

# Reinhardt cardinals in inner models

Gabriel Goldberg

December 7, 2021

## 1 Introduction

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is *Reinhardt* if it is the critical point of an elementary embedding from the universe of sets to itself. Kunen [1] famously refuted the existence of Reinhardt cardinals using the Axiom of Choice (AC). It is a longstanding open problem whether Reinhardt cardinals are consistent if AC is dropped.

Noah Schweber [2] introduced the notion of a *uniformly supercompact cardinal*, a cardinal  $\kappa$  that is the critical point of an elementary embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  such that  $M^\alpha \subseteq M$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ . He posed the question of whether such a cardinal must be Reinhardt, and he also asked about the consistency strength of uniformly supercompact cardinals. Both questions remain open, but this note makes some progress on the matter.

Say a cardinal  $\kappa$  is *weakly Reinhardt* if it is the critical point of an elementary embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  such that  $j \upharpoonright P(\alpha) \in M$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ . This condition is equivalent to requiring that  $P(P(\alpha)) \subseteq M$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ . It seems to be weaker than demanding that  $M^{P(\alpha)} \subseteq M$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If there is a proper class of weakly Reinhardt cardinals, then there is an inner model with a proper class of Reinhardt cardinals.*

As a corollary, we obtain a consistency strength lower bound for a large cardinal that looks a bit more like Schweber's: for lack of a better term, say  $\kappa$  is *ultrafilter Reinhardt* if it is the critical point of an elementary embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  such that for all ordinals  $\alpha$ ,  $M^\alpha \subseteq M$  and  $\beta(\alpha) \subseteq M$ . Here  $\beta(X)$  denotes the set of ultrafilters on  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $\kappa$  is ultrafilter Reinhardt, then  $\kappa$  is weakly Reinhardt.*

### 1.1 Preliminaries

Our background theory is von Neumann-Bernays-Gödel (NBG) set theory without AC. Even though we work without AC, for us a *cardinal* is an ordinal number that is not in bijection with any smaller ordinal. Of course, if AC fails, there are sets whose cardinality cannot be identified with a cardinal in this sense. Still, for any set  $Y$ , one can define the *Hartogs number of  $Y$* , denoted by  $\aleph(Y)$ , as the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that there is no injection from  $\kappa$  to  $Y$ .

## 2 The inner model $N_\nu$

If  $\nu$  is a cardinal and  $X$  is a set,  $\beta_\nu(X)$  denotes the set of  $\nu$ -complete ultrafilters on  $X$ . In the context of choiceless large cardinal axioms, sufficiently complete ultrafilters on ordinals

can often be treated as “idealized ordinals.” The following lemma is a simple example of this phenomenon, although the pattern runs quite a bit deeper than this.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If there is a weakly Reinhardt cardinal, then for all sufficiently large cardinals  $\nu$ , for any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_\nu(\alpha)$  can be wellordered.*

*Proof.* Assume not. Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  witness that  $\kappa$  is weakly Reinhardt. By transfinite recursion, define a sequence of ordinals  $\delta_\xi$  for  $\xi \in \text{Ord}$ , taking suprema at limit ordinals and, at successor stages, setting  $\delta_{\xi+1}$  equal to the least ordinal  $\alpha > \aleph(\beta(\delta_\xi))$  such that  $\beta_{\delta_\xi}(\alpha)$  cannot be wellordered. Let  $\epsilon_\xi = (\delta_\xi)^M$ . Then  $j(\delta_\kappa) = \epsilon_{j(\kappa)} > \epsilon_{\kappa+1}$ . For each  $\gamma < \epsilon_{j(\kappa)+1}$ , let  $D_\gamma$  be the ultrafilter on  $\delta_{\kappa+1}$  derived from  $j$  using  $\gamma$ , so  $D_\gamma = \{A \subseteq \delta_{\kappa+1} : \gamma \in j(A)\}$ .

