

Equivariant formal deformations of Hom-pre-Lie algebras

Shuangjian Guo¹, Ripan Saha^{2*}

1. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics

Guiyang 550025, P. R. of China

2. Department of Mathematics, Raiganj University

Raiganj, 733134, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we define a new cohomology theory for multiplicative Hom-pre-Lie algebras which controls deformations of Hom-pre-Lie algebra structure. This new cohomology is a natural one considering the structure map α . We show this new cohomology is deformation cohomology of the Hom-pre-Lie algebra. We also develop cohomology and the associated deformation theory for Hom-pre-Lie algebras in the equivariant context.

Key words: Group action, Hom-pre-Lie algebra, equivariant cohomology, formal deformation, rigidity.

2020 MSC: 17A30, 17B56, 17B61, 17D99.

Introduction

The notion of pre-Lie algebra (also known as left-symmetric algebra) was introduced by Gerstenhaber [6] while studying the algebraic deformation theory of associative algebras. The pre-Lie algebras have a close relationship with Lie algebras. For example, a pre-Lie algebra gives rise to a Lie algebra via the commutator bracket, which is known as the sub-adjacent Lie algebra. Pre-Lie algebras have appeared in many areas of mathematics, for example, vertex algebras, quantum field theories, complex and symplectic structures on Lie groups and Lie algebras, etc., see [1, 4, 5, 10], and references therein.

The notion of Hom-pre-Lie algebras is a twisted analog of pre-Lie algebras, where the pre-Lie algebra identity is twisted by a self linear map, called the structure map. The study of Hom-version of type of algebras is an interesting and active area of research these

*Corresponding author: ripanjumaths@gmail.com

days and found some applications in mathematics as well as in physics. For the various type of Hom-algebras, see [12–15].

Gertenhaber [6, 7] initiated the study of algebraic deformation theory for associative algebra. Later, following his ideas, deformation theory for various types of algebras has been studied, see [2, 11, 17–20]. Hurle and Makhlouf studied cohomology and deformation theory for Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras in [8, 9]. In [16], Mukherjee and Saha studied cohomology and deformation theory for Hom-Leibniz algebras.

In this paper, we develop a new cohomology theory for Hom-pre-Lie algebras, we call this new cohomology by α -type cohomology of Hom-pre-Lie algebras. In [11], the authors defined cohomology and a one-parameter formal deformation for Hom-pre-Lie algebras without considering the structure map α . In [11], they have only deformed the multiplication map, and ignored to deform the structure map. Thus, the study of cohomology and deformation in [11] is incomplete. Thus, the study of deformation theory is not complete in their work. This is one of the motivation of writing this paper. In this paper, we deform both the multiplication operation and the structure map. We also develop the corresponding deformation cohomology of Hom-pre-Lie algebras. In the next part, we develop equivariant cohomology for Hom-pre-Lie algebras equipped with a finite group action and also introduce a one-parameter formal deformation theory for Hom-pre-Lie algebras in the equivariant contexts. To develop the equivariant cohomology, we closely follow Bredon's [3] approach for equivariant cohomology of topological G -spaces. Similar to the non-equivariant case we show that this equivariant cohomology is deformation cohomology of the equivariant deformation of Hom-pre-Lie algebras.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 1, we have discussed the definition, examples, representation, and a known cohomology of Hom-pre-Lie algebras which we shall use throughout the paper. In Section 2, we develop a new cohomology for (multiplicative) Hom-pre-Lie algebras, we call this by α -type cohomology of Hom-pre-lie algebras. In Section 3, we introduce a one-parameter formal deformation theory of Hom-pre-Lie algebras considering the structure map α . We show that infinitesimal of the deformation is a 2-cocycle of the α -type cohomology. We also discuss obstructions and rigidity of Hom-pre-Lie algebra deformation and show that how they are related to our new cohomology. In Section 4, we develop the equivariant cohomology of Hom-pre-Lie algebras equipped with an action of a finite group. In Section 5, we introduce a one-parameter formal deformation theory for Hom-pre-Lie algebras in the equivariant contexts. We show some results for equivariant deformation of Hom-pre-Lie algebras analogous to the non-equivariant case.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definition, representation, and cohomology of Hom-pre-Lie algebras following [11,21]. Throughout the paper, \mathbb{K} denotes a field of characteristics zero.

Definition 1.1. A Hom-Lie algebra is a triple $(g, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ consisting of a linear space g , a skew-symmetric bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \wedge^2 g \rightarrow g$ and an algebra morphism $\alpha : g \rightarrow g$, satisfying:

$$[\alpha(x), [y, z]] + [\alpha(y), [z, x]] + [\alpha(z), [x, y]] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in g. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.2. A Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, \cdot, α) is a vector space A equipped with a bilinear product $\cdot : A \times A \rightarrow A$, and a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$, satisfying

1. $(a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) \cdot (b \cdot c) = (b \cdot a) \cdot \alpha(c) - \alpha(b) \cdot (a \cdot c)$, for all $a, b, c \in A$.
2. $\alpha(x \cdot y) = \alpha(x) \cdot \alpha(y)$, for all $a, b \in A$.

A Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, \cdot, α) is called regular if α is invertible.

Definition 1.3. Let (A_1, \cdot_1, α_1) and (A_2, \cdot_2, α_2) be two Hom-pre-Lie algebras. A morphism from A_1 to A_2 is a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ such that

$$\phi(a \cdot_1 b) = \phi(a) \cdot_2 \phi(b), \quad \phi \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \phi, \text{ for all } a, b \in A_1.$$

Example 1.4. Let A be a two dimensional vector space with basis $\{a_1, a_2\}$. Define a multiplication on A by

$$a_1 \cdot a_1 = 0, \quad a_1 \cdot a_2 = 0, \quad a_2 \cdot a_1 = a_1, \quad a_2 \cdot a_2 = a_1 + a_2.$$

Let α be a \mathbb{K} -linear map on A defined as $\alpha(a_1) = a_1$, $\alpha(a_2) = a_1 + a_2$. Then (A, \cdot, α) is a regular Hom-pre-Lie algebra.

Definition 1.5. A representation of a Hom-Lie algebra $(g, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ on a vector space V with respect to $\beta \in gl(V)$ is a linear map $\rho : g \rightarrow gl(V)$, such that for all $x, y \in g$, the following equalities are satisfied:

$$\rho(\alpha(x)) \circ \beta = \beta \circ \rho(x), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\rho([x, y]) \circ \beta = \rho(\alpha(x)) \circ \rho(y) - \rho(\alpha(y)) \circ \rho(x). \quad (1.3)$$

Let (A, \cdot, α) be a Hom-pre-Lie algebra. We always assume that it is regular, i.e. α is invertible. The commutator $[x, y]_C = x \cdot y - y \cdot x$ gives a Hom-Lie algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_C, \alpha)$, which is denoted by A^C and called the sub-adjacent Hom-Lie algebra of (A, \cdot, α) .

