

THE MULTIPLE HOLOMORPH OF CENTERLESS GROUPS

CINDY (SIN YI) TSANG

ABSTRACT. Let G be a group. The holomorph $\text{Hol}(G)$ may be defined as the normalizer of the subgroup of either left or right translations in the group of all permutations of G . The multiple holomorph $\text{NHol}(G)$ is in turn defined as the normalizer of the holomorph. Their quotient $T(G) = \text{NHol}(G)/\text{Hol}(G)$ has been computed for various families of groups G . In this paper, we consider the case when G is centerless, and we shall show that $T(G)$ must be elementary 2-abelian unless G satisfies some fairly strong conditions. As an application of our main theorem, we are able to show that $T(G)$ has order 2 when G is an almost simple group, and $T(G)$ is elementary 2-abelian when G is a centerless perfect or complete group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Our main theorem	6
4. Applications	13
References	16
Appendix: MAGMA code	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group and write $\text{Perm}(G)$ for the group of all permutations of G . Recall that a subgroup N of $\text{Perm}(G)$ is *regular* if its action on G is both transitive and free, or equivalently, the map

$$\xi_N : N \longrightarrow G; \quad \xi_N(\eta) = \eta(1)$$

is bijective. The classical examples of regular subgroups of $\text{Perm}(G)$ are the images of the left and right regular representations, defined by

$$\begin{cases} \lambda : G \longrightarrow \text{Perm}(G); & \lambda(\sigma) = (x \mapsto \sigma x), \\ \rho : G \longrightarrow \text{Perm}(G); & \rho(\sigma) = (x \mapsto x\sigma^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

The subgroups $\lambda(G)$ and $\rho(G)$ coincide precisely when G is abelian and are centralizers of each other. They also have the same normalizer

$$\text{Hol}(G) = \text{Norm}(\lambda(G)) = \text{Norm}(\rho(G))$$

in $\text{Perm}(G)$ which is called the *holomorph* of G . Its normalizer

$$\text{NHol}(G) = \text{Norm}(\text{Hol}(G))$$

in $\text{Perm}(G)$ is in turn called the *multiple holomorph* of G . It is a known fact that isomorphic regular subgroups are conjugates (see [11, Lemma 2.1] for a proof). For any $\pi \in \text{Perm}(G)$, we have

$$\text{Norm}(\pi\lambda(G)\pi^{-1}) = \pi\text{Norm}(\lambda(G))\pi^{-1}$$

and so it follows that

$$\pi \in \text{NHol}(G) \iff \text{Norm}(\pi\lambda(G)\pi^{-1}) = \text{Hol}(G).$$

We then deduce that the quotient

$$T(G) = \text{NHol}(G)/\text{Hol}(G)$$

acts regularly via conjugation on the set

$$\mathcal{H}_0(G) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{regular subgroups } N \text{ of } \text{Perm}(G) \text{ which are isomorphic} \\ \text{to } G \text{ and have normalizer } \text{Norm}(N) = \text{Hol}(G) \text{ in } \text{Perm}(G) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Research on the group $T(G)$ was initiated by G. A. Miller [9] and began to attract attention again since the work of T. Kohl [7].

The structure of $T(G)$ has been computed for various groups G . In many of the known cases, interestingly $T(G)$ is elementary 2-abelian (see [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12] for examples). Nevertheless, there are counterexamples. T. Kohl [7] found using GAP [6] two groups G of order 16 such that $T(G)$ is non-abelian. There are also (finite) p -groups G of nilpotency class at most $p - 1$, or split metacyclic p -groups G , where p is an odd prime, for which $T(G)$ has order divisible by p . See [5, 12, 14] for examples. One might then wonder: Is there any non-nilpotent group G for which $T(G)$ is not elementary 2-abelian? The answer is “yes”. For example, as mentioned in [12, (1.5)], the quotient $T(G)$

is not even a 2-group for $G = \text{SMALLGROUP}(a, b)$ with

$$(a, b) = (48, 12), (48, 14), (63, 1), (80, 12), (80, 14)$$

which were found using MAGMA [2]. The present author [13, Section 4] also described a method to construct groups of the form

$$G = A \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}),$$

where A is abelian of finite exponent not divisible by p , such that $T(G)$ has order divisible by p . For the argument to work, a necessary condition is that $p^{n-1} + p^n\mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially on A . One finds that all of these examples G have non-trivial center and are solvable groups.

Most of the groups G considered in the literature are finite, in which case of course $T(G)$ is finite. But for infinite groups G , the author does not know whether $T(G)$ has to be finite or not. Let us say that a group is *elementary 2-abelian* if all of its elements have order dividing 2, in which case the group is automatically abelian. Based on what is known so far, it seems reasonable to make the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1.1. *Any centerless group G has elementary 2-abelian $T(G)$.*

Conjecture 1.2. *Any (finite) insolvable group G (which does not have any solvable normal subgroup as a direct factor) has elementary 2-abelian $T(G)$.*

In this paper, we shall focus on Conjecture 1.1. In order to state our main theorem in full generality, we would have to set up some notation. We shall therefore postpone its statement to Theorem 3.1. Here, let us just state that our result implies that G has to satisfy some fairly strong conditions if $T(G)$ were to not be elementary 2-abelian (Theorem 4.1). As applications, we are able to prove the following (Theorems 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5).

