

Towards Universality in Multilingual Text Rewriting

Xavier Garcia and Noah Constant and Mandy Guo and Orhan Firat

Google Research

Mountain View

California

{xgarcia, nconstant, xyguo, orhanf}@google.com

Abstract

In this work, we take the first steps towards building a *universal rewriter*: a model capable of rewriting text in any language to exhibit a wide variety of attributes, including styles and languages, while preserving as much of the original semantics as possible. In addition to obtaining state-of-the-art results on unsupervised translation, we also demonstrate the ability to do zero-shot sentiment transfer in non-English languages using only English exemplars for sentiment. We then show that our model is able to modify multiple attributes at once, for example adjusting both language and sentiment jointly. Finally, we show that our model is capable of performing zero-shot formality-sensitive translation.

1 Introduction

Recent years have seen impressive developments in three areas of natural language processing: (1) multilingual models (Conneau and Lample, 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2021), (2) zero/few-shot text generation, either by large language models (Radford et al., 2019; Brown et al., 2020) or more focused approaches (Xu et al., 2020; Riley et al., 2020), and (3) controllable generation (Dathathri et al., 2020; Chan et al., 2021; Keskar et al., 2019). Despite the achievements in each of these areas individually, the space at their intersection remains understudied. Most¹ multilingual models have not explored zero/few-shot or controllable generation, and most text generation models (both zero/few-shot and controllable) have focused primarily on English and most recently code (Chen et al., 2021).

We aim to bridge this gap by studying multilingual text generation in low-resource scenarios, which are one of the most natural settings to

¹By few-shot generation, we refer to the ability to perform a variety of generative tasks given only a few examples. For this reason, we do not count zero-shot machine translation models (since those are restricted to only machine translation) or multilingual models performing zero-shot classification (which is not a generative task).




Figure 1: **Our Universal Rewriter model can rewrite text to exhibit new attributes, such as changes in language, sentiment or formality.** (a) The target language is signaled by a unique language code. (b) Other attributes are controlled through few-shot exemplars, which may leverage distinct languages from the input and target. (c) Transfer scale λ modulates the strength of the attribute transfer.

study zero/few-shot and controllable text generation. Some preliminary experiments in this direction were conducted by Xue et al. (2021), who investigate the performance of mT5 (a large multilingual seq2seq model) on zero-shot multilingual classification tasks solved through generation. These experiments were limited to *within-language* generation: generating output text in the same language as the input, which precludes many potential tasks of interest such as attribute-controlled machine translation e.g. formality-aware machine translation (Niu et al., 2017, 2018; Wang et al., 2019), adapting translation models to language varieties (Lakew et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2021), personalized machine translation (Michel and Neubig, 2018; Vincent, 2021), etc. When fine-tuning only on English data, the authors also encountered an unexpected phenomenon of “accidental translation” on the zero-shot tasks, where the model would provide the correct answer but in the wrong language. Moreover, although they only leveraged English data for the fine-tuning, the number of available examples for this task was in the thousands, which may be unrealistic for more restrictive generation

tasks where finding numerous exemplars exhibiting a particular attribute may be difficult.

One such task is *text style transfer*, which consists of transforming text from one style to another while preserving as much of the semantics as possible. Despite the ubiquity of stylized content across all languages, the vast majority of the text style transfer literature has focused exclusively on English. This is likely due to the scarcity of labeled data for many languages (known as low-resource languages). Given the success of zero-shot text generation observed with English models, we conjecture that large models trained on massive multilingual corpora should be capable of understanding textual attributes through a few exemplars in a given language and generalize to other languages in a zero-shot fashion.

In this paper, we carefully investigate this conjecture by leveraging a large pretrained multilingual model and fine-tuning it to perform general-purpose multilingual attribute transfer, extending the monolingual approach of Riley et al. (2020). We name the resulting model *Universal Rewriter*, illustrated in Figure 1. Our main contributions are as follows: (1) We showcase that few-shot approaches naturally extend to the multilingual setting. (2) We show that multilingual models can endow text with additional attributes in one language using exemplars of that attribute from another language i.e. *zero-shot style transfer*. (3) We demonstrate our model is capable of manipulating both language and textual attributes jointly. (4) We demonstrate for the first time the possibility of zero-shot formality-sensitive translation, with no labeled data in the target language.

