

TANNAKIAN DUALITY OVER A GENERAL BASE

YIFEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We establish a version of Tannakian duality which is valid over a general base ring R . It asserts that certain affine group schemes over $\text{Spec}(R)$, which we call “resolvable,” are in perfect duality with certain R -linear symmetric monoidal categories equipped with a fiber functor valued in finite projective R -modules. Furthermore, we show that the class of resolvable affine group schemes includes interesting examples such as finite locally free group schemes and reductive group schemes with isotrivial radicals.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Resolvable Tannakian duality	4
2. Case studies	14
3. Torsors	19
References	24

INTRODUCTION

Suppose k is a field. The Tannakian formalism of Saavedra, Deligne, and Milne ([SR72], [DMOS82], [Del90]) expresses a perfect duality between affine group schemes over k and certain k -linear symmetric monoidal categories equipped with a fiber functor valued in finite-dimensional k -vector spaces. The present article is concerned with a generalization of this duality when k is replaced by a general ring.¹

Let R be a ring. Following Street ([Str07]) and Schäppi ([Sch13]), there is an adjunction (the “Tannakian adjunction”) relating affine group schemes over $\text{Spec}(R)$ and pairs (\mathcal{A}, T) where \mathcal{A} is an R -linear symmetric monoidal category in which every object is dualizable, and T is an R -linear symmetric monoidal functor from \mathcal{A} to the category of finite projective R -modules. For convenience, let us call such a pair (\mathcal{A}, T) an *R -linear Tannakian category*.² The functors relating them are the familiar ones: taking G -representations on finite projective R -modules *vs.* taking automorphisms of the fiber functor T .

The first goal of this article is to characterize a class of affine group schemes over $\text{Spec}(R)$, as well as a class of R -linear Tannakian categories, for which the Tannakian adjunction restricts to an (anti-)equivalence of categories. The following result appears as Theorem

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 851146).

¹By convention, all rings (resp. algebras) are assumed commutative and unital.

²Contrary to the usual definition of a Tannakian category, the categories \mathcal{A} which are of interest to us tend not to be abelian.

1.6.6 in the main body of the text. (Its generalization to the non-neutral, non-rigid setting appears as Theorem 1.7.9).

Theorem A. *Let R be a ring. There is a canonical anti-equivalence of categories:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{resolvable affine group} \\ \text{schemes over } \text{Spec}(R) \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{resolvable } R\text{-linear} \\ \text{Tannakian categories} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The notion of “resolvability” originated in Thomason’s study of equivariant resolutions ([Tho87]). To give a proper definition, let us fix a ring R and write $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{QCoh}(S)$ (resp. $\text{Vect}(S)$) for its category of quasi-coherent (resp. finite locally free) sheaves. Given an affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$, we write $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$ (resp. $\text{Vect}(S)^G$) for the category of G -equivariant objects in $\text{QCoh}(S)$ (resp. $\text{Vect}(S)$). The affine group scheme G is called *resolvable* if $G \rightarrow S$ is flat and every $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(S)^G$ receives a G -equivariant surjection from a direct sum of objects of $\text{Vect}(S)^G$.

When S is a field, every affine group scheme is resolvable. (Thus, Theorem A includes the classical Tannakian duality of Saavedra, Deligne, and Milne.) When S is a Noetherian ring, a flat affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ is resolvable if and only if (G, S, S) satisfies the “resolution property” of Thomason ([Tho87, Definition 2.1]). Over a general base ring, it is known after Schappi ([Sch13, Corollary 7.5.2]) that resolvable affine group schemes are *reconstructible*, i.e., the counit of the Tannakian adjunction is an isomorphism when evaluated at such an affine group scheme. The new content of Theorem A, therefore, is an intrinsic characterization of the R -linear Tannakian categories associated to resolvable affine group schemes, which are called “resolvable” in view of this duality.³

Let us explain the mechanism which makes this characterization possible. An affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ defines a Tannakian category consisting of $\mathcal{A} := \text{Vect}(S)^G$ and the forgetful functor $T : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S)$. To recognize a pair (\mathcal{A}, T) arising this way, one natural path is through the Barr–Beck theorem, which recognizes the large category $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$ together with its forgetful functor to $\text{QCoh}(S)$. One is thus led to ask whether the small category $\text{Vect}(S)^G$ encodes all of $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$. The key observation is that precisely when G is resolvable, $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$ can be identified with the category of sheaves on $\text{Vect}(S)^G$, equipped with the Grothendieck topology generated by admissible epimorphisms—in other words, $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$ is the abelian category attached to the exact category $\text{Vect}(S)^G$ by the Gabriel–Quillen embedding theorem ([Qui73, §2]). With this observation in mind, we define an R -linear Tannakian category (\mathcal{A}, T) to be *resolvable* when T equips \mathcal{A} with an exact structure⁴ and induces a faithful, exact inverse image functor on the category of sheaves (viewing $\text{QCoh}(S)$ as sheaves on $\text{Vect}(S)$):

$$T^s : \text{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(S). \tag{0.1}$$

The adjunction (T^s, T_s) then serves as a vessel for the Barr–Beck theorem, recognizing $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$, hence \mathcal{A} , as a category of comodules. In fact, this perspective is quite general—our proof of Theorem A also yields an equivalence between affine category schemes over S (a simultaneous generalization of affine monoid schemes and affine groupoid schemes) and what we call “ R -linear Tannakian triples.”

The second goal of the present article is to show that the class of resolvable affine group schemes is sufficiently large to contain interesting examples. It is easy to prove that finite

³We do not appeal to results of [Sch13] in our proof of Theorem A.

⁴The exact structure on \mathcal{A} will *not* be an additional structure; it is uniquely determined by T .

locally free group schemes are resolvable (Lemma 2.1.5). Our main result in §2 concerns reductive group schemes and relies on recent works of Alper ([Alp14]) and Gille ([Gil21]).

Theorem B. *Let R be a ring and $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a reductive group scheme. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is resolvable;
- (2) G is reconstructible;
- (3) G is linear, i.e., it is a closed subgroup scheme of GL_n for some $n \geq 1$;
- (4) The radical torus $\mathrm{Rad}(G)$ of G is isotrivial, i.e., it splits over a finite étale cover.

Furthermore, when these conditions hold, all parabolic subgroups of G and their unipotent radicals are resolvable.

The equivalence between (3) and (4) is due to Gille ([Gil21]). In this article, we prove the equivalence among (1), (2), and (3). The reductive hypothesis enters the implication “(3) \Rightarrow (1),” where we use Alper’s generalization of Matsushima’s theorem to conclude that when G is a closed subgroup scheme of GL_n , the quotient GL_n/G is representable by an affine scheme. Besides the assertions on resolvability, Theorem B gives a definitive answer to when a reductive group scheme is reconstructible. (Moreover, it generates examples of smooth affine group schemes which are not reconstructible.)

Finally, let us mention some related works. When R is a Dedekind domain, every flat affine group scheme $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is resolvable, according to an observation by Serre ([Ser68]). In this setting, a perfect Tannakian duality has been obtained by Wedhorn, Duong, and Hai ([Wed04], [DH18]). Their approach hinges on the notion of a “Tannakian lattice,” which involves the scalar extension of an R -linear Tannakian category to the field of fractions K , where it becomes abelian. The difference between our approach and theirs is that we use an abelian category which is internal to the pair (\mathcal{A}, T) , coming from the Gabriel–Quillen embedding theorem.

Lurie has established a version of the Tannakian reconstruction theorem over a general base $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ ([Lur04]). Applied to the classifying stack of a smooth affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$, it allows one to describe G -torsors on an S -scheme X in terms of symmetric monoidal functors $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$. Although our Tannakian formalism concerns functors out of the small category $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$, it is possible to compare the two approaches when G is resolvable. This comparison will be addressed in §3, which contains a few other results concerning G -torsors. The question of recognizing small abelian categories of the form $\mathrm{Coh}(S)^G$ for an affine group(oid) scheme G satisfying the resolution property has been taken up by Schächli ([Sch12]). Their point of view on Tannakian duality is slightly different from ours, which has the feature of completely bypassing coherent sheaves.

It is worth mentioning that Battiston and Romagny have recently pursued a project, whose aim is to carve out a class of affine group schemes over a general base ring which are amenable to study. (This includes several properties studied in the present paper.) Their goal is certainly shared by our Theorems A and B.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Aise Johan de Jong for organizing the Stacks Project Workshop in 2020 and leading the learning group on Tannakian formalism. He thanks de Jong, Simon Felten, Amelie Flatt, Quentin Guignard, and Shubhodip Mondal for fruitful discussions during the workshop. Special thanks are due to Kęstutis Česnavičius, who suggested many references that were instrumental to this work. He also thanks Česnavičius, Kazuhiro Ito, Ning Guo, and Federico Scavia for many helpful conversations.

1. RESOLVABLE TANNAKIAN DUALITY

Let R be a ring. We use S to denote $\text{Spec}(R)$. In this section, we define two notions central to this article: resolvable affine group schemes over S (Definition 1.1.2) and resolvable R -linear Tannakian categories (Definition 1.6.2). The main result of this section is that they form a perfect duality (Theorem 1.6.6). The generalization of this duality to the non-neutral and non-rigid setting will be discussed in §1.7.

1.1. Resolvable affine group schemes.

1.1.1. Suppose that G is an affine group scheme over S . For any S -scheme X equipped with a G -action, we denote by $\text{QCoh}(X)^G$ (resp. $\text{Vect}(X)^G$) the category of G -equivariant objects of $\text{QCoh}(X)$ (resp. $\text{Vect}(X)$).

