

Intelligent Reflecting Surface Aided Passive Information Transmission System

Yanan Ma, Rang Liu, Ming Li, and Qian Liu

Abstract—Intelligent reflecting surface (IRS) is a transformative technology to enhance spectrum and energy efficient wireless communications. Specifically, an IRS consists of a large number of low-cost and energy-efficient reconfigurable passive reflecting elements. Each element reflects the incident signal with a certain phase shift. This letter investigates a novel IRS-aided passive information transmission system, in which the IRS enhances the primary BS-user communication and simultaneously sends information to the receiver. IRS transmits information generated from sensors or Internet of Things (IoTs) and embeds the information in the phase shifts to achieve the passive IRS-user communication. Then, based on this proposed model, we propose joint beamforming and phase shifts optimization to minimize the transmit power. Simulation results demonstrate the significant performance improvement of the IRS-aided passive information transmission.

Index terms— Intelligent reflecting surface, passive information transmission, beamforming, phase shifts optimization.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, intelligent reflecting surface (IRS) has been proposed as a promising cost-effective technique for realizing fifth-generation (5G) wireless networks [1]. An IRS is a planar array composed of a large number of reconfigurable reflecting elements which can collaboratively change the reflected signal propagation. Furthermore, thanks to the recent developments in metasurfaces, IRS can reconfigure the reflecting coefficients in real time [2]. By smartly adjusting the phase shifts of all passive elements at the IRS, the reflected signals can add coherently with the signals from other paths at the desired receiver to enhance the BS-user communication [1], [3]-[5]. IRS only reflects the incident signal as a passive array without consuming transmit power while the *amplify-and-forward* (AF) relay and the active intelligent surface require additional energy consumption. On the other hand, it is easier to attach them to/remove them from the wall or ceiling compared to MIMO, backscatter, and so on [6].

Therefore, IRS catches plenty of interests, and researches have done for it. Among them, there are two popular interests: *i*) passive relay by beamforming. IRS intelligently adjusts the phase shifts of all reflecting elements to focus the signal into a sharp beam at a desired receiver and achieve high beamforming gain so that it can enhance the BS-user communication and security without additional energy consumption [1], [7]. In [8], the authors formulate a minimum-secrecy-rate maximization problem under various practical constraints on the reflecting coefficients to achieve high-efficiency secret communication through the assistance of the IRS. *ii*) passive transmitter, which means that the IRS is also required to transmit data to the receiver. While passive relay by beamforming for wireless

communication has been intensively studied, IRS as a passive transmitter has not been well investigated. In [9], the authors propose a novel passive beamforming and information transfer (PBIT) wireless system, in which the IRS information is carried by the on/off states of the IRS reflecting elements. However, they do not take into account for the beamforming design and the design of transmitted signal of IRS, which motivates this work.

In this letter, we investigate an IRS-aided passive information transmission system which features the IRS enhancing the active BS-user communication and simultaneously achieving the passive IRS-user communication. The quality of primary signal is enhanced by active and passive beamforming. At the same time, the secondary information generated from the sensors or IoTs can be embedded in the primary signal. Then, based on this proposed model, we aim to minimize the transmit power by jointly optimizing the beamforming at BS and the phase shifts at IRS. An efficient iterative algorithm is introduced to suboptimally solve this non-convex and multi-variable problem. First of all, we optimize phase shifts to maximize the distance between the received signal and the detection thresholds. Then, the beamforming design problem can be formulated as a conventional power minimizing problem and solved using convex optimization tools. Simulation results show that the proposed IRS-aided passive information transmission system offers significant performance improvement compared to the system without IRS enhancement.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

We consider an IRS-aided passive information transmission system as illustrated in 1 where the BS, equipped with M antennas, communicates with one single-antenna user with the aid of an IRS. The IRS is equipped with N passive reflecting elements whose phase-shift is adjusted by a controller. A number of sensors collect the environmental data, e.g., temperature, humidity and light, and transmit the collected information to IRS by wire [9]. To achieve the passive IRS-user communication, the controller adjusts the phase shift of each reflecting element according to the data from the sensors. We assume that the channel state information (CSI) of all channels involved is perfectly known at the BS.

