Learning to Control Direct Current Motor for Steering in Real Time via Reinforcement Learning
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Abstract: Model free techniques have been successful at optimal control of complex systems at an expense of copious amounts of data and computation. However, it is often desired to obtain a control policy in a short period of time with minimal data use and computational burden. To this end, we make use of the NFQ algorithm for steering position control of a golf cart in both a real hardware and a simulated environment that was built from real-world interaction. The controller learns to apply a sequence of voltage signals in the presence of environmental uncertainties and inherent non-linearities that challenge the control task. We were able to increase the rate of successful control under four minutes in simulation and under 11 minutes in real hardware.
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1 Introduction

Learning to control a dynamical system in the presence of external uncertainties is an essential task for many applications. The task becomes especially challenging if the dynamical system is complex, e.g., has continuous state space and non-linear features [1]. In the absence of precise mathematical models to describe the non-linearities of a complex dynamical system, data-driven approaches based on reinforcement learning (RL), which learn a control policy through real-world interaction with the environment, have succeeded in controlling them [2, 3]. These approaches offer a number of benefits over collecting data from a simulation as the interaction inherently captures true non-linearities of the control task in addition to other factors such as noise, delay in control signals (including hysteresis) and timing restraints [4].

Learning processes in RL are rooted in an online learning paradigm: an agent iteratively interacts with the environment, usually with the latest policy, to collect experiences for an episodic task followed by improving that policy by making use of the collected experiences [5]. Although such a learning setup has demonstrated human-level capabilities in a variety of tasks [6, 7], it has found limited adoption in solving real-world problems, where data-driven machine learning methods have thrived. This can be attributed to online methods either being too impractical (task complexity requires large amount of data for generalization), expensive (damage from a sub-optimal policy has a high cost e.g., robotics) or dangerous (e.g., autonomous driving). Further, the experiences collected in every episode in an online learning arrangement are strongly correlated, which leads to unstable learning. Due to these reasons, offline or batch reinforcement learning, which sparked initial success in value-based methods by aggregating online data over memory for de-correlated updates, has gained a renewed interest [8, 9].

In this work, we consider a control problem of a Direct Current (DC) motor attached to a steering wheel where, given a position (rotation angle) command (e.g., rotate the steering wheel to 15 degrees clockwise), a sequence of voltage commands are applied to execute it (see Figure. 1). This is an essential sub-component of the control module which carries out the commands generated by a planning module for an autonomous driving pipeline [10]. Considering the presence of a) uncertainties brought by external influences (e.g., road and weather conditions), b) inherent non-linearities (e.g., temperature’s influence on the resistance and impedance of the motor coil, geometry of the
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Figure 1: View of the physical system with component parts labeled.

Figure 2: Schematic diagram of a DC motor attached to a steering wheel. A steering command (motor position) is given to the Personal Computer (PC), which is converted to a voltage signal interfaced through an Arduino and applied to the motor. A feedback signal is obtained from the rotary encoder and sent back to the PC.

vehicle suspension), and c) external and internal factors that affect sensors, DC motor control serves well as a testbed for control via RL. Our goal is to learn a fast, accurate, and robust control policy by applying the Neural Fitted Q (NFQ) iteration algorithm [2] on real-world interaction data with the motor.

2 Related Work

DC motors offer a wide and adjustable operating range of speed and position. This has resulted in their extensive use in robotics and industrial control processes such as a robotic arm or a manipulator, where a predetermined trajectory is followed by application of a sequence of speed and/or position control signals under variety of operating conditions [11].

Traditionally, DC motor control has been achieved by the use of a Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller [12], which is a special circuit or a program that stabilizes the operation by controlling the input signal from external influences. A PID controller is based on the error signal obtained between the negative feedback from the plant output (motor position or speed) and the desired output. However, reliable operation of the DC motor being controlled depends on the tuned values of PID parameters (Gain, Integral time, and Derivative time), which is usually set by an experienced operator using ‘trial and error’. For control, the tuned parameters are used in conjunction with
control functions that mathematically model the system characteristics. However, the mathematical models do not precisely capture the external influences found in real-world operations, limiting their capability to handle complex control tasks in uncertain environments. Although there have been a number of attempts to make PID controllers operate reliably on DC motor control by combining the tuning of PID parameters with genetic algorithms [13] or RL [14], they still rely on the underlying mathematical models for control.

In the last three decades, Model Predictive Controller (MPC) has emerged as a major advancement in control theory, effectively substituting PID controllers in a majority of complex control problems. While PID controllers lack predictive capabilities, MPC has the capability to anticipate future events and take control actions accordingly [15]. MPC is based on a dynamic model of the system being controlled (equations that describe the behavior of a dynamical system over a prediction horizon) where, control action is performed by minimizing the cost function that describes the desired system behavior [16]. To control a complex dynamical system like a DC motor, although a non-linear model in a MPC may capture system non-linearities to an acceptable degree [17, 18, 19], in practice however, it is often difficult to reliably solve the optimization problem, which usually consists of a high number of control parameters. Further, there may not always be a straightforward procedure to design a loss function for a desired behavior.

