

A cosmic accelerated scenario based on degrees of freedom of the spacetime

Fei-Quan Tu ^{*a}, Yi-Xin Chen^b and Qi-Hong Huang^a

^a*School of Physics and Electronic Science, Zunyi Normal University, Zunyi 563006, China*

^b*Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China*

Abstract

Several recent investigations have shown that there is a holographic relationship between the bulk degrees of freedom and the surface degrees of freedom in the spacetime. Furthermore, the entropy on the horizon can produce an entropic force effect on the bulk degrees of freedom. In this paper, we explore the dynamic evolution law of the universe based on the idea of the entropic force and asymptotically holographic equipartition and further analyze the thermodynamic properties of the current model. We get the age of the universe, the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor and the deceleration parameter which are consistent with astronomical observations. In addition, we can well explain the age of the universe and the mechanism of accelerated expansion without introducing dark energy for the evolution history of the universe up to now. We also show that the generalized second law of thermodynamics, the energy balance condition and the energy equipartition relation always hold. More importantly, the energy balance condition is indeed a holographic relation between the bulk degrees of freedom and the surface degrees of freedom of the spacetime. Finally, we analyze the energy conditions and show that the strong energy condition is always violated and the weak energy condition is satisfied when $t \leq 2t_0$ in which t is the time parameter and t_0 is the age of the universe.

1. Introduction

It has been found that the late universe is in accelerated expansion according to astronomical observations [1, 2] since the 1990s. To resolve the problem of the accelerated expansion of the universe, various cosmological models have been proposed. One of the most common models is to introduce a cosmological constant, i.e., the state parameter ω is -1 for the equation of state $p = \omega\rho$. Also, recent observations show that the parameter ω for the dark energy could be dynamical, i.e., the state parameter can be expressed as $\omega(t)$ [3, 4]. In addition, the thermodynamic scenarios have been used to investigate the evolution of the universe widely.

In the past few decades, it has been pointed out that the action can be decomposed into the bulk term and surface term in a wide class of gravitational theories [5, 6]. We often assume a boundary condition or add a term to cancel the surface term to derive the gravitational field equation when we apply the variational principle to the action. Thus the field equation derived by this method is only related to the properties of the bulk term of the action and has nothing to do with the properties of the surface term. However, it has been shown that there is a key relationship between the surface term and the bulk term [7]. Besides, the equation of gravitational field can be derived from the surface term in the homeomorphism invariant gravity [8] and the entropy of the horizon can be given when one evaluates the surface term on the horizon [9, 10]. More importantly, it has been shown that there exists a direct connection between the surface term in the action principle and the thermodynamics of the null surface [11]. These scenarios describing cosmology are based on the horizon thermodynamics which is closely

*Corresponding author: fqtuzju@foxmail.com

related to holographic principle [12, 13]: all information in the three-dimensional space surrounded by a surface can be described by the two-dimensional surface. Among the scenarios, the entropic force model [14, 15, 16] and Padmanabhan’s holographic equipartition model [17, 18] are introduced to study the accelerated expansion of the universe.

In the entropic force model, an additional term is phenomenally introduced to the accelerated equation of the FRW universe due to the existence of the surface term of the action. Based on the entropic effect of the Hubble horizon which is equivalent to creating a negative pressure on the cosmic matter, the current accelerated expansion [15] and the inflation at the early period [16] can be described well. This model is widely used to study the evolution of the universe [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. In the holographic equipartition model, the dynamic evolution of the universe can be described by the difference between the number of degrees of freedom on the surface and in the bulk [17, 18]. By calculating the surface degrees of freedom in different entropy forms (e.g., Tsallis entropy, Renyi entropy or quantum correction entropy), one can get the different dynamic evolution equation with an extra driving term [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. This driving term corresponds to a time-varying “cosmological constant”. Thus the cosmological issues can be discussed from the thermodynamic viewpoint. These approaches give a new insight into the problem of quantum gravity.

