

# Remarks on the geodesically completeness and the smoothing effect on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes

Kouichi Taira\*

## Abstract

In this note, we study a geometric property of asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes and an analytic property of the Klein-Gordon operator. Precisely, our first main results show that asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes are geodesically complete under a null non-trapping condition. Secondly, we prove that Sobolev index of a real principal type estimate used in the previous work is actually optimal.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $g_0$  be a Minkowski metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $g_0^{-1}$  be its dual metric:

$$g_0 = -dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_{n+1}^2, \quad g_0^{-1} = -\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_{n+1}}^2 = (g_0^{ij})_{i,j=1}^n,$$

where we denote

$$x = (t, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

that is,  $t$  is the time variable and  $y$  is the space variable in the spacetime. We denote  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and introduce the function space

$$S^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) := \{a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid |\partial_x^\alpha a(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{k-|\alpha|}\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Definition 1.** A Lorentzian metric  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is called asymptotically Minkowski if the inverse matrix  $g^{-1}(x) = (g^{jk}(x))_{j,k=1}^n$  of  $g(x)$  satisfies  $g^{jk} - g_0^{jk} \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  for some  $\mu > 0$ .

The Feynman propagator, which is an inverse of  $-\square_g$  and satisfies a certain wavefront condition, is a fundamental object in Quantum field theory. In [5], [6], [9], [10] and [20], the Feynman propagator is constructed on various spacetimes including asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes. In [19], it is proved that on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes, the (anti-)Feynman propagator constructed in [9] and [10] coincides with the outgoing resolvent of the Klein-Gordon operator. Such identity should hold since for the exact

---

\*e-mail:ktaira@fc.ritsumei.ac.jp

Minkowski spacetime, the Feynman propagator is defined by the outgoing resolvent in physics literatures. See also the review article [11].

In this short note, we give supplementary results on the geometry and the property of the Klein-Gordon operator on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes: One is a result on the completeness of asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes and the other is a result on the optimality of the local smoothing estimate used in [19].

## 1.1 First result

It is a classical question how the essential self-adjointness of the pseudodifferential operator is related to the completeness of the associated Hamilton flow ([17, Vol II], [2]). In the case of the Laplace operator  $P$  on a semi-Riemannian manifold  $M$ , this corresponds to a relation between the essential self-adjointness of  $P$  and the geodesically completeness of  $M$ . It is well-known that on the geodesically complete Riemannian manifold, the Laplace operator is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_c^\infty$ . In [2] and references therein, such a relation is studied for more general Schrödinger type operators on complete Riemannian manifolds.

In [3], it is shown that the completeness of the Hamilton flow is equivalent to the essential self-adjointness on generic closed Lorentzian surfaces. The authors in [3] conjecture that the completeness of the Hamilton flow implies the essential self-adjointness for non-elliptic operators on closed manifold. In [19], this conjecture is solved for general real principal type operators on one-dimensional torus. As a related result, in [13], the author gives an example of a Lorentzian manifold  $(M, g)$  which is geodesically complete and globally hyperbolic, but the Laplace operator associated with  $g$  is not essentially self-adjoint.

Recently, it is shown in [20] and [16] that the wave operator on an asymptotically Minkowski spacetime is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_c^\infty$  under a null non-trapping condition. However, on this manifold, a relation to the geodesically completeness was not revealed. In this note, we show that a null non-trapping condition implies the completeness on this manifold, including timelike and spacelike completeness.

We introduce a non-trapping condition which is a bit weaker than the condition in [9], [10], [16] and [19] in the sense that the completeness of the null geodesics are not assumed here.