Note that the function  $\mathcal{D}(\gamma) = D_\gamma$  is simply definable from  $j \upharpoonright P(\delta_{\kappa+1})$ , and so  $d \in M$ . For any  $W \in \beta_{\epsilon_{j(\kappa)}}(\epsilon_{j(\kappa)+1})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is constant on a set in  $W$  because  $W$  is  $\epsilon_{j(\kappa)}$ -complete and  $\text{ran}(\mathcal{D})$  has cardinality less than  $\epsilon_{j(\kappa)}$ . Indeed,  $|\text{ran}(\mathcal{D})| < \aleph^M(\beta(\delta_\kappa))$ , the Hartogs number of  $\beta(\delta_\kappa)$  as computed in  $M$ , since  $\text{ran}(\mathcal{D})$  is a wellorderable subset of  $\beta(\delta_\kappa)$  in  $M$ . Moreover,  $\aleph^M(\beta(\delta_\kappa)) \leq \aleph^M(\beta(\epsilon_\kappa))$  since  $\epsilon_\kappa = \sup j[\delta_\kappa] \geq \delta_\kappa$ , and  $\aleph^M(\beta(\epsilon_\kappa)) < \epsilon_{\kappa+1} < \epsilon_{j(\kappa)}$  by the definition of the ordinals  $\delta_\xi$  and the elementarity of  $j$ .

Suppose  $U \in \beta_{\delta_\kappa}(\delta_{\kappa+1})$ , and we will show that for  $j(U)$ -almost all  $\gamma$ ,  $D_\gamma = U$ . Let  $D$  be the unique ultrafilter on  $\delta_{\kappa+1}$  such that  $D_\gamma = D$  for  $j(U)$ -almost all  $\gamma < \epsilon_{j(\kappa)+1}$ . If  $A \in U$ , then for all  $\gamma \in j(A)$ ,  $A \in D_\gamma$ , and hence for  $j(U)$ -almost all  $\gamma$ ,  $A \in D_\gamma$ . It follows that  $A \in D$ . This proves  $U \subseteq D$ , and so  $U = D$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{D}$  is a surjection from the ordinal  $\epsilon_{j(\kappa)+1}$  to  $\beta_{\delta_\kappa}(\delta_{\kappa+1})$ , which contradicts that  $\beta_{\delta_\kappa}(\delta_{\kappa+1})$  cannot be wellordered.  $\square$

Let us now define the inner model in which weakly Reinhardt cardinals become Reinhardt. Suppose  $\nu$  is a cardinal. Let  $\beta_\nu(\text{Ord}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord}} \beta_\nu(\alpha)$  denote the class of  $\nu$ -complete ultrafilters on ordinals. For any class  $C$ , we denote the class of all subsets of  $C$  by  $P(C)$ . Finally, let

$$N_\nu = L(P(\beta_\nu(\text{Ord})))$$

Granting that sufficiently complete ultrafilters on ordinals are idealized ordinals, the models  $N_\nu$  are the corresponding idealizations of the inner model  $L(P(\text{Ord}))$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If there is a proper class of weakly Reinhardt cardinals, then for all sufficiently large cardinals  $\nu$ ,  $N_\nu$  contains a proper class of Reinhardt cardinals.*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be a cardinal large enough that for all ordinals  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_\nu(\alpha)$  can be wellordered. Let  $N = N_\nu$ . We claim that if  $\kappa > \nu$  is weakly Reinhardt, then  $\kappa$  is Reinhardt in  $N$ . To see this, let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  witness that  $\kappa$  is weakly Reinhardt. We will show that  $j(N) = N$  and  $j \upharpoonright X \in N$  for all  $X \in N$ . Hence  $j \upharpoonright N$  is an amenable class of  $N$  and in  $N$ ,  $j \upharpoonright N$  is an elementary embedding from the universe to itself. Letting  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the collection of classes amenable to  $N$ , it follows that  $(N, \mathcal{C})$  is a model of NBG with a proper class of Reinhardt cardinals.

We first show that  $j(N) = N$ , or in other words, that  $N$  is correctly computed by  $M$ . (Here we use that  $j(\nu) = \nu$  since  $\nu < \kappa$ .) The closure properties of  $M$  guarantee that all ultrafilters on ordinals are in  $M$ , and the elementarity of  $j$  implies that for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_\nu(\alpha)$  is wellorderable in  $M$ . Finally, since  $M$  is closed under wellordered sequences,  $P(\beta_\nu(\alpha))$  is contained in  $M$ . This implies that  $N$  is correctly computed by  $M$ .