Machine learning based techniques that have shown better convergence and generalization properties such as Artificial Neural Networks have also been used either in a stand-alone manner [20] or combined [11] with traditional techniques for DC motor control. However, they are either based in a static dataset or data from a numerical simulation, i.e., not representative of the real-world environment and its time-varying and uncertain nature. RL has also been used for DC motor control, for instance, in the work by Caarls and Schuitema [21], real-world interaction is used to build a model of the system, which is then used to generate simulated experiences to update the value function. However, this approach is susceptible to approximation errors because any error from an imperfect model will be embedded in the simulated data.

In this work, we make use of the NFQ algorithm on data from real-world interaction, i.e., the agent is inherently able to perform exploration of the real-world and acquire experiences during its operation, which allows value function approximator to learn representations on non-linearities and uncertainties of the environment. This can lead to high performance with minimal use of data even when starting the learning process without any prior knowledge of the control task.

3 Methodology

3.1 Reinforcement Learning

We model our problem as a Markov Decision Process (MDP) which is described by a tuple \(< S, A, P, R, \gamma >\), where \(S\) is the state space, \(A\) is the action space, \(P(s, a, s')\) is the stochastic transition function, \(R\) is the immediate reward or the cost function \(c : S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) and \(\gamma \in [0, 1]\) is the discount factor. In an episodic task of \(T\) time-steps, the goal of the agent is to maximize the cumulative discounted reward (return) \(G_t = \sum_{k=t+1}^{T} \gamma^{k-t-1} R_k\) by selecting actions using its policy \(\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}[A_t = a|S_t = s]\), which maps the states observed by the agent to a distribution over actions. The state-action value function \(Q_\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t|S_t = s, A_t = a]\) gives an estimate of the expected cumulative reward obtained by taking an action \(a \in A\) at time \(t \in [0, T]\) from state \(s \in S\) and following the policy \(\pi\) thereafter. In classical Q learning, the basic idea is to iteratively learn the Q-function using the update rule,

\[
Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s, a) + \alpha[c(s, a) + \gamma \min_b Q_k(s', b)],
\]

where \(\alpha\) denotes the learning rate and \(b\) is the action taken after \(a\). For a large state space (e.g., continuous), it is intractable to maintain Q values in a tabular representation, hence approximation techniques are used, among which neural networks are a popular choice due to their generalization and convergence properties.
3.2 Neural Fitted Q (NFQ)

NFQ belongs to a class of model-free RL algorithms (which directly optimize for reward rather than trying to learn the dynamics of the environment) known as fitted value iteration, where value iteration is performed on all transition experiences seen and collected (e.g., stored in memory) so far. In NFQ, the Q-function approximator is a Multi Layered Perceptron (MLP) which, in every iteration, is explicitly trained on all the transitions collected from real-world interactions. The massive reuse of stored transitions helps NFQ attain data efficiency and stability in learning. It also allows for batch-mode operation where supervised learning techniques that converge reliably and quickly can be applied. The algorithm consists of two major steps: generation of training data and training MLPs using the $R_{prop}$ optimizer with this data every iteration. NFQ is presented in Algorithm 1.

Further, NFQ requires little or no ‘tuning’ because the optimizer $R_{prop}$ is insensitive to the choice of parameters, i.e., we remain indifferent towards the supervised part of the algorithm.

3.3 DC motor position control using NFQ

DC motor position control is a minimum-time control problem [22], where the control objective is to get the motor to a desired state as quickly as possible and hold it there. To this end, among other things, a state representation that is able to sufficiently capture the dynamic behavior of the system is a requirement. For instance, the state representation is continuous, consisting of motor position (to get a snapshot of the motor angle at current time), velocity (to estimate the dynamic behavior) and last applied voltage (to estimate the direction of moving parts of the system). Whereas the action space is discrete consisting of two possible voltage commands of equal magnitude and opposite polarity, which when applied to the motor, exert torque in either direction. The agent is initialized at states with arbitrarily set angle values and controlled through a sequence of adjusted voltage commands that transition it to goal state defined at the center. This behavior is incentivized by the cost structure defined in the RL problem formulation.

Although our goal is to fulfill the control objective from real-world interaction, a simulation of the environment based on collected real-world data was built for parallel experimentation. For every state encountered in simulation (starting from random initialization), its one nearest neighbor was found, then the state to which the neighbor transitions to, was assigned to the state that we encountered. A 100 arbitrarily initialized real-world and simulated trajectories are shown in Figure 3, where trajectories with starting positions > 0.25 have a higher chance of failure on both real and simulated systems. Further, simulation has higher occurrences of trajectories where the controller neither fails nor succeeds till the end of an episode.

