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ABSTRACT

Federated learning (FL) is a machine learning field in which researchers try to facilitate model learning process among multiparty without violating privacy protection regulations. Considerable effort has been invested in FL optimization and communication related researches. In this work, we introduce FedLab, a lightweight open-source framework for FL simulation. The design of FedLab focuses on FL algorithm effectiveness and communication efficiency. Also, FedLab is scalable in different deployment scenario. We hope FedLab could provide flexible API as well as reliable baseline implementations, and relieve the burden of implementing novel approaches for researchers in FL community. The source code is available at https://github.com/SMILELab-FL/FedLab.

1 Introduction

Federated learning (FL), proposed by Google at the very beginning [1], is recently a burgeoning research area of machine learning, which aims to protect individual data privacy in distributed machine learning process, especially in finance [2], smart healthcare [3, 4] and edge computing [5, 6]. Different from traditional data-centered distributed machine learning, participants in FL setting utilize localized data to train local model, then leverages specific strategies with other participants to acquire the final model collaboratively, avoiding direct data sharing behavior.

Though it might differ in specific methodologies, current FL schemes can be summarized as repetition of training rounds, with each integrated by several basic steps: i) local update on client’s model using their own localized data; ii) clients upload their local trained model parameters to server; iii) server performs aggregation strategy on collected clients’ model parameters to obtain global model; iv) server selects a subset of clients and distributes the latest global model to them. Many FL researches try to improve algorithm effectiveness or efficiency on only one or more steps in this workflow with different scenarios: [7] suggests to add regularization term in step i) to achieve more robust convergence in heterogeneous settings; [8] applies gradient compression method in step ii) to reduce communication bandwidth; [9] tries to modify in step i), ii) and iii) for privacy-preserving purpose; [10] proposes better sample strategy in step iv) to address suboptimal result problem in Federated Multi-Task Learning. These indicate that the implementation of many FL algorithms only requires modification on several components of common workflow, without the necessity of repetitive implementation on basic FL workflow. The paradigm of FL and related research points are as depicted in figure [1].
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However, though with several FL related frameworks or platforms available, researchers still prefer to implement FL algorithms using PyTorch\cite{11} or TensorFlow\cite{12} from scratch\cite{13, 14}. This inefficient modus operandi in FL community can hamper researchers’ enthusiasm in both procedures of reproducing previous work and fast verification of new ideas.

To relieve the burden of researchers in implementing FL algorithms and emancipate FL scientists from repetitive implementation of basic FL setting, we introduce highly customizable framework FedLab in this paper. FedLab provides the necessary modules for FL simulation, including communication, compression, model optimization, data partition and other functional modules. FedLab users can build FL simulation environment with custom modules like playing with LEGO bricks. In all, we make the following contributions to FL community:

- A flexible FL framework FedLab is proposed, in which the flexibility is given by highly customizable interfaces and scalability in FL system. FedLab allows users focus on interested components design while keeping other part default. What’s more, FedLab also supports standalone, cross machine and hierarchical simulation paradigms.
- Standardized FL implementation schemes are presented through FedLab. For instance, standard synchronous and asynchronous FL system are available. Besides, we also provides FL datasets benchmarks and functional modules for standard FL simulation.
- An open-source group is founded in GitHub repository for FedLab’s continuous maintenance. Elaborate document is published as well.

2 Background

Current FL community focuses mainly on two major challenges. Firstly, data heterogeneity across clients slows down model convergence\cite{15} compared with that of data-center distributed learning\cite{16}. The other major challenge is
communication cost during both model uploading and downloading processes, which is also the bottleneck of distributed learning. There is an urgent need for improvement on communication, especially when it comes to cross-device scenario. A lot of works have been proposed to tackle these two challenges, which can be categorized into optimization algorithms and communication efficient strategies. In this section, these two popular research sub-fields of FL will be further illustrated, and the need of a convenient FL framework suitable for optimization effectiveness and communication efficiency research will be revealed.