We shall always regard S as equipped with the trivial G -action. Objects of $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$ (resp. $\text{Vect}(S)^G$) are also called *quasi-coherent* (resp. *finite locally free*) G -modules. In terms of the R -coalgebra structure on \mathcal{O}_G , a quasi-coherent (resp. finite locally free) G -module is nothing but an \mathcal{O}_G -comodule (resp. whose underlying R -module is finite projective). The comultiplication on \mathcal{O}_G equips \mathcal{O}_G itself with the structure of a G -module.⁵

Definition 1.1.2. An affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ is *resolvable* if:

- (1) $G \rightarrow S$ is flat;
- (2) for every $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(S)^G$, there exists a family of objects $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$ (for $\alpha \in A$) together with a G -equivariant surjection $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{V}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

1.1.3. We remark that Thomason has studied a closely related notion, called the “resolution property” of (G, S, S) , which stipulates that every coherent G -module be a G -equivariant quotient of a finite locally free G -module ([Tho87, Definition 2.1]). This notion agrees with Definition 1.1.2 for flat affine group schemes over a Noetherian base, owing to part (1) of the following observation of Serre ([Ser68]).

Lemma 1.1.4. *Let $G \rightarrow S$ be a flat affine group scheme.*

- (1) *Suppose S is Noetherian. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(S)^G$. Then any coherent subsheaf of \mathcal{F} is contained in a G -equivariant coherent subsheaf of \mathcal{F} .*
- (2) *Suppose S is a Dedekind domain. Then G is resolvable.*

In particular, every affine group scheme over a field is resolvable.

Proof. The first statement is [Ser68, Proposition 2]. The second statement follows from [Ser68, Proposition 3], using the agreement of the resolvability of G with the resolution property of (G, S, S) over a Noetherian base. \square

1.2. Tannakian adjunction.

1.2.1. We shall use the term *R -linear Tannakian category* to refer to a pair (\mathcal{A}, T) , where \mathcal{A} is a small R -linear symmetric monoidal category in which every object is dualizable, and $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S)$ is an R -linear symmetric monoidal functor. The collection of R -linear Tannakian categories naturally forms a 2-category⁶, to be denoted by Tan_S^{nr} . The functor T is called the *fiber functor* of the R -linear Tannakian category (\mathcal{A}, T) .

⁵Viewing an element of \mathcal{O}_G as a function on G , this G -module structure is given by $g \cdot f = f(-g)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_G$ and $g \in G$.

⁶We do not insist compositions to be strictly associative. Hence, what we mean by “2-category” is what some authors refer to as “weak 2-category” or “bicategory.”

Note that given R -linear Tannakian categories (\mathcal{A}, T) and (\mathcal{A}', T') , if T' is faithful, then the Hom-category from (\mathcal{A}, T) to (\mathcal{A}', T') in Tan_S^{nr} is equivalent to a set.

Remark 1.2.2. The R -linear Tannakian categories considered here should more properly be called *rigid* and *neutral* R -linear Tannakian categories (hence the notation Tan_S^{nr}). Rigidity refers to the condition that every object be dualizable and neutrality refers to the fact that the fiber functor has codomain $\text{Vect}(S)$ as opposed to $\text{Vect}(X)$ for an affine S -scheme X . The generalization to non-rigid and non-neutral setting will be discussed in §1.7.

1.2.3. Given an affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$, one may associate the R -linear symmetric monoidal category $\text{Vect}(S)^G$ and the forgetful functor $T : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S)$. The pair $(\text{Vect}(S)^G, T)$ is an R -linear Tannakian category. This association defines a functor:

$$\text{Vect}(S)^{(-)} : (\text{Grp}_S^{\text{aff}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Tan}_S^{\text{nr}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\text{Grp}_S^{\text{aff}}$ denotes the category of affine group schemes over S . Following [Str07, §16] (see also [Sch13, §4]), the functor (1.1) admits a left adjoint, which associates to an R -linear Tannakian category (\mathcal{A}, T) the group presheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, T)$ sending an affine S -scheme S' to the group of automorphisms of the R -linear symmetric monoidal functor $T_{S'} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S')$ (the composition of T with the pullback functor $\text{Vect}(S) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S')$.)

The fact that $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, T)$ is representable by an affine group scheme can be seen directly. We only give a quick summary, as the details are well documented (see [Str07], [Wed04]). To begin with, the rigidity of \mathcal{A} implies that $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, T)$ is identified with $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, T)$, the monoid presheaf of endomorphisms of T . The latter is represented by the spectrum of an explicitly defined R -coalgebra $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, T)}$. As an R -module, it is given by a coend:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, T)} \cong \text{coend}(T^{\vee} \otimes_R T), \quad (1.2)$$

where T^{\vee} denotes the functor $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S)$ sending a to $T(a)^{\vee}$. The R -algebra and R -coalgebra structures on (1.2) can then be described explicitly using the universal property of the coend. We record this adjunction in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2.4. *The pair of functors:*

$$\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(-) : \text{Tan}_S^{\text{nr}} \rightleftarrows (\text{Grp}_S^{\text{aff}})^{\text{op}} : \text{Vect}(S)^{(-)} \quad (1.3)$$

naturally forms an adjunction. □

1.2.5. The counit of the adjunction (1.3), evaluated at an affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$, is given by the map sending an S' -point g of G (where $S' = \text{Spec}(R')$ is an affine S -scheme) to the automorphism of $T_{S'}$ induced by the action of g on $\mathcal{V} \otimes_R R'$ for all $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$:

$$G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, T). \quad (1.4)$$

The affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ is called *reconstructible* if (1.4) is an isomorphism.

In algebraic terms, (1.4) corresponds to the canonical map from $\text{coend}(T^{\vee} \otimes_R T)$ to \mathcal{O}_G defined by assembling the coaction maps $\mathcal{V}^{\vee} \otimes_R \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ for each $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$.

1.3. Resolvable affine group schemes are reconstructible.

1.3.1. The goal of this subsection is to prove that every resolvable affine group scheme (Definition 1.1.2) is reconstructible (see §1.2.5). This fact is due to Schäppi, who established a more general result valid in an arbitrary cosmos ([Sch13, Theorem 7.5.1]). In the setting of affine group schemes (or more generally, affine category schemes), it is possible to supply a simple direct proof which is better suited for our needs.

1.3.2. Let $G \rightarrow S$ be an affine group scheme. Given $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$, there is a canonical bijection of between the R -module of G -equivariant maps $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ and the dual of \mathcal{V} :

$$\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}_G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}^\vee. \quad (1.5)$$

The map is defined by composing $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ with the counit $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow R$. Its inverse is given by composing the coaction map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_G$ with a given map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow R$.

1.3.3. Let us consider the category of pairs (\mathcal{V}, f) where $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$ and $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ is a G -equivariant map. The morphisms of this category are G -equivariant maps $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ commuting with the structure maps to \mathcal{O}_G .

To each object $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ in this category, one may functorially attach a map of R -modules $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{V}$ sending v to $f^\vee \otimes v$, where $f^\vee \in \mathcal{V}^\vee$ is the element corresponding to f under (1.5). Composing with the tautological map $\mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{coend}(\mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{T})$, we find a map of R -modules (where both colimits are computed in $\text{QCoh}(S)$):

$$\text{colim}_{\substack{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \\ \mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G}} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{coend}(\mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{T}). \quad (1.6)$$

This morphism is compatible with the natural maps to \mathcal{O}_G on both sides.

Lemma 1.3.4. *The morphism (1.6) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} denote any R -module. The Hom-set from $\text{coend}(\mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{T})$ to \mathcal{M} is the set of R -linear natural transformations:

$$\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_R \mathcal{M}, \quad \mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G. \quad (1.7)$$

On the other hand, the Hom-set from $\text{colim}_{\substack{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \\ \mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G}} (\mathcal{V})$ to \mathcal{M} is given by a compatible system of R -linear maps $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ for each G -equivariant morphism $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$. The passage from (1.7) to the latter is given by composition with the morphism $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow R$ associated to f under (1.5). It defines a bijection in view of the canonical isomorphism between $\mathcal{V} \otimes_R \mathcal{M}$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, \mathcal{M})$. \square

1.3.5. Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a flat affine group scheme. Then $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$ is an abelian category and the forgetful functor $\text{QCoh}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(S)$ is exact. Indeed, the only non-normal aspect of this assertion is the formation of kernels, and this follows from the flatness hypothesis on $G \rightarrow S$.

Lemma 1.3.6. *Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a flat affine group scheme. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is resolvable;
- (2) for any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(S)^G$, the canonical map below is bijective:

$$\text{colim}_{\substack{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G}} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}. \quad (1.8)$$

Proof. Since every colimit in $\text{QCoh}(S)$ is a quotient of a direct sum, statement (2) implies (1). To prove the converse, we first observe (1.8) is surjective under the hypothesis. It remains to prove that it is injective. Since the index category contains finite direct sums, it suffices to show that for an individual object $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$ with $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, an element $v \in \mathcal{V}$ with $f(v) = 0$ is sent to zero in $\text{colim}_{\substack{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G}} (\mathcal{V})$.

