

Higher dimensional charged static and rotating solutions in mimetic gravity

Hamid R. Bakhtiarizadeh¹

Department of Physics, Sirjan University of Technology, Sirjan, Iran

Abstract

We find new solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell equations in the presence of mimetic field in D dimensions, all of which are asymptotically anti-de Sitter (AdS) space-times. We first derive the solutions in 5-dimensional spacetime, in detail. Then, by extending our calculations to six and seven dimensions, we will obtain a general form for solutions in $D > 4$ dimensions. The results describe electrically charged static and rotating solutions, which have spherical, toroidal or cylindrical horizons and depending on their global identifications can be regarded as black holes, or black strings/branes. Some physical properties of solutions such as horizons, singularities as well as entropy, mass and angular momenta of rotating solutions are also investigated.

arXiv:2107.10686v1 [gr-qc] 22 Jul 2021

¹bakhtiarizadeh@sirjantech.ac.ir

1 Introduction

One of the most interesting theories of gravity, which without introducing any additional matter field, describe the dark components of the universe as a geometrical effect, is mimetic gravity [1]. In this theory, the conformal degree of freedom of gravity is isolated by introducing the following relation between physical metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, auxiliary metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and a scalar field known as mimetic field,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \pm \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi. \quad (1.1)$$

As a consistency condition, the above equation implies that the mimetic field must satisfy the following constraint,

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi = \pm 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The equations of motion of the gravitational action are equivalent to those one can obtain from the action in terms of the physical metric with the imposition of constraint (1.2), by using a Lagrange multiplier [1]. The conformal degree of freedom, even in the absence of matter, is dynamical and this mimics the phenomenon of cold dark matter evolution of the universe in the background. It has been also shown that the scalar field can mimic the gravitational behavior of any form of matter [2, 3].

In the following, we briefly review some attempts in obtaining the gravity and cosmological solutions in the context of mimetic model. Spherically symmetric static solutions with various topologies in the frame work of mimetic gravity are analyzed in [4–9]. The vacuum static spherically symmetric solutions in the mimetic gravity scenario is explored in [10], based on the conformal invariance principle. Modified gravity models including mimetic scalar potential are also studied for Gauss-Bonnet [11], $f(R)$ [12–15], Born-Infeld [16] and Hořava [17] gravity theories. It also was shown that, in the framework of mimetic gravity, a schwarzschild black hole can be exist without singularity [18]. Recently, in [19–21] the authors obtained topological black hole, black string as well as 3-dimensional BTZ-like solutions in the framework of mimetic gravity. From the cosmological point of view, in [22] the authors indicate that the singularities in cosmological solutions can be easily removed by considering the longitudinal degree of freedom of gravity to be dynamical. In [23], the dynamical behavior of mimetic gravity with a general form of potential for the mimetic scalar field has been investigated. It has been observed that, in the presence of some classified higher derivative corrections, the mimetic cosmological perturbations can be stable [24]. Different aspects of mimetic cosmology are also explored in [25–30]. For a comprehensive survey on mimetic gravity and its applications to cosmology and astrophysics, the reader can refer to [31].

The generalization of black hole solutions to higher dimensions first described by Tangherlini [32] for static vacuum black holes, and by Myers and Perry [33] for stationary vacuum charged static black holes. With the advent of brane-world theories, black hole solutions in higher dimensions received much interest [34, 35]. Models of modified gravity with extra dimensions appear when considering string theory, and their black holes solutions are known for some low energy effective actions related to string theory [36–42]. Solutions are also interesting in the context of gauge/gravity duality because yield to a quantitative evidence for $\text{AdS}_D/\text{CFT}_{(D-1)}$ [43].

In the present work, we are going to find the charged static and rotating solutions in the context of mimetic gravity in $D > 4$ space-time dimensions and investigate their physical properties. The solutions are indeed the generalizations of those obtained in [20, 21] to higher dimensions. Depending on their identifications, one can interpret them as

black holes, or black strings/branes. The structure of paper is arranged as follows. First, in Sec. 2, we introduce the mimetic Einstein-Maxwell action as well as its field equations in D dimensions. Then, we will derive the solutions for 5-dimensional spherical as well as cylindrical symmetric spacetimes, in Sec. 3. In Sec. 4, we extend our solutions to include higher-dimensional spacetimes. Finally, we summarize closing remarks in section 5.

2 Action and field equations

The action for Einstein-Maxwell theory of gravity in the presence of mimetic field in D dimensions for asymptotically AdS space-times, is given by²

$$I_D = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{l^2} - F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \lambda(g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \epsilon) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where g is the determinant of the physical metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, R is the Ricci scalar, λ is the Lagrange multiplier, and $\Lambda = -(D-1)(D-2)/2l^2$ is the negative cosmological constant describing AdS space-times. $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field strength tensor corresponding to the gauge potential A_μ . Here also $\epsilon = +1, -1$, respectively, denote to the spacelike and timelike nature of vector field $\partial_\mu \phi$. Variation of the above action with respect to Lagrange multiplier λ yields the following constraint on mimetic field³,

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi = \epsilon. \quad (2.2)$$

This equation implies that the physical metric must satisfy the constraint (2.2) and confirms that the scalar field is not dynamical. By varying the action (2.1) with respect to the physical metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, the scalar field ϕ and the gauge field A_μ , one can also derive, the Einstein, scalar as wells as Maxwell field equations as

$$G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}^\phi, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla_\mu (\lambda \partial^\mu \phi) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Here, $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - Rg_{\mu\nu}/2$, $T_{\mu\nu}^\phi = (D-1)(D-2)g_{\mu\nu}/2l^2 + \lambda \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$ and $T_{\mu\nu} = 2F_{\mu\gamma} F_\nu{}^\gamma - g_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}/2$ are respectively the Einstein tensor, scalar field energy-momentum tensor, and Maxwell energy-momentum tensor. Taking the trace of Eq. (2.3), when one takes into account Eq. (2.2), leads to

$$\lambda = \epsilon \left[G - T - \frac{D(D-1)(D-2)}{2l^2} \right], \quad (2.6)$$

where $G = G_\mu{}^\mu$ and $T = T_\mu{}^\mu$ respectively denote the trace of Einstein tensor as well as Maxwell energy momentum tensor. By inserting Eq. (2.6) into Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4), we arrive at

$$G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2l^2} g_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \left[G - T - \frac{D(D-1)(D-2)}{2l^2} \right] \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi, \quad (2.7)$$

²We work in units in which $M_P = \sqrt{8\pi G_D} = 1$ and choose the metric signature as $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

³The symbolic calculations of this paper have been carried out using the excellent Mathematica package “xTras” [59].

$$\nabla_\mu \left[\left(G - T - \frac{D(D-1)(D-2)}{2l^2} \right) \partial^\mu \phi \right] = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Our aim in the following is to solve above equations in spherical and cylindrical symmetric space-times and for various dimensions.

