

Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces Aided Communication: Capacity and Performance Analysis Over Rician Fading Channel

Chandradeep Singh, Chia Hsiang Lin

Abstract

In this work, we consider a single input single output (SISO) system for Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface (RIS) assisted mmWave communication. We consider Rician channel models over user node to RIS and RIS to Access Point (AP). We obtain closed form expressions for capacity with channel state information (CSI) and without CSI at the transmitter. Newly derived capacity expressions are closed form expressions in a very compact form. We also simplified the closed form expressions for average symbol error probability. We also characterize the impacts of key parameters Rician factor K and number of elements on IRS on ergodic capacity with CSI and without CSI at the transmitter.

Index Terms

Power Control, Water Filling, Ergodic Capacity, Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface (RIS).

I. INTRODUCTION

TRANSMISSION at high frequencies is required to provide high data rate in next generation wireless networks. Transmission over mmWave is highly susceptible to path loss. To compensate for this path loss, highly directed beams are used for line of sight (LoS) communication using highly directed antenna arrays. Still these beams at high frequencies are attenuated or blocked by the objects in the LoS path between two communicating nodes. RIS is usually deployed to provide a virtual LoS path between two communicating nodes and increases the channel quality between the two nodes. RIS is the key technique that provides the small reconfigurable wireless environment for next generation wireless networks [1]. RIS has energy efficient low cost reflecting elements which are controlled by the RIS controller.

Authors describe the key features of RIS technology, RIS consists of nearly passive elements, low cost reflecting elements with reconfigurable parameters to enhance the spectrum and energy efficiency of next generation mobile networks [2]. RIS technology has better performance than existing wireless technologies such as multiple input and multiple output (MIMO) systems, multi antenna amplify and forward relaying systems with a small number of antennas [3], [4]. Most of the literature on RIS technology focuses on active and passive beamforming [5], [6], [7], [8]. Upper bound on channel capacity and asymptotic expressions for outage probability over Rician fading models are derived for RIS assisted communication [9]. Non-central chi-square distribution based approximations are used to estimate the channel distribution of the RIS and upper bounds on bit error rate are derived for indoor and outdoor communication [10]. In [11], authors optimize phase shift for instantaneous CSI to maximize average rate and maximize ergodic rate by optimizing the phase shift for statistical CSI in RIS aided communication. In [12], authors derive expression for outage probability for maximal ratio transmission (MRT) and optimize the phase shift to minimize the outage. Characterisation of the sum of $\alpha - \mu$ distributed random variates has been studied in [13]. Low cost adaptive phase shift design with LoS CSI in RIS aided communication has been proposed in [14]. In [15], outage probability and achievable rate for single input and single output (SISO) systems have been derived for RIS aided communication. In [16], accurate approximations of channel distribution for Rayleigh fading and performance matrices are derived for RIS consisting of any number of elements. Recently, outage probability, average symbol error probability and channel capacity over the Rician fading model have been derived for more accurate closed form approximations [17].

While the aforementioned works study performance analysis, the power control for known CSI with Rician fading in RIS aided communication has not been covered in the literature. We use Laguerre series

method given in [18] to derive channel distribution then derive ergodic capacity with CSI at transmitter. We use a water filling algorithm with CSI for optimal power control as described in [19]. Our capacity expressions are more simplified than [17].

The rest of the article is organized as follows: System model is discussed in Section II. Capacity and performance analysis is described in Section III. Numerical evaluation of the closed form expressions is provided in Section IV. Finally, we conclude in Section V.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

As shown in fig. 1 , we consider a single antenna at transmitter and single antenna at receiver (SISO system). We consider h_l as channel gain from AP to reflecting element l at RIS and g_l as channel gain from element l at RIS to user node. RIS consists of total N reflecting elements. Phase shift induced by reflecting element l is ϕ_l . Channel vector for AP-RIS link is given by $\mathbf{h} = [h_1, \dots, h_N]^T$. Similarly channel vector for RIS-user node link is given by $\mathbf{g} = [g_1, \dots, g_N]^T$. Phase shift matrix $\boldsymbol{\phi} \triangleq \text{diag}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. P_t is transmitted power. Let x is transmitted signal then received signal at user node is

$$y = \sqrt{P_t} \mathbf{g}^T \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{h} x + n, \quad (1)$$