The baseband equivalent channels from the BS to IRS, from the IRS to the user, and from the BS to user are denoted by $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$, $\mathbf{h}_r \in \mathbb{C}^N$, and $\mathbf{h}_d \in \mathbb{C}^M$. Let $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N]$ and define the diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \text{diag}\{\boldsymbol{\theta}\}$ as the reflection-coefficients matrix of the IRS, where θ_n denotes the phase of the n -th passive reflecting element of the IRS, and it is




Fig. 1: An IRS-aided passive information transmission system

continuous and satisfies $|\theta_n| = 1, \forall n$. Let \mathbf{f} be the beamformer and s be the transmitted symbol from BS to user, $\mathbb{E}\{|s|^2\} = 1$. The received signal at the user can be written as

$$y = (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s + n, \quad (1)$$

where $n \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2)$ denotes the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN).

In practice, the amount of information transmitted by IRS is relatively small and the transmission rate is lower than that of the BS. In this letter, we assume that the signal transmitted by the IRS is binary phase shift keying (BPSK) modulated. The BPSK-modulated signal corresponds the two states of IRS. When the transmitted signal is 0, the state of IRS is Θ_0 and Θ_1 otherwise. Hence, the received signal at the user can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s + n, \\ y_1 &= (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s + n. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Constructive Interference is defined as the interference that




Fig. 2: Constructive region for QPSK symbol

pushes the received signals away from the detection thresholds. In Fig. 2 a normalized quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) constellation is employed as the example. We can observe that the distance of the received signals to the detection thresholds is increased, which will improve the detection performance. We assume that the BS transmits QPSK-modulated signal. In this case, the received signals located in the constructive region

need to satisfy the constraint which can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} &\geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \\ \Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} &\geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \\ \Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} &\geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \\ \Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} &\geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is the SNR requirement.

To distinguish the BPSK-modulated signal of the IRS, there is supposed to be a certain distance d between the two kinds of received signal. Accordingly, the distance needs to satisfy

$$|(\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s - (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s| \geq d. \quad (4)$$

We denote the combined channel vector from BS to the user as $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \triangleq (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) - (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G})$. The distance constraint (4) can be rewritten as

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \mathbf{f} s| \geq d. \quad (5)$$

In this paper, we aim to jointly design the transmit beamforming at the BS and the phase-shifts of the IRS to minimize the transmit power with the constraints of the constructive interference, distance and unit modulus. Thus, the objective function can be formulated as

$$\min_{\mathbf{f}, \theta_0, \theta_1} \|\mathbf{f}\|^2 \quad (6a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (6b)$$

$$\Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (6c)$$

$$\Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (6d)$$

$$\Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f} s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (6e)$$

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \mathbf{f} s| \geq d, \quad (6f)$$

$$\Theta_0 = \text{diag}\{\theta_0\}, \quad \Theta_1 = \text{diag}\{\theta_1\}, \quad |\theta_n| = 1, \quad \forall n. \quad (6g)$$

Problem (6) is obviously non-convex and multi-variable, and it is hard to solve. In the next section, we investigate to seek a sub-optimal solution.

III. JOINT BEAMFORMING AND PHASE SHIFTERS DESIGN

In this section, we apply the Taylor approximation and successive convex approximation (SCA) techniques to solve the above challenging problem. Firstly, we propose to decompose the original non-convex coupling problem into separately optimizing beamforming and phase shifts. The phase shifts is firstly designed to maximize the distance between the received signal and the detection thresholds. Taylor approximation is proposed. After determining the phase shifts at IRS, we design beamforming to minimize the transmit power.

A. Phase shifts Design

With fixed \mathbf{f} , the IRS design problem is converted into a feasible-check problem. The solving process of θ_0 and θ_1 is

the same, so we are going to resolve θ_0 with given θ_1 . The IRS design problem can be expressed as

$$\text{Find } \theta_0 \quad (7a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (7c)$$

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \mathbf{f}_s| \geq d, \quad (7d)$$

$$\Theta_0 = \text{diag}\{\theta_0\}, \quad |\theta_n| = 1, \quad \forall n. \quad (7e)$$

While (7) is lack of explicit objective, θ_0 is chosen randomly from its feasible set, which may not facilitate power minimization (6) of the next iteration. Therefore, we attempt to formulate a suitable equation to keep the descent of transmit power when iterating.