2 Methodology

In this section, we first review TextSETTR (Riley et al., 2020), an English-language few-shot style transfer model that serves as the starting point for our approach. Next, we discuss several design choices that are prohibitive when moving to the multilingual setting, and present our solutions to these problems.

Terminology We use the terms *attributes* and *styles* interchangeably, though we emphasize that our model can go beyond the traditional tasks associated with style transfer, for example extending to translation (language transfer) and style-conditioned translation. We use the term *exemplars* to denote inputs showcasing a particular attribute.

2.1 Background: TextSETTR

TextSETTR consists of a traditional encoder-decoder Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) architecture, equipped with an additional “style extractor” module which is structurally equivalent to the encoder component of the Transformer. The style extractor inputs exemplars of a style and extracts a fixed-width “style vector” by mean-pooling the output embeddings across tokens. These style vectors (and combinations thereof) can then be added element-wise to the encoded representations of a given input before being passed to the decoder in order to effect targeted style changes.

TextSETTR is trained in an unsupervised fashion, so it can handle arbitrary styles at inference time. To compensate for the lack of training labels, TextSETTR relies on the intuition that style is a “slow-moving feature”, consistent across long spans of text (Akama et al., 2018; Lample et al., 2019). Given a pair of consecutive sentences (s_1, s_2) and a corruption function C , the model is trained to reconstruct s_2 given a corrupted variant $C(s_2)$ and the style of the previous sentence s_1 . Here we think of s_1 as an exemplar of the style of s_2 . These pairs of sentences are extracted using a heuristic sentence-splitter which splits on English punctuation.

TextSETTR employs two types of corruption: token-level and style-aware back-translation. In the former, a noise function is applied independently to each token, with a probability of either dropping a token, replacing it with one of the examples in the current batch at the same position or keeping it as is. In the latter, the model is used in inference mode to change the style of the input to match the style of a random sentence in the batch, and the resulting output is used as a corrupted version of the input.

2.2 Moving to the multilingual setting

We now outline where we diverge from TextSETTR as we enter the multilingual setting.

Architecture In this work, we also embrace the encoder-decoder architecture with an attribute extraction module. However, unlike TextSETTR, we do not separate the attribute extraction module from the encoder-decoder architecture, and instead share all the weights between this module and the encoder. In order to distinguish the two, we prepend the text with a unique token when performing attribute extraction, and take the representation of this token from the encoder’s output as the

fixed-width vector representation, instead of mean-pooling all the representations. This allows us to leverage larger models without incurring excessive memory costs.

Sentence-splitting In preliminary experiments, we reused TextSETTR’s sentence-splitting algorithm (inherited from T5, and based on detecting English punctuation), but found this led to problems for some languages. In particular, this preprocessing step would discard any data which did not include ASCII punctuation. For languages like Chinese and Thai, which do not typically use ASCII punctuation, this resulted in filtering out most well-formed text, and leaving mainly text in the wrong language, or non-natural language (e.g. Javascript code). We thus take a more language-agnostic approach and instead extract pairs of random non-overlapping spans of tokens from each line of text, and use the first-occurring span in the text as an exemplar of the attributes of the second span. This approach allows us to retain all data, is independent of language, and still exploits the “slow-moving feature” intuition used in the TextSETTR work.

Warm-starting We start with an mT5 (Xue et al., 2021) checkpoint as our initial model. In particular, we use the XL variant.

Corruption functions We employ the same token-level noise used in TextSETTR. While we could also use style-aware back-translation as-is as done in previous work (Lample et al., 2019; Riley et al., 2020), we take this opportunity to add an additional cross-lingual learning signal to our training objective by forcing the model to not only perform an attribute transfer procedure but also to translate the sentence to English. We choose English in particular due to the availability of parallel data between English and many languages. To make this objective more similar to the cycle-consistency found in traditional back-translation, we apply transfer using the negation of the “true” exemplar vector associated with the input (as opposed to leveraging a random exemplar). We perform the decoding operation using sampling, with a temperature of 1.5.

