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Abstract—Micro-expressions are spontaneous, unconscious facial movements that show people's true inner emotions and have great potential in related fields of psychological testing. Since the face is a 3D deformation object, the occurrence of an expression can arouse spatial deformation of the face, but limited by the available databases are 2D videos, which lack the description of 3D spatial information of micro-expressions. Therefore, we proposed a new micro-expression database containing 2D video sequences and 3D point clouds sequences. The database includes 259 micro-expressions sequences, and these samples were classified using objective method based on facial action coding system, as well as non-objective method that combines video contents and participants' self-reports. We extracted facial 2D and 3D features using local binary patterns on three orthogonal planes (LBP-TOP) and curvature descriptors, respectively, and performed baseline evaluations of the two features and their fusion results with leave-one-subject-out (LOSO) and 10-fold cross-validation methods. The best fusion performances were 58.84% and 73.03% for non-objective classification and 66.36% and 77.42% for objective classification, both of which have improved performance compared to using LBP-TOP features only. The database offers original and cropped micro-expression samples, which will facilitate the exploration and research on 3D spatiotemporal features of micro-expressions.

Index Terms—micro-expression database, 3D facial point clouds, facial action coding system, micro-expression recognition, baseline

1 INTRODUCTION

Micro-expression is a brief and fast facial muscle movement that reveals genuine emotions that a person tries to conceal [1], [2], [3]. Compared with general facial expressions, micro-expressions tend to occur when people are unconscious, reflect their true thoughts and motivations, and are more likely to occur in high-risk environments. Micro-expressions are not only of high reference significance in lie detection, but are also closely related to inner human emotions and can accurately assess psychological states. Therefore, it has potential applications in national security, justice system, clinical medical, and political election [4], [5].

The short duration of micro-expressions is the main feature that distinguishes micro-expressions from general facial expressions (also referred to as macro-expressions), and researches suggest that the generally accepted upper limit of duration is 1/2s [3], [6]. Also, the occurrence of micro-expressions is characterized by low intensity and localization, so it is generally difficult for people to detect or notice them with the naked eye. Even for the well-trained people, the recognition rate is less than 50% [7], [8]. Its characteristics also make the detection and recognition of micro-expressions more difficult and challenging than macro-expressions.

So far, the study of facial macro-expressions is relatively established, the recognition rate has reached over 90% [9], [10], [11]. As the study of micro-expressions in computer vision has only gained attention in the past few years, research on micro-expressions and the publicly available databases of spontaneous micro-expressions used for research are still relatively few. Besides, the difficulty of micro-expression elicitation and labeling makes the number of samples small and the number of categories unbalanced [12], which becomes one of the biggest reasons affecting the performance of micro-expression detection and recognition. Since the human face is a deformable 3D object in space, it is not sufficient to represent the changing features of expressions only from a 2D perspective. Several available 3D macro-expressions databases have been created to explore the 3D spatiotemporal features of facial expressions [13], [14]. The existing micro-expression analysis and recognition systems mainly rely on static images or dynamic videos from the 2D facial micro-expression databases. Although some results have been achieved, performance degradation is still inevitable when dealing with subtle and confusing movements or expressions that change with pose and illumination. More importantly, it is difficult to detect the 3D surface features and depth motion
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of a face. Therefore, it is essential to create a micro-expression database containing 3D spatial data to investigate more effective feature extraction algorithms by mining facial spatial information. Compared to 2D facial data, 3D facial data adds a dimension of information, making the analysis of expression more accurate and effective.

Inspired by the study of macro-expressions recognition, this paper creates a new database, DSME-3D, to study the variation characteristics of micro-expressions in 3D space. Because the database contains 2D and 3D spatial information of facial expressions, as well as changes of the information in time dimension, the database is a dynamic 3D database (or 4D database). The paper uses different labeling approaches for the database. In baseline evaluation experiments, a comprehensive evaluation of the database using different cross-validation methods and multiple evaluation criteria was conducted to verify that the 3D features can improve the recognition performance of micro-expressions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review previous macro-expression and micro-expression databases, and analyze state-of-the-art feature extraction algorithms. In section 3, we introduce the basic information of the DSME-3D database, including the expression elicitation process, sample selection, coding, and contents instruction of the database. Section 4 describes the feature extraction algorithm used for baseline estimation and the analysis of experiment results. Section 5 discusses the limitation of the DSME-3D database. Section 6 is the conclusion.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Current Expression Databases