Definition 1.6. A representation of a Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, \cdot, α) on a vector space V with respect to $\beta \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ consists of a pair (ρ, μ) , where $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a representation of the sub-adjacent Hom-Lie algebra A^C on V with respect to $\beta \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, and $\mu : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a linear map, for all $a, b \in A$, satisfying:

$$\beta \circ \mu(a) = \mu(\alpha(a)) \circ \beta, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mu(\alpha(b)) \circ \mu(a) - \mu(a \cdot b) \circ \beta = \mu(\alpha(b)) \circ \rho(a) - \rho(\alpha(a)) \circ \mu(b). \quad (1.5)$$

If V is a presentation of a Hom-pre-Lie algebra A as defined above, then we denote it as (V, ρ, μ, β) .

Let (A, \cdot, α) be a Hom-pre-Lie algebra. Then (A, α) is a representation of itself via the maps $L, R : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(A)$ defined as follows:

$$L_a(b) = a \cdot b, \quad R_a(b) = b \cdot a, \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$

It is easy to check that (A, L, R, α) is a representation of (A, \cdot, α) . This representation of A is called the regular representation. In this paper, we will use regular representation only.

Let (V, ρ, μ, β) be a representation of a Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, \cdot, α) . The cohomology of A with coefficients in V is the cohomology of the cochain complex $\{C^*(A, V), \partial\}$, where $C^n(A, V) = \{\phi \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, V) \mid \beta \circ \phi = \phi \circ \alpha^{\otimes n}\}$, ($n \geq 0$) and the coboundary operator $\partial : C^n(A, V) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(A, V)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \quad (1.6) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(x_i)) f(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_{n+1})) f(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_n, a_i) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} f(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \alpha(a_n), a_i \cdot a_{n+1}) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} f([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1})), \end{aligned}$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A$. The corresponding cohomology groups are denoted by $H^*(A, V)$. We will see that this cohomology is not a deformation cohomology for Hom-pre-Lie algebras.

2 α -type cohomology for Hom-pre-Lie algebras

In this section, we define an α -type cohomology for Hom-pre-Lie algebras. Let (V, ρ, μ, β) be a representation of a Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, ν, α) .

Define $\nu : A \rightarrow A$ by $\nu(a, b) = a \cdot b$. Using this notation, we denote a Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, \cdot, α) as (A, ν, α) . Then the complex for the cohomology of A with values in V is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{C}^n(A, V) &= \widetilde{C}_\nu^n(A, V) \oplus \widetilde{C}_\alpha^{n-1}(A, V) = \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n-1}, V), \text{ for } n \geq 1, \\ \widetilde{C}^n(A, V) &= \{0\}, \text{ for } n \leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for convenience, we take $\widetilde{C}_\alpha^1(A, V) = \text{Hom}(A^0, V) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{K}, V) = \{0\}$. We write (φ, ψ) with $\varphi \in \widetilde{C}_\nu^\bullet(A, V)$ and $\psi \in \widetilde{C}_\alpha^\bullet(A, V)$ for an element in $\widetilde{C}^\bullet(A, V)$.

We define four maps, with domain and range given in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{C}_\nu^n & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}} & \widetilde{C}_\nu^{n+1} \\ & \searrow \widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha} & \nearrow \widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu} \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ \widetilde{C}_\alpha^n & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}} & \widetilde{C}_\alpha^{n+1}, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_{n+1})) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_n, a_i) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \alpha(a_n), a_i \cdot a_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1})),\end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\psi)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i)) \psi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_n)) \psi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \psi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \alpha(a_{n-1}), a_i \cdot a_n) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} (-1)^{i+j} \psi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha(a_n)),\end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \beta(\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)) - \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_n)) & (2.3) \\
(\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\psi)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu \circ \alpha^{n-2}(a_i \cdot a_{n+1}) \psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \rho([\alpha^{n-2}(a_i), \alpha^{n-2}(a_j)]_C) \psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_{n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A$. The sign given by $(-1)^{\cdot}$ is always determined by the permutation of the x_i . We have the following main theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (V, ρ, μ, β) be a representation of a Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, ν, α) . Further, let $\tilde{\partial} : \tilde{C}^n(A, V) \rightarrow \tilde{C}^{n+1}(A, V)$ be a map defined by $\tilde{\partial}(\varphi, \psi) = (\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\varphi - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\psi, \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\varphi - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\psi)$. Then the pair $(\tilde{C}^\bullet(A, V), \tilde{\partial})$ is a cohomology complex.*

Proof. In order to prove that $\tilde{\partial}^2 = 0$, we will give it here to some extent. Showing $\tilde{\partial}^2 = 0$ is same as the showing following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu} + \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu} - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha} - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha} &= 0, \\
-\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha} + \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu} - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha} + \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For this we verify the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu} &= \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}, \\
\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu} &= \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}, \\
\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha} &= \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}, \\
\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu} &= \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall only verify the first equality as computations of such verifications is very lengthy. The verification of the other three equalities can be done in a similar manner.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\varphi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n+2}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\varphi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n+1}, a_i) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1}), a_i \cdot a_{n+2}) \\
& + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})), \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_j)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \hat{a}_i \dots a_{n+2}) \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_j)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j \dots a_{n+2}) \tag{2.5}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_{n+2})) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \hat{a}_i \dots a_{n+1}, a_j) \tag{2.6}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_j)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j \dots a_{n+1}, a_j) \tag{2.7}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \hat{a}_i \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), a_j \cdot a_{n+2}) \tag{2.8}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), a_j \cdot a_{n+2}) \tag{2.9}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j < k < i} (-1)^{i+j+k} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \varphi([a_j, a_k]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \hat{a}_k, \dots, \hat{a}_i \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})) \tag{2.10}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j < i < k} (-1)^{i+j+k} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \varphi([a_j, a_k]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_k \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})) \tag{2.11}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i < j < k} (-1)^{i+j+k} \rho(\alpha^n(a_i)) \varphi([a_j, a_k]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \hat{a}_k \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})) \tag{2.12}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_j)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots a_{n+1}, a_i) \quad (2.13)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_j)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots a_{n+1}, a_i) \quad (2.14)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots a_{n+1}, a_j) \quad (2.15)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i)) \varphi(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots a_{n+1}, a_j) \quad (2.16)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), a_j \cdot a_i) \quad (2.17)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), a_j \cdot a_i) \quad (2.18)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j < k < i} (-1)^{i+j+k} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi([a_j, a_k]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_i)) \quad (2.19)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j < i < k} (-1)^{i+j+k} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi([a_j, a_k]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_i)) \quad (2.20)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i < j < k} (-1)^{i+j+k} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi([a_j, a_k]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_i)) \quad (2.21)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \rho(\alpha^n(a_j)) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), a_i \cdot a_{n+2}) \quad (2.22)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \rho(\alpha^n(a_j)) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), a_i \cdot a_{n+2}) \quad (2.23)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}(a_{n+2})) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_j)) \quad (2.24)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}(a_{n+2})) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_j)) \quad (2.25)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j < i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \varphi(\alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(x_j) \cdot (a_i \cdot a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 26)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j > i}}^n (-1)^{i+j} \varphi(\alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(x_j) \cdot (a_i \cdot a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 27)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j < k < i} (-1)^{i+j+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_j), \alpha(a_k)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_i) \cdot \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 28)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j < i < k} (-1)^{i+j+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_j), \alpha(a_k)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_i) \cdot \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 29)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i < j < k} (-1)^{i+j+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_j), \alpha(a_k)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_i) \cdot \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 30)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \rho([\alpha^{n-1}(a_i), \alpha^{n-1}(a_j)]_C) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots \alpha(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 31)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{k < i}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j+k+1} \rho(\alpha^n(a_k)) \varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 32)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{i < k < j}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j+k} \rho(\alpha^n(a_k)) \varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 33)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{j < k}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j+k+1} \rho(\alpha^n(a_k)) \varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 34)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1}), [a_i, a_j]_C) \quad (2. 35)$$