where n is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) $n \in \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$. Channel coefficient $h_l = \alpha_l e^{j\theta_l}$, where α_l is the amplitude and θ_l is the phase shift. Similarly, channel coefficient $g_l = \beta_l e^{j\theta'_l}$, where β_l is the amplitude and θ'_l is the phase shift. Furthermore, we assume that the envelopes of first hop channels α_l , where $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ are independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) Rician random variable with shape parameter K_1 and scale parameter Ω_1 . Similarly, envelopes of second hop channels β_l , where $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ are i.i.d. Rician random variables with shape parameter K_2 and scale parameter Ω_2 . We consider that the \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{g} are independent. Let v_i^2 denotes power in the LoS component and $2\sigma_i^2$ denotes the power in the non line of sight (NLoS) component. Shape parameter $K_i = \frac{v_i^2}{2\sigma_i^2}$ and scale parameter $\Omega_i = v_i^2 + 2\sigma_i^2$.




Fig. 1: Communication aided by an RIS

When transmitter transmits at average power P_{av} then according to [2], maximum end-to-end SNR can be obtained as

$$\gamma = \bar{\gamma} \left(\sum_{l=1}^N \alpha_l \beta_l \right)^2, \text{ where } \bar{\gamma} = \frac{P_{av}}{N_0}.$$

Let $\xi = \sum_{l=1}^N \xi_l$ where $\xi_l = \alpha_l \beta_l$. Probability density function of ξ_l can be obtained as [20, eq. (24)]

$$f_{\xi_l}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{i+j+1} \left(4K_2^i K_1^j (\Omega_1 \Omega_2)^{\frac{1}{2}(i+j+2)} \right) K_{j-i}(2y\sqrt{\Omega_1 \Omega_2})}{(i!)^2 (j!)^2 \exp(K_1 K_2)}, \quad (2)$$

where $K_\nu(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of second kind and order ν . Mean and variance of cascaded Rician random variable ξ_l are evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\xi_l) &= \mathbb{E}(\alpha_l) \mathbb{E}(\beta_l) \text{ where} \\ \mathbb{E}(\alpha_l) &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1 \pi}{K_1 + 1}} L_{1/2}(-K_1) \text{ and } \mathbb{E}(\beta_l) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_2 \pi}{K_2 + 1}} L_{1/2}(-K_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where $L_{1/2}(\cdot)$ is Laguerre polynomial. $L_{1/2}(x) = e^{x/2} \left[(1-x)I_0\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right) - xI_1\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right) \right]$, where $I_\nu(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of first kind and order ν .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\xi_l) &= \frac{\pi e^{-\frac{(K_1+K_2)}{2}}}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1 \Omega_2}{(K_1+1)(K_2+1)}} \left[(K_1+1)I_0\left(\frac{K_1}{2}\right) + K_1 I_1\left(\frac{K_1}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\times \left[(K_2+1)I_0\left(\frac{K_2}{2}\right) + K_2 I_1\left(\frac{K_2}{2}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\xi_l^2) &= \mathbb{E}(\alpha_l^2) \mathbb{E}(\beta_l^2) = \Omega_1 \Omega_2 \text{ and} \\ \text{Var}(\xi_l) &= \mathbb{E}(\xi_l^2) - [\mathbb{E}(\xi_l)]^2 = \Omega_1 \Omega_2 - [\mathbb{E}(\xi_l)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Theorem 1. PDF and cumulative density function of end-to-end SNR are given by

$$f_\gamma(\gamma) \simeq \frac{\gamma^{\frac{a-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{b\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)}{2b^{a+1}\Gamma(a+1)\bar{\gamma}^{\frac{a+1}{2}}} \text{ and} \quad (6)$$

$$F_\gamma(\gamma) \simeq \frac{\gamma\left(a+1, \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{b\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)}{\Gamma(a+1)} \text{ respectively.} \quad (7)$$

Where $\gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ is lower incomplete Gamma function given in [21, eq. 8.350.1], $a = \frac{(\mathbb{E}(\xi))^2}{\text{Var}(\xi)} - 1$ and $b = \frac{\text{Var}(\xi)}{\mathbb{E}(\xi)}$.