**Definition 2.** [1, Definition 11.17] Let  $(M, g)$  be a Lorentzian manifold. We say that a maximally extended geodesic is forward (resp. backward) non-trapping if for each  $t_0 \in (-T_0, T_1)$ ,  $\gamma|_{(t_0, T_1)}$  (resp.  $\gamma|_{(-T_0, t_0]}$ ) fails to have compact closure. We say that  $\gamma$  is non-trapping if  $\gamma$  is both forward and backward non-trapping. The Lorentzian manifold  $(M, g)$  is called null non-trapping (or null disprisoning) if all non-constant maximally extended null geodesic is non-trapping.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g)$  is asymptotically Minkowski and null non-trapping in the sense of Definition 2. Then  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g)$  is geodesically complete.*

## 1.2 Second result

Next, we consider the exact Minkowski spacetime  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g_0)$  and the operator

$$P = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_y.$$

It is well-known that  $P$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . We denote the unique self-adjoint extension of  $P$  by its same symbol. In [19, Proposition 3.2], it is proved that the resolvent  $(P - i)^{-1}$  has the following mapping property:

$$(P - i)^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

This mapping property plays a crucial role for the proof of the limiting absorption principle in [19] on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes. On the other hand, the radial estimate ([4, Propositions A.3, A.4], [19, Theorem A.3], [20, (2), (3)]) and the propagation of singularities imply

$$(P - i)^{-1} : \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Namely, (1.2) states that if  $f$  has an additional decay (compared with the case (1.1)), then its image  $(P - i)^{-1}f$  gains a better regularity. So a natural question is whether the Sobolev index  $\frac{1}{2}$  in (1.1) is optimal or not. In this note, we give an affirmative answer to this question.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For the Minkowski spacetime  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g_0)$ , for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  such that  $(P - i)^{-1}f \notin H_{loc}^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ .*

Usual real principal type estimates are used for proving existence of a local solution ([12, Theorem 26.1.7]). The estimates like (1.1) and (1.2) might be regarded as global versions of the real principal type estimates. Theorem (1.2) states that a global analogue of the usual real principal type estimates with Sobolev index 1 does not hold if we impose  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  only. We note that Sobolev index  $\frac{1}{2}$  in (1.1) is same as the local smoothing effects for time-dependent Schrödinger equations (see also [19, §1.2]).

### 1.3 Organization and notation

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the proof of the geodesically completeness is given. In Section 3, we show the optimality of the mapping property (1.1). Moreover, in the Appendix, we give a short proof of (1.1) and (1.2) on the exact Minkowski spacetime.

We fix some notations. We denote  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We use the Sobolev spaces:  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \langle D \rangle^{-k} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  by  $\mathcal{F}f(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} f(y) dy$ . For a Banach space  $X$ , we denote the norm of  $X$  by  $\|\cdot\|_X$ . If  $X$  is a Hilbert space, we write the inner metric of  $X$  by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$  is linear with respect to the right variable. For a Lorentzian manifold  $(M, g)$ , we say that  $v \in T_p M$  is a null vector if  $g_p(v, v) = 0$ .

## 2 Geodesically completeness of the Asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes

### 2.1 Completeness of trapped geodesics

In this subsection, we discuss completeness of non-null trapped geodesics. The following lemma is possibly well-known, however, the author cannot find a suitable reference. Hence we give a proof here.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose a manifold  $M$  satisfies the second axiom of countability. Suppose that a Lorentzian manifold  $(M, g)$  is null non-trapping and  $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$  is a maximally extended forward (resp. backward) trapped non-null geodesic, that is,  $g(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) \neq 0$  and  $\gamma([0, b)) \subset K$  (resp.  $\gamma((a, 0]) \subset K$ ) with a compact set  $K \subset M$ . Then  $\gamma$  is forward complete (resp. backward complete) in the sense that  $b = \infty$  (resp.  $a = \infty$ ).*

*Proof.* We consider the forward case only. Since  $M$  satisfies the second axiom of countability, there exists a complete Riemannian metric on  $M$  (see [15]). We fix a complete Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$ . Let

$$SM = \{(x, v) \in TM \mid h(v, v) = 1\}$$

be the sphere bundle associated with  $h$ . Since  $\gamma$  is trapped, there exists  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\gamma([0, b)) \subset K$  for a compact set  $K \subset M$ .