$$- \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{k < i}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j+k} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots, \alpha(a_k)) \quad (2. 36)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{i < k < j}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j+k} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_j \dots, \alpha(a_k)) \quad (2. 37)$$

$$- \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{j < k}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j+k+1} \mu(\alpha^n(a_{n+2})) \varphi([a_i, a_j]_C, \alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_k \dots, \alpha(a_k)) \quad (2. 38)$$

$$- \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \varphi(\alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+1}) [a_i, a_j]_C \cdot \alpha(a_{n+2})) \quad (2. 39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{k < i} (-1)^{i+j+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_k) \cdot \alpha(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 40}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{i < k < j} (-1)^{i+j+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_k) \cdot \alpha(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 41}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{j < k} (-1)^{i+j+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+1}), \alpha(a_k) \cdot \alpha(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 42}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{l < i} (-1)^{i+j+l} \varphi([\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, [\alpha(a_l)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{i < l < j} (-1)^{i+j+l} \varphi([\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, [\alpha(a_l)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 44}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{j < l} (-1)^{i+j+l} \varphi([\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, [\alpha(a_l)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 45}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{k < l < i} (-1)^{i+j+l+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_k), \alpha(a_l)]_C, [\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 46}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{k < i < l < j} (-1)^{i+j+l+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_k), \alpha(a_l)]_C, [\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 47}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{k < i < j < l} (-1)^{i+j+l+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_k), \alpha(a_l)]_C, [\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 48}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{i < k < l < j} (-1)^{i+j+l+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_k), \alpha(a_l)]_C, [\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 49}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{i < k < l < j} (-1)^{i+j+l+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_k), \alpha(a_l)]_C, [\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})) \tag{2. 50}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j}^{n+1} \sum_{j < k < l} (-1)^{i+j+l+k} \varphi([\alpha(a_k), \alpha(a_l)]_C, [\alpha(a_i), \alpha(a_j)]_C, \alpha^2(a_1), \dots, \\
& \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, \widehat{a}_k, \dots, \widehat{a}_l, \dots, \alpha^2(a_{n+2})). \tag{2. 51}
\end{aligned}$$

It is clearly to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2.13) + (2.33) = (2.12) + (2.34) \\
& = (2.11) + (2.35) = (2.9) - (2.24) \\
& = (2.10) - (2.23) = (2.18) + (2.19) + (2.36) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2.20) + (2.39) = (2.21) + (2.38) \\
& = (2.22) + (2.37) = (2.29) - (2.43) \\
& = (2.30) - (2.42) = (2.31) - (2.41) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of Hom-pre-Lie algebra, we have

$$(2.27) + (2.28) - (2.40) = 0.$$

Using the Hom-Jacobi identity, we have $(2.44)+(2.45)+(2.46) = 0$. Finally, it easy to see that $(2.47)+\dots+(2.52) = 0$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i \cdot a_{n+2})) \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \rho([\alpha^{n-1}(a_i), \alpha^{n-1}(a_j)]_C) \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_{n+2}) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i \cdot a_{n+2})) \beta\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n+1}) \tag{2. 52}
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i \cdot a_{n+2})) \psi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1})) \tag{2. 53}$$

$$- \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \rho([\alpha^{n-1}(a_i), \alpha^{n-1}(a_j)]_C) \beta\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_{n+2}) \tag{2. 54}$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \rho([\alpha^{n-1}(a_i), \alpha^{n-1}(a_j)]_C) \psi(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \alpha(a_{n+2})). \tag{2. 55}$$

Thus, we have $(2.5)+(2.6)+(2.32)-(2.55)-(2.56) = 0$ and $(2.7)+(2.14)+(2.16)-(2.25) -(2.53)-(2.54) = (2.8)+(2.15)+(2.17)-(2.26)-(2.53)-(2.54) = 0$.

Thus, $\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu} = \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}$. □

In this paper, we will only consider cohomology of A with coefficients over itself and denote it as $\widetilde{H}^*(A, A)$.

Remark 2.2. Observe that the α -type cohomology for multiplicative Hom-pre-Lie algebras generalizes the cohomology defined in the Section 1 (cf. 1.6). For this consider only those elements in $\widetilde{C}^n(A, A)$ where second summand is zero, that is,

$$\widetilde{C}_\alpha^n(A, A) = \{0\}.$$

Therefore, we have elements of the form $(\phi, 0)$. We define a subcomplex of $\widetilde{C}^n(A, A)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha^n(A, A) &= \{(\phi, 0) \in \widetilde{C}^n(A, A) \mid \widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\phi = 0\} \\ &= \{\phi \in \widetilde{C}_\nu^n(A, A) \mid \beta \circ \phi = \phi \circ \alpha^{\otimes n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The map $\widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}$ defines a differential on this complex and this complex is same as the complex defined in the Section 1. Thus, α -type cohomology generalizes the cohomology developed in Section 1.

3 Formal deformation theory of Hom-pre-Lie algebras

In this section, we show that the α -type cohomology for Hom-pre-Lie algebras control one-parameter formal deformation of Hom-pre-Lie algebras. Unlike [11], our formal deformation takes into account the structure map α .

Definition 3.1. Let (A, ν, α) be a Hom-pre-Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} . A one-parameter formal deformation of A is given by a $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -bilinear map $\nu_t : A[[t]] \times A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]]$, and a $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -linear map $\alpha_t : A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]]$ of the forms

$$\nu_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} \nu_i t^i, \quad \alpha_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i t^i$$

such that

1. For all $i \geq 0$, $\nu_i : A \times A \rightarrow A$ is a \mathbb{K} -bilinear map, and $\alpha_i : A \rightarrow A$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear map.
2. $\nu_0(a, b) = \nu(a, b) = a \cdot b$, is the the multiplication of A and $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ is the given structure map on A .
3. $\nu_t(\nu_t(a, b), \alpha_t(c)) - \nu_t(\alpha_t(a), \nu_t(b, c)) = \nu_t(\nu_t(b, a), \alpha_t(c)) - \nu_t(\alpha_t(b), \nu_t(a, c))$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.
4. The map α_t is multiplicative, that is, $\nu_t(\alpha_t(a), \alpha_t(b)) = \alpha_t(\nu_t(a, b))$, for all $a, b \in A$.

From the Condition 3, we have the following equations for all $n \geq 0$.

