

CARTESIAN FIBRATIONS OF $(\infty, 2)$ -CATEGORIES

ANDREA GAGNA, YONATAN HARPAZ, AND EDOARDO LANARI

ABSTRACT. In this article we introduce four variance flavours of cartesian 2-fibrations of ∞ -bicategories with ∞ -bicategorical fibres, in the framework of scaled simplicial sets. Given a map $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of ∞ -bicategories, we define p -(co)cartesian arrows and inner/outer triangles by means of lifting properties against p . Inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibrations are then defined to be maps with enough (co)cartesian lifts for arrows and enough inner/outer lifts for triangles, together with a compatibility property with respect to whiskerings in the outer case. By doing so, we also recover in particular the case of ∞ -bicategories fibred in ∞ -categories studied in previous work. We also prove that equivalences of such 2-fibrations can be tested fiberwise. As a motivating example, we show that the domain projection $d: \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a prototypical example of an outer cartesian 2-fibration, where $\text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(X, Y)$ denotes the ∞ -bicategory of functors, lax natural transformations and modifications. We then define inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibrations of categories enriched in ∞ -categories, and we show that a fibration $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of such categories is a (co)cartesian inner/outer 2-fibration if and only if the corresponding map $N^{\text{sc}}(p): N^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow N^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{B}$ is a fibration of this type between ∞ -bicategories.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Vistas and applications	3
Acknowledgements	4
1 Preliminaries	4
1.1 Scaled simplicial sets and ∞ -bicategories	4
1.2 Inner/outer (co)cartesian 1-fibrations	6
2 Inner/outer cartesian 2-fibrations	8
2.1 Equivalences of cartesian 2-fibrations	16
2.2 The domain projection	17
3 Enriched cartesian 2-fibrations	23
3.1 Recollection: fibrations of 2-categories	23
3.2 Cartesian fibrations of enriched categories	24
References	30

INTRODUCTION

The present paper is part of an ongoing series of works on the theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. We will generally refer to these as ∞ -bicategories, and identify them with scaled simplicial sets satisfying suitable extension properties. Our goal here is to set up the fundamentals of a theory of (co)cartesian 2-fibrations $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of ∞ -bicategories, whose fibres encode a family of ∞ -bicategories \mathcal{E}_b depending functorially on $b \in \mathcal{B}$. When \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{B} are ∞ -categories the corresponding notion of (co)cartesian fibration was set up by Lurie in [12]. Generalizing classical work

of Grothendieck, Lurie showed that such fibrations over a base ∞ -category \mathcal{B} are in complete correspondence with functors $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}at_\infty$ in the cocartesian case, and contravariant such functors in the cartesian case. This Grothendieck–Lurie correspondence plays a key role in higher category theory, as it permits to handle highly coherent pieces of structure, such as functors, in a relatively accessible manner.

When coming to consider the ∞ -bicategorical counterpart, an immediate difference presents itself: here we have not just two different variances, but four, depending on whether or not the functorial dependence on 2-morphisms is covariant or contravariant. This additional axis of symmetry is already visible when the base is an ∞ -bicategory and the fibres \mathcal{E}_b are ∞ -categories, since $\mathcal{C}at_\infty$ itself has a non-trivial ∞ -bicategorical structure. A thorough treatment of this case was taken on in the previous work [6], where we have used the term inner (co)cartesian fibrations to indicate those cases where the dependence on 2-morphism matches the one on 1-morphisms, and outer (co)cartesian fibration for those where these two dependences have opposite variance. The division into these two types is also visible on the level of mapping ∞ -categories: when both variances match (the inner case) the induced functor on mapping ∞ -bicategories is a right fibration, and when they don't (the outer case) the induced functor on mapping ∞ -categories is a left fibration. One of the main results of [6] is an ∞ -bicategorical Grothendieck–Lurie correspondence for all the four variances. The proof crucially relies on the work of Lurie [11] in the inner cocartesian case, and the straightening-unstraightening Quillen equivalence he proved in that setting.

Our goal in the present paper is to extend these notions of fibrations to the setting where both the base \mathcal{B} and the fibres \mathcal{E}_b are ∞ -bicategories. This requires defining, in addition to a notion of (co)cartesian 1-morphisms, a suitable notion of (co)cartesian 2-morphism. Working in the setting of scaled simplicial sets, such 2-morphisms are determined by triangles, though this correspondence is not perfect (the same 2-morphism can be encoded by different triangles corresponding to different factorizations of the target 1-morphism), and one needs to be a bit more careful about how to define this. To match with the notation for fibrations, we use the terms *inner* and *outer* to describe triangles which roughly correspond to cartesian and cocartesian 2-morphisms. For somewhat technical reasons one needs to separate those further into two types, which we call left and right inner/outer triangles. This distinction turns out to behave slightly differently in the inner and outer cases, an issue due to the built-in a-symmetry of triangles, which encode a 2-morphism together with a factorization of its target 1-morphism, but not of its domain.

In the eventual continuation of the present work we plan to establish a complete Grothendieck–Lurie correspondence for such 2-fibrations over a fixed base \mathcal{B} , showing that they encode the four possible variances of functors $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{BiCat}_\infty$. Though we will not arrive to this goal yet here, we do lay the foundations and prove the basic properties we expect to need, based on our current work in progress in that direction. In particular, we prove that equivalences between such 2-fibrations are detected on fibres, and construct a certain universal example in the form of the domain projection $\mathbf{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathbf{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{B})$ is the ∞ -bicategory of arrows in \mathcal{B} and lax squares between them. We then establish a comparison between the notions of inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibrations and an analogous one in the setting of categories enriched in marked simplicial sets. Such a comparison played an important role in [6] by implying that the $(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2)$ -symmetry of the theory of ∞ -bicategories switched between the four types of fibrations. This allowed one to reduce the Grothendieck–Lurie correspondence in [6] to the inner cocartesian

case, and we expect a similar role to be played in a future generalization of that correspondence to 2-fibrations.

After finishing the work on the present paper, we became aware of independent work of Abellán García and Stern [1], which investigates the outer variant of these 2-fibrations using a model category structure on marked biscaled simplicial sets. By contrast, our approach here consistently covers all four variances, and also interacts well with the framework we set up in [6], a property we expect would be useful in a future proof of a complete ∞ -bicategorical Grothendieck–Lurie correspondence for inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibrations. Finally, let us also note that a Grothendieck–Lurie type correspondence for outer cartesian fibrations is sketched in the Appendix of [9], though the argument relies in various parts on unproven statements. The extensive treatment of derived algebraic geometry developed in the body of [9] based on that appendix makes for a powerful motivation for obtaining the ∞ -bicategorical Grothendieck–Lurie correspondence rigorously. We also plan to pursue the study of inner/outer *locally* (co)cartesian 2-fibrations, which encode lax/oplax 2-functors from an ∞ -bicategory \mathcal{B} to BiCat_∞ , as defined in [7, §3]. This will enable one to compare that last definition with the one of [9], which should also allow for the comparison of the two notions Gray products, thus establishing many key unproven statements involving Gray products made in the appendix of loc. cit. The results of the present work are fundamental preliminaries for all these applications.

The present paper is organized as follows. We begin with a preliminary section where we give pointers to the necessary definitions and results concerning marked and scaled simplicial sets, and recall the framework of inner/outer (co)cartesian 1-fibrations set up in [6]. Next, we introduce the main concepts of this paper, namely those of left/right inner/outer triangles and the corresponding notions of fibrations. We establish fundamental results concerning closure properties of inner/outer triangles, as well as stability properties and a fibre-wise criterion to test equivalences of fibrations. Finally, we prove Theorem 2.2.6, which concerns the domain projection on an ∞ -bicategory and provides a prototypical example of an outer cartesian 2-fibration.

In Section 3 we briefly recall the theory of (co)cartesian 2-fibrations for ordinary 2-categories, as developed by Buckley in [5], as a motivation for our proposed enhancement of it to the setting of categories enriched in marked simplicial sets. We then provide an extension of the results of [6, §3], by showing that enriched inner/outer (co)cartesian fibrations identify via the coherent nerve functor with the respective notion of 2-fibrations between ∞ -bicategories (see theorem 3.2.8 for the precise statement).

VISTAS AND APPLICATIONS

A theory of 2-fibrations provides the backbone to define symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, as a suitable class of inner cocartesian 2-fibrations over Fin_* . We expect symmetric monoidal ∞ -bicategories to play as a fundamental role as their 1-dimensional counterpart, providing extra expressivity thanks to the 2-dimensional structure. For instance, they can be used to encode the relevant dualities of Ind-coherent sheaves of (derived) schemes of finite type, as in [9, Ch. 9].

Furthermore, inner/outer (locally) (co)cartesian 2-fibrations are used as a tool in [9, Ch. 11 & 12] to establish an adjoint theorem that plays a crucial role in extending the quasi-coherent sheaves functor from derived affine schemes to derived prestacks, see [9, §§3.1.1 & 3.2.1].

Finally, the theory of 2-fibrations can be used to define a simplicial version of the notion of (relative) $(\infty, 2)$ -operad, see [2, 3]. Possible applications in this direction

come from symplectic geometry, and in particular from the functoriality of the Fukaya category, see for instance [4].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first and third author gratefully acknowledge the support of Praemium Academiae of M. Markl and RVO:67985840. The third author is also grateful to Rune Haugseng and Nick Rozenblyum for the fruitful conversations during his stay at MSRI.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we establish notation and recall some preliminary definitions and results concerning scaled simplicial sets, and the straightening-unstraightening Quillen equivalence of [11]. For the prerequisites on (marked) simplicial sets, we refer the reader to the comprehensive treatment given in [12].

The category of marked simplicial sets will be denoted by Set_Δ^+ . It is locally presentable and cartesian closed. We will denote by $\text{Set}_\Delta^+ \text{-Cat}$ the category of categories enriched in Set_Δ^+ with respect to the cartesian product on Set_Δ^+ , to which we will refer to as *marked-simplicial categories*. For a marked-simplicial category \mathcal{C} and two objects $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{C}(x, y) \in \text{Set}_\Delta^+$ the associated mapping marked simplicial set. By an arrow $e: x \rightarrow y$ in a marked-simplicial category \mathcal{C} we will simply mean a vertex $e \in \mathcal{C}(x, y)_0$.

We will generally consider $\text{Set}_\Delta^+ \text{-Cat}$ together with its associated *Dwyer–Kan model structure* (see [12, §A.3.2]). In this model structure the weak equivalences are the Dwyer–Kan equivalences, that is, the maps which are essentially surjective on homotopy categories and induce marked categorical equivalences on mapping objects. The fibrant objects are the enriched categories \mathcal{C} whose mapping objects $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ are all fibrant, that is, ∞ -categories marked by their equivalences. The model category $\text{Set}_\Delta^+ \text{-Cat}$ is then a presentation of the theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, and is Quillen equivalent to other known models, see §1.1 below.

1.1. Scaled simplicial sets and ∞ -bicategories.

Definition 1.1.1 ([11]). A *scaled simplicial set* is a pair (X, T_X) where X is simplicial set and T_X is a subset of the set of triangles of X , called *thin triangles*, containing the degenerate ones. A map of scaled simplicial sets $f: (X, T_X) \rightarrow (Y, T_Y)$ is a map of simplicial sets $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $f(T_X) \subseteq T_Y$.