A complete facial expressions database is crucial to research facial expressions. To date, many algorithms have been developed to automatically detect and recognize human facial macro-expressions. Unlike micro-expressions, these expressions are easily noticed and usually last more than half a second and up to four seconds. Numerous databases, such as JAFFE, Multi-PIE, Genki-4K, MMI, CK, GEMEP, Bosphorus, etc. [15], have been published. Among these databases, the samples in the first three databases contained only one facial expression image representing different emotional states. In contrast, the last four databases are dynamic video sequences that can distinguish different expressions better than images. However, these databases are non-spontaneous expression databases that do not reflect people’s real emotions. To address this problem, more spontaneous expressions databases were created: CK+ [16], DISFA [17], AM-FED [18], NVIE [19], BU-3DFE [14], BU-4DFE [20], BP4D [21], BP4D+ [22]. These databases have promoted expression research development and made the technology of expression detection and recognition more and more mature. Particularly, with the establishment of the Bosphorus, BU-3DFE, BU-4DFE, BP4D and BP4D+ databases, the study of the 3D spatiotemporal variation features of facial expressions has attracted many scholars. In recent years, the 3D space-based expression feature extraction algorithms have greatly improved the detection and recognition performance of expressions.

2.2 Current Micro-expression Databases

As the research of micro-expressions in computer vision has only gradually attracted people’s attention in recent years, micro-expression databases are relatively limited. So far, there are several published micro-expression databases: USF-HD [23], Polikovsky’s Database [24], SMIC database [25], CASME database [26], CASME II database [8], CAS(ME)² database [27], SAMM database [28]. The main features of these databases are detailed in Table 1.

USF-HD database [23] includes 100 posed micro-expression samples with a frame rate of 30fps and a resolution of 720×1280. The participants were asked to watch micro-expression videos, then imitated to make micro-expressions, but the micro-expressions were not clearly labeled, and some expressions lasted longer than 1/2s. Polikovsky’s database also includes posed expressions, in which 10 participants posed six types of expressions with a high-speed camera of 200fps and then returned to neutral expressions quickly. These expressions were labeled according to the facial action coding system (FACS) [24]. However, micro-expressions occur spontaneously and unconsciously, and the two databases do not effectively identify micro-expressions in the natural state, and the databases have relatively limited sample size.

The SMIC database [25] consists of three datasets, of which the HS dataset was recorded by a high-speed camera of 100 fps and 640×480 pixels, containing 164 micro-expression clips from 16 participants. The SMIC database did not label action units (AUs), and the labels for expressions were obtained by two coders based on participants’ statements about their subjective emotional changes while watching the video, and were divided into three categories: positive, negative, and surprise.

Compared with SMIC, the Institute of Psychology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences has collected a more comprehensive and data-rich micro-expression database, CASME. The CASME database [26] consists of two datasets with a total of 195 micro-expression clips from 19 participants, both of which were recorded using a camera with 60 fps and resolution of 1280×720, 640×480. The CASME database labeled facial AUs, as well as the position of the onset, apex, and offset frames when the expression changes. Expressions were classified into seven basic categories based on video content, participants’ self-reports, and basic emotion theory.

CASME II database [9] is an improved version of CASME with a higher frame rate (200fps) and face resolution that can capture more detailed facial motion information, and is one of the most widely used databases. The CASME II includes 247 micro-expressions clips from 26 participants. Similar to SMIC, CASME II also elicits micro-expressions from participants through induction. The database was labeled by AUs, participants’ self-reports, and movie content. Expressions are classified into five main categories that differ from the common emotional categories.
However, the above databases are mainly used for micro-expression recognition research. CAS(ME)2 and SAMM databases are developed to study the automatic spotting algorithm of micro-expressions. CAS(ME)2 database [27] includes two parts: one part is 87 long videos containing spontaneous macro-expressions and micro-expressions; the other includes 300 cropped macro-expressions samples and 57 micro-expressions samples. CAS(ME)2 database samples were recorded by a camera with a frame rate of 30 fps and a resolution of \(640 \times 480\) pixels, and the expressions were labeled in the same way as CASME II.