4 Experimental Results

4.1 Experimental Setup

The hardware platform is a golf cart modified for electric control of the steering. An electric drill motor is re-purposed to turn a cog, which turns the steering wheel through an attached chain. The chain also drives a rotary encoder so that the angular position of the steering wheel can be tracked. Both motor and rotary encoder are attached to an Arduino, which communicates with a PC. The PC
Figure 3: Position trajectories with 100 arbitrarily initializations in both simulated and real environments; the success range lies within ±0.05. The probability of a successful control are higher in the real-world than in simulation built from the real-world data.

sends commands to the Arduino to set the applied motor voltage and the Arduino replies with the position of the wheel. This communication cycle occurs every 20 milliseconds (50Hz) so that rapid adjustment of the motor voltage and responsive tracking of the position is achieved.

In every state, the position of the steering wheel is measured in fractions of a circle, e.g., 0.1 = 36°, the velocity is measured as the difference of current and last positions every time step, and the voltage changes by ±0.1V from its last applied value. The actions can be either to turn the wheel left or right as determined by the polarity of applied voltage. The success of the control task is defined by goal states (denoted by $X^+$), which are all the states that fulfill the control criteria. The failure is defined by forbidden or undesired states (denoted by $X^-$), i.e., whenever such states are encountered, the controller stops. Goal and forbidden states are defined as:

$$state \ s \in \begin{cases} X^+ & \text{if } -0.05 > \text{position} < +0.05 \text{ and } -0.01 > \text{velocity} < 0.01 \\ X^- & \text{if } -0.7 > \text{position} < +0.7 \text{ and } -0.04 > \text{velocity} < 0.04 \end{cases}$$  \ (2)$$

The control objective is to quickly get to goal states and remain there till the end of the episode while avoiding forbidden states. This is motivated by the cost structure defined as,
Figure 4: Sample success trajectories with position, velocity, and voltage on two arbitrarily initial positions initialized within the range $\pm 0.5$. An agent applies a sequence of voltages to transition to the goal states which if maintained till the end of the episode fulfills the control objective.

$$cost(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in X^+ \\ 1 & \text{if } s \in X^- \\ 0.001 \times y & \forall s \notin (X^+ \cup X^-), \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $y = 2$ if the wheel is moving away from the center and $y = 1$ otherwise. The doubling of step/transition cost while moving away from the center discourages transition towards forbidden states. Other steps in the algorithm setup including the Multi Layered Perceptron (MLP) architecture (4 layered: 4 input neurons, 2 hidden layers each with 5 neurons, and a output layer with 1 neuron), initialization strategy, and the growing batch-size technique were derived from the recommendations in Riedmiller [22]. Further, hint-to-goal heuristic, which introduces artificial transitions with terminal costs of zero was also implemented. To balance exploration and exploitation, every episode, the agent randomly samples an action with 10% probability in favor of greedy exploitation of the Q function.

### 4.2 Simulation Results

We empirically observed that resetting the MLP weights and optimizer states every 100 episodes helps reduce the cost per episode and relatively stabilizes the algorithm after every reset. The result of running 300 episodes of simulation training is shown in Figure 5, where out of 300 episodes, the number of trajectories that successfully fulfilled the control objective is 59 with the average cost per episode in the last quarter equal to 0.28. Also, it is observed that the control objective may not always be fulfilled however, the reduction in average cost indicates that its probability increases. Examples of success trajectories (including voltage and velocity) are shown in Figure 4, where on top, the controller transitions to the goal state before 25 timesteps whereas on bottom, it takes slightly less than 90 timesteps. The entire 300 episodes of training in the simulation was performed in 3 minutes and 20 seconds in a M1 Macbook Air with 16GB RAM.
4.3 Hardware Results

When we transferred the learning to hardware instead of our simulation, we chose to reset the weights and optimizer every 50 episodes to speed up training and reduce wear on the hardware. The result of 150 episodes is shown in Figure 6. As with the simulation, the cost before the first reset is generally high, the cost in the middle falls, but still has large spikes, and the cost after the second reset is generally low, but not quite as low as in simulation, likely due the reduced number of epochs. Out of the 150 episodes, the number of trajectories that successfully fulfilled the control objective is 24, with the average cost per episode in the last quarter equal to 0.41. All 150 episodes took 31,751 timesteps, totaling to 10 minutes and 35 seconds of hardware interaction.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, we have demonstrated that a RL agent can learn to fulfill a complex control objective based solely on a reward feedback. Using data from real-world interaction in a sample efficient manner, the Neural Fitted Q iteration algorithm learns a policy that increases the probability of successful steering position control after a short period of training. Since the Q-function approximator is a Multi Layered Perceptron with 15 neurons, the algorithm imposes minimal computational load to achieve this result. Although the policy requires further learning to be optimal, it can serve well as a prior for more complex learning arrangements.

There are many future research directions of this work. One immediate endeavor is to test it in a more realistic traffic environment [23, 24, 25], which is based on real-world traffic data calibration and trajectory prediction [26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. We are also interested in testing this work on lightweight transportation modes such as bikes [31] and its ability against adversarial attacks [32].
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