

A note on derivatives, expansions and Π_1^1 -ranks

Udayan B. Darji and Felipe García-Ramos

Abstract

Π_1^1 -ranks are a natural tool for studying coanalytic sets in descriptive set theory. In [5], Kechris provided a technique to build Π_1^1 -ranks using derivatives. In this note we will prove a variant of this result that is applicable to the Γ -rank. Some dynamical ranks, like the entropy rank can be stated in terms of the Γ -rank.

1 Introduction

At the dawn of descriptive set theory, Lebesgue made an infamous mistake by assuming that the continuous projection of a Borel set was Borel. Suslin spotted this mistake 10 years later and began the study of *analytic* or Σ_1^1 sets. One of the tools which was developed later to understand the complexity of Borel sets and the difference between Borel and co-analytic sets were Π_1^1 -ranks. One of the most well known Π_1^1 -ranks is the Cantor-Bendixson rank. Kechris developed a very general set up where, using the concept of derivatives (or the dual version of expansions), one can prove that the Cantor-Bendixson rank, as well as several other natural ranks, are Π_1^1 (see Theorem 2.7)[5].

A natural rank that appears in dynamics as well as other areas of mathematics is the Γ -rank on product spaces. Though the Γ -rank can be stated in terms of expansions it does not fit exactly in the context of the dual of Theorem 2.7. In this note, we adapt the proof given in [5] in order to show that the Γ -rank is a Π_1^1 -rank. A concrete example of the Γ -rank is the entropy rank for topological dynamical systems that was introduced by Barbieri and the second author [1] to classify dynamical systems with completely positive entropy.

We note that, using effective descriptive set theory, Westrick recently proved that the entropy (or TCPE) rank is an effective Π_1^1 -rank [9, Corollary 2]. It is possible to transfer this result to the classic descriptive theory setting, nonetheless, the approach of this paper gives a direct proof using only classical descriptive set theory.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank Dominik Kwietniak, Sławomir Solecki and Linda Westrick for motivating conversations. The second author was supported by the CONACyT grant 287764.

2 Π_1^1 -ranks

In this section we present the necessary basic definitions and background results concerning Π_1^1 -ranks. We also prove a new result concerning Borel expansions.

Recall that a subset of a Polish space is **analytic** or Σ_1^1 if it is the continuous image of a Borel set of a Polish space. Complements of Σ_1^1 sets are Π_1^1 or **coanalytic**.

Definition 2.1 Let C be a set. A **rank** on C is a function $\varphi : C \rightarrow \omega_1$, where ω_1 is the set of countable ordinals. Associated with φ we have the relations $<_\varphi$ and \leq_φ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x <_\varphi y &\iff \varphi(x) < \varphi(y) \\ x \leq_\varphi y &\iff \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2 Let X be a Polish space, $C \subseteq X$ and $\varphi : C \rightarrow \omega_1$ a rank on C . We say that φ is a Π_1^1 -**rank** if C is Π_1^1 and there are relations $P, Q \subseteq X^2$, with one of them Σ_1^1 and the other Π_1^1 , such that for all $y \in C$ we have that

$$\{x \in C : \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)\} = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in P\} = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in Q\}.$$

Loosely speaking, φ is a Π_1^1 -rank if $\{x : \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)\}$ is "uniformly Borel in y ".

We will use the following reformulation of Π_1^1 -rank in our proof.

Proposition 2.3 [5, Exercise 34.3] Let X, C, φ as in Definition 2.2. Then, φ is a Π_1^1 -rank if and only if there are Σ_1^1 relations $P, Q \subseteq X^2$ such that for all $y \in C$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in C : \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)\} &= \{x \in X : (x, y) \in P\}, \text{ and} \\ \{x \in C : \varphi(x) < \varphi(y)\} &= \{x \in X : (x, y) \in Q\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following are fundamental results on Π_1^1 -ranks [5].

Theorem 2.4 Every Π_1^1 set admits a Π_1^1 -rank.