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i(\nu_j(a, b), \alpha_k(c)) - \nu_i(\alpha_j(a), \nu_k(b, c)) - \nu_i(\nu_j(b, a), \alpha_k(c)) + \nu_i(\alpha_j(b), \nu_k(a, c)) = 0. \quad (3. 56)$$

The Condition 4 is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i(\alpha_j(a), \alpha_k(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 0}} \alpha_i(\nu_j(a, b)) = 0. \quad (3. 57)$$

For a Hom-pre-Lie algebra (A, ν, α) , an α_j -associator is defined as

$$\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_k} \nu_j(a, b, c) = \nu_i(\nu_j(a, b), \alpha_k(c)) - \nu_i(\alpha_k(a), \nu_j(b, c)). \quad (3. 58)$$

Thus, the Equation 3. 56 may be written in terms of associator α_j as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_j} \nu_k)(a, b, c) - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_k} \nu_j)(b, a, c) = 0. \quad (3. 59)$$

We can re-write the Equation 3. 59 as follows:

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\nu_n - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\alpha_n)(a, b, c) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n-1}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_k} \nu_j)(a, b, c) - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n-1}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_k} \nu_j)(b, a, c). \quad (3. 60)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\nu_n)(a, b, c) &= \alpha(a) \cdot \nu_n(b, c) - \alpha(b) \cdot \nu_n(a, c) + \nu_n(b, a) \cdot \alpha(c) - \nu_n(a, b) \cdot \alpha(c) - \nu_n(\alpha(b), a \cdot c) \\ &\quad + \nu_n(\alpha(a), b \cdot c) - \nu_n(a \cdot b, \alpha(c)) + \nu_n(b \cdot a, \alpha(c)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\alpha_n)(a, b, c) = \alpha_n(b) \cdot (a \cdot c) - \alpha_n(a)(b \cdot c) + (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_n(c) - (b \cdot a) \cdot \alpha_n(c)$$

The Equation 3. 57 is same as the following equation involving differentials.

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\nu_n - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_n)(a, b) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n-1}} \nu_i(\alpha_j(a), \alpha_k(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ 0 \leq i,j \leq n-1}} \alpha_i(\nu_j(a, b)). \quad (3. 61)$$

Note that

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_n)(a, b) = \alpha(a) \cdot \alpha_n(b) + \alpha_n(a) \cdot \alpha(b) - \alpha_n(a \cdot b).$$

and

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\nu_n)(a, b) = \alpha(\nu_n(a, b)) - \nu_n(\alpha(a), \alpha(b)).$$

For $n = 0$, from the Equation 3. 56, we have

$$(a.b).\alpha(c) - \alpha(a).(b.c) - (b.a).\alpha(c) + \alpha(b).(a.c) = 0.$$

This equation is same as Hom-pre-Lie identity.

Again, for $n = 0$, from the Equation 3. 57, we have

$$\alpha(a).\alpha(b) - \alpha(a.b) = 0.$$

This shows α is multiplicative. Thus, for $n = 0$ case, deformed Hom-pre-Lie algebra is same as the original Hom-pre-Lie algebra A .

For $n = 1$, from Equation 3. 56, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(a) \cdot \nu_1(b, c) - \alpha(b) \cdot \nu_1(a, c) + \nu_1(b, a) \cdot \alpha(c) - \nu_1(a, b) \cdot \alpha(c) - \nu_1(\alpha(b), a.c) - \alpha_1(b) \cdot (a \cdot c) \\ &+ \alpha_1(a)(b \cdot c) - (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_1(c) + (b \cdot a) \cdot \alpha_n(c) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is same as $(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\nu_1 - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\alpha_1)(a, b, c) = 0$.

For $n = 1$, from Equation 3. 57, we also have

$$\alpha(a) \cdot \alpha_1(b) + \alpha_1(a) \cdot \alpha(b) - \alpha_1(a \cdot b) - \alpha(\nu_1(a, b)) + \nu_1(\alpha(a), \alpha(b)).$$

Again, this is same as $(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\nu_1 - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_1)(a, b) = 0$.

Definition 3.2. *The pair (ν_1, α_1) is called the infinitesimal of the given deformation (ν_t, α_t) . More generally, assume that (ν_n, α_n) is the first non-zero term after (ν_0, α_0) of (ν_t, α_t) . Then such pair (ν_n, α_n) is called the n th infinitesimal of (ν_t, α_t) .*

Therefore, from the above discussion we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (ν_t, α_t) be a one-parameter formal deformation of the Hom-pre-Lie algebra A . Then the infinitesimal of a given deformation of A is a 2-cocycle of the α -type cohomology of A .*

3.1 Obstructions of deformation

In this section, we show how α -type cohomology control obstructions of given deformations.

Definition 3.4. A deformation of order n of A is given by a $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -bilinear map $\nu_t : A[[t]] \times A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]]$ and a $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -linear map $\alpha_t : A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]]$ of the forms

$$\nu_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \nu_i t^i, \quad \alpha_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i t^i,$$

such that the pair (ν_t, α_t) satisfies the definition of the formal deformation of $A \pmod{t^{n+1}}$.

We say a deformation (ν_t, α_t) of order n is extendable to a deformation of order $(n+1)$ if there exists $\nu_{n+1} \in \widetilde{C}_\nu^{n+1}(A, A)$ and $\alpha_{n+1} \in \widetilde{C}_\alpha^{n+1}(A, A)$ such that

$$\bar{\nu}_t = \nu_t + \nu_{n+1} t^{n+1}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_t = \alpha_t + \alpha_{n+1} t^{n+1},$$

and the pair $(\bar{\nu}_t, \bar{\alpha}_t)$ satisfies the Definition 3.1 $\pmod{t^{n+2}}$. From a deformation (ν_t, α_t) of order $(n+1)$, we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i(\nu_j(a, b), \alpha_k(c)) - \nu_i(\alpha_j(a), \nu_k(b, c)) - \nu_i(\nu_j(b, a), \alpha_k(c)) + \nu_i(\alpha_j(b), \nu_k(a, c)) &= 0, \\ \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i(\alpha_j(a), \alpha_k(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ i,j \geq 0}} \alpha_i(\nu_j(a, b)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can re-write the above equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\nu_n - \widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\alpha_n)(a, b, c) &= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_j} \nu_k)(a, b, c) - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_j} \nu_k)(b, a, c), \\ (\widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\nu_n - \widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_n)(a, b) &= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} \nu_i(\alpha_j(a), \alpha_k(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j \leq n}} \alpha_i(\nu_j(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

We define the n th obstruction to extend a deformation of order n to $n+1$ as $O^n(A) := (O_\nu^n(A), O_\alpha^n(A))$, where

$$O_\nu^n(A)(a, b, c) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_j} \nu_k)(a, b, c) - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i \circ_{\alpha_j} \nu_k)(b, a, c), \quad (3.62)$$

$$O_\alpha^n(A)(a, b, c) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} \nu_i(\alpha_j(a), \alpha_k(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j \leq n}} \alpha_i(\nu_j(a, b)). \quad (3.63)$$

Note that $O^n(A) \in \widetilde{C}^3(A, A)$.

Theorem 3.5. A deformation (ν_t, α_t) of order n is extendable to a deformation of order $n+1$ if and only if the cohomology class of $O^n(A)$ vanishes.

Proof. Suppose a deformation (ν_t, α_t) of order n extends a deformation of order $n + 1$. From the definition of obstruction, we have

$$O^n(A) := (O_\nu^n(A), O_\alpha^n(A)) = \partial(\nu_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1})$$

Thus, $\partial(O^n(A)) = 0$, that is, the cohomology class of the obstruction vanishes.