1. $T(G)$ is cyclic of order 2 when G is almost simple;
2. $T(G)$ is elementary 2-abelian when G is centerless perfect;
3. $T(G)$ is elementary 2-abelian when G is complete;
4. $T(G)$ is elementary 2-abelian when G is centerless of order at most 2000 excluding 1536 and G whose SMALLGROUP ID equals $(605, 5), (1210, 11)$.

We remark that 1 and 2 were known for finite groups G by [11] and [4]. But we do not require G to be finite, and unlike [11] our proof does not need the classification of finite simple groups. Also 4 was verified using MAGMA [2].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, the group G is not assumed to be centerless. Let us fix an element $\pi \in \text{NHol}(G)$, and we wish to understand when $\pi^2 \in \text{Hol}(G)$ holds. We shall do so by associating to π a pair (f, h) of homomorphisms from G to $\text{Aut}(G)$ and a permutation g on G which satisfy some specific conditions. We shall then study π via the triplet (f, h, g) .

Put $N = \pi\lambda(G)\pi^{-1}$, which is plainly a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ that is isomorphic to G . The fact that $\pi \in \text{NHol}(G)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \pi\lambda(G)\pi^{-1} \leq \pi\text{Hol}(G)\pi^{-1} = \text{Hol}(G), \\ \text{Norm}(N) &= \pi\text{Norm}(\lambda(G))\pi^{-1} = \pi\text{Hol}(G)\pi^{-1} = \text{Hol}(G). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that N is in fact a normal subgroup of $\text{Hol}(G)$. Let us remark in passing that the above shows that

$$\mathcal{H}_0(G) \subset \{\text{normal regular subgroups of } \text{Hol}(G) \text{ isomorphic to } G\}$$

for the set $\mathcal{H}_0(G)$ defined in the introduction. This inclusion is easily shown to be an equality when G is finite (see [11, p. 954]), but the author does not know whether it remains true when G is infinite.

Now, it is known and easily verified that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Hol}(G) = \lambda(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) = \rho(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G).$$

Since N is a subgroup of $\text{Hol}(G)$ and is isomorphic to G , by projecting onto the two components in the latter semidirect product decomposition, we may view N as the image of an injective homomorphism of the form

$$\beta : G \longrightarrow \text{Hol}(G); \quad \beta(\sigma) = \rho(g(\sigma))f(\sigma),$$

where $f \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G))$ and $g \in \text{Map}(G, G)$. Thus, we have

$$N = \{\rho(g(\sigma))f(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that β being a homomorphism implies that

$$(2.2) \quad g(\sigma\tau) = g(\sigma)f(\sigma)(g(\tau)) \text{ for all } \sigma, \tau \in G,$$

and N being a regular subgroup implies that g is a bijection (a proof may be found in [10, Proposition 2.1]). Note that clearly $g(1) = 1$.

Let $\text{Inn}(G)$ denote the inner automorphism group of G , and write

$$\text{conj} : G \longrightarrow \text{Inn}(G); \quad \text{conj}(\sigma) = (x \mapsto \sigma x \sigma^{-1})$$

for the natural homomorphism. We define $h \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G))$ by setting

$$(2.3) \quad h(\sigma) = \text{conj}(g(\sigma))f(\sigma).$$

A simple calculation using (2.2) shows that h is indeed a homomorphism (a proof may be found in [11, Proposition 3.4]). We note that then

$$N = \{\lambda(g(\sigma)^{-1})h(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\},$$

which corresponds to the first semidirect decomposition in (2.1). Also

$$(2.4) \quad g(\sigma\tau) = h(\sigma)(g(\tau))g(\sigma) \text{ for all } \sigma, \tau \in G,$$

which follows immediately from (2.2).

That N is a normal subgroup of $\text{Hol}(G)$ yields the following strong conditions on the subgroups $f(G)$ and $h(G)$: they are not only normal subgroups of $\text{Aut}(G)$ but are in some sense “simultaneously normal”.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\sigma \in G$, we have*

$$\varphi f(\sigma) \varphi^{-1} = f(\sigma_\varphi) \text{ and } \varphi h(\sigma) \varphi^{-1} = h(\sigma_\varphi)$$

for the unique $\sigma_\varphi \in G$ such that $\varphi(g(\sigma)) = g(\sigma_\varphi)$.

Proof. Simply observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \cdot \rho(g(\sigma))f(\sigma) \cdot \varphi^{-1} &= \rho(g(\sigma_\varphi)) \cdot \varphi f(\sigma) \varphi^{-1}, \\ \varphi \cdot \lambda(g(\sigma)^{-1})h(\sigma) \cdot \varphi^{-1} &= \lambda(g(\sigma_\varphi)^{-1}) \cdot \varphi h(\sigma) \varphi^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

That N is normal in $\text{Hol}(G)$ implies that these are elements of N , which is equivalent to the two equalities stated in the lemma since g is bijective. \square

Let us also explain how the two permutations π and g are related. Write

$$\iota : G \longrightarrow G; \quad \iota(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$$

for the inversion map. We have $\iota \in \text{NHol}(G)$ and $\rho(G) = \iota\lambda(G)\iota^{-1}$. Its class in $T(G)$ is trivial when G is abelian and has order 2 when G is non-abelian.