The power minimization problem (6) usually makes the left side of constraints (6b)-(6e) to be reasonable small values. In order to further reduce transmit power in the next iteration, we aim to design θ_0 to make the constraints (6b)-(6e) tougher, and this provides degrees of freedom for power minimization. By introducing a auxiliary variable α , the problem can be formulated as

$$\max_{\theta_0} \alpha \quad (8a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} - \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2} \geq \alpha, \quad (8b)$$

$$\Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} - \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2} \geq \alpha, \quad (8c)$$

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \mathbf{f}_s| \geq d, \quad (8d)$$

$$\Theta_0 = \text{diag}\{\theta_0\}, \quad |\theta_n| = 1, \quad \forall n, \quad (8e)$$

where the auxiliary variable α can be deemed as the residual of quality of service (QoS) requirement.

Problem (8) is a non-convex problem and the most difficulties lie in the non-convex distance constraint (8d) and the non-convex unit-modulus constraint (8e). We propose a novel method based on the first-order Taylor approximation to handle the distance constraint in (8d). To start with, we rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \mathbf{f}_s|^2 \\ &= |(\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s - (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s|^2 \quad (9) \\ &= |\mathbf{h}_r^H \Psi \theta_0 s - \mathbf{h}_r^H \Psi \theta_1 s|^2 \\ &= \theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0 - \theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_1 - \theta_1^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0 + \theta_1^H \mathbf{W} \theta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi = \text{diag}(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{f})$, $\mathbf{W} = s^H \Psi^H \mathbf{h}_r \mathbf{h}_r^H \Psi s$. It is non-convex, because $\theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0$ is a convex function of θ_0 . Similar to the successive convex approximation method dealing with the concave fractional function, we approximate it by its first-order Taylor expansion and make constraint (8d) convex. Since $\theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0$ is a convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0 &\geq (\theta_0^{(n)})^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0^{(n)} \\ &+ 2\Re \left[(\theta_0^{(n)})^H \mathbf{W} (\theta_0 - \theta_0^{(n)}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\theta_0^{(n)}$ is the optimal solution obtained at n -th iteration. The above derivation is not direct since $\theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0$ is a function of complex-valued vector θ_0 . The Taylor expansion developed

for the real-valued variables functions cannot be directly extended to the complex case. In Appendix B of [10], the authors give the process of its proof.

The distance constraint in (8d) is transformed to the following

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_0^{(n)})^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0^{(n)} + 2\Re \left[(\theta_0^{(n)})^H \mathbf{W} (\theta_0 - \theta_0^{(n)}) \right] \\ & - \theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_1 - \theta_1^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0 + \theta_1^H \mathbf{W} \theta_1 \geq d^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

However, due to the non-convex unit-modulus constraint (8e), the above problem cannot be solved directly. Additionally, the semidefinite relaxation (SDR) method used in [1] cannot always guarantee a feasible solution for the QoS and distance constraints generally fail to meet that the solution is rank one. We relax it as

$$|\theta_n| \leq 1, \quad \forall n. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (11) and (12) into (8), the resultant problem is given by

$$\max_{\theta_0} \alpha$$

$$\text{s.t. } (8b), (8c),$$

$$(\theta_0^{(n)})^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0^{(n)} + 2\Re \left[(\theta_0^{(n)})^H \mathbf{W} (\theta_0 - \theta_0^{(n)}) \right] \quad (13)$$

$$- \theta_0^H \mathbf{W} \theta_1 - \theta_1^H \mathbf{W} \theta_0 + \theta_1^H \mathbf{W} \theta_1 \geq d^2,$$

$$\Theta_0 = \text{diag}\{\theta_0\}, \quad |\theta_n| \leq 1, \quad \forall n.$$

Now, Problem (13) is a convex optimization problem and can be solved by existing convex optimization solvers such as CVX. It is noted that the modulus of $\theta_n, \forall n$ conforms to be 1. That's because it is easier to satisfy the maximization of the objective when the modulus of θ_n is 1.