Conversely, suppose the cohomology class of $O^n(A)$ vanishes, that is,

$$O^n(A) = \partial(\nu_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}),$$

for some 2-cochain $(\nu_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1})$. We define an $(n + 1)$ -deformation extending an n -deformation (ν_t, α_t) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\nu}_t &= \nu_t + \nu_{n+1}t^{n+1}, \\ \bar{\alpha}_t &= \alpha_t + \alpha_{n+1}t^{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $(\bar{\nu}_t, \bar{\alpha}_t)$ defines an $(n + 1)$ -deformation. \square

Corollary 3.6. *The obstruction of extending an infinitesimal deformation lies in $\widetilde{H}^3(A, A)$.*

3.2 Equivalent deformation and cohomology

Let (ν_t, α_t) and (ν'_t, α'_t) be two formal deformations of A , where $\nu'_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} \nu'_i t^i$, $\alpha'_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha'_i t^i$.

Definition 3.7. *Two deformations (ν_t, α_t) and (ν'_t, α'_t) are said to be equivalent if there exists $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -isomorphism $\Psi_t : A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]]$ of the form $\Psi_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} \psi_i t^i$ such that $\psi_0 = id$, and $\psi_i : A \rightarrow A$ are \mathbb{K} -linear maps for all $i \geq 0$, satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\Psi_t \circ \nu'_t = \nu_t \circ (\Psi_t \otimes \Psi_t), \text{ and } \alpha_t \circ \Psi_t = \Psi_t \circ \alpha'_t. \quad (3.64)$$

Definition 3.8. *A deformation (ν_t, α_t) of A is called trivial if (ν_t, α_t) is equivalent to (ν_0, α_0) . A Hom-pre-Lie algebra A is called rigid if it has only trivial deformation upto equivalence.*

The Condition 3.64 is equivalent to the following equations:

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \psi_i \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \nu'_j(a, b) t^j \right) t^i = \sum_{i \geq 0} \nu_i \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_j(a) t^j, \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_k(b) t^k \right) t^i, \quad (3.65)$$

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_j(a) t^j \right) t^i = \sum_{i \geq 0} \psi_i \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \alpha'_j(a) t^j \right) t^i. \quad (3.66)$$

This is same as the following equations:

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \psi_i(\nu'_j(a, b))t^{i+j} = \sum_{i,j,k \geq 0} \nu_i(\psi_j(a), \psi_k(b))t^{i+j+k}, \quad (3.67)$$

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \alpha_i(\psi_j(a))t^{i+j} = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \psi_i(\alpha'_j(a))t^{i+j}. \quad (3.68)$$

Comparing constant terms on both sides of the above equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_0(a, b) &= \nu_0(a, b) = a \cdot b, \quad \text{as } \psi_0 = Id, \\ \alpha_0(a) &= \alpha'_0(a) = \alpha(a). \end{aligned}$$

Now comparing coefficients of t , we have

$$\nu'_1(a, b) + \psi_1(\nu'_0(a, b)) = \nu_1(a, b) + \nu_0(\psi_1(a), b) + \nu_0(a, \psi_1(b)), \quad (3.69)$$

$$\alpha_1(a) + \alpha_0(\psi_1(a)) = \alpha'_1(a) + \psi_1(\alpha'_0(a)). \quad (3.70)$$

The Equations (3.69) and (3.70) are same as

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_1(a, b) - \nu_1(a, b) &= a \cdot \psi_1(b) + \psi_1(a) \cdot b - \psi_1(a \cdot b) = \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\psi_1(a, b). \\ \alpha'_1(a) - \alpha_1(a) &= \alpha(\psi_1(a)) - \psi_1(\alpha(a)) = \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\psi_1(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.9. *Two equivalent formal deformations of A have cohomologous infinitesimals.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let (ν_t, α_t) be a non-trivial formal deformation of the Hom-pre-Lie algebra A . Then (ν_t, α_t) is equivalent to a deformation whose infinitesimal is not a coboundary.*

Proof. Let (ν_t, α_t) be a deformation of the Hom-pre-Lie algebra A and (ν_n, α_n) be the n -infinitesimal of the deformation for some $n \geq 1$. Then by Theorem (3.3), (ν_n, α_n) is a 2-cocycle, that is, $\tilde{\partial}(\nu_n, \alpha_n) = 0$. Suppose $\nu_n = -\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\phi_n$ and $\alpha_n = -\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\phi_n$ for some $\phi_n \in \tilde{C}_\nu^{-1}(A, A)$, that is, (ν_n, α_n) is a coboundary. We define a formal isomorphism Ψ_t of $A[[t]]$ as follows:

$$\Psi_t(a) = a + \phi_n(a)t^n.$$

We set

$$\bar{\nu}_t = \Psi_t^{-1} \circ \nu_t \circ (\Psi_t \otimes \Psi_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha}_t = \Psi_t^{-1} \circ \alpha_t \circ \Psi_t$$

Thus, we have a new deformation $(\bar{\nu}_t, \bar{\alpha}_t)$ which is equivalent to (ν_t, α_t) . By expanding the above equations and comparing coefficients of t^n , we get

$$\bar{\nu}_n - \nu_n = \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\phi_n, \quad \bar{\alpha}_n - \alpha_n = \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\phi_n.$$

Hence, $\bar{\nu}_n = 0, \bar{\alpha}_n = 0$. By repeating this argument, we can kill off any infinitesimal which is a coboundary. Thus, the process must stop if the deformation is non-trivial. \square

Corollary 3.11. *If $\widetilde{H}^2(A, A) = 0$ then every deformation of A is equivalent to a trivial deformation, that is, A is rigid.*

4 Equivariant cohomology of Hom-pre-Lie algebras

In this section, we develop an equivariant cohomology for Hom-pre-Lie algebras equipped with a group action. To construct such an equivariant cohomology, we will follow Breton's [3] approach for equivariant cohomology of topological G -spaces.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a finite group and A be a Hom-pre-Lie algebra. The group G is said to act on A from left if there exists a map

$$\phi : G \times A \rightarrow A, \quad (g, a) \mapsto ga,$$

satisfying

1. For each $g \in G$, the map $\phi(g, -) = \psi_g : A \rightarrow A, a \mapsto ga$ is linear.
2. For all $a \in A$, $ea = a$, where e denotes the identity element of G .
3. $(g_1g_2)a = g_1(g_2a)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $a \in A$.
4. $g(a \cdot b) = ga \cdot gb$ and $\alpha(g \cdot a) = g\alpha(a)$, for all $g \in G, a, b \in A$.

Remark 4.2. *We defined finite group actions on Hom-pre-Lie algebras for simplicity, but one can do it for any discrete groups.*

Let G is acting on the Hom-pre-Lie algebra A . Let H be a subgroup of G . We define the H -fixed point set of A as follows:

$$A^H = \{a \in A \mid ha = a, \forall h \in H\}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *For all subgroup H of G , the H -fixed point set A^H is a sub Hom-pre-Lie algebra of A .*

Proof. It is enough to show that A^H is closed under multiplication and if $a \in A^H$ then $\alpha(a) \in A^H$.

Let $a, b \in A^H$. Observe that

$$h(a \cdot b) = ha \cdot hb = a \cdot b, \quad h(\alpha(a)) = \alpha(h \cdot a) = \alpha(a), \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

Thus, A^H is a sub Hom-pre-Lie algebra of A . □

An O_G -module is a contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{M} : O_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}.$$

Note that \mathbf{Mod} denotes a category of \mathbb{K} -modules, the category whose objects are O_G -modules with morphisms the natural transformations between O_G -modules is an abelian category denoted by C_G .