Translation data In addition to our cross-lingual treatment of the style-aware back-translation objective, we also include translation as another cross-lingual learning objective by leveraging English-centric translation data.

Inference strategy There are multiple ways one could use the attribute vectors to perform rewriting. Suppose we are given sets of exemplars showcasing attributes A and B , which we use to extract attribute vectors V_A and V_B respectively. Given an input x with attribute A , we want to rewrite it to exhibit attribute B . TextSETTR’s inference strategy is to first extract the attribute vector V_x of x , then form the following attribute delta vector:

$$V_{A,B,x} := V_x + \lambda(V_B - V_A)$$

where λ is a scale factor, chosen by the user. The resulting vector $V_{A,B,x}$ then gets added to the encoded representation of x before being passed to the decoder. For *within-language* tasks, where the output should be in the same language as the input, we will use this inference strategy. In our early *cross-language* experiments, however, we found the model was more reluctant to change languages when using this strategy. For this reason, we do not include the vector V_x in the computation of $V_{A,B,x}$ for cross-language tasks, and instead use the following equation:

$$V_{A,B,x} = \lambda(V_B - V_A).$$

3 Experiments

In this section, we describe our main set of experiments. We first discuss our selection of languages and data sources considered, as well as data preprocessing steps. Next, we will measure the performance of our models in the challenging tasks of low-resource and unsupervised machine translation which we view as rewriting text in a different language. After this experiment, we introduce a multilingual variant of the sentiment transfer task typically explored in text style transfer literature which will allow us to explore the interaction between multilinguality and style transfer. Finally, we consider the problem of multiple attribute rewriting through two cross-lingual sentence rewriting tasks.

Data We draw our monolingual data from mC4, the same dataset used to train mT5. We remove the “-Latin” languages due to their small data size. We leverage parallel data for 46 of these languages with English, by taking all language pairs with more than 500,000 lines of parallel data from the OPUS 100 (Zhang et al., 2020) dataset, with the exception of Hebrew, Croatian, Bosnian², and Japanese. We

²These were excluded since their language codes in OPUS were not present in the language codes of mC4.

exclude Japanese as it appears in our sentiment evaluation, and we wish to test our model’s ability to perform zero-shot attribute transfer in languages where no parallel data is available. As Japanese has a unique script and no genealogical relation with other languages under consideration, it poses a particularly challenging case.

Data sampling scheme We use both parallel and monolingual datasets for training our rewriter models. For each batch, we first randomly select to sample from either the monolingual or parallel datasets (with equal likelihood), and then uniformly sample from all available datasets in the chosen category.

Preprocessing We process the monolingual data into input-exemplar pairs, using the approach described in §2.2. We additionally discard any pair where either element is shorter than five tokens. In the case of parallel data, we do not leverage any exemplars, and use a vector of zeros instead. For both data sources, we discard any training example with either inputs, exemplars or targets (in the case of parallel data) longer than 64 tokens. We use language-specific tokens pre-pended to the input to prime the model for translations. Instead of introducing new tokens, we re-use the tokens associated to the language names in English.

Training hyperparameters and settings The models were trained in JAX (Bradbury et al., 2018). We use the Adafactor optimizer (Shazeer and Stern, 2018), and reuse the accumulated optimizer states from the original mT5 training. We use a constant learning rate of 1e-3 and train for 25,000 steps, using batches of 512 inputs.

Evaluation For machine translation, we utilize BLEU scores (Papineni et al., 2002), computed through the sacreBLEU³ library (Post, 2018). For Gujarati, we follow standard practice and tokenize the output using the tokenizer provided by the IndicNLP (Kunchukuttan, 2020) library. For decoding, we use beam search, with a beam size of 5 for machine translation (§3.1) and within-language sentiment transfer (§3.2), and beam size 1 for cross-language sentiment transfer (§3.3) and formality-sensitive translation (§3.4).

