

On Cyclic Matroids and their Applications

Gianira N. Alfarano¹, Karan Khathuria², and Simran Tinani¹

¹Institute of Mathematics, University of Zurich, Switzerland

²Institute of Computer Science, University of Tartu

Abstract

A matroid is a combinatorial structure which captures and generalizes the concept of linear independence under a broader and more abstract framework. Matroids are closely related with many other topics in discrete mathematics, such as graphs, matrices, codes and projective geometries. In this work, we define cyclic matroids as matroids over a ground set of size n whose automorphism group contains the n -cycle. We study the properties of such matroids, with special focus on the minimum size of their bases sets. For this, we broadly employ two different approaches: the multiple basis exchange property, and an orbit-stabilizer method, developed by analyzing the action of the group \mathbb{Z}_n on the set of bases. We further present some applications of our theory to algebra and geometry, by studying the relation between cyclic matroids and cyclic projective planes, cyclic codes and k -normal elements. Finally, a general counting argument for the number of orbits of the cyclic group action is also provided.

1 Introduction

Matroids are versatile combinatorial structures known to have close ties with other entities in discrete mathematics, such as graphs, matrices, codes and projective geometries. In this paper, we introduce a family of matroids called cyclic matroids, which we show are highly pertinent to the study of cyclic projective planes, cyclic codes and k -normal elements over finite fields.

A matroid whose ground set has n elements is called cyclic if the set of its bases is closed under cyclic shifts or, equivalently, if its automorphism group contains the n -cycle. In this paper, we will in particular be interested in studying the minimum number of bases of an arbitrary cyclic matroid.

More specifically, we provide some formulas for lower bounds on the number of the bases in terms of the size n of ground set and the rank k of a cyclic matroid. For this purpose, we employ two different approaches. On the one hand we use the *basis exchange property* on the sets of the form $B_i = \{i, i + 1, \dots, i + k - 1\}$, which we show, are in fact, always basis elements. With this approach we are able to ensure the existence of a certain number of bases of certain different forms in Section 3. On the other hand, we use the fact that \mathcal{B} is closed under the action of the group \mathbb{Z}_n , and find the minimum number of distinct orbits which must be contained in the set \mathcal{B} of all the bases in Section 4.2.

We also study the action of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n on the power set $2^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ in more generality, in Section 7. While this group action has been studied for different purposes (see for instance [1, 16, 17, 12] for more references), to the best of our knowledge, neither the questions we address about it, such as the exact number of orbits, nor its connection to the family of cyclic matroids, has been investigated before.

Counting the bases of matroids is a common problem in combinatorics and in particular in optimization; see [11, 4, 19, 14, 8] to mention a few contributions on the topic. In addition, it has been proved to be a difficult problem to give an estimation of the number of bases of a matroid. Indeed, its exact computational complexity is still only partially understood. More precisely, depending on the class of matroids in question, the exact counting problem may be polynomial time, NP-complete or unsolved. For example, it is NP-complete to count the number of bases of transversal matroids or bicircular matroids [3, 5].

Our main motivation to study cyclic matroids lies in their link to algebra, finite geometry and coding theory. In fact, our investigation started with an analysis of k -normal elements in finite fields, which were introduced in [10]. Let q be a prime power, \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of size q and n be a positive integer. An element α of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} is said k -normal if $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \langle \alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q} = n - k$. We conceptualized cyclic matroids as a framework to analyse this problem from a more general setting.

Using the theory of cyclic matroids in this paper, we lay the stepping stone to answering Problem 1 stated in [20] which asks to determine the bases of $\langle \alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. We give a partial answer to this problem, by providing a lower bound on the number of bases that this space can have. It will also become clear from further discussions that a complete and general answer is difficult to obtain, because of the dependency on the algebraic properties of the field element α , and the existence of multiple cyclic matroids with a given ground set and rank.

It is well-known that matroids may be associated to other mathematical objects, for example to a linear code or a projective plane. Moreover, a matroid is representable if it can be represented as a matrix-matroid or in other words, as a linear code over some field. Interestingly, we observe that every cyclic code of length n and dimension k gives rise to a representable cyclic matroid of rank k and ground set $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and, more in general, the incidence matrix of every cyclic projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$ can be represented as a cyclic matroid over a ground set of size $q^2 + q + 1$, and rank depending on q . Furthermore, we observe and explain a new connection between k -normal elements of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} and cyclic matroids of rank $(n - k)$ and ground set $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. However, these connections leave open a lot of questions, in particular it is not clear if representable cyclic matroids always give rise to cyclic codes or k -normal elements. We leave those problems for further investigation.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce cyclic matroids and study the structure and properties of their bases. In Section 3, we use the multiple basis exchange property to provide different lower bounds on the number of bases of cyclic matroids. In Section 4 we study the orbits and the stabilizers of the group action of \mathbb{Z}_n on the set $2^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$, and then apply this analysis to the bases of cyclic matroids, to obtain another lower bound. Since the formulas for these bounds are all obtained using different approaches, they are not directly

comparable, and one may exceed the other depending on the relationship between n and k . This fact is confirmed in Section 5, where we provide and compare some concrete values of all of our calculated bounds for different values of n and k . In Section 6, we explain the link between cyclic projective planes, cyclic codes and k -normal elements and cyclic matroids. These links indicate that the class of cyclic matroids deserves to be further studied from different points of view, and may hold powerful potential to uncover results on related algebraic, combinatorial and geometric objects. In Section 7, we study the cyclic group action of \mathbb{Z}_n on the set $2^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ in generality, and characterize and exactly count the orbits. Finally, in Appendix A we provide some concrete, non-trivial examples of cyclic matroids found by computer search.

2 Cyclic Matroids

Throughout the paper we define matroids using the bases axioms, unless stated differently. We devote this section to the introduction of cyclic matroids and to the study of the structure of their bases. For the terminology and basic theory on matroids we refer to Oxley [13]. We just recall the following equivalent formulation of the basis exchange property proposed by Green in [7] which we will use many times in the paper.

Lemma 1 (Multiple Exchange Property). *Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{B})$ be a matroid on a ground set E and \mathcal{B} be its collection of bases. Further, let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, and $Q \subset B_1 \setminus B_2$. Then there exists a subset $P \subset B_2 \setminus B_1$ such that $(B_1 \setminus Q) \cup P \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{B})$ be a matroid on the ground set E with bases set \mathcal{B} . An automorphism τ of \mathcal{M} is a permutation of E such that $B \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\tau(B) \in \mathcal{B}$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ is the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{M} under composition.

Definition 1. A matroid $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{B})$ on n elements is called a **cyclic k -matroid** if it has rank k and the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n of order n is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. When the rank of the matroid is clear or not necessary, we simply say that \mathcal{M} is a cyclic matroid.

Note that we consider here a more general definition for cyclic matroids than the definition provided in [22, p. 330] where a cyclic matroid has $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Let us fix the ground set $E = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Then we obtain an equivalent definition for cyclic matroids using the cyclic shifts on E . More precisely, by abuse of notation, we will use the same symbols for the integer a and its residue class $a \pmod n$, and perform arithmetic modulo n , unless stated otherwise. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\} \subseteq E$, we will denote by $s + \{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\}$ the *shifted* subset $\{s + g_0, s + g_1, \dots, s + g_{k-1}\} \subseteq E$. Note that this is simply the image of $\{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\}$ under the permutation σ^s , where σ is the shift $g_i \mapsto g_{(i+1) \pmod n}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. We observe that a matroid \mathcal{M} on E is cyclic if and only if the collection of its bases \mathcal{B} is closed under the shifts, i.e., if $A \in \mathcal{B}$ then $s + A \in \mathcal{B}$, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

We note that the ground set E of a cyclic matroid can be any set with n elements where the cyclic shifts are well-defined. By abuse of notation, we will sometimes use \mathbb{Z}_n for the ground set of a cyclic matroid.

Remark 1. For fixed values of n and k , cyclic matroids are not uniquely determined. Indeed, for example, in the case of $n = 4$ and $k = 2$, we have two distinct cyclic k -matroids with bases $\mathcal{B} = \{\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{0, 3\}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}' = \{\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 0\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$. Note that the matroid $(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{B}')$ is the uniform matroid $U_{4,2}$. In fact, one can notice that every uniform matroid is, by definition, cyclic. On the other hand, whenever $k = n - 1$, $n = \binom{n}{k} = |\mathcal{B}|$, so every k -subset is a basis element, and so the basis set, and thus the cyclic matroid, is unique.

It is easy to see that the dual matroid \mathcal{M}^* of a cyclic k -matroid with ground set of size n , is a cyclic $(n - k)$ -matroid. Moreover, every singleton in a cyclic matroid clearly has rank 1, so a cyclic matroid does not have loops nor isthmuses. Another remarkable property of cyclic k -matroids is that they are connected whenever $k \leq n/2$.