Proof. As ξ is the sum of i.i.d random variables therefore the central limit theorem can estimate the PDF of ξ using first term of Laguerre expansion given in [18, Sec. 2.2.2] as

$$f_\xi(y) \simeq \frac{y^a}{b^{a+1}\Gamma(a+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{b}\right). \quad (8)$$

$\mathbb{E}(\xi) = N\mathbb{E}(\xi_l)$ and $\text{Var}(\xi) = N\text{Var}(\xi_l)$. Use relation $\gamma = \bar{\gamma}\xi^2$. \square

III. PERFORMANCE AND CAPACITY ANALYSIS

A. Average Symbol Error Probability

For any modulation scheme, the performance is expressed in the form of average symbol error probability (ASEP). Expression for ASEP using CDF is given in [22] as

$$ASEP = \frac{p\sqrt{q}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-q\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} F_\gamma(\gamma) d\gamma, \quad (9)$$

where p and q are modulation specific parameters.

Theorem 2. For RIS aided communication ASEP is given by

$$ASEP = \frac{2^{(a-1)}p}{\pi\Gamma(a+1)} G_{3,4}^{2,3} \left(\frac{1}{4q\bar{\gamma}b^2} \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 \\ \frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+2}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right. \right), \quad (10)$$

where $G_{p,q}^{m,n}(\cdot)$ is Meijer's G function as given in [21, Sec. 9.3].

Proof. Eq. (9) can be written as

$$ASEP = \frac{p\sqrt{q}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(a+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-q\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \gamma \left(a+1, \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{b\sqrt{\bar{\gamma}}} \right) d\gamma. \quad (11)$$

As given in [23, Sec. 8.4.16], the lower incomplete Gamma function can be expressed as $\gamma(v, x) = G_{1,2}^{1,1} \left(x \left| \begin{matrix} 1 \\ v, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right)$. Therefore,

$$ASEP = \frac{p\sqrt{q}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(a+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-q\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} G_{1,2}^{1,1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{b\sqrt{\bar{\gamma}}} \left| \begin{matrix} 1 \\ a+1, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right) d\gamma. \quad (12)$$

Using identity given in [23, Sec. 2.24.3.1] as below

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\sigma x} G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(\omega x^{l/k} \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_m, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right) dx = \frac{k^\mu l^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{-\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{l-1}{2} + c^* (k-1)}} G_{kp+l, kq}^{km, kn+l} \left(\frac{\omega^k l^l}{\sigma^l k^{k(q-p)}} \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{1-\alpha}{l}, \dots, \frac{l-\alpha}{l}, \frac{a_1}{k}, \dots, \frac{k+a_1-1}{k}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{k}, \dots, \frac{k+a_n-1}{k}, \dots, \frac{a_p}{k}, \dots, \frac{k+a_p-1}{k} \\ \frac{b_1}{k}, \dots, \frac{k+b_1-1}{k}, \dots, \frac{b_m}{k}, \dots, \frac{k+b_m-1}{k}, \dots, \frac{b_q}{k}, \dots, \frac{k+b_q-1}{k} \end{matrix} \right. \right), \quad (13)$$

$$\text{where } \mu = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j + \frac{p-q}{2} + 1 \text{ and } c^* = m + n - \frac{p+q}{2}.$$

Finally we get,

$$ASEP = \frac{2^{(a-1)}p}{\pi\Gamma(a+1)} G_{3,4}^{2,3} \left(\frac{1}{4q\bar{\gamma}b^2} \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 \\ \frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+2}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right. \right).$$

□

Derived expression for ASEP is very compact compared to [17] and easy to implement as Meijer's G function is available in MATLAB.

B. Capacity Analysis

When AP transmits at average power then capacity can be expressed in terms of PDF of γ as

$$\langle \hat{C} \rangle = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_0^\infty \ln(1 + \gamma) f_\gamma(\gamma) d\gamma. \quad (14)$$

Theorem 3. For RIS aided communication ergodic capacity without CSI is given by

$$\langle \hat{C} \rangle = \frac{2^a}{\ln 2 \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(a+1)} G_{4,2}^{1,4} \left(4\bar{\gamma} b^2 \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{-a}{2}, \frac{-a+1}{2}, 1, 1 \\ 1, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right). \quad (15)$$

Proof. As given in [23, Sec. 8.4.6], $\ln(1+x)$ can be expressed as $\ln(1+x) = G_{2,2}^{1,2} \left(x \left| \begin{matrix} 1, 1 \\ 1, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right)$. Therefore eq. (14) can be written as

$$\langle \hat{C} \rangle = \frac{1}{2 \ln 2 (b^{a+1}) \Gamma(a+1) \bar{\gamma}^{\frac{a+1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \gamma^{\frac{a-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{b\sqrt{\bar{\gamma}}}\right) G_{2,2}^{1,2} \left(\gamma \left| \begin{matrix} 1, 1 \\ 1, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right) d\gamma.$$