3 Solutions in five dimensions

By making restrictions, in particular with respect to the horizon topology, one can generalize Einstein-Maxwell theory to five dimensions [44–49]. In the context of mimetic gravity, one can get the final form of 5-dimensional version of Einstein-Maxwell field equations as well as scalar field equation by setting $D = 5$ in Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8). In the following two subsections, we are going to solve the field equations for spherically as well as cylindrical symmetric space-times.

3.1 Static charged solutions in spherically symmetric space-times

Here, we are looking for charged solutions for static black holes in spherically symmetric space-time. In doing so, we consider the following 5-dimensional metric

$$ds^2 = -f(r)g^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\psi^2 + \sin^2\psi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)$ is the line element of a three-sphere. Here, the topology of event horizon and spacetime are given by S^3 and $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^3$, respectively. In the line element (3.1), we also incorporate an extra degree of freedom due to the additional mimetic field, by the function $g(r)$.

Using the metric (3.1), the constraint (2.2) yields the following equation for the mimetic field

$$f\phi'^2 = \epsilon, \quad (3.2)$$

where the prime here and through the paper denotes derivative with respect to r . Solution of the above differential equation takes the following form

$$\phi(r) = \pm \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{|\epsilon f(r)|}}, \quad (3.3)$$

plus a constant of integration, which we observe that disappears in the field equations and accordingly we are safe to set it to zero. We are also free to choose the positive sign of the above solution without loss of generality.

First, we consider the charged solutions. In this case, the vector potential and nonzero components of electromagnetic tensor are given by

$$A_\mu = h(r)\delta_\mu^0, F_{tr} = -F_{rt} = -h'(r). \quad (3.4)$$

Having had the electromagnetic field strength tensor, it is not difficult to show that the t component of Maxwell equation (2.5) leads to the following equation

$$rh''g - rh'g' + 3h'g = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

which has the following solution

$$h'(r) = \frac{q}{r^3}g(r). \quad (3.6)$$

In obtaining the above solution we set the constant of integration equal to the electric charge q of black hole. By inserting the metric (3.1), Eq. (3.2), the constraint $\epsilon^2 = 1$, and electromagnetic field strength (3.4) into Eq. (2.7), one arrives at the following three independent equations in five dimensions

$$12r^6 - 2q^2l^2 + l^2r^4(6 - 6f - 3rf') = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$g\{48r^6 + 4l^2(q^2 + 3r^2) - 3l^2r^4[4f + r(5f' + rf'')]\} - 3l^2r^5[(4f + 3rf')g' + 2rfg''] = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$- 2l^2r^4g - 12r^6g - 2q^2l^2g + l^2r^5f'(4g + 3rg') + l^2r^6f''g + 2l^2r^4f[g + r(2g' + rg'')] = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

By solving Eq. (3.7), one finds

$$f(r) = 1 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{q^2}{3r^4} - \frac{m}{r^2}, \quad (3.10)$$

where the constant of integration is indeed the mass m of black hole. Substituting the solution (3.10) for $f(r)$ into Eq. (3.8) or (3.9) yields

$$[l^2(4q^2 - 3mr^2 - 6r^4) - 15r^6]g' - \{r^2[q^2 + 3r^2(r^2 - m)] + 3r^7\}g'' = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Solving the above equation leads to the following solution

$$g(r) = 1 + c_1 \int \frac{r^4 dr}{|3r^6 + l^2[q^2 + 3r^2(r^2 - m)]|^{3/2}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the constant of integration c_1 describes the effect of mimetic field in the solution. We also check that the above solutions satisfy Eq. (2.8) for mimetic field, as was expected. Setting $c_1 = 0$, one can easily recover the 5-dimensional charged black holes of Einstein gravity in spherically symmetric space-times. The horizons are given by real roots of $f(r_h) = 0$. Here, we find out that there are at most two horizons corresponding a black hole with inner and outer horizon. Note that, depending on choosing the parameters, one may also get the solutions which describe extremal black hole with one horizon, or a naked singularity without horizon, as illustrated in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: The diagram of function $f(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged mimetic black hole with $l = 1$, $q = 1.5$ and different values of m .

The integrand in Eq. (3.12) diverges at $r = r_h$, while both Kretschmann and Ricci scalars have finite values at $r = r_h$. This indicates that $r = r_h$ is a coordinate singularity,

not a curvature one. To obtain an analytical solution, we examine the asymptotic behavior of function $g(r)$. First, we consider the large r limit for which we can approximate the absolute value term in the integrand of Eq. (3.12) with $3(r^6 + l^2 r^4)$ and rewrite the function $g(r)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} g(r) &\approx 1 - c_1 \frac{2r^2 + l^2}{3l^4 \sqrt{3(r^4 + l^2 r^2)}} \\ &\approx 1 - \frac{2c_1}{3\sqrt{3}l^4} - \frac{c_1}{12\sqrt{3}r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^6}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, $g(r)$ tends to the constant value $1 - \frac{2c_1}{3\sqrt{3}l^4}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, as can be seen from Fig. 2.




Figure 2: The diagram of function $g(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged mimetic black hole at large r and for different values of c_1 .

The metric function $-g_{tt} = f(r)g^2(r)$ also takes the following form at large r

$$\begin{aligned} f(r)g^2(r) &\approx \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{q^2}{3r^4} - \frac{m}{r^2}\right) \left[1 - c_1 \frac{2r^2 + l^2}{3l^4 \sqrt{3(r^4 + l^2 r^2)}}\right]^2 \\ &\approx \left(1 - \frac{2c_1}{3\sqrt{3}l^4}\right)^2 + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2c_1}{3\sqrt{3}l^4}\right)^2 r^2}{l^2} + \left(2\sqrt{3}c_1 - 9l^4\right) \times \\ &\quad \frac{[\sqrt{3}c_1(l^2 - 4m) + 18l^4 m]}{162l^8 r^2} + \frac{\left(q - \frac{2c_1 q}{3\sqrt{3}l^4}\right)^2}{3r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^6}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The infinite redshift surfaces $r = r_{s_i}$ are defined by roots of $g_{tt} = 0$. It can be seen from the first line of Eq. (3.14) that for $c_1 \leq 0$, the infinite redshift surfaces coincide with the horizons *i.e.*, $r_{s_i} = r_{\pm}$. On the other side, for $c_1 > 0$, the function g_{tt} admits an additional root $r_{s_3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{3l^{12} / \sqrt{9l^{20} - 4c_1^2 l^{12} / 3} - l^2}$, as illustrated in Fig. 3. When $r \rightarrow 0$, both Ricci and Kretschmann scalars diverge while in the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, they go to the values: $R = -20/l^2$ and $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 40/l^2$. Therefore, there is a curvature singularity at $r = 0$.