Lemma 2.2. *Put $g_0 = \iota \circ g$. Then $N = g_0\lambda(G)g_0^{-1}$ and we have*

$$\pi \equiv g_0 \pmod{\text{Hol}(G)}.$$

In particular, by (2.2) and (2.4), this implies that

$$\pi \equiv \begin{cases} \iota \pmod{\text{Hol}(G)} & \text{if } f(G) = 1 \text{ (so } g_0 \text{ is an anti-homomorphism),} \\ 1 \pmod{\text{Hol}(G)} & \text{if } h(G) = 1 \text{ (so } g_0 \text{ is a homomorphism).} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For any $\sigma, x \in G$, from the relation (2.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (g_0\lambda(\sigma)g_0^{-1})(g(x)^{-1}) &= g(\sigma x)^{-1} \\ &= (g(\sigma)f(\sigma)(g(x)))^{-1} \\ &= f(\sigma)(g(x)^{-1})g(\sigma)^{-1} \\ &= (\rho(g(\sigma))f(\sigma))(g(x)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since g is bijective and this holds for all $x \in G$, this implies that

$$g_0\lambda(\sigma)g_0^{-1} = \rho(g(\sigma))f(\sigma).$$

Since $\sigma \in G$ is arbitrary, this yields the first claim. The second claim follows as well because $N = \pi\lambda(G)\pi^{-1}$ and $\text{Norm}(N) = \text{Hol}(G)$. \square

3. OUR MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we shall assume that G is centerless. Let $\pi \in \text{NHol}(G)$ and let $f, h \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G))$, $g \in \text{Perm}(G)$ be defined as in Section 2.

Before we state our theorem, let us just explain why the approach to study π via the triplet (f, h, g) works particularly well in this case. Since g is not a homomorphism unless f is trivial, it could be difficult to understand. But now we have the assumption that G is centerless. First, the map conj is then an isomorphism, so by (2.3) we can pass to the pair (f, h) of homomorphisms

without losing any information. Second, any subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ containing $\text{Inn}(G)$ must then also be centerless, because

$$\varphi \text{conj}(\sigma) \varphi^{-1} \text{conj}(\sigma)^{-1} = \text{conj}(\varphi(\sigma) \sigma^{-1}) \text{ for all } \varphi \in \text{Aut}(G), \sigma \in G.$$

With these two simple yet useful facts, we are able to show that (f, h, g) has to satisfy some fairly strong conditions, thus reducing the possibilities for π significantly. The list is long, but for the convenience of the interested reader who might want to apply our theorem to make further progress on Conjecture 1.1, we included as much information as possible. We remark that the most crucial conditions which make the proof work are (2) and (3).

Theorem 3.1. *In the above notation:*

A. *All of the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) $\ker(f)$ and $\ker(h)$ intersect trivially.
- (2) $[G, G]$ is contained in $\ker(f) \ker(h)$.
- (3) $f(G)$ and $h(G)$ commute elementwise.
- (4) $\text{Inn}(G)$ is contained in $f(G)h(G)$.
- (5) $f(G)$ and $h(G)$ intersect trivially.
- (6) $f(G), h(G)$ are centerless normal subgroups of $\text{Aut}(G)$.
- (7) $f(G) \cap \text{Inn}(G)$ is equal to $f(\ker(f) \ker(h))$.
- (8) $h(G) \cap \text{Inn}(G)$ is equal to $h(\ker(f) \ker(h))$.
- (9) $\{f(\sigma)h(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\}$ is normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ isomorphic to G .
- (10) $g(\ker(f))$ is a characteristic subgroup of G isomorphic to $\ker(f)$.
- (11) $g(\ker(h))$ is a characteristic subgroup of G isomorphic to $\ker(h)$.
- (12) g induces a surjective homomorphism from G to $G/g(\ker(h))$.
- (13) g induces a surjective anti-homomorphism from G to $G/g(\ker(f))$.
- (14) $G/\ker(h)$ isomorphic to $G/g(\ker(h))$.
- (15) $G/\ker(f)$ is isomorphic to $G/g(\ker(f))$.
- (16) $G/\ker(f) \ker(h)$ is isomorphic to $G/g(\ker(f))g(\ker(h))$.

B. *We have $\pi^2 \in \text{Hol}(G)$ if and only if both (a) and (b) below are satisfied.*

- (a) g induces an anti-homomorphism from G to $G/\ker(f)$.
- (b) g induces a homomorphism from G to $G/\ker(h)$.

In particular, by (12), (13), both (a) and (b) are satisfied if (c) below holds.

(c) $g(\ker(f)) \subset \ker(f)$ and $g(\ker(h)) \subset \ker(h)$.

C. The following conditions are equivalent and they imply (c) above.

(d) $\{f(\sigma)h(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\}$ coincides with $\text{Inn}(G)$.

(e) $G/\ker(f)\ker(h)$ is elementary 2-abelian.