Finally, we show that for any  $X \in N$ ,  $j \upharpoonright X \in N$ . For this, it suffices to show that for any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $j \upharpoonright P(\beta_\nu(\alpha))$  is in  $N$ . Since  $\beta_\nu(\alpha)$  is wellorderable in  $N$ , it suffices to show

that  $j \upharpoonright P(\delta)$  belongs to  $N$  where  $\delta = |\beta_\nu(\alpha)|^N$ . Then letting  $f : P(\beta_\nu(\alpha)) \rightarrow P(\delta)$  be a bijection in  $N$ ,

$$j \upharpoonright P(\beta_\nu(\alpha)) = j(f)^{-1} \circ (j \upharpoonright P(\delta)) \circ f$$

and  $j(f) \in N$  since  $N = j(N)$  by the previous paragraph. But  $j \upharpoonright P(\delta) \in N$  because it is encoded by the extender  $E = \langle D_\gamma : \gamma < j(\delta) \rangle$  where  $D_\gamma$  is the ultrafilter on  $\delta$  derived from  $j$  using  $\gamma$ : indeed, if  $A \subseteq \delta$ , then  $j(A) = \{\gamma < j(\delta) : A \in D_\gamma\}$ . Since  $E$  is a wellordered sequence of  $\nu$ -complete ultrafilters,  $E \in N$ .  $\square$

We now show that ultrafilter Reinhardt cardinals are weakly Reinhardt, so the same consistency strength lower bound applies to them.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $\kappa$  is ultrafilter Reinhardt, then  $\kappa$  is weakly Reinhardt.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $j : V \rightarrow M$  is elementary and for all ordinals  $\alpha$ ,  $M^\alpha \subseteq M$  and  $\beta(\alpha) \subseteq M$ . We claim that for all ordinals  $\delta$ ,  $j \upharpoonright P(\delta) \in M$ . Consider the extender  $E = \langle D_\gamma : \gamma < j(\delta) \rangle$  given by letting  $D_\gamma = \{A \subseteq \delta : \gamma \in j(A)\}$  be the ultrafilter derived from  $j$  using  $\gamma$ . Then  $E \in M$ , and hence  $j \upharpoonright P(\delta) \in M$ , since for  $A \in P(\delta)$ ,  $j(A) = \{\gamma < j(\delta) : A \in D_\gamma\}$ .  $\square$

Finally, observe that the inner models considered here yield models with Reinhardt cardinals that are a bit tamer than one might expect:

**Proposition 2.4.** *If there is a proper class of Reinhardt cardinals, then there is an inner model with a proper class of Reinhardt cardinals in which every set is constructible from a wellordered sequence of ultrafilters on ordinals.*  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *If the existence of a proper class of Reinhardt cardinals is consistent, then it is consistent with  $V = L(P(P(\text{Ord})))$ .*

*Proof.* For any cardinal  $\lambda$ , a  $\lambda$ -sequence  $\langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  of subsets of  $P(\lambda)$  can be coded by a single subset of  $P(\lambda \times \lambda)$ ; namely,  $\{\{\alpha\} \times A : A \in S_\alpha\}$ . So if every set is constructible from a wellordered sequence of ultrafilters on ordinals, then  $V = L(P(P(\text{Ord})))$ .  $\square$

More advanced techniques yield the following theorem, whose proof is omitted:

**Theorem 2.6.** *If there is a Reinhardt cardinal, then for a closed unbounded class of cardinals  $\nu$ , there is a Reinhardt cardinal in  $N_\nu(V_{\nu+1})$ .*  $\square$

Here  $N_\nu(V_{\nu+1})$  is the smallest inner model  $N$  such that  $P(\beta_\nu(\text{Ord})) \cup V_{\nu+1} \subseteq N$ . We also note that the proofs here easily generalize to show that if there is a proper class of Berkeley cardinals, then for sufficiently large  $\nu$ , there is a proper class of Berkeley cardinals in  $N_\nu$ .

### 3 Questions

Let us list some variants of Schweber's original questions that seem natural given the results of this note.

**Question 3.1.** Are Reinhardt cardinals and weakly Reinhardt cardinals equiconsistent?

**Question 3.2.** Is the existence of a Reinhardt cardinal compatible with  $V = L(P(\text{Ord}))$ ?

In the context of NBG, a cardinal  $\kappa$  is *Ord-supercompact* if for all ordinals  $\alpha$ , there is an elementary embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  such that  $j(\kappa) > \alpha$  and  $M^\alpha \subseteq M$ .

**Question 3.3.** Is NBG plus the existence of a proper class of Ord-supercompact cardinals equiconsistent with ZFC plus a proper class of supercompact cardinals?

## References

- [1] Kenneth Kunen. Elementary embeddings and infinitary combinatorics. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 36:407–413, 1971.
- [2] Noah Schweber. Supercompact and Reinhardt cardinals without choice. MathOverflow.