

# Tianshou: A Highly Modularized Deep Reinforcement Learning Library

Jiayi Weng<sup>†\*</sup>

Huayu Chen<sup>†\*</sup>

Dong Yan<sup>†</sup>

Kaichao You<sup>§</sup>

Alexis Duburcq<sup>¶</sup>

Minghao Zhang<sup>§</sup>

Hang Su<sup>††</sup>

Jun Zhu<sup>††</sup>

TRINKLE23897@GMAIL.COM

CHENHUAY21@MAILS.TSINGHUA.EDU.CN

SPROBLVEM@GMAIL.COM

YOUKAICHAO@GMAIL.COM

ALEXIS.DUBURCQ@GMAIL.COM

MEHOOZHANG@GMAIL.COM

SUHANGSS@TSINGHUA.EDU.CN

DCSZJ@TSINGHUA.EDU.CN

<sup>†</sup>*Dept. of Comp. Sci. & Tech., BNRist Center, Institute for AI, Tsinghua-Bosch Joint ML Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084 China*

<sup>§</sup>*School of Software, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084 China*

<sup>¶</sup>*Wandercraft, Paris, France*

## Abstract

In this paper, we present *Tianshou*, a highly modularized python library for deep reinforcement learning (DRL) that uses PyTorch as its backend. Tianshou provides a flexible, reliable, yet simple implementation of a modular DRL library, and has supported more than 20 classic algorithms succinctly through a unified interface. To facilitate related research and prove Tianshou’s reliability, we have released Tianshou’s benchmark on MuJoCo environments, covering eight classic algorithms with the state-of-the-art performance. We open-sourced Tianshou at <https://github.com/thu-ml/tianshou/>, which has received over 3.7k stars and become one of the most popular PyTorch-based DRL libraries.

**Keywords:** Deep Reinforcement Learning, Library, PyTorch, Modularized, Benchmark

## 1. Introduction

Recent advances in deep reinforcement learning (DRL) have ignited enthusiasm for this field from both academia and industry. By conjoining deep neural networks and reinforcement learning, DRL has made significant progress in various tasks (Mnih et al., 2015; Silver et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2016). This is accompanied by the flourish of a multitude of newly-emerged DRL algorithms, together with numerous libraries that try to provide reference implementations with the representative ones including *OpenAI Baselines* (Dhariwal et al., 2017), *Stable-Baselines* (Raffin et al., 2019), *RLlib* (Liang et al., 2018), *Rlpyt* (Stooke and Abbeel, 2019) and *ChainerRL* (Fujita et al., 2021).

Most of the present libraries are academically successful, but are not convenient enough for fast prototyping in practice. *OpenAI Baselines* pioneered the open source of classical DRL algorithms, but recently lacks documentation and active maintenance. *RLlib* has put many efforts on the support of distributed training, but as a price, has a complex structure and a slightly redundant code-base. *ChainerRL* supports quite a number of DRL algorithms

\*. J. Weng and H. Chen contributed equally to this article.

†. H. Su and J. Zhu are corresponding authors.

and techniques, yet it relies on a deep learning framework that is less popular. Moreover, many libraries do not have adequate unit tests, code comments, or type hints, and there is still room for improvement in terms of flexibility and modularity.

To address these issues, we present Tianshou, a highly modularized python library for deep reinforcement learning based on PyTorch. Tianshou distinguishes itself from existing DRL libraries by highlighting the following characteristics:

- **Highly modularized** Tianshou aims to provide building blocks rather than training scripts. It will focus on designing flexible structures that can be easily customized (Figure 1). In Tianshou, users only need to change a few variables to apply the frequently used techniques in DRL (e.g., parallel data sampling) or switch to a new algorithm.
- **Reliable** Tianshou has a code coverage of 95%. Every commit to Tianshou will go through unit tests for multiple platforms. We have also released a systematic benchmark of Gym’s MuJoCo environment (Brockman et al., 2016; Todorov et al., 2012). Tianshou has produced comparable or even better results than the state-of-the-art benchmarks for most algorithms by incorporating a comprehensive set of DRL techniques.
- **Comprehensive** Besides the comprehensive model-free algorithms, Tianshou also supports Multi-agent RL, Model-based RL, and Offline Learning. Moreover, Tianshou formulates the multiple data collecting (e.g., both synchronous and asynchronous environment execution) and agent training paradigms in DRL through a unified python interface. Lastly, Tianshou has plentiful functionalities that may extend its application (see Section 2.3).