Since $G \rightarrow S$ is flat, the R -submodule $\text{Ker}(f) \subset \mathcal{V}$ inherits a G -module structure. The resolution property gives some $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$ with a G -equivariant map $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)$ whose image contains v . The commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{V} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

shows that $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G} \mathcal{V}$ is zero, so in particular, v vanishes in the colimit. \square

Proposition 1.3.7. *Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a resolvable affine group scheme. Then G is reconstructible.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3.4, the affine group scheme G is reconstructible if and only if the canonical map $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ is bijective. The latter bijectivity is guaranteed by Lemma 1.3.6 applied to $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_G$. \square

1.4. Recollections on exact categories.

1.4.1. This subsection collects some facts concerning exact categories, with an emphasis on the Gabriel–Quillen embedding theorem which canonically associates an abelian category to an exact category ([Qui73, §2]). We use Bühler’s exposition ([Bü10]) as our main reference for notions related to exact categories.

1.4.2. An *exact category* is an additive category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished class E of composable pairs of morphisms:

$$a_1 \xrightarrow{i} a_2 \xrightarrow{p} a_3$$

such that i (resp. p) is the kernel (resp. cokernel) of p (resp. i), which are stable under isomorphisms and satisfy a list of axioms (recalled below).

Elements of E are called *short exact sequences* of \mathcal{A} , and the morphisms i (resp. p) appearing in E are called *admissible* monomorphisms (resp. epimorphisms). We often denote an exact category (\mathcal{A}, E) simply by \mathcal{A} , and employ the standard notation $a_1 \twoheadrightarrow a_2 \twoheadrightarrow a_3$ to denote a short exact sequence in an exact category.

1.4.3. The axioms on the class of short exact sequences E are as follows.

- (E1) the identity morphism id_a (for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$) is both an admissible monomorphism and an admissible epimorphism;
- (E2) the class of admissible monomorphisms is closed under composition, and likewise for admissible epimorphisms;
- (E3) given an admissible monomorphism $a_1 \twoheadrightarrow a_2$ and an arbitrary morphism $a_1 \rightarrow a'_1$, the pushout exists and yields an admissible monomorphism $a'_1 \twoheadrightarrow a'_2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & \twoheadrightarrow & a_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a'_1 & \twoheadrightarrow & a'_2 \end{array}$$

and the dual axiom is required of admissible epimorphisms with respect to pullbacks.

Instead of additive categories, in what follows we shall consider additive \mathbb{R} -linear categories (i.e., \mathbb{R} -linear categories admitting finite biproducts). The above definitions carry over verbatim.

1.4.4. Every abelian category has a tautological structure of an exact category, where the short exact sequences are given by those in the usual sense. Every full subcategory of an abelian category which is closed under extensions inherits the structure of an exact category, such that the embedding reflects⁷ exactness ([Bü10, Lemma 10.20]).

In particular, the full subcategory $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{S}) \subset \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})$ inherits an exact structure. The phrase “the exact category $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{S})$ ” will always refer to this exact structure.

1.4.5. An \mathbb{R} -linear exact category \mathcal{A} is naturally equipped with a Grothendieck topology, where the coverings of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ are given by admissible epimorphisms $a' \twoheadrightarrow a$ ([Bü10, Lemma A.5]). Let $\text{PShv}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the category of presheaves⁸ on \mathcal{A} valued in $\mathbb{R}\text{-Mod}$, and write $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{PShv}(\mathcal{A})$ for the full subcategory of sheaves. By [Bü10, Lemma A.7], the following properties on $F \in \text{PShv}(\mathcal{A})$ are equivalent:

- (1) F is a sheaf;
- (2) F is left exact, i.e., it transforms a short exact sequence $a_1 \twoheadrightarrow a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ into an exact sequence of \mathbb{R} -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow F(a_1) \rightarrow F(a_2) \rightarrow F(a_3).$$

The Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{PShv}(\mathcal{A})$ factors through a functor $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$, which reflects exactness. (This is known as the Gabriel–Quillen embedding theorem.)

Remark 1.4.6. It is perhaps more familiar to consider additive presheaves on \mathcal{A} valued in abelian groups. Since \mathcal{A} is \mathbb{R} -linear, these gadgets are equivalent to \mathbb{R} -linear presheaves valued in $\mathbb{R}\text{-Mod}$. Indeed, given an additive presheaf F valued in abelian group, the map:

$$\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F(a))^{\text{op}}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}$$

upgrades each $F(a)$ to an \mathbb{R} -module, such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(a, b) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F(b), F(a))$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. We prefer to work \mathbb{R} -linearly instead of keeping track of two rings \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Z} .

Remark 1.4.7. Suppose \mathcal{A} is an abelian category, equipped with its canonical exact structure. Then $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ is identified with the ind-completion of \mathcal{A} . Indeed, $F \in \text{PShv}(\mathcal{A})$ is left exact if and only if the category of objects $a \in \mathcal{A}$ equipped with a map to F is filtered.

1.4.8. Suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are \mathbb{R} -linear exact categories. Let $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an *exact* functor, i.e., P transforms short exact sequences into short exact sequences. We equip \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} with their natural Grothendieck topologies (see §1.4.5).

Lemma 1.4.9. *With notations in §1.4.8, we have:*

- (1) *The formula $P_s(F)(a) := F(P(a))$ defines a functor:*

$$P_s : \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{A}); \tag{1.9}$$

- (2) *the functor (1.9) admits a left adjoint P^s , given by the left Kan extension of $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B})$ along $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$.*

⁷Recall: a functor $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of exact categories *reflects exactness* if any composable pair of morphisms $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ in \mathcal{A} is a short exact sequence precisely when its image in \mathcal{B} is.

⁸i.e., they are assumed \mathbb{R} -linear as functors.

Proof. It suffices to show that $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a continuous functor of sites in the sense of [Sta18, 00WU]. Concretely, this means that P verifies the two properties below. First, P carries an admissible epimorphism $a_1 \twoheadrightarrow a_2$ in \mathcal{A} to an admissible epimorphism $P(a_1) \twoheadrightarrow P(a_2)$ in \mathcal{B} ; this holds by definition. Second, given an admissible epimorphism $a_1 \twoheadrightarrow a_2$ in \mathcal{A} and an arbitrary morphism $a_1 \rightarrow a'_1$, writing $a'_2 := a_2 \times_{a_1} a'_1$ (which exists thanks to the axioms of an exact category), we need to verify that the following commutative diagram in \mathcal{B} is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(a_1) & \longrightarrow & P(a_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P(a'_1) & \longrightarrow & P(a'_2) \end{array}$$

Using the exactness of P , this follows from the dual of [Bü10, Proposition 2.12]. \square

1.5. Categorical meaning of resolvability.

1.5.1. Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is a flat affine group scheme. Then $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$ is a cocomplete R -linear abelian category (see §1.3.5). Its full subcategory $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G \subset \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$ is closed under extensions. Hence $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$ inherits the structure of an R -linear exact category.

A morphism $f : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$ in $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$ is an admissible epimorphism if and only if it is surjective on the underlying R -modules. Indeed, it suffices to observe that when f is surjective, $\mathrm{Ker}(f) \in \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$ belongs to $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$. This in turn follows from the fact that the kernel of a surjective map of finite projective modules is finite projective.

1.5.2. For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$, the presheaf on $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$ defined by taking G -equivariant homomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_G(-, \mathcal{F})$ is left exact. Hence we obtain a functor:

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G), \quad \mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(-, \mathcal{F}). \quad (1.10)$$

We now come to the key observation about the resolvability condition of an affine group scheme, which can be seen as an enhanced version of Lemma 1.3.6.

Lemma 1.5.3. *Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a flat affine group scheme. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is resolvable;
- (2) the functor (1.10) is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Let us first observe that (1.10) admits a left adjoint, given by the left Kan extension LKE_ι of the inclusion $\iota : \mathrm{Vect}(S)^G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$ along the functor $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G)$. Indeed, given $F \in \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$, natural transformations from F to $\mathrm{Hom}_G(-, \mathcal{G})$ are computed by:

$$\lim_{\substack{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow F \\ \mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)^G}} \mathrm{Hom}_G(\iota(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_G\left(\mathrm{colim}_{\substack{\mathcal{V} \rightarrow F \\ \mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)^G}} \iota(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{G}\right),$$

where the colimit is precisely the value of LKE_ι at F . Next, by Lemma 1.3.6, the resolvability condition is equivalent to the statement that the counit of this adjunction:

$$\mathrm{LKE}_\iota(\mathrm{Hom}_G(-, \mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

is an isomorphism for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$. It remains to prove that being resolvable implies the essential surjectivity of (1.10). To this end, we first argue that (1.10) commutes with colimits, which amount to two statements:

- (1) (1.10) commutes with direct sums—this is because direct sums in $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}})$ are computed pointwise, as a direct sum of left exact functors valued in $\mathbb{R}\text{-Mod}$ remains left exact;
- (2) (1.10) commutes with cokernels—since (1.10) is already left-exact, it suffices to show that it preserves surjective morphisms. Take $\mathcal{F}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$, and we want to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(-, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(-, \mathcal{F})$ is a surjection of *sheaves*. Let us consider $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$ with a morphism $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. The fiber product in $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$ admits a resolution, by the hypothesis:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}' & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{V} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{F}' & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

where each $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$. Since \mathcal{V} is a finite \mathbb{R} -module, there is a finite sum of the \mathcal{W}_{α} 's which surjects onto \mathcal{V} ; we call it \mathcal{V}' . Then $\mathcal{V}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is an admissible epimorphism (see §1.5.1) equipped with a lift $f' : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ of f .