Set

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{h(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \gamma'(t) \in S_{\gamma(t)}M.$$

Let  $\varphi_t$  be the geodesic flow on  $TM$  and  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  be the natural projection. Since  $(\gamma(t), v(t)) \in SM|_K := SM \cap \pi^{-1}(K)$  and  $SM|_K$  is compact, there exist a sequence  $\{t_i\}_i$  and  $(q, v) \in SM|_K$  such that  $t_i \rightarrow b$  and  $(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) \rightarrow (q, v) \in SM$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall show that  $v$  is not null. We suppose  $v$  is a null vector. Then the null non-trapping condition implies the existence of  $T > 0$  such that  $\varphi_t(q, v)$  exists for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and  $\varphi_T(q, v) \notin SM|_K$ . By the continuous dependence of initial datas of ODE, we have  $\varphi_T(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) \rightarrow \varphi_T(q, v)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular,  $\varphi_T(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) \notin SM|_K$ . This contradicts to

$$\varphi_T(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) = \varphi_{\frac{T}{h(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}}}(\gamma(t_i), \gamma'(t_i)) \in SM|_K.$$

Thus it follows that  $v$  is a not null vector.

Suppose  $\gamma$  is not forward complete. Then we have  $b < \infty$  and

$$0 \neq g(v, v) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g(v(t_i), v(t_i)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))}{h(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))}.$$

Notice that  $g(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))$  is non zero constant. Thus we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i)) = \frac{g(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))}{g(v, v)} < \infty$$

Since  $\gamma([0, b)) \subset K$  and  $h$  is complete Riemannian metric, it contradicts to  $b < \infty$  and to [8, Proposition 2.1].  $\square$

## 2.2 Completeness of non-trapping orbits

In the following of this section, we assume  $g$  is an asymptotically Minkowski metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We set  $p(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j$ . Let  $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$  denote the solution to the Hamilton equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} y(t, x, \xi) = \partial_{\xi} p(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi)), & \begin{cases} y(0, x, \xi) = x, \\ \eta(0, x, \xi) = \xi. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

It is well-known that  $t \mapsto y(t, x, \xi)$  is a geodesic on the Lorentzian manifold  $(M, g)$  with the initial value  $x$  and the initial velocity  $g(x)^{-1}\xi$ . The following lemma follows from a direct calculation. See [16, Lemma A.1] for a proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exist  $M > 0$  and  $R_0 > 1$  such that*

$$H_p^2(|x|^2) \geq M|\xi|^2$$

for any  $(x, \xi) \in \{(y, \eta) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \mid |y| > R_0, |\eta| \neq 0\}$ .

We also mention a result on an extension of solutions to the Hamilton equation.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Suppose that the solution  $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$  to (2.1) exists for a time interval  $(T_0, T_1)$  and that there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$|\eta(t, x, \xi)| \leq C \quad \text{for } t \in (T_0, T_1).$$

*Then the solution  $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$  can be extended to a time interval beyond  $(T_0, T_1)$ .*

*Proof.* We note  $|\partial_\xi p(x, \xi)| \leq C'|\xi|$  with a constant  $C' > 0$ . By the assumption and the Hamilton equation, we have  $|y(t, x, \xi)| \leq |x| + CC'|t|$ . Thus  $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$  stays a fixed compact set for  $t \in (T_0, T_1)$ . A standard theory of ODE gives our conclusion.  $\square$

Now we show that every non-trapping orbits are complete on the asymptotically Minkowski spacetiems. The following proposition is proved by a slight modification of the proof in [16], which follows the strategy in [14].