2.1 Optimization Algorithms

Malicious attacker is able to steal private information by using gradient attack algorithms [17][18][19]. Therefore, clients can’t transmit gradients but model parameters directly. FL server optimizes neural network by aggregating all parameters of clients (which is updated a few epochs locally) into global one. Typically, server aggregates model parameters collected from $K$ clients at round $i$ to update global weights $w^{i+1}$ following FedAvg [1]:

$$w^{i+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{n_k}{n} w_k^i$$

Under this setting, FL optimization still faces many challenges. In data-center distributed machine learning, each computation node get its dataset from parameter server, which makes data distribution independently identically distribution (I.I.D) across nodes. However, data in FL clients can be Non-I.I.D in many ways [20], which leads to inferior robustness and slow convergence.

Plenty of federated optimization algorithms are proposed to overcome data None-I.I.D problem. [21][22][23][24] try to learn a better shared federated model based on different aggregation strategies. FL Pensonalization [25][26] aims to learn personalized model for every client. The combination of FL and other deep learning techniques, such as Meta Learning [27], Transfer Learning [28], etc., are popular as well. To summarize, most optimization researches only relate to local training process on client and parameter aggregation process on FL server, which indicates that a flexible FL framework shall provide customizable interfaces for both local training design as well as server aggregation strategies.

2.2 Communication and Compression

Bandwidth problem is bottleneck of large-scale distributed training, and it becomes even worse when distributed training is performed in FL. Thus, deploying communication compression strategy is necessary, especially in cross-device setting. Two common-used and low resource-consumption compression methods as follows:

**Quantization** [29][30][31] replaces each tensor with a lower precision one (e.g., float16 instead of float32), accomplishing the trade-off between precision and compression ratio. **Sparsification** [32][8][33] selects a subset of tensors by appointed principle (e.g., Top-k selection) to transmit. It can achieve at least $100 \times$ compression ratio. These two compression methods are model independent, which shows a flexible FL framework shall also provide model-independent compression module.

2.3 Related work

Several open-sources FL frameworks have been released. FATE [2] is a large federated secure computing framework. PaddleFL [3] and FedLearn [4] are proposed by Baidu and Bytedance that support applications and deployment of FL system in application scenario. Frameworks above are industrial-oriented, focusing on real-life applications but not suitable for laboratory FL simulation. Rosetta [34] and PySyft [35] mainly focus on secure multiparty computation of FL rather than algorithm and communication researches. TFFL [36] supports the simulation of FL training but executes only on a single machine. FedML [37] is a comprehensive FL framework that includes most research fields in FL. And Flower [38] provides a FL communication framework supporting different deep learning framework (e.g., PyTorch, TensorFlow and MXNet). But they still hold varies of dependent libraries, which makes them heavy.

Different from frameworks above, FedLab is designed to be lightweight. It focuses on optimization effectiveness and communication efficiency for FL system simulation. We encourage users to build FL system following standard program pipeline and providing custom interfaces at the same time. Features of FedLab are further illustrated in the next section.
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3
3 Framework Overview

In this section, we mainly illustrate architectural designs and detailed features in both communication efficiency and optimization effectiveness aspects. FedLab provides two main roles in FL setting: Server and Client. Each Server/Client consists of two components called NetworkManager and ParameterServerHandler/Trainer. The overview of FedLab’s structure is shown in Figure 2.

NetworkManager module manages message process task, which provides interfaces to customize communication agreements and compression algorithms. In section 3.1, the details of communication module is demonstrated. ParameterHandler/Trainer takes charge of specific optimization algorithm design, and is illustrated in section 3.2.

Finally, three deployment scenarios supported by FedLab are presented in section 3.3.

3.1 Communication Efficiency

In order to meet various requirements of FL network communication, FedLab implements NetworkManager to manage network topology, using torch.distributed as communication backend. NetworkManager is designed to be flexible in tensor agnostic, customization and scalability. Details of these features are stated below.

**Everything is Tensor.** Inspired by the structure of network message, the basic communication element in FedLab is called Package, which contains header tensor with necessary control information and content tensor with packed tensor list. What’s more, PackageProcessor in NetworkManager provides useful functions for packing up tensor list and restoring content to tensor list. In this way, the details of Package are blocked from users. Besides, Package is represented by a one-dimension tensor (vector), which is compatible with interfaces of PyTorch precisely.