Knowing that (1.10) commutes with colimits, its essential surjectivity follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}})$ is generated by $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$ under colimits, whereas $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$ is already cocomplete. \square

Remark 1.5.4. A special case of Lemma 1.5.3 is the classical statement that the functor:

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})), \quad \mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathcal{F})$$

is an equivalence of categories (see [TT90, A.8.4] for example). Thus, one can view the resolvability condition as saying that $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$ bears the same relationship with $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\mathbb{G}}$ as $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})$ does with $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})$.

1.6. Resolvable Tannakian categories.

1.6.1. The goal of this subsection is to characterize those \mathbb{R} -linear Tannakian categories (see §1.2.1) which arise from resolvable affine group schemes $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ under the functor (1.1).

Definition 1.6.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{T})$ be an \mathbb{R} -linear Tannakian category. We shall say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{T})$ is *resolvable* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (R1) the class \mathbb{E} of composable pairs of morphisms in \mathcal{A} whose images under \mathbb{T} are short exact sequences of $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})$ defines an exact structure on \mathcal{A} ;
- (R2) the functor attached to (the exact functor) \mathbb{T} by Lemma 1.4.9 is faithful and exact:

$$\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{S}} : \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S}). \quad (1.11)$$

- (R3) the commutative diagram below is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \mathbb{T} & & \downarrow \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{S}} \\ \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{S}) \end{array} \quad (1.12)$$

Remark 1.6.3. One may view condition (R2) as saying that $\mathbb{T} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{S})$ is a “faithfully flat” morphism. The terminology can be justified as follows. A ring map $f : A \rightarrow B$ induces an exact pullback functor \mathbb{T} from finite, projective A -modules to finite, projective B -modules. The functor $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{S}}$ is given by $(-) \otimes_A B : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$.

Lemma 1.6.4. *Let $G \rightarrow S$ be a resolvable affine group scheme. Then its image under (1.1) is a resolvable R -linear Tannakian category.*

Proof. The class E appearing in condition (R1) defines the familiar exact structure on $\text{Vect}(S)^G$. Next, since $G \rightarrow S$ is resolvable, the category $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ is canonically identified with $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$ by Lemma 1.5.3. Furthermore, the functor T^s given by left Kan extension of T passes to the forgetful functor:

$$\text{QCoh}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(S),$$

which is evidently faithful and exact. The Cartesian-ness of (1.17) is clear. \square

Lemma 1.6.5. *Suppose that (\mathcal{A}, T) is a resolvable R -linear Tannakian category. Then:*

- (1) *the unit of the adjunction (1.3) is an isomorphism evaluated at (\mathcal{A}, T) , i.e., the canonical functor below is an equivalence of R -linear symmetric monoidal categories:*

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Vect}(S)^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(T)}; \quad (1.13)$$

- (2) *the affine group scheme $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(T) \rightarrow S$ is resolvable.*

Proof. Recall that the affine group scheme $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(T) \rightarrow S$ is defined by the R -bialgebra $\text{coend}(T^{\vee} \otimes_R T)$ (see §1.2). By Lemma 1.4.9, the functor T^s has a right adjoint T_s . Consider $T^s T_s$ as a comonad acting on $\text{QCoh}(S)$. Since T^s is a faithful and exact functor between abelian categories, it is conservative and preserves equalizers. Thus, the Barr–Beck theorem implies that the canonical functor lifting T^s is an equivalence:

$$\text{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^s T_s\text{-Comod}(\text{QCoh}(S)). \quad (1.14)$$

We claim that $T^s T_s$ is identified with the comonad:

$$\text{QCoh}(S) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(S), \quad \mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_R \text{coend}(T^{\vee} \otimes_R T). \quad (1.15)$$

Indeed, given $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{QCoh}(S)$, the Hom-set:

$$\text{Hom}(T^s T_s(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Hom}(T_s(\mathcal{F}), T_s(\mathcal{G}))$$

is the set of natural transformations between the two functors from $\text{Vect}(S)$ to $\text{QCoh}(S)$, sending \mathcal{V} to $\text{Hom}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}(T(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes_R T(\mathcal{V})^{\vee}$, respectively $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R T(\mathcal{V})^{\vee}$. These natural transformations are in turn given by morphisms in $\text{QCoh}(S)$:

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes_R \text{coend}(T^{\vee} \otimes_R T) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}.$$

This shows that the functor $T^s T_s$ is isomorphic to (1.15). We omit the verification that this isomorphism is compatible with the comonad structures. Combining this with the isomorphism (1.14), we find that $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ is canonically equivalent to $\text{QCoh}(S)^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(T)}$, with T^s passing to the forgetful functor. By the hypothesis that (1.17) is Cartesian, we see that \mathcal{A} is canonically equivalent to $\text{Vect}(S)^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(T)}$, with T passing to the forgetful functor. We omit checking that this isomorphism is the unit (1.13).

To prove statement (2), we first note that $T^s T_s$ is left exact by the hypothesis on T^s . (The functor T_s is automatically left exact, being a right adjoint.) Hence the functor (1.15) is also left exact. This implies that $\text{coend}(T^{\vee} \otimes_R T)$ is a flat R -module. Namely, $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(T) \rightarrow S$

is flat. To prove that $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathbb{T})$ is resolvable, we appeal to the identifications of categories established above:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \text{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathbb{T})} & \longrightarrow & \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathbb{T})} \end{array}$$

In particular, the canonical functor from $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{S})^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathbb{T})}$ to sheaves on the exact category $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{S})^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathbb{T})}$ is an equivalence. According to Lemma 1.5.3, $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathbb{T})$ is resolvable. \square

Theorem 1.6.6. *The adjunction (1.3) restricts to a contravariant equivalence of categories between:*

- (1) *resolvable affine group schemes $G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$;*
- (2) *resolvable \mathbb{R} -linear Tannakian categories $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.3.7, the counit is an isomorphism when evaluated on a resolvable affine group scheme $G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$. The adjunction (1.3) then induces an equivalence of categories between such affine group schemes and their essential image in $\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\text{nr}}$. By Lemma 1.6.4, the essential image is contained in resolvable \mathbb{R} -linear Tannakian categories. By Lemma 1.6.5, every resolvable \mathbb{R} -linear Tannakian category lies in the essential image. \square

1.7. The non-neutral, non-unital setting.

1.7.1. From §1.1 to §1.6, we have focused on the neutral and unital setting. Both conditions are immaterial for our Tannakian formalism. In this subsection, we explain how to generalize Theorem 1.6.6 to the non-neutral and non-unital setting, where affine group schemes are replaced by affine category schemes. The only additional difficulty is notational, as one needs to keep track of multiple structural maps involved in an affine category scheme.

1.7.2. An *affine category scheme* over \mathbb{S} is a category object in the category of affine \mathbb{S} -schemes. Explicitly, it consists of affine \mathbb{S} -schemes X_0 and X_1 , as well as structural maps $p_t : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$, $p_i : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$, $e : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$, and $c : X_1 \times_{X_0} X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ (where the formation of $X_1 \times_{X_0} X_1$ invokes p_t for the first factor and p_i for the second factor.) These data are supposed to satisfy the associative and unital conditions. Notationally, we will record an affine category scheme by the pair (X_0, X_1) , the structural maps being tacitly understood. Let $\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\text{aff}}$ denote the category of affine category schemes over \mathbb{S} .

An affine category scheme (X_0, X_1) over \mathbb{S} is dual to an \mathbb{R} -coalgebroid $(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1})$. Under this dictionary, we view \mathcal{O}_{X_1} as an $(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})$ -bimodule where the left (resp. right) \mathcal{O}_{X_0} -module structure corresponds to p_t (resp. p_i).

1.7.3. Given an affine category scheme (X_0, X_1) over \mathbb{S} , an X_1 -equivariant *quasi-coherent* (resp. *finite locally free*) *sheaf* on X_0 is defined to be a quasi-coherent (resp. finite locally free) sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_0 equipped with a morphism $p_t^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_i^* \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the unital and cocycle conditions. We denote the corresponding category by $\text{QCoh}(X_0)^{X_1}$ (resp. $\text{Vect}(X_0)^{X_1}$).

In terms of the \mathbb{R} -coalgebroid $(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1})$, an object of $\text{QCoh}(X_0)^{X_1}$ is described as an \mathcal{O}_{X_1} -comodule, i.e., an \mathcal{O}_{X_0} -module \mathcal{F} equipped with a coaction $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$.

Definition 1.7.4. An affine category scheme (X_0, X_1) is *resolvable* if:

- (1) $p_t : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ is flat;

- (2) for every $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X_0)^{X_1}$, there exists a family of objects $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in \mathrm{Vect}(X_0)^{X_1}$ (for $\alpha \in A$) together with an X_1 -equivariant surjection $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{V}_\alpha \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 1.7.5. Note that in both conditions, the symmetry between p_t and p_i is broken. If one restricts to affine groupoid schemes (dually, Hopf R-coalgebroids), then the flatness conditions on p_t and p_i are equivalent since the two maps are exchanged by the antipode, and there is only one possible notion of an X_1 -equivariant quasi-coherent sheaf on X_0 .

1.7.6. We use the terminology *R-linear Tannakian triple* to refer to a triple (\mathcal{A}, X_0, T) , where \mathcal{A} is a small R-linear symmetric monoidal category, X_0 is an affine S-scheme, and $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(X_0)$ is an R-linear symmetric monoidal functor. The collection of R-linear Tannakian triples forms a 2-category, to be denoted by Tan_S .