Theorem 2.5 Let C be a Π_1^1 set and φ be a Π_1^1 -rank on C . If $A \subseteq C$ is Σ_1^1 , then φ is bounded on A , i.e., there exists $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $\varphi(x) < \alpha$ for all $x \in A$. In particular,

$$C \text{ is Borel} \iff \varphi \text{ is bounded on } C.$$

We next recall the notion of derivatives and how it induces Π_1^1 -ranks in a natural way [5, Section 34.D]. Let $\mathcal{K}(X)$ denote the space of all compact subsets of X endowed with the Hausdorff metric.

Definition 2.6 A map $\mathbf{D} : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ is a **derivative** if the following holds:

$$\mathbf{D}(A) \subseteq A \quad \& \quad A \subseteq B \implies \mathbf{D}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{D}(B).$$

Derivatives appear in a variety of contexts and they induce Π_1^1 -ranks in a natural way. For a derivative \mathbf{D} , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^0(A) &= A \\ \mathbf{D}^{\alpha+1} &= \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}^\alpha(A)) \\ \mathbf{D}^\lambda(A) &= \bigcap_{\beta < \lambda} \mathbf{D}^\beta(A) \text{ if } \lambda \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{aligned}$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. Then, there exists a countable ordinal α such that $\mathbf{D}^\alpha = \mathbf{D}^{\alpha+1}$. Such an ordinal exists since in a separable metric space a chain of strictly decreasing sequence of closed sets must be countable. We let $|A|_{\mathbf{D}}$ be the least such α . Moreover, we let $\mathbf{D}^\infty(A) = \mathbf{D}^{|A|_{\mathbf{D}}}$, i.e., the stable part of A .

A useful classical Borel derivative is the Cantor-Bendixson derivative given by

$$A \rightarrow A'$$

where A' is the set of limit-points of A [5, Theorem 6.11]. The α^{th} Cantor-Bendixson derivative of A is denoted by A^α , $|A|_{CB}$ denotes least ordinal α such that $A^{\alpha+1} = A^\alpha$, and $A^\infty = A^{|A|_{CB}}$, i.e., the stable part of A .

The following is an important theorem which relates derivatives to Π_1^1 -ranks.

Theorem 2.7 [5, Theorem 34.10] *Let $\mathbf{D} : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ be a Borel derivative and*

$$C = \{A \in \mathcal{K}(X) : D^\infty(A) = \emptyset\}.$$

Then, C is Π_1^1 and $\varphi : C \rightarrow \omega_1$ defined by $\varphi(A) = |A|_{\mathbf{D}}$ is a Π_1^1 -rank on C .

A dual notion of derivatives is the concept of expansion.

Definition 2.8 *A map $\mathbf{E} : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ is an **expansion** means that*

$$A \subseteq \mathbf{E}(A) \quad \& \quad A \subseteq B \implies \mathbf{E}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{E}(B)$$

For an expansion \mathbf{E} , as earlier, we let

$$\mathbf{E}^0(A) = A$$

$$\mathbf{E}^{\alpha+1} = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}^\alpha(A))$$

$$\mathbf{E}^\lambda(A) = \overline{\cup_{\beta < \lambda} \mathbf{E}^\beta(A)} \text{ if } \lambda \text{ is a limit ordinal.}$$

We let $|A|_{\mathbf{E}}$ be the least such α such that $\mathbf{E}^{\alpha+1} = \mathbf{E}^\alpha(A)$. Moreover, we let $\mathbf{E}^\infty(A) = \mathbf{E}^{|A|_{\mathbf{E}}}$, i.e., the stable part of A .

For every expansion one can define a derivative (and vice-versa). Furthermore, one can formulate the above Theorem 2.7 in terms of expansions. We will prove a variant of this dual.

Theorem 2.9 *Let X be a compact metric space and \mathbf{E} be a Borel expansion on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and let*

$$C = \{A \in \mathcal{K}(X) : \mathbf{E}^\alpha(A) = X \text{ for some } \alpha\}.$$

Then, C is Π_1^1 and $\varphi : C \rightarrow \omega_1$ defined by $\varphi(A) = |A|_{\mathbf{E}}$ is a Π_1^1 -rank on C .