We will denote by $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ the category of scaled simplicial sets. It is locally presentable and cartesian closed.

Definition 1.1.2. The set of *generating scaled anodyne maps* \mathbf{S} is the set of maps of scaled simplicial sets consisting of:

- (i) the inner horns inclusions

$$\left(\Delta_i^n, \{\Delta^{\{i-1, i, i+1\}}\}\right) \rightarrow \left(\Delta^n, \{\Delta^{\{i-1, i, i+1\}}\}\right) \quad , \quad n \geq 2 \quad , \quad 0 < i < n;$$

- (ii) the map

$$\left(\Delta^4, T\right) \rightarrow \left(\Delta^4, T \cup \{\Delta^{\{0, 3, 4\}}, \Delta^{\{0, 1, 4\}}\}\right),$$

where we define

$$T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\Delta^{\{0, 2, 4\}}, \Delta^{\{1, 2, 3\}}, \Delta^{\{0, 1, 3\}}, \Delta^{\{1, 3, 4\}}, \Delta^{\{0, 1, 2\}}\};$$

- (iii) the set of maps

$$\left(\Delta_0^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0, 1\}}} \Delta^0, \{\Delta^{\{0, 1, n\}}\}\right) \rightarrow \left(\Delta^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0, 1\}}} \Delta^0, \{\Delta^{\{0, 1, n\}}\}\right) \quad , \quad n \geq 3.$$

A general map of scaled simplicial set is said to be *scaled anodyne* if it belongs to the weakly saturated closure of \mathbf{S} .

Definition 1.1.3. An ∞ -bicategory is a scaled simplicial set \mathcal{C} which admits extensions along all maps in \mathbf{S} .

Remark 1.1.4. If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -bicategory then its core \mathcal{C}^{th} is an ∞ -category.

To avoid confusion we point out that scaled simplicial sets as in Definition 1.1.3 are referred to in [11] as *weak ∞ -bicategories*, while the term ∞ -bicategory was reserved for an a priori stronger notion. However, as we have shown in [8], these two notions in fact coincide. In particular:

Theorem 1.1.5 ([11],[8]). *There exists a model structure on $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}$ whose cofibrations are the monomorphisms and whose fibrant objects are the ∞ -bicategories (in the sense of Definition 1.1.3).*

We will refer to the model structure of Theorem 1.1.5 as the *bicategorical model structure*. In [11] Lurie constructs a Quillen equivalence

$$\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{\text{sc}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbf{N}^{\text{sc}}} \end{array} \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}\text{-Cat} ,$$

in which the right functor \mathbf{N}^{sc} is also known as the *scaled coherent nerve*.

Definition 1.1.6. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -bicategory. We will say that an edge in \mathcal{C} is *invertible* if it is invertible when considered in the ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^{th} , that is, if its image in the homotopy category of \mathcal{C}^{th} is an isomorphism. We will sometimes refer to invertible edges in \mathcal{C} as *equivalences*. We will denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\text{th}}$ the subsimplicial set spanned by the invertible edges. Then \mathcal{C}° is an ∞ -groupoid (that is, a Kan complex), which we call the *core groupoid* of \mathcal{C} . It can be considered as the ∞ -groupoid obtained from \mathcal{C} by discarding all non-invertible 1-cells and 2-cells. If X is an arbitrary scaled simplicial set then we will say that an edge in X is *invertible* if its image in \mathcal{C} is invertible for any bicategorical equivalence $X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -bicategory. This does not depend on the choice of the ∞ -bicategory replacement \mathcal{C} .

Notation 1.1.7. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -bicategory and let $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ be two vertices. In [11, §4.2], Lurie gives an explicit model for the mapping ∞ -category from x to y in \mathcal{C} that we now recall. Let $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ be the marked simplicial set whose n -simplices are given by maps $f: \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $f|_{\Delta^n \times \{0\}}$ is constant on x , $f|_{\Delta^n \times \{1\}}$ is constant on y , and the triangle $f|_{\Delta\{(i,0),(i,1),(j,1)\}}$ is thin for every $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. An edge $f: \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ is marked exactly when the triangle $f|_{\Delta\{(0,0),(1,0),(1,1)\}}$ is thin. The assumption that \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -bicategory implies that the marked simplicial set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ is *fibrant* in the marked categorical model structure, that is, it is an ∞ -category whose marked edges are exactly the equivalences.

Definition 1.1.8. We will denote by Cat_{∞} the scaled coherent nerve of the (fibrant) marked-simplicial subcategory $(\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+})^{\circ} \subseteq \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}$ spanned by the fibrant marked simplicial sets. We will refer to Cat_{∞} as the *∞ -bicategory of ∞ -categories*.

Definition 1.1.9. We define BiCat_{∞} to be the scaled coherent nerve of the (large) marked-simplicial category BiCat_{Δ} whose objects are the ∞ -bicategories and whose mapping marked simplicial set, for $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{BiCat}_{\Delta}$, is given by $\text{BiCat}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) := \text{Fun}^{\text{th}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})^{\natural}$. Here by $(-)^{\natural}$ we mean that the associated marked simplicial set in which the marked arrows are the equivalences. We will refer to BiCat_{∞} as the *∞ -bicategory of ∞ -bicategories*.

Since the scaled coherent nerve functor N^{sc} is a right Quillen equivalence, it determines an equivalence

$$(1) \quad (\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+ \text{-Cat})_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{th}}$$

between the ∞ -category associated to the model category $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+ \text{-Cat}$ and the core ∞ -category of BiCat_{∞} . In the model $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+ \text{-Cat}$ the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -action on the theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories can be realized by an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ on $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+ \text{-Cat}$ via model category isomorphisms: the operation $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ which inverts only the direction of 1-morphisms is realized by setting $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(x, y) = \mathcal{C}(y, x)$, while the operation $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^{\text{co}}$ of inverting only the direction of 2-morphisms is realized by setting $\mathcal{C}^{\text{co}}(x, y) = \mathcal{C}(x, y)^{\text{op}}$, where the right hand side denotes the operation of taking opposites in marked simplicial sets. Through the equivalence (1) these two involutions induce a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -action on the core ∞ -category $\text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{th}}$, which we then denote by the same notation. In particular, we have involutions

$$(-)^{\text{op}}: \text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{th}} \rightarrow \text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{th}} \quad \text{and} \quad (-)^{\text{co}}: \text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{th}} \rightarrow \text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{th}},$$

the first inverting the direction of 1-morphisms and the second the direction of 2-morphisms.

Remark 1.1.10. The $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -action on $\text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{th}}$ does not extend to an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ on the ∞ -bicategory BiCat_{∞} . Instead, it extends to a *twisted* action, that is, $(-)^{\text{co}}$ and $(-)^{\text{op}}$ extend to equivalences of the form:

$$(-)^{\text{co}}: \text{BiCat}_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{BiCat}_{\infty}$$

and

$$(-)^{\text{op}}: \text{BiCat}_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{BiCat}_{\infty}^{\text{co}}.$$

1.2. Inner/outer (co)cartesian 1-fibrations. The theory of inner and outer (co)cartesian 1-fibrations of ∞ -bicategories was developed in [6] as an analogue of the notion of (co)cartesian fibrations of ∞ -categories. As in the latter case, such a fibration encodes the data of a family of ∞ -categories functorially parametrized by the base \mathcal{B} , only that in the ∞ -bicategorical setting there are four different variance flavours for this functorial dependence one can consider. Specifically, inner (resp. outer) cocartesian fibrations encode a covariant dependence on the 1-morphisms of \mathcal{B} and a covariant (resp. contravariant) dependence on the level of 2-morphisms. Similarly, inner (resp. outer) cartesian fibrations encode a contravariant dependence on the 1-morphisms of \mathcal{B} and a contravariant (resp. covariant) dependence on the level of 2-morphisms.

Below we recall the main definitions. We refer the reader to loc. cit. for a comprehensive treatment.

Definition 1.2.1. We will say that a map of scaled simplicial sets $X \rightarrow Y$ is a *weak fibration* if it has the right lifting property with respect to the following types of maps:

- (1) All scaled inner horn inclusions of the form

$$(\Lambda_i^n, \{\Delta^{\{i-1, i, i+1\}}\}_{|\Lambda_i^n}) \subseteq (\Delta^n, \{\Delta^{\{i-1, i, i+1\}}\})$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $0 < i < n$.

- (2) The scaled horn inclusions of the form:

$$\left(\Lambda_0^n \coprod_{\Delta_{\{0,1\}}} \Delta^0, \{\Delta^{\{0,1,n\}}\}_{|\Lambda_0^n} \right) \subseteq \left(\Delta^n \coprod_{\Delta_{\{0,1\}}} \Delta^0, \{\Delta^{\{0,1,n\}}\} \right)$$

for $n \geq 2$.

(3) The scaled horn inclusions of the form:

$$\left(\Lambda_n^n \amalg_{\Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}} \Delta^0, \{\Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}\}_{|\Lambda_n^n} \right) \subseteq \left(\Delta^n \amalg_{\Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}} \Delta^0, \{\Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}\} \right)$$

for $n \geq 2$.

Given a weak fibration $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we will say that f is

- an *inner fibration* if it detects thin triangles and the underlying map of simplicial sets is an inner fibration, that is, satisfies the right lifting property with respect to inner horn inclusions;
- an *outer fibration* if it detects thin triangles and the underlying map of simplicial sets satisfies the right lifting property with respect to the inclusions

$$\Lambda_0^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0, 1\}}} \Delta^0 \subseteq \Delta^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0, 1\}}} \Delta^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_n^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}} \Delta^0 \subseteq \Delta^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}} \Delta^0$$

for $n \geq 2$.

Remark 1.2.2. In [13, Tag 01WF], Lurie uses the term *interior fibration* to encode what we just defined as outer fibrations. Our choice in [6] (which already appeared in [8]) is motivated by the intent of highlighting that *special outer horns* admit fillers against such maps.

Definition 1.2.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a weak fibration. We will say that an edge $e: \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$ is *f-cartesian* if the dotted lift exists in any diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda_n^n, \{\Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}\}_{|\Lambda_n^n}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (X, T_X) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow f \\ (\Delta^n, \{\Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}\}) & \longrightarrow & (Y, T_Y) \end{array}$$

with $n \geq 2$ and $\sigma|_{\Delta^{n-1, n}} = e$. We will say that e is *f-cocartesian* if $e^{\text{op}}: \Delta^1 \rightarrow X^{\text{op}}$ is f^{op} -cartesian.

As in [6, Definition 2.3.1], we will also say that the edge $e: \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$ is *strongly f-(co)cartesian* if it is a (co)cartesian edge with respect to the underlying map of simplicial sets.

Definition 1.2.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of scaled simplicial sets. We will say that f is an *outer* (resp. *inner*) *cartesian fibration* if the following conditions hold:

- (1) The map f is an outer (resp. inner) fibration.
- (2) For every $x \in X$ and an edge $e: y \rightarrow f(x)$ in Y there exists a f -cartesian edge $\tilde{e}: \tilde{y} \rightarrow x$ such that $f(\tilde{e}) = e$.