**Compressor.** Given feature of Everything is Tensor, compression algorithms can be naturally performed in pack and unpack process. Moreover, compression baseline algorithm Top-k compressor and SOTA DGC compressor [8] are available in FedLab. Customizable interfaces for compression algorithm are provided as well.

**Communication Agreement Customizable.** Communication agreements can be explained by following questions: What contents to send? How does client or server react after receiving message? Flexibility of communication module is given by NetworkManager module, which offers users the interfaces of customizing communication protocol. User can define additional information exchange, and control information flow for advanced algorithm development.

**Communication Pattern.** Synchronous and Asynchronous communication patterns are implemented according to Federated Optimization algorithms. Specifically for figure 3(a), One round of synchronous communication flow can be describe as follows:

1) **Initialization.** Server and Clients initialize network connection.
2) Sampling. Server selects subset of clients to join current round of FL by broadcasting global model to them.

3) Synchronization. Client starts its local train process after receiving global model. Then, every Client sends needed information including local model to Server.

4) Aggregation. Finally, Server collects all information from Clients and performs aggregation.

Differently, in asynchronous communication, every client communicates with the server asynchronously. A FL training round begins with a parameter request from the client. Besides, the server updates the global model every time it receives a synchronization upload. Details are shown in figure 3(b).

Scheduler. Cross-silo and Cross-device [38] are the common FL settings. Cross-silo FL system usually has 2 - 100 clients which have large bandwidth and powerful computing resources. In contrast, cross-device scenario indicates that more clients (up to $10^{10}$) but less resources (power, bandwidth) with each client. Since there are needs of simulating more than 100 of clients, we designed message forward module Scheduler to extend the scalability of FedLab. Firstly, Scheduler is able to connect machines in different LAN (Local Area Network). What’s more, users can overwrite the work flow of Scheduler to achieve hierarchical communication pattern. The usage of Scheduler will be further illustrated in section 3.3.

3.2 Optimization Effectiveness

Optimization module in FedLab achieves "high-cohesion and low-coupling", which means this module can be used independently just like LEGO bricks. To be more specific, ParameterHandler and Trainer is executable without NetworkManager. Besides, FedLab does not provide high level APIs, but prepares the necessary implementation tools for developers, reflecting the flexibility of framework. In this section, some key features of FedLab for standard FL optimization are illustrated.

Aggregation. Trainer/ParameterServerHandler in FedLab is corresponding with Client/Server optimization process. We encourage standard optimization implementation paradigm for both Client and Server: Trainer manages local dataset and performs PyTorch training process. ParameterServerHandler is implementation of parameter aggregation. In FedLab, ClientSGDTrainer is a standard implementation of Trainer for users. Additionally, we provides standard demos of ParameterServerHandler with different aggregation algorithms, such as FedAvg[1] and FedAsgd[39].

Data Partition. In practice, Non-I.I.D datasets are not always accessible for researchers due to privacy restrictions. Thus, researchers tend to manually create Non-I.I.D data partition in experiment environment. For instance, FedAvg[1] sorts the MNIST dataset by digit label, and divides it into 2000 shards of size 300 to create pathological Non-I.I.D partition of dataset. Therefore, FedLab offers users a series of data partition functions. What’s more, FedLab provides PyTorch version of LEAF [40] (a Non-I.I.D partitioned FL datasets baseline).
3.3 Deployment Scenarios

FedLab encapsulates the network interface of `torch.distributed` module, providing stable end-to-end tensor transmission for FL simulation. Furthermore, we implement a scalable version of `NetworkManager`, called `Scheduler`, to ensure the flexibility of network topology and the scalability of the system. Different deployment scenarios of FedLab correspond to different experimental conditions, for scalability and flexibility.

**Standalone.** FedLab implements `SerialTrainer` for FL simulation in single process. `SerialTrainer` allows users to simulate a FL system with multiple clients, only with limited computation resources. However, it consumes more time to finish the whole FL experiment since the clients’ real execution is one by one in serial. It is designed for simulation with limited computation resources. The paradigm of `SerialTrainer` is shown in figure 4(a).