Before proving the theorem, let us show a specific instance of a Borel expansion, the Γ map.

Definition 2.10 *Let X be a compact metric space and $E \subseteq X^2$ a closed set. We define E^+ as the smallest equivalence relation that contains E and $\Gamma(E) = E^+$. For an ordinal α , $\Gamma^\alpha(E)$ is defined by*

$$\Gamma^\alpha(E) = \Gamma(\Gamma^{\alpha-1}(E))$$

if α is the successor ordinal and

$$\Gamma^\alpha(E) = \overline{\cup_{\beta < \alpha} \Gamma^\beta(E)}$$

if α is a limit ordinal.

Recall that in a topological space with countable basis, a chain of strictly increasing sequence of closed sets must be countable. From this we have the following.

Proposition 2.11 *Let X be a compact metrizable space and $E \subset X$. There exists a countable ordinal α such that $\Gamma^\alpha(E) = \Gamma^{\alpha+1}(E)$.*

The smallest ordinal that satisfies the statement in the previous proposition is called the Γ -rank of E .

Before proving that $\Gamma : K(X \times X) \rightarrow K(X \times X)$ we will prove a lemma.

Lemma 2.12 *Let X be a compact metrizable space, $\varphi_n : K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ be a Borel map, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varphi : K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ defined by*

$$\varphi(A) := \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(A)}$$

Then, φ is Borel.

Proof. Define $\psi_n : K(X) \rightarrow K(X)^n$ by

$$\psi_n(A) := (\varphi_1(A), \dots, \varphi_n(A)).$$

Then, ψ_n is Borel. Moreover, as the union map is continuous, we have that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(A)$ is Borel. Now φ is simply the pointwise limit of these maps and hence itself Borel. ■

Proposition 2.13 *Let X be a compact metric space. Then,*

$$\Gamma : K(X \times X) \rightarrow K(X \times X)$$

is a Borel map.

Proof. We first note that $A \rightarrow A^+$ is a continuous map. Define $\sim_n : K(X \times X) \rightarrow K(X \times X)$ by $\sim_n(A) := \{(x, y) : \exists x = x_0, \dots, x_n = y \text{ such that } (x_i, x_{i+1}) \in A \ \forall 0 \leq i < n\}$. We note that \sim_n is a continuous map. Hence, the map $A \rightarrow \sim_n(A^+)$ is continuous. Now we have that

$$\Gamma(A) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sim_n(A^+)}$$

is Borel by Lemma 2.12. ■

We now proceed to prove Theorem 2.9. We follow the general outline of [5, Theorem 34.10] adapted to this set up.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. It suffices to show that $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{E}}$ is a Π_1^1 -rank on $C \setminus \{X\}$.

We first show that C is Π_1^1 . As \mathbf{E} is Borel, $gr(\mathbf{E})$, the graph of E , is also Borel. Let Δ be the diagonal of $K(X) \setminus \{X\}$. Then, $gr(\mathbf{E}) \cap \Delta$ is Borel. As

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A \in K(X) : \mathbf{E}(A) = A \ \& \ A \neq X\}$$

is the 1-1 projection of the Borel set $gr(\mathbf{E}) \cap \Delta$, we have that \mathcal{F} is Borel. Hence,

$$\mathcal{G} = \{(A, B) \in K(X) \times K(X) : B \in \mathcal{F} \ \& \ A \subseteq B\}$$

is Borel as it is the intersection of two sets, one closed and the other Borel, namely,

$$\{(A, B) \in K(X) \times K(X) : A \subseteq B\} \quad K(X) \times \mathcal{F}.$$

As the projection of Borel sets are Σ_1^1 , and

$$A \notin C \iff (A, B) \in \mathcal{G} \text{ for some } B,$$

we have that $K(X) \setminus C$ is Σ_1^1 , or, equivalently, C is Π_1^1 .