The electric field at large r is also given by

$$E(r) = -F_{tr} \approx \frac{q}{r^3} \left[1 - c_1 \frac{2r^2 + l^2}{3l^4 \sqrt{3(r^4 + l^2 r^2)}}\right]. \quad (3.15)$$




Figure 3: The behavior of function $f(r)g^2(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged mimetic black hole at large r and different values of c_1 . Here, we choose $m = 3.5, l = 1, q = 1.5$.

The diagram of $E(r)$ is plotted in Fig. 4. As one can see, the electric field diverges for small r and tends to zero at large r limit.




Figure 4: The behavior of Electric field $E(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged mimetic black hole at large r with $q = 1.5$.

In the following we explore the uncharged black hole solutions. To do so, we set $q = 0$ in the field equations and find out that the gravitational field equations (3.7)-(3.9) have the following solutions

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{m}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{l^2}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$g(r) = 1 + c_2 \frac{2r^2 + l^2}{\sqrt{|r^4 + l^2(r^2 - m)|}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Here, the integration constant c_2 impose the effect of mimetic field in the solution. The horizon is determined by real roots of $g^{rr} = f(r_+) = 0$ which is given by $r_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(l \sqrt{\sqrt{l^2 + 4m} - 1} \right)$. The curvature invariants have finite values at r_+ and as a result this is a coordinate singularity, not a curvature one. The sign of $|r^4 + l^2(r^2 - m)|$ in the denominator of second term of Eq. (3.17) depends on whether one consider $r < r_+$ or

$r > r_+$ regions. By expanding $g(r)$ for large r , one arrives at

$$g(r) \approx 1 + 2c_2 + \frac{c_2 l^2 (l^2 + 4m)}{4r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^6}\right). \quad (3.18)$$

When $r \rightarrow \infty$, $g(r) \rightarrow 1 + 2c_2$, that indicates the mimetic field incorporates in the metric function $g(r)$ through the constant c_2 . The tt component of function $f(r)g^2(r)$ is also give by

$$f(r)g^2(r) = \frac{\left[c_2 (l^2 + 2r^2) + \sqrt{|r^4 + l^2 (r^2 - m)|} \right]^2}{l^2 r^2}, \quad (3.19)$$

with the following expansion at large r

$$f(r)g^2(r) \approx (1 + 2c_2)^2 + \frac{(1 + 2c_2)^2}{l^2} r^2 + \frac{(1 + 2c_2)(c_2 l^2 - 2m)}{2r^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^6}\right). \quad (3.20)$$

The infinite redshift surfaces are the roots of $g_{tt} = 0$, that are

$$r_{s_i} = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - 4c_2^2) l^2 \pm \sqrt{(1 - 4c_2^2) l^2 (l^2 + 4m)}}{8c_2^2 - 2}}, \quad (3.21)$$

where $i = 1, 2$. The Ricci scalar and the Kretschmann invariant are also given by the following expressions

$$R = \frac{2c_2 [l^4 - 20r^4 + 2l^2 (2m - 5r^2)] - 20r^2 \sqrt{|r^4 + l^2 (r^2 - m)|}}{l^2 r^2 \left[c_2 (l^2 + 2r^2) + \sqrt{|r^4 + l^2 (r^2 - m)|} \right]}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= \frac{1}{l^4 r^8 \left[c_2 (l^2 + 2r^2) + \sqrt{|r^4 + l^2 (r^2 - m)|} \right]^2} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ -8 (5r^8 + 9l^4 m^2) [l^2 (m - r^2) - r^4] \right. \\ &\quad + 4c_2^2 [l^8 (-18r^2 m + 7r^4 + 18m^2) + l^6 (20r^4 m - 2r^6) \\ &\quad + r^4 (40r^4 m^2 - 8r^6 m + 6r^8) + 8r^8 l^2 (5r^2 - 2m) + 40r^{12}] \\ &\quad + 8c_2 \sqrt{|r^4 + l^2 (r^2 - m)|} (9l^6 m (2m - r^2) - r^6 l^4 \\ &\quad \left. + l^2 (10r^8 - 4r^6 m) + 20r^{10}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

In the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, both Ricci and Kretschmann invariants diverge. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, they go to $-20/l^2$ and $40/l^4$, respectively. Therefore, there is an essential singularity at $r = 0$.

3.2 Charged rotating solutions in cylindrical symmetric space-times

Now, let us investigate charged rotating solutions with cylindrical symmetric space-time. In doing so, we consider the following 5-dimensional metric [54–56]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -f(r)g^2(r) \left(\Xi dt - \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i d\varphi_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{r^2}{l^4} \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i dt - \Xi l^2 d\varphi_i)^2 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \sum_{i<j}^2 (a_i d\varphi_j - a_j d\varphi_i)^2 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} dz^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where $\Xi = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i^2/l^2}$. The constants a_i and l have dimensions of length and as we will see later, the former ones are rotation parameters and the latter can be interpreted as the AdS radius. Here also, as spherically symmetric metric (3.1), we have considered a new function $g(r)$ because of an extra gravitational degree of freedom due to the mimetic field. The ranges of the time and radial coordinates are $-\infty < t < \infty, 0 \leq r < \infty$. The topology of horizon can be

- (i) the flat 3-torus T^3 with topology $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ and the ranges $0 \leq \varphi_1 < 2\pi, 0 \leq \varphi_2 < 2\pi, 0 \leq z < 2\pi l$,
- (ii) the 3-dimensional cylinder with topology $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$ and the ranges $0 \leq \varphi_1 < 2\pi, 0 \leq \varphi_2 < 2\pi, -\infty < z < \infty$,
- (iii) the infinite plane with topology \mathbb{R}^3 and the ranges $-\infty < \varphi_1 < \infty, -\infty < \varphi_2 < \infty, -\infty < z < \infty$.