Dually, we will say that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an *outer/inner cocartesian fibration* if $f^{\text{op}}: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{op}}$ is an outer/inner cartesian fibration.

Remark 1.2.5. The classes of weak fibrations, inner/outer fibrations and inner/outer (co)cartesian fibrations are all closed under base change.

Over a fixed base \mathcal{B} , the collection of inner cocartesian fibrations, and cocartesian edges preserving functors can be organized into an ∞ -bicategory $\text{coCar}^{\text{inn}}(\mathcal{B})$. This ∞ -bicategory can be presented by a suitable model structure on the category of marked simplicial sets over the underlying simplicial set of \mathcal{B} , developed in [11, §3.2] using the machinery of categorical patterns. Lurie then constructs in loc. cit. a straightening-unstraightening Quillen equivalence between this model structure and the projective model structure on $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{sc}}(\mathcal{B}), \text{Set}_{\Delta}^+)$. In [6] we use this to establish the following ∞ -bicategorical form of the Grothendieck–Lurie correspondence, for both cartesian and cocartesian, inner and outer flavours of fibrations:

admits a diagonal filler as displayed by the dotted arrow, and right p -inner if the same holds for diagrams as above where Λ_{n-1}^n is replaced by Λ_1^n and $\Delta^{\{n-2, n-1, n\}}$ by $\Delta^{\{0, 1, 2\}}$. On the other hand, σ is left p -outer if and only if every commutative square of the form:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \Delta^{\{0, 1, n\}} & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow \sigma & \\ \Lambda_0^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

(Note: A dotted arrow points from Δ^n to \mathcal{E} in the original image.)

admits a diagonal filler as displayed by the dotted arrow, and and right p -outer if and only if the same holds for diagrams as above where Λ_0^n is replaced by Λ_n^n and $\Delta^{\{0, 1, n\}}$ by $\Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}$.

Remark 2.0.4. It follows from Remark 2.0.3 that any thin triangle in \mathcal{E} is both left and right p -inner. On the other hand, any thin triangle whose left leg is p -cocartesian is left p -outer and any thin triangle whose right leg p -cartesian is right p -outer.

Remark 2.0.5. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a weak fibration of ∞ -bicategories. By [8, Corollary 2.20] both $\mathcal{E}_{x/} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{x/}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{x/} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{/x}$ are outer fibrations for every $x \in \mathcal{E}$, and hence by [6, Lemma 2.3.9] the collection of strongly (co)cartesian arrows is closed under composition. Together with Remark 2.0.4, this implies that the collection of left p -inner triangles in \mathcal{E} is closed under left whiskering with 1-morphisms and the collection of right p -inner triangles is closed under right whiskering with 1-morphisms. On the other hand, the collection of left p -outer triangles is only closed under left whiskering with p -cocartesian 1-morphisms and the collection of right p -outer triangles is only closed under right whiskering with p -cartesian arrows.

Definition 2.0.6. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a weak fibration.

- We say that p is a *left inner 2-fibration* (resp. right) if for every triangle $\sigma: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and every lift map $\rho: \Lambda_1^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of $\sigma|_{\Lambda_1^2}$ there exists a left (resp. right) p -inner triangle $\tilde{\sigma}: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $p\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ and $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Lambda_1^2} = \rho$.
- We say that p is a *left outer 2-fibration* if for every triangle $\sigma: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and every lift $\rho: \Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of $\sigma|_{\Lambda_0^2}$ such that $\rho|_{\Delta^{\{0, 1\}}}$ is p -cocartesian, there exists a left p -outer triangle $\tilde{\sigma}: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $p\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ and $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Lambda_0^2} = \rho$.
- We say that p is a *right outer 2-fibration* if for every triangle $\sigma: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and every lift $\rho: \Lambda_2^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of $\sigma|_{\Lambda_2^2}$ such that $\rho|_{\Delta^{\{1, 2\}}}$ is p -cartesian, there exists a right p -outer triangle $\tilde{\sigma}: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $p\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ and $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Lambda_2^2} = \rho$.

Definition 2.0.7. We say that a triangle $\sigma: \Delta^2 \rightarrow X$ is *left degenerate* if the edge $\sigma|_{\Delta^{\{0, 1\}}}$ of X is degenerate. The triangle σ is said to be *right degenerate* if the edge $\sigma|_{\Delta^{\{1, 2\}}}$ is degenerate.

Warning 2.0.8. To avoid confusion, let us emphasize that left (or right) degenerate triangles in the sense of Definition 2.0.7 are not necessarily themselves degenerate. This terminology is also used in [1].

Remark 2.0.9. In the situation of Definition 2.0.6, if $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is weak fibration *between ∞ -bicategories*, then the closure under whiskering of inner/outer triangles described in Remark 2.0.5 implies that it suffices to check the existence of left p -inner/outer lifts only in the case where ρ and σ are left degenerate. Similarly, the existence of right p -inner/outer lifts can be checked only in the case where ρ and σ are right degenerate.

Remark 2.0.10. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a weak fibration of ∞ -bicategories. For given $x, z \in \mathcal{E}$, if we base change the weak fibration $\mathcal{E}/_z \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}/_{pz}$ along the map $\{x\} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}/_{pz} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}/_{pz}$ then we get the weak fibration

$$p_{x,z}^{\triangleright}: \text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\triangleright}(px, pz)$$

which is a model for the induced map on mapping ∞ -categories by [8]. Since base change maps detect (co)cartesian edges it follows that for a triangle $\sigma: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $\sigma|_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}}$ is degenerate we have that:

- If σ is left p -inner then the corresponding edge of $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, z)$ is $p_{x,z}^{\triangleright}$ -cartesian.
- If σ is left p -outer then the corresponding edge of $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, z)$ is $p_{x,z}^{\triangleright}$ -cocartesian.

In particular, if p is a left inner 2-fibration then $p_{x,y}^{\triangleright}$ is a cartesian fibration and if p is a left outer 2-fibration then $p_{x,y}^{\triangleright}$ is a cocartesian fibration.

Remark 2.0.11. Dually to the case of Remark 2.0.10 we have that if p is a right inner 2-fibration then $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleleft}(x, z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleleft}(px, pz)$ is a cocartesian fibration and if p is a right outer 2-fibration then $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleleft}(x, z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleleft}(px, pz)$ is a cartesian fibration. Here $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleleft}(x, z) := \mathcal{E}_{x/} \times_{\mathcal{E}} \{z\}$ and similarly for $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\triangleleft}$. The change of variance here with respect to Remark 2.0.10 is due to the fact that $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleleft}(x, z) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, z)^{\text{op}}$ and $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\triangleleft}(px, pz) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\triangleright}(px, pz)^{\text{op}}$.

Definition 2.0.12. A weak fibration of scaled simplicial sets $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be an *inner cartesian 2-fibration* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The map p is both a left and a right inner 2-fibration.
- (2) For every vertex $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and every 1-simplex $h: b \rightarrow p(e)$ in \mathcal{B} there is a p -cartesian 1-simplex $\bar{h}: e' \rightarrow e$ in \mathcal{E} such that $p\bar{h} = h$.

We say that p is an *inner cocartesian 2-fibration* if $p^{\text{op}}: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ is an inner cartesian fibration.

Definition 2.0.13. A weak fibration of scaled simplicial sets $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be an *outer cartesian 2-fibration* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The map p is both a left and a right outer 2-fibration.
- (2) The collection of left p -outer triangles in \mathcal{E} is closed under right whiskering and the collection of right p -outer triangles is closed under left whiskering.
- (3) For every vertex $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and every 1-simplex $h: b \rightarrow p(e)$ in \mathcal{B} there is a p -cartesian 1-simplex $\bar{h}: e' \rightarrow e$ in \mathcal{E} such that $p\bar{h} = h$.

We say that p is an *outer cocartesian 2-fibration* if $p^{\text{op}}: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ is an outer cartesian 2-fibration.

Remark 2.0.14. As in the case of 1-fibrations, the class of inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibrations is readily seen to be closed under base change.

Definition 2.0.15. Given inner (resp. outer) (co)cartesian 2-fibrations $q: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{E} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

of scaled simplicial sets is said to be a *inner (resp. outer) (co)cartesian morphism* from q to p if g sends q -(co)cartesian arrows to p -(co)cartesian arrows and left/right p -inner (resp. p -outer) triangles to left/right q -inner (resp. q -outer) triangles.

Lemma 2.0.16. *Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a weak fibration, so that $p^{\text{th}}: \mathcal{E}^{\text{th}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{th}}$ is an inner fibration. Then any p -(co)cartesian arrow of \mathcal{E} is also p^{th} -(co)cartesian when*

considered in \mathcal{E}^{th} . In particular, if $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration of scaled simplicial sets, then its core $p^{\text{th}}: \mathcal{E}^{\text{th}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{th}}$ is a (co)cartesian fibration of simplicial sets.

Proof. To fix ideas we prove the cartesian case. The cocartesian one is completely analogous. Let $f: x \rightarrow y$ be a p -cartesian arrow. To show that it is p^{th} -cartesian we need to show the existence of dotted lifts in any square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda_n^n)_{\#} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta_{\#}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

in which the top horizontal arrow sends $\Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}$ to f . Now for $n = 2$ the solution to this lifting problem is one of the requirements for f to be p -cartesian. On the other hand, for $n \geq 4$ the left vertical map is a pushout of the map $(\Lambda_n^n, \{\Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}\}) \hookrightarrow (\Delta^n, \{\Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}\})$, and so again the lift exist by the assumption that f is p -cartesian. Finally, when $n = 3$ we have that

$$(\Delta^3, \{\Delta^{\{0, 2, 3\}}\}) \coprod_{(\Lambda_3^3, \{\Delta^{\{0, 2, 3\}}\})} (\Lambda_3^3)_{\#} = (\Delta^3, \{\Delta^{\{0, 1, 3\}}, \Delta^{\{0, 2, 3\}}, \Delta^{\{1, 2, 3\}}\})$$

and so we may find a lift of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda_3^3)_{\#} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & (\Lambda_3^3)_{\#} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\rho} & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^3, \{\Delta^{\{0, 1, 3\}}, \Delta^{\{0, 2, 3\}}, \Delta^{\{1, 2, 3\}}\}) & \longrightarrow & (\Delta^3)_{\#} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

To finish the proof we need to verify that $\tilde{\rho}$ sends $\Delta^{\{0, 1, 2\}}$ to a thin triangle. Let us set $a = \rho(0)$. Since $f: x \rightarrow y$ is p -cartesian, the resulting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(a, x) & \xrightarrow{f \circ (-)} & \mathcal{E}(a, y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B}(pa, px) & \xrightarrow{pf \circ (0)} & \mathcal{B}(pa, py) \end{array}$$

is cartesian. The triangle $\tilde{\rho}(\Delta^{\{0, 1, 2\}})$ then determines an arrow in $\mathcal{E}(a, x)$ whose image in $\mathcal{B}(pa, px)$ is invertible and whose image in $\mathcal{E}(a, y)$ is invertible (since the other three faces of Δ^3 are sent by $\tilde{\rho}$ to thin triangles). Since the square is cartesian it then follows that the edge determined by $\tilde{\rho}(\Delta^{\{0, 1, 2\}})$ in \mathcal{E} is invertible as well, and so this triangle is thin in \mathcal{E} . \square