In order to further investigate the cyclic matroids, we consider the group action of \mathbb{Z}_n on the subsets of the ground set. Let $E = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ be the ground set and let 2^E denote the power set of E . Then, we define the following group action of \mathbb{Z}_n on 2^E

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{Z}_n \times 2^E &\rightarrow 2^E \\ (s, A) &\mapsto s + A \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The main problem we address in this paper is counting the minimum number of basis elements, i.e. the minimum cardinality $|\mathcal{B}|$ in a cyclic k -matroid. It clearly follows from the definition that the bases set \mathcal{B} of a cyclic matroid is closed under the action φ . In other words, \mathcal{B} is a union of orbits of 2^E under φ . This group action will be studied in more generality in Section 4, and the obtained results will be used to count the number of bases of cyclic matroids.

Given a cyclic k -matroid \mathcal{M} , we show in Proposition 1 that $B_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ is always a basis of \mathcal{M} . As a result, each of its shifts $B_i = i + B_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, is also a basis. We will refer to these bases as **cyclic bases** for the matroid \mathcal{M} .

We first associate to each subset of \mathbb{Z}_n a set partition in the following way.

Definition 2. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ be any set. Then the **consecutive block structure** of A is the (ordered) set partition of A given by $\pi(A) = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$, where each $D_i = \{d_i, d_i + 1, \dots, d_i + |D_i| - 1\}$ is a maximal subset of A containing consecutive elements modulo n , ordered according to $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_\ell$.

It will be useful also to associate to a k -subset of \mathbb{Z}_n the following tuple.

Definition 3. If $|A| = k$, given the consecutive block structure of A , $\pi(A) = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$, the composition (ordered integer partition) $|D_1| + |D_2| + \dots + |D_\ell|$ of k is called the **block composition** of A , denoted by $c(A) = (|D_1|, |D_2|, \dots, |D_\ell|)$.

Example 1. Let $A = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$, then the consecutive block structure of A is $\pi(A) = (\{2, 3, 4\}, \{6, 7\}, \{9, 0\})$, and the block composition of A is $c(A) = (3, 2, 2)$.

Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic k -matroid. Then $\{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ be a basis of \mathcal{M} , and let $\pi(B) = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$ be its consecutive block structure, i.e. $B = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_\ell$. If $\ell = 1$, then we are done as one of the shifts of B will be equal to $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$.

Now assume that $\ell > 1$. Using the basis exchange property we will construct a new basis that has $\ell - 1$ number of blocks in its consecutive block structure. Note that this is enough to prove the result, as we can apply this argument repetitively until we obtain $\ell = 1$.

Let $D_i = \{d_i, d_i + 1, \dots, d_i + |D_i| - 1\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. We apply the basis exchange property, Lemma 1, with respect to bases B and $B + 1$, to obtain a new basis element $B' = (B \setminus \{b_\ell\}) \cup \{p\}$, for some $p \in (B + 1) \setminus B = \{d_1 + |D_1|, \dots, d_\ell + |D_\ell|\}$.

Let $B' = D'_1 \cup \dots \cup D'_{\ell'}$ be the consecutive block structure of B' . Then it is easy to check that $\ell' = \ell$ or $\ell' = \ell - 1$. If $\ell' = \ell - 1$, then we are done. So assume that $\ell' = \ell$. This implies that $D'_\ell = \{d_\ell + 1, \dots, d_\ell + |D_\ell| - 1\}$ or $D'_\ell = \{d_\ell + 1, \dots, d_\ell + |D_\ell|\}$. In each case, the smallest element in D'_ℓ increases by 1. Hence, by applying this basis exchange process repeatedly the block D'_ℓ either vanishes or merges with the next block D'_1 , and results in a new basis with $\ell - 1$ consecutive blocks. \square

3 Basis Exchange Approach for the Number of Bases

In this section, we present some lower bounds on the size of \mathcal{B} for an arbitrary cyclic matroid $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{B})$. In particular, we use the basis exchange property on the cyclic bases B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} to construct other basis elements.

We first prove some properties of the cyclic bases that we will use to count the number of bases in a cyclic matroid. In the following result we compute the size of the difference between the intersection of two cyclic bases and the basis set B_0 .

Lemma 2. *Let $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n - 1$. Then,*

$$|(B_i \cap B_j) \setminus B_0| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i - j \geq k \\ j + k - \max(k, i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Write $\ell = i - j$, then it is easy to check that

$$B_i \cap B_j = \begin{cases} \{i, i + 1, \dots, j + k - 1\} & \text{if } \ell < k, \ell \leq n - k \\ \{j, j + 1, \dots, i - n + k - 1\} & \text{if } \ell \geq k, \ell > n - k \\ \{j, j + 1, \dots, i - n + k - 1\} \cup \{i, i + 1, \dots, j + k - 1\} & \text{if } \ell < k, \ell > n - k \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Therefore, if $\ell \geq k$, then $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ or $B_i \cap B_j \subseteq B_0$. Whereas, if $\ell < k$ then

$$(B_i \cap B_j) \setminus B_0 = \begin{cases} \{k, k + 1, \dots, j + k - 1\} & \text{if } i \leq k \\ \{i, i + 1, \dots, j + k - 1\} & \text{if } i > k. \end{cases}$$

□

In order to apply the basis exchange property on B_0 , we calculate the collection of all cyclic bases that intersect trivially with a subset of B_0 .

Lemma 3. *Let $Q \subseteq B_0$. Let q_1 and q_2 denote, respectively, the smallest and largest elements of Q . For $i \in \{q_2 - q_1 + 1, \dots, n - k\}$, the $n - k - q_2 + q_1$ bases $B_0 + q_1 + i$ intersect trivially with Q , and any basis satisfying this property must lie among these.*

Proof. We may rule out cyclic bases of the form $B_0 + j$ with $j < q_2$, since these would always contain q_2 . So, we are looking for cyclic bases of the form $B_0 + q_1 + i$ with $i > q_2 - q_1$. For q_1 to lie outside these bases, we would additionally need $k - 1 + q_1 + i < n + q_1$, so $i \leq n - k$. Now let $q \in Q$, and suppose that $q \in B_0 + q_1 + i$ for some $q_2 - q_1 < i \leq n - k$. Thus, $q = b_0 + q_1 + i$ or $q + n = b_0 + q_1 + i$ for some $q_2 - q_1 < i \leq n - k$ and $b_0 \in B_0$. Since $q < q_2$, the first case is impossible. Similarly, $b_0 + q_1 + i < k - 1 + q_1 + i < n + q_1 < n + q$, so the second case is also impossible. Thus, $Q \subseteq B_0 \setminus B_{q_1+i}$ for all $q_2 - q_1 < i \leq n - k$. The number of such bases is clearly given by $n - k - q_2 + q_1$, which is the number of valid indices i . □

Lemma 4. *Let $Q \subseteq B_0$ be fixed with $|Q| = r$. Let q_1 and q_2 be the smallest and largest elements of Q , respectively, and assume that $q_2 - q_1 < n - k$. For any $q \in B_0$ and $q_2 - q_1 + 1 \leq j < i \leq n - k$, we have that $|(B_{q+i} \setminus B_0) \cap (B_{q+j} \setminus B_0)| < r$ if and only if $i - j \geq k - r + 1$.*

Proof. Let $q_2 - q_1 + 1 \leq j < i \leq n - k$ and $i - j \geq k - r + 1$. Note first that $(B_{q+i} \setminus B_0) \cap (B_{q+j} \setminus B_0) = (B_{q+i} \cap B_{q+j}) \setminus B_0$. Thus, by Lemma 2, we have

$$|(B_{q_1+i} \setminus B_0) \cap (B_{q_1+j} \setminus B_0)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i - j \geq k \\ q_1 + j + k - \max(k, q_1 + i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, since $r \leq q_2 - q_1 + 1 \leq j < i$, the smallest value of j and i , respectively, in the above expressions are $q_2 - q_1 + 1$ and $q_2 - q_1 + k - r + 2$, respectively. Thus, $q_1 + i \geq (q_2 - r) + k + 2 \geq q_1 + 1 + k > k$, and so for any $q \in B_0$ we have $\max\{k, q + i\} = q_1 + i$ for the relevant values of i . Now, if $i - j \geq k$, we are done. If $i - j < k$, then, $|(B_{q+i} \setminus B_0) \cap (B_{q+j} \setminus B_0)| < r$, which can happen if and only if $q + j + k - q - i < r$ and equivalently when $i - j > k - r$. This completes the proof. □

For an arbitrary cyclic k -matroid $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$, we know that all the n shifts of $B_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ are bases of \mathcal{M} . In the following results, we use Lemma 1 to show the existence of more bases in \mathcal{B} .