We proceed to construct required Σ_1^1 sets as in Proposition 2.3. In order to do this we need a variant of a standard combinatorial Π_1^1 set as in the proof of [5, Theorem 34.10]. We recall the basic terminology.

For $x \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ we let

$$D^*(x) = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : x(m, m) = 1\}$$

and we define

$$m \leq_x^* n \Leftrightarrow [m, n \in D^*(x) \ \& \ x(m, n) = 1].$$

We let \mathbf{LO}^* be the set of all $x \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ such that \leq_x^* is a linear order, $0 \in D^*(x)$ and $0 \leq_x^* m$ for all $m \in D^*(x)$ and let \mathbf{WF}^* be the set of all $x \in \mathbf{LO}^*$ such that \leq_x^* is a wellordering. It is known that \mathbf{LO}^* is a closed subset of $2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and \mathbf{WF}^* is a Π_1^1 -complete subset of $2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and $x \mapsto |x|^*$ is a Π_1^1 -rank on \mathbf{WF}^* where $|x|^*$ is the order type of $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$. Moreover, the range of \mathbf{WF}^* is $\omega_1 \setminus \{0\}$.

We next show that it suffices to construct Σ_1^1 subsets \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} of $\mathbf{LO}^* \times K(X)$ which satisfy the following properties:

$$\forall A \in C \setminus \{X\}, \quad \{x \in \mathbf{LO}^* : (x, A) \in \mathcal{R}\} = \{x \in \mathbf{WF}^* : |x|^* \leq |A|_{\mathbb{E}}\} \quad (\text{R})$$

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{WF}^*, \quad \{A \in K(X) : (x, A) \in \mathcal{S}\} = \{A \in C : |x|^* = |A|_{\mathbb{E}}\}. \quad (\text{S})$$

Indeed, let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(A, B) \in K(X)^2 : \exists x \in \mathbf{LO}^* \text{ such that } (x, B) \in \mathcal{R} \ \& \ (x, A) \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Then, \mathcal{P} is Σ_1^1 and for all $B \in C \setminus \{X\}$ we have that

$$\{A \in C \setminus \{X\} : |A|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq |B|_{\mathbb{E}}\} = \{A \in K(X) : (A, B) \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Indeed, the containment \subseteq of the above equality is clear. To see the containment \supseteq , let $(A, B) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $x \in \mathbf{LO}^*$ be such that $(x, B) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(x, A) \in \mathcal{S}$. Applying Condition (R) to our set $B \in C \setminus \{X\}$, we have that $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$ and $|x|^* \leq |B|_{\mathbb{E}}$. As $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$ and $(x, A) \in \mathcal{S}$, by Condition (S) we have that $A \in C$ and $|x|^* = |A|_{\mathbb{E}}$. As $|x|^* > 0$, we have that $A \neq X$. Hence, we have that $A \in C \setminus \{X\}$ with $|A|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq |B|_{\mathbb{E}}$.

In order to obtain \mathcal{Q} , we choose a Borel function $x \mapsto x'$ from \mathbf{LO}^* to \mathbf{LO}^* such that $|x'|^* = |x|^* + 1$ and $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$ iff $x' \in \mathbf{WF}^*$. We let

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{(A, B) \in K(X)^2 : \exists x \in \mathbf{LO}^* \text{ such that } (x', B) \in \mathcal{R} \ \& \ (x, A) \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

As $x \mapsto x'$ is Borel, we have that \mathcal{Q} is Σ_1^1 . Moreover for all $B \in C \setminus \{X\}$ we have that

$$\{A \in C \setminus \{X\} : |A|_{\mathbb{E}} < |B|_{\mathbb{E}}\} = \{A \in K(X) : (A, B) \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