Since fibrations between ∞ -bicategories are precisely the maps with the right lifting property with respect to the set of scaled anodyne maps and the endpoint inclusion $\{1\} \hookrightarrow J^{\#}$ (i.e. those which lift equivalences), as proven in [8], we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.0.17. *Inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibrations between ∞ -bicategories are fibrations in the bicategorical model structure on $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}$.*

Proof. This follows from the assumption that every (co)cartesian 2-fibration is a weak fibration, together with the fact that p^{th} is a (co)cartesian fibration of ∞ -categories, and it is thus an isofibration. \square

Lemma 2.0.18. *Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a weak fibration of ∞ -bicategories. Suppose $\sigma: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a triangle such that $p(\sigma)$ is thin in \mathcal{B} . If σ is either left or right p -inner then σ is thin in \mathcal{E} . The same holds if we assume that σ is left p -outer and left degenerate or that σ is right p -outer and right degenerate.*

Proof. Write $x = \sigma(0), z = \sigma(2)$. Suppose first that σ is left p -inner. The condition that $p(\sigma)$ is thin implies that the arrow determined by $p(\sigma)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{/p(z)}$ is cartesian with respect to the projection $\mathcal{B}_{/p(z)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. It then follows that the arrow determined by σ in $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{/p(z)}$ is cartesian with respect to the projection $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{/p(z)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, and since it is such also with respect to the map $\mathcal{E}_{/z} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{/p(z)}$ by assumption, the arrow determined by σ in $\mathcal{E}_{/z}$ is cartesian with respect to the projection $\mathcal{E}_{/z} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. It follows that σ is thin. The dual argument using $\mathcal{B}_{x/}$ applies to the case where σ is right p -inner.

Now suppose that σ is left p -outer and left degenerate. Then $p\sigma$ is left degenerate and since $p\sigma$ is assumed thin it follows that the arrow in $\mathcal{B}_{/p(z)}$ determined by $p(\sigma)$ is invertible. Since σ is left degenerate we then have that the arrow determined by σ in $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{/p(z)}$ is invertible as well. Since σ is left p -outer it now follows that the arrow in $\mathcal{E}_{/z}$ determined by σ is an equivalence, so that σ is in particular thin. The dual argument using $\mathcal{B}_{x/}$ applies to the case where σ is right p -outer and right degenerate. \square

Remark 2.0.19. Lemma 2.0.18 implies the uniqueness of p -inner and p -outer lifts in a given weak fibration $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. For example, suppose we have two left p -inner triangles α, α' in \mathcal{C} , whose restriction to Λ_1^2 and whose image under p coincide. By Remark 2.0.3 we can find a 3-simplex H of the form:

where β lives over a degenerate triangle in \mathcal{B} . On the other hand, by [6, Lemma 2.3.8.] we then have that β is left p -inner as well, and hence thin by Lemma 2.0.18. We may consider H as exhibiting an equivalence between α and α' : its left most leg is invertible, the two faces leaning on this leg are thin, and the remaining two faces are α and α' . In a very similar manner, if α and α' are assumed instead to be right p -outer whose restriction to Λ_2^2 and whose image under p coincide then we construct the same type of 3-simplex H , only that this time we will take its $\Delta^{\{0,1,3\}}$ -face to be degenerate along $\Delta^{\{0,1\}}$, and extend H from its right outer horn using the right p -outerness of α .

Dually, if α and α' are both right p -inner lifts of the same triangle which agree on Λ_2^1 then we can exhibit an equivalence between them via a 3-simplex of the form

and a similar statement switching the degenerate and thin faces holds for left p -outer triangles which agree on Λ_0^2 and in \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 2.0.20. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of ∞ -bicategories whose vertical maps are both bicategorical fibrations and whose horizontal maps are bicategorical equivalences. Then an arrow in \mathcal{D} is q -(co)cartesian if and only if its image in \mathcal{E} is p -(co)cartesian.

Proof. By [6, Proposition 2.3.7] we may replace the property of being cartesian with that of being weakly (co)cartesian. The desired claim now follows from the characterization [6, Proposition 2.3.3] of weakly (co)cartesian arrows in terms of mapping spaces. \square

In any weak fibration $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between ∞ -bicategories, the collection of p -(co)cartesian arrows is closed under equivalences in the arrow category, see [6, Remark 2.3.12]. We now show that a similar property holds for inner/outer triangles:

Lemma 2.0.21. *Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a weak fibration between ∞ -bicategories, and let $H: \Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}^1 \times \Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be levelwise invertible natural transformation between triangles. Then $\sigma_0 := H|_{\Delta_{\{0\}} \times \Delta^2}$ is left/right p -inner/outer if and only if $\sigma_1 := H|_{\Delta_{\{0\}} \times \Delta^2}$ is so.*

Proof. To fix ideas we prove the left inner and left outer cases, the right inner and right outer cases being completely analogous. Now for $i = 0, 1, 2$ let $\rho_i: \Delta^3 \rightarrow \Delta^1 \times \Delta^2$ be the 3-simplex given by

$$\rho_i(j) = \begin{cases} (0, j) & j \leq i \\ (1, j-1) & j > i \end{cases}$$

We may then write ρ_0 as

Let $z = \sigma_1(2) = \tau(2) = \rho_0(3)$. Then 3-simplex ρ_0 determines a thin triangle in $\mathcal{E}_{/z}$ involving the edge corresponding to σ_1 , the edge corresponding to τ , and the edge corresponding to $\rho|_{\Delta_{\{0,1,2\}}}$, which is invertible. We conclude that the edges corresponding to σ_1 and τ are equivalent in $\mathcal{E}_{/z}$, and hence each of them is (co)cartesian with respect to the map $\mathcal{E}_{/z} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{pz}$ if and only if the other one is. By [6, Proposition 2.3.7] the same goes for being strongly (co)cartesian since the latter map is an outer fibration. In addition, in the outer case we also note that the thin triangle $\rho|_{\Delta_{\{0,1,2\}}}$ implies that the edge $\sigma_1|_{\Delta_{\{0,1\}}}$ is p -cocartesian if and only if the

edge $\tau|_{\Delta\{0,1\}}$ is so. It then follows that σ_1 is left p -inner/outer if and only if τ is so. We now write ρ_1 as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ \downarrow & \searrow \tau & \uparrow \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \end{array} \xrightarrow{H} \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \tau' & \uparrow \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \end{array}$$

from which we deduce in a similar manner that τ is left p -inner/outer if and only if τ' is so. Finally, we may write ρ_2 as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ \downarrow & \searrow \sigma_0 & \uparrow \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \end{array} \xrightarrow{H} \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \tau' & \uparrow \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \end{array}$$

Let $u: y \rightarrow z$ be the edge obtained by restricting ρ_2 to $\Delta\{2,3\}$, so that u is an invertible edge in \mathcal{E} . We may then interpret ρ_2 as an arrow in $\mathcal{E}_{/u\sharp}$, where we are using the notation of [7, §2.3]. Then we may consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{E}_{/y} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E}_{/y} \times_{\mathcal{B}_{/py}} \mathcal{B}_{/pu\sharp} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E}_{/u\sharp} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E}_{/z} \times_{\mathcal{B}_{/pz}} \mathcal{B}_{pu\sharp} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E}_{/z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{py} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{pu\sharp} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{pu\sharp} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{pu\sharp} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{pz} \end{array}$$

where the two extremal squares are cartesian, the left pointing horizontal arrows are trivial Kan fibrations by [7, Lemma 2.4.6] and the right pointing horizontal arrows are trivial Kan fibrations by [7, Lemma 2.3.6] since u is invertible and hence in particular weakly p -cartesian [6, Example 2.3.2]. It then follows from Lemma 2.0.20 that the edge of $\mathcal{E}_{/u\sharp}$ determined by ρ_0 is (co)cartesian with respect to the middle vertical map if and only if the arrow determined by τ' in $\mathcal{E}_{/y}$ is (co)cartesian with respect to the left most vertical map, and also if and only if the arrow determined by σ_0 in $\mathcal{E}_{/z}$ is (co)cartesian with respect to the right most vertical map. \square

Lemma 2.0.22. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of ∞ -bicategories whose vertical maps are both bicategorical fibrations and whose horizontal maps are bicategorical equivalences. Then p is an inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration if and only if q is. In addition, an edge in \mathcal{D} is q -(co)cartesian if and only if its image in \mathcal{E} is p -(co)cartesian, and similarly a triangle in \mathcal{D} is left/right q -inner/outer if and only if its image in \mathcal{E} is so with respect to p .

Proof. We first note that since both vertical arrows are fibrant and cofibrant in the arrow category (with respect to the projective model structure), the existence of a levelwise equivalence from q to p implies the existence of a levelwise equivalence from p to q . It will hence suffice to show that if p is an inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration then so is q . Now since weak equivalences between fibrant objects are

preserved under base change along fibrations it follows from the 2-out-of-3 property that the map $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -bicategories. The map $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is then again an inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration (Remark 2.0.14). We now factor the weak equivalence $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}'$ as a composite

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}'$$

where the first map is a trivial cofibration and the second a trivial fibration. Then $\mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a composite of an inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration and a trivial fibration of scaled simplicial sets, and is hence itself again an inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ is a trivial cofibration and $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is in particular a bicategorical fibration we may solve the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

so that we obtain a retract diagram of arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{D}' & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q' & & \downarrow q \\ \mathcal{A} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{A} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{A} . \end{array}$$

Now by Lemma 2.0.20 the map $\mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves (co)cartesian arrows over \mathcal{A} , and hence the fact that \mathcal{D}' has a sufficient supply of q' -(co)cartesian edges implies that \mathcal{D} has a sufficient supply of q -(co)cartesian edges. It is thus left to show that \mathcal{D} also has a sufficient supply of left/right q -inner/outer triangles. Solving a lifting problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\Delta^1 \times \mathcal{D}] \amalg_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{D}'} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{D}] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D}' \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} H & \downarrow q' \\ \mathcal{D}' \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

we obtain a natural transformation H from $i \circ r: \mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ to the identity $\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}'}$, whose restriction to \mathcal{D} is constant on i . Since i is a trivial cofibration it is in particular essentially surjective, and so the natural transformation H is levelwise invertible. We now prove the inner case. Suppose given a triangle $\sigma: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a lift $\rho: \Lambda_1^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of $\sigma|_{\Lambda_1^2}$. Since q' is a left/right inner 2-fibration we may extend ρ to a left/right q' -inner triangle $\tilde{\sigma}: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ lifting σ . Evaluating the levelwise invertible natural transformation H at $\tilde{\sigma}$ we obtain an equivalence $\sigma \Rightarrow ir\tilde{\sigma}$ covering the identity transformation on σ and restricting to the identity transformation from $i\rho$ to itself on Λ_1^2 . By Lemma 2.0.21 we deduce that $ir\tilde{\sigma}$ is also q' inner. Since i admits a retraction and by the explicit description of left/right inner triangles in terms of lifting properties as in Remark 2.0.3 we then deduce that $r\tilde{\sigma}$ itself is a left/right q -inner extension of ρ lifting σ . In the outer case, the argument for the existence of a sufficient supply of left/right q -outer triangles is completely analogous, but one also needs to show the closure of q -outer triangles under whiskering as required in Definition 2.0.13(2). But this is again follows from the corresponding property for q' and the fact that $i: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ detects left/right outer triangles, as it admits a retraction over \mathcal{A} . \square

2.1. Equivalences of cartesian 2-fibrations. This section is devoted to the proof of a fibre-wise criterion to test equivalences of 2-fibrations. We shall only consider with inner cartesian 2-fibrations, the proof for all the other flavours being identical.