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic k -matroid and let $Q \subseteq B_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ with $|Q| = r$. Let q_1 and q_2 denote, respectively, the smallest and largest elements of Q and assume that $q_2 - q_1 < n - k$. Define $m = \left\lfloor \frac{n - k - q_2 + q_1 - 1}{k - r + 1} \right\rfloor + 1$. Then, there exist m distinct bases in \mathcal{B} of the form $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P_i$, where $P_i \subseteq B_{q_1+i} \setminus B_0$ and $q_2 - q_1 < i \leq n - k$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3, we have $Q \cap B_{q_1+i} = \emptyset$ precisely for the $n - k - q_2 + q_1$ values of $i \in I := \{q_2 - q_1 + 1, \dots, n - k\}$. Applying Lemma 1 to B_0 and B_{q_1+i} for these values of i , we get subsets $P_i \subseteq B_{q_1+i} \setminus B_0$ such that $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P_i$ is a basis.

Using the result of Lemma 4 for $q = q_1$, we have $|(B_{q_1+i} \setminus B_0) \cap (B_{q_1+j} \setminus B_0)| < r$ for each pair $i, j \in I$ that satisfies $i - j \geq k - r + 1$. Thus, for each

$$i \in \{q_2 - q_1 + 1, q_2 - q_1 + 1 + (k - r + 1), \dots, q_2 - q_1 + 1 + \tilde{m}(k - r + 1)\},$$

where $\tilde{m} = \left\lfloor \frac{n-k-q_2+q_1-1}{k-r+1} \right\rfloor$ is the largest integer such that $q_2 - q_1 + 1 + \tilde{m}(k - r + 1) \leq n - k$, we get distinct bases $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P_i$. Thus, there are $m = \tilde{m} + 1$ bases in \mathcal{B} of this form. \square

We now apply this proposition on each subset Q of B_0 to obtain a lower bound on the size of \mathcal{B} . For the next two theorems, we use the following convention for binomial coefficients:

$$\binom{a}{-1} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \geq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } a = -1. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic k -matroid. Then, there are at least $m_1(n, k)$ distinct bases in \mathcal{B} of the form $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P$, where $Q \subseteq B_0$, $P \subseteq B_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and*

$$m_1(n, k) = 1 + \sum_{\Delta=0}^{\min(k-1, n-k-1)} \sum_{r=1}^{\Delta+1} (k - \Delta) \binom{\Delta - 1}{r - 2} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n - k - \Delta - 1}{k - r + 1} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).$$

Proof. First note that for distinct subsets Q, Q' of B_0 , bases of the form $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P$ and $(B_0 \setminus Q') \cup P'$ are distinct regardless of P and P' . So, we may simply add up the number of bases resulting from the individual subsets Q .

Now for a subset Q of B_0 with smallest and largest terms q_1 and q_2 , respectively, and size $r \geq 1$, write $\Delta = q_2 - q_1$ as the value corresponding to Q .

In the case of $\Delta = 0$, we get $r = 1$ and the number of bases of the form $(B_0 \setminus \{q\}) \cup \{p\}$ is given by $k \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)$. This directly follows from Proposition 2 by taking $q_1 = q_2 = q$ and $r = 1$.

For a fixed value of $\Delta \in \{1, 2, \dots, \min\{k - 1, n - k - 1\}\}$, we may calculate the number of subsets Q corresponding to Δ and with a fixed size r as follows. There are $(k - \Delta)$ subsets of B_0 of the form $\{q_1, q_1 + 1, \dots, q_1 + \Delta\}$, each with size $\Delta + 1$. Any Q must contain q_1 and $q_1 + \Delta$, and may then contain any $(r - 2)$ -subset of the remaining $\Delta + 1 - 2 = \Delta - 1$ elements of this set. Thus, this gives us a total of $(k - \Delta) \binom{\Delta - 1}{r - 2}$ options for Q corresponding to r .

We may further sum over the relevant values of r for a given value of Δ , i.e. from 2 to $\Delta + 1$. For each of these subsets Q , there are at least $\left\lfloor \frac{n-k-\Delta-1}{k-r+1} \right\rfloor + 1$ distinct bases in \mathcal{B} , by Proposition 2. Finally, we add 1 to include the case $Q = \emptyset$. This completes the proof. \square

The next results provide a different bound on the number of bases of a cyclic matroid.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic k -matroid. Then, there are at least $m_2(n, k)$ distinct bases in \mathcal{B} of the form $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P_{i_1 k} \cup P_{i_2 k} \cup \dots \cup P_{i_w k} \cup P_{(\ell+1)k}$, where $P_{i_t k} \subseteq B_{i_t k}$ for $1 \leq i_t \leq \ell$, $P_{(\ell+1)k} \subseteq B_{(\ell+1)k}$, $0 \leq w \leq \ell$, $\ell = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - 1$, and*

$$m_2(n, k) = \sum_{|Q|=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n-(\ell+1)k, |Q|)} \binom{(\ell+2)k-n}{j} \binom{k-j}{|Q|-j} \sum_{w=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{w} \binom{|Q|-j-1}{w-1}.$$

Proof. Note that $\ell = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - 1$ gives the number of cyclic bases of the form B_{i_k} , $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, which are disjoint pairwise as well from B_0 , and for which basis exchange is possible for any subset $Q \subseteq B_0$. If $k \nmid n$ then we have an additional basis $B_{(\ell+1)k}$ with $|B_{(\ell+1)k} \setminus B_0| = n - (\ell+1)k$. Clearly, on performing multiple basis exchanges, for every $Q \subseteq B_0$ we obtain that there must be at least one basis element of the form

$$(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P_{i_1 k} \cup P_{i_2 k} \cup \dots \cup P_{i_w k} \cup P_{(\ell+1)k}, \quad (4)$$

where $P_{i_t k} \subseteq B_{i_t k}$ for $1 \leq i_t \leq \ell$, $P_{(\ell+1)k} \subseteq B_{(\ell+1)k}$, $0 \leq w \leq \ell$. Note that any basis element can be uniquely written as in (4), hence it is counted at most once.

We count all sets of this form as follows. For a given subset Q , let j denote the number of elements $P_{(\ell+1)k}$ picked from the last basis element $B_{(\ell+1)k}$. This leaves $|Q| - j$ elements to be picked from the remaining bases, which is possible in any way since they are all disjoint from B_0 .

Let w be the number of bases $B_{i_t k}$, $1 \leq t \leq w$, $1 \leq i_t \leq \ell$ chosen for basis exchange with B_0 after $B_{(\ell+1)k}$. For $w > 0$, the number of possibilities equals to the number of compositions of $|Q| - j$ of length w , i.e. to $\binom{|Q|-j-1}{w-1}$. The option $w = 0$ may be included in this expression as well, using our convention (3), since it must be counted only if $|Q| = j$. Now since $\binom{\ell}{w}$ gives the number of choices of the bases $B_{i_j k}$ used for exchange, the total number of bases obtained by this process for a fixed subset Q and fixed $j > 0$ is $\sum_{w=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{w} \binom{|Q|-j-1}{w-1}$.

Now, for fixed values of $|Q|$ and j , Q can be chosen in the following way: first pick j elements from $B_0 \setminus B_{(\ell+1)k}$ and then $|Q| - j$ elements from the remaining $k - j$ elements in B_0 . Thus, the total number of bases obtained by this process for a fixed cardinality $|Q|$ and fixed $j > 0$ is $\binom{(\ell+2)k-n}{j} \binom{k-j}{|Q|-j} \sum_{w=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{w} \binom{|Q|-j-1}{w-1}$.

Finally, note that $|B_{(\ell+1)k} \setminus B_0| = n - (\ell+1)k$ and $|B_0 \setminus B_{(\ell+1)k}| = (\ell+2)k - n$. So, we must have $j \leq \min(|Q|, n - (\ell+1)k, (\ell+2)k - n)$. Due to the presence of the term $\binom{(\ell+2)k-n}{j} \binom{k-j}{|Q|-j}$, we may do away with the last term in the minimum. Q is allowed to vary across all subsets of B_0 , so we take a sum over $0 \leq |Q| \leq k$. This completes the proof. \square

4 Group Action Approach for the Number of Bases

In this section we study the group action of \mathbb{Z}_n on the power set $2^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n , defined in (1), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_n \times 2^{\mathbb{Z}_n} &\rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Z}_n} \\ (s, A) &\mapsto A + s\end{aligned}$$

For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, the orbit of A is denoted by $\text{Orb}(A) = \{A + s : s \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ and the stabilizer of A is denoted by $\text{Stab}(A) = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_n : A + s = A\}$.

It is easy to see that a cyclic matroid is a union of orbits of $2^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ under φ . Therefore, in order to study some properties of a cyclic matroid, we analyse here the orbits and stabilizers of φ .

Remark 2. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $\text{Stab}(A) = \text{Stab}(A^C)$, and hence $|\text{Orb}(A)| = |\text{Orb}(A^C)|$, where A^C denotes the complement of A in \mathbb{Z}_n .

4.1 Size of a Stabilizer

We know that for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, $\text{Stab}(A)$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n . This implies that $|\text{Stab}(A)|$ divides n . Moreover, $\text{Stab}(A) = \langle s_0 \rangle$ for some s_0 that divides n .