**Cross-Machine.** FedLab also supports cross-machine FL simulation that’s shown in figure 4(b). In practice, each role of FedLab is represented by single system process. FL system simulation can be executed on multiple machines with correct network topology configuration. More flexibly in parallel, `SerialTrainer` is able to replace the regular `Trainer`. In this way, machine with more computation resources can be assigned with more workload of simulating. The limitation of this scenario is that all machines must be in the same network (LAN or WAN).

**Hierarchical.** Users can break the limitation of Cross-Machine by using `Scheduler` to build client groups (a subset of clients sharing the same `Scheduler`), as depicted in figure 4(c). Server can communicate with client in LAN indirectly.
A hierarchical FL system with $K$ client groups as depicted in figure 4(c) can be easily formed using FedLab. More importantly, Scheduler is customizable for users. It can be applied for aggregating parameters from client group as a middle-server to share the communication and computation load of server. This design is for the scalability of framework in both computation and communication.

### 4 Pipeline and Examples

The pipeline of building a FL system with FedLab includes two parts. The first part is definition of communication agreements. The prototype of synchronous and asynchronous communication patterns have been implemented for users. With effortless modification on NetworkManager of client and server, users can fulfill the agreements as their will. The second part is ParameterServerHandler module of server and Trainer module of client, which represents FL optimization process. High level parameter aggregation algorithm and communication is available for server as well. In short, customizable interfaces and tools in FedLab support users to implement these two parts very quickly. We show the example implementation of FedAvg to demonstrate FedLab API’s simplicity.

Core code of client is shown below:

```python
1 model = ResNet()
2 optimizer = torch.optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=args.lr, momentum=0.9)
3 criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
4 trainloader, testloader = get_dataset(args)

6 handler = ClientSGDTrainer(model, trainloader, epoch=args.epoch, optimizer=optimizer, criterion=criterion, cuda=args.cuda)
7 network = DistNetwork(address=(args.server_ip, args.server_port),
8 world_size=args.world_size,
9 rank=args.local_rank)
10
11 manager = ClientPassiveManager(handler=handler, network=network)
12 manager.run()
```

Code from line 1 to line 5 is the standard pipeline of training a neural network with PyTorch. From line 6 to the end is the usage of FedLab. In this example, FedLab provides high level API of network communication which allow users define network topology easily (line 7-11) and standard network training process (line 6).

FL server is also easily implemented in a couple lines of code:

```python
1 model = ResNet()
3 ps = SyncParameterServerHandler(model, client_num_in_total=args.world_size-1)
4
5 network = DistNetwork(address=(args.server_ip, args.server_port),
6 world_size=args.world_size,
7 rank=0)
8
9 manager = ServerSynchronousManager(handler=ps, network=network)
10
11 manager.run()
```

Code in line 2 defines the ParameterServerHandler with FedAvg algorithm. Codes from line 4 to 7 define the NetworkManager of server.

### 5 Development

For continuous maintainence of FedLab, we establish an open-source group on GitHub. The framework will be further developed publicly through GitHub, in which we can track issues of bug reports, feature requests and usage questions. We use continuous integration (CI) to ensure robust of package. What’s more, comprehensive and elaborate documentation is developed using popular Sphinx Python documentation generator and published on [https://fedlab.readthedocs.io/en/latest/](https://fedlab.readthedocs.io/en/latest/).
6 Summary and Future Work

In this paper, a flexible and lightweight FL framework FedLab is proposed. FedLab provides common-used FL communication patterns and optimization algorithms modules with both high-level API and open interfaces for standardized FL simulation. For easy usage and continuous maintainence, we build a open-source group to accept contributions and issues on GitHub with necessary configurations.

In the future, we will keep developing FedLab. Specifically, our plan includes but not limited to the following aspects:

- **Releasing research results.** We will use FedLab to explore our current and future ideas about optimization and communication. We will release those implementations on this framework in the future.

- **Providing more implementations.** Many excellent works are developed by different computation platform. Inconsistent implementations are not beneficial for the development of community. We plan to re-implement them with FedLab to provide more standard FL implementations.

- **Adding functional modules.** In the aspect of communication module, complicate network topology is under development. Besides, convenient network configuration script will be presented soon. Modules, which supporting other machine learning technique such as Unsupervised Learning, Semi-supervised Learning, Transfer Learning, etc., are in schedule.
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