Baselines Given the absence of previous work on zero- or few-shot multilingual text rewriting, we introduce several new baselines to make up for the

lack of prior baselines. These baselines follow a similar training recipe as our main rewriter model, but with some features removed. In addition to providing comparisons for the reader, we hope the inclusion of these baselines will justify our final training regimen. We describe the baselines below:

- No parallel data. (-para)
- No language tokens. (-lang tokens)
- No exemplars in training. (-exemplars)⁴
- No back-translation. (-BT)

The “-para” setting tests whether the additional supervision signal obtained from the parallel data is necessary or even useful, and the “-lang tokens” setting addresses a similar question regarding language tokens. The “-exemplars” setting tests whether we suffer any degradation in translation quality by introducing the ability to leverage exemplars at inference time. Finally, given the expensive nature of back-translation, the “-BT” setting tests whether this objective is truly necessary.

3.1 Machine translation for low-resource languages

Our parallel data only accounts for a fraction of the languages available in mC4, yet we are interested in rewriting across all languages in mC4, potentially changing languages and attributes at the same time. To ensure our model is capable of such cross-lingual tasks, we inspect the translation quality on a selection of low-resource languages. We study both languages with parallel data as well as those without it, a setting referred to as “unsupervised machine translation by language transfer” or “multilingual unsupervised machine translation” (Garcia et al., 2020, 2021; Liu et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). In the latter setting, we are considering the translation quality for low-resource English-centric pairs where the associated low-resource languages have no parallel data at all, with English or otherwise. We select Turkish, Gujarati, and Kazakh as our low-resource languages and use the available *newstest* test sets from WMT, using *newstest2018* for Turkish and *newstest2019* for Gujarati and Kazakh. Of these three languages, our model only sees parallel data for the language pair English-Turkish.

⁴For this baseline, we also remove the back-translation step, to more closely mimic current state-of-the-art multilingual machine translation models (Siddhant et al., 2020; Garcia et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020) that leverage monolingual data.

³case.mixed+numrefs.1+smooth.exp+tok.13a+version.1.3.0.

	Model	<i>newstest2019</i> Gu ↔ En		<i>newstest2018</i> Tr ↔ En		<i>newstest2019</i> Kk ↔ En	
No parallel data	<i>Universal Rewriter (-para)</i>	0.5	0.3	2.1	2.0	0.6	1.4
	<i>Kim et al. (2020)</i>	0.6	0.6	-	-	0.8	2.0
No parallel data for {Gu, Kk}	<i>Universal Rewriter</i>	9.6	23.2	16.3	23.7	5.8	19.8
	<i>Universal Rewriter (-lang tokens)</i>	0.0	17.8	10.7	22.3	0.6	17.8
	<i>Universal Rewriter (-exemplars)</i>	9.0	23.8	16.7	25.0	5.8	20.0
	<i>Universal Rewriter (-BT)</i>	8.8	23.0	16.5	24.0	5.3	19.9
	<i>Garcia et al. (2021)</i>	4.4	19.3	8.4	15.9	3.9	14.8
	<i>mBART (Liu et al., 2020)</i>	-	13.8	17.8	22.5	-	-
With parallel data for {Gu, Kk}	<i>Garcia et al. (2021)</i>	15.5	19.3	18.1	22.0	9.5	15.1
	<i>mBART (Liu et al., 2020)</i>	0.1	0.3	17.8	22.5	2.5	7.4

Table 1: **BLEU scores of various supervised and unsupervised models for the low-resource language pairs.** For any $X \leftrightarrow Y$ language pair, the $X \rightarrow Y$ translation results are listed under each Y column, and vice-versa. There are multiple baselines available from Garcia et al. (2021), for fair comparison, we exclude the baselines which leverage offline back-translation. Note that the baseline without parallel data for Gu and Kk from Garcia et al. (2021) also does not include parallel data for Tr.

In Table 1, we compare our Universal Rewriter with the bilingual unsupervised translation models of Kim et al. (2020), as well as large multilingual unsupervised translation models (Garcia et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020) and their analogous supervised variants⁵. Notably, our rewriter attains comparable performance with the external baselines, despite not being directly trained for unsupervised translation. Interestingly, we find our baseline with no parallel data collapses, failing to produce the correct language and giving low BLEU scores. Such training instabilities have also been observed in other works; for instance, mBART (Liu et al., 2020) relied on a LangID filtering step during back-translation when training their unsupervised translation models. We see a similar pattern for our baseline without language tokens (-lang tokens) when translating into languages without parallel data. On the other hand, the remaining baselines which leverage parallel data manage to attain reasonable scores (even for language pairs without parallel data), justifying our inclusion of the parallel data. Finally, we observe that removing the ability to control text attributes via exemplars (-exemplars) confers no benefit for translation quality. This finding supports the view that a single general model can be well-suited to adjust both language and other textual attributes.