Proposition 3. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $s \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Then $s \in \text{Stab}(A)$ if and only if A is a union of arithmetic progressions with common difference s , each with length $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)}$.*

Proof. First assume that $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_r$, where each A_i is an arithmetic progression with common difference s and having length $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)}$. Pick a “first term” in each A_i and denote it by a_i . (This choice is arbitrary since we are working modulo n .) Note that the additive order of $s \bmod n$ is equal to the cardinality of A_i , i.e. $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)}$, and so $a_i + j \cdot s \bmod n \in A_i$ for all $j \geq 0$. In other words, we must have $A_i + s = A_i$, for every index $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Therefore, $A + s = A$.

Conversely, assume that $A + s = A$, and pick $a_1 \in A$. Again, since the additive order of $s \bmod n$ is equal to $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)}$, we must have $a_1 + \frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)} \cdot s = a_1$, and $A_1 := \{a_1, a_1 + s, \dots, a_1 + (\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)} - 1) \cdot s\} \subseteq A$. If $A = A_1$, then the proof is complete. If not, we have some $a_2 \in A \setminus A_1$, so $A_2 := \{a_2, a_2 + s, \dots, a_2 + (\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)} - 1) \cdot s\} \subseteq A$, and $A_2 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$. Continuing in this manner, we obtain, in a finite number of steps, $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \dots \cup A_r$, where each A_i is an arithmetic progression with common difference s and having length $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)}$. This completes the proof. \square

In particular, the result also holds for a generator of the stabilizer group.

Corollary 1. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $r = \frac{k \gcd(n, s_0)}{n}$. Then*

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^r (a_i + \text{Stab}(A)), \tag{5}$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Consequently, $|\text{Stab}(A)|$ divides k , and thus also $\gcd(k, n)$.

Proof. Proposition 3 implies that $s \in \text{Stab}(A)$ if and only if A is a union of $\frac{k \gcd(n,s)}{n}$ many full-length arithmetic progressions, each with common difference s . Thus, we obtain

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^r (a_i + \text{Stab}(A)),$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We may assume that $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$. \square

As a consequence of this result, we note that whenever n and k are co-prime, $\text{Stab}(A)$ is trivial for all subsets $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ of size k .

In the following, we study the orbits and stabilizers from a different point of view. We relate the size of the stabilizer of A with the number of parts in the consecutive block structure $\pi(A)$ of A .

Proposition 4. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\pi(A) = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$. Then $|\text{Stab}(A)|$ divides ℓ .*

Proof. Let $\text{Stab}(A) = \langle s \rangle$. If $s = 0$, then the statement follows immediately.

Assume $s \neq 0$ and let $r = n/s = |\text{Stab}(A)|$. We define the following relation on the set $\{D_1, \dots, D_\ell\}$:

$$D_i \sim D_j \iff D_i = D_j + ts \text{ for some } t \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}.$$

It is easy to check that \sim is an equivalence relation.

We next show that each equivalence class contains exactly r elements. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $t \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$, we have that $D_i + ts \in \{D_1, \dots, D_\ell\}$, because $A + ts = A$. Moreover, if $D_i + t_1s = D_i + t_2s$ for some $t_1, t_2 \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$, then $t_1 = t_2$. This implies that each equivalence class contains r elements. Hence, r divides ℓ . \square

We know that a cyclic k -matroid over \mathbb{Z}_n contains bases of the form $B_i = \{i, i+1, \dots, i+k-1\}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Clearly, all these bases belong to the same orbit, as $B_i = B_0 + i$ for each i . Moreover, using Lemma 1 we get that, for each subset $Q \subset B_0$, there exists a basis of the form $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P$ for some $P \subset B_0^C$. As an application of Proposition 4, we obtain the following bound on the size of the stabilizer of such sets.

Corollary 2. *Let $A = (B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, where $Q \subset B_0$ and $P \subset B_0^C$ with $|Q| = |P|$. Then $|\text{Stab}(A)| \leq 2|Q| + 1$.*

Proof. Let r and s be the number of blocks in the consecutive block structure of Q and P , respectively. Observe that the number of blocks in the consecutive block structure of A takes one of the values in the set $\{r+s-1, r+s, r+s+1\}$. By Proposition 4, $|\text{Stab}(A)|$ divides this value, and is thus bounded above by the value $2|Q| + 1$, as required. \square

Example 2. Let $A = (\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \setminus \{q\}) \cup \{p\}$ for some $q \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $p \in \{k, k+1, \dots, n-1\}$. Using Corollary 2, we get that $|\text{Stab}(A)| \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Note that if n is

not a multiple of 2 or 3, then $\text{Stab}(A)$ is trivial. We examine the case $|\text{Stab}(A)| > 1$. We may assume that $2 \leq k \leq n/2$, as $\text{Stab}(A) = \text{Stab}(A^C)$.

1. $|\text{Stab}(A)| = 3$ if and only if $n = 6, k = 3$, and $A = \{0, 2, 4\}$.

In this case, the consecutive block structure of A is

$$\pi(A) = (\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}, \{q+1, \dots, k-1\}, \{p\}).$$

Thus, $\text{Stab}(A) = \langle n/3 \rangle$ if and only if the sizes of each block are equal and the shift by $n/3$ permutes them. This is possible only if $q = 1, k = 3, p = 4$ and $n = 6$.

2. $|\text{Stab}(A)| = 2$ if and only if n is even, and $A = \{0, n/2\}$ or $A = \{1, n/2 + 1\}$.

In this case, $|A \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n/2 - 1\}| = |A \cap \{n/2, n/2 + 1, \dots, n - 1\}|$. Since $k \leq n/2$, we have that $|A \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n/2 - 1\}| \geq k - 1$ and $|A \cap \{n/2, n/2 + 1, \dots, n - 1\}| \leq 1$. Therefore, $k = 2$ and hence $A = \{0, n/2\}$ or $A = \{1, n/2 + 1\}$.

4.2 Number of bases

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic k -matroid. Then \mathcal{B} is closed under the action (1) of \mathbb{Z}_n , and hence it is a union of some orbits of subsets of size k . The following two results are based on the observation that the block composition $c(s+A)$ is simply a cyclic shift of the block composition $c(A)$, hence they are equal as unordered sets. This leads to a bound on the number of distinct orbits of bases of the form $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P$, where $B_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, $Q \subseteq B_0$ and $P \subseteq B_0^C$, which are contained in \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ be integers, and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic k -matroid. Then there are at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \rfloor + 1$ orbits of bases of the form $A = (B_0 \setminus \{q\}) \cup \{p\}$, where $B_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, $q \in B_0$ and $p \in B_0^C$. Consequently, there are at least $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,k)} (\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \rfloor + 1)$ bases of the form $A = B_0 \setminus \{q\} \cup \{p\}$ for $0 \leq q < k$ and $k-1 < p < n$.*

Proof. We first note that the block composition associated to $A = (B_0 \setminus \{q\}) \cup \{p\}$ has the following possibilities.

$$c(A) = \begin{cases} (q, k-q-1, 1) & \text{if } q \notin \{0, k-1\}, p \notin \{k, n-1\} \\ (k-q-1, q+1) & \text{if } q \notin \{0, k-1\}, p = n-1 \\ (q, k-q) & \text{if } q \notin \{0, k-1\}, p = k \\ (k-1, 1) & \text{if } q = 0, p \neq k \text{ or } q = k-1, p \neq n-1 \\ (k) & \text{if } q = 0, p = k \text{ or } q = k-1, p = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Now suppose that $A = (B_0 \setminus \{q\}) \cup \{p\}$ and $A' = (B_0 \setminus \{q'\}) \cup \{p'\}$ are in the same orbit, i.e. $s+A = A'$ for some s . Clearly, the block composition of A' is then a shift of $c(A)$. Thus, we have one of the following cases:

1. $\{q, k-q-1, 1\} = \{q', k-q'-1, 1\}$, i.e. $q = q'$ or $q+q' = k-1$.

2. $\{q + 1, k - q - 1\} = \{q' + 1, k - q' - 1\}$, i.e. $q = q'$ or $q + q' = k$.
3. $\{q, k - q\} = \{q', k - q'\}$, i.e. $q = q'$ or $q + q' = k + 1$.
4. $\{q, k - q\} = \{q' + 1, k - q' - 1\}$ or $\{q + 1, k - q - 1\} = \{q', k - q'\}$, i.e. $q = q' \pm 1$ or $q + q' = k - 1$.
5. $q, q' \in \{0, k - 1\}$.

Lemma 3 shows that there exist $(n - k)$ bases not containing q . Thus, if we fix q and pick q' so that $|q - q'| > 1$ and $q + q' < k - 1$, then all the resulting bases give rise to distinct orbits. In particular, there is a distinct orbit corresponding to each of the $m + 1$ values $q \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2 \cdot m\}$, where $2m + 2(m - 1) < k - 1 \leq 2(m + 1) + 2m$, or $m = \lceil \frac{k-3}{4} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{k}{4} \rfloor$. The final result on the number of bases follows using Corollary 1, which implies that the size of an orbit is at least $n/\gcd(n, k)$. \square

We further improve the lower bound on the number of orbits by considering the bases of the form $(B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P$, where $|Q| > 1$ and $P \subseteq B_0^C$.