Theorem 2.1.1. *Consider a commutative diagram of the form:*

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{E}' \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B}' \end{array}$$

where p, q are inner cartesian 2-fibrations of ∞ -bicategories, r sends left/right p -inner triangles to left/right q -inner triangles, p -cartesian edges to q -cartesian edges and f is an equivalence. Then r is an equivalence if and only if it induces an equivalence between all fibres $r_b: \mathcal{E}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_{f(b)}$ over, respectively, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f(b) \in \mathcal{B}'$.

Before proving this, we need a preliminary result, generalizing [12, Proposition 2.4.4.2]. Here we implicitly make use of results from [6] involving the slice ∞ -bicategories associated with marked-scaled simplicial sets. We avoided including a detailed treatment of these constructions here so as not to clutter excessively the exposition. The interested reader can consult the cited paper for further details.

Lemma 2.1.2. *Let $p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a inner cartesian 2-fibration of ∞ -bicategories. Given a 1-simplex $\bar{e}: p(x) \rightarrow p(y)$ in \mathcal{D} which lifts to a cartesian 1-simplex $e: x' \rightarrow y$, the (homotopy) fibre of the map*

$$\phi: \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\triangleright}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\triangleright}(p(x), p(y))$$

is naturally equivalent to the mapping ∞ -category $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{p(x)}}^{\triangleright}(x, x')$, where $\mathcal{C}_{p(x)}$ denotes the (homotopy) fibre of p over $p(x)$.

Proof. To begin with, since inner cartesian 2-fibrations between ∞ -bicategories are, in particular, fibrations in the bicategorical model structure by Corollary 2.0.17, we can remove the adjective ‘‘homotopy’’ and just consider strict fibres everywhere. By [6, Lemma 2.3.6], we have a trivial fibration

$$\mathcal{C}_{/e^\sharp} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/y} \times_{\mathcal{D}_{/p(y)}} \mathcal{D}_{/\bar{e}^\sharp},$$

since e is a p -cartesian lift of \bar{e} (and is hence in particular weakly p -cartesian, in the sense of loc. cit.). On the other hand, [6, Lemma 2.4.6] implies that the map $\mathcal{C}_{/e^\sharp} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/x'} \times_{\mathcal{D}_{/p(x)}} \mathcal{D}_{/\bar{e}^\sharp}$ is also a trivial fibration. Taking fibres over $(x, s_1(\bar{e})) \in \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_{/\bar{e}^\sharp}$ we thus get a zig-zag of trivial fibration of the form

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{p(x)}}^{\triangleright}(x, x') \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}_{/e^\sharp} \times_{\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_{/\bar{e}^\sharp}} \{(x, s_1(\bar{e}))\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \phi^{-1}(\bar{e}).$$

□

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 2.1.1. Let us begin by proving the ‘‘only if’’ direction of the statement. Every object in the square (4) is fibrant, and the vertical maps are fibrations, therefore every pullback along these maps is automatically a homotopy

pullback. Consider the following commutative cube:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathcal{E}_b & \xrightarrow{r_b} & \mathcal{E}'_{f(b)} \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow r & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{E}' & & \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \{b\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Delta^0 \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow f & \downarrow q & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B}' & & \{f(b)\}
 \end{array}$$

The front face and the side faces are homotopy pullbacks by assumption, so that the back one must also be such. Since the bottom horizontal map is an equivalence, the map r_b must be an equivalence as well.

Assume now that r is a fibrewise equivalence, and let us prove it is essentially surjective on objects and fully faithful. The first property follows immediately from the fact that r induces an equivalence between the fibres, after having observed that any $x \in \mathcal{E}'$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}'_{q(x)}$. Next, we can look at the following commutative square of ∞ -categories:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, y) & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\triangleright}(r(x), r(y)) \\
 p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\triangleright}(p(x), p(y)) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\triangleright}(qr(x), qr(y))
 \end{array}$$

Here, we have used the fact that $qr = fp$, and we denoted the induced action between the ∞ -categories of morphisms with the same letter as that of the map between the relevant ∞ -bicategories. The vertical maps are cartesian fibrations of ∞ -categories by Remark 2.0.10, and the bottom horizontal map is an equivalence by assumption. Therefore, the top horizontal map is an equivalence if and only if the square (5) is a homotopy pullback, which happens precisely if the maps induced between the (strict) fibres of the vertical maps are equivalences. Thanks to Lemma 2.1.2, the fibre of the left-hand side map over $\bar{e}: p(x) \rightarrow p(y)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}}^{\triangleright}(x, x')$ for some p -cartesian 1-simplex $e: x' \rightarrow y$ that lifts \bar{e} . Since $r(e): r(x') \rightarrow r(y)$ is a q -cartesian lift of $f(\bar{e})$ by assumption, we get that the fibre of q over $f(\bar{e})$ is given by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}'_{qr(x)}}^{\triangleright}(r(x), r(x'))$. We can now finish the proof by observing that the induced map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}}^{\triangleright}(x, x') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}'_{qr(x)}}^{\triangleright}(r(x), r(x'))$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, since $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_{fp(x)} \simeq \mathcal{E}'_{qr(x)}$ is assumed to be an equivalence of ∞ -bicategories. \square

2.2. The domain projection. In this section we analyse a key example of an outer cartesian 2-fibration: the domain projection $d: \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induced by the inclusion $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \Delta^1$, where $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ is the ∞ -bicategory whose objects are the arrows in \mathcal{C} and whose morphisms are the lax-commutative squares, see [7, §2.1] for the precise definition. In the context of ordinary categories this example is well-known, and the cartesian arrows are those whose image in \mathcal{C} under the codomain projection is an isomorphism. Moreover, in that context, the category of cartesian fibrations over a base \mathcal{C} coincides with the category of algebras of the 2-monad \mathbb{T} that acts on \mathbf{Cat}/\mathcal{C} by sending $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to the composite morphism

$$\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}(\{0\}, \mathcal{C})} \mathbf{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{c} \mathbf{Fun}(\{1\}, \mathcal{C}).$$

It is in this sense that we think of the domain projection as some sort of “prototypical” outer cartesian 2-fibration. Since it should correspond to the functor $c \mapsto \mathcal{C}_c$, we see that it ought to be contravariant on 1-cells and covariant on 2-cells, hence the corresponding fibration should be outer cartesian.

Remark 2.2.1. By the main result of [7] the functor $-\otimes-$ is a left Quillen bifunctor. It then follows that for any ∞ -bicategory \mathcal{C} the domain projection

$$d: \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

is a bicategorical fibration of ∞ -bicategories, and in particular a weak fibration (see [8, Remark 2.2]).

Our argument is based on the following extension lemma:

Lemma 2.2.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -bicategory, and for $n \geq 1$ suppose given a lifting problem of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ([\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n] \amalg_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n], T') & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \\ (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T) & & . \end{array}$$

where

$$T = \{ \Delta^{\{(0,n-1),(0,n),(1,n)\}}, \Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,n-1),(1,n)\}} \}$$

and T' is the intersection of T with the triangles of $[\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n] \amalg_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n]$. If σ sends $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{n-1,n\}}$ to an invertible edge in \mathcal{C} then the dotted lift exists.

Proof. We define a sequence of scaled maps

$$\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}: (\Delta^n, \Delta^{\{0,n-1,n\}}) \rightarrow (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T)$$

in the following manner:

$$\tau_i(j) = \begin{cases} (0, j) & j < i \\ (1, j) & j \geq i \end{cases}$$

Set $K_0 := ([\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n] \amalg_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n], T')$ and $K_{i+1} := K_i \cup \tau_{i+1}$, for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, so that we have pushout diagrams of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda_n^n, \Delta^{\{0,n-1,n\}}) & \longrightarrow & K_{i-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^n, \Delta^{\{0,n-1,n\}}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_i} & K_i \end{array}$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. These all have the property that the edge $\Delta^{\{n-1,n\}}$ is mapped to an equivalence in \mathcal{C} . By Corollary 2.3.10 of [6], all these maps admit lifts against \mathcal{C} , and so we can extend the map ρ to a map $\rho': K_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Consider now the $(n+1)$ -simplices $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n: \Delta^{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n$ defined as follows:

$$\sigma_i(j) = \begin{cases} (0, j) & j \leq i \\ (1, j-1) & j > i. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ can be promoted to maps

$$(\Delta^{n+1}, \Delta^{\{0,n,n+1\}}) \rightarrow (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T)$$

whereas σ_n can be promoted to a map

$$(\Delta^{n+1}, \Delta^{\{n-1,n,n+1\}}) \rightarrow (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T)$$

We now set $K_{n+k} = K_{n-1+k} \cup \sigma_{n-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, and observe that $K_{2n} = (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T)$. We then have a pushout diagram of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda_n^{n+1}, \Delta^{\{n-1, n, n+1\}} \cap \Lambda_n^{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^{n+1}, \Delta^{\{n-1, n, n+1\}}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} & K_n \end{array}$$

and that, for every $k > 0$, there are pushout diagrams of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda_{n+1}^{n+1}, \Delta^{\{0, n, n+1\}}) & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1+k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^{n+1}, \Delta^{\{0, n, n+1\}}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n-k}} & K_{n+k} \end{array}$$

where the corresponding image of the edge $\Delta^{\{n, n+1\}}$ in \mathcal{C} is an equivalence. As before, this is enough to prove the existence of an extension to \mathcal{C} . \square

We begin by characterizing the d -cartesian 1-simplices.