3.1. Proof of A. Write $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ for any x, y in a given group.

Proof of (1). For any $\sigma \in \ker(f) \cap \ker(h)$, from (2.3) we have $\text{conj}(g(\sigma)) = 1$. But conj is an isomorphism and g is bijective, whence $\sigma = 1$. \square

Proof of (2), (3). Let $\sigma, \tau \in G$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$f(\sigma)f(\tau)f(\sigma)^{-1} = f(\tau_\sigma)$$

$$f(\sigma)h(\tau)f(\sigma)^{-1} = h(\tau_\sigma)$$

for some $\tau_\sigma \in G$, and similarly

$$h(\tau)f(\sigma)h(\tau)^{-1} = f(\sigma_\tau)$$

$$h(\tau)h(\sigma)h(\tau)^{-1} = h(\sigma_\tau)$$

for some $\sigma_\tau \in G$. From the first and last equalities, we deduce that

$$\tau_\sigma = \zeta_f \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \text{ for some } \zeta_f \in \ker(f),$$

$$\sigma_\tau = \zeta_h \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \text{ for some } \zeta_h \in \ker(h).$$

Plugging them into the middle two equalities then yields

$$f(\sigma)h(\tau)f(\sigma)^{-1} = h(\zeta_f \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}), \text{ whence } [f(\sigma), h(\tau)] = h(\zeta_f [\sigma, \tau]),$$

$$h(\tau)f(\sigma)h(\tau)^{-1} = f(\zeta_h \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}), \text{ whence } [h(\tau), f(\sigma)] = f(\zeta_h [\tau, \sigma]).$$

They in turn imply that

$$h(\zeta_f [\sigma, \tau]) = f(\zeta_h [\tau, \sigma])^{-1} \text{ and so } h(\zeta_f [\sigma, \tau] \zeta_h^{-1}) = f(\zeta_f [\sigma, \tau] \zeta_h^{-1}).$$

But from (2.3) this means that

$$\text{conj}(g(\zeta_f [\sigma, \tau] \zeta_h^{-1})) = 1.$$

Since conj is an isomorphism and g is bijective, it follows that

$$\zeta_f [\sigma, \tau] \zeta_h^{-1} = 1, \text{ or equivalently } [\sigma, \tau] = \zeta_f^{-1} \zeta_h,$$

which proves (2). It also implies that

$$[f(\sigma), h(\tau)] = h(\zeta_f[\sigma, \tau]) = h(\zeta_f \zeta_f^{-1} \zeta_h) = 1,$$

which proves (3). \square

Proof of (4), (5), (6). Since g is bijective, it is clear from (2.3) that (4) holds. It then follows that $f(G)h(G)$ is centerless. Since $f(G) \cap h(G)$ has to lie in the center of $f(G)h(G)$ by (3), it must be trivial and this yields (5). It also implies that $f(G)h(G) = f(G) \times h(G)$, which is centerless and so we deduce that $f(G), h(G)$ are both centerless. That $f(G), h(G)$ are normal in $\text{Aut}(G)$ is already known by Lemma 2.1, whence (6) holds. \square

Proof of (7), (8). Let $\sigma \in G$. By (2.3), that $f(\sigma) \in \text{Inn}(G)$ and $h(\sigma) \in \text{Inn}(G)$ are equivalent. Moreover, in this case

$$f(\sigma) = \text{conj}(g(\tau)) \text{ for some } \tau \in G$$

since g is bijective. But from (2.3), this means that

$$f(\sigma) = h(\tau)f(\tau)^{-1} \text{ and so } f(\sigma\tau) = h(\tau).$$

By (5), this in turn implies that

$$\sigma\tau \in \ker(f), \tau \in \ker(h), \text{ whence } \sigma = (\sigma\tau)\tau^{-1} \in \ker(f)\ker(h).$$

We then obtain the inclusions

$$f(G) \cap \text{Inn}(G) \subset f(\ker(f)\ker(h))$$

$$h(G) \cap \text{Inn}(G) \subset h(\ker(f)\ker(h)).$$

The reverse inclusions are obvious from (2.3), whence (7),(8) hold. \square

Proof of (9). Consider the map

$$\Phi : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G); \quad \Phi(\sigma) = f(\sigma)h(\sigma),$$

which is a homomorphism by (3). Its image, which is the subset in question, is then a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ and is normal by Lemma 2.1. Its kernel lies in $\ker(f) \cap \ker(h)$ by (5), and so is trivial by (1). It follows that $G \simeq \Phi(G)$ and we obtain (9). \square

Proof of (10), (11). By (2.2) and (2.4), we see that when restricted to $\ker(f)$ and $\ker(h)$, respectively, the map g becomes a homomorphism and an anti-homomorphism. Since g is a bijection, it follows that $g(\ker(f))$ and $g(\ker(h))$ are subgroups of G , which are isomorphic to $\ker(f)$ and $\ker(h)$, respectively.

