 [gr-qc]]; M. R. Mehdizadeh, M. Kord Zangeneh and F. S. N. Lobo, “Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet traversable wormholes satisfying the weak energy condition,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no.8, 084004 (2015) [arXiv:1501.04773 [gr-qc]]; M. Kord Zangeneh, F. S. N. Lobo and M. H. Dehghani, “Traversable wormholes satisfying the weak energy condition in third-order Lovelock gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.12, 124049 (2015) [arXiv:1510.07089 [gr-qc]]; G. Antoniou, A. Bakopoulos, P. Kanti, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, “Novel Einstein–scalar-Gauss-Bonnet wormholes without exotic matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.2, 024033 (2020) [arXiv:1904.13091 [hep-th]]. B. Bhawal and S. Kar, “Lorentzian wormholes in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 2464-2468 (1992) H. Maeda and M. Nozawa, “Static and symmetric wormholes respecting energy conditions in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 024005 (2008) [arXiv:0803.1704 [gr-qc]].
- [13] K. A. Bronnikov and A. M. Galiakhmetov, “Wormholes without exotic matter in Einstein–Cartan theory,” *Grav. Cosmol.* **21**, no.4, 283-288 (2015) [arXiv:1508.01114 [gr-qc]]; K. A. Bronnikov and A. M. Galiakhmetov, “Wormholes and black universes without phantom fields in Einstein-Cartan theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no.12, 124006 (2016) [arXiv:1607.07791 [gr-qc]]; M. R. Mehdizadeh and A. H. Ziaie, “Dynamic wormhole solutions in Einstein-Cartan gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.12, 124017 (2017) [arXiv:1709.09028 [gr-qc]]; G. Kofinas, E. Papantonopoulos and E. N. Saridakis, “Self-Gravitating Spherically Symmetric Solutions in Scalar-Torsion Theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no.10, 104034 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00365 [gr-qc]]; I. P. Lobo, M. G. Richarte, J. P. Morais Graça and H. Moradpour, “Thin-shell wormholes in Rastall gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, no.7, 550 (2020) [arXiv:2007.05641 [gr-qc]].
- [14] J. M. Maldacena and L. Maoz, “Wormholes in AdS,” *JHEP* **02**, 053 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0401024 [hep-th]].
- [15] R. V. Korolev and S. V. Sushkov, “Exact wormhole solutions with nonminimal kinetic coupling,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 124025 (2014) [arXiv:1408.1235 [gr-qc]].
- [16] R. Korolev, F. S. N. Lobo and S. V. Sushkov, “General constraints on Horndeski wormhole throats,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.12, 124057 (2020) [arXiv:2004.12382 [gr-qc]].
- [17] R. Korolev, F. S. N. Lobo and S. V. Sushkov, “Kinetic gravity braiding wormhole geometries,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.10, 104016 (2020) [arXiv:2009.04829 [gr-qc]].
- [18] C. Vlachos, E. Papantonopoulos and K. Destounis, “Echoes of Compact Objects in Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.4, 044042 (2021) [arXiv:2101.12196 [gr-qc]].
- [19] N. Chatzifotis, G. Koutsoumbas and E. Papantonopoulos, “Formation of Bound States of scalar fields in AdS-asymptotic Wormholes,” [arXiv:2011.08770 [gr-qc]].

- [20] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, “ $f(R)$ theories,” Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 3 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc]].
- [21] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, “A Class of viable modified $f(R)$ gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 046009 [arXiv:0712.4017 [hep-th]].
- [22] P. Zhang, “Testing $f(R)$ gravity against the large scale structure of the universe.,” Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 123504 [astro-ph/0511218].
- [23] B. Li and J. D. Barrow, “The Cosmology of $f(R)$ gravity in metric variational approach,” Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 084010 [gr-qc/0701111].
- [24] Y. S. Song, H. Peiris and W. Hu, “Cosmological Constraints on $f(R)$ Acceleration Models,” Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 063517 [arXiv:0706.2399 [astro-ph]].
- [25] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Modified $f(R)$ gravity unifying $R^{**}m$ inflation with Lambda CDM epoch,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 026007 [arXiv:0710.1738 [hep-th]].
- [26] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Unifying inflation with LambdaCDM epoch in modified $f(R)$ gravity consistent with Solar System tests,” Phys. Lett. B **657** (2007) 238 [arXiv:0707.1941 [hep-th]].
- [27] S. Capozziello, C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, “Cosmological perfect-fluids in $f(R)$ gravity,” Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **16** (2018) no.01, 1950008 [arXiv:1810.03204 [gr-qc]].
- [28] A. A. Starobinsky, “A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity,” Phys. Lett. B **91** (1980) 99 [Phys. Lett. **91B** (1980) 99] [Adv. Ser. Astrophys. Cosmol. **3** (1987) 130].
- [29] S. Capozziello, O. Farooq, O. Luongo and B. Ratra, “Cosmographic bounds on the cosmological deceleration-acceleration transition redshift in $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **90**, no.4, 044016 (2014) 5doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.044016 [arXiv:1403.1421 [gr-qc]].