⁵To control the output language in our baseline with no language tokens, we leverage 2^{14} (16,384) examples of monolingual data for each language with $\lambda = 0.5$, chosen from {0.5, 1.0, 1.5} by looking at devset performance. This data was randomly drawn from the newscrawl datasets: <http://data.statmt.org/news-crawl/>.

3.2 Zero-Shot Sentiment Transfer

Next, we turn to style transfer in a multilingual setting, and demonstrate for the first time the possibility of performing attribute transfer in one language using only exemplars from another language. Specifically, we observe that Universal Rewriter can transfer sentiment in French, German and Japanese (as shown in Table 2), despite having seen no sentiment labels in those languages, only four sentiment labels in English, and no labeled data whatsoever in Japanese.

Previous work on multilingual style transfer has been limited to a less ambitious setting where (i) models are trained for transferring one specific attribute, (ii) a large corpus of labels are provided at training time, and (iii) the labels cover all target languages (Briakou et al., 2021). By contrast, our approach is attribute-agnostic (one model can transfer arbitrary attributes), few-shot (only using labels at inference time), and zero-shot across languages.

As we are unable to use the XFORMAL (Briakou et al., 2021) dataset due to legal restrictions, we develop our own multilingual style transfer evaluation, crafted from an existing dataset for multilingual classification. In particular, we leverage the multilingual Amazon reviews dataset provided by Prettenhofer and Stein (2010). This dataset consists of Amazon reviews in English, French, German and Japanese with review ratings in the range 1–5. We treat reviews rated 4 or 5 as positive, and reviews rated 1 or 2 as negative, and drop reviews rated 3. We fine-tune mBERT, the multilingual variant of BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), on the remaining reviews and treat it as an oracle sen-

Inputs (Negative)	Predictions (Positive)
I was quite disappointed.	I was quite satisfied.
J'ai été assez déçu.	J'ai été très satisfait.
Ich war ziemlich enttäuscht.	Ich war sehr zufrieden.
とてもがっかりしました。	とても満足しました。

Table 2: **Examples of Universal Rewriter performing sentiment transfer in English, French, German and Japanese.** We use $\lambda = 5.0$, and define sentiment using just four English exemplars: { I loved it. / The movie was great. / I hated it. / The movie was awful. }

timent classifier⁶. We compare Universal Rewriter with our various ablation models on the task of transferring negative to positive sentiment.

For evaluation, we remove examples longer than 61 tokens⁷, and discard samples that disagree with our oracle classifier, only keeping those which it assigns above 80% probability of being the correct class. We then construct development and test sets from the remaining examples, consisting of 800 examples, 200 from each language, equally balanced in positive and negative reviews.

For this experiment, we are interested in the following three questions: (1) Are English exemplars sufficient to perform sentiment transfer in non-English languages? (2) Are we able to simultaneously transfer sentiment and language i.e. multiple attribute rewriting? (3) Is the use of parallel data necessary to achieve either of the above goals? To study the first question, we leverage twenty (total) handcrafted English exemplars, exhibiting positive⁸ and negative⁹ sentiment for all experiments. To address the third question, we purposely exclude English-Japanese parallel data. Given Japanese’s unique script, we believe performance on Japanese sentiment transfer and translation is a reasonable proxy for the low-resource languages within mC4

⁶We split the reviews into training and development sets. This model achieved 80.1% accuracy on this development set, which we considered sufficient for our purposes.

⁷We prepend to every example two tokens to control the language, and one end-of-sentence token. This gives us a maximum of 64 tokens, which is the maximum length that the models were trained on.

⁸**Positive exemplars:** I really enjoyed this movie. / They thought the food was very tasty. / It was perfect in every single way. / What a fantastic offer! / The most comfortable bed I’ve ever slept on, I highly recommend it. / I feel very happy. / I had a great time! / You would have loved it! / A high quality item. / An amazing product!