## 2. Architecture of Tianshou

In this section, we will briefly introduce Tianshou’s architecture as illustrated in Figure 1.

### 2.1 Standardization of the Training Process

We have standardized and categorized the training paradigms of mainstream DRL algorithms into three types by considering different mechanisms of experience replay: on-policy training, off-policy training, and offline learning. We use the replay buffer to store the transitions, the collector to collect transition data into the buffer, and use the policy’s `update` function to update the parameter (Figure 2).

### 2.2 Parallel Computing Infrastructure

Following Clemente et al. (2017), we adopt their parallelization technique to balance simulation and inference loads. Note that our contributions to parallel sampling schemes exceed this work by allowing asynchronized sampling as an alternative way to ease the straggler effect instead of only stacking environment instances per process. Figure 2 gives an overview of Tianshou’s parallel computing scheme.

In concurrent research, Stooke and Abbeel (2019) addresses two phases of parallelization in DRL: environment sampling and agent training, while Tianshou focuses on the




Figure 1: Tianshou’s architecture. Tianshou consists of 4 layers: the bottom-level encapsulation layer provides encapsulations of third party libraries such as NumPy, PyTorch, and Gym; the core layer implements many kinds of DRL algorithms; the interaction layer aims to offer user-oriented high-level APIs; and finally, the application layer provides training scripts to demonstrate Tianshou’s usage. We officially implement and support several instances for each building block that share almost the same pythonic APIs.




Figure 2: A high-level depiction of Tianshou’s training process. Trainer controls all the data flows and interactions between Collector and Policy. The collector collects batched transition data from Vector Env and stores into Vector Buffer, while Policy samples batched data from Vector Buffer and uses them to update its parameter.

first one. Our experience is that for medium-scale research, which Tianshou is designed for, parallelization of data sampling can usually fulfill developers’ needs, especially when multiple GPUs can be used to run independent experiments with different random seeds (which is often the case in DRL). We can keep the code as concise as possible by avoiding communication of network parameters’ weights and gradients between different computing nodes.

### 2.3 Utilities

Tianshou aims to relieve users from imperceptible details critical to a desirable performance and, hence, incorporates a comprehensive set of DRL techniques as its infrastructure. Techniques include partial-episode bootstrapping (Pardo et al., 2018), observation/value normalization (van Hasselt et al., 2016), automatic action scaling and GAE (Schulman et al., 2016), etc. Once implemented, such techniques can be easily applied to all DRL algorithms under Tianshou’s infrastructure. A case study is presented in Appendix C.

Besides, Tianshou has plentiful extra functionalities that users might find helpful. For instance, Tianshou has customizable loggers that are tensorboard-compatible and visualization tools. Recurrent state representation, prioritized experience replay, training resumption, and buffer data import/export are also supported.

## 3. Performance

To facilitate related research and prove Tianshou’s reliability, we have released Tianshou’s benchmark of OpenAI Gym MuJoCo task suite (Appendix A). Compared to the already heavily benchmarked Atari domain, finding a published and detailed benchmark for the MuJoCo task suite is relatively harder. Compared with classic literature and popular open-source implementations, Tianshou’s performances are roughly at parity with or better than the best-reported results for most algorithms (Table 3 in Appendix A). We provide raw training data, log details, a pre-trained agent, and hints on why we choose specific key hyperparameters for each algorithm benchmark.

Some libraries (Fujita et al., 2021) devote themselves to faithfully replicating existing papers, while Tianshou aims to present an as-consistent-as-possible set of hyperparameters and low-level designs. While leaving the core algorithm untouched, we try to incorporate several known tricks in a specific algorithm to all similar algorithms supported by Tianshou. Hopefully, this will make comparisons between algorithms more objective.

## 4. Usability

Tianshou is lightweight and easy to install. Users can simply install Tianshou via `pip` or `conda` on different platforms (Windows, macOS, Linux). Tianshou is friendly to beginners. Full API documentation and a series of tutorials are provided at <https://tianshou.readthedocs.io/>. Only a few lines of code are required to start a simple experiment. Tianshou is elegant. It strictly follows the PEP8 code style and attaches great importance to code comments and type annotations. Tianshou always welcomes new contributors. Contributing guidelines and extensive unit tests with GitHub Actions, including code-style, type, and performance checks, help Tianshou maintain code quality.