Lemma 2.2.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -bicategory and consider the domain projection $d: \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as above. Then a 1-simplex $\alpha: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ is d -cartesian if its transpose $\hat{\alpha}: \Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to a commutative square (i.e. both its non-degenerate triangles are thin) with the side $\hat{\alpha}(\{1\} \times \Delta^1)$ being an equivalence in \mathcal{C} . Pictorially, $\hat{\alpha}$ looks as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\quad} & x \\ g \downarrow & \swarrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq \\ & k & \\ b & \xrightarrow{\quad} & x \\ & \swarrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider a 1-simplex $\alpha: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$, as described above. Given $n \geq 2$ and a solid square of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Delta^{\{n-1, n\}} & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \alpha \\ (\Lambda_n^n, \Lambda_n^n \cap \Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow d \\ (\Delta^n, \Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

we have to exhibit a filler as indicated by the dotted arrow. Note that this lifting problem corresponds to one of the form:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Lambda_n^n} \Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^n, T') & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \searrow \simeq & \\ (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T) & & \end{array}$$

in which $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}$ is mapped to $\hat{\alpha}$ under the adjunction $\Delta^1 \otimes - \dashv \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, -)$. Here T is the union of the triangles which are thin in $\Delta_b^1 \otimes (\Delta^n, \Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}})$, together with $\Delta^{\{(0, n-1), (0, n), (1, n)\}}$, and $T' \subseteq T$ is the subset of those triangles which are contained in the domain of the vertical arrow in (6). Since the edge $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}$

maps to an invertible edge of \mathcal{C} by our assumption on α and T contains $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}}$ we can extend the map f in (6) to a map

$$f': ([\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n] \coprod_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n], T'') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where T'' is the intersection of T with the triangles $[\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n] \coprod_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n]$

Let $S = T'' \cup \{\Delta^{\{(0, n-1), (0, n), (1, n)\}}, \Delta^{\{(0, 0), (1, n-1), (1, n)\}}\}$. Then S is contained in T and by Lemma 2.2.2 we may extend f' to $(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, S)$. When $n \geq 3$ we have that $T = T'' = S$ and so the proof is complete. In the case $n = 2$ one needs to additionally verify that the resulting extension sends $\Delta^{\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}}$ to a thin triangle. Indeed, this follows from [8, Proposition 3.4] since S contains $\Delta^{\{(0, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}}$, $\Delta^{\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 2)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}}$, and $\Delta^{\{(1, 1), (1, 2)\}}$ maps to an invertible edge in \mathcal{C} by assumption. \square

Lemma 2.2.4. *The domain projection*

$$d: \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

of an ∞ -bicategory \mathcal{C} has enough cartesian edges.

Proof. A lifting problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{\{1\}} & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\ \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

corresponds to the following data in \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & & \\ f \downarrow & & \\ y & \xrightarrow{h} & z \end{array} .$$

This can be extended to a 1-simplex in $\text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ as depicted below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{hf} & z \\ f \downarrow & \searrow^{hf} & \parallel \\ y & \xrightarrow{h} & z \end{array} ,$$

where bottom triangle is given by an extension along $\Lambda_1^2 \rightarrow \Delta_{\#}^2$ and the upper triangle is degenerate. Such a 1-simplex is then d-cartesian by Lemma 2.2.3. \square

Lemma 2.2.5. *Let $\alpha: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ be a triangle with transpose $\hat{\alpha}: \Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, and assume that $\hat{\alpha}$ sends the triangle $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^2$ as well as the two triangles in the square $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{\{1, 2\}}$ to thin triangles in \mathcal{C} . Then the following holds:*

- (1) *If $\hat{\alpha}|_{\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{1, 2\}}}$ is invertible in \mathcal{C} then α is right d-outer.*
- (2) *If $\hat{\alpha}|_{\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{0, 1\}}}$ and $\hat{\alpha}|_{\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^{\{0, 1\}}}$ are invertible in \mathcal{C} then α is left d-outer.*

Proof. We first prove (1). By Remark 2.0.3 we need to consider a lifting problem of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Delta^{\{0, n-1, n\}} & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \alpha \\
 \Lambda_n^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow d \\
 \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}
 \end{array}$$

for $n \geq 3$, where we have to exhibit a diagonal filler as indicated by the dotted arrow. We obtain an equivalent lifting problem of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Lambda_n^n} \Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^n, T') & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{C} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \\
 (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T) & &
 \end{array}$$

Here, T' is the restriction of T , which is defined to be the set of thin triangles in $\Delta_b^1 \otimes \Delta_b^n$ together with $\Delta^{\{(0, n-1), (0, n), (1, n)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(1, 0), (1, n-1), (1, n)\}}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2.3, since the image of the edge $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}$ is invertible in \mathcal{C} by our assumption on α and T contains $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{0, 1, n\}}$ we can extend the map f to a map

$$f': ([\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n] \coprod_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n], T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where we understand T as a set of triangles in the domain of f , since that domain contains all triangles of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n$ when $n \geq 3$. Now since T contains $\Delta^{\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, n-1)\}}$, $\Delta^{\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, n)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(1, 0), (1, n-1), (1, n)\}}$ and \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -bicategory, the map f' must also send $\Delta^{\{(0, 0), (1, n-1), (1, n)\}}$ to a thin triangle (see [11, Remark 3.1.4]). We may consequently apply Lemma 2.2.2 in order to extend f' to all of $(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T)$, as desired.

We now prove (2). By Remark 2.0.3 we now need to consider a lifting problem of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Delta^{\{0, 1, n\}} & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \alpha \\
 \Lambda_0^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow d \\
 \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}
 \end{array}$$

with $n \geq 3$. We obtain an equivalent lifting problem of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_0^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Lambda_0^n} \Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^n, T') & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{C} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \\
 (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T) & &
 \end{array}$$

Here, T' is the restriction of T , which is defined to be the set of thin triangles in $\Delta_b^1 \otimes \Delta_b^n$ together with $\Delta^{\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, n)\}}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2.3, since the image of the edge $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}$ is invertible in \mathcal{C} and T contains $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{0, 1, n\}}$ we can extend the map f to a map

$$f': ([\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n] \coprod_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n} [\partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n], T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where we understand T as a set of triangles in the domain of f , since that domain contains all triangles of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n$ when $n \geq 3$. Now since T contains $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,0),(1,1)\}}$, $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,0),(1,n)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(1,0),(1,1),(1,n)\}}$, and \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -bicategory, the map f' must also send $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,1),(1,n)\}}$ to a thin triangle. Since f' also sends $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(0,1),(1,1),(1,n)\}}$ to thin triangles, the same happens to $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,n)\}}$. We may consequently apply the dual form of Lemma 2.2.2 (or, equivalently, apply Lemma 2.2.2 to \mathcal{C}^{op}), in order to extend f' to all of $(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n, T)$, as desired. \square

Finally, we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.2.6. *Given an ∞ -bicategory \mathcal{C} , the domain projection*

$$d: \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

is an outer cartesian 2-fibration, whose p -cartesian 1-simplices are those described in Lemma 2.2.3, whose right p -outer triangles are those described in Lemma 2.2.5(1), and whose left p -outer lifts of triangles with a degenerate left leg are those described in Lemma 2.2.5(2).

Proof. By Remark 2.2.1, the map d is a weak fibration and by Lemma 2.2.3 it has a sufficient supply of d -cartesian lifts for 1-morphisms. By the essential unicity of d -cartesian lifts we deduce that all d -cartesian arrows are of the form described in Lemma 2.2.3.

We now show that d is a right outer fibration, that is, has a sufficient supply of right p -outer lifts. This translates into a lifting problem of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_2^2 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow d \\ \Delta^2 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{C} \end{array},$$

with $\rho|_{\Delta^{\{1,2\}}}$ a d -cartesian edge, and so (as argued just above) of the form described in Lemma 2.2.3. This lifting problem thus corresponds to a lifting problem of the form

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_2^2 \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Lambda_2^2} \Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^2, T') & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho} \cup \gamma} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2, T) & & \end{array}.$$

where T' is obtained by intersection from T , which in turn contains all triangles which are thin in $\Delta_b^1 \otimes \Delta_b^2$ as well as $\Delta^{\{(1,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(0,1),(0,2),(1,2)\}}$, and $\hat{\rho}$ sends $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\{1,2\}}$ to an invertible edge in \mathcal{C} . Since \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -bicategory we may then extend $\hat{\rho} \cup \gamma$ to a map

$$g: (\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_2^2 \coprod_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_2^2} \partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^2, T'') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

where T'' is obtained from T by intersection. Applying Lemma 2.2.2 we may extend g to a map $g': (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2, T'' \cup \{\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We then observe that there is exactly one triangle in T which is not in $T'' \cup \{\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}\}$, and that is the triangle $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,0),(1,1)\}}$. But this triangle is sent by g to a thin triangle in \mathcal{C} by [8, Proposition 3.4], since T contains $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$, $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,0),(1,2)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(1,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$, and g sends $\Delta^{\{(1,0),(1,2)\}}$ to an invertible edge.

Let us now show that d is a left outer fibration, that is, has a sufficient supply of left p -outer lifts. Invoking Remark 2.0.9, it will suffice to test this only for triangles

in \mathcal{B} whose left leg is degenerate. This then translates into a lifting problem of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_0^2 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow \text{d} \\ \Delta^2 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{C} \end{array},$$

with $\rho|_{\Delta_{\{0,1\}}}$ a d-cocartesian lift of an identity, and hence an invertible edge. This lifting problem thus corresponds to a lifting problem of the form

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_0^2 \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Lambda_0^2} \Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^2, T') & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho} \cup \gamma} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2, T) & & \end{array}.$$

where T' is obtained by intersection from T , which in turn contains all triangles which are thin in $\Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^2$ as well as $\Delta^{\{(1,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}}$, and $\hat{\rho}$ sends $\Delta^{\{\varepsilon\}} \times \Delta^{\{0,1\}}$ to an invertible edge in \mathcal{C} for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. Since \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -bicategory we may then extend $\hat{\rho} \cup \gamma$ to a map

$$g: (\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_0^2 \coprod_{\partial \Delta^1 \times \Lambda_0^2} \partial \Delta^1 \times \Delta^2, T'') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

where T'' is obtained from T by intersection. Applying Lemma 2.2.2 to \mathcal{C}^{op} we may extend g to a map $g': (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2, T'' \cup \{\Delta^{\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,2)\}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We then observe that there are exactly two triangles in T which are not in T'' , namely, $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(0,1),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$. To finish the proof we will show that these two triangles are sent by g to thin triangles in \mathcal{C} . For the first one, we note that since g sends $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,0),(1,1)\}}$, $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,0),(1,2)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(1,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$ to thin triangles, then it must also send $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$ to a thin triangle. Then, since g also sends $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,2)\}}$ to thin triangles then it must send $\Delta^{\{(0,1),(1,1),(1,2)\}}$ to a thin triangle as well.

Having provided sufficiently many left and right p -outer lifts of the form appearing in Lemma 2.2.5, the uniqueness of p -outer lifts, as expressed for example in Remark 2.0.19, shows that all right p -outer lifts are of the form described in 2.2.5(1), and all left p -outer lifts of triangles whose left leg is degenerate are of the form described in 2.2.5(2). To show that the collection of right (resp. left) p -outer triangles is closed under right (resp. left) whiskering, it will hence suffice to show that the collection of triangles $\alpha: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ whose adjoint $\hat{\alpha}: \Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sends $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^2$ to a thin triangle, is closed under both left and right whiskering. Indeed, this is exactly the pre-image in $\text{Fun}^{\text{gr}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ under the *codomain projection* of the collection of thin triangles in \mathcal{C} , and the collection of thin triangles is closed under whiskering from both sides. \square

3. ENRICHED CARTESIAN 2-FIBRATIONS

In this section we define the notion of cartesian 2-fibration in the context of simplicial categories. The definition is motivated by the one given for 2-categories by Buckley in [5], which we recall in §3.1.

3.1. Recollection: fibrations of 2-categories. Fibrations of 2-categories were initially introduced by Hermida [10], but no Grothendieck construction was available in his setting. A suitably modified definition was later given by Buckley [5], who also proved an (un)straightening-type result. In what follows we give a concise

summary of the main results of loc. cit., to be considered as a motivation for the discussion of simplicial categories in §3.2.