Definition 4.4. An O_G -Hom-pre-Lie algebra is a contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{A} : O_G \rightarrow \mathbf{HpLie}.$$

\mathbf{HpLie} denotes a category of Hom-pre-Lie algebras over \mathbb{K} with Hom-pre-Lie algebra morphisms between them.

Example 4.5. Suppose A is a Hom-pre-Lie algebra equipped with an action of G , then we have a contravariant functor

$$\chi_A : O_G \rightarrow \mathbf{HpLie},$$

given by $\chi_A(G/H) = A^H$ and for a morphism $\hat{g} : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ corresponding to the subconjugacy relation $g^{-1}Hg \subseteq K$,

$$\chi_A(\hat{g}) = \psi_g : A^K \rightarrow A^H.$$

Thus, χ_A is an O_G -Hom-pre-Lie algebra.

A representation of an O_G -Hom-pre-Lie algebra \mathcal{A} is an O_G -module \mathcal{M} together with a natural transformation β on \mathcal{M} , and the following natural transformations

$$\rho : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad \mu : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

such that for all $G/H \in \text{Ob}(O_G)$, $\mathcal{M}(G/H)$ is a non-equivariant representation of $\mathcal{A}(G/H)$ associated with natural transformations η_l, η_r .

Remark 4.6. From the above definition of the representation in an equivariant setting we have the following relations coming from the naturality of η_l, η_r .

$$\rho(G/H) \circ (\mathcal{A}(\hat{g}) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\hat{g})) = \mathcal{M}(\hat{g}) \circ \rho(G/K), \quad (4.71)$$

$$\mu(G/H) \circ (\mathcal{A}(\hat{g}) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\hat{g})) = \mathcal{M}(\hat{g}) \circ \mu(G/K). \quad (4.72)$$

Remark 4.7. It is well-known that any Hom-pre-Lie algebra A is a representation over itself. In the equivariant setting also one can easily see that any O_G -Hom-pre-Lie algebra \mathcal{A} can be thought of as a representation over itself.

We set

$$S^n(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_{H \leq G} \widetilde{C}^n(\mathcal{A}(G/H), \mathcal{M}(G/H)).$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^n : S^n(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{M}) &\rightarrow S^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{M}), \\ \delta^n &:= \bigoplus_{H \leq G} \widetilde{\partial}_H^n. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\widetilde{\partial}_n^H : \widetilde{C}^n(\mathcal{A}(G/H), \mathcal{M}(G/H)) \rightarrow \widetilde{C}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}(G/H), \mathcal{M}(G/H))$ is a non-equivariant coboundary map.

Clearly, $\{S^\sharp(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{M}), \delta\}$ is a cochain complex. Throughout this paper, we take O_G -Hom-pre-Lie algebra \mathcal{A} as χ_A and consider the cohomology of χ_A with coefficients in χ_A . We define a subcomplex of this cochain complex as follows:

Definition 4.8. *A cochain $c = \{(c_\nu^H, c_\alpha^H) \mid H \leq G\}$ is said to be invariant if for every morphism $\hat{g} : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ corresponding to a subconjugacy relation $g^{-1}Hg \subseteq K$, following relation holds,*

$$\begin{aligned} c_\nu^H \circ \mathcal{A}(\hat{g})^{\otimes n} &= \mathcal{A}(\hat{g}) \circ c_\nu^K, \\ c_\alpha^H \circ \mathcal{A}(\hat{g})^{\otimes n-1} &= \mathcal{A}(\hat{g}) \circ c_\alpha^K. \end{aligned}$$

As χ_A is an O_G -Hom-pre-Lie algebra corresponding to A . This is same as,

$$\begin{aligned} c_\nu^H \circ \psi_g^{\otimes n} &= \psi_g \circ c_\nu^K, \\ c_\alpha^H \circ \psi_g^{\otimes n-1} &= \psi_g \circ c_\alpha^K. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.9. *The set of all invariant n -cochains is a subgroup $S_G^n(\chi_A; \chi_A)$ of $S^n(\chi_A; \chi_A)$. If $c = \{(c_\nu^H, c_\alpha^H)\} \in S^n(\chi_A; \chi_A)$ is an invariant cochain then $\delta^n(c) = \{\widetilde{\partial}_H^n(c_\nu^H, c_\alpha^H)\} \in S^{n+1}(\chi_A; \chi_A)$ is an invariant $(n+1)$ -cochain.*

Proof. Let $c = \{(c_\nu^H, c_\alpha^H)\} \in S^n(\chi_A; \chi_A)$ is an invariant cochain. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} c_\nu^H(ga_1, ga_2, \dots, ga_n) &= gc_\nu^K(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \\ c_\alpha^H(ga_1, ga_2, \dots, ga_{n-1}) &= gc_\alpha^K(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to show that four component differentials $\widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}, \widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}, \widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}$, and $\widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}$ respect the

group action. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}(c_\nu^H)(\psi_g(a_1), \dots, \psi_g(a_{n+1})) \\
&= \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}(c_\nu^H)(ga_1, \dots, ga_{n+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(ga_i)) c_\nu^H(ga_1, \dots, \widehat{ga_i}, \dots, ga_{n+1}) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(ga_{n+1})) c_\nu^H(ga_1, \dots, \widehat{ga_i}, \dots, ga_n, ga_i) \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} c_\nu^H(\alpha(ga_1), \dots, \widehat{ga_i}, \dots, \alpha(ga_n), ga_i \cdot ga_{n+1}) \\
&= g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\alpha^{n-1}(a_i)) c_\nu^H(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \right) \\
&+ g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu(\alpha^{n-1}(a_{n+1})) c_\nu^H(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_n, a_i) \right) \\
&- g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} c_\nu^H(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, \alpha(a_n), a_i \cdot a_{n+1}) \right) \\
&= g \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}(c_\nu^H)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, it is a routine work to check that other three components of the differential also preserves the group action. Thus, $\delta^n(c) = \{\tilde{\partial}_H^n(c_\nu^H, c_\alpha^H)\} \in S^{n+1}(\chi_A; \chi_A)$ is an invariant $(n+1)$ -cochain. \square

Thus, $(S_G^\sharp(\chi_A; \chi_A), \delta)$ is a cochain complex and homology of this complex is called equivariant cohomology of the Hom-pre-Lie algebra A . We denote n th cohomology of A by $\tilde{H}_G^n(A, A)$.

5 Equivariant formal deformation of Hom-pre-Lie algebras

In this section, we study a one-parameter formal deformation theory for Hom-pre-Lie algebras equipped with a group action. We also show that equivariant cohomology defined in Section 4 is the deformation cohomology of such formal deformations.

Let χ_A is an O_G -Hom-pre-Lie algebra. For any subgroup H of G , we denote $A^H[[t]]$ as a formal power series ring in variable t over H -fixed point sets A^H .