## 5. Conclusion

This manuscript briefly describes Tianshou, a flexible, reliable, yet simple implementation of a modular DRL library. Tianshou sets up a framework for DRL research by providing a series of elegantly designed building blocks and their instances. We released a detailed MuJoCo benchmark, covering many classic algorithms, demonstrating Tianshou’s reliability.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Haosheng Zou for his pioneering work of TensorFlow-based Tianshou before version 0.1.1. We thank Peng Zhong, Qiang He, Yi Su, Qing Xiao, Qifan Li, Yan Li, and others for their extraordinary contributions to Tianshou.

## References

- Joshua Achiam. Spinning Up in Deep Reinforcement Learning. <https://github.com/openai/spinningup>, 2018.
- Greg Brockman, Vicki Cheung, Ludwig Pettersson, Jonas Schneider, John Schulman, Jie Tang, and Wojciech Zaremba. Openai gym. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01540*, 2016.
- Alfredo V Clemente, Humberto N Castejón, and Arjun Chandra. Efficient parallel methods for deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.04862*, 2017.
- Prafulla Dhariwal, Christopher Hesse, Oleg Klimov, Alex Nichol, Matthias Plappert, Alec Radford, John Schulman, Szymon Sidor, Yuhuai Wu, and Peter Zhokhov. Openai baselines. <https://github.com/openai/baselines>, 2017.
- Yan Duan, Xi Chen, Rein Houthoofd, John Schulman, and Pieter Abbeel. Benchmarking deep reinforcement learning for continuous control. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1329–1338. PMLR, 2016.
- Scott Fujimoto, Herke Hoof, and David Meger. Addressing function approximation error in actor-critic methods. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1587–1596. PMLR, 2018.
- Yasuhiro Fujita, Prabhat Nagarajan, Toshiki Kataoka, and Takahiro Ishikawa. Chainerrl: A deep reinforcement learning library. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(77):1–14, 2021.
- Tuomas Haarnoja, Aurick Zhou, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Soft actor-critic: Off-policy maximum entropy deep reinforcement learning with a stochastic actor. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1861–1870. PMLR, 2018.
- Eric Liang, Richard Liaw, Robert Nishihara, Philipp Moritz, Roy Fox, Ken Goldberg, Joseph Gonzalez, Michael I. Jordan, and Ion Stoica. Rllib: Abstractions for distributed reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 3059–3068, 2018.
- Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Andrei A Rusu, Joel Veness, Marc G Bellemare, Alex Graves, Martin Riedmiller, Andreas K Fidjeland, Georg Ostrovski, et al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540):529–533, 2015.
- Fabio Pardo, Arash Tavakoli, Vitaly Levnik, and Petar Kormushev. Time limits in reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 4045–4054. PMLR, 2018.

- Antonin Raffin, Ashley Hill, Maximilian Ernestus, Adam Gleave, Anssi Kanervisto, and Noah Dormann. Stable baselines3. <https://github.com/DLR-RM/stable-baselines3>, 2019.
- Tom Schaul, John Quan, Ioannis Antonoglou, and David Silver. Prioritized experience replay. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2016.
- John Schulman, Philipp Moritz, Sergey Levine, Michael I. Jordan, and Pieter Abbeel. High-dimensional continuous control using generalized advantage estimation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2016.
- John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal policy optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347*, 2017.
- David Silver, Julian Schrittwieser, Karen Simonyan, Ioannis Antonoglou, Aja Huang, Arthur Guez, Thomas Hubert, Lucas Baker, Matthew Lai, Adrian Bolton, et al. Mastering the game of go without human knowledge. *Nature*, 550(7676):354–359, 2017.
- Adam Stooke and Pieter Abbeel. rlpyt: A research code base for deep reinforcement learning in pytorch. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.01500*, 2019.
- Richard S Sutton and Andrew G Barto. *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*. MIT press, 2018.
- Emanuel Todorov, Tom Erez, and Yuval Tassa. Mujoco: A physics engine for model-based control. In *International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 5026–5033. IEEE, 2012.
- Hado P van Hasselt, Arthur Guez, Matteo Hessel, Volodymyr Mnih, and David Silver. Learning values across many orders of magnitude. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 29:4287–4295, 2016.
- Yuhuai Wu, Elman Mansimov, Roger B Grosse, Shun Liao, and Jimmy Ba. Scalable trust-region method for deep reinforcement learning using kronecker-factored approximation. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30:5279–5288, 2017.