Definition 3.1.1. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a 2-functor between 2-categories.

- A 1-cell $f: x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{E} is *p-cartesian* if the following square is a pullback of categories for every $a \in \mathcal{E}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(a, x) & \xrightarrow{f \circ -} & \mathcal{E}(a, y) \\ p_{a,x} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{a,y} \\ \mathcal{B}(pa, px) & \xrightarrow{p(f) \circ -} & \mathcal{B}(pa, py) \end{array}$$

- A 2-cell $\alpha: f \Rightarrow g: x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{E} is *p-cartesian* if it is cartesian with respect to the induced functor $p_{x,y}: \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(px, py)$.

The notion of cartesian fibration for 2-categories amounts to the existence of enough cartesian lifts, as in the 1-dimensional case, but it also requires an additional property: cartesian 2-cells must be closed under horizontal composition.

Definition 3.1.2. A 2-functor between 2-categories $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a *2-fibration* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) for every object $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and every 1-cell $f: b \rightarrow p(e)$ there exists a *p-cartesian* 1-cell $h: a \rightarrow e$ in \mathcal{E} such that $p(h) = f$;
- (2) for every pair of objects x, y in \mathcal{E} , the map $p_{x,y}: \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(px, py)$ is a cartesian fibration of categories;
- (3) cartesian 2-cells are closed under horizontal composition, i.e. for every triple of objects (x, y, z) in \mathcal{E} , the functor $\circ_{x,y,z}: \mathcal{E}(y, z) \times \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(x, z)$ sends $p_{y,z} \times p_{x,y}$ -cartesian 1-cells to $p_{x,z}$ -cartesian ones.

Replacing cartesian lifts for 1-cells by cocartesian lifts and similarly for 2-cells one may obtain four different variants of fibration, corresponding to the four possible types of variance for pseudo-functors $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow 2\text{-Cat}$.

Remark 3.1.3. Condition (3) of Definition 3.1.2 is equivalent to requiring that given 1-cells in \mathcal{E} of the form $f: w \rightarrow x$ and $g: y \rightarrow z$, the whiskering functors $- \circ f: \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(w, y)$ and $g \circ -: \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(x, z)$ preserve cartesian 2-cells. This follows from the fact that horizontal composition can be obtained from vertical composition and whiskering composition.

The following result appears as Theorem 2.2.11 in [5].

Theorem 3.1.4. *There exists an equivalence of 3-categories between $2\mathbf{Fib}_s(\mathcal{B})$ and $[\mathcal{B}_{co}^{op}, 2\text{-Cat}]$, the former being the 3-category of 2-fibrations equipped with a choice of cartesian lifts compatible with composition, while the latter is the 3-category of (strict) 2-functors into 2-Cat , natural transformations and modifications.*

In the same paper, the author proves several weakenings of this statement, by looking at fibrations without a choice of lifts (which correspond to *pseudofunctors*) and fibrations of bicategories.

3.2. Cartesian fibrations of enriched categories. In what follows we denote by $\mathbf{U}: \mathbf{Set}_\Delta^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}_\Delta$ the forgetful functor.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a map of marked-simplicial categories. A 0-simplex $h \in \mathcal{E}(e', e)_0$ is said to be *p-cartesian* if the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(a, e') & \xrightarrow{h \circ -} & \mathcal{E}(a, e) \\ p_{a,e'} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{a,e} \\ \mathcal{B}(pa, pe') & \xrightarrow{p(h) \circ -} & \mathcal{B}(pa, pe) \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback for the model structure on marked simplicial sets.

Definition 3.2.2. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a map of marked-simplicial categories. We say that p is an *enriched inner* (resp. *outer*) *cartesian 2-fibration* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) Given any 0-simplex $f \in \mathcal{B}(a, p(e))_0$ there exists a p -cartesian 0-simplex $h \in \mathcal{E}(e', e)_0$ for some $e' \in \mathcal{E}$, such that $p(h) = f$.
- (2) For every pair of objects (x, y) in \mathcal{E} , the map $\mathbf{U}(p_{x,y}): \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(px, py)$ is a cartesian (resp. cocartesian) fibration of simplicial sets.
- (3) For every 0-simplices $u: y \rightarrow z$ and $v: w \rightarrow x$ in \mathcal{E} , the commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(x, y) & \xrightarrow{u \circ -} & \mathcal{E}(x, z) \\ p_{x,y} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{x,z} \\ \mathcal{B}(px, py) & \xrightarrow{p(u) \circ -} & \mathcal{B}(px, pz) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(x, y) & \xrightarrow{- \circ v} & \mathcal{E}(w, y) \\ \downarrow p_{x,y} & & \downarrow p_{w,y} \\ \mathcal{B}(px, py) & \xrightarrow{- \circ p(v)} & \mathcal{B}(pw, py) \end{array}$$

are morphisms of cartesian (resp. cocartesian) fibrations, i.e. the top horizontal maps send (respectively) $p_{x,y}$ -cartesian 1-simplices to $p_{x,z}$ -cartesian (resp. $p_{w,y}$) ones (or cocartesian ones in the outer case).

By swapping the adjective ‘‘cartesian’’ with ‘‘cocartesian’’ in point (1), we obtain the notion of enriched inner/outer cocartesian 2-fibration between marked-simplicial categories.

We say that $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Set}_{\Delta}^+ \text{-Cat}$ is a Cat_{∞} -category if it is fibrant in the Dwyer–Kan model structure, i.e. if it is enriched over ∞ -categories, with marking given by equivalences. A *fibration* of Cat_{∞} -categories is a fibration between fibrant objects in the Dwyer–Kan model structure on $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+ \text{-Cat}$.

Definition 3.2.3. We will denote by $\square^n = (\Delta^1)^n$ the *simplicial n -cube* and by $\partial \square^n$ its *boundary*, so that the inclusion $\partial \square^n \subseteq \square^n$ can be identified with the pushout-product of $\partial \Delta^1 \hookrightarrow \Delta^1$ with itself n times. We also denote by $\square_{\varepsilon}^{n,i} \hookrightarrow \square^n$ the iterated pushout-product

$$[\partial \Delta^1 \hookrightarrow \Delta^1] \square \dots \square [\Delta^{\{\varepsilon\}} \hookrightarrow \Delta^1] \square \dots \square [\partial \Delta^1 \hookrightarrow \Delta^1],$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ and $[\Delta^{\{\varepsilon\}} \hookrightarrow \Delta^1]$ appears in the i 'th factor. Also, we understand vertices of \square^n as subsets of $\{0, \dots, n+1\}$ containing $\{0, n+1\}$.

Lemma 3.2.4. Let $E \subseteq (\square^n)_1$ be the set of all edges of the form $(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{i-1}) \times \Delta^1 \times (\varepsilon_{i+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, for $\varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$. Then the inclusion of marked simplicial sets $(\square_1^{n,i}, E) \hookrightarrow (\square^n, E)$ is cartesian anodyne and the inclusion of marked simplicial sets $(\square_0^{n,i}, E) \hookrightarrow (\square^n, E)$ is cocartesian anodyne.

Proof. This follows from the pushout-product property of (co)cartesian anodyne maps and the fact that $\Delta^{\{1\}} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^1)^{\sharp}$ is cartesian anodyne and $\Delta^{\{0\}} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^1)^{\sharp}$ is cocartesian anodyne. \square

We recall from [6] the comparison of the notion of (co)cartesian 1-cells between the enriched and scaled models:

Proposition 3.2.5 ([6, Proposition 3.1.3]). *Given a fibration $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of Cat_{∞} -categories, an arrow in \mathcal{E} is p -(co)cartesian if and only if the corresponding 1-simplex of $\text{N}^{\text{sc}} \mathcal{E}$ is $\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(p)$ -(co)cartesian.*

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a fibration of Cat_∞ -categories. Then a triangle $\alpha: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{E}$ of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{h} & z \\ & \searrow f & \nearrow g \\ & & y \end{array} \quad \Downarrow \alpha$$

is left $\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(p)$ -inner if and only if the corresponding 1-simplex $\alpha^*: h \rightarrow gf$ in $\mathcal{E}(x, z)$ is $p_{x,z}$ -cartesian and maps to a $p_{x',z}$ -cartesian arrow in $\mathcal{E}(x', z)$ after pre-composing with any arrow $x' \rightarrow x$. Similarly, α is right $\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(p)$ -inner if and only if the corresponding 1-simplex $\alpha^*: h \rightarrow gf$ in $\mathcal{E}(x, z)$ is $p_{x,z}$ -cartesian and maps to a $p_{x,z'}$ -cartesian arrow in $\mathcal{E}(x, z')$ after post-composing with any arrow $z \rightarrow z'$.

Proof. We prove the left inner case. The proof for right inner triangles is completely analogous. Suppose first that α^* is $p_{x,z}$ -cartesian in $\mathcal{E}(x, z)$ and maps to a $p_{x',z}$ -cartesian arrow in $\mathcal{E}(x', z)$ after pre-composing with any arrow $x' \rightarrow x$. By Remark 2.0.3 we have to provide a solution for every lifting problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Delta^{\{n-2, n-1, n\}} & \\ & \swarrow \alpha & \searrow \\ \Lambda_{n-1}^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{N}^{\text{sc}}(p) \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{B} . \end{array}$$

By adjunction, this corresponds to a lifting problem of the form

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{C}\Delta^{\{n-2, n-1, n\}} & \\ & \swarrow \alpha^* & \searrow \\ \mathfrak{C}\Lambda_{n-1}^n & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathfrak{C}\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

where we have committed a small abuse of language denoting by α^* also the transpose map $\mathfrak{C}\Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ induced by α . As a straightforward calculation shows, the lifting problem in (9) corresponds, at the level of marked simplicial sets, to the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\square_1^{n-1, n-1})^b & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(x', z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{x', z} \\ (\square^{n-1})^b & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(px', pz) . \end{array}$$

Moreover, for any sequence $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-2} \in \{0, 1\}$, the edge $(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-2}) \times \Delta^1$ is mapped by the top horizontal map to the whiskering of the 1-simplex α^* by a sequence of 0-simplices connecting x' and x , and it is therefore $p_{x',z}$ -cartesian in $\mathcal{E}(x', z)$. The desired lift hence exists by Lemma 3.2.4.

We now prove the “only if” direction, and so we assume that α is left p -inner. We have a commutative diagram of marked simplicial sets

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, z) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E}}(x, z) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{E}(x, z) \\ \downarrow p_{x,y}^{\triangleright} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{x,y} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{B}}^{\triangleright}(x, z) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{B}}(x, z) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{B}(x, z), \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal maps are marked categorical equivalences and the vertical maps are fibrations between fibrant objects in the marked categorical model structure. Here, the horizontal equivalences in the right column are given by the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E}}(x, z) \cong \mathrm{Un}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E}(x, z)$, see [11, Remark 4.2.1], and the horizontal equivalences in the left column are established in [8, Proposition 2.24]. The triangle α determines arrows in both top left and top right marked simplicial sets, and the images of these two arrows coincides in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E}}(x, z)$ by direct inspection. We hence obtain that the arrow α^* is $p_{x,z}$ -cartesian in $\mathcal{E}(x, z)$ if and only if the arrow determined by α in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, z)$ is $p_{x,z}^{\triangleright}$ -cartesian. The latter, and hence the former, indeed holds when α is left p -inner by Remark 2.0.10. The desired implication is now a consequence of the closure of left p -inner triangles under left whiskering (see Remark 2.0.5). \square

And now the outer counterparts.