Here, we consider the topologies (i) and (ii). The former describes uncharged rotating solutions, while the latter represents charged rotating solutions. The gauge potential is also given by

$$A_\mu = h(r) \left(\Xi \delta_\mu^0 - \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i \delta_\mu^{\varphi_i} \right). \quad (3.25)$$

Having obtained the above gauge potential, the nonvanishing components of electromagnetic field strength tensor take the form

$$F_{tr} = -\Xi h'(r), F_{\varphi_1 r} = a_1 h'(r), F_{\varphi_2 r} = a_2 h'(r), \quad (3.26)$$

By substituting the gauge potential into the Maxwell field equation (2.5), one finds that, the t , ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 components of Maxwell equation yield the same differential equation for the function $h(r)$, that is

$$g(rh'' + 3h') - rg'h' = 0, \quad (3.27)$$

which admits the following solution

$$h'(r) = \frac{q}{r^3} g(r), \quad (3.28)$$

where we replace the constant of integration by the electric charge q . By substituting the solution (3.28) into the non-zero components of Maxwell tensor (3.26) and inserting the results into Eq. (2.7), we arrive at the following seven independent differential equations from nonzero components of Einstein equations,

$$\begin{aligned} & r^7 l^2 (a_1^2 + a_2^2) [3r f' g' + 2f (r g'' + 2g')] \\ & + r^2 (a_1^2 + a_2^2) g (r^6 l^2 f'' + 4r^5 l^2 f' + 2r^4 l^2 f - 12r^6 - 2q^2 l^2) \\ & - \Xi^2 l^4 f g^3 (3r^5 l^2 f' + 6r^4 l^2 f - 12r^6 + 2q^2 l^2) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -r^7 l^2 [(3r f' + 4f) g' + 2r f g''] + l^2 f g^3 [3r^4 l^2 (r f' + 2f) + 2(q^2 l^2 - 6r^6)] \\ & + g \{ 2r^2 (6r^6 + q^2 l^2) - r^6 l^2 [r (r f'' + 4f') + 2f] \} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

$$g \{ -3r^4 l^2 [r (r f'' + 5f') + 4f] + 48r^6 + 4q^2 l^2 \} - 3r^5 l^2 [(3r f' + 4f) g' + 2r f g''] = 0, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1^2 l^2 f g^3 [3r^4 l^2 (rf' + 2f) + 2(q^2 l^2 - 6r^6)] \\
& + r^7 l^2 (a_2 + \Xi l)(a_2 - \Xi l) [(3rf' + 4f)g' + 2rf g''] \\
& + r^2 g(a_2 + \Xi l)(a_2 - \Xi l) \{r^4 l^2 [r(rf'' + 4f') + 2f] - 2(6r^6 + q^2 l^2)\} = 0, \quad (3.32)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& r^7 l^2 [(3rf' + 4f)g' + 2rf g''] - l^2 f g^3 [3r^4 l^2 (rf' + 2f) + 2(q^2 l^2 - 6r^6)] \\
& + g \{r^6 l^2 [r(rf'' + 4f') + 2f] - 2(q^2 r^2 l^2 + 6r^8)\} = 0, \quad (3.33)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_2^2 l^2 f g^3 [3r^4 l^2 (rf' + 2f) + 2(q^2 l^2 - 6r^6)] \\
& + r^7 l^2 (a_1 + \Xi l)(a_1 - \Xi l) [(3rf' + 4f)g' + 2rf g''] \\
& + r^2 g(a_1 + \Xi l)(a_1 - \Xi l) \{r^4 l^2 [r(rf'' + 4f') + 2f] - 2(6r^6 + q^2 l^2)\} = 0, \quad (3.34)
\end{aligned}$$

$$r^7 l^2 [(3rf' + 4f)g' + 2rf g''] + g \{r^6 l^2 [r(rf'' + 4f') + 2f] - 2(q^2 r^2 l^2 + 6r^8)\} = 0. \quad (3.35)$$

The next step is to solve the above field equations and obtain the unknown functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$. To do so, we subtract Eq. (3.35) from Eq. (3.33). Doing so, yields the following equation on $f(r)$

$$-l^2 f g^3 [3r^4 l^2 (rf' + 2f) + 2(q^2 l^2 - 6r^6)] = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

Solving the equation leads to

$$f(r) = -\frac{m}{r^2} + \frac{q^2}{3r^4} + \frac{r^2}{l^2}, \quad (3.37)$$

where mass m is the constants of integration.




Figure 5: The diagram of function $f(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged rotating solutions in mimetic gravity with $l = 1$, $q = 1.5$ and different values of m .

Substituting the function $f(r)$ from Eq. (3.37) into Eq. (3.30), leads to the following equation for the metric function $g(r)$

$$[l^2 (-3mr^2 + 4q^2) - 15r^6] g' + [r l^2 (-3mr^2 + q^2) + 3r^7] g'' = 0, \quad (3.38)$$

with the solution

$$g(r) = 1 + c_3 \int \frac{r^4 dr}{|3r^6 + l^2 (q^2 - 3mr^2)|^{3/2}}, \quad (3.39)$$

where, as already mentioned, the constant c_4 reflects the imprint of mimetic field in the solutions. It also is not difficult to show that the solutions (3.37) and (3.39) satisfy Eq. (2.8) in five dimensions. For large r , the absolute value in the integrand of (3.39) can be approximated by $3r^6$, and thus we can rewrite the function $g(r)$ as

$$g(r) \approx 1 - \frac{c_3}{12\sqrt{3}r^4}. \quad (3.40)$$




Figure 6: The diagram of function $g(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged rotating solutions in mimetic gravity and different values of c_3 .

In this limit the metric Function $f(r)g^2(r)$ is also given by

$$f(r)g^2(r) \approx \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{m}{r^2} - \frac{c_3}{6\sqrt{3}l^2r^2} + \frac{q^2}{3r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^6}\right), \quad (3.41)$$

In the above solutions, the term containing c_3 is the impact of mimetic field on charged rotating solution of Einstein gravity in five dimensions.




Figure 7: The behavior of function $f(r)g^2(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged rotating solutions in mimetic gravity and different values of c_3 . Here we choose $m = 3.5, l = 1, q = 1.5$.