Indeed, the containment \subseteq of the above equality is clear. To see the containment \supseteq , let $(A, B) \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $x \in \mathbf{LO}^*$ be such that $(x', B) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(x, A) \in \mathcal{S}$. Applying Condition (R) to our set $B \in C \setminus \{X\}$, we have that $x' \in \mathbf{WF}^*$ and $|x'|^* \leq |B|_{\mathbb{E}}$. As $x' \in \mathbf{WF}^*$, we have that $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$. Now, as $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$ and $(x, A) \in \mathcal{S}$, by Condition (S) we have that $A \in C$ and $|x|^* = |A|_{\mathbb{E}}$. As $|x|^* > 0$, we have that $A \neq X$. Hence, we have that $A \in C \setminus \{X\}$ with $|A|_{\mathbb{E}} = |x|^* < |x'|^* \leq |B|_{\mathbb{E}}$, i.e., $|A|_{\mathbb{E}} < |B|_{\mathbb{E}}$.

By Proposition 2.3, we have that $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{E}}$ is a Π_1^1 -rank on $C \setminus \{K\}$, provided that we construct Σ_1^1 sets \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S} with the required properties.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \{ & (x, A) \in \mathbf{LO}^* \times K(X) : \exists h \in K(X)^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ s.t. } h(0) = A, \\ & \& \forall m \in D^*(x), h(m) \neq X \\ & \& m \in D^*(x) \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow \overline{\cup_{n <_x^* m} \mathbf{E}(h(n))} \subseteq h(m)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = \{ & (x, A) \in \mathbf{LO}^* \times K(X) : \exists h \in K(X)^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ s.t. } h(0) = A, \\ & \& \forall m \in D^*(x), h(m) \neq X \\ & \& m \in D^*(x) \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow \overline{\cup_{n <_x^* m} \mathbf{E}(h(n))} \subseteq h(m) \\ & \& \overline{\cup_{m \in D^*(x)} \mathbf{E}(h(m))} = X \} \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.12 we have that $\overline{\cup}_n : K(X)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow K(X)$ defined by $\overline{\cup}_n(A_n) = \overline{\cup_n(A_n)}$ is Borel. This fact together with the standard quantifier counting technique imply that \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are Σ_1^1 .

Let us now observe that \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} satisfies Conditions (R) and (S), respectively.

To see that \mathcal{R} satisfies Condition (R), let $A \in C \setminus \{X\}$. That containment \supseteq holds in Condition (R) follows directly from the definition of \mathcal{R} . For the containment \subseteq , we note that if $m > 0$ is in $D^*(x)$, then for some $\alpha < |A|_{\mathbb{E}}$ we have that $\overline{\cup_{n <_x^* m} \mathbf{E}(h(n))} \not\subseteq \mathbf{E}^{\alpha+1}(A)$. This is so, for otherwise, for all $\alpha < |A|_{\mathbb{E}}$ we would have that $\mathbf{E}^{\alpha+1}(A) \subseteq \overline{\cup_{n <_x^* m} \mathbf{E}(h(n))} \subseteq h(m) \neq X$, implying that $\mathbf{E}^{\infty}(A) = \overline{\cup_{\alpha < |A|_{\mathbb{E}}} \mathbf{E}^{\alpha+1}(A)} \neq X$ and that $A \notin C$. Now we define f from $<_x^*$ into $|A|_{\mathbb{E}}$. Define $f(0) = 0$ and for $m \in D^*(x) \setminus \{0\}$, let

$$f(m) = \text{the least } \alpha < |A|_{\mathbb{E}} \text{ such that } \overline{\cup_{n <_x^* m} \mathbf{E}(h(n))} \not\subseteq \mathbf{E}^{\alpha+1}(A).$$

It suffices to show that f is order preserving, i.e., $m <_x^* p$ implies $f(m) < f(p)$. Indeed, this would imply that $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$ and $|x|_* \leq |A|_{\mathbb{E}}$. Finally, to see that f is order preserving, let $m <_x^* p$, $m \neq 0$. Then,

$$\mathbf{E}^{f(m)}(A) = \overline{\cup_{\alpha < f(m)} \mathbf{E}^{\alpha+1}(A)} \subseteq \overline{\cup_{n <_x^* m} \mathbf{E}(h(n))}.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{E}^{f(m)}(A) \subseteq h(m)$, implying that $\mathbf{E}^{f(m)+1}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{E}(h(m))$. As $m <_x^* p$, we have that $\mathbf{E}^{f(m)+1}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{E}(h(m)) \subseteq \overline{\cup_{q <_x^* p} \mathbf{E}(h(q))}$, implying that $f(q) \geq f(m) + 1$ and exhibiting that f is order preserving.