Lemma 3.2.7. *Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a map of simplicial categories, and suppose that for every $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ the map $p_{x,y}: \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(px, py)$ is an inner fibration of simplicial sets. Let α^* be a triangle in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E}$ of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{h} & z \\ & \searrow f & \nearrow g \\ & & y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \alpha^* \\ \end{array}$$

where $f: x \rightarrow y$ is an $\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}}(p)$ -cocartesian edge (resp. $g: y \rightarrow z$ is an $\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}}(p)$ -cartesian edge). Then α is left $\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}}(p)$ -outer (resp. right $\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}}(p)$ -outer) if and only if the corresponding 1-simplex $\alpha^*: h \rightarrow gf$ in $\mathcal{E}(x, z)$ is $p_{x,z}$ -cocartesian.

Proof. We prove the left outer case. The proof for right outer triangles is completely analogous. The “only if” part follows from Remark 2.0.10 by using the commutative diagram (10) as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.6. To prove the “if” direction, suppose that α^* is $p_{x,z}$ -cocartesian in $\mathcal{E}(x, z)$. By Remark 2.0.3 we have to provide a solution for every lifting problem of the form

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \Delta^{\{0,1,n\}} & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \alpha^* \\ \Lambda_0^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}}(p) \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sc}} \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

Trasposing along the adjunction $\mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \dashv \mathfrak{N}^{\text{sc}}$ we obtain an equivalent lifting problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \Delta^{\{0,1,n\}} & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \alpha^* \\ \mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \Lambda_0^n & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

A simple combinatorial analysis shows that this amounts to solving two lifting problems in the category of simplicial sets, namely:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial \square^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(y, z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{y,z} \\ \square^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(p(y), p(z)) \end{array}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \square_0^{n-1,1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(x, z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{x,z} \\ \square^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(p(x), p(z)) \end{array}$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.2.5, we know that $f \in E(x, y)_0$ is a cocartesian arrow. It follows that, in the cube depicted below, the right-hand side square is cartesian.

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \Lambda_0^n(0, n) & \xrightarrow{f_{0,n}} & \mathcal{E}(x, z) \\ & \nearrow -\circ\{0,1\} & \downarrow & \nearrow -\circ f & \downarrow p_{x,z} \\ \mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \Lambda_0^n(1, n) & \xrightarrow{f_{1,n}} & \mathcal{E}(y, z) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{y,z} \\ & \nearrow -\circ\{0,1\} & \mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \Delta^n(0, n) & \xrightarrow{g_{0,n}} & \mathcal{B}(p(x), p(z)) \\ \mathfrak{C}^{\text{sc}} \Delta^n(1, n) & \xrightarrow{g_{1,n}} & \mathcal{B}(p(y), p(z)) & & \downarrow p_{y,z} \\ & & & \nearrow -\circ p(f) & \end{array}$$

Therefore, solving (13) also produces a solution for (12). In (13), we have that the edge corresponding to $\{0, n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, n\}$ is $p_{f(0), f(n)}$ -cocartesian by assumption. This corresponds to the edge $\Delta^1 \times (0, \dots, 0)$ in the cube and therefore a solution exists thanks to the dual of Lemma 3.2.4. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.


Theorem 3.2.8. *Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a fibration of Cat_∞ -categories. Then $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an enriched inner (resp. outer) cartesian (resp. cocartesian) 2-fibration in the sense of Definition 3.2.2 if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{N}^{\text{sc}}(p): \mathfrak{N}^{\text{sc}} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^{\text{sc}} \mathcal{B}$$


is an inner (resp. outer) cartesian (resp. cocartesian) 2-fibration of ∞ -bicategories.

Proof. We first note that since p is a fibration between fibrant objects the same holds for $\mathfrak{N}^{\text{sc}}(p)$, and so the latter is always a weak fibration. We now recall that the vertices in $\mathfrak{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathcal{E})$ correspond exactly to the objects of \mathcal{E} , the edges $f: x \rightarrow y$

in $N^{\text{sc}}(\mathcal{E})$ correspond exactly to a pair of objects $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ and a vertex $f \in \mathcal{E}(x, y)$, triangles



with degenerate left leg correspond bijectively to edges $\tau: f \Rightarrow g$ in $\mathcal{E}(x, y)$, and at the same time triangles



with degenerate right leg also correspond bijectively to edges $\tau: f \Rightarrow g$ in $\mathcal{E}(x, y)$. Proposition 3.2.5 tells us that p has a sufficient supply of (co)cartesian lifts for 1-morphisms if and only if $N^{\text{sc}}(p)$ has a sufficiently supply of (co)cartesian lifts for arrows.

Considering lifts of triangles, we note that by Remark 2.0.9 we may restrict to triangles in \mathcal{B} with one leg degenerate and lifts whose same leg is degenerate in \mathcal{E} . It then follows directly from Lemma 3.2.6 that $N^{\text{sc}}(p)$ has a sufficient supply of left (resp. right) p -inner lifts with degenerate left (resp. right) leg if and only if each $p_{x,y}: \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(x, y)$ has a sufficient supply of cartesian lifts, and these cartesian lifts are closed under pre-composition (resp. post-composition) in \mathcal{E} . Combining all this we may now conclude that $N^{\text{sc}}(p)$ is an inner (co)cartesian 2-fibration if and only if \mathcal{E} is an inner (co)cartesian 2-fibration of Cat_∞ -categories.

We now consider the outer case. Again, it will suffice to consider only lifts with a degenerate leg, and one deduces from Lemma 3.2.7 that $N^{\text{sc}}(p)$ has a sufficient supply of left (resp. right) p -outer lifts if and only if each $p_{x,y}: \mathcal{E}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(x, y)$ has a sufficient supply of cocartesian lifts. Since the definition of outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration contains explicitly the closure under left/right whiskering (which corresponds, up to equivalence, to pre/post composition), we can conclude that $N^{\text{sc}}(p)$ is an outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration if and only if \mathcal{E} is an enriched outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration of Cat_∞ -categories, as desired. \square

Corollary 3.2.9. *Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{B} two ∞ -bicategories.*

- (1) *An inner (co)cartesian 2-fibration $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to an inner (co)cartesian 1-fibration if and only if every triangle is left and right inner.*
- (2) *An outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to an outer (co)cartesian 1-fibration if and only if every triangle whose left leg is p -cocartesian is left outer and every triangle whose right leg is p -cartesian is right outer.*

Proof. From the explicit description of Remark 2.0.3 one immediately finds that if p is an inner (co)cartesian 1-fibration then every triangle is both left and right p -inner. Similarly, if p is an outer cartesian 1-fibration then any (co)cartesian arrow is automatically strongly (co)cartesian by [6, Proposition 2.3.7] (and its dual), and so from Remark 2.0.3 we obtain that every triangle whose left leg is p -cocartesian is left p -outer and any triangle whose right leg is p -cartesian is right p -outer.

To prove the “if” direction, we now invoke the fact that N^{sc} is a right Quillen equivalence to deduce the existence of fibration $q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of Cat_∞ -categories which

fits in a commutative diagram of the form

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{C} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}q \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{D} \end{array},$$

whose horizontal maps are equivalences of ∞ -bicategories. By Lemma 2.0.22 we then obtain that the right vertical map is an inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration, and hence by Theorem 3.2.8 the functor $q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an enriched inner/outer (co)cartesian 2-fibration of Cat_∞ -categories. For every $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ with images $x', y' \in \mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{C}$ (which we can identify with objects of \mathcal{C}) we may then consider the commutative diagram

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\triangleright}(x, y) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_{\mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{C}}^{\triangleright}(x', y') & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_{\mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{C}}(x', y') & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{C}(x', y') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\triangleright}(px, py) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_{\mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{D}}^{\triangleright}(qx', qy') & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_{\mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{D}}(qx', qy') & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{D}(qx', qy') \end{array},$$

in which all vertical arrows are cartesian fibrations in the inner case and cocartesian fibrations in the outer case. Our assumption implies in particular that every left degenerate triangle in \mathcal{E} is left p -inner/outer, which by Remark 2.0.10 implies that the left-most vertical map in (16) is a left fibration in the outer case and a right fibration in the inner case. The same consequently holds for all vertical maps in (16), which implies that $q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an inner/outer (co)cartesian 1-fibration of Cat_∞ -categories. By [6, Proposition 3.1.3] this means that $\mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}q: \mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathsf{N}^{\text{sc}}\mathcal{D}$ is an inner/outer (co)cartesian 1-fibration. Since the square (15) is an equivalence between two bicategorical fibrations between fibrant objects, these two fibrations satisfy the same right lifting properties. In particular, if the right one is an inner 1-fibration then so is the left, and if the right one is an outer 1-fibration then so is the left. We may consequently deduce that $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an inner/outer (co)cartesian 1-fibration, as desired. \square

REFERENCES

1. Fernando Abellán García and Walker H. Stern, *2-cartesian fibrations I: A model for ∞ -bicategories fibred in ∞ -bicategories*, arXiv:2106.03606, 2021, preprint.
2. Michael A. Batanin, *Monoidal globular categories as a natural environment for the theory of weak n -categories*, Adv. Math. **136** (1998), no. 1, 39–103.
3. ———, *The Eckmann–Hilton argument and higher operads*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), no. 1, 334–385.
4. Nathaniel Bottman and Shachar Carmeli, *$(A_\infty, 2)$ -categories and relative 2-operads*, arXiv:1811.05442, 2021, to appear in *Higher Structures*.
5. Mitchell Buckley, *Fibred 2-categories and bicategories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), no. 6, 1034–1074.
6. Andrea Gagna, Yonatan Harpaz, and Edoardo Lanari, *Fibrations and lax limits of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories*, 2012.04537, 2020, preprint.
7. ———, *Gray tensor products and lax functors of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories*, arXiv:2006.14495, 2020, to appear in *Adv. Math.*
8. ———, *On the equivalence of all models for $(\infty, 2)$ -categories*, arXiv:1911.01905, 2020, preprint.
9. Dennis Gaitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum, *A study in derived algebraic geometry I & II*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 221, American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
10. Claudio Hermida, *Some properties of Fib as a fibred 2-category*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **134** (1999), no. 1, 83–109.
11. Jacob Lurie, *$(\infty, 2)$ -categories and the Goodwillie Calculus I*, arXiv:0905.0462, 2009, preprint.
12. ———, *Higher Topos Theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.

13. ———, *Kerodon*, <https://kerodon.net>, 2018.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1,
CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: gagna@math.cas.cz

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/andreagagna/home>

INSTITUT GALILÉE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 13, 99 AVENUE JEAN-BAPTISTE CLÉMENT, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

Email address: harpaz@math.univ-paris13.fr

URL: <https://www.math.univ-paris13.fr/~harpaz>

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1,
CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: edoardo.lanari.el@gmail.com

URL: <https://edolana.github.io/>