Definition 1.7.7. An R-linear Tannakian triple (\mathcal{A}, X_0, T) is *resolvable* if:

- (R1) the class E of composable pairs of morphisms in \mathcal{A} whose images under T are short exact sequences of $\mathrm{Vect}(X_0)$ defines an exact structure on \mathcal{A} ;
(R2) the functor attached to (the exact functor) T by Lemma 1.4.9 is faithful and exact:

$$T^s : \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X_0). \quad (1.16)$$

- (R3) the commutative diagram below is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow T & & \downarrow T^s \\ \mathrm{Vect}(X_0) & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{QCoh}(X_0) \end{array} \quad (1.17)$$

1.7.8. The Tannakian adjunction of §1.2 generalizes to an adjunction between affine category schemes over S and R-linear Tannakian triples:

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{pr}_1^* -, \mathrm{pr}_2^* -) : \mathrm{Tan}_S \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} (\mathrm{Cat}_S^{\mathrm{aff}})^{\mathrm{op}} : \mathrm{Vect}(-)^{(-)}. \quad (1.18)$$

The right adjoint sends an affine category scheme (X_0, X_1) to the triple $(\mathrm{Vect}(X_0)^{X_1}, X_0, T)$, where $T : \mathrm{Vect}(X_0)^{X_1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(X_0)$ is the forgetful functor. The left adjoint sends a triple (\mathcal{A}, X_0, T) to the affine category scheme (X_0, X_1) —here, X_1 represents the presheaf sending an affine $X_0 \times_S X_0$ -scheme S' to the set of homomorphisms $T_{1,S'} \rightarrow T_{2,S'}$, where $T_{i,S'}$ (for $i = 1, 2$) denotes the R-linear symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(S')$ given by composing T with the i th pullback functor $\mathrm{Vect}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(S')$. The underlying $(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})$ -bimodule of \mathcal{O}_{X_1} is given by:

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \cong \mathrm{coend}(T^\vee \otimes_R T).$$

The left (resp. right) \mathcal{O}_{X_0} -structure corresponds to the \mathcal{O}_{X_0} -action on the factor T^\vee (resp. T.)

Theorem 1.7.9. *The adjunction (1.18) restricts to an anti-equivalence of categories between:*

- (1) *resolvable affine category schemes over S;*
- (2) *resolvable R-linear Tannakian triples.*

Proof. The proof runs in complete parallel with that of Theorem 1.6.6, so we only comment on the necessary modifications. One first proves the analogue of Proposition 1.3.7, which asserts that any resolvable affine category scheme (X_0, X_1) is reconstructible. Note that the condition that p_t be flat guarantees that $\mathrm{QCoh}(X_0)^{X_1}$ is an abelian category and the forgetful functor $\mathrm{QCoh}(X_0)^{X_1} \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X_0)$ is exact. The proof that the R-linear Tannakian

triple attached to a resolvable affine category scheme (X_0, X_1) is resolvable is identical to the proof of Lemma 1.6.4.

To show that every resolvable R -linear Tannakian triple comes from a resolvable affine category scheme, we repeat the argument in Lemma 1.6.5 to identify the monad $T^s T_s$ acting on $\mathrm{QCoh}(X_0)$ with the functor:

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X_0), \quad \mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \mathrm{coend}(T^\vee \otimes_R T).$$

The left-exactness of $T^s T_s$ shows that $\mathrm{coend}(T^\vee \otimes_R T)$ is flat with respect to its *left* \mathcal{O}_{X_0} -module structure. This is precisely the statement that p_t is flat. The identification of $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. \mathcal{A}) with $\mathrm{QCoh}(X_0)^{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{pr}_1^* T, \mathrm{pr}_2^* T)}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Vect}(X_0)^{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{pr}_1^* T, \mathrm{pr}_2^* T)}$) follows from the same argument as in Lemma 1.6.5. \square

1.8. Additional remarks.

1.8.1. We temporarily suppose that R is a field. Since all affine group schemes over R are resolvable (Lemma 1.1.4(2)), we expect the notion of a resolvable R -linear Tannakian category to recover the classical notion of a Tannakian category (equipped with a neutral fiber functor). This can be verified without the aid of Theorem 1.6.6.

Lemma 1.8.2. *Suppose R is a field. Let (\mathcal{A}, T) be an R -linear Tannakian category. Then (\mathcal{A}, T) is resolvable if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is abelian;
- (2) T is faithful and exact (as a functor between abelian categories).

Proof. Suppose (\mathcal{A}, T) is resolvable. By condition (R3), \mathcal{A} is the full subcategory of the abelian category $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of objects whose images under T^s lie in $\mathrm{Vect}(S)$. It suffices to show that \mathcal{A} is closed under finite limits and colimits. By condition (R2), T^s commutes with finite limits and colimits. Since $\mathrm{Vect}(S)$ is closed under them, so must be \mathcal{A} . The fact that T is faithful and exact follows from those properties of T^s .

The converse is a consequence of the classical theorem which recognizes (\mathcal{A}, T) as the Tannakian category of finite-dimensional representations of an affine group scheme (see [Del90, Théorème 1.12]). A direct proof would amount to redoing parts of the theory developed in *op. cit.*, which we spare the reader. \square

2. CASE STUDIES

Fix a base ring R and write $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. We follow the notations of §1.1.1 when an affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ is present. This section has two principal goals:

- (1) to show that finite locally free group schemes are resolvable (Lemma 2.1.5)
- (2) to characterize reductive group schemes over S which are resolvable (Theorem 2.4.5).

Along the way, we will establish some inheritance properties of resolvability by closed subgroups (Lemma 2.3.6). These properties allow us to show that once a reductive group scheme is resolvable, so are its parabolic subgroups and their unipotent radicals (Corollary 2.5.3).

2.1. Finite locally free group schemes.

2.1.1. This subsection is logically independent of the rest of this section. Its goal is to show that finite locally free group schemes $G \rightarrow S$ are resolvable.

2.1.2. Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is an affine group scheme. For every $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$, the coaction map $\rho : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G$ induces a map of \mathcal{O}_G -modules:

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G, \quad f \otimes a \rightsquigarrow \rho(f) \cdot a. \quad (2.1)$$

The map (2.1) intertwines two distinct G -module structures on $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G$ —on the left-hand side, it acts diagonally, whereas on the right-hand side, it acts on the \mathcal{O}_G -factor. To better reflect this fact, we shall rewrite (2.1) as a map in $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$:

$$\mathrm{ex} : \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow o(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G, \quad (2.2)$$

where $o : \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(S)$ denotes the forgetful functor.

Lemma 2.1.3. *Let $G \rightarrow S$ be an affine group scheme. Then (2.2) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. One may view an element of $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G$ as a compatible system of functions:

$$f_{R'} : G(R') \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R' \quad (2.3)$$

for all \mathbb{R} -algebras R' . The map (2.1) sends (2.3) to the system $\tilde{f}_{R'}$ given by $\tilde{f}_{R'}(g) = g \cdot f_{R'}(g)$, where we have invoked the $G(R')$ -action on $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R'$. It is then clear that (2.1) admits an inverse, sending a system (2.3) to the system $\tilde{f}_{R'}$ given by $\tilde{f}_{R'}(g) = g^{-1} \cdot f_{R'}(g)$. \square

Remark 2.1.4. Contrary to (1.5), the bijectivity of (2.2) requires the antipode. Indeed, taking $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_G$, the morphism (2.2) corresponds to the map $G \times_S G \rightarrow G \times_S G$ sending (g, h) to (gh, h) , whose invertibility is equivalent to the existence of an antipode. It is also possible to deduce (1.5) from (2.2) by taking $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{V}^\vee$ for $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$ and considering the G -invariants on both sides of (2.2).

Lemma 2.1.5. *Let $G \rightarrow S$ be a finite locally free group scheme. Then G is resolvable.*

Proof. The condition means that \mathcal{O}_G belongs to $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$. By Lemma 2.1.3, $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G$ is isomorphic to $o(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G$. Hence we obtain a surjective morphism of G -modules:

$$o(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_G^\vee \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F},$$

where \mathcal{O}_G^\vee denotes the \mathbb{R} -linear dual of \mathcal{O}_G equipped with the contragredient G -action. Since $o(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{QCoh}(S)$ is a quotient of some direct sum of copies of \mathbb{R} , we see that \mathcal{F} is a G -equivariant quotient of a sum of objects in $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$. \square

2.2. Reconstructibility vs. linearity.

2.2.1. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)$. The presheaf on S which sends an affine S -scheme $S' = \mathrm{Spec}(R')$ to the group of R' -linear automorphisms of $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R'$ is representable by an affine group scheme over S , to be denoted by $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{M})$. An affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ is said to be *linear* if there exists some $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)$ and a closed immersion of affine group schemes $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{M})$.

For an integer $n \geq 1$, let $\mathrm{GL}_{n,S}$ denote the affine group scheme $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n})$. If an affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ is linear, then it admits a closed immersion $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n,S}$ for some $n \geq 1$. Indeed, this is because for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)$, there exists some $\mathcal{M}' \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)$ and some $n \geq 1$, together with an isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}' \cong \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$.