Theorem 4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ be integers, and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic k -matroid. Let $M = \left\lfloor \log_2 \left(\frac{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1}{3} \right) \right\rfloor$. Then $M + \lfloor \frac{k}{4} \rfloor + 1$ is a lower bound for the total number of orbits in \mathcal{B} , and we have the following lower bound on the number of bases in \mathcal{B} :*

$$m_3(n, k) = \left(M + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \frac{n}{\gcd(n, k)}.$$

Proof. Let r and s denote respectively the number of blocks in the consecutive block structure of Q and P . It is easy to see that the number of blocks in the block structure of the basis element $A = (B_0 \setminus Q) \cup P$ is at least $r + s - 1$ and at most $r + s + 1$. Now, if $|Q| \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$, we can choose Q so that $r = |Q|$. Then A has at least r and at most $2r + 1$ blocks in its decomposition.

Consider the finite sequence $S = (x_1 = 1, x_2 = 4, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_\ell)$, where $x_i = 2x_{i-1} + 2$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell$, and where ℓ is such that $x_\ell \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ and $2x_\ell + 2 \geq k/2$. Then for each pair of distinct $r, r' \in S$, there are sets $Q_r, Q_{r'}$ with $|Q_r| = r, |Q_{r'}| = r'$ that have respectively r and r' blocks, and give rise to distinct orbits. Thus, the size ℓ of the sequence S gives a lower bound for the number of orbits.

We have, $x_i = 2x_{i-1} + 2 = 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{i-2} + 2^i = 3 \cdot 2^{i-1} - 2$. Moreover, for each index i , $3 \cdot 2^{i-1} - 2 = x_i \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$, or $i \leq \log_2 \left(\frac{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 2}{3} \right) + 1$. Therefore we have

$$\ell = \left\lfloor \log_2 \left(\frac{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 2}{3} \right) \right\rfloor + 1.$$

From the discussion above, it is clear that the number of orbits arising from the case $|Q| > 1$ is at least $\ell - 1$. From Theorem 3 we also have the lower bound $\lfloor k/4 \rfloor + 1$ for the number of orbits for $|Q| = 1$. Plugging in this value then gives the result. \square

Remark 3. Combining the results from Theorems 1, 2 and 4, we get three distinct lower bounds $m_1(n, k)$, $m_2(n, k)$ and $m_3(n, k)$ on the number of basis elements. We can further improve these bounds using the following two observations:

1. The dual of a cyclic k -matroid is a cyclic $(n - k)$ -matroid. Thus, $m_1(n, n - k)$, $m_2(n, n - k)$ and $m_3(n, n - k)$ are also lower bounds on the number of bases in a cyclic k -matroid.
2. When $\gcd(n, k) = 1$, each orbit under the action (1) has n elements. Since the set of bases \mathcal{B} is a collection of orbits, the number of bases in \mathcal{B} in this case must be a multiple of n . So, the lower bound $m_i(n, k)$ can be improved to $\lceil \frac{m_i}{n} \rceil n$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

From the above remark, we obtain the best possible lower bound m_B from the discussed theory:

$$m_B(n, k) = \begin{cases} \max \{m_i(n, t) \mid i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, t \in \{k, n - k\}\} & \text{if } \gcd(n, k) \neq 1 \\ \max \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{m_i(n, t)}{n} \right\rceil n \mid i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, t \in \{k, n - k\} \right\} & \text{if } \gcd(n, k) = 1. \end{cases}$$

5 Experimental Results

In the following discussion, we compare explicit values of the different bounds for some values of n and k . In Table 1, we provide some values for each bound on the number of bases for different n and k . Note that in the case of $n = 6$, $k = 3$, the bound 8 is achieved exactly in the cyclic matroid 1 of Example 4. We graphically show in Figures 1 and 2 the variation of the bounds m_1 , m_2 and m_3 with different values of, and relationships between, n and k .

Observe that the bounds m_1 and m_2 by far exceed m_3 for the “middle” values of k , whereas m_3 becomes significant when k is large enough, particularly when k attains its maximum value $k = n - 2$ (We disregard the case $k = n - 1$ since this only gives the uniform matroid). It is also observed that the difference between m_2 and the other two bounds increases rapidly as n is increased. This is to be expected since m_2 counts more types of bases than m_1 and m_3 , and the possibilities for these types grow rapidly with n .


k	$m_1(n, k)$	$m_1(n, n - k)$	$m_2(n, k)$	$m_2(n, n - k)$	$m_3(n, k)$	$m_3(n, n - k)$	m_B
2	8	8	8	4	3	6	8
3	8	8	8	8	2	2	8

(a) $n = 6$

k	$m_1(n, k)$	$m_1(n, n - k)$	$m_2(n, k)$	$m_2(n, n - k)$	$m_3(n, k)$	$m_3(n, n - k)$	m_B
2	19	18	25	29	11	44	44
3	27	28	29	26	11	44	44
4	35	40	25	8	22	22	44
5	47	48	100	2	22	22	110

(b) $n = 11$

k	$m_1(n, k)$	$m_1(n, n - k)$	$m_2(n, k)$	$m_2(n, n - k)$	$m_3(n, k)$	$m_3(n, n - k)$	m_B
2	27	26	42	67	15	75	75
3	40	44	63	33	5	25	130
4	60	72	69	99	30	60	105
5	85	112	112	32	6	12	112
6	124	160	223	8	10	20	223
7	156	192	518	2	30	60	525

(c) $n = 15$ Table 1: Comparison of the lower bounds on the number of bases in an arbitrary cyclic k -matroid over a ground set of size n .Figure 1: Comparison of the bounds for fixed values of n and varying k .




Figure 2: Comparison of the bounds for fixed values of k and varying n .

In Appendix A we provide some explicit examples of cyclic matroids, generated through a randomized computer search.

6 Examples and Applications

In this section, we provide several examples of algebraic, geometric and combinatorial object that may be easily linked to cyclic matroids.

6.1 Cyclic projective planes and cyclic codes

We recall the definition of projective plane. Let q be a prime power. A projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is an incidence structure with $q^2 + q + 1$ points and $q^2 + q + 1$ lines which satisfy the following axioms:

1. Every two points are incident with exactly one line.
2. Every two lines are incident with exactly one point.

3. There are four points such that no three of them are collinear.

Moreover, every line in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is incident with exactly $q + 1$ points and dually, every point is incident with exactly $q + 1$ lines. The incidence relation of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ can be represented by an incidence matrix A , whose rows and columns are indexed by points and lines respectively such that

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_i \in \ell_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, q^2 + q + 1\}$, the P_i 's are the points and ℓ_j 's are the lines of the projective planes.

A **collineation** of a projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is a map from $\text{PG}(2, q)$ to itself which preserves collinearity of the points. The set of collineation of a projective plane forms a group, called **collineation group**.

Definition 4. A projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$ whose collineation group is cyclic transitive on the points of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is called **cyclic projective plane**.

There are many works on the existence of cyclic projective planes and their collineation groups. We refer the interested reader to [9, 2].

Proposition 5. *The collineation group \mathcal{G} of a cyclic projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is generated by the $(q^2 + q + 1)$ -cycle.*

Proof. Let ϕ be a generator for \mathcal{G} . If ϕ is not a $(q^2 + q + 1)$ -cycle, then it can be decomposed in the product of ℓ cycles with $\ell > 1$. This means that the points of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ can be partitioned in ℓ distinct orbits, which contradicts the transitivity of \mathcal{G} . \square

Cyclic projective planes are closely related to *difference sets* and this link was already discovered by Singer in [18]. Here, we are only interested in mentioning the link with cyclic k -matroids.

It is immediate to see that the adjacency matrix A of a cyclic projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is a circulant matrix. The rank of A over \mathbb{F}_p for p prime is known when $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is a Desarguesian projective plane, i.e. a point-line geometry whose points and lines are the one- and two-dimensional subspaces of a vector space of dimension 3. This computation is due to Graham and MacWilliams; see [6]. Here we state the result only for \mathbb{F}_2 .

Theorem 5. *The rank of the adjacency matrix A of a Desarguesian projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$ over \mathbb{F}_2 is*

$$k = \begin{cases} q^2 + q & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \\ 3^t + 1 & \text{if } q = 2^t. \end{cases}$$

Let $D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ be a matrix. We can associate to D a matroid in the following way. Let $E = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the set of column labels of D . Let \mathcal{I} be the set of subsets I of E for which the multiset of columns of D indexed by I are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$\mathcal{M}(D) = (E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid and \mathcal{I} satisfies the independent axioms. A proof of this can be found in [13, Theorem 1.1.1]. The following result is immediately obtained by Proposition 5.