Definition 5.1. *A one-parameter formal deformation of χ_A is a family consisting of pair of natural transformation $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ such that for every $G/H \in \text{Ob}(O_G)$, components of \mathbf{v}_t*

are bilinear maps, and components of \mathfrak{a}_t are (invertible) linear maps

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_t(G/H) &: \chi_A(G/H)[[t]] \times \chi_A(G/H)[[t]] \rightarrow \chi_A(G/H)[[t]], \\ \mathfrak{a}_t(G/H) &: \chi_A(G/H)[[t]] \rightarrow \chi_A(G/H)[[t]].\end{aligned}$$

This is same as

$$\nu_t^H : A^H[[t]] \times A^H[[t]] \rightarrow A^H[[t]], \quad \alpha_t^H : A^H[[t]] \rightarrow A^H[[t]]$$

which can be expressed in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_t^H(a, b) &= \nu_0^H(a, b) + \nu_1^H(a, b)t + \nu_2^H(a, b)t^2 + \cdots, \\ \alpha_t^H(a) &= \alpha_0^H(a) + \alpha_1^H(a)t + \alpha_2^H(a)t^2 + \cdots,\end{aligned}$$

such that

1. $\nu_0^H(a, b) = a \cdot b$ and $\alpha_0^H(a) = \alpha(a)$ for $a, b \in A$.
2. For $i \geq 0$, ν_i^H and α_i^H are \mathbb{K} -bilinear and \mathbb{K} -linear maps respectively on A^H , and satisfies the following Hom-pre-Lie algebra relations.

$$\nu_t^H(\nu_t^H(a, b), \alpha_t^H(c)) - \nu_t^H(\alpha_t^H(a), \nu_t^H(b, c)) = \nu_t^H(\nu_t^H(b, a), \alpha_t^H(c)) - \nu_t^H(\alpha_t^H(b), \nu_t^H(a, c)),$$
 for all $a, b, c \in A^H$ and $H \leq G$.
3. For all the subgroups H of G , the map α_t^H is multiplicative, that is, $\nu_t^H(\alpha_t^H(a), \alpha_t^H(b)) = \alpha_t^H(\nu_t^H(a, b))$.
4. For every morphism $\hat{g} : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ and $g \in G$ satisfying $g^{-1}Hg \subseteq K$ the following relations hold-

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_t^H \circ (\chi_A(\hat{g}) \otimes \chi_A(\hat{g})) &= \chi_A(\hat{g}) \circ \nu_t^K, \\ \alpha_t^H \circ \chi_A(\hat{g}) &= \alpha_t^K \circ \chi_A(\hat{g}).\end{aligned}$$

From the Condition 2, we have the following equations for all $n \geq 0$.

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b), \alpha_k^H(c)) - \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \nu_k^H(b, c)) - \nu_i^H(\nu_j^H(b, a), \alpha_k^H(c)) + \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(b), \nu_k^H(a, c)) = 0. \quad (5.73)$$

The Condition 3 is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \alpha_k^H(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 0}} \alpha_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b)) = 0. \quad (5.74)$$

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\nu_n^H - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\alpha_n^H)(a, b, b) \quad (5.75)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b), \alpha_k^H(c)) - \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \nu_k^H(b, c)) - \nu_i^H(\nu_j^H(b, a), \alpha_k^H(c)) + \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(b), \nu_k^H(a, c)). \quad (5.76)$$

Note that

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\nu_n^H)(a, b, c) = \alpha(a) \cdot \nu_n^H(b, c) - \alpha(b) \cdot \nu_n^H(a, c) + \nu_n^H(b, a) \cdot \alpha(c) - \nu_n^H(a, b) \cdot \alpha(c) - \nu_n^H(\alpha(b), a \cdot c) + \nu_n^H(\alpha(a), b \cdot c) - \nu_n^H(a \cdot b, \alpha(c)) - \nu_n^H(b \cdot a, \alpha(c)), \text{ for all } a, b, c \in A^H.$$

and

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha H \nu H} \alpha_n^H)(a, b, c) = \alpha_n^H(b) \cdot (a \cdot c) - \alpha_n^H(a)(b \cdot c) + (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_n^H(c) - (b \cdot a) \cdot \alpha_n^H(c)$$

The Equation 5.74 is same as the following equation involving differentials.

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\nu_n^H - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_n^H)(a, b) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n-1}} \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \alpha_k^H(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ 0 \leq i,j \leq n-1}} \alpha_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b)). \quad (5.77)$$

Observe that

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_n^H)(a, b) = \alpha(a) \cdot \alpha_n^H(b) + \alpha_n^H(a) \cdot \alpha(b) - \alpha_n^H(a \cdot b).$$

and

$$(\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\nu_n^H)(a, b) = \alpha(\nu_n^H(a, b)) - \nu_n^H(\alpha(a), \alpha(b)).$$

Definition 5.2. We define

$$\nu_1^G = \oplus_{H \leq G} \nu_1^H, \quad \alpha_1^G = \oplus_{H \leq G} \alpha_1^H$$

The pair (ν_1^G, α_1^G) is called the equivariant infinitesimal of the given deformation $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$. More generally, assume that (ν_n^H, α_n^H) is the first non-zero term after (ν_0^H, α_0^H) of $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$, for all subgroups H of G and for some $n \geq 0$. We define the n th equivariant infinitesimal of the equivariant deformation $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ as the pair (ν_n^G, α_n^G) , where

$$\nu_n^G = \oplus_{H \leq G} \nu_n^H, \quad \alpha_n^G = \oplus_{H \leq G} \alpha_n^H$$

Similar to the non-equivariant case, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. Let $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ be an equivariant one-parameter formal deformation of the Hom-pre-Lie algebra A . Then the equivariant infinitesimal of a given deformation of A equipped with an action of G is a 2-cocycle of the equivariant α -type cohomology of A .

Now, we show how equivariant α -type cohomology control obstructions of given equivariant formal deformations.

Definition 5.4. An equivariant deformation of order n of A equipped with action of G is given by a $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -bilinear map $\nu_t^H : A^H[[t]] \times A^H[[t]] \rightarrow A^H[[t]]$ and a $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -linear map $\alpha_t^H : A^H[[t]] \rightarrow A^H[[t]]$ of the forms

$$\nu_t^H = \sum_{i=0}^n \nu_i^H t^i, \quad \alpha_t^H = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i^H t^i,$$

such that the pair (ν_t^H, α_t^H) satisfies the definition of the formal deformation of $A^H \pmod{t^{n+1}}$, for all subgroups H of G .

We say an equivariant deformation $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{\alpha}_t)$ of order n is extendable to a deformation of order $(n+1)$ if for all subgroups H , there exists $\nu_{n+1}^H \in \widetilde{C_\nu^{n+1}}(A, A)$ and $\alpha_{n+1}^H \in \widetilde{C_\alpha^{n+1}}(A, A)$ such that

$$\bar{\nu}_t^H = \nu_t^H + \nu_{n+1}^H t^{n+1}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_t^H = \alpha_t^H + \alpha_{n+1}^H t^{n+1},$$

and the pair $(\bar{\nu}_t^H, \bar{\alpha}_t^H)$ satisfies the Definition 5.1 $\pmod{t^{n+2}}$. From an equivariant deformation $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{\alpha}_t)$ of order $(n+1)$, we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b), \alpha_k^H(c)) - \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \nu_k^H(b, c)) - \nu_i^H(\nu_j^H(b, a), \alpha_k^H(c)) + \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(b), \nu_k^H(a, c)) &= 0, \\ \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \alpha_k^H(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ i,j \geq 0}} \alpha_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can re-write the above equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu}\nu_n^H - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\nu}\alpha_n^H)(a, b, c) &= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i^H \circ_{\alpha_j^H} \nu_k^H)(a, b, c) - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i^H \circ_{\alpha_j^H} \nu_k^H)(b, a, c), \\ (\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_n^H - \tilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha}\nu_n^H)(a, b) &= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \alpha_k^H(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j \leq n}} \alpha_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