Since the surface degrees of freedom exist on the cosmological horizon which produces the entropic force on matter in the bulk, we should consider the entropic force effect for the dynamic evolution of the universe. In this paper, the entropic force effect is considered as an extra negative pressure on matter in the bulk. Under this consideration, we modify the number of degrees of freedom in the bulk and thus the dynamic evolution equation of the universe. Furthermore, we consider a time-varying equation of state by combining the equation of state in the photon dominated period with that in the pressureless matter dominated period. In this way, we get the age of the universe, the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor and the deceleration parameter which are consistent with astronomical observations. Next, we analyze the thermodynamic properties of the current model and find that the generalized second law of thermodynamics, the energy balance condition and the energy equipartition relation always hold. Finally, we analyze the energy conditions and show that the strong energy condition is always violated and the weak energy condition is satisfied when $t \leq 2t_0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive the dynamic evolution equation of the universe based on the idea of the entropic force and asymptotically holographic equipartition. Combined with the equation of state of matter changing with time, we obtain the evolution solutions of the universe and analyze the physical meaning of these solutions. In Section 3, we analyze that the generalized second law of thermodynamics, the energy balance relation, the energy equipartition relation and the strong and weak energy conditions. Finally, we present the conclusions and discuss the fluid viscosity and particle creation models. Throughout this paper we take the convention $k = c = \hbar = 1$ for the sake of simplicity.

2. Dynamical evolution of the universe caused by the entropic force and asymptotically holographic equipartition

For the gravitational Lagrangian L_g , it can be expressed as

$$16\pi\sqrt{-g}L_g = L_{bulk} + L_{sur}, \quad (1)$$

where L_{bulk} is the bulk term and L_{sur} is the surface term related by the equation $\sqrt{-g}L_{sur} = \partial_\lambda \left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g}L_{bulk})}{\partial(\partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu})} \right)$ [9, 10, 37]. This relation is usually called holographic relation and allows the surface term to contain information about the bulk. So if we take the action related to this gravitational Lagrangian L_g as the underlying starting point in the gravitational theory, then we have to consider the holographic effect of the surface term.

Moreover, the entropy is given when we evaluate the surface term on the horizon [9, 10]. Hence, we can consider the holographic effect as the effect caused by the horizon entropy. Here we employ the

Hubble horizon as the cosmological horizon, i.e., the radius of the horizon $R_H = 1/H$. According to Bekenstein's result [38, 39], the horizon entropy is

$$S_h = \frac{A}{4L_p^2} = \frac{\pi R_H^2}{L_p^2} = \frac{\pi}{H^2 L_p^2}, \quad (2)$$

where A is the area of the horizon and L_p^2 is the Planck area. The variation of energy with respect to the radius r gives the entropic force [15, 16, 19, 20]

$$F = -T \frac{dS_h}{dr} = -\frac{1}{L_p^2}, \quad (3)$$

where we choose the Hubble horizon $r = R_H$. The entropic force leads to an extra pressure

$$p_e = \frac{F}{A} = -\frac{H^2}{4\pi L_p^2}. \quad (4)$$

From this result, we can see that the surface term of the gravitational Lagrangian L_g has the negative pressure effect similar to that of dark energy or cosmological constant.

In order to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe, the holographic equipartition model based on gravitational thermodynamics is proposed [17, 18]. In such model, the difference between the surface degrees of freedom, N_{sur} , and the bulk degrees of freedom, N_{bulk} , in a region of space drives the accelerated expansion of the universe through a simple equation