- [30] M. Ostrogradsky, “Mémoires sur les équations différentielles, relatives au problème des isopérimètres,” Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg **6** (1850) no.4, 385.
- [31] R. P. Woodard, “Avoiding dark energy with $1/r$ modifications of gravity,” Lect. Notes Phys. **720** (2007) 403 [astro-ph/0601672].
- [32] L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, “Static Spherically Symmetric Solutions in $F(R)$ Gravity,” Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1591 (2011) [arXiv:1012.5230 [gr-qc]].
- [33] T. Multamaki and I. Vilja, “Spherically symmetric solutions of modified field equations in $f(R)$ theories of gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **74**, 064022 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0606373 [astro-ph]].
- [34] S. H. Hendi, “(2+1)-Dimensional Solutions in $F(R)$ Gravity,” Int. J. Theor. Phys. **53**, no.12, 4170-4181 (2014) [arXiv:1410.7527 [gr-qc]].
- [35] S. H. Hendi, B. Eslam Panah and R. Saffari, “Exact solutions of three-dimensional black holes: Einstein gravity versus $F(R)$ gravity,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, no.11, 1450088 (2014) [arXiv:1408.5570 [hep-th]].
- [36] T. Multamaki and I. Vilja, “Static spherically symmetric perfect fluid solutions in $f(R)$ theories of gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064021 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0612775 [astro-ph]].
- [37] G. G. L. Nashed and E. N. Saridakis, “New rotating black holes in non-linear Maxwell $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity,” [arXiv:2010.10422 [gr-qc]].

- [38] G. G. L. Nashed and S. Nojiri, “Analytic charged BHs in $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity,” [arXiv:2010.04701 [hep-th]].
- [39] G. G. L. Nashed and K. Bamba, “Charged spherically symmetric Taub–NUT black hole solutions in $f(R)$ gravity,” PTEP **2020**, no.4, 043E05 (2020) [arXiv:1902.08020 [gr-qc]].
- [40] G. G. L. Nashed and S. Capozziello, “Charged spherically symmetric black holes in $f(R)$ gravity and their stability analysis,” Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.10, 104018 (2019) [arXiv:1902.06783 [gr-qc]].
- [41] J. A. R. Cembranos, A. de la Cruz-Dombriz and P. Jimeno Romero, “Kerr-Newman black holes in $f(R)$ theories,” Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **11** (2014) 1450001 [arXiv:1109.4519 [gr-qc]].
- [42] A. de la Cruz-Dombriz, A. Dobado and A. L. Maroto, “Black Holes in $f(R)$ theories,” Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 124011 Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 029903] [arXiv:0907.3872 [gr-qc]].
- [43] S. H. Hendi, B. Eslam Panah and S. M. Mousavi, “Some exact solutions of $F(R)$ gravity with charged (a)dS black hole interpretation,” Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 835 (2012) [arXiv:1102.0089 [hep-th]].
- [44] E. F. Eiroa and G. Figueroa-Aguirre, “Thin shells in $(2+1)$ -dimensional $F(R)$ gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.4, 044011 (2021) [arXiv:2011.14952 [gr-qc]].
- [45] Z. Y. Tang, B. Wang and E. Papantonopoulos, “Exact charged black hole solutions in D -dimensions in $f(R)$ gravity,” Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, no.4, 346 (2021) [arXiv:1911.06988 [gr-qc]].
- [46] Z. Y. Tang, B. Wang, T. Karakasis and E. Papantonopoulos, “Curvature Scalarization of Black Holes in $f(R)$ Gravity,” [arXiv:2008.13318 [gr-qc]].
- [47] T. Karakasis, E. Papantonopoulos, Z. Y. Tang and B. Wang, “Black holes of $(2+1)$ -dimensional $f(R)$ gravity coupled to a scalar field,” Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.6, 064063 (2021) [arXiv:2101.06410 [gr-qc]].
- [48] T. Karakasis, E. Papantonopoulos, Z. Y. Tang and B. Wang, “Exact Black Hole Solutions with a Conformally Coupled Scalar Field and Dynamic Ricci Curvature in $f(R)$ Gravity Theories,” [arXiv:2103.14141 [gr-qc]].
- [49] S. Pi, Y. I. Zhang, Q. G. Huang and M. Sasaki, “Scaloron from R^2 -gravity as a heavy field,” JCAP **05**, 042 (2018) [arXiv:1712.09896 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [50] A. de la Cruz-Dombriz, E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov and D. Sáez-Gómez, JCAP **05**, 060 (2016) [arXiv:1603.05537 [gr-qc]].
- [51] F. S. N. Lobo and M. A. Oliveira, “Wormhole geometries in $f(R)$ modified theories of gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **80**, 104012 (2009) [arXiv:0909.5539 [gr-qc]].