⁹**Negative exemplars:** I really disliked this movie / They thought the food was very bland. / It was horrible in every single way. / What a terrible offer! / The most uncomfortable bed I’ve ever slept on, I would never recommend it. / I feel very sad. / I had an unpleasant time. / You would have hated it. / A low quality item. / An awful product!




Figure 2: **Measuring the trade-off between content preservation and sentiment transfer for the within-language sentiment transfer task.** We evaluate our rewriter models for λ taking values in the set $\{0.5, 1.0, 1.5, \dots, 8.5, 9.0\}$, using the same set of exemplars and models for each λ .

for which we may have difficulties obtaining parallel data. Since model behavior depends on the inference-time parameter λ , we show results for a wide-array of λ values (sweeping from 0.5 to 9.0 in increments of 0.5) to examine the influence of this parameter.


For this task, we use the following two metrics: *self-BLEU*, defined as the BLEU score using the inputs as references and the model’s outputs as hypotheses, and *transfer accuracy*, defined as the average sentiment transfer accuracy as judged by our classifier. We plot the results in Figure 2.

As expected, the λ parameter allows us to control¹⁰ the content preservation vs. transfer accuracy trade-off, accurately changing attributes while still preserving content and language. We note that the general shape of the baseline without language tokens (-lang tokens) is similar to Universal Rewriter, only shifted to the left. Upon inspection, we found this to be due to wrong-language errors, which negatively affected the self-BLEU score.


3.3 Cross-lingual Sentiment Transfer

We investigate whether our model is capable of rewriting multiple attributes in one pass by assessing its ability to perform sentiment transfer and translation simultaneously. We re-use the same exemplars, development and test sets as our previous experiment, but instead of simply transferring sentiment, we also vary the target language to produce translations into each other language. In this cross-lingual setting, defining content preservation is more challenging, as self-BLEU is no longer a meaningful measure. Given the strong performance

¹⁰Unsurprisingly, models trained without exemplars are unable to perform the transfer successfully.



(a) Unsupervised language pairs



(b) Zero-shot language pairs

(c) Supervised language pairs

Figure 3: **Measuring content preservation vs. transfer accuracy as we change both language and sentiment at the same time.** We evaluate our rewriter models for various $\lambda \in \{0.5, 1.0, 1.5, \dots, 5.0\}$, using the same set of exemplars and models for each λ . The self-BLEU is computed with the translations generated with $\lambda = 0$. We group the results by whether the language pairs are (a) unsupervised, (b) zero-shot or (c) supervised.

Informal	Neutral	Formal
如果你想买房子 你得先看看能不能拿到贷款	如果你想买房子 你得考虑申请贷款	如果您想购买一间房子,则需要寻求贷款。
もしあなたが家に住み替えたいなら お金を借りて	もしあなたが家を買おうとしているのであれば 審査に合格するかどうかを研究してみてください	マンションの購入をご希望されるならば、融資を受ける際の認可を問うたるべきでしょう。
если хочешь купить дом, тебе придется посмотреть, как получить одобрение на кредит.	Если вы хотите купить дом, вам придется рассмотреть вопрос о получении одобрения на кредит.	Для приобретения дома, является необходимым просить о предоставлении кредита.
si quieres comprar una casa tienes que buscar si te pueden poner un préstamo	Si quieres comprar una casa necesitarás buscar un préstamo.	Si desea adquirir una casa, será necesario consultar la aprobación de un préstamo.

Table 3: **Formality-sensitive translations output by Universal Rewriter using just 10 English exemplars (total) to define formal and informal styles.** The target languages are Chinese, Japanese, Russian and Spanish, and the input sentence is “If you want to purchase a house you’ll need to look into getting approved for a loan.” We use $\lambda = 1.7$ to achieve formal or informal style, and $\lambda = 0.0$ for neutral style.

of our model on translation (§3.1), we generate “neutral” translations using $\lambda = 0$ and treat these as gold labels. We then re-define self-BLEU to be the BLEU score computed using these predictions as references. As we increase λ , we expect to see more changes relative to the neutral translations, but also an increase in transfer accuracy.