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = (N_{sur} - N_{bulk}) L_p^2, \quad (5)$$

where $V = 4\pi/3H^3$ is the Hubble volume and t is the cosmic time. The number of surface degrees of freedom can be expressed as [30, 31]

$$N_{sur} = 4S_h = \frac{4\pi}{H^2 L_p^2}, \quad (6)$$

while the number of bulk degrees of freedom is assumed to satisfy the equipartition law of energy

$$N_{bulk} = \frac{|E_K|}{\frac{1}{2}T}, \quad (7)$$

where $|E_K|$ is taken as the Komar energy $|(\rho + 3p)V|$ contained inside the Hubble volume $V = (4\pi/3H^3)$ and $T = \frac{H}{2\pi}$ is the temperature of the bulk degrees of freedom. Considering the entropic force effect on the horizon, we take the Komar energy as

$$|E_K| = |\rho + 3(p + p_e)|V, \quad (8)$$

where p_e is given by Eq.(4), ρ and p are the density and pressure of matter respectively. Thus we obtain the number of bulk degrees of freedom

$$N_{bulk} = -\frac{(4\pi)^2}{3H^4}(\rho + 3p) + \frac{4\pi}{H^2 L_p^2}. \quad (9)$$

Substituting the Hubble volume, Eq.(6) and Eq.(9) in Eq.(5), we obtain the evolution equation of the universe

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = H^2 + \dot{H} = -\frac{4\pi L_p^2}{3}(\rho + 3p) + H^2. \quad (10)$$

Compared with the standard cosmic acceleration equation of the FRW universe (i.e., the cosmological constant is not taken into account), we find that there is an additional term H^2 which leads to the

accelerated expansion of the universe. In the lambda cold dark matter (Λ CMD) model, the cosmic acceleration equation of the FRW universe is $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi L_p^2}{3}(\rho + 3p) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}$, where $\frac{\Lambda}{3} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-52} m^{-2}$ [40]. In our model, we obtain $H_0^2 \approx 0.6 \times 10^{-52} m^2$ by substituting the value of current Hubble constant H_0 . Hence, our model is compatible with current cosmological observations in terms of the numerical magnitude. However, we should also note that the term H^2 changes with time while the term $\frac{\Lambda}{3}$ is a constant, thus we obtain the different evolution law from the Λ CMD model.

The continuity equation for these degrees of freedom of the spacetime is read as

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p + p_e) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Here we consider the negative pressure caused by the entropic force as an effective pressure on matter, i.e., the pressure on matter is described by $p+p_e$. For the current model, the first law of thermodynamics that the universe follows is $d(\rho V_c) = -(p+p_e)dV_c$ where V_c is the volume of the universe. The evolution of V_c can be expressed as $V_c = V_0 a^3$ where V_0 is a positive constant. Hence Eq.(11) is derived from the first law of thermodynamics.

In order to get the properties of the dynamic evolution of the universe, we must also consider the equation of state of matter. Assume that the equation of state for time $t < 4t_0$ has the following form

$$p = \left(-\frac{t}{3t_0} + \frac{1}{3} \right) \rho, \quad (12)$$

where t_0 is the present time, i.e., the current age of the universe. There are several reasons to employ this assumption. First, in the early universe dominated by photons, the equation of state of cosmic matter is $p = \frac{1}{3}\rho$. In contrast, the equation of state is $p = 0$ when the pressureless matter dominates the universe. Second, the time interval from the big bang to the photon dominated period is very short compared with the age of the universe so that we can ignore it. Therefore, we can consider that the universe dominated by photons evolves into the universe dominated by the pressureless matter during the evolution history of the universe so far. Based on these facts, we assume that the photon dominated period changes linearly to the matter dominated period, i.e., the equation of state changes linearly from $p = \frac{1}{3}\rho$ at $t = 0$ to $p = 0$ at $t = t_0$. In this way, we introduce the equation of state of cosmic matter Eq.(12) to describe the whole evolution history of the universe. As for why we assume the condition $t < 4t_0$, we will explain it later.