- [52] C. Bejarano, E. F. Eiroa and G. Figueroa-Aguirre, “Thin-shell wormholes in $(2+1)$ -dimensional $F(R)$ theories,” [arXiv:2103.16482 [gr-qc]].
- [53] E. F. Eiroa and G. Figueroa Aguirre, “Thin-shell wormholes with charge in $F(R)$ gravity,” Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no.3, 132 (2016) [arXiv:1511.02806 [gr-qc]].
- [54] E. F. Eiroa and G. Figueroa Aguirre, “Thin-shell wormholes with a double layer in quadratic $F(R)$ gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **94**, no.4, 044016 (2016) [arXiv:1605.07089 [gr-qc]].
- [55] M. F. Shamir and I. Fayyaz, “Traversable wormhole solutions in $f(R)$ gravity via Karmarkar condition,” Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.12, 1102 (2020) [arXiv:2012.02582 [gr-qc]].
- [56] S. V. Chervon, J. C. Fabris and I. V. Fomin, “Black holes and wormholes in $f(R)$ gravity with a kinetic

- curvature scalar,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38**, no.11, 115005 (2021) [arXiv:2008.12143 [gr-qc]].
- [57] N. Godani and G. C. Samanta, “Traversable wormholes in $f(R)$ gravity with constant and variable redshift functions,” *New Astron.* **80**, 101399 (2020) [arXiv:2004.14209 [gr-qc]].
- [58] T. Tangphati, A. Chatrabhuti, D. Samart and P. Channuie, “Traversable wormholes in $f(R)$ -massive gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.8, 084026 (2020) [arXiv:2003.01544 [gr-qc]].
- [59] J. L. Rosa, J. P. S. Lemos and F. S. N. Lobo, “Wormholes in generalized hybrid metric-Palatini gravity obeying the matter null energy condition everywhere,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no.6, 064054 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.064054 [arXiv:1808.08975 [gr-qc]].
- [60] H. G. Ellis, “Ether flow through a drainhole - a particle model in general relativity,” *J. Math. Phys.* **14**, 104-118 (1973)
- [61] J. L. Blázquez-Salcedo, X. Y. Chew, J. Kunz and D. H. Yeom, “Ellis Wormholes in Anti-De Sitter Space,” [arXiv:2012.06213 [gr-qc]].
- [62] X. Y. Chew, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, “Geometry of Spinning Ellis Wormholes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no.10, 104031 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.104031 [arXiv:1608.05253 [gr-qc]].
- [63] X. Y. Chew, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, “Spinning Wormholes in Scalar-Tensor Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no.6, 064026 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.064026 [arXiv:1802.00365 [gr-qc]].
- [64] J. L. Blázquez-Salcedo, X. Y. Chew and J. Kunz, “Scalar and axial quasinormal modes of massive static phantom wormholes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no.4, 044035 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.044035 [arXiv:1806.03282 [gr-qc]].
- [65] P. Goulart, “Phantom wormholes in Einstein–Maxwell-dilaton theory,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35**, no.2, 025012 (2018) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aa9dfc [arXiv:1708.00935 [gr-qc]].
- [66] M. Visser, “Lorentzian wormholes: From Einstein to Hawking,” Woodbury, USA: AIP (1995) 412 p.
- [67] V. Faraoni, “Matter instability in modified gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 104017 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0610734 [astro-ph]].
- [68] V. Faraoni, “ $f(R)$ gravity: Successes and challenges,” [arXiv:0810.2602 [gr-qc]].
- [69] O. Bertolami and M. C. Sequeira, “Energy Conditions and Stability in $f(R)$ theories of gravity with non-minimal coupling to matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 104010 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4540 [gr-qc]].
- [70] M. Visser, S. Kar and N. Dadhich, “Traversable wormholes with arbitrarily small energy condition violations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 201102 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0301003 [gr-qc]].
- [71] F. Rahaman, M. Kalam and S. Chakraborty, “Thin shell wormholes in higher dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38**, 1687-1695 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0607061 [gr-qc]].
- [72] K. A. Bronnikov, “Scalar fields as sources for wormholes and regular black holes,” *Particles* **1**, no.1, 56-81 (2018) [arXiv:1802.00098 [gr-qc]].
- [73] H. Huang, H. Lü and J. Yang, “Bronnikov-like Wormholes in Einstein-Scalar Gravity,” [arXiv:2010.00197 [gr-qc]].
- [74] H. Huang and J. Yang, “Charged Ellis Wormhole and Black Bounce,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.12, 124063 (2019) [arXiv:1909.04603 [gr-qc]].

- [75] P. Cañate, J. Sultana and D. Kazanas, “Ellis wormhole without a phantom scalar field,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.6, 064007 (2019) [arXiv:1907.09463 [gr-qc]].
- [76] F. Rahaman, M. Kalam and K. A. Rahman, “Wormholes supported by Scalar Fields with Negative Kinetic Energy,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 5007-5018 (2009) doi:10.1142/S0217751X09046023 [arXiv:0811.2657 [gr-qc]].