To see that \mathcal{S} satisfies Condition (S), let $x \in \mathbf{WF}^*$. That containment \supseteq holds in Condition (S) follows directly from the definition of \mathcal{S} . That containment \subseteq holds follows from our order preserving function f from $<_x^*$ into $|A|_{\mathbb{E}}$ and the fact that $\overline{\cup_{m \in D^*(x)} \mathbf{E}(h(m))} = X$. ■

3 Application: entropy rank

A set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has **positive density** if $\liminf_n \frac{|I \cap [1, n]|}{n} > 0$.

We say (X, T) is a **topological dynamical system (TDS)** if X is a compact metrizable space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous function. We say (X_2, T_2) is a **factor** of (X_1, T_1) if there exists a surjective continuous function $\phi : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ (called a **factor map**) such that $\phi \circ T_1 = T_2 \circ \phi$.

Given a TDS (X, T) and $\{U, V\} \subset X$, we say $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ is an **independence set for** $\{U, V\}$ if for all finite $J \subseteq I$, and for all $(Y_j) \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}$, we have that

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} T^{-j}(Y_j) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let (X, T) be a TDS and \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} open covers of X . We denote the smallest cardinality of a subcover of \mathcal{U} with $N(\mathcal{U})$, and

$$\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V} = \{U \cap V : U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

We define the **entropy of (X, T) with respect to \mathcal{U}** as

$$h_{\text{top}}(X, T, \mathcal{U}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N(\bigvee_{m=1}^n T^{-m}(\mathcal{U})).$$

The **(topological) entropy of (X, T)** is defined as

$$h_{\text{top}}(X, T) = \sup_{\mathcal{U}} h_{\text{top}}(T, \mathcal{U}).$$

Definition 3.1 A TDS has **complete positive entropy (CPE)** if every non-trivial factor has positive entropy.

Let X be a compact metrizable space and $C(X, X)$ be the set of all continuous functions from X into X endowed with the uniform topology. We will now define a subspace of $C(X, X)$.

Definition 3.2 Given a compact metrizable space X we define

$$CPE(X) = \{T \in C(X, X) : (X, T) \text{ has CPE}\}.$$

Local entropy theory was initiated in [2]. For more information see the survey [4] or the book [7].

Definition 3.3 Let (X, T) be a TDS. We say that $[x_1, x_2] \in X \times X$ is an **independence entropy pair (IE-pair) of (X, T)** if for every pair of open sets A_1, A_2 , with $x_1 \in A_1$ and $x_2 \in A_2$, there exists an independence set for $\{A_1, A_2\}$ with positive density. The set of IE-pairs of (X, T) will be denoted by $E(X, T)$.

We are particularly interested in studying the Γ -rank in the case when $E = E(X, T)$ is the set of independence entropy pairs.

Definition 3.4 Let (X, T) be a TDS. The Γ -rank of the set of entropy pairs is called the **entropy rank of (X, T)** .

An equivalent statement of the following result was proved in [2] (also see [7, Theorem 12.30]).

Theorem 3.5 A TDS has CPE if and only if $\Gamma^\alpha(E(X, T)) = X^2$ where α is the entropy rank of (X, T) .

The following proposition was proved in [3]. We give a proof for completeness.

Proposition 3.6 Consider the mapping $E : C(X, X) \rightarrow K(X \times X)$ given by $E(T) = E(X, T)$. Then, E is a Borel map.