Combining Eq.(10), Eq.(11) and Eq.(12), we obtain the solutions for $t < 4t_0$

$$\dot{H} = -\left(1 - \frac{t}{2t_0}\right) H^2 \quad (13)$$

and

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi L_p^2}{3} \rho. \quad (14)$$

From Eq.(13), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{H} = t - \frac{t^2}{4t_0}. \quad (15)$$

Thus the age of the universe is $t_0 = \frac{4}{3H_0}$. If the value of the current Hubble constant is taken as $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ [41], one can obtain the age of the universe

$$t_0 = 13.1 \times 10^9 \text{ year}, \quad (16)$$

which is consistent with the data of astronomical observations $t_0 = 13.4_{-1.0}^{+1.3} \times 10^9 \text{ year}$ [41]. Hence, we obtain the age of the universe without introducing dark energy.

Integrating Eq.(15), we obtain the scale factor

$$a(t) = \frac{3a_0 t}{4t_0 - t} \quad (17)$$




Figure 1: (color online). The upper curve represents the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor in our model, the lower curve represents the relation in Λ CDM model. Our result is well in line with that of Λ CDM model.

and the Hubble parameter

$$H(t) = \frac{4t_0}{(4t_0 - t)t}, \quad (18)$$

where $a_0 = a(t_0)$ is the current scale factor.

Now we investigate the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor. The general formula is given by Refs.[25, 42]

$$d_L = \frac{1+z}{H_0} \int_1^{1+z} \frac{dy}{H/H_0}, \quad (19)$$

where z is the redshift factor defined by $z+1 \equiv y = a_0/a$. After substituting Eq.(17) and Eq.(18) into Eq.(19) and some simple calculations, we obtain the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor

$$H_0 d_L(z) = \frac{16}{9}(1+z) \left[\ln \frac{4+3z}{4} + \frac{1}{4+3z} - \frac{1}{4} \right] \quad (20)$$

For the Λ CDM model, the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor in the flat universe can be expressed as [43]

$$H_0 d_L = (1+z) \int_0^z dz' [(1+z')^2(1+\Omega_m z') - z'(2+z')\Omega_\Lambda]^{-1/2}, \quad (21)$$

where Ω_m is the ratio of the density of dark matter to the critical density and Ω_Λ is the ratio of the density of dark energy to the critical density. It has been found that the description of the universe for $\Omega_m = 0.27$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$ is consistent with the supernova data in Ref.[44]. The curve relations between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor for the Λ CDM model and the current model are shown in Figure 1. Our result is well in line with the result of Λ CDM model.

The deceleration parameter of the universe can be expressed as

$$q \equiv -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2} = -\frac{t}{2t_0}. \quad (22)$$

This result shows that the universe is in accelerating. At the present time $t = t_0$, we obtain $q = -\frac{1}{2}$ which is consistent with supernova observations [45]. From Eq.(14), we obtain also the density of the universe

$$\rho = \frac{6t_0^2}{\pi L_p^2(4t_0 - t)^2 t^2}, \quad (23)$$

which also shows that the density of the current universe is $\rho_0 = 2/(3\pi L_p^2 t_0^2)$ which is of the same order of magnitude as the critical density.

From Eq.(17), we can see that there exists a singularity when $t = 4t_0$ and the scale factor $a(t)$ is less than 0 when $t > 4t_0$. Since $r = a(t)r_0$ where r is the physical distance and r_0 is the comoving distance, the scale factor $a(t)$ has to be a finite positive value. This is why we choose the condition $t < 4t_0$.