**Proposition 2.4.** *Fix  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\xi_0 \neq 0$  and suppose that  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is forward (resp. backward) non-trapping in the sense that there exists  $T \in (0, \infty]$  (resp.  $[-\infty, 0)$ ) such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T, t < T} |y(t, x_0, \xi_0)| = \infty \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow T, t > T} |y(t, x_0, \xi_0)| = \infty)$$

*Then, there exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$C_1 \leq |\eta(t, x_0, \xi_0)| \leq C_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < T \quad (\text{resp. } -T < t \leq 0).$$

*Moreover, it follows that  $|T| = \infty$  and that the orbit  $(y(t, x_0, \xi_0), \eta(t, x_0, \xi_0))$  is forward (resp. backward) complete.*

*Proof.* We consider the forward case only. We write  $y(t) = y(t, x_0, \xi_0)$  and  $\eta(t) = \eta(t, x_0, \xi_0)$ . Let  $R_0$  be as in Lemma 2.2, and we let  $R_1 \geq R_0$  which is determined later. We first note that by the forward non-trapping condition and Lemma 2.2, there exists  $0 \leq t_0 < T$  such that for  $t_0 \leq t < T$ , we have

$$|y(t)| \geq R_1, \quad \frac{d}{dt}|y(t)|^2 \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that there are  $0 < s_0 < t_0 < T$  such that  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|y(t)|^2 > 0$  for  $t \geq s_0$ , and  $\frac{d}{dt}|y(t_0)|^2 > 0$ . Then for all  $t_0 \leq t < T$ , the condition (2.2) is satisfied.

Take a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$|\partial_x p(x, \xi)| \leq C_0 |x|^{-1-\mu} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1.$$

We write  $\eta_0 = |\eta(t_0)|$  and  $T_1 := \sup\{s \in [t_0, T] \mid \frac{1}{2}\eta_0 \leq |\eta(t)|\}$ . By Lemma 2.2 and (2.2), we have

$$|y(t)|^2 \geq R_1^2 + \frac{M\eta_0^2}{8}(t - t_0)^2 \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < T_1.$$

Since  $R_1 \geq 1$ , the Hamilton equation gives  $|\eta'(t)| \leq C_0 |y(t)|^{-1-\mu} |\eta(t)|^2$  for  $t_0 \leq t < T_1$  and hence

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} |\eta(t)|^{-1} \right| \leq C_0 \left( R_1^2 + \frac{M\eta_0^2}{8}(t - t_0)^2 \right)^{-\frac{1+\mu}{2}} \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < T_1.$$

Thus, for  $t_0 \leq t < T_1$ , we obtain

$$|\eta_0^{-1} - |\eta(t)|^{-1}| \leq C_0 \int_{t_0}^t \left( R_1^2 + \frac{M\eta_0^2}{8}(s - t_0)^2 \right)^{-\frac{1+\mu}{2}} ds \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}C_0 C_\mu}{R_1^\mu M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \eta_0^{-1}$$

where  $C_\mu = \int_0^\infty (1 + s^2)^{-\frac{1+\mu}{2}} ds$ . Taking  $R_1$  large enough, we have  $\frac{2}{3}\eta_0 \leq |\eta(t)| \leq \frac{4}{3}\eta_0$  for  $t_0 \leq t < T_1$ . By the definition of  $T_1$ , we obtain  $T_1 = T$ . Moreover, by Lemma 2.3 and the inequality  $\frac{2}{3}\eta_0 \leq |\eta(t)| \leq \frac{4}{3}\eta_0$  for  $t_0 \leq t < T = T_1$ , we conclude  $T = \infty$ .  $\square$

### 2.3 Proof of the first main result

Now we prove our first main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Proposition 2.4, any non-trapping geodesics are complete. Due to the null non-trapping condition, it suffices to prove that all trapped non-null geodesics are complete. The completeness of trapped non-null geodesics follow from Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 3 Optimality for the local smoothing estimate

In this section, we assume that  $g = g_0$  is the Minkowski metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$ .