On the other side, when $r \rightarrow 0$, one can neglect the term $3r^6$ in the absolute value term in the integrand of Eq. (3.39) and therefore the function $g(r)$, in this limit, is given

by

$$g(r) \approx 1 + \frac{c_3 r^5}{5l^3 q^3} + \dots, \quad (3.42)$$

and hence

$$f(r)g^2(r) \approx \frac{q^2}{3r^4} - \frac{m}{r^2} + \frac{2c_3 r}{15ql^3} + \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2c_3 r^3 m}{5q^3 l^3} + \dots. \quad (3.43)$$

It can be seen that, near the singularity $r \rightarrow 0$, metric function $f(r)g^2(r)$ diverges, as was expected.




Figure 8: The behavior of Electric field $E(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged rotating solutions in mimetic gravity with $c_3 = 1.75$, $\Xi = 1.2$ and different values of q .




Figure 9: The behavior of Electric field $E(r)$ for 5-dimensional charged rotating solutions in mimetic gravity with $q = 1.5$, $\Xi = 1.2$ and different values of c_3 .

The horizon is the real root of equation $f(r) = 0$, for which the metric function $f(r)$ is given by (3.37). Depending on the values of the parameters this equation may have zero, one or two roots. The cases with zero or one root correspond to naked singularity and extremal solutions, respectively. When this equation has two roots, we encounter a solution with two inner and outer horizon. For $r \rightarrow 0$, both Ricci and Kretschmann scalars diverge while in the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, they go to the values: $R = -20/l^2$ and $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 40/l^2$. Therefore, there is a curvature singularity at $r = 0$.

The electric field at large r is given by

$$E(r) = -F_{tr} \approx \frac{q\Xi}{r^3} \left(1 - \frac{c_3}{12\sqrt{3}r^4} \right). \quad (3.44)$$

The behavior of $E(r)$ is plotted in Figs. 8 and 9. As can be seen, the electric field diverges for small r and tends to zero at large r limit.

The uncharged solutions can be achieved by setting $q = 0$ in Eq. (3.36),

$$(-3ml^2r^2 - 15r^6) g' + (-3ml^2r^3 + 3r^7) g'' = 0, \quad (3.45)$$

with the following solution

$$g(r) = 1 + c_4 \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{|r^4 - ml^2|}}. \quad (3.46)$$

To investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions, we consider the non-rotating case ($a_1 = a_2 = 0$), in the above solutions. The tt component of the metric function is also given by

$$f(r)g^2(r) = \frac{\left(c_4r^2 + \sqrt{|r^4 - ml^2|} \right)^2}{l^2r^2}. \quad (3.47)$$

Expanding $g(r)$ and $f(r)g^2(r)$ for large values of r leads to

$$g(r) \approx 1 + c_4 + \frac{c_4ml^2}{2r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^8}\right), \quad (3.48)$$

$$f(r)g^2(r) \approx \frac{(1 + c_4)^2}{l^2} r^2 - \frac{(1 + c_4)m}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^6}\right). \quad (3.49)$$

The Ricci scalar and the Kretschmann invariant take the following form

$$R = \frac{4 \left(c_4l^2m - 5c_4r^4 - 5r^2\sqrt{|r^4 - ml^2|} \right)}{r^2l^2 \left(c_4r^2 + \sqrt{|r^4 - ml^2|} \right)}, \quad (3.50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= \frac{8}{l^4r^8 \left[(c_4^2 - 1)r^4 + l^2m \right]^2} \times \\ &\left\{ -2(c_4^2 - 1)r^{10}l^2m \left[(c_4^2 - 5)r^2 - c_4\sqrt{|r^4 - ml^2|} \right] \right. \\ &- 2l^6m^3r^2 \left[(7c_4^2 + 9)r^2 - 9c_4\sqrt{|r^4 - ml^2|} \right] + 5(c_4^2 - 1)^2r^{16} \\ &\left. + l^4m^2r^6 \left[(5c_4^4 + 12c_4^2 + 14)r^2 - 2c_4(5c_4^2 + 8)\sqrt{|r^4 - ml^2|} \right] + 9l^8m^4 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

In the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, both Ricci and Kretschmann invariants diverge. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, they go to $-20/l^2$ and $40/l^4$, respectively. This confirms that, $r = 0$ is an essential singularity of space-time.

In the following, we are going to find some physical quantities of solutions such as temperature, entropy, mass and angular momenta. By setting $t \rightarrow i\tau$, one can obtain

the Euclideanized version of the metric (3.1) which implies that the period of Euclidean time to be as $\tau \sim \tau + \beta_+$. Thus, the inverse Hawking temperature takes the following form [20]

$$\beta_+ = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{4\pi\Xi}{g(r_+)f'(r_+)}, \quad (3.52)$$

where r_+ is the largest root of $f(r)$. Upon Euclidean continuation, we must take $a_j \rightarrow ia_j$, as well. The periodicity of Euclideanized time $\tau \sim \tau + \beta_+$ also leads to the periodicity of angular coordinates as $\phi_j \sim \phi_j + i\beta_+\Omega_{+j}$, where

$$\Omega_{+j} = \frac{a_j}{\Xi l^2}, \quad (3.53)$$

are the angular velocities at the horizon [57]. The above result for inverse Hawking temperature can also be obtained by computing the surface gravity via the following formula

$$\beta_+ = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla^\mu\chi^\nu)(\nabla_\mu\chi_\nu)}}, \quad (3.54)$$

where the Killing vector is given by $\chi^\nu = (1, 0, \Omega_{+1}, \Omega_{+2}, 0)$ associated with the Killing horizon $\mathcal{S}(r = r_+)$. The entropy for metric (3.1) is given by the quarter of horizon area

$$S = \frac{A_h}{4} = \frac{\pi^2 r_+^3}{2}. \quad (3.55)$$

To obtain thermodynamical quantities, we should add the Gibbons–Hawking boundary term to 5-dimensional version of action (2.1) and then get Einstein–Maxwell equations by varying the bulk metric with fixed boundary metric. Here, the boundary action is given by

$$I_s = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma} \Theta, \quad (3.56)$$

where γ_{ab} is the boundary metric and Θ is the trace of the extrinsic curvature Θ_{ab} of the boundary. We use the counterterm approach [50, 51] to eliminate the divergences of action. In this approach we add some local surface integrals to the action to make it finite. The following counterterm get a finite action up to seven dimensions [56]

$$I_{ct} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left(\frac{3}{l} - \frac{l}{4} \mathcal{R} + \dots \right), \quad (3.57)$$