Next, consider $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. For any $\zeta \in G$, by Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\varphi f(\zeta) \varphi^{-1} = f(\zeta_\varphi) \text{ and } \varphi h(\zeta) \varphi^{-1} = h(\zeta_\varphi)$$

for the unique $\zeta_\varphi \in G$ such that $\varphi(g(\zeta)) = g(\zeta_\varphi)$. If $\zeta \in \ker(f)$, then the first equality yields $\zeta_\varphi \in \ker(f)$, whence $\varphi(g(\zeta)) \in g(\ker(f))$. If $\zeta \in \ker(h)$, then the second equality gives $\zeta_\varphi \in \ker(h)$, so similarly $\varphi(g(\zeta)) \in g(\ker(h))$. This shows that $g(\ker(f))$ and $g(\ker(h))$ are characteristic subgroups of G , thus proving (10) and (11). \square

Proof of (12), (13). Let $\sigma, \tau \in G$. First, we know by (3) that $[f(\sigma), h(\tau)] = 1$ and $[h(\sigma), f(\tau)] = 1$. Using (2.3), we may express these conditions as

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma) \text{conj}(g(\tau)) f(\tau) f(\sigma)^{-1} f(\tau)^{-1} \text{conj}(g(\tau))^{-1} &= 1 \\ h(\sigma) \text{conj}(g(\tau))^{-1} h(\tau) h(\sigma)^{-1} h(\tau)^{-1} \text{conj}(g(\tau)) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let us rearrange these equalities and write them as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{conj}(f(\sigma)(g(\tau))^{-1} g(\tau)) &= f([\sigma, \tau]), \\ \text{conj}(h(\sigma)(g(\tau)) g(\tau)^{-1}) &= h([\sigma, \tau]). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we know from (2) that

$$[\sigma, \tau] = \zeta_f \zeta_h \text{ for some } \zeta_f \in \ker(f), \zeta_h \in \ker(h).$$

Using (2.3) again, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{conj}(f(\sigma)(g(\tau))^{-1} g(\tau)) &= f(\zeta_f \zeta_h) = f(\zeta_h) = \text{conj}(g(\zeta_h)^{-1}), \\ \text{conj}(h(\sigma)(g(\tau)) g(\tau)^{-1}) &= h(\zeta_h \zeta_f) = h(\zeta_f) = \text{conj}(g(\zeta_f)). \end{aligned}$$

Since conj is an isomorphism, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma)(g(\tau)) &\equiv g(\tau) \pmod{g(\ker(h))}, \\ h(\sigma)(g(\tau)) &\equiv g(\tau) \pmod{g(\ker(f))}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2) and (2.4), we then deduce that g induces a homomorphism from G to $G/g(\ker(h))$, and an anti-homomorphism from G to $G/g(\ker(f))$. Their surjectivity is clear because g is bijective. This proves (12),(13). \square

Proof of (14), (15), (16). By (12),(13), we know that

$$g_h : G \longrightarrow G/g(\ker(h)); \quad g_h(\sigma) = g(\sigma)g(\ker(h))$$

and similarly

$$g'_f : G \longrightarrow G/g(\ker(f)); \quad g'_f(\sigma) = g(\sigma)^{-1}g(\ker(f))$$

are surjective homomorphisms. Since g is bijective, clearly

$$\ker(g_h) = \ker(h) \text{ and } \ker(g'_f) = \ker(f),$$

whence (14),(15) follow. Now g_h further induces a homomorphism

$$g_{fh} : G \longrightarrow G/g(\ker(f))g(\ker(h)); \quad g_{fh}(\sigma) = g(\sigma)g(\ker(f))g(\ker(h)).$$

Observe that by (2.2), we have

$$g(\zeta_f)g(\zeta_h) = g(\zeta_f\zeta_h) \text{ for all } \zeta_f \in \ker(f), \zeta_h \in \ker(h).$$

This means that we have the equality

$$g(\ker(f))g(\ker(h)) = g(\ker(f) \ker(h)).$$

Since g is bijective, we see that

$$\ker(g_{fh}) = \ker(f) \ker(h),$$

from which (16) follows. \square

3.2. Proof of B. Put $g_0 = \iota \circ g$ as in Lemma 2.2. Then, we have

$$\pi^2 \in \text{Hol}(G) \iff g_0^2 \in \text{Hol}(G) \iff g_0^2 \in \text{Aut}(G),$$

where the second equivalence follows from (2.1) and the fact that $g_0(1) = 1$.

Since g_0 is bijective, the last condition only says that g_0^2 is a homomorphism.

For any $\sigma, \tau \in G$, observe that by (2.3), we have

$$\text{conj}(g_0^2(\sigma)) = \text{conj}(g(g_0(\sigma)))^{-1} = f(g_0(\sigma))h(g_0(\sigma))^{-1},$$

$$\text{conj}(g_0^2(\tau)) = \text{conj}(g(g_0(\tau)))^{-1} = f(g_0(\tau))h(g_0(\tau))^{-1}.$$

Since $f(G)$ and $h(G)$ commute elementwise by (3), we obtain

$$\text{conj}(g_0^2(\sigma)g_0^2(\tau)) = f(g_0(\sigma)g_0(\tau))h(g_0(\tau)g_0(\sigma))^{-1}.$$

But again by (2.3), we have

$$\text{conj}(g_0^2(\sigma\tau)) = \text{conj}(g(g_0(\sigma\tau)))^{-1} = f(g_0(\sigma\tau))h(g_0(\sigma\tau))^{-1}.$$

Since conj is an isomorphism and $f(G) \cap h(G)$ is trivial by (5), by comparing the above expressions we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^2(\sigma\tau) = g_0^2(\sigma)g_0^2(\tau) &\iff \begin{cases} g_0(\sigma\tau) \equiv g_0(\sigma)g_0(\tau) \pmod{\ker(f)}, \\ g_0(\sigma\tau) \equiv g_0(\tau)g_0(\sigma) \pmod{\ker(h)}, \end{cases} \\ &\iff \begin{cases} g(\sigma\tau) \equiv g(\tau)g(\sigma) \pmod{\ker(f)}, \\ g(\sigma\tau) \equiv g(\sigma)g(\tau) \pmod{\ker(h)}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

These are exactly the conditions (a) and (b), respectively.