We define the n th equivariant obstruction to extend an equivariant deformation of order n to $n+1$ as $O_G^n(A) := (\oplus_{H \leq G} O_\nu^{n,H}(A), \oplus_{H \leq G} O_\alpha^{n,H}(A))$, where

$$O_\nu^{n,H}(A) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i^H \circ_{\alpha_k^H} \nu_j^H)(a, b, c) - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} (\nu_i^H \circ_{\alpha_k^H} \nu_j^H)(b, a, c), \quad (5.78)$$

$$O_\alpha^{n,H}(A) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j,k \leq n}} \nu_i^H(\alpha_j^H(a), \alpha_k^H(b)) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0 \leq i,j \leq n}} \alpha_i^H(\nu_j^H(a, b)). \quad (5.79)$$

The proofs of the following results are similar to the proofs of the corresponding non-equivariant results.

Theorem 5.5. *An equivariant deformation $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ of order n is extendable to a deformation of order $n + 1$ if and only if the cohomology class of $O_G^n(A)$ vanishes.*

Corollary 5.6. *The obstruction of extending an equivariant infinitesimal deformation lies in $\widetilde{H}^3_G(A, A)$.*

Let $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ and $(\mathbf{v}'_t, \mathbf{a}'_t)$ be two equivariant formal deformations of A , where $(\nu')_t^H = \sum_{i \geq 0} \nu'_i t^i$, $(\alpha')_t^H = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha'_i t^i$, for all subgroups H of G .

Definition 5.7. *Two equivariant deformations $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ and $(\mathbf{v}'_t, \mathbf{a}'_t)$ are said to be equivalent if for all subgroups H of G , there exists $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -isomorphism $\Psi_t^H : A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]]$ of the form $\Psi_t^H = \sum_{i \geq 0} \psi_i^H t^i$ such that for all $i \geq 0$,*

$$1. \quad \psi_0^H = id \quad (5.80)$$

$$2. \quad \Psi_t^H \circ (\nu'_t)^H = \nu_t^H \circ (\Psi_t^H \otimes \Psi_t^H), \text{ and } \alpha_t^H \circ \Psi_t^H = \Psi_t^H \circ (\alpha'_t)^H. \quad (5.81)$$

Definition 5.8. *An equivariant deformation $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ of A is called trivial if $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ is equivalent to $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{a}_0)$. A Hom-pre-Lie algebra A equipped with a group action is called rigid if it has only trivial deformation upto equivalence.*

This is same as the following equations:

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \psi_i^H ((\nu'_j)^H(a, b)) t^{i+j} = \sum_{i,j,k \geq 0} \nu_i^H (\psi_j^H(a), \psi_k^H(b)) t^{i+j+k}, \quad (5.82)$$

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \alpha_i^H (\psi_j^H(x)) t^{i+j} = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \psi_i^H ((\alpha'_j)^H(x)) t^{i+j}. \quad (5.83)$$

Now comparing coefficients of t , we have

$$(\nu'_1)^H(a, b) + \psi_1^H((\nu'_0)^H(a, b)) = \nu_1^H(a, b) + \nu_0^H(\psi_1^H(a), b) + \nu_0^H(a, \psi_1^H(b)), \quad (5.84)$$

$$\alpha_1^H(a) + \alpha_0^H(\psi_1^H(a)) = (\alpha'_1)^H(a) + \psi_1^H((\alpha'_0)^H(a)). \quad (5.85)$$

The Equations (5.84) and (5.85) are same as

$$(\nu'_1)^H(a, b) - \nu_1^H(a, b) = a \cdot \psi_1^H(b) + \psi_1^H(a) \cdot b - \psi_1^H(a \cdot b) = \widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\nu} \psi_1^H(a, b).$$

$$(\alpha'_1)^H(a) - \alpha_1^H(a) = \alpha(\psi_1^H(a)) - \psi_1^H(\alpha(a)) = \widetilde{\partial}_{\nu\alpha} \psi_1^H(a).$$

Similar to the non-equivariant case, one can show the following results.

Proposition 5.9. *Two equivalent formal deformations of A equipped with an action of G have cohomologous infinitesimals.*

Theorem 5.10. *Let $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ be a non-trivial equivariant formal deformation of the Hom-pre-Lie algebra A . Then $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ is equivalent to a deformation whose infinitesimal is not a coboundary.*

Corollary 5.11. *If $\widetilde{H}^2_G(A, A) = 0$ then every equivariant deformation of A is equivalent to a trivial deformation, that is, A is equivariantly rigid.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The paper is supported by the NSF of China (No. 11761017), Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Foundation (No. [2020]1Y005).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bakalov and V. Kac, Field algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **3** (2003) 123-159.
- [2] D. Balavoine, Deformation of algebras over a quadratic operad. *Contemp. Math.* **202** (1997) 207-234.
- [3] G. E. Bredon, Equivariant cohomology theories, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [4] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet, Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **8** (2001) 395-408.
- [5] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000) 249-273.
- [6] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, *Ann. Math.* **78** (1963) 267-288.
- [7] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras, *Ann. Math.* **(2)** **79** (1964) 59-103.
- [8] Benedikt Hurle and Abdenacer Makhlouf, α -type Hochschild cohomology of Hom-associative algebras and bialgebras. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **56** (2019), no.6, 1655-1687.
- [9] Benedikt Hurle and Abdenacer Makhlouf, α -type Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of Hom-Lie algebras and bialgebras, *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, Volume 62, Special Issue S1 - December 2020.
- [10] A. Lichnerowicz and A. Medina, On Lie groups with left-invariant symplectic or Kahlerian structures, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **16** (1988) 225-235.
- [11] S. Liu, L. Song and R. Tang, Representations and cohomologies of regular Hom-pre-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **19**(2020), 2050149 (22 pages).

- [12] A. Makhlouf, Hom-alternative algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* **8** (2010), 177-190.
- [13] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.*, **2** (2008), 51-64.
- [14] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **9**(2010), 553-589.
- [15] A. Makhlouf and P. Zusmanovich, Hom-Lie structures on Kac-Moody algebras, *Journal of Algebra* **515**(2018), 278-297.
- [16] G. Mukherjee and R. Saha, Equivariant one-parameter formal deformations of Hom-Leibniz algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199720500820>.
- [17] A. Nijenhuis and R. Richardson, Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966) 1-29.
- [18] A. Nijenhuis and R. Richardson, Commutative algebra cohomology and deformations of Lie and associative algebras, *J. Algebra* **9** (1968) 42-105.
- [19] R. Saha, Cup-product in Hom-Leibniz cohomology and Hom-Zinbiel algebras, *Comm. Algebra* (**48**)2020, 4224-4234
- [20] R. Saha, Equivariant associative dialgebras and its one-parameter formal deformations, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, volume 146, 2019, 103491.
- [21] Q. Sun and H. Li, On parakähler Hom-Lie algebras and hom-left-symmetric bialgebras, *Comm. Algebra* **45(1)** (2017) 105-120.