It should be noted that we have obtained the age, the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor, the deceleration parameter and the energy density of the universe which are consistent with astronomical observations without introducing dark energy. That is, our model can well explain some important cosmological observational facts when $t \leq t_0$. However, our model does not exclude the existence of dark energy because the pressure of matter is negative when $t > t_0$, i.e., matter shows the nature of “dark energy” when $t > t_0$. Meanwhile, we can see from Eq.(18) and Eq.(20) that the Hubble parameter $H(t)$ and the density of the universe $\rho(t)$ reach the minimum when $t = 2t_0$, and then diverge when $t = 4t_0$. Thus the evolution of the universe can be described as follows. The universe originates from a singularity with extremely high density, then the horizon expands to the maximum when $t = 2t_0$ due to the entropic force effect and shrinks to the initial state when $t \rightarrow 4t_0$. In addition, we also see from Eq.(12) that the equation of state of matter is $p = -\rho$ when $t \rightarrow 4t_0$ which is the equation of state for the vacuum energy. Since the scale factor grows more rapidly than the horizon, galaxies disappear beyond the horizon and the universe becomes increasingly dark as time progresses when $t > 2t_0$. There exists a Big Rip singularity when $t = 4t_0$ [46, 47]. We can also see that the remaining life span of the universe is three times its current age for the current model.

3. Analyses of thermodynamic properties and thermodynamic constraints for the current model

A thermodynamic analysis for a cosmological model can lead to a better understanding of the evolution of the universe. More importantly, the thermodynamic constraints on the model can narrow the range of parameters so that we can get a more reliable cosmological model.

First, let us analyze the entropy change of the current model to see if the generalized second law of thermodynamics is satisfied, and then give the constraints of time t . Here we employ the local equilibrium hypothesis, i.e., the matter temperature equals the horizon temperature, thus the first law of thermodynamics can be written as [48, 49]

$$TdS_m = dE_m + (p + p_e)dV, \quad (24)$$

where S_m is the entropy of matter, V is the Hubble volume and E_m is the Misner-Sharp energy which is given by

$$E_m = \int T_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu dV = \rho V, \quad (25)$$

in which

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p + p_e)u_\mu u_\nu + (p + p_e)g_{\mu\nu} \quad (26)$$

is the energy-momentum tensor of the fluid and $u^\mu = \delta_t^\mu$ is a 4-velocity of a comoving observer.

Substituting Eq.(25) in Eq.(24), we obtain the entropy change of matter inside the horizon

$$\dot{S}_m = \frac{1}{T}[(\rho + p + p_e)\dot{V} + V\dot{\rho}]. \quad (27)$$

Using Eq.(11) and Eq.(14), we obtain

$$\rho + p + p_e = -\frac{\dot{H}}{4\pi L_p^2} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\dot{\rho} = \frac{3H\dot{H}}{4\pi L_p^2}. \quad (29)$$

Then substituting Eq.(28), Eq.(29), the volume of Hubble horizon $V = \frac{4\pi}{3H^3}$ and the temperature $T = \frac{H}{2\pi}$ in Eq.(27), we obtain the entropy change of matter

$$\dot{S}_m = \frac{2\pi\dot{H}}{H^3 L_p^2} \left(1 + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \right). \quad (30)$$

For the Hubble horizon, its entropy change is

$$\dot{S}_h = \frac{-2\pi\dot{H}}{H^3 L_p^2}. \quad (31)$$

So the total entropy change is

$$\dot{S} = \dot{S}_m + \dot{S}_h = \frac{2\pi}{HL_p^2} \left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \right)^2. \quad (32)$$

From Eq.(32), we see that the total entropy change is always non-negative, so the generalized second law of thermodynamics always holds and the condition $t < 4t_0$ is also reasonable in our model.

Inserting the energy density and Hubble volume into Eq.(25), we get the Misner-Sharp energy

$$E_m = \rho V = \frac{1}{2HL_p^2}. \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, we also find

$$TS_h = \frac{1}{2HL_p^2}. \quad (34)$$

Thus we obtain the energy balance relation

$$\rho V = TS_h, \quad (35)$$

where the term TS_h in the right hand side is the heat energy of the Hubble horizon [50, 51]. This relation shows that the Misner-Sharp energy within the Hubble volume is equal to the heat energy on the Hubble surface. Furthermore, the surface term of the action is found to be the integral of the heat density Ts where s is the entropy density [11]. For the Hubble horizon with a uniform temperature distribution, TS_h is the surface term of the action. In addition, it has been shown that TS_h is also the Noether energy (or we call it Noether charge) [52] and can be thought as the heat content of the horizon [53]. Therefore, Eq.(35) also shows that there exists a holographic relationship between the bulk degrees of freedom and the surface degrees of freedom of the spacetime.