2.2.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)$. The presheaf on S which sends an affine S -scheme $S' = \mathrm{Spec}(R')$ to the monoid of R' -linear endomorphisms of $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R'$ is representable by an affine monoid scheme $\underline{\mathrm{End}}(\mathcal{M})$ over S . It is the spectrum of the \mathbb{R} -algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M})$. The inclusion $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}(\mathcal{M})$ is an open immersion ([Sta18, 0000]).

2.2.3. Fix an affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ and some $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Vect}(S)$. Then the following data are equivalent:

- (1) a G -module structure on \mathcal{M} ;
- (2) a morphism of affine monoid schemes $G \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{M})$.

The passage from (1) to (2) associates to a coaction map $\mathcal{M}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ the induced map of commutative R -algebras $\text{Sym}_R(\mathcal{M}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$.

Proposition 2.2.4. *Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is an affine group scheme of finite type. If G is reconstructible, then G is linear.*

Proof. Suppose G is reconstructible. Let $T : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S)$ denote the forgetful functor. Then the canonical map from $\text{coend}(T^\vee \otimes_R T)$ to \mathcal{O}_G is bijective (see §1.2). By definition, $\text{coend}(T^\vee \otimes_R T)$ is a quotient of $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G} \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{V}$. Hence, we see that the canonical map given by assembling the coaction maps is surjective:

$$\bigoplus_{\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G} \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{V} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_G.$$

Since $\text{Vect}(S)^G$ has finite direct sums and \mathcal{O}_G is a finite type R -algebra, there exists an individual object $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$ such that the image of the coaction map $\mathcal{M}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ contains a set of R -algebra generators of \mathcal{O}_G . This means that the induced map of R -algebras is surjective:

$$\text{Sym}_R(\mathcal{M}^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{M}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_G.$$

In other words, the corresponding map $G \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a closed immersion. Since G is a group scheme, its image factors through the open subscheme $\text{GL}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{M})$. This proves that G is linear. \square

Remark 2.2.5. With minimal additional work, the proof of 2.2.4 yields the following more precise statement. Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is of finite type. Then G is linear if and only if the canonical map $G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(T)$ is a closed immersion.

2.2.6. Combining Proposition 1.3.7 and Proposition 2.2.4, we see that for an affine group scheme of finite type, there are the following implications:

$$\text{resolvable} \implies \text{reconstructible} \implies \text{linear}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the rest of this section, we shall prove that for a reductive group scheme, linearity implies resolvability. Contrary to the implications in (2.4), this latter implication appears to be special to reductive group schemes.

2.3. Inheritance of resolvability.

2.3.1. In this subsection, we show that the property of being resolvable is inherited by closed subgroups $H \subset G$ such that the quotient G/H is sufficiently well behaved. In order to do so, we first generalize the notion of resolvability to the situation where an S -scheme X equipped with a G -action is present. The arguments given here are an imitation of those of Thomason (see [Tho87, §2]). We follow the notations of §1.1.1.

Definition 2.3.2. Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is an affine group scheme and X is an S -scheme equipped with a G -action. We say that the pair (G, X) is *resolvable* if:

- (1) $G \rightarrow S$ is flat;

- (2) for every $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)^G$, there exists a family of objects $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in \mathrm{Vect}(X)^G$ (for $\alpha \in A$) together with a G -equivariant surjection $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{V}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

2.3.3. Let X be an S -scheme. For an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X , we use the notion of being *S-ample* as defined in [Sta18, 01VG].⁹ Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ denote the structure map. The existence of an S -ample invertible sheaf on X implies that f is quasi-compact and separated ([Sta18, 01VI]). In particular, the functor $f_* : \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(S)$ is well-defined in this situation.

Lemma 2.3.4. *Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a resolvable affine group scheme. Consider an S -scheme X equipped with a G -action, verifying the following property:*

$$\text{there exists a } G\text{-equivariant line bundle } \mathcal{L} \text{ on } X \text{ which is } S\text{-ample.} \quad (2.5)$$

Then the pair (G, X) is resolvable.

Note that any quasi-affine $X \rightarrow S$ satisfies (2.5) by taking $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X$ ([Sta18, 0891]).

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ denote the structure map. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)^G$. For each integer $k \geq 1$, the canonical morphism $f^* f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ is G -equivariant, where $f^* f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ is equipped with the G -equivariance structure induced from that of $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$. Since \mathcal{L} is S -ample, the induced map below is surjective ([Sta18, 01Q3]):

$$\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -k} \otimes f^* f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}. \quad (2.6)$$

Because G is resolvable, for each $k \geq 0$, there exists a family $\mathcal{V}_k^{(\alpha)} \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$ (for $\alpha \in A_k$) with a surjection $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_k} \mathcal{V}_k^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$. The composition:

$$\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -k} \otimes f^* \mathcal{V}_k^{(\alpha)} \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -k} \otimes f^* f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

is the sought-for surjection from a sum of objects in $\mathrm{Vect}(X)^G$. \square

2.3.5. Suppose $H \hookrightarrow G$ is a closed immersion of affine group schemes which are flat and of finite presentation over S . We let G/H denote their quotient as an fppf sheaf.

Lemma 2.3.6. *With notations above, suppose that G/H (equipped with the left G -action) is representable by a scheme satisfying condition (2.5). Then if G is resolvable, so is H .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3.4, the pair $(G, G/H)$ is resolvable. On the other hand, the morphism $G \rightarrow G/H$ is faithfully flat and of finite presentation. The same property is enjoyed by $G/H \rightarrow S$ since it holds fppf locally. We have a commutative diagram of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Vect}(G/H)^G & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Vect}(S)^H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{QCoh}(G/H)^G & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^H \end{array}$$

where the horizontal functors are equivalences (by fppf descent) and the vertical functors are fully faithful. The resolvability of $(G, G/H)$ thus implies that of (H, S) . \square

2.4. Reductive group schemes.

⁹Since S is assumed affine, this is equivalent to \mathcal{L} being ample but we shall continue to say “ S -ample” for conceptual clarity.

2.4.1. An affine group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ is *reductive* if it is smooth with geometric fibers being connected and reductive. The reductive hypothesis enters our argument via the following generalization of Matsushima's Theorem, due to J. Alper ([Alp14]).

Lemma 2.4.2. *Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a reductive group scheme. Given a closed immersion of affine group schemes $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n,S}$ over S , the quotient $\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}/G$ is representable by an affine S -scheme.*

Proof. This follows from [Alp14, Theorem 9.4.1] and the fact that for a smooth group scheme with connected fibers, being reductive is equivalent to being geometrically reductive ([Alp14, Theorem 9.7.5]). \square

Proposition 2.4.3. *Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a reductive group scheme. If G is linear, then it is resolvable.*

Proof. An application of Lemma 2.3.6, combined with Lemma 2.4.2, reduces the problem to showing that $\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$ is resolvable. By Lemma 2.3.4 applied to the morphism $S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathbb{Z}}$ is resolvable. Since \mathbb{Z} is a Dedekind domain and $\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathbb{Z}}$ is flat over it, the result follows from Lemma 1.1.4(2). \square

2.4.4. Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is a reductive group scheme. Its radical is a torus over S , denoted by $\mathrm{Rad}(G)$. Recall that a torus $T \rightarrow S$ is called *isotrivial* if it splits over a finite étale surjection $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$.

We now combine our results with Gille's criterion of linearity of a reductive group scheme ([Gil21]) to obtain an explicit criterion for the resolvability and reconstructibility of G .

Theorem 2.4.5. *Let $G \rightarrow S$ be a reductive group scheme. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is resolvable;
- (2) G is reconstructible;
- (3) G is linear;
- (4) $\mathrm{Rad}(G)$ is isotrivial.

Proof. Proposition 1.3.7 shows that (1) implies (2). Proposition 2.2.4 shows that (2) implies (3). Proposition 2.4.3 shows that (3) implies (1). The equivalence between (3) and (4) is established by Gille ([Gil21, Theorem 4.1]). \square

2.4.6. Write $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S_{\mathrm{red}}$ for the normalization map of the reduced subscheme of S . The scheme S is called *geometrically unibranch* if the map $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is universally injective (hence a universal homeomorphism). If S is Noetherian and geometrically unibranch, then any torus $T \rightarrow S$ is isotrivial ([ABD⁺66, Exposé X, Théorème 5.16]).

Corollary 2.4.7. *Let $G \rightarrow S$ be a reductive group scheme. Suppose either of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\mathrm{Rad}(G)$ is of rank ≤ 1 (e.g. G is semisimple);
- (2) S is Noetherian and geometrically unibranch.

Then G is resolvable.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4.5, since either hypothesis guarantees that $\mathrm{Rad}(G)$ is isotrivial (see [Gil21, §2.4]). \square

2.5. Subgroups of reductive group schemes.

2.5.1. Given a reductive group scheme $G \rightarrow S$, a *parabolic subgroup* $P \rightarrow S$ is a smooth affine group scheme equipped with a monic homomorphism $P \rightarrow G$ such that for every geometric point $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$, the quotient $G_{\bar{s}}/P_{\bar{s}}$ is proper (see [Con14, §5.2] or [ABD⁺66, Exposé XXVI, Définition 1.1]).

Suppose $P \rightarrow G$ is a parabolic subgroup of a reductive group scheme. Then the morphism $P \rightarrow G$ is a closed immersion and the quotient G/P is representable by a smooth proper S -scheme equipped with an S -ample invertible sheaf (see [Con14, Proposition 5.2.3, Corollary 5.2.8] or [ABD⁺66, Exposé XXII, Corollaire 5.8.5]).