3.3. Proof of C. Observe that by (2.3) and (7), for any $\sigma \in G$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad f(\sigma)h(\sigma) \in \text{Inn}(G) \iff f(\sigma)^2 \in \text{Inn}(G) \iff \sigma^2 \in \ker(f)\ker(h).$$

It is then clear that (d) implies (e). Conversely, suppose that (e) holds. That

$$\{f(\sigma)h(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\} \subset \text{Inn}(G)$$

follows immediately from (3.1). To show the reverse inclusion, note that

$$\text{Inn}(G) = \{h(\sigma)f(\sigma)^{-1} : \sigma \in G\}$$

by (2.3) and the bijectivity of g . For any $\sigma \in G$, we may write

$$\sigma^2 = \zeta_f \zeta_h \text{ for some } \zeta_f \in \ker(f), \zeta_h \in \ker(h)$$

by the hypothesis (e). Together with (3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\sigma)f(\sigma)^{-1} &= f(\sigma)^{-2}f(\sigma)h(\sigma) \\ &= f(\zeta_f\zeta_h)^{-1}f(\sigma)h(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

$$= f(\zeta_h^{-1}\sigma)h(\zeta_h^{-1}\sigma).$$

We then obtain the inclusion

$$\text{Inn}(G) \subset \{f(\sigma)h(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\}$$

and hence (d) holds. This proves the equivalence of (d) and (e).

Next, suppose that (d) is satisfied and recall from the proof of (9) that

$$\Phi : G \longrightarrow \{f(\sigma)h(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\}; \quad \Phi(\sigma) = f(\sigma)h(\sigma)$$

is an isomorphism. Since $\Phi(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$ by the assumption (d) and conj is also an isomorphism, we may define

$$\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G); \quad \varphi = \Phi^{-1} \circ \text{conj}.$$

For any $\zeta_f \in \ker(f)$ and $\zeta_h \in \ker(h)$, by (2.3) and (3) we know that

$$\text{conj}(g(\zeta_f)) = h(\zeta_f)f(\zeta_f)^{-1} = f(\zeta_f)h(\zeta_f), \text{ whence } \varphi(g(\zeta_f)) = \zeta_f.$$

$$\text{conj}(g(\zeta_h)) = h(\zeta_h)f(\zeta_h)^{-1} = f(\zeta_h^{-1})h(\zeta_h^{-1}), \text{ whence } \varphi(g(\zeta_h)) = \zeta_h^{-1}.$$

This implies that

$$\varphi(g(\ker(f))) = \ker(f) \text{ and } \varphi(g(\ker(h))) = \ker(h).$$

But $g(\ker(f))$ and $g(\ker(h))$ are characteristic subgroups of G by (10),(11), so they are invariant under φ . We then see that condition (c) is satisfied.

4. APPLICATIONS

Although we were unable to prove Conjecture 1.1 in full, our Theorem 3.1 implies that any counterexample G , if exists, must satisfy some fairly strong conditions as stated below. Here two normal subgroups K_1 and K_2 of G are said to be *series-equivalent* if $K_1 \simeq K_2$ and $G/K_1 \simeq G/K_2$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be any non-trivial centerless group.*

(i) *If $T(G)$ is not cyclic of order 2, then there exist non-trivial proper characteristic subgroups K_1, K_2 of G and centerless normal subgroups Q_1, Q_2 of $\text{Aut}(G)$ which satisfy all of the following conditions.*

- $K_1 \cap K_2 = 1$ and $[G, G] \subset K_1K_2$.

- $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = 1$, $\text{Inn}(G) \subset Q_1 Q_2$, $Q_1 \text{Inn}(G) = Q_2 \text{Inn}(G)$.
 - $G/K_1 \simeq Q_1$ and $G/K_2 \simeq Q_2$.
- (ii) If $T(G)$ is not elementary 2-abelian, then the K_1, K_2, Q_1, Q_2 in (i) may be chosen to satisfy all of the following conditions.
- $G/K_1 K_2$ is not elementary 2-abelian.
 - K_1 is series equivalent to a normal subgroup K of G with $K_1 \not\subset K$.
 - $Q_1 Q_2$ contains a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ which is isomorphic to G but not equal to $\text{Inn}(G)$.
 - Q_1, Q_2 are neither contained in $\text{Inn}(G)$.

Proof. Let $\pi \in \text{NHol}(G)$ and let (f, h, g) be defined as in Section 2.