We consider three levels of supervision: (1) *unsupervised translation* for the language pairs Japanese \leftrightarrow {English, French, German}, (2) *zero-shot translation* for the language pairs French \leftrightarrow German, which lack parallel data but both languages have parallel data with English and (3) *supervised translation* for the language pairs {French, German} \leftrightarrow English which have parallel data. Note that for the zero-shot (and some of the unsupervised) pairs, exemplars are in a different language from *both* the input and output, which acts as an additional test of the cross-linguality of our model. For this task, we vary λ between 0.5 and 5.0 with 0.5 increments. We omit the “-lang tokens” baseline since we have no explicit way of controlling both language and style for this baseline. As in the within-language case, our Universal Rewriter outperforms all our ablations, as seen in Figure 3.

3.4 Formality-Sensitive Translation

As a more practical test of our model’s ability to transfer multiple attributes in one pass, we briefly explore formality-sensitive translation. Introduced by Niu et al. (2017, 2018), this task requires the model to translate input text into another language, while simultaneously controlling the formality level of the output text. This type of control is useful when the desired formality level is known ahead of time, and may not match that of the source text—for example, when providing translations for

second language learners, or for use within an assistive agent.

As before, we assume labels are only available in English, and in limited quantity. Specifically, we construct 10 English exemplars (total) of formal¹¹ and informal¹² language. Table 3 shows a successful example of Universal Rewriter translating an input sentence into four languages at three levels of formality. Native speaker consultants confirm that, while not every translation is perfectly natural, they are all understandable, and the formality level clearly increases going from Informal to Neutral to Formal. Interestingly, these differences manifest in both lexical and grammatical choices, including the use of formal vs. informal pronouns in Chinese, Russian and Spanish, despite this distinction being absent in English. This initial proof of concept demonstrates that our model is capable of zero-shot transfer of the notion of formality provided by the English exemplars onto other languages.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we undertook an initial exploration into creating a few-shot multilingual generative model, capable of rewriting text across a variety of languages, styles, attributes, etc. We show that multilingual models, leveraging only a few exemplars in English showcasing an attribute, are able to rewrite text to exhibit this attribute across languages that they know.

¹¹**Formal:** This was a remarkably thought-provoking read. / It is without question amongst my favorites. / We humbly request your presence at our gala in the coming week. / Loitering is strictly forbidden on the premises. / Were I to be approached for such a role, it would be the utmost honor.

¹²**Informal:** reading this rly makes u think / Its def one of my all time favs / come swing by our bbq next week if ya can make it / you’re not allowed to hang around here / that would be sooo dope if they pick me for the part