In the current model, the energy that drives the accelerated expansion of the universe is the energy which corresponds to the surface degrees of freedom, we call it the equipartition energy. The equipartition energy is

$$E = \frac{1}{2}N_{sur}T = \frac{1}{HL_p^2}, \quad (36)$$

where we use Eq.(6) and $T = H/2\pi$. Hence we can obtain the relation

$$E = 2TS_h, \quad (37)$$

which is consistent with the conclusion obtained by Refs.[52, 54]

At the end of this section, we will discuss the energy conditions. As we know, the energy-momentum tensor of matter determines the structure of the spacetime, but there are many kinds of matter in the spacetime and further their equations of state are also changeable, so it is unrealistic to find a universal energy-momentum tensor to describe all known distribution of matter. In order to study the properties of singularities or the form and distribution of matter, we need to find some universal conditions, which are energy conditions [55]. There are many kinds of energy conditions in the literatures. Here we only discuss the strong energy condition and the weak energy condition.

The strong energy condition states that

$$\left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T\right)u^\mu u^\nu \geq 0 \quad (38)$$

for any timelike vector u^μ . For the fluid whose energy-momentum tensor is Eq.(26), the strong energy condition states

$$\rho + 3(p + p_e) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho + p + p_e \geq 0, \quad (39)$$

while the weak energy condition is

$$T_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu \geq 0 \quad (40)$$

for any timelike vector u^μ which can be expressed as

$$\rho + p + p_e \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \geq 0. \quad (41)$$

For the current model, we obtain

$$\rho + 3(p + p_e) = -\frac{t}{t_0}\rho \quad (42)$$

and

$$\rho + p + p_e = \frac{2}{3}\left(1 - \frac{t}{2t_0}\right)\rho. \quad (43)$$

From the above results, we can see that the strong energy condition is always violated while the weak energy condition is satisfied when $t \leq 2t_0$. As we can see from Eq.(22), the expansion of the universe is in accelerating, so it is a natural result that the strong energy condition has to be violated. For the scope of time $2t_0 < t < 4t_0$, we cannot rule it out although the weak energy condition is violated, because the phantom fluid which violates the weak energy condition may also serve as another candidate for dark energy [56, 57, 58]. We also confirm that the continuity equation (11) based on the first law of thermodynamics is equivalent to the conservation condition $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

4. Conclusions and discussions

In this paper, we discuss that the dynamic evolution of the universe from a thermodynamic viewpoint. For this purpose, we introduce the asymptotically holographic equipartition proposed by Padmanabhan to describe the evolution law of the universe. In addition, we introduce the entropic force to describe the influence of the horizon on the dynamic evolution of the universe because there is a holographic relationship between the bulk term and the surface term of the gravity action. Then, we assume the equation of state of cosmic matter based on some observational facts. Consequently, we find that the current model can well explain the age of the universe, the relation between the luminosity distance and the redshift factor and the current accelerated expansion without introducing dark energy. But we should also note that the current model introduces the ‘‘dark energy’’ when $t > t_0$ because the pressure of matter is negative. We find also that the horizon expands to the maximum when $t = 2t_0$ and shrinks to the initial state when $t \rightarrow 4t_0$, so galaxies will disappear beyond the horizon and the universe becomes increasingly dark with time progresses when $t > 2t_0$. By analyzing the scale factor $a(t)$, we find that there is a Big Rip singularity.

Next, we analyze that the thermodynamic properties of current model. We find that the generalized second law of thermodynamics always holds. In addition, we find that the energy balance relation also holds, which in turn shows that there is a holographic relationship between the bulk degrees of freedom and the surface degrees of freedom of the spacetime. We also show that the energy equipartition relation for these degrees of freedom on the surface always holds. Finally, we analyze the energy condition and point out that the strong energy condition is always violated and the weak energy condition holds when $t \leq 2t_0$. These results of thermodynamic analyses also confirm that the current model can describe the dynamic behaviors and thermodynamic properties of the universe well when $t < t_0$.