Corollary 3. *With the notation of above, A gives rise to a representable cyclic k -matroid, with k equal to the rank of A .*

It is well-known also that the adjacency matrix of a Desarguesian cyclic projective plane generates a binary cyclic code; see [15]. Hence, this class of cyclic matroids is a subclass of the one deriving from cyclic codes. Here, we briefly give some background on the algebraic theory of codes, with a particular focus on cyclic codes in order to establish a relation with cyclic matroids. For a detailed treatment of cyclic codes, we refer to [21, Chapter 6].

Definition 5. An $[n, k]_q$ (linear) code is a k -dimensional vector space of \mathbb{F}_q^n . The vectors in \mathcal{C} are called **codewords**. A matrix $G \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \text{rowsp}(G)$ is called a **generator matrix** for \mathcal{C} .

It is clear that the generator matrix of a code is not unique. Cyclic codes are one of the most studied family of codes due to their polynomial representation as ideals of $\mathbb{F}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$.

The vectorial representation of cyclic code is the following.

Definition 6. A code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is said to be **cyclic** if for every codeword $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$, also the cyclic shift of c , namely $\text{sh}(c) = (c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2})$, is a codeword.

Consider the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{F}_q^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle \\ (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) &\mapsto c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that ϕ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Moreover, it is well-known that \mathcal{C} is a cyclic code in \mathbb{F}_q^n if and only if $\phi(\mathcal{C})$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ (which derives from the fact that $\phi(\text{sh}(c)) = x\phi(c) \pmod{x^n - 1}$). With abuse of notation, we identify \mathcal{C} with $\phi(\mathcal{C})$ and we say that a cyclic code is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$.

Since $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ is a principal ideal ring, every cyclic code consists of the multiples of a polynomial $g(x)$ which is the monic polynomial of lowest degree in the ideal. Such a polynomial $g(x)$ is called **generator polynomial**, it divides $x^n - 1$ and if $g(x)$ has degree $n - k$, then the cyclic code that it generates has dimension k .

To a linear code \mathcal{C} with generator matrix G we can associate a representable matroid $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{M}(G)$.

Proposition 6. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k]_q$ cyclic code with generator matrix G . Then $\mathcal{M}(G)$ is a cyclic k -matroid.*

Proof. The bases in the matroid $\mathcal{M}(G)$ correspond to the sets of indices of k linearly independent columns. Observe that since \mathcal{C} is cyclic, then the matrix $\text{sh}(G)$ obtained by shifting on the right every row of G is still a generator matrix for \mathcal{C} . In particular, the cyclic shift of each basis is still a basis. \square

Remark 4. It is immediate to see that the cyclic property is not invariant under permutation of the coordinates. Hence, in general, it is necessary to find an appropriate relabelling of the points of the matroid, in order to obtain a cyclic one. The same property it is not invariant for cyclic codes, i.e. cyclicity is not preserved under permutation of columns.

Example 3. Consider the simplex code $[7, 3]_2$ with generator matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

G is clearly the generator matrix of a cyclic code. Moreover, the matroid $\mathcal{M}(G)$ associate to it is the well-known **Fano matroid**, whose name derives from the Fano plane $\text{PG}(2, 2)$. This is known as F_7 , the ground set is $E = \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$ and the set of bases is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} = \{ & \{0, 3, 6\}, \{0, 2, 5\}, \{0, 2, 4\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{0, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 5\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \\ & \{2, 3, 6\}, \{0, 1, 4\}, \{0, 4, 6\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 5, 6\}, \{1, 3, 6\}, \{0, 3, 5\}, \\ & \{2, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{3, 5, 6\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 6\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{0, 5, 6\}, \\ & \{0, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \{1, 4, 6\} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and it is not difficult to see that it satisfy the property of 3-cyclic matroids. It can be graphically represented as in Figure 3, where each base is made of three points that are not collinear.




Figure 3: Cyclic Fano matroid F_7

We don't know if in general the converse of Proposition 6 is true or not. We state this as an open problem.

Problem 1. *Verify the converse of Proposition 6, i.e. given a representable cyclic k -matroid \mathcal{M} on n elements, determine if there exists a field \mathbb{F}_q and an $[n, k]_q$ cyclic code \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{M}$.*

In some cases, experimental results show that the answer to the previous open problem should be positive, as the next example illustrates.

Example 4. Let $n = 6$ and $k = 3$. An exhaustive computer program shows that there are exactly three cyclic matroids on the ground set $E = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and having rank 3. Each of the three matroids corresponds to a cyclic code.

1. \mathcal{M}_1 with 8 bases elements, comprising of 6 bases from the orbit of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and 2 bases from the orbit of $\{0, 2, 4\}$.
For $\mathcal{C}_1 = \langle x^3 + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^6 - 1 \rangle$, we get $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$.
2. \mathcal{M}_2 with 18 bases elements, comprising of 6 bases from the orbit of $\{0, 1, 2\}$, 6 bases from the orbit of $\{0, 1, 3\}$, and 6 bases from the orbit of $\{0, 1, 4\}$.
For $\mathcal{C}_2 = \langle x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3[x]/\langle x^6 - 1 \rangle$, we get $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_2}$.
3. \mathcal{M}_3 with 20 bases elements, comprising of all the 20 subsets of size 3.
For $\mathcal{C}_3 = \langle x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_5[x]/\langle x^6 - 1 \rangle$, we get $\mathcal{M}_3 = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_3}$.

6.2 k -Normal Elements

In this section, we introduce k -normal elements and their connection with cyclic k -matroids. Let q denote a power of a prime p , and \mathbb{F}_q denote the finite field of order q . We are interested in studying elements in a finite extension \mathbb{F}_{q^n} of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q . An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ is called a normal element over \mathbb{F}_q if all its Galois conjugates, i.e. the n elements $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$, form a basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q . A basis of this form is called a normal basis.

Definition 7. An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ is called k -normal if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \left(\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \left\{ \alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}} \right\} \right) = n - k.$$

Given an $(n - k)$ -normal element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, denote by V the k -dimensional span over \mathbb{F}_q of $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$, we may associate a matroid $M = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{B})$ on n symbols to α , by asserting that a subset S of E is independent if and only if the set of powers $\{\alpha^{q^i} \mid i \in S\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q . In other words, the set of bases is given by $\mathcal{B} = \{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \{\alpha^{q^{i_1}}, \alpha^{q^{i_2}}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{i_k}}\}$ is a basis of $V\}$. Then, since the first k powers of α must be linearly independent in order for all of them to span a k -dimensional vector space, we must have $\{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Further, for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, $\{\alpha^{q^{i_1}}, \alpha^{q^{i_2}}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{i_k}}\}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\{\alpha^{q^{i_1+s}}, \alpha^{q^{i_2+s}}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{i_k+s}}\}$ by the properties of the Frobenius automorphism. Thus, \mathcal{M} is a cyclic k -matroid.

In [20], it was left as an open problem to determine which subsets of $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$ of size $n - k$ or smaller, apart from $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-k-1}}\}$ are linearly independent, for a k -normal element α of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} .

Clearly, the results of Section 3 give lower bounds on the number of k -subsets of $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$ (where α is $(n - k)$ -normal) which form bases over \mathbb{F}_q , or equivalently, upper bounds on the number of dependent k -subsets.

We assert that our association of k -normal elements with matroids strongly suggests that a complete and general solution to the mentioned problem may be very difficult to arrive at.

We further state the following open problem, based on multiple computer experiments and observations.

Problem 2. Given a representable cyclic k -matroid \mathcal{M} over the symbol set \mathbb{Z}_n , there exists a prime power q and a k -normal element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that all the bases of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$ are given by the sets $\{\alpha^{q^{i_1}}, \alpha^{q^{i_2}}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{i_k}}\}$ where $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

7 Orbits of the Cyclic Group Action

In this section we study the orbits of the action of \mathbb{Z}_n on $2^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ from a general point of view. We first provide an algebraic characterization of orbits and then count the total number of orbits.

7.1 Characterization of orbits

We introduce here circulant matrices in order to characterize the orbits of φ . Given a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, we associate to A the circulant matrix

$$M_A = (m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \text{ where } m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (j-i) \bmod n \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the first row of M_A is the incidence vector of A , i.e., its j -th entry is 1 if and only if $j \in A$. Similarly, the i -th row of M_A is the incidence vector of $A+i$. Therefore, the orbit of A has a one-to-one correspondence with the rows of M_A .

An equivalent description can be obtained using the polynomials in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^n-1)$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and M_A be the associated circulant matrix. Further, let $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ be the first row of M_A . Then we associate to A the polynomial

$$f_A(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^n-1).$$

It is easy to see that $f_{A+i}(x) = x^i f_A(x) \bmod (x^n-1)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Lemma 5. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\text{Stab}(A) = \langle s \rangle$ with $s \neq 0$. Then,

1. $\text{rk}(M_A) \leq s$.
2. $f_A(x)(x^s-1) = 0 \bmod (x^n-1)$.