References

- Reina Akama, Kento Watanabe, Sho Yokoi, Sosuke Kobayashi, and Kentaro Inui. 2018. [Unsupervised learning of style-sensitive word vectors](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 572–578, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- James Bradbury, Roy Frostig, Peter Hawkins, Matthew James Johnson, Chris Leary, Dougal Maclaurin, George Necula, Adam Paszke, Jake VanderPlas, Skye Wanderman-Milne, and Qiao Zhang. 2018. [JAX: composable transformations of Python+NumPy programs](#).
- Eleftheria Briakou, Di Lu, Ke Zhang, and Joel Tetreault. 2021. [Olá, bonjour, salve! XFORMAL: A benchmark for multilingual formality style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 3199–3216, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Chris Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. [Language models are few-shot learners](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 1877–1901. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Alvin Chan, Yew-Soon Ong, Bill Pung, Aston Zhang, and Jie Fu. 2021. [Cocon: A self-supervised approach for controlled text generation](#). In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Mark Chen, Jerry Tworek, Heewoo Jun, Qiming Yuan, Henrique Ponde, Jared Kaplan, Harri Edwards, Yura Burda, Nicholas Joseph, Greg Brockman, et al. 2021. [Evaluating large language models trained on code](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.03374*.
- Alexis Conneau and Guillaume Lample. 2019. [Cross-lingual language model pretraining](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Sumanth Dathathri, Andrea Madotto, Janice Lan, Jane Hung, Eric Frank, Piero Molino, Jason Yosinski, and Rosanne Liu. 2020. [Plug and play language models: A simple approach to controlled text generation](#). In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xavier Garcia, Pierre Foret, Thibault Sellam, and Ankur Parikh. 2020. [A multilingual view of unsupervised machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: Findings*, pages 3160–3170.
- Xavier Garcia, Aditya Siddhant, Orhan Firat, and Ankur Parikh. 2021. [Harnessing multilinguality in unsupervised machine translation for rare languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 1126–1137.
- Nitish Shirish Keskar, Bryan McCann, Lav R Varshney, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2019. [Ctrl: A conditional transformer language model for controllable generation](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.05858*.
- Yunsu Kim, Miguel Graça, and Hermann Ney. 2020. [When and why is unsupervised neural machine translation useless?](#) In *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference of the European Association for Machine Translation*, pages 35–44.
- Sachin Kumar, Antonios Anastasopoulos, Shuly Winter, and Yulia Tsvetkov. 2021. [Machine translation into low-resource language varieties](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.06797*.
- Anoop Kunchukuttan. 2020. [The Indic-NLP Library](#). https://github.com/anoopkunchukuttan/indic_nlp_library/blob/master/docs/indicnlp.pdf.
- Surafel Melaku Lakew, Aliia Erofeeva, and Marcello Federico. 2018. [Neural machine translation into language varieties](#). In *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Research Papers*, pages 156–164, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Guillaume Lample, Sandeep Subramanian, Eric Michael Smith, Ludovic Denoyer, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, and Y-Lan Boureau. 2019. [Multiple-attribute text rewriting](#). In *7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019*. OpenReview.net.
- Zuchao Li, Hai Zhao, Rui Wang, Masao Utiyama, and Eiichiro Sumita. 2020. [Reference language based unsupervised neural machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: Findings*, pages 4151–4162.

- Yinhan Liu, Jiatao Gu, Naman Goyal, Xian Li, Sergey Edunov, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Mike Lewis, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. Multilingual denoising pre-training for neural machine translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:726–742.
- Paul Michel and Graham Neubig. 2018. Extreme adaptation for personalized neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 312–318.
- Xing Niu, Marianna Martindale, and Marine Carpuat. 2017. A study of style in machine translation: Controlling the formality of machine translation output. In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2814–2819, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xing Niu, Sudha Rao, and Marine Carpuat. 2018. Multi-task neural models for translating between styles within and across languages. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1008–1021, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318.
- Matt Post. 2018. A call for clarity in reporting BLEU scores. In *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Research Papers*, pages 186–191, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Peter Prettenhofer and Benno Stein. 2010. Cross-language text classification using structural correspondence learning. In *Proceedings of the 48th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics*, pages 1118–1127.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9.
- Parker Riley, Noah Constant, Mandy Guo, Girish Kumar, David Uthus, and Zarana Parekh. 2020. TextSETTR: Label-free text style extraction and tunable targeted restyling. *CoRR*, abs/2010.03802.
- Noam Shazeer and Mitchell Stern. 2018. Adafactor: Adaptive learning rates with sublinear memory cost. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 4596–4604. PMLR.
- Aditya Siddhant, Ankur Bapna, Yuan Cao, Orhan Firat, Mia Chen, Sneha Kudugunta, Naveen Arivazhagan, and Yonghui Wu. 2020. Leveraging monolingual data with self-supervision for multilingual neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2827–2835, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 5998–6008.
- Sebastian Vincent. 2021. Towards personalised and document-level machine translation of dialogue. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 137–147.
- Yunli Wang, Yu Wu, Lili Mou, Zhoujun Li, and Wenhan Chao. 2019. Harnessing pre-trained neural networks with rules for formality style transfer. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3573–3578.
- Peng Xu, Jackie Chi Kit Cheung, and Yanshuai Cao. 2020. On variational learning of controllable representations for text without supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 10534–10543. PMLR.
- Linting Xue, Noah Constant, Adam Roberts, Mihir Kale, Rami Al-Rfou, Aditya Siddhant, Aditya Barua, and Colin Raffel. 2021. mt5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 483–498.
- Biao Zhang, Philip Williams, Ivan Titov, and Rico Senrich. 2020. Improving massively multilingual neural machine translation and zero-shot translation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1628–1639.