At the end of this paper, let us talk about the dynamic evolution of the universe by introducing fluid viscosity or particle creation. Both models avoid introducing dark energy to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe. In the viscous fluid model [59, 60, 61, 62], there is an additional pressure due to the viscosity of the fluid, which leads to the accelerated expansion of the universe. In addition, the viscous matter model can be mapped into the phantom dark energy scenario with constraints under certain conditions. Furthermore, the entropy of the universe filled with viscous fluid increases, so the second law of thermodynamics holds. Similarly, the particle creation model [63, 64, 65, 66, 67] also introduces an additional negative pressure and can describe the same dynamic and thermodynamic behavior of the universe as $\Lambda(t)CDM$ model under certain conditions. But compared with $\Lambda(t)CDM$ model, the particle creation model can unify dark matter and dark energy with one dark component. Besides, it is also shown that the entropic force model with a matter creation rate proportional to H is statistically highly favored [67]. Compared with these models, we introduce the entropic force to describe the accelerated expansion of the universe. On this basis, we build a cosmological model which can well describe the thermodynamic and dynamic behaviors of the universe compatible with astronomical observations based on the description of the microcosmic degrees of freedom of the spacetime.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by Major Research Project for Innovative Group of Education Department of Guizhou Province (Grant No. KY[2018]028) and the NNSF of China (Grants No. 11775187, No. 11865018 and No. 11865019).

References

- [1] S. Perlmutter, et al., *Astrophys. J.* 517, 565 (1998)
- [2] A. G. Ress, et al., *Astron. J.* 116, 1009 (1998)
- [3] G. Zhao, et al., *Nat. Astron.* 1, 627 (2017)
- [4] Y. Wang, et al, *Astrophys. J.* 869, L8 (2018)
- [5] T. Padmanabhan, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 34, 2029 (2002)
- [6] T. Padmanabhan, *Mod. Phys. Letts. A* 17, 1147 (2002)
- [7] A. Mukhopadhyay, T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rev. D* 74, 124023 (2006)
- [8] T. P. Sotiriou, S. Liberati, *Phys. Rev. D* 74 044016 (2006)
- [9] T. Padmanabhan, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 73, 046901 (2010)
- [10] T. Padmanabhan, *Gravitation: Foundations and Frontiers*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge (2010)
- [11] S. Chakraborty, T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rev. D* 101, 064023 (2020)
- [12] G. 't Hooft, arXiv: gr-qc/9310026