Changing the variable of integration as $\gamma = t^2$, we get

$$\langle \hat{C} \rangle = \frac{1}{\ln 2 (b^{a+1}) \Gamma(a+1) \bar{\gamma}^{\frac{a+1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty t^a \exp\left(-\frac{t}{b\sqrt{\bar{\gamma}}}\right) G_{2,2}^{1,2} \left(t^2 \left| \begin{matrix} 1, 1 \\ 1, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right) dt.$$

Using the identity given in eq. (13), we get the desired expression. \square

For given CSI, optimal power for transmission is given by water filling [19] as

$$\frac{P_t(\gamma)}{P_{av}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma_0} - \frac{1}{\gamma} & \text{if } \gamma > \gamma_0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where γ_0 is cutoff water level. γ_0 satisfies average power constraint $\int_{\gamma_0}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) f_\gamma(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$.

Theorem 4. For RIS aided communication ergodic capacity using optimal power control for known CSI is given by

$$\langle C \rangle = \frac{2^a}{\ln 2 \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(a+1)} G_{4,2}^{0,4} \left(\frac{4b^2}{\gamma_0} \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{-a}{2}, \frac{-a+1}{2}, 1, 1 \\ 0, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right), \quad (17)$$

Proof. As given in [19], capacity using water filling algorithm is given by $\int_{\gamma_0}^\infty \ln\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_0}\right) f_\gamma(\gamma) d\gamma$. Following [23, Sec. 8.4.6], $\ln(x)H(x-1)$ can be expressed as $\ln(x)H(x-1) = G_{2,2}^{0,2} \left(x \left| \begin{matrix} 1, 1 \\ 0, 0 \end{matrix} \right. \right)$, where $H(x-1)$ is the unit step function. Following the proof of theorem 3, we can obtain the similar expression. \square

To the best of our knowledge, expressions (10), (15), (17) are derived in compact form for the first time.

IV. NUMERICAL EVALUATIONS

This section discusses the simulation results to show the performance of power control in RIS aided communication. In Fig. 2, we reproduce the results of [17] using a new closed form expression. We fix $K_1 = K_2 = 1$, $N = 5$ and vary Ω_1 and Ω_2 by changing σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 . This figure shows that with increase in Ω , coding gain increases and improves the performance of the system.

In our simulations for Fig. 3, we consider $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1/2$ and $N = 2$. We evaluate ergodic capacity using power control for known CSI and capacity by transmitting at average power when CSI is not available. Simulation results show that when RIS consists of a very small number of elements then the water filling algorithm improves the capacity in a low SNR regime. Fig. 3 also shows that if the value of $K_1 = K_2 = K$ is less, it means channel is more random therefore power control helps in increasing




Fig. 2: ASEP Vs SNR for different values of $K_1 = K_2 = 1$ with $N = 5$.

the data rate but for higher values of K , transmitting at average power performs as good as water filling algorithm. This shows that using RIS for LoS communication does not need power control because the channel is less random in LoS communication.

In Fig. 4, we plot capacity vs SNR for different values of K with $N = 5$. Simulations results shows that, even for low values of Rician factor K when channel is more random, increasing the elements on RIS compensate for randomness and therefore transmission at average power performs as good as the water filling algorithm. This shows that RIS with high number of reflecting elements does not need power control to improve capacity. Transmitter can transmit at average power if the number of elements is very large and it also reduces the overhead required for channel estimation.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have investigated that LoS communication aided by an RIS generally transmits over a less random Rician fading channel. A transmitter does not need CSI to adapt power if RIS consists of a large number of elements in LoS communication. CSI is needed at RIS for passive beamforming. Adaptive power control with CSI at the transmitter can improve the performance of a system in a low SNR regime in a more random environment with a low value of Rician factor K .

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author also thanks the support from "NCKU 90 and Beyond" initiative at National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Towards Smart and Reconfigurable Environment: Intelligent Reflecting Surface Aided Wireless Network," in IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 106-112, January 2020, doi: 10.1109/MCOM.001.1900107.
- [2] E. Basar, M. Di Renzo, J. De Rosny, M. Debbah, M. -S. Alouini and R. Zhang, "Wireless Communications Through Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 116753-116773, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2935192.




Fig. 3: Capacity Vs SNR for different values of $K_1 = K_2 = K$ with $N = 2$.