## Appendix A. MuJoCo Benchmark of Tianshou

This appendix presents further details of Tianshou’s MuJoCo Benchmark, including a brief runtime analysis, quantitative results, sampled plot figures, and a comparison with other published benchmarks. All results are obtained using a single Nvidia TITAN X GPU and up to 48 CPU cores (at most one CPU core for each thread). Other resources can be found at <https://github.com/thu-ml/tianshou/tree/master/examples/mujoco>.

Algorithm	# of Parallel Envs	Total (1M timesteps)	Collecting (%)	Updating (%)	Evaluating (%)	Others (%)
DDPG	1	2.9h	12.0	80.2	2.4	5.4
TD3	1	3.3h	11.4	81.7	1.7	5.2
SAC	1	5.2h	10.9	83.8	1.8	3.5
REINFORCE	64	4min	84.9	1.8	12.5	0.8
A2C	16	7min	62.5	28.0	6.6	2.9
PPO	64	24min	11.4	85.3	3.2	0.2
NPG	16	7min	65.1	24.9	9.5	0.6
TRPO	16	7min	62.9	26.5	10.1	0.6

Table 1: Runtime averaged on 8 benchmarked MuJoCo tasks.

Task	Ant-v3	HalfCheetah-v3	Hopper-v3	Walker2d-v3	Humanoid-v2
DDPG (1M)	990.4 ± 4.3	11718.7 ± 465.6	2197.0 ± 971.6	1400.6 ± 905.0	177.3 ± 77.6
TD3 (1M)	5116.4 ± 799.9	10201.2 ± 772.8	3472.2 ± 116.8	3982.4 ± 274.5	5189.5 ± 178.5
SAC (1M)	5850.2 ± 475.7	12138.8 ± 1049.3	3542.2 ± 51.5	5007.0 ± 251.5	5488.5 ± 81.2
REINFORCE (10M)	1108.1 ± 323.1	1138.8 ± 104.7	416.0 ± 104.7	440.9 ± 148.2	464.3 ± 58.4
A2C (3M)	5236.82 ± 36.7	2377.3 ± 1363.7	1608.6 ± 529.5	1805.4 ± 1055.9	5316.6 ± 554.8
PPO (3M)	4079.3 ± 880.2	7337.4 ± 1508.2	3127.7 ± 413.0	4895.6 ± 704.3	1359.7 ± 572.7
NPG (3M)	4820.6 ± 318.6	5198.7 ± 430.0	2611.1 ± 554.5	4459.5 ± 803.6	4391.9 ± 1245.5
TRPO (3M)	4854.4 ± 421.3	5792.1 ± 656.0	2626.67 ± 778.9	4787.1 ± 609.2	5154.4 ± 594.1

Task	Swimmer-v3	Reacher-v3	InvertedPendulum-v2	InvertedDoublePendulum-v2
DDPG (1M)	144.1 ± 6.5	-3.3 ± 0.3	1000.0 ± 0.0	8364.3 ± 2778.9
TD3 (1M)	104.2 ± 34.2	-2.7 ± 0.2	1000.0 ± 0.0	9349.2 ± 14.3
SAC (1M)	44.4 ± 0.5	-2.6 ± 0.2	1000.0 ± 0.0	9359.5 ± 0.4
REINFORCE (10M)	35.6 ± 2.6	-5.5 ± 0.2	1000.0 ± 0.0	7726.2 ± 1287.3
A2C (3M)	40.2 ± 1.8	-5.2 ± 0.5	1000.0 ± 0.0	9351.3 ± 12.8
PPO (3M)	81.4 ± 96.0	-3.7 ± 0.3	1000.0 ± 0.0	9231.3 ± 270.4
NPG (3M)	56.6 ± 8.7	-3.6 ± 0.3	1000.0 ± 0.0	9243.2 ± 276.0
TRPO (3M)	47.0 ± 10.8	-3.9 ± 0.4	1000.0 ± 0.0	8435.2 ± 1073.3

Table 2: Tianshou’s MuJoCo benchmark. Max average return over ten trails (different random seeds) of collected timesteps ± a single standard deviation over trails is given. For each trial, performance is averaged on another 10 test seeds. We evaluate algorithms in a stochastic way (actions are sampled from distributions) at regular intervals.




Figure 3: Sampled learning curves in MuJoCo Benchmark.