First, note that by Theorem 3.1, if $\ker(f) = 1$, then $[G, G] \subset \ker(h)$ and

$$G/\ker(h) \simeq h(G)$$

is both abelian and centerless, thus $\ker(h) = G$ and $\pi \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{Hol}(G)}$ by Lemma 2.2. Similarly, if $\ker(h) = 1$, then $[G, G] \subset \ker(f)$ and

$$G/\ker(f) \simeq f(G)$$

is both abelian and centerless, thus $\ker(f) = G$ and $\pi \equiv \iota \pmod{\text{Hol}(G)}$ by Lemma 2.2. Note that $\iota \text{Hol}(G) \neq 1$ in $T(G)$ since G is non-abelian.

Now, suppose that $T(G)$ has more than just the two elements $\text{Hol}(G)$ and $\iota \text{Hol}(G)$. Then, we may take $\pi \in \text{NHol}(G)$ to be such that $\ker(f)$ and $\ker(h)$ are both non-trivial proper subgroups of G . Since g is bijective, we see that $g(\ker(f))$ and $g(\ker(h))$ are also non-trivial proper. By taking

$$(K_1, K_2, Q_1, Q_2) = (g(\ker(f)), g(\ker(h)), f(G), h(G))$$

$$\text{or } (g(\ker(h)), g(\ker(f)), h(G), f(G)),$$

we deduce that (i) holds. That $Q_1 \text{Inn}(G) = Q_2 \text{Inn}(G)$ follows from (2.3), and the other required conditions are immediate from Theorem 3.1. In particular $[G, G] \subset K_1 K_2$ holds by (2) and (16) of Theorem 3.1.

Next, suppose that the class of π in $T(G)$ does not have order dividing 2, namely $\pi^2 \notin \text{Hol}(G)$. Then, by Theorem 3.1 and our choice of K_1, K_2 above,

we know that G/K_1K_2 is not elementary 2-abelian and one of the inclusions

$$g(\ker(f)) \subset \ker(f) \text{ and } g(\ker(h)) \subset \ker(h)$$

has to fail. We may then take

$$(K_1, K) = \begin{cases} (g(\ker(f)), \ker(f)) & \text{if } g(\ker(f)) \not\subset \ker(f), \\ (g(\ker(h)), \ker(h)) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so that $K_1 \not\subset K$ but K_1, K are series-equivalent. Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 and our choice of Q_1, Q_2 above, we know that

$$\{f(\sigma)h(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\}$$

is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ isomorphic to G contained in Q_1Q_2 but not equal to $\text{Inn}(G)$. Finally, if either of Q_1, Q_2 were to be contained in $\text{Inn}(G)$, then (7),(8),(16) of Theorem 3.1 would imply that

$$G = \ker(f) \ker(h) \text{ and so } G/K_1K_2 \simeq G/\ker(f) \ker(h)$$

is trivial, which is a contradiction. This proves (ii). \square

We shall end by applying Theorem 4.1 to prove that Conjecture 1.1 holds for certain families of centerless groups G .

A group G is said to be *almost simple* if $A \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(A)$ for some non-abelian simple group A , where A is being identified with $\text{Inn}(A)$. Since A is centerless, so is G . It is known that A is the socle of G , so every non-trivial normal subgroup of G contains A , and that $\text{Aut}(G)$ is also an almost simple group with socle isomorphic to A (proofs may be found in [11, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3], for example).

Theorem 4.2. *An almost simple group G has cyclic $T(G)$ of order 2.*

Proof. Since $\text{Aut}(G)$ is also almost simple, one of the Q_1, Q_2 in Theorem 4.1 must be trivial, for otherwise $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ would contain the socle of $\text{Aut}(G)$. It implies that the K_1, K_2 in Theorem 4.1 cannot be both proper. \square

A group G is said to be *perfect* if $G = [G, G]$.

Theorem 4.3. *A centerless perfect group G has elementary 2-abelian $T(G)$.*

Proof. Since G is perfect, the K_1, K_2 in Theorem 4.1 must satisfy $G = K_1K_2$, and so certainly G/K_1K_2 is always elementary 2-abelian. \square

A group is said to be *complete* if G is centerless and $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$.

Theorem 4.4. *A complete group G has elementary 2-abelian $T(G)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$, trivially the Q_1, Q_2 in Theorem 4.1 are always contained in $\text{Inn}(G)$. \square

Finally, we consider finite centerless groups whose orders are *small*. Using our Theorem 4.1 and by running the code in the Appendix in MAGMA [2], we verified the following. The author thanks Dr. Qin Chao (Chris King) for running the code for her on his computer.