where dots denote the terms which are needed in higher dimensions. Here, \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_{ab} are the Ricci scalar and tensor for the boundary metric γ . By varying the finite action with respect to boundary metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$, we can find a divergence-free stress tensor which has been introduced by Brown and York [52]

$$T^{ab} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\Theta^{ab} - \Theta\gamma^{ab} + \frac{3}{l}\gamma^{ab} - \frac{l}{2}\mathcal{G}^{ab} + \dots \right), \quad (3.58)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{ab} = \mathcal{R}_{ab} - \mathcal{R}\gamma_{ab}/2$ is the Einstein tensor of boundary metric γ_{ab} . The above stress tensor is divergence-free for dimensions less than six, but one can add more counterterms to get a finite action in higher dimensions [53]. For asymptotically AdS solutions with flat horizons, the only nonvanishing counterterm is the first term in (3.57) which yields the stress-energy tensor (3.58) up to the third term. Using the above stress tensor, one

can define the quasilocal conserved quantities for an asymptotically AdS spacetime. The conserved charge associated to a Killing vector ξ_a is given by

$$Q_\xi = \int_\Sigma d^3x \sqrt{\sigma} u^a T_{ab} \xi^b, \quad (3.59)$$

where $u_a = -N\delta_a^0$, while N and σ are the lapse function and the spacelike metric which appear in the ADM-like decomposition of the boundary metric

$$\gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j = -N^2 dt^2 + \sigma_{ab} (dx^a + V^a dt) (dx^b + V^b dt). \quad (3.60)$$

Here also V^a is the shift vector. To obtain the total mass (energy) we should set $\xi = \partial_t$ *i.e.*, the Killing vector conjugate to time coordinate t and to obtain the i th component of angular momentum we should set $\xi = \partial_{\phi_i}$ *i.e.*, the Killing vector conjugate to angular coordinate ϕ_i . Using the definition (3.59) for conserved charges, we find the total mass per unit volume of horizon for charged solutions to be given by

$$M = \frac{1}{144\pi l^3} \{ml^2 (4\xi^2 - 1) + 2\sqrt{3}c_3(\xi^2 - 1)\}, \quad (3.61)$$

while the angular momenta per unit volume of horizon are given by

$$J_i = \frac{1}{144\pi l^3} (36ml^2 + 2\sqrt{3}c_3) \Xi a_i. \quad (3.62)$$

In the case of uncharged solutions, the total mass and angular momenta per unit volume of horizon are as follows

$$M = \frac{m}{16\pi l} (4\xi^2 - 1 + 3c_4), \quad (3.63)$$

$$J_i = \frac{4}{16\pi l} m \Xi a_i. \quad (3.64)$$

4 Extension to higher dimensions

Now, we are in a position to extend our solutions to $D > 4$ dimensions. We first consider the spherically symmetric spacetimes for which the line element is given by the D -dimensional version of metric (3.1), where $d\Omega^2$ is the line element of a $(D-2)$ -sphere. The topology of event horizon and space-time therefore are given by $S^{(D-2)}$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^{(D-2)}$, respectively. The next step is to solve Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) for the given metric. To do so, we solve them for six and seven dimensions⁴ and find the following structure for the metric functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ in D -dimensions

$$f(r) = 1 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{m}{r^{D-3}} + \frac{2q^2}{(D-3)(D-2)r^{2(D-3)}}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$g(r) = 1 + c_1 \int \frac{r^{2(D-3)} dr}{\left| \frac{(D-3)(D-2)}{2} r^{2(D-2)} + l^2 \left[q^2 + \frac{(D-3)(D-2)}{2} r^{D-3} (r^{D-3} - m) \right] \right|^{3/2}}. \quad (4.2)$$

To obtain an analytical solution for $g(r)$, one can examine the asymptotic behavior of function at large r , that is

$$g(r) \approx 1 - c_1 \frac{2r \sqrt{\frac{2r^2}{l^2} + 2} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{3}{2}, 2 - \frac{D}{2}; 3 - \frac{D}{2}; -\frac{r^2}{l^2} \right)}{(D-4)(D-3)(D-2)l^2 \sqrt{(D-3)(D-2)r^{2(D-3)}(r^2 + l^2)}}, \quad (4.3)$$

⁴The detail of calculations are omitted here for the sake of brevity.

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function. In the uncharged case we get

$$f(r) = 1 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{m}{r^{D-3}}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$g(r) = 1 + c_1 \int \frac{r^{(D-3)/2} dr}{|r^{(D-1)} + l^2 (r^{D-3} - m)|^{3/2}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Here also the function $g(r)$ at large r takes the following form

$$g(r) \approx 1 - c_2 \frac{r^{\frac{5-D}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{3-D}{2}; 3 - \frac{D}{2}; -\frac{r^2}{l^2}\right)}{(D-4)l^2 \sqrt{r^{D-3}(r^2 + l^2)}}. \quad (4.6)$$

We have found that for charged and uncharged cases, in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, both Ricci and Kretschmann invariants diverge while as $r \rightarrow \infty$, they go to $-D(D-1)/l^2$ and $2D(D-1)/l^4$, respectively. As a result, $r = 0$ is an essential singularity of space-time.

The solutions for cylindrical symmetric space-times can also be found by considering the line element (3.24) in D dimensions. The metric (3.24) is modified by considering n rotation parameters rather than 2, and the relation between the number of rotation parameters and dimension is given by $n = [(D-1)/2]$, where the bracket denotes the integer part. In this case, the possible topologies of horizon are given by,

- (i) the flat n -torus T^n with topology $S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$ and the ranges $0 \leq \varphi_i < 2\pi, 0 \leq z < 2\pi l$,
- (ii) the $(D-2)$ -dimensional cylinder with topology $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$ and the ranges $0 \leq \varphi_i < 2\pi, -\infty < z < \infty$,
- (iii) the infinite plane \mathbb{R}^n with the ranges $-\infty < \varphi_i < \infty, -\infty < z < \infty$.

where $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here, as 5-dimensional case, we consider the topology (i) and (ii). Here also we solve the Einstein-Maxwell field equations in the presence of mimetic field for six and seven dimensions and find the following D -dimensional form for the metric functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$

$$f(r) = \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{m}{r^{D-3}} + \frac{2q^2}{(D-3)(D-2)r^{2(D-3)}}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$g(r) = 1 + c_3 \int \frac{r^{2(D-3)} dr}{\left| \frac{(D-3)(D-2)}{2} r^{2(D-2)} + l^2 \left[q^2 - \frac{(D-3)(D-2)}{2} m r^{D-3} \right] \right|^{3/2}}. \quad (4.8)$$