B. Beamforming Design

With fixed IRS, the optimization of \mathbf{f} can be formulated as

$$\min_{\mathbf{f}} \|\mathbf{f}\|^2 \quad (14a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (14b)$$

$$\Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_0 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (14c)$$

$$\Re \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (14d)$$

$$\Im \{ (\mathbf{h}_d^H + \mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta_1 \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{f}_s \} \geq \sqrt{\gamma \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad (14e)$$

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \mathbf{f}_s| \geq d. \quad (14f)$$

The distance constraint in (14f) is non-convex and SCA technique is applied to solve problem (14). The SCA approach aims at iteratively solving the non-convex problems. More specifically, the non-convex distance constraint is approximated by convex function around an initial feasible point and we solve the convex problem iteratively until we obtain a stationary point [11], [12].

We rewrite the distance constraint as

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H \mathbf{f}_s|^2 = s^H \mathbf{f}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f}_s \geq d^2, \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{h}}^H$ is a rank-one nonnegative semi-definite matrix. Thus, for any arbitrary vector $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ we have that

Algorithm 1: Joint Beamforming and Phase shifts Design

Input: $\mathbf{h}_d^H, \mathbf{h}_r^H, \mathbf{G}, \gamma, \sigma^2, d, N_{max}, \eta_{th0}, \eta_{th1}$.
Output: $\mathbf{f}, \theta_0, \theta_1$.
repeat:
 Initialize $\theta_1, n = 0, \alpha_{t0} = \infty, \alpha_{t1} = \infty$.
while $n < N_{max}$ and $\alpha_{t0} \geq \eta_{th0}, \alpha_{t1} \geq \eta_{th1}$ **do**
 Obtain $\theta_0^{(n+1)}, \alpha_0^{(n+1)}$ by (13) given \mathbf{f} and $\theta_1^{(n)}$;
 Obtain $\theta_1^{(n+1)}, \alpha_1^{(n+1)}$ by (13) given \mathbf{f} and $\theta_0^{(n+1)}$;
 $\alpha_{t0} = \frac{|\alpha_0^{(n+1)} - \alpha_0^{(n)}|}{\alpha_0^{(n)}}; \alpha_{t1} = \frac{|\alpha_1^{(n+1)} - \alpha_1^{(n)}|}{\alpha_1^{(n)}};$
 $n = n + 1$.
end while
 Calculate beamforming \mathbf{f} by (18) known θ_0 and θ_1 ;
until Convergence.

$s^H (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{z})^H \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{z}) s \geq 0$ from which it follows

$$s^H \mathbf{f}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f} s \geq 2\Re \{s^H \mathbf{z}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f} s\} - s^H \mathbf{z}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{z} s. \quad (16)$$

Now, for any \mathbf{z} the non-convex distance constraint can be replaced with a tighter convex constraint given by

$$2\Re \{s^H \mathbf{z}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f} s\} - s^H \mathbf{z}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{z} s \geq d^2. \quad (17)$$

By replacing the distance constraint with (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{f}} \quad & \|\mathbf{f}\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (14b), (14c), (14d), (14e) \\ & 2\Re \{s^H \mathbf{z}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f} s\} - s^H \mathbf{z}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{z} s \geq d^2, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which represents a convex approximation of problem (14). Problem (18) can be solved by the convex tools, e.g. the CVX solver. Assign the value of \mathbf{f} to \mathbf{z} for the next iteration.

The alternative optimization algorithm to realize the above joint beamforming and IRS design problem is summarized in Algorithm 1 in detail. Given an initial value θ_1 , the beamforming at the BS and the phase-shift θ_0, θ_1 of IRS are iteratively updated by solving (13) and (18) until the results converge. We note that, while the above algorithm needs iteration, a closed-form solution is obtained in each iteration and the number of iterations is small.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

We consider an IRS-aided passive information transmission system consisting of a BS equipped with 64 antennas, a single-antenna user and an IRS with $N = 64$ reflecting elements. As shown in Fig. (??), the IRS is located in parallel to the BS at the same altitude and the distance is fixed as $d_{BI} = 50$ meters. The user is vertical to the line of them, and the distance between IRS and user is fixed as $d_{IU} = 2$ meters. The signal attenuation at a reference distance of 1m is set as 30dB for all channels and the noise variance σ^2 is set to -70dBm. Furthermore, We assume that the channel between BS and IRS is Ricean fading with the path loss exponent of 3.5 since it is dominated by the line of sight (LoS) link. The IRS-user and BS-user channels are assumed to be independent Rayleigh fading, and the path loss exponents are set to 3.0, 4.5 respectively.