Proof. Let U, V be open in X . We first observe that

$$\{T \in C(X, X) : E(T) \cap (U \times V) \neq \emptyset\} \quad (\dagger)$$

is Borel. Indeed, using an equivalent definition of independence given in [6, Lemma 3.2] we have that \dagger is satisfied by T if and only if there is a rational number $r > 0$ such that for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $|I| \geq l$ and a finite set $F \subseteq I$ with $|F| \geq r|I|$ such that F is an independent set for (U, V) . It is easy to verify that for fixed U, V, r, l, I, F set

$$\{T \in C(X, X) : F \text{ is an independent set for } (U, V) \text{ for } T\} \quad (\ddagger)$$

is open. Now the set in \dagger is the result of a sequence of countable union and countable intersections of sets of type \ddagger . Hence, \dagger is Borel. Since X has a countable basis, by taking unions, we have that \dagger is Borel when $U \times V$ is replaced by any open set $W \subseteq X \times X$. Every closed set in $X \times X$ is the monotonic intersection of a sequence of open sets in $X \times X$. This and the fact that $E(T)$ is closed imply that \dagger is Borel when $U \times V$ is replaced by a closed set $C \subseteq X \times X$. Reformulating the last statement, we have that for all open $W \in X \times X$, the set

$$\{T \in C(X, X) : E(T) \subseteq W\} \quad (\diamond)$$

is Borel. Putting \dagger and \diamond together, we have that

$$\{T \in C(X, X) : E(T) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n (U_i \times V_i) \ \& \ E(T) \cap (U_i \times V_i) \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is Borel whenever $U_1, \dots, U_n, V_1, \dots, V_n$ are open in X , completing proof. ■

Theorem 3.7 *Let X be a compact metrizable space. Then, $\varphi : C(X, X) \rightarrow \omega_1$ defined by $\varphi(T) = |E(X, T)|_\Gamma$ is a Π_1^1 -rank on $C(X, X)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.13, we have that Γ is Borel. Setting $\mathbf{E} = \Gamma$ in Theorem 2.9, we have that the map that takes $A \in K(X \times X)$ to $|A|_\Gamma$ is a Π_1^1 -rank on the set $C' = \{A \in K(X \times X) : \Gamma^{|A|_\Gamma}(A) = X\}$. By the definition of Π_1^1 rank, there are sets $P, Q \in K(X \times X)^2$, as in the Definition 2.2, which verify that $|\cdot|_\Gamma$ is a Π_1^1 rank on C' . By Lemma 3.6, we have that E is Borel and hence $(E \times E)^{-1}(P)$ and $(E \times E)^{-1}(Q)$, are Σ_1^1 , and Π_1^1 in $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$, respectively. This and the fact that $E^{-1}(C') = C$, we have that $(E \times E)^{-1}(P)$ and $(E \times E)^{-1}(Q)$ exhibit that φ is a Π_1^1 -rank on C . ■

Examples of TDSs with CPE and arbitrarily high entropy rank have been constructed in [1, 8, 3].

References

- [1] Sebastián Barbieri and Felipe García-Ramos. A hierarchy of topological systems with completely positive entropy. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, in press*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11854-021-0167-2>, 2021.
- [2] François Blanchard. A disjointness theorem involving topological entropy. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 121(4):465–478, 1993.
- [3] Udayan B. Darji and Felipe García-Ramos. Local entropy theory and descriptive complexity. *arXiv:2107.09263*.

- [4] Eli Glasner and Xiangdong Ye. Local entropy theory. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 29(2):321, 2009.
- [5] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [6] David Kerr and Hanfeng Li. Independence in topological and C^* -dynamics. *Math. Ann.*, 338(4):869–926, 2007.
- [7] David Kerr and Hanfeng Li. *Ergodic theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2016.
- [8] Ville Salo. Entropy pair realization. *arXiv:1904.01285*.
- [9] Linda Westrick. Topological completely positive entropy is no simpler in \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs. *arXiv:1904.11444*.