Proof. 1. This follows from the fact that the first s rows of M_A repeat $|\text{Stab}(A)|$ times. In particular, $M_A = (m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ has the following form

$$M_A = \begin{pmatrix} C_A & \cdots & C_A \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_A & \cdots & C_A \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where $C_A = (m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{s-1}$. Thus, $\text{rk}(M_A) = \text{rk}(C_A) \leq s$.

2. Since $A + s = A$, it follows that $f_A(x) = x^s f_A(x)$. Therefore,

$$f_A(x)(x^s - 1) = 0 \pmod{(x^n - 1)}.$$

□

Remark 5. If $\text{Stab}(A) = \langle s \rangle$ with $s \neq 0$, then it is not necessary that $\text{rk}(M_A) = s$. For example, consider $A = \{0, 2, 3, 5\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_6$. Then, $\text{Stab}(A) = \{0, 3\}$ but $\text{rk}(M_A) = 2$.

7.2 Number of Orbits

We now derive the total number of orbits under the action (1). The results obtained here are based on the observation that the block composition of the elements belonging to the same orbit have equal length and they are cyclic shift of each other.

Let us denote the set of all orbits by \mathcal{T} , and the set of orbits corresponding to block composition of length r by \mathcal{T}_r . Clearly, $\mathcal{T} = \bigsqcup_{r=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{T}_r$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{m,r}$ denote the set of compositions of m with length r . Observe that the group \mathbb{Z}_r acts on the set $\mathcal{C}_{m,r}$ via cyclic shifts. More precisely, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ and $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r) \in \mathcal{C}_{m,r}$, we define $s \cdot (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r) = (c_{s+1}, c_{s+2}, \dots, c_r, c_1, \dots, c_s)$.

We consider the set $\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r} := \mathcal{C}_{k,r} \times \mathcal{C}_{n-k,r}$. The action of \mathbb{Z}_r on $\mathcal{C}_{k,r}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n-k,r}$ extends in a natural way to $\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}$. Moreover, tuples (c_A, c_B) and (c'_A, c'_B) lie in the same orbit under this action if and only if there exists a shift $s \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ such that $s \cdot c_A = c'_A$ and $s \cdot c_B = c'_B$. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}/\mathbb{Z}_r$ the set of orbits under this action.

Lemma 6. *The following holds:*

$$|\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}/\mathbb{Z}_r| = \frac{1}{r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{n-k-1}{r-1} + \sum_{s|\text{gcd}(k,n-k,r)} \binom{r-s}{rs} \sum_{s|\text{gcd}(k,n-k,r)} \frac{1}{s} \binom{k/s-1}{r/s-1} \binom{(n-k)/s-1}{r/s-1}.$$

Proof. Let (c_A, c_B) be a tuple with $c_A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$, $c_B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r)$. The orbit of (c_A, c_B) is of size strictly smaller than r if and only if there exists an s dividing r such that $a_i = a_{(i+s)} \pmod{r}$ and $b_i = b_{(i+s)} \pmod{r}$, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. This s , in fact, generates the stabilizer of the element (c_A, c_B) and gives the number of repeating blocks in the compositions c_A and c_B , or equivalently, the size of the orbit of (c_A, c_B) . Thus, we also have $s \mid k$ and $s \mid n - k$, and thus $s \mid \text{gcd}(r, k, n - k)$. Each (minimal) repeating block in c_A (resp. c_B) is a composition of k/s (resp. $(n - k)/s$) with length r/s . Conversely, given $s \mid \text{gcd}(r, k, n - k)$ and any composition $\tilde{c}_A = (a_1, \dots, a_{r/s})$ of k/s and $\tilde{c}_B = (b_1, \dots, b_{r/s})$ of $(n - k)/s$ with length r/s ,

define the tuple $(c_A, c_B) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c_A &= (a_1, \dots, a_{r/s}, a_1, \dots, a_{r/s}, \dots, a_1, \dots, a_{r/s}), \\ c_B &= (b_1, \dots, b_{r/s}, b_1, \dots, b_{r/s}, \dots, b_1, \dots, b_{r/s}). \end{aligned}$$

This orbit has size s . Thus, the number of elements of $\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}$ occupying orbits of size strictly smaller than r is given by

$$x_{n,k,r} = \sum_{s|\gcd(k,n-k,r)} |\mathcal{C}_{k/s,r/s} \times \mathcal{C}_{n-k/s,r/s}|,$$

and the remaining $|\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}| - x_{n,k,r}$ elements have orbits of full size r . We may thus calculate the total number of orbits as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}/\mathbb{Z}_r| &= \frac{|\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}| - x_{n,k,r}}{r} + \sum_{s|\gcd(k,n-k,r)} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_{k/s,r/s} \times \mathcal{C}_{n-k/s,r/s}|}{s} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \left[\binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{n-k-1}{r-1} - \sum_{s|\gcd(k,n-k,r)} \binom{k/s-1}{r/s-1} \binom{(n-k)/s-1}{r/s-1} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{s|\gcd(k,n-k,r)} \frac{1}{s} \binom{k/s-1}{r/s-1} \binom{(n-k)/s-1}{r/s-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{n-k-1}{r-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s|\gcd(k,n-k,r)} \left(\frac{r-s}{rs} \right) \sum_{s|\gcd(k,n-k,r)} \frac{1}{s} \binom{k/s-1}{r/s-1} \binom{(n-k)/s-1}{r/s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6. *There is a bijection between the set \mathcal{T}_r and $\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}/\mathbb{Z}_r$.*

Proof. We define a map $\psi : \mathcal{T}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}/\mathbb{Z}_r$ as follows. Consider an element $D \in \mathcal{T}_r$ with consecutive block structure $\pi(D) = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_r)$. Write $D_i = \{d_i, d_i + 1, \dots, d_i + |D_i| - 1\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$, $\sum_{i=1}^r |D_i| = k$. We have $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_r$.

We define $\psi(D)$ as the orbit defined by $(c(D), c(D^C))$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}/\mathbb{Z}_r$.

ψ is well-defined: To see this, let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ be a shift and write $D' = D + l$. We need to show that $\psi(D) = \psi(D')$. We have $D' = (D_1 + l) \cup (D_2 + l) \cup \dots \cup (D_r + l) \in \mathcal{T}_r$, with $D_i + l = \{d_i + l, d_i + l + 1, \dots, d_i + l + |D_i| - 1\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$, $\sum_{i=1}^r |D_i + l| = k$. Now let $1 \leq s \leq r$ be the largest index such that $d_s + l \leq n$. Then since $l < n$, we have $d_j + l - n < d_1 + l$ for every $s < j \leq r$, and the arrangement $D' = (D_{s+1} + l) \cup (D_{s+2} + l) \cup \dots \cup (D_r + l) \cup (D_1 + l) \cup \dots \cup (D_s + l)$ is such that the first elements of the blocks are in ascending order. Further, $D^C = \{d_{s+1} + c_{s+1} +$

$l-n, \dots, d_{s+2}+l-n-1\} \cup \dots \cup \{d_r+c_r+l-n, \dots, d_1+l-1\} \cup \dots \cup \{d_s+c_s+l, \dots, d_{s+1}+l-1\}$. Thus, by the definition of ψ we have $\psi(D') = (\bar{c}, \bar{c}')$ where $\bar{c} = (c_{s+1}, \dots, c_r, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s)$ and $\bar{c}' = (c'_{s+1}, \dots, c'_r, c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_s)$. Now, it is clear that $\psi(\bar{D}) = s \cdot \psi(D)$, and they both thus define the same orbit in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}$.

ψ is injective: Let $\psi(D) = (c, c')$, with $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r)$, $c' = (c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_r)$. Since $\psi(\bar{D})$ and $\psi(D)$ represent the same orbit, the tuple corresponding to \bar{D} is of the form $(s \cdot c, s \cdot c')$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Denote by d_1 and \bar{d}_1 the smallest elements of D and \bar{D} respectively. Then, using the definition of ψ we can reconstruct D and \bar{D} :

$$D = \{d_1, \dots, d_1 + c_1 - 1\} \cup \dots \cup \{d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) \dots, d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) + c_r - 1\}$$

where the j^{th} block is given by

$$D_j = \{d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (c_i + c'_i), \dots, d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (c_i + c'_i) + c_j - 1\}.$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D} = & \{\bar{d}_1, \dots, d_1 + c_{s+1} - 1\} \cup \dots \cup \\ & \{\bar{d}_1 + \sum_{i=s+1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) \dots, d_1 + \sum_{i=s+1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) + c_r - 1\} \cup \\ & \{\bar{d}_1 + \sum_{i=s+1}^r (c_i + c'_i), \dots, \bar{d}_1 + \sum_{i=s+1}^r (c_i + c'_i) + c_1 - 1\} \cup \dots \\ & \cup \{\bar{d}_1 + \sum_{i=s+1}^r (c_i + c'_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} (c_i + c'_i), \dots, \bar{d}_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i + c'_i) - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^r (c_i + c'_i) = n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D} + d_1 - \bar{d}_1 - \sum_{i=s+1}^r (c_i + c'_i) = & \{d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^s (c_i + c'_i) \dots, d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^s (c_i + c'_i) + c_{s+1} - 1\} \cup \dots \\ & \cup \{d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i), \dots, d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) + c_r - 1\} \\ & \cup \{d_1, \dots, d_1 + c_1 - 1\} \cup \dots \\ & \cup \{d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) \dots, d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) + c_r - 1\} \\ = & D_{s+1} \cup \dots \cup D_r \cup D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_s = D. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, D and \bar{D} are shifts of each other, i.e. lie in the same orbit, and thus ψ is injective.