Fig. ?? shows the transmit power versus signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR). In addition, the performance without passive

information transmission ($d = 0$) is also included as a benchmark. It can be observed that the transmit power is increasing with the SNR and the farther distance. The difference among the transmit power is decreasing with SNR except the case that IRS does not transmit information. There could be many reasons for this unexpected scene, such as the number of antennas is not enough or the algorithm is not perfect.

In practice, the information transmission rate for BS is far more than IRS's. In Fig. ??, we present the BER performance for IRS information versus the ratio of IRS and BS information transmission. It is shown that the BER for IRS information decreases with increasing ratio of information transmission. This validates the effectiveness of the IRS-aided passive information transmission system.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed an IRS-aided passive information transmission system. Aiming at minimizing the transmit power and guaranteeing the QoS of information transmissions, a novel algorithm was proposed to iteratively obtain the transmit beamforming and phase-shifts of the IRS. The Taylor approximation and SCA-based algorithm were applied to handle the unit modulus constraint of IRS and the non-convex distance constraint. Simulation results demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed joint transmit beamforming and phase shifts design for IRS-aided passive information transmission system.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Intelligent reflecting surface enhanced wireless network via joint active and passive beamforming," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 5394-5409, Nov. 2019.
- [2] H. Yang, X. Cao, F. Yang, J. Gao, S. Xu, M. Li, X. Chen, Y. Zhao, Y. Zheng, and S. Li, "A programmable metasurface with dynamic polarization, scattering and focusing control," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, Oct. 2016, Art. no. 035692.
- [3] T. J. Cui, M. Q. Qi, X. Wan, J. Zhao, and Q. Cheng, "Coding metamaterials, digital metamaterials and programmable metamaterials," *Light: Science & Applications*, vol. 3, no. 10, Oct. 2014.
- [4] X. Tan, Z. Sun, D. Koutsonikolas, and J. M. Jornet, "Enabling indoor mobile millimeter-wave networks based on smart reflect-arrays," in *proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, 2018, pp. 270-278.
- [5] E. Basar, M. D. Renzo, J. d. Rosny, M. Debbah, M.-S. Alouini, and R. Zhang, "Wireless communications through reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 116753-116773, Aug. 2019.
- [6] L. Subrt and P. Pechac, "Intelligent walls as autonomous parts of smart indoor environments," *IET Commun.*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 1004-1010, May 2012.
- [7] X. Yu, D. Xu and R. Schober, "MISO wireless communication systems via intelligent reflecting Surfaces," in *IEEE Int. Commun. Conf.*, Changchun, Aug. 2019.
- [8] C. Huang, A. Zappone, G. C. Alexandropoulos, M. Debbah, and C. Yuen, "Intelligent reflecting surface: A programmable wireless environment for physical layer security," *IEEE ACCESS*, vol. 7, pp. 82599-82612, June 2019.
- [9] W. Yan, X. Kuai, and X. Yuan, "Passive beamforming and information transfer via large intelligent surface," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, to appear.
- [10] C. Pan, H. Ren, M. Elkashlan, A. Nallanathan, and L. Hanzo, "Robust beamforming design for ultra-dense user-centric C-RAN in the face of realistic pilot contamination and limited feedback," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 780-795, Feb. 2019.
- [11] M. Sadeghi, L. Sanguinetti, R. Couillet, and C. Yuen, "Reducing the computational complexity of multicasting in large-scale antenna systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 2963-2975, May 2017.
- [12] B. R. Marks and G. P. Wright, "A general inner approximation algorithm for nonconvex mathematical programs," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 681-683, 1978.