- [3] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Intelligent Reflecting Surface Enhanced Wireless Network via Joint Active and Passive Beamforming," in *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 5394-5409, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2019.2936025.
- [4] A. A. Boulogeorgos and A. Alexiou, "Performance Analysis of Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface-Assisted Wireless Systems and Comparison With Relaying," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 94463-94483, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2995435.
- [5] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Beamforming Optimization for Wireless Network Aided by Intelligent Reflecting Surface With Discrete Phase Shifts," in *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 1838-1851, March 2020, doi: 10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2958916.
- [6] C. Huang, A. Zappone, G. C. Alexandropoulos, M. Debbah and C. Yuen, "Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces for Energy Efficiency in Wireless Communication," in *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 4157-4170, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2019.2922609.
- [7] X. Hu, C. Zhong, Y. Zhu, X. Chen and Z. Zhang, "Programmable Metasurface-Based Multicast Systems: Design and Analysis," in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 1763-1776, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2020.3000809.
- [8] G. Zhou, C. Pan, H. Ren, K. Wang and A. Nallanathan, "Intelligent Reflecting Surface Aided Multigroup Multicast MISO Communication Systems," in *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 68, pp. 3236-3251, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TSP.2020.2990098.
- [9] Q. Tao, J. Wang and C. Zhong, "Performance Analysis of Intelligent Reflecting Surface Aided Communication Systems," in *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 2464-2468, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1109/LCOMM.2020.3011843.
- [10] I. Yildirim, A. Uyrus and E. Basar, "Modeling and Analysis of Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces for Indoor and Outdoor Applications in Future Wireless Networks," in *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 1290-1301, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TCOMM.2020.3035391.
- [11] Y. Jia, C. Ye and Y. Cui, "Analysis and Optimization of an Intelligent Reflecting Surface-Assisted System With Interference," in *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 8068-8082, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2020.3019088.
- [12] C. Guo, Y. Cui, F. Yang and L. Ding, "Outage Probability Analysis and Minimization in Intelligent Reflecting Surface-Assisted MISO Systems," in *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 1563-1567, July 2020, doi: 10.1109/LCOMM.2020.2975182.
- [13] L. Kong, Y. Ai, S. Chatzinotas and B. Ottersten, "Effective Rate Evaluation of RIS-Assisted Communications Using the Sums of Cascaded $\alpha - \mu$ Random Variates," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 5832-5844, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3048882.
- [14] Y. Han, W. Tang, S. Jin, C. -K. Wen and X. Ma, "Large Intelligent Surface-Assisted Wireless Communication Exploiting Statistical CSI," in *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 8238-8242, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2019.2923997.
- [15] D. Kudathanthirige, D. Gunasinghe and G. Amarasingha, "Performance Analysis of Intelligent Reflective Surfaces for Wireless Communication," *ICC 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, 2020, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICC40277.2020.9148760.
- [16] L. Yang, F. Meng, Q. Wu, D. B. da Costa and M. -S. Alouini, "Accurate Closed-Form Approximations to Channel Distributions of RIS-Aided Wireless Systems," in *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 1985-1989, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1109/LWC.2020.3010512.
- [17] A. M. Salhab and M. H. Samuh, "Accurate Performance Analysis of Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces Over Rician Fading Channels," in *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1051-1055, May 2021, doi: 10.1109/LWC.2021.3056758.




Fig. 4: Capacity Vs SNR for different values of $K_1 = K_2 = K$ with $N = 5$.

- [18] S. Primak, V. Kontorovich, and V. Lyandres, *Stochastic Methods and their Applications to Communications: Stochastic Differential Equations Approach*. West Sussex, U.K.: Wiley, 2004.
- [19] A. J. Goldsmith and P. P. Varaiya, "Capacity of fading channels with channel side information," in *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1986-1992, Nov. 1997, doi: 10.1109/18.641562.
- [20] N. O'Donoghue and J. M. F. Moura, "On the Product of Independent Complex Gaussians," in *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 1050-1063, March 2012, doi: 10.1109/TSP.2011.2177264.
- [21] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, 6th ed. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic, 2000.
- [22] M. R. McKay, A. J. Grant and I. B. Collings, "Performance Analysis of MIMO-MRC in Double-Correlated Rayleigh Environments," in *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 497-507, March 2007, doi: 10.1109/TCOMM.2007.892450.
- [23] Prudnikov, A. P. , Brychkov I. A. & Marichev O. I. (1990). *Integrals and series/volume 3, more special functions*, New York, Gordon and Breach.