At large r , the function $g(r)$ reads

$$g(r) \approx 1 - \left[\frac{2}{(D-3)(D-2)} \right]^{3/2} \frac{c_3}{D-1} \frac{1}{r^{D-1}}. \quad (4.9)$$

In the absence of Maxwell field, we get

$$f(r) = \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{m}{r^{D-3}}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$g(r) = 1 + c_4 \frac{r^{(D-1)/2}}{\sqrt{|r^{D-1} - ml^2|}}, \quad (4.11)$$

Here also, as spherically symmetric space-times, we observe that, even in the absence of Maxwell field, in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, both Ricci and Kretschmann invariants diverge while as $r \rightarrow \infty$, they go to $-D(D-1)/l^2$ and $2D(D-1)/l^4$, respectively. This indicates that, there is a space-time singularity at $r = 0$.

The entropy is given by the quarter of horizon area [56]

$$S = \frac{A_h}{4} = \frac{\omega_{D-2} r_+^{D-2} \Xi}{4}. \quad (4.12)$$

where, ω_{D-2} is the volume of $(D-2)$ -dimensional horizon. By redefinition of conserved charges in D -dimensions, we find the total mass of the charged solutions to be given by

$$M = \frac{\omega_{D-2}}{144\pi l^3} \{m l^2 [(D-1)\Xi^2 - 1] + 2\sqrt{3}c_3(\Xi^2 - 1)\}, \quad (4.13)$$

while the angular momenta are given by

$$J_i = \frac{\omega_{D-2}}{144\pi l^3} [9(D-1)m l^2 + 2\sqrt{3}c_3] \Xi a_i. \quad (4.14)$$

In the absence of Maxwell field the total mass and angular momenta are given by

$$M = \frac{\omega_{D-2} m}{16\pi l} [(D-1)\Xi^2 - 1 + (D-2)c_4], \quad (4.15)$$

$$J_i = \frac{(D-1)\omega_{D-2}}{16\pi l} m \Xi a_i. \quad (4.16)$$

It can be seen that, total mass and angular momenta reduce to the corresponding ones in Einstein-Maxwell gravity in cylindrical symmetric AdS space-time by setting $c_3 = c_4 = 0$ [56]. For $D = 4$ and in the absence of mimetic field, they also reduce to the mass and angular momenta calculated in [55, 58].

5 Concluding remarks

We have presented two class of charged static and rotating solutions of mimetic gravity in D dimensions. In doing so, we have constructed the solutions in five, six and seven dimensions in spherically symmetric as well as cylindrical symmetric spacetimes and then extend them to higher dimensions. We observe that, for both class of solutions, the spacetime admits a curvature singularity in mimetic gravity. We have found that both Ricci and Kretschmann invariants diverge at $r = 0$ and are finite at $r \neq 0$. Thus, we have an essential singularity at this point. We also have used the conserved charges defined by Brown and York to calculate the total mass and angular momenta of these solutions. It can be seen that, the mass and angular momenta differ for charged and uncharged rotating solutions. The reason is that, the function $g(r)$ in charged solutions is obtained with the approximation large r , while in uncharged case, we have not used any approximation in obtaining the function $g(r)$. We also observe that, when $D = 4$, our results for singularities, the asymptotic behaviour of Ricci and Kretschmann invariants as well as mass and angular momenta are fully consistent with the corresponding ones in black string solutions obtained in [20]. For future works, one can consider the solutions in the presence of a variable potential. Finding the solutions with higher-derivative corrections to mimetic field is also an interesting feature.

Acknowledgements

This work has been financially supported by sirjan university of technology under grant.

References

- [1] A. H. Chamseddine and V. Mukhanov, JHEP **11**, 135 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2013)135 [arXiv:1308.5410 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [2] A. H. Chamseddine, V. Mukhanov and A. Vikman, JCAP **06**, 017 (2014) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/06/017 [arXiv:1403.3961 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [3] E. A. Lim, I. Sawicki and A. Vikman, JCAP **05**, 012 (2010) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2010/05/012 [arXiv:1003.5751 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [4] R. Myrzakulov and L. Sebastiani, Gen. Rel. Grav. **47**, no.8, 89 (2015) doi:10.1007/s10714-015-1930-4 [arXiv:1503.04293 [gr-qc]].
- [5] R. Myrzakulov, L. Sebastiani, S. Vagnozzi and S. Zerbini, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, no.12, 125005 (2016) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/33/12/125005 [arXiv:1510.02284 [gr-qc]].
- [6] N. Deruelle and J. Rua, JCAP **09**, 002 (2014) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/09/002 [arXiv:1407.0825 [gr-qc]].
- [7] G. G. L. Nashed, W. El Hanafy and K. Bamba, JCAP **01**, 058 (2019) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/01/058 [arXiv:1809.02289 [gr-qc]].
- [8] G. Nashed, Symmetry **10**, no.11, 559 (2018) doi:10.3390/sym10110559
- [9] G. G. L. Nashed, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **15**, no.09, 1850154 (2018) doi:10.1142/S0219887818501542
- [10] M. A. Gorji, A. Allahyari, M. Khodadi and H. Firouzjahi, Phys. Rev. D **101**, no.12, 124060 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124060 [arXiv:1912.04636 [gr-qc]].
- [11] A. V. Astashenok, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no.18, 185007 (2015) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/18/185007 [arXiv:1504.04861 [gr-qc]].
- [12] S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Annals Phys. **363**, 503-514 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2015.10.013 [arXiv:1508.07488 [gr-qc]].
- [13] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Phys. Lett. B **775**, 44-49 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.10.045 [arXiv:1710.07838 [gr-qc]].
- [14] S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Nucl. Phys. B **929**, 79-112 (2018) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.01.027 [arXiv:1801.10529 [gr-qc]].
- [15] V. K. Oikonomou, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **25**, no.07, 1650078 (2016) doi:10.1142/S0218271816500784 [arXiv:1605.00583 [gr-qc]].