2.5.2. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of a reductive group scheme $G \rightarrow S$. Then P has a *unipotent radical* $N \subset P$, which is its unique smooth closed normal subgroup whose geometric fiber $N_{\bar{s}}$ is the unipotent radical of $P_{\bar{s}}$ (for any $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$).

Furthermore, the quotient P/N is representable by a reductive group scheme over S ([Con14, Corollary 5.2.5]).

Corollary 2.5.3. *Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is a reductive group scheme. If G is resolvable (resp. reconstructible), then the same holds for any of its parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ as well as its unipotent radical $N \subset P$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4.5, either hypothesis implies that the reductive group scheme G is resolvable. Using Lemma 2.3.6 and the aforementioned properties of parabolic subgroups and their unipotent radicals, each such subgroup is also resolvable, hence reconstructible (Proposition 1.3.7). \square

3. TORSORS

Let R be a ring and write $S := \text{Spec}(R)$. Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is an affine group scheme of *finite presentation*. (This hypothesis is installed since we prefer to consider G -torsors in the fppf, rather than fpqc topology.)

The goal of this section is to give a functorial description of G -torsors when G is flat and reconstructible. When G is furthermore resolvable, there are two such descriptions: as symmetric monoidal functors out of $\text{Vect}(S)^G$ or out of $\text{QCoh}(S)^G$. The second description is closely related to Lurie's Tannakian duality ([Lur04]). In the special case where G is finite locally free, we obtain a particularly simple description (Corollary 3.2.10.)

3.1. Reconstructible case.

3.1.1. Let X be a scheme over S . We use the term *G -torsors on X* to refer to fppf-locally trivial G -torsors on X . Namely, it consists of a set-valued fppf sheaf \mathcal{P} on affine X -schemes, equipped with a G -action $\mathcal{P} \times_S G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ which satisfies:

- (1) whenever $\mathcal{P}(S')$ is nonempty, the $G(S')$ -action on $\mathcal{P}(S')$ is simply transitive;
- (2) \mathcal{P} is fppf-locally nonempty.

Let $\text{Tors}_G(X)$ denote the category of G -torsors on X . It has a distinguished element \mathcal{P}^0 , the trivial G -torsor whose value at any affine X -scheme S' is $G(S')$.

Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is flat. Then any G -torsor \mathcal{P} is representable by an X -scheme \tilde{X} such that the map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is an fppf cover.

3.1.2. Given a G -torsor \mathcal{P} on X , the associated bundle construction defines an R -linear, symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\mathcal{P} \times^G (-) : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X). \quad (3.1)$$

Furthermore, if we equip $\text{Vect}(S)^G$ and $\text{Vect}(X)$ with their canonical exact structures, then (3.1) is an exact functor.

Let us denote by $\text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}^{\otimes}(\text{Vect}(S)^G, \text{Vect}(X))$ the category of exact, R -linear, symmetric monoidal functors. It contains a distinguished object P^0 which is the composition of the forgetful functor $T : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S)$ with the pullback functor $\text{Vect}(S) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$. The associated bundle construction can thus be seen as a functor:

$$\text{Tors}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}^{\otimes}(\text{Vect}(S)^G, \text{Vect}(X)). \quad (3.2)$$

Furthermore, (3.2) carries the trivial G -torsor \mathcal{P}^0 to P^0 .

Lemma 3.1.3. *Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is flat and satisfies Tannakian reconstruction. Then the functor (3.2) is fully faithful, and its essential image consists of functors:*

$$P : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$$

which is isomorphic to P^0 over an fppf cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}^{\otimes}(\text{Vect}(S)^G, \text{Vect}(X))$ denote the full subcategory of functors which is isomorphic to P^0 over an fppf cover of X . Since every G -torsor is representable by such a cover, it is clear that (3.2) factors through \mathcal{C} . We shall now define a functor:

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Tors}_G(X). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(P^0) \rightarrow X$ denote the group presheaf whose value at an affine X -scheme S' is the group of automorphisms of the symmetric monoidal functor $P_{S'}^0 : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(S')$, where $P_{S'}^0$ is given by composing P^0 and the pullback functor. Because G satisfies Tannakian reconstruction, the canonical map $G_X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(P^0)$ is an isomorphism of group presheaves over X . Given $P \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\underline{\text{Isom}}^{\otimes}(P^0, P)$ the presheaf of sets over X , which associates to an affine X -scheme S' the collection of isomorphisms between $P_{S'}^0$ and $P_{S'}$ as symmetric monoidal functors. Then:

- (1) $\underline{\text{Isom}}^{\otimes}(P^0, P)$ is an fppf sheaf (because $\text{Vect}(-)$ satisfies fppf descent);
- (2) $\underline{\text{Isom}}^{\otimes}(P^0, P)$ is fppf-locally nonempty (by assumption).

Furthermore, $\underline{\text{Isom}}^{\otimes}(P^0, P)$ is a torsor for $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(P^0)$, which is isomorphic to G_X as we have seen. The association from P to $\underline{\text{Isom}}^{\otimes}(P^0, P)$ gives the functor (3.3). To show that these functors are mutual inverses, it suffices to observe that there is a canonical morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Isom}}^{\otimes}(P^0, \mathcal{P} \times^G (-))$ for every G -torsor \mathcal{P} , and a canonical morphism $\underline{\text{Isom}}^{\otimes}(P^0, P) \times^G (-) \rightarrow P$ for every functor $P \in \mathcal{C}$, both of which are automatically isomorphisms. \square

Remark 3.1.4. Let $P : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$ be a symmetric monoidal functor which is isomorphic to P^0 over an fppf-cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Then P is automatically exact.

3.2. Resolvable case.

3.2.1. Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is resolvable. Then $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$ is canonically identified with the category of sheaves on $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$ (Lemma 1.5.3). In this case, exact functors out of $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$ induce colimit-preserving functors out of $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G$ (Lemma 1.4.9). In this subsection, we study the interplay between these functors and the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$. We begin with some general observations.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an R -linear exact category equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure \otimes such that every object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is dualizable. Then there exists a unique extension of \otimes along the embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ which commutes with colimits in each variable.*

Proof. Since every object $F \in \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ is identified with $\mathrm{colim}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}^{a \rightarrow F} (a)$, commutation with colimits dictates the formula:

$$F \otimes G \cong \mathrm{colim}_{\substack{a \rightarrow F \\ a \in \mathcal{A}}} (\mathrm{colim}_{\substack{b \rightarrow G \\ b \in \mathcal{A}}} (a \otimes b)). \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, the formula (3.4) defines a symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$, so it remains to verify that the endofunctor $F \otimes (-)$ commutes with all colimits. This statement reduces to showing that $a \otimes (-)$ commutes with all colimits (for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$). Since a is dualizable in (\mathcal{A}, \otimes) , the functor $a \otimes (-)$ has a right adjoint given by $a^\vee \otimes (-)$. \square

3.2.3. Suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are R -linear exact categories, each equipped a symmetric monoidal structure. Let $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\otimes(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote the category of R -linear, exact, symmetric monoidal functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} (with morphisms the natural transformations which respect these structures). If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are cocomplete, we denote by $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{co}}^\otimes(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ the category of R -linear, colimit-preserving, symmetric monoidal functors.

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an R -linear exact category equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure \otimes such that:*

- (1) *every object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is dualizable;*
- (2) *short exact sequences of \mathcal{A} are preserved under dualization.*

Let X be a scheme. Then the left Kan extension defines an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\otimes(\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Vect}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{co}}^\otimes(\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A}), \mathrm{QCoh}(X)). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. The problem reduces to the case where X is affine. The abelian category $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ is naturally identified with $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Vect}(X))$ and the extended symmetric monoidal structure agrees with the natural one on $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$. Given an R -linear exact functor $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(X)$, the induced functor $P^s : \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ preserves colimits by Lemma 1.4.9. When P is symmetric monoidal, P^s acquires a symmetric monoidal structure since it preserves colimits. Conversely, given an R -linear, colimit-preserving, symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\tilde{Q} : \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X),$$

its restriction to \mathcal{A} factors through the full subcategory of $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ of dualizable objects, which is $\mathrm{Vect}(X)$. We argue that the induced functor, denoted by $Q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(X)$, is exact. Let $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ be a short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} . Since $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{A})$ is exact (c.f. §1.4.5), we find an exact sequence in $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$:

$$Q(a_1) \rightarrow Q(a_2) \rightarrow Q(a_3) \rightarrow 0.$$

It remains to show that $Q(a_1) \rightarrow Q(a_2)$ is injective. Since the exact structure of \mathcal{A} is preserved under dualization, the map $a_2^\vee \rightarrow a_1^\vee$ is an admissible epimorphism, so the map

$Q(a_2^\vee) \rightarrow Q(a_1^\vee)$ is surjective. Hence its dual $Q(a_1) \rightarrow Q(a_2)$ is injective. It is clear that the two constructions defined above are mutual inverses. \square

Remark 3.2.5. The assumption that every object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is dualizable implies that all morphisms in $\text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}^\otimes(\mathcal{A}, \text{Vect}(X))$ are isomorphisms. Indeed, given a morphism $\eta : P \rightarrow Q$ in $\text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}^\otimes(\mathcal{A}, \text{Vect}(X))$, the value $\eta_a : P(a) \rightarrow Q(a)$ has inverse the dual of $\eta_{a^\vee} : P(a^\vee) \rightarrow Q(a^\vee)$. This means that (3.5) is an equivalence of groupoids.