SAMM database [28] is the first high-resolution dataset that includes 159 micro-expression sequences from multiple ethnicities. Unlike the way all previous databases were elicited and coded, each emotional stimulus video was tailored to each participant, and expressions were objectively classified into seven basic emotion categories based on FACS. Since CASME II and SAMM databases have all the criteria for micro-expressions recognition: emotional categories, high frame rates, rich sample number, and FACS-based movements coding [29], they have become the focus of researchers’ attention.

Although so many databases have been established to study micro-expressions, these databases contain only 2D information about expressions, lacking spatial information about expression changes, which hinders the study and utilization of 3D spatial features of micro-expressions. Existing researches on macro-expressions show that the detection and recognition accuracies of macro-expressions can be further improved by using effective 3D spatial features, which motivates us to build the database containing dynamic, spontaneous 3D spatial data of micro-expressions.

### 2.3 State-of-the-Art Feature Extraction Methods

The research based on micro-expression databases mainly focuses on expression recognition, and the quality of features is directly related to the performance of expression recognition. Currently, there are three main types of micro-expression feature extraction algorithms: LBP-TOP, Optical Flow (OF), and other algorithms.

LBP-TOP is an appearance-based feature extraction algorithm that is widely used in micro-expressions recognition. In SMIC, CASME II, and SAMM databases, the basic evaluations are also obtained through the LBP-TOP descriptors [8], [26], [27]. To improve the deficiencies of the original algorithm, many researchers have proposed improvement algorithms based on LBP-TOP. Wang et al. [30] presented LBP with six intersection points (LBP-SIP) volumetric descriptor based on the three intersecting lines crossing over the center points, which eliminated the redundancy of central point reuse of the original LBP-TOP and improved the recognition rate. Zong et al. [31] devised a more general scheme for hierarchical spatial partitioning. The image is divided into multi-scale grids and then weighted the grid features at different scales, so that different expressions have the most suitable grid division to extract feature representations. Huang et al. [32] proposed a new framework based on spatiotemporal face representation to analyze subtle facial movements. LBP operator is used to extract the appearance and motion characteristics of horizontal and vertical projections. However, it ignores the shape attribute of the face image and the discriminative information between the two classes of micro-expressions. Therefore, Huang et al. [33] also proposed an improved distinguishable spatiotemporal LBP algorithm. Yu et al. [34] proposed the Local Cube Binary Pattern (LCBP) algorithm. In addition to acquiring LBP features in a three-orthogonal plane, they used eight templates to convolve region of the expression sequence. The local direction micro-structure is represented by taking the local max-min directional response and the amplitude information in two directions. Guo et al. [35] proposed an efficient and robust extended LBP-TOP descriptor (ELBPLOP) for micro-expression recognition. It adds two complementary binary descriptors: radial difference LBP-TOP(RDLBP-TOP) and angular difference LBP-TOP (ADLBPTOP), to explore the local second-order information along the radial and angu-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>FPS</th>
<th>Posed or Spontaneous</th>
<th>Tagging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USF-HD</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Posed</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polikovsky</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Posed</td>
<td>FACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMIC</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASME</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>FACS, Emotion, Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>FACS, Emotion, Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASME II</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>FACS, Emotion, Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS(ME)2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>FACS, Emotion, Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>FACS, Emotion, Self-reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMM</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>FACS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lar directions contained in the micro-expressions video sequences.

Optical flow (OF) is used to infer object motion by detecting pixel changes between two frames images and is also widely used in micro-expression recognition research. Liu et al. [36] proposed the main directional mean OF (MDMO) feature for micro-expressions recognition. The facial region was divided into regions of interest (ROIs) based on the AUs, and the motion features were extracted by the robust OF method. To emphasize the importance of each motion region, Lio et al. [37] proposed an optical strain-weighted feature extraction algorithm. The algorithm mainly obtains the motion information from optical strain, and then it is pooled spatiotemporally to obtain the weights of different blocks to weight the LBP-TOP features. It improves the distinguishability among different micro-expression classes. Similarly, Lio et al. [38] also proposed a new feature extraction algorithm, the Bi-weighted Oriented Optical Flow (Bi-WOOF), which extracts discriminative weighted motion features only through the onset and apex frames of sample sequences.

Besides, many other feature extraction methods have been developed, such as tensor analysis, sparse representation, deep learning, and other methods. Wang