ψ is surjective: Given $(c, c') \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,k,r}$, write, as before, $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r)$ and $c' = (c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_r)$ define

$$D := \{0, 1, \dots, c_1 - 1\} \cup \{c_1 + c'_1, \dots, c_1 + c'_1 + c_2 - 1\} \cup \dots \cup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i), \dots, n - c'_r - 1 \right\} \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Note that this can always be done since $r \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. We have, $D^C = \{c_1, c_1 + 1, \dots, c_1 + c'_1 - 1\} \cup \{c_1 + c'_1 + c'_2, \dots, c_1 + c'_1 + c_2 + c'_2 - 1\} \cup \dots \cup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (c_i + c'_i) + c_r, \dots, n - 1 \right\}$. It is now clear that $\psi(D) = (c, c')$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4. *There is a bijection between the set \mathcal{T} and $\bigsqcup_{r=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{Z}_{n,k}$. Hence, the number of orbits under the action 1 is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Z}_{n,k}| &= \sum_{1 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \left[\sum_{s | \gcd(k, n-k, r)} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_{k/s, r/s} \times \mathcal{C}_{n-k/s, r/s}|}{s} + \sum_{s | \gcd(k, n-k, r)} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_{k, r} \times \mathcal{C}_{n-k, r}|}{r} \right] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \left[\sum_{s | \gcd(k, n-k, r)} \frac{1}{s} \binom{k/s - 1}{r/s - 1} \binom{(n-k)/s - 1}{r/s - 1} + \sum_{s | \gcd(k, n-k, r)} \frac{1}{r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{n-k-1}{r-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant n. 188430. Simran Tinani is also supported by a fellowship of armasuisse Science and Technology. The work of Karan Khathuria is supported by the Estonian Research Council grant PRG49.

References

- [1] N. Alon, Y. Caro, I. Krasikov, and Y. Roditty. Combinatorial reconstruction problems. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 47(2):153–161, 1989.
- [2] G. Berman. Finite projective plane geometries and difference sets. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 74(3):492–499, 1953.
- [3] C. J. Colbourn, J. S. Provan, and D. Vertigan. The complexity of computing the tutte polynomial on transversal matroids. *Combinatorica*, 15(1):1–10, 1995.
- [4] O. Giménez, A. De Mier, and M. Noy. On the number of bases of bicircular matroids. *Annals of Combinatorics*, 9(1):35–45, 2005.

- [5] O. Giménez and M. Noy. On the complexity of computing the tutte polynomial of bicircular matroids. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 15(3):385–395, 2006.
- [6] R. Graham and J. MacWilliams. On the number of information symbols in difference-set cyclic codes. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 45(7):1057–1070, 1966.
- [7] C. Greene. A multiple exchange property for bases. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 39(1):45–50, 1973.
- [8] H. Guo and M. Jerrum. Approximately counting bases of bicircular matroids. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 30(1):124–135, 2021.
- [9] M. Hall Jr. Cyclic projective planes. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 14(4):1079–1090, 1947.
- [10] S. Huczynska, G. L. Mullen, D. Panario, and D. Thomson. Existence and properties of k -normal elements over finite fields. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 24:170–183, 2013.
- [11] L. C. Lomeli and D. Welsh. Randomized approximation of the number of bases. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 197:371–376, 1996.
- [12] V. B. Mnukhin. The k -orbit reconstruction and the orbit algebra. *Acta Applicandae Mathematica*, 29(1-2):83–117, 1992.
- [13] J. G. Oxley. *Matroid theory*, volume 3. Oxford University Press, USA, 2006.
- [14] R. Pendavingh and J. Van Der Pol. On the number of bases of almost all matroids. *Combinatorica*, 38(4):955–985, 2018.
- [15] V. Pless. Cyclic projective planes and binary, extended cyclic self-dual codes. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 43(2):331–333, 1986.
- [16] A. J. Radcliffe and A. D. Scott. Reconstructing under group actions. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 22(3):399–419, 2006.
- [17] J. Simon. The combinatorial k -deck. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 34(6):1597–1618, 2018.
- [18] J. Singer. A theorem in finite projective geometry and some applications to number theory. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 43(3):377–385, 1938.
- [19] M. Snook. Counting bases of representable matroids. *the electronic journal of combinatorics*, pages P41–P41, 2012.
- [20] S. Tinani and J. Rosenthal. *Existence and Cardinality of k -normal Elements in Finite Fields*. Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues. Springer International Publishing, 2021.
- [21] J. H. Van Lint. *Coding theory*, volume 201. Springer, 1971.
- [22] D. J. Welsh. *Matroid theory*. Courier Corporation, 2010.

A Explicit Cyclic k -Matroids

Computer search was used to find examples of cyclic k -matroids different from the uniform matroid. Due to the randomized nature of the algorithm used, the matroids obtained were all quite close to the uniform matroid, usually missing one or two cyclic orbits. We list the orbit representatives of the basis set for a few select cases. Note that for given n and k , the listed matroid need not be the only non-uniform cyclic matroid. The working code for the generation algorithm, as well as the bound calculations in 5 can be found at <https://github.com/simran-tinani/Cyclic-matroids>.

n	k	Basis Orbit Representatives
6	3	$\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 2, 4\}$
6	4	$\{0, 1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$
7	3	$\{0, 1, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 6\}, \{1, 5, 6\}$
9	3	$\{2, 5, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 3, 8\}, \{1, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{0, 6, 8\}, \{0, 5, 7\}, \{2, 4, 7\}, \{1, 5, 6\}$
9	4	$\{3, 4, 6, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{0, 3, 5, 6\}, \{0, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 6\}, \{0, 1, 2, 6\}$ $\{0, 2, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7\}, \{2, 4, 6, 7\}, \{0, 3, 5, 7\}, \{3, 4, 5, 7\}, \{1, 5, 7, 8\}$
10	6	$\{0, 1, 2, 4, 7, 9\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 9\}$ $\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9\}, \{2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9\}$ $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8\}, \{0, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$ $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{0, 1, 3, 5, 8, 9\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 7, 9\},$ $\{1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9\}, \{0, 1, 3, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9\},$ $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9\}, \{0, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$
11	4	$\{0, 3, 5, 10\}, \{3, 5, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 5, 9\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9\}, \{2, 6, 9, 10\}, \{1, 6, 7, 9\}$ $\{0, 5, 8, 9\}, \{0, 5, 7, 10\}, \{0, 3, 4, 8\}, \{0, 2, 8, 9\}, \{5, 6, 7, 10\}, \{3, 5, 7, 10\}$ $\{0, 4, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 7, 9\}, \{0, 1, 2, 9\}, \{1, 3, 8, 10\}, \{0, 4, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 8, 9\}$ $\{0, 1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 2, 7, 10\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 6, 9\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7\}, \{0, 2, 3, 10\}$ $\{2, 4, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 9\}, \{1, 2, 3, 9\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4\}, \{2, 4, 8, 10\}$
13	3	$\{3, 4, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \{4, 11, 12\}, \{0, 4, 12\}, \{7, 10, 12\}, \{0, 6, 12\}$ $\{3, 7, 9\}, \{4, 8, 11\}, \{2, 3, 10\}, \{5, 7, 8\}, \{0, 7, 9\}, \{6, 8, 11\}$ $\{0, 6, 8\}, \{3, 7, 12\}, \{2, 5, 6\}, \{4, 6, 12\}, \{7, 8, 10\}, \{7, 9, 11\}$ $\{1, 6, 11\}, \{1, 5, 6\}, \{1, 8, 11\}$
15	3	$\{0, 1, 2\}, \{3, 12, 13\}, \{0, 4, 12\}, \{5, 13, 14\}, \{9, 10, 13\}, \{7, 10, 13\},$ $\{3, 7, 9\}, \{4, 8, 11\}, \{6, 11, 14\}, \{1, 5, 12\}, \{4, 9, 10\}, \{3, 5, 13\},$ $\{0, 2, 7\}, \{5, 6, 14\}, \{2, 3, 10\}, \{5, 7, 8\}, \{0, 7, 9\}, \{6, 8, 11\},$ $\{0, 1, 12\}, \{2, 6, 12\}, \{0, 6, 8\}, \{3, 9, 12\}, \{7, 9, 11\}, \{3, 13, 14\},$ $\{7, 8, 10\}, \{2, 11, 13\}, \{2, 4, 14\}, \{1, 6, 11\}, \{1, 5, 6\}, \{1, 8, 11\}$