- [16] C. Y. Chen, M. Bouhmadi-López and P. Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, no.1, 59 (2018) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5556-z [arXiv:1710.10638 [gr-qc]].
- [17] A. H. Chamseddine, V. Mukhanov and T. B. Russ, *Phys. Lett. B* **798**, 134939 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134939 [arXiv:1908.01717 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. H. Chamseddine and V. Mukhanov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, no.3, 183 (2017) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4759-z [arXiv:1612.05861 [gr-qc]].
- [19] A. Sheykhi and S. Grunau, [arXiv:1911.13072 [gr-qc]].
- [20] A. Sheykhi, *JHEP* **07**, 031 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2020)031 [arXiv:2002.11718 [gr-qc]].
- [21] A. Sheykhi, *JHEP* **01**, 043 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2021)043 [arXiv:2009.12826 [gr-qc]].
- [22] A. H. Chamseddine and V. Mukhanov, *JCAP* **03**, 009 (2017) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/03/009 [arXiv:1612.05860 [gr-qc]].
- [23] J. Dutta, W. Khyllep, E. N. Saridakis, N. Tamanini and S. Vagnozzi, *JCAP* **02**, 041 (2018) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/02/041 [arXiv:1711.07290 [gr-qc]].
- [24] M. A. Gorji, S. A. Hosseini Mansoori and H. Firouzjahi, *JCAP* **01**, 020 (2018) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/01/020 [arXiv:1709.09988 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [25] M. H. Abbassi, A. Jozani and H. R. Sepangi, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no.12, 123510 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.123510 [arXiv:1803.00209 [gr-qc]].
- [26] N. Sadeghnezhad and K. Nozari, *Phys. Lett. B* **769**, 134-140 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.03.039 [arXiv:1703.06269 [gr-qc]].
- [27] Y. Zhong and D. Sáez-Chillón Gómez, *Symmetry* **10**, no.5, 170 (2018) doi:10.3390/sym10050170 [arXiv:1805.03467 [gr-qc]].
- [28] J. Matsumoto, [arXiv:1610.07847 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [29] M. A. Gorji, S. Mukohyama, H. Firouzjahi and S. A. Hosseini Mansoori, *JCAP* **08**, 047 (2018) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/08/047 [arXiv:1807.06335 [hep-th]].
- [30] M. A. Gorji, S. Mukohyama and H. Firouzjahi, *JCAP* **05**, 019 (2019) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/05/019 [arXiv:1903.04845 [gr-qc]].
- [31] L. Sebastiani, S. Vagnozzi and R. Myrzakulov, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2017**, 3156915 (2017) doi:10.1155/2017/3156915 [arXiv:1612.08661 [gr-qc]].
- [32] F. R. Tangherlini, *Nuovo Cim.* **27**, 636-651 (1963) doi:10.1007/BF02784569
- [33] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Annals Phys.* **172**, 304 (1986) doi:10.1016/0003-4916(86)90186-7
- [34] S. Dimopoulos and G. L. Landsberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 161602 (2001) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.161602 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106295 [hep-ph]].

- [35] S. B. Giddings and S. D. Thomas, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 056010 (2002)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.056010 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106219 [hep-ph]].
- [36] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, *Nucl. Phys. B* **476**, 118-132 (1996)
doi:10.1016/0550-3213(96)00355-0 [arXiv:hep-th/9603100 [hep-th]].
- [37] J. C. Breckenridge, D. A. Lowe, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet, A. Strominger and C. Vafa, *Phys. Lett. B* **381**, 423-426 (1996) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00553-9 [arXiv:hep-th/9603078 [hep-th]].
- [38] J. C. Breckenridge, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet and C. Vafa, *Phys. Lett. B* **391**, 93-98 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(96)01460-8 [arXiv:hep-th/9602065 [hep-th]].
- [39] R. Kallosh, A. Rajaraman and W. K. Wong, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, R3246-R3249 (1997)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.R3246 [arXiv:hep-th/9611094 [hep-th]].
- [40] D. Klemm and W. A. Sabra, *Phys. Lett. B* **503**, 147-153 (2001)
doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00181-2 [arXiv:hep-th/0010200 [hep-th]].
- [41] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Phys. Lett. B* **598**, 273-278 (2004)
doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.08.011 [arXiv:hep-th/0406196 [hep-th]].
- [42] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 081502 (2004)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.081502 [arXiv:hep-th/0407058 [hep-th]].
- [43] J. M. Maldacena, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231-252 (1998)
doi:10.1023/A:1026654312961 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th]].
- [44] Y. Morisawa and D. Ida, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 124005 (2004)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.124005 [arXiv:gr-qc/0401100 [gr-qc]].
- [45] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 101101 (2002)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.101101 [arXiv:hep-th/0110260 [hep-th]].
- [46] H. Elvang, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 124016 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.124016 [arXiv:hep-th/0305247 [hep-th]].
- [47] R. Emparan, *JHEP* **03**, 064 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/03/064 [arXiv:hep-th/0402149 [hep-th]].
- [48] J. Kunz and F. Navarro-Lerida, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 081101 (2006)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.081101 [arXiv:hep-th/0510250 [hep-th]].
- [49] R. André and J. P. S. Lemos, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.2, 024006 (2020)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024006 [arXiv:2006.10050 [hep-th]].
- [50] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, *JHEP* **07**, 023 (1998)
doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1998/07/023 [arXiv:hep-th/9806087 [hep-th]].
- [51] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **208**, 413-428 (1999)
doi:10.1007/s002200050764 [arXiv:hep-th/9902121 [hep-th]].
- [52] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Jr., *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 1407-1419 (1993)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.47.1407 [arXiv:gr-qc/9209012 [gr-qc]].

- [53] P. Kraus, F. Larsen and R. Siebelink, Nucl. Phys. B **563**, 259-278 (1999)
doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00549-0 [arXiv:hep-th/9906127 [hep-th]].
- [54] J. P. S. Lemos, Phys. Lett. B **353**, 46-51 (1995) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(95)00533-Q
[arXiv:gr-qc/9404041 [gr-qc]].
- [55] J. P. S. Lemos and V. T. Zanchin, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 3840-3853 (1996)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.54.3840 [arXiv:hep-th/9511188 [hep-th]].
- [56] A. M. Awad, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 2827-2834 (2003)
doi:10.1088/0264-9381/20/13/327 [arXiv:hep-th/0209238 [hep-th]].
- [57] S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter and M. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 064005 (1999)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.064005 [arXiv:hep-th/9811056 [hep-th]].
- [58] M. H. Dehghani, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 044006 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.66.044006
[arXiv:hep-th/0205129 [hep-th]].
- [59] T. Nutma, Comput. Phys. Commun. **185**, 1719-1738 (2014)
doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2014.02.006 [arXiv:1308.3493 [cs.SC]].