Task		Ant	HalfCheetah	Hopper	Walker2d	Swimmer	Humanoid	Reacher	IPendulum	IDPendulum
DDPG	<b>Tianshou</b>	990.4	<b>11718.7</b>	<b>2197.0</b>	1400.6	<b>144.1</b>	<b>177.3</b>	<b>-3.3</b>	<b>1000.0</b>	8364.3
	Achiam (2018)	~840	~11000	~1800	~1950	~137	-	-	-	-
	Fujimoto et al. (2018)	<b>1005.3</b>	3305.6	2020.5	1843.6	-	-	-6.5	<b>1000.0</b>	<b>9355.5</b>
	Fujimoto et al. (2018)(Our)	888.8	8577.3	1860.0	<b>3098.1</b>	-	-	-4.0	<b>1000.0</b>	8370.0
TD3	<b>Tianshou</b>	<b>5116.4</b>	<b>10201.2</b>	3472.2	3982.4	<b>104.2</b>	<b>5189.5</b>	<b>-2.7</b>	<b>1000.0</b>	<b>9349.2</b>
	Achiam (2018)	~3800	~9750	~2860	~4000	~78	-	-	-	-
	Fujimoto et al. (2018)	4372.4	9637.0	<b>3564.1</b>	<b>4682.8</b>	-	-	-3.6	<b>1000.0</b>	9337.5
SAC	<b>Tianshou</b>	<b>5850.2</b>	<b>12138.8</b>	<b>3542.2</b>	<b>5007.0</b>	<b>44.4</b>	<b>5488.5</b>	<b>-2.6</b>	<b>1000.0</b>	<b>9359.5</b>
	Achiam (2018)	~3980	~11520	~3150	~4250	~41.7	-	-	-	-
	Haarnoja et al. (2018)	~3720	~10400	~3370	~3740	-	~5200	-	-	-
	Fujimoto et al. (2018)	655.4	2347.2	2996.7	1283.7	-	-	-4.4	<b>1000.0</b>	8487.2
A2C	<b>Tianshou</b>	<b>3485.4</b>	<b>1829.9</b>	<b>1253.2</b>	<b>1091.6</b>	<b>36.6</b>	<b>1726.0</b>	<b>-6.7</b>	<b>1000.0</b>	<b>9257.7</b>
	Schulman et al. (2017)	-	~1000	~900	~850	~31	-	~-24	~1000	~7100
	Schulman et al. (2017)(TR)	-	~930	~1220	~700	~36	-	~-27	~1000	~8100
PPO	<b>Tianshou</b>	<b>3258.4</b>	<b>5783.9</b>	<b>2609.3</b>	<b>3588.5</b>	66.7	<b>787.1</b>	<b>-4.1</b>	<b>1000.0</b>	<b>9231.3</b>
	Schulman et al. (2017)	-	~1800	~2330	~3460	~108	-	~-7	~1000	~8000
	Fujimoto et al. (2018)	1083.2	1795.4	2164.7	3317.7	-	-	-6.2	<b>1000.0</b>	8977.9
	Dhariwal et al. (2017)	-	~1700	~2400	~3510	~111	-	~-6	~940	~7350
	Achiam (2018)	~650	~1670	~1850	~1230	~120	-	-	-	-
TRPO	<b>Tianshou</b>	<b>2866.7</b>	<b>4471.2</b>	2046.0	<b>3826.7</b>	40.9	<b>810.1</b>	<b>-5.1</b>	<b>1000.0</b>	<b>8435.2</b>
	Wu et al. (2017)	~0	~400	~1400	~550	~40	-	-8	~1000	~800
	Fujimoto et al. (2018)	-75.9	-15.6	<b>2471.3</b>	2321.5	-	-	-111.4	985.4	205.9
	Schulman et al. (2017)	-	~0	~2100	~1100	~121	-	~-115	~1000	~200
	Dhariwal et al. (2017)	-	~1350	~2200	~2350	~95	-	~-5	~910	~7000
	Achiam (2018)(Tensorflow)	~150	~850	~1200	~600	~85	-	-	-	-

Table 3: The performance of Tianshou against published results on OpenAI Gym MuJoCo benchmarks. We use max average return in 1M timesteps as the reward metric. ~ means the result is approximated from the plots because quantitative results are not provided. - means results are not provided. The best-performing baseline on each task is highlighted in boldface. Please note that the versions of MuJoCo tasks and the number of trails used may vary across different baselines. In Tianshou, we always use the latest task versions in OpenAI Gym and ten trails for evaluation, while other baselines may use fewer trails.



## Appendix B. Building Blocks of Tianshou

In this appendix, we give further details about the design of Tianshou’s building blocks in Encapsulation Layer.