3.2.6. Suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is resolvable. According to Proposition 3.2.4, we have an equivalence of categories:

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}^\otimes(\text{Vect}(S)^G, \text{Vect}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}_{\text{co}}^\otimes(\text{QCoh}(S)^G, \text{QCoh}(X)). \quad (3.6)$$

via the procedure of left Kan extension.

Lemma 3.2.7. *Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is resolvable. Let $P : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$ be an R -linear, exact, symmetric monoidal functor, whose image under (3.6) is denoted by LKE_P . The following are equivalent.*

- (1) P becomes isomorphic to P^0 over an fppf cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$;
- (2) LKE_P preserves faithfully flat algebras¹⁰;
- (3) $\text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G)$ is faithfully flat.

Proof. Suppose that (1) holds. Then for any faithfully flat algebra $A \in \text{QCoh}(S)^G$, the image $P(A) \in \text{QCoh}(X)$ becomes faithfully flat after some flat surjection $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Hence $P(A)$ is faithfully flat over X . The statement (3) is a special case of (2).

We now prove that (3) implies (1). Indeed, let $\tilde{X} := \text{Spec}_X(\text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G))$. We shall first prove that P is trivialized by \tilde{X} , i.e., the functor $P_{\tilde{X}} : \text{Vect}(S)^G \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\tilde{X})$ sending \mathcal{V} to $P(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G)$, is isomorphic to the functor $P_{\tilde{X}}^0$ sending \mathcal{V} to $T(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_R \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G)$. Since LKE_P is symmetric monoidal, there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$P(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G) \cong \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{V} \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_G). \quad (3.7)$$

Here, $\mathcal{V} \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_G \in \text{QCoh}(S)^G$ is equipped with the diagonal \mathcal{O}_G -coaction. Next, we use the isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_G -comodules:

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} T(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_G, \quad (3.8)$$

where \mathcal{O}_G coacts on the \mathcal{O}_G -factor on the right hand side (Lemma 2.1.3). Furthermore, for any $\mathcal{M} \in \text{QCoh}(S)$, the following canonical map is an isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_R \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{M} \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_G), \quad (3.9)$$

because LKE_P preserves colimits. Combining the identifications (3.7)(3.8)(3.9), we obtain a series of isomorphisms natural in $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Vect}(S)^G$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G) &\cong \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{V} \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_G) \\ &\cong \text{LKE}_P(T(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_G) \\ &\cong T(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_R \text{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G). \end{aligned}$$

¹⁰“Algebra” in a symmetric monoidal category means a commutative monoid object.

We omit verifying that the resulting isomorphism $P_{\tilde{X}} \cong P_X^0$ respects the symmetric monoidal structures. Finally, we need to show that the flat surjection $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is of finite presentation. Taking a colimit over $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ in the above isomorphism, we obtain:

$$\mathrm{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G) \cong \mathcal{O}_G \otimes_R \mathrm{LKE}_P(\mathcal{O}_G).$$

Since $G \rightarrow S$ is of finite presentation, the same holds for $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ by flat descent. \square

3.2.8. Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is resolvable. Then the composition of (3.2) and (3.6) is a functor:

$$\mathrm{Tors}_G(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G, \mathrm{QCoh}(X)). \quad (3.10)$$

Explicitly, the functor (3.10) is given by the ‘‘associated bundle’’ construction $\mathcal{P} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P} \times^G (-)$ as well, using fppf descent of $\mathrm{QCoh}(-)$ instead of $\mathrm{Vect}(-)$.

Corollary 3.2.9. *Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is resolvable. Then (3.10) is fully faithful. Its essential image consists of R -linear, colimit-preserving, symmetric monoidal functors:*

$$\tilde{P} : \mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X),$$

such that $\tilde{P}(\mathcal{O}_G)$ is faithfully flat.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1.3 and Lemma 3.2.7. \square

Corollary 3.2.10. *Suppose $G \rightarrow S$ is finite locally free. Then (3.2) is an equivalence:*

$$\mathrm{Tors}_G(X) \cong \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G, \mathrm{Vect}(X)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1.5, G is resolvable. Hence $\mathrm{Tors}_G(X)$ may be regarded as a full subcategory of the equivalent categories of (3.6):

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G, \mathrm{Vect}(X)) \cong \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G, \mathrm{QCoh}(X)).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_G \in \mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$, it suffices to prove that $P(\mathcal{O}_G)$ is faithfully flat (Corollary 3.2.9). Consider the unit morphism $R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ in $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$. The underlying morphism in $\mathrm{Vect}(S)$ admits a section given by the counit. Hence, it fits into a short exact sequence $R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ in the exact category $\mathrm{Vect}(S)^G$. Since P is exact and symmetric monoidal, we obtain a short exact sequence $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow P(\mathcal{O}_G) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{V})$ in $\mathrm{Vect}(X)$. This implies that $P(\mathcal{O}_G)$ is faithfully flat as an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra. \square

3.3. Relationship to Lurie’s theorem.

3.3.1. Lurie has established a version of Tannakian duality for geometric stacks ([Lur04, Theorem 5.11]). Let us explain its relationship with Corollary 3.2.9. For the remainder of this subsection, we suppose that $G \rightarrow S$ is smooth, so the classifying stack of G -torsors BG is a geometric stack.

3.3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a symmetric monoidal abelian category. An object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is called *flat* if tensoring with a defines an exact endofunctor on \mathcal{A} . Following [Lur04], a functor $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between symmetric monoidal abelian categories is called *tame* if:

- (1) P preserves flat objects;
- (2) if $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} such that a_3 is flat, then $P(a_1) \rightarrow P(a_2) \rightarrow P(a_3)$ is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{B} .

3.3.3. The special case of [Lur04, Theorem 5.11], applied to BG, asserts that associated bundle construction yields a fully faithful functor:

$$\mathrm{Tors}_G(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G, \mathrm{QCoh}(X)),$$

whose essential image consists of R-linear, colimit-preserving, symmetric monoidal functors $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ which are furthermore tame. One thus expects that the characterization of the essential image in Corollary 3.2.9 to coincide with the tameness condition. This is indeed the case, and follows from the observations below:

- (1) any tame functor preserves faithfully flat algebras ([Lur04, Remark 5.10]);
- (2) any functor $\tilde{P} \in \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{QCoh}(S)^G, \mathrm{QCoh}(X))$ with faithfully flat $\tilde{P}(\mathcal{O}_G)$ is tame; indeed, an argument analogous to Lemma 3.2.7 shows that \tilde{P} is trivialized over the flat cover $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{P}(\mathcal{O}_G)) \rightarrow X$, and tameness can be verified flat locally. (In fact, the proof of our Lemma 3.2.7 is parallel to [Lur04, §9].)

REFERENCES

- [ABD⁺66] Michael Artin, Jean-Etienne Bertin, Michel Demazure, Alexander Grothendieck, Pierre Gabriel, Michel Raynaud, and Jean-Pierre Serre, *Schémas en groupes*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963/1966.
- [Alp14] Jarod Alper, *Adequate moduli spaces and geometrically reductive group schemes*, *Algebr. Geom.* **1** (2014), no. 4, 489–531. MR 3272912
- [Bü10] Theo Bühler, *Exact categories*, *Expo. Math.* **28** (2010), no. 1, 1–69. MR 2606234
- [Con14] Brian Conrad, *Reductive group schemes*, *Autour des schémas en groupes*. Vol. I, Panor. Synthèses, vol. 42/43, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2014, pp. 93–444. MR 3362641
- [Del90] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, Vol. II, *Progr. Math.*, vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 111–195. MR 1106898
- [DH18] Nguyen Dai Duong and Phùng Hồ Hai, *Tannakian duality over Dedekind rings and applications*, *Math. Z.* **288** (2018), no. 3-4, 1103–1142. MR 3778991
- [DMOS82] Pierre Deligne, James S. Milne, Arthur Ogus, and Kuang-yen Shih, *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. MR 654325
- [Gil21] Philippe Gille, *When is a reductive group scheme linear?*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.07305>, 2021, arXiv preprint.
- [Lur04] Jacob Lurie, *Tannaka duality for geometric stacks*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0412266>, 2004, arXiv preprint.
- [Qui73] Daniel Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*, *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories* (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), 1973, pp. 85–147. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 341. MR 0338129
- [Sch12] Daniel Schäppi, *A characterization of categories of coherent sheaves of certain algebraic stacks*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2764>, 2012, arXiv preprint.
- [Sch13] Daniel Schäppi, *The formal theory of Tannaka duality*, *Astérisque* (2013), no. 357, viii+140. MR 3185459
- [Ser68] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Groupes de Grothendieck des schémas en groupes réductifs déployés*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1968), no. 34, 37–52. MR 231831
- [SR72] Neantro Saavedra Rivano, *Catégories Tannakiennes*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. MR 0338002
- [Sta18] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [Str07] Ross Street, *Quantum groups*, *Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series*, vol. 19, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007, A path to current algebra. MR 2294803
- [Tho87] R. W. Thomason, *Equivariant resolution, linearization, and Hilbert’s fourteenth problem over arbitrary base schemes*, *Adv. in Math.* **65** (1987), no. 1, 16–34. MR 893468

- [TT90] R. W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III, Progr. Math., vol. 88, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 247–435. MR 1106918
- [Wed04] Torsten Wedhorn, *On Tannakian duality over valuation rings*, J. Algebra **282** (2004), no. 2, 575–609. MR 2101076

Email address: `yifei.zhao.93@gmail.com`