- [13] L. Susskind, *J. Math. Phys.* 36, 6377 (1995)
- [14] E. Verlinde, *JHEP* 04, 029 (2011).
- [15] D. A. Easson, P. H. Frampton, G. F. Smoot, *Phys. Lett. B* 696, 273 (2011)
- [16] D. A. Easson, P. H. Frampton, G. F. Smoot, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 27, 1250066 (2012)
- [17] T. Padmanabhan, arXiv:1206.4916
- [18] T. Padmanabhan, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* 12, 891 (2012)
- [19] Y. F. Cai, J. Liu, H. Li, *Phys. Lett. B* 690, 213 (2010)
- [20] Y. F. Cai, E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Lett. B* 697, 280 (2011)
- [21] T. Qiu, E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* 85, 043504 (2012)
- [22] S. Basilakos, J. Sola, *Phys. Rev. D* 90, 023008 (2014)
- [23] N. Komatsu, S. Kimura, *Phys. Rev. D* 87, 043531 (2013)
- [24] N. Komatsu, S. Kimura, *Phys. Rev. D* 89, 123501 (2014)
- [25] F. -Q. Tu, Y.-X. Chen, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 47, 87 (2015)
- [26] M. P. Dabrowski, H. Gohar, V. Salzano, *Entropy* 18, 60 (2016)
- [27] M. A. Abchouyeh, B. Mirza, F. Sadeghi, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 28, 2040007 (2019)
- [28] R. -G. Cai, *JHEP*, 11, 016 (2012)
- [29] A. Sheykhi, M. H. Dehghani, S. E. Hosseini, *Phys. Lett. B* 726, 23 (2013)
- [30] F. -Q. Tu, Y. -X. Chen, *JCAP*, 05, 024 (2013)
- [31] A. F. Ali, *Phys. Lett. B* 732, 335 (2014)
- [32] H. Moradpour, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 55, 4176 (2016)
- [33] N. Komatsu, *Phys. Rev. D* 96, 103507 (2017)
- [34] N. Komatsu, *Phys. Rev. D* 99, 043523 (2019)
- [35] N. Komatsu, *Phys. Rev. D* 100, 123545 (2019)
- [36] N. Komatsu, *Phys. Rev. D* 103, 023534 (2021)
- [37] A. Mukhopadhyay, T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rev. D* 74, 124023 (2006)
- [38] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 7, 2333 (1973)
- [39] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 9, 3292 (1974)
- [40] H. T. Padmanabhan, T. Padmanabhan, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. D* 22, 1342001 (2013)
- [41] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008)
- [42] N. Komatsu, S. Kimura, *Phys. Rev. D* 87, 043531 (2013)
- [43] S. M. Carroll, W. H. Press, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* 30, 499 (1992)
- [44] A. G. Riess, et al., Supernova Search Team Collaboration, *Astrophys. J.* 607 (2004) 665

- [45] T. Padmanabhan, T. R. Choudhury, Phys. Rev. D 66, 081301(R) (2002)
- [46] R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 071301 (2003)
- [47] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D 71, 063004 (2005)
- [48] G. Izquierdo, D. Pavon, Phys. Lett. B 633, 420 (2006)
- [49] A. Sheykhi, Eur. Phys. J. C 69, 265 (2010)
- [50] T. Padmanabhan, C. R. Phys. 18, 275 (2017)
- [51] F. -Q. Tu, Y. -X. Chen, B. Sun, Y. -C. Yang, Phys. Lett. B 784, 411 (2018)
- [52] T. Padmanabhan, Gen. Rel. Grav. 44, 2681 (2012)
- [53] T. Padmanabhan, AIP Conf. Proc. 1483, 212 (2012)
- [54] T. Padmanabhan, Class. Quantum Grav. 21, 4485 (2004)
- [55] S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, (1973).
- [56] M. P. Dabrowski, T. Stachowiak, M. Szydlowski, Phys. Rev. D 68, 103519 (2003)
- [57] A. Bouali, I. Albarran, M. Bouhmadi-López, T. Ouali, Phys. Dark Univ. 26, 100391 (2019)
- [58] S. K. Tripathy, B. Mishra, Chin. Jour. Phys. 63, 448 (2020)
- [59] H. Velten, J. Wang, X. Meng, Phys. Rev. D 88, 123504 (2013)
- [60] M. M. Disconzi, T. W. Kephart, R. J. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. D 91, 043532 (2015)
- [61] M. Cruz, S. Lepe, S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D 98, 083515 (2018)
- [62] I. Brevik, A. V. Timoshkin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 30, 2150008 (2021)
- [63] L. L. Graef, F. E. M. Costa, J. A. S. Lima, Phys. Lett. B 728, 400 (2014)
- [64] S. Chakraborty, Phys. Lett. B 732, 81 (2014)
- [65] S. Saha, A. Mondal, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 196 (2017)
- [66] F. -Q. Tu, Y. -X. Chen, Q. -H. Huang, Chin. Phys. C 44, 065103 (2020)
- [67] H. Gohar, V. Salzano, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 338 (2021)