**Batch** A new data structure *Batch* has been introduced to encapsulate different data types (e.g., observation, action, reward, and any other self-defined data). It can be considered a NumPy-compatible dictionary, which supports loop nesting, optimized concatenating and splitting, etc. Batch helps unify interfaces of different algorithms and speed up the calculation of batched data.

**Vector Env** A series of instances of vectorized environment *VectorEnv* have been implemented to support parallel data sampling, ranging from dummy *VectorEnv* that is debug-friendly, traditional multi-process *VectorEnv* that can optionally use shared memory for fast communication, to *VectorEnvs* that are specially designed for advanced usage such as multi-agent reinforcement learning.

**Vector Buffer** Replay buffer is a typical data structure widely used in DRL and serves as the medium of interaction between the central training process and worker processes. Like Fujita et al. (2021), Tianshou also supports a handful of advanced usage like stacked or prioritized sampling (Schaul et al., 2016). Traditionally sampled episodes are stored in a circular queue, but we go further by not restricting how data is stored. This is for the reason that different algorithms might have different data access requirements. For instance, on-policy algorithms would prefer data steps from the same episode grouped in sequential order for convenience when computing reward-to-go. In contrast, off-policy algorithms that look one step ahead might not have such a need. Besides, it is natural to store interaction data sampled from one sub-process worker in an individual sub-buffer, yielding *Vector Replay Buffer* and *Cached Replay Buffer*.

All instances of *Replay Buffers* share the same APIs, which can be used to compute values like GAE advantages (Schulman et al., 2016) and n-step returns (Sutton and Barto, 2018) without knowing the explicit underlying storage mechanism (see Appendix C for details). The goal is to maintain a well-encapsulated architecture so that users do not have to care whether the underlying parallel optimization is used when implementing their algorithm.

## Appendix C. Partial-episode Bootstrapping: A Case Study

This appendix will take multi-segment bootstrapping as an example to shed some light on how Tianshou deals with these code-level details.

Generalized advantage (Schulman et al., 2016) is a fundamental quantity frequently used when estimating the gradient of the neural network’s parameter  $\theta$ , and can be viewed as a discounted sum of Bellman residual terms:

$$\hat{A}_t = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\gamma\lambda)^l \delta_{t+l}^V$$

$$\delta_t^V = r_t + \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t)$$




Figure 4: An illustration of how batched data is stored in and sampled from Vector-ReplayBuffer (an instance of ReplayBuffer). The trajectories collected from one specific environment are stored in a fixed and independent circular queue. When calling `buffer.sample(0)`, all trajectories will be linked sequentially, even if some trajectories are still incomplete.

Timestep Type	Ordinary	Last(N)	Last(T)	Last(E)
Done flag $d_t$	False	True	True	False
Index in <code>buffer.unfinished_index()</code> ?	False	True	True	True
Need bootstrapping?	False	False	True	True
<code>BasePolicy.value_mask()</code> of the Index	False	False	True	True

Table 4: Different types of timestep batches and their characteristics. From left to right, four timestep types correspond to the gray, green, blue, and orange blocks in Figure 4.

where  $V$  is a value estimator, and both  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$  are discount factors. State  $s_t$  and reward  $r_t$ , together with action  $a_t$  and done flag  $d_t$  are quantities at time-step  $t$  in the collected trajectory  $\{\dots s_t, a_t, r_t, d_t, s_{t+1}, \dots\}$ .

The above formula assumes  $s_{t+1}$  always exists. A common approach to calculating  $\delta_t^V$  when  $t$  is already the last step of an episode is to simply regard  $\delta_{t+1}^V$  as 0. However, as indicated in Figure 4, there are multiple possibilities when an episode ends. Pardo et al. (2018) has shown that giving up bootstrapping whenever the done flag is met will lead to sub-optimal policies and training instability if the episode is truncated because of the time limit. They proposed the *partial-episode bootstrapping* strategy, which Tianshou adopts and extends so that it also works when episodes are temporarily truncated before updating (see Table 4 and Algorithm 1 for details). This technique also applies to the estimation of n-steps returns and discounted reward-to-go, and can be implemented elegantly using shared APIs provided by `Buffer`.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Generalized Advantage Estimation for Partial-Episodes

---

```

for  $t \leftarrow nh$  downto 1 do
     $\delta_t^V = r_t + \text{BasePolicy.value\_mask}(b_t) \times \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t);$ 
     $\hat{A}_t = \delta_t^V$  if  $t$  in buffer.unfinished_index() else  $\delta_t^V + \gamma \lambda \hat{A}_{t+1};$ 
end

```

---