Theorem 4.5. *A centerless group G whose order is at most 2000, except possibly order 1536 and the groups G having SMALLGROUP ID equal to (605, 5) and (1210, 11), has elementary 2-abelian $T(G)$.*

We had to exclude the order 1536 because there are over 4×10^8 groups of order 1536 and the code just takes too long to run. Although many of these groups are not centerless, our code still needs to go through each group and test whether it is centerless. We were also unable to rule out the groups

$$\text{SMALLGROUP}(605, 5), \text{ SMALLGROUP}(1210, 11)$$

using only the conditions in Theorem 4.1. What is going on with these two groups is that, in the notation of Theorem 3.1, when f, h are non-trivial their kernels $\ker(f), \ker(h)$ are actually series equivalent. One would need to rule out the possibility that

$$g(\ker(f)) = \ker(h), \quad g(\ker(h)) = \ker(f)$$

in order to deduce that the class of the corresponding $\pi \in \text{NHol}(G)$ in $T(G)$ has order 2. The conditions in Theorem 3.1 are not stronger enough and one would need to understand the structure of the groups more.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. D. Blyth and F. Fumagalli, *On the holomorph of finite semisimple groups*, J. Group Theory 24 (2021), 587–599.

- [2] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput., 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [3] A. Caranti and F. Dalla Volta, *The multiple holomorph of a finitely generated abelian group*, J. Algebra 481 (2017), 327–347.
- [4] A. Caranti and F. Dalla Volta, *Groups that have the same holomorph as a finite perfect group*, J. Algebra 507 (2018), 81–102.
- [5] A. Caranti, *Multiple holomorphs of finite p -groups of class two*, J. Algebra 516 (2018), 352–372.
- [6] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.0; 2018.
- [7] T. Kohl, *Multiple holomorphs of dihedral and quaternionic groups*, Comm. Algebra 43 (2015), no. 10, 4290–4304.
- [8] W. H. Mills, *Multiple holomorphs of finitely generated abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), 379–392.
- [9] G. A. Miller, *On the multiple holomorphs of a group*, Math. Ann. 66 (1908), no. 1, 133–142.
- [10] C. Tsang, *Non-existence of Hopf-Galois structures and bijective crossed homomorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 223 (2019), no. 7, 2804–2821.
- [11] C. Tsang, *On the multiple holomorph of a finite almost simple group*, New York J. Math. 25 (2019), 949–963.
- [12] C. Tsang, *On the multiple holomorph of groups of squarefree or odd prime power order*, J. Algebra 544 (2020), 1–25.
- [13] C. Tsang, *The multiple holomorph of a semidirect product of groups having coprime exponents*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 115 (2020), no. 1, 13–21.
- [14] C. Tsang, *The multiple holomorph of split metacyclic p -groups*, preprint. arXiv:2004.07084.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY, TOKYO, JAPAN

Email address: `tsang.sin.yi@ocha.ac.jp`

URL: `http://sites.google.com/site/cindysinyitsang/`

```

IsCenterless:=function(X)
return #Center(X) eq 1;
end function;

```

```

IsSeriesEquivalent:=function(G,X,Y)
return IsIsomorphic(X,Y) and IsIsomorphic(G/X,G/Y);
end function;

```

```

TestOrders:=[n:n in [2..2000]|not n eq 1536 and not IsPrimePower(n)];
//Groups of prime power order have non-trivial center and so might be ignored.
for n in TestOrders do
  for i in [1..NumberOfSmallGroups(n)] do
    G:=SmallGroup(n,i);
    if IsCenterless(G) then
      KSet:=[K'subgroup:K in NormalSubgroups(G) |
            not K'order in [1,n] and IsCenterless(G/K'subgroup)];
      //Possibilities for  $K$ .
      AutG:=AutomorphismGroup(G);
      OutG:=[a:a in Generators(AutG)|not IsInner(a)];

```

```

KSetChar:=[K:K in KSet|forall{a:a in OutG|a(K) eq K}];
//Possibilities for  $K_1, K_2$ .
Comm:=CommutatorSubgroup(G);
K12Set:=[<K1,K2>:K1,K2 in KSetChar|
        #(K1 meet K2) eq 1
        and Comm subset sub<G|K1,K2>
        and not Exponent(G/sub<G|K1,K2>) le 2
        and exists{K:K in KSet|IsSeriesEquivalent(G,K,K1) and not K eq K1}];
//Possibilities for  $(K_1, K_2)$ .
QOrd:={n/#K[1]:K in K12Set} join {n/#K[2]:K in K12Set};
//Possible orders for  $Q_1 \simeq G/K_1$  and  $Q_2 \simeq G/K_2$ .
Aut:=PermutationGroup(AutG);
QSet:=[Q'subgroup:Q in NormalSubgroups(Aut:OrderDividing:=n)|
        Q'order in QOrd and IsCenterless(Q'subgroup)];
//Possibilities for  $Q_1, Q_2$ .
IsoToG:=[N'subgroup:N in NormalSubgroups(Aut:OrderEqual:=n)|IsIsomorphic(G,N'subgroup)];
Q12Set:=[<Q1,Q2>:Q1,Q2 in QSet|
        #(Q1 meet Q2) eq 1
        and #[N:N in IsoToG|N subset sub<Aut|Q1,Q2>] ge 2];
//Possibilities for  $(Q_1, Q_2)$ .
if exists{<K[1],K[2],Q[1],Q[2]>:K in K12Set,Q in Q12Set|

```

```
                IsIsomorphic(G/K[1],Q[1]) and IsIsomorphic(G/K[2],Q[2]))} then
    <n,i>; //SMALLGROUP ID of the groups  $G$  that did not pass the test.
    end if;
end if;
end for;
end for;
```

Output:

<605,5>

<1210,11>