### 3.1 An explicit formula of the resolvent $(P - i)^{-1}$

We recall  $P = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_y$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . First, we calculate an explicit formula of the resolvent  $(P - i)^{-1}$ . A similar formula also appears in the proof of [4, Theorem C.1]. To do this, at first, we shall calculate the imaginary part of the symbol of  $\sqrt{-\Delta_y - i}$ . Set

$$A := \sqrt{-\Delta_y + i} = A_1 - iA_2 \quad A_1, A_2 : \text{self-adjoint}$$

with  $A_2 \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , set  $a = \sqrt{|\eta|^2 - i} = r e^{i\theta}$  with  $\text{Im } a \leq 0$ . Then we have

$$r = (|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \text{Im } a = -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{|\eta|^4 + 1} + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

In particular,  $C^{-1}\langle \eta \rangle \leq |a| \leq C\langle \eta \rangle$  and  $C^{-1}\langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \leq |\text{Im } a| \leq C\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}$  with a constant  $C > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a^2 = |\eta|^2 - i$ , we have  $r^2 = |a|^2 = |a^2| = ||\eta|^2 - i| = (|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , which implies  $r = (|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . Moreover, it follows that  $r^2 \cos 2\theta + i r^2 \sin 2\theta = r^2 e^{2i\theta} = |\eta|^2 - i$  and

$$\cos 2\theta = \frac{|\eta|^2}{(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \sin 2\theta = \frac{-1}{(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \sin^2 \theta = \frac{1}{2(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\eta|^2}.$$

This calculation with  $\text{Im } a \leq 0$  implies

$$\text{Im } a = r \sin \theta = -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\eta|^2}.$$

□

We write

$$a_1 = \text{Re } a, \quad a_2 = -\text{Im } a, \quad A_1 = a_1(D_\eta), \quad A_2 = a_2(D_\eta).$$

Since  $C^{-1}\langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \leq |\text{Im } a| \leq C\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}$ , we have

$$C^{-1}\|u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \leq \|A_2^{-k}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  with a constant  $C > 0$ .

Now we calculate an expression of the resolvent  $(P - i)^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P - i)^{-1}f(t, y) &= \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds - \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{+\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds \\ &= \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i|t-s|A} f(s, y) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Set  $Rf(t, y) = \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i|t-s|A} f(s, y) ds$ . Since  $P$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ , it suffices to prove that  $(P - i)Rf = f$  for  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  and that  $R$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . The identity  $(P - i)Rf = f$  follows from a direct calculation. Hence we shall prove that  $R$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . Set  $a_2(\eta) = -\text{Im } a$ . Using the Fourier transform in the  $y$ -variable and a scaling, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} &= \|\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} Rf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|t-s|a_2} |\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(s, \eta)| ds\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|a_2^{-\frac{3}{2}} a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|t-s|} |\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(\frac{s}{a_2}, \eta)| ds\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|e^{-|t|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_t)} \|a_2^{-\frac{3}{2}} a^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(\frac{t}{a_2}, \eta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|e^{-|t|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_t)} \|a_2^{-1} a^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(t, \eta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the Young inequality in the third line and set

$$C = \frac{\|e^{-|t|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_t)}}{2} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} |a_2(\eta)^{-1} a(\eta)^{-1}|,$$

which is finite by virtue of Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2 Proof of the second result

In this subsection, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. To do this, it suffices to find  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  such that

$$\langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} u \notin L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}).$$

where we set  $u := (P - i)^{-1} f$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the dual variable of  $y$ .

Let  $\chi \in C_c^\infty((\frac{1}{4}, 1) : [0, 1])$  and  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; [0, 1])$  satisfying  $\|\chi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$  and

$$\chi(t) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \quad \psi(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\eta| \leq 1.$$

We denote the Fourier transform from the variable  $\eta$  to the variable  $y$  by  $\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1}$  and set

$$g(t, \eta) := \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\varepsilon} \chi\left(\frac{t}{\langle \eta \rangle}\right) e^{it a_1(\eta)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad f(t, y) = \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} g(t, y), \quad u := (P - i)^{-1} f.$$

We shall show that

$$\varphi(y) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} u \notin L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right). \quad (3.3)$$

with  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$  which is determined as follows: Let  $M > 0$  be a constant satisfying  $|\partial_\eta a_1(\eta)| \leq M$  for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Take  $\varphi, \varphi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; [0, 1])$  such that  $\varphi_1(y) = \varphi_1(-y)$  and

$$\varphi(y) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad |y| \leq M, \quad \varphi_1(y) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad |y| \leq \frac{M}{8}, \quad \text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset \{|y| \leq \frac{M}{4}\}.$$

As is seen below,  $e^{-itA_1} u$  has no oscillation terms and is easy to handle. In order to remove the oscillation factor  $e^{itA_1}$ , we will use Egorov's theorem and an elliptic estimate in the microlocal analysis. Precisely, we shall prove

$$\varphi_1 \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u \notin L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\varphi_1 \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u\|_{L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right)} \leq C \|\varphi \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} u\|_{L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right)} + C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \quad (3.5)$$

which ensure (3.3). Thus it remains to prove (3.4) and (3.5).



### Proof of (3.4)

First, we deal with (3.4). Since  $t - s \leq 0$  for  $t \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $s \in \text{supp } \chi(\frac{\cdot}{\langle \eta \rangle})$ , it follows from (3.2) that for  $t \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta}(\langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u)(t, \eta) &= \frac{i}{2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} a(\eta)^{-1} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-|t-s|a_2(\eta)} \chi\left(\frac{s}{\langle \eta \rangle}\right) ds \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2} + 1} a(\eta)^{-1} \int_{\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}t}^{+\infty} e^{-|\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}t-s| \langle \eta \rangle a_2(\eta)} \chi(s) ds =: b_t(t, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\varphi_1(y)(\langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u)(t, y) = \varphi_1(y)(\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t)(y)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $b_t$  satisfies

$$|\partial_\eta^\alpha b_t(\eta)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad C^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \leq |b_t(\eta)| \leq C \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \quad (3.6)$$

uniformly in  $t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $b_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.6) uniformly in  $t$ . Then we have  $\varphi_1 \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$  for each  $t$ .*

*Proof.* By the Plancherel theorem, we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$ . Thus it suffice to prove  $(1 - \varphi_1) \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$ . By integrating by parts, it turns out that  $\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t(y)$  is rapidly decreasing and smooth away from  $y = 0$ . Since  $\varphi_1(y) = 1$  near  $y = 0$ , then we obtain  $(1 - \varphi_1) \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$ .  $\square$

Now we suppose  $\varphi_1(y) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u \in L^2((0, \frac{1}{4})_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n)$ . Then we have

$$\varphi_1(y) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$$

for almost everywhere  $t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ . This contradicts to the lemma above. We complete the proof of (3.4).

### Proof of (3.5)

Next, we prove (3.5). We briefly recall the notion of pseudodifferential operators. For precise treatment, see [12, §18]. We define the Weyl quantization of a symbol  $a$  by

$$\text{Op}(a)u(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{i(y-y') \cdot \eta} a\left(\frac{y+y'}{2}, \xi\right) u(y') dy' d\eta.$$

We note that if  $a$  is real-valued, then  $\text{Op}(a)$  is formally self-adjoint and if  $a \in S^0$ , then  $\text{Op}(a)$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , we call  $a \in S^k$  if

$$|\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle \eta \rangle^{k - |\beta|}.$$

We define  $\{a, b\} := H_a b := \partial_\eta a \cdot \partial_y b - \partial_y a \cdot \partial_\eta b$ . For  $a \in S^{k_1}$  and  $b \in S^{k_2}$ , we have

$$\text{Op}(a)\text{Op}(b) = \text{Op}(ab) + \text{Op}S^{k_1+k_2-1}, \quad [\text{Op}(a), i\text{Op}(b)] = \text{Op}(\{a, b\}) + \text{Op}S^{k_1+k_2-2} \quad (3.7)$$

We need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.4** (Egorov's theorem). *Set  $a_t(y, \eta) := \varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta))$ . Then*

$$e^{-itA_1} \varphi(y) e^{itA_1} + R_t = \text{Op}(a_t),$$

where  $R_t \in B(H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is an operator locally uniformly bounded in  $t$ .

*Proof.* Using  $(e^{itA_1} \text{Op}(a_t) e^{-itA_1})'|_{t=0} = \varphi(y)$ , we have  $\text{Op}(a_t) = e^{-itA_1} \varphi(y) e^{itA_1} + R_t$ , where

$$R_t = \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)A_1} \left( \frac{d}{ds} \text{Op}(a_s) + [A_1, i\text{Op}(a_s)] \right) e^{i(t-s)A_1} ds = \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)A_1} L_s e^{i(t-s)A_1} ds$$

and  $L_s = \frac{d}{ds} \text{Op}(a_s) + [A_1, i\text{Op}(a_s)]$ . The formula (3.7) implies that  $L_s \in \text{Op}S^{-1}$  is locally uniformly bounded in  $t$ . Since  $e^{-itA_1}$  preserves  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it follows that  $R_t \in B(H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is locally uniformly bounded in  $t$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5** (Elliptic parametrix). *For  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , we have*

$$(\text{supp } \varphi_1) \times \mathbb{R}^n \subset \{(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid \varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta)) = 1\}, \quad (3.8)$$

that is,  $\varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta))$  is elliptic on  $\text{supp } \varphi \times \mathbb{R}^n$  in the phase space. Moreover, there exist  $c_t \in S^0$  and  $r_t \in S^{-1}$  which are locally uniformly bounded in  $t$  such that

$$\varphi_1(y) = \text{Op}(c_t) \text{Op}(a_t) + \text{Op}(r_t) \quad (3.9)$$

where we recall  $a_t(y, \eta) := \varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta))$ .

*Proof.* For  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $|y| \leq \frac{M}{4}$ , we have

$$|y - t\partial_\eta a(\eta)| \leq |y| + |t| |\partial_\eta a(\eta)| \leq \frac{M}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot M < M.$$

which implies (3.8). We note that the smooth function  $c_t(y, \eta) = \varphi_1(y)/a_t(y, \eta)$  is well-defined by the support condition (3.8). Since  $a_t \in S^0$  locally uniformly bounded in  $t$ ,  $c_t$  is also locally uniformly bounded in  $t$ . The composition formula (3.7) gives (3.9) with a symbol  $r_t \in S^{-1}$  locally uniformly bounded in  $t$ .  $\square$

Now we prove (3.5). Set

$$C_1 := \sup_{t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})} \max(\|\text{Op}(c_t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|R_t\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\text{Op}(r_t)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

For  $t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ , by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_1 \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} &\leq C_1 \|\text{Op}(a_t) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} + C_1 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\varphi \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + (C_1^2 + C_1) \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the unitarity of  $e^{-itA_1}$ . Integrating this inequality in  $t$ , we obtain (3.5).

# A A short proof for smoothing effects on the Minkowski spacetimes

In this appendix, we give a short proof for (1.1) and (1.2) using the explicit formula (3.2).

**Lemma A.1.** *Set*

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i|t-s|A} f(s) ds.$$

Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}, \quad \|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$$

*Proof.* The second inequality immediately follows from Hölder's inequality. Thus we shall show the first inequality. We write  $\hat{f}(t, \eta) = \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(t, \eta)$  and  $a_2 := -\text{Im } a = -\text{Im } \sqrt{|\eta|^2 - i}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta}$  denotes the Fourier transform from the variable  $y$  to the variable  $\eta$ . Fourier transforming in  $y \rightarrow \eta$  and using Young's inequality, we have

$$|\hat{I}(t, \eta)| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(t-s)a_2} |\hat{f}(s)| ds \right| \leq \|e^{-ta_2}\|_{L_t^2} \|\hat{f}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2} \leq C \|a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2}$$

This calculation gives  $\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \hat{I}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2} \leq \|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\|_{L_t^2} \|\hat{I}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^\infty} \leq C \|a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2}$ . Plancherel's theorem and (3.1) imply

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_t^2 L_y^2}.$$

□

This lemma and the formula (3.2) immediately imply

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|A^{-1} \langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle D_y \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$  such that  $\psi(s) = 1$  on  $|s| \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\text{supp } g \subset \{|s| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Set  $\varphi(D_x) = \psi(P/(-\Delta_x + 1))$ . Since  $P$  is elliptic on the essential support of  $1 - \varphi(D_x)$ , we have  $\|(1 - \varphi(D_x)) \langle D_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$ . Moreover, since  $D_t \sim D_y$  on the essential support of  $\varphi(D_x)$ , we have

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \varphi(D_x) \langle D_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \varphi(D_x) \langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

Combining these inequalities with (A.1), we obtain (1.1).

The mapping property (1.2) immediately follows from the formulae (3.2),

$$\partial_t (P - i)^{-1} f(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{+\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds,$$

and the second inequality in Lemma A.1. See also the proof of [4, Theorem C.1].

## References

- [1] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich, K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian geometry*. Second edition. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 202. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, 1996.
- [2] M. Braverman, O. Milatovich, M. Shubin, Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger-type operators on manifolds, *Russian Math. Surveys* 57 (4) (2002) 641–692.
- [3] Yves Colin de Verdière, C. Bihan, On essential-selfadjointness of differential operators on closed manifolds, to appear in *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math*, arXiv:2004.06937.
- [4] D. N. Dang, M. Wrochna, Complex powers of the wave operator and the spectral action on Lorentzian scattering spaces, preprint, (2020), arXiv:2012.00712.
- [5] J. Dereziński, D. Siemssen, Feynman propagators on static spacetimes, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 30, (2018), 1850006.
- [6] J. Dereziński, D. Siemssen, An evolution equation approach to the Klein-Gordon operator on curved spacetime, *Pure Appl. Anal.* 1, 215–261, (2019).
- [7] J. Dereziński, D. Siemssen, An Evolution Equation Approach to Linear Quantum Field Theory, preprint, (2019), arXiv:1912.10692.
- [8] M. Sánchez, An Introduction to the Completeness of Compact Semi-Riemannian Manifolds, *Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom.*, 13, Univ. Grenoble I, Saint-Martind’Hères, 1995, 37–53.
- [9] C. Gérard, M. Wrochna, The massive Feynman propagator on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes, *Amer. J. Math.* **141**, (2019), 1501–1546.
- [10] C. Gérard, M. Wrochna, The massive Feynman propagator on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes II, *Int. Math. Res. Notices.* **2020**, (2020), 6856–6870.
- [11] C. Gérard and M. Wrochna. The Feynman problem for the Klein-Gordon equation. arXiv:2003.14404, 2020.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Vol. I-IV. Springer Verlag, 1983–1985.
- [13] W. Kamiński, Non self-adjointness of the Klein-Gordon operator on globally hyperbolic and geodesically complete manifold. An example, preprint, arXiv:1904.03691.
- [14] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, C. Rolvung, L. Vega: Variable coefficient Schrödinger flows for ultrahyperbolic operators. *Adv. Math.* Vol. 196, No. 2, pp. 373–486 (2005) .
- [15] K. Nomizu, H. Ozeki, The existence of complete Riemannian metrics, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1961), 889–891.
- [16] S. Nakamura, K. Taira, Essential self-adjointness of real principal type operators, to appear in *Ann. Henri Lebesgue*, arXiv:1912.05711.

- [17] M. Reed, B. Simon, *The Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I–IV*. Academic Press, 1972–1980.
- [18] K. Taira, Equivalence of classical and quantum completeness for real principal type operators on the circle, arXiv:2004.07547.
- [19] K. Taira, Limiting absorption principle and equivalence of Feynman propagators on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes, arXiv:2012.07946.
- [20] A. Vasy: Essential self-adjointness of the wave operator and the limiting absorption principle on Lorentzian scattering spaces. *J. Spectr. Theory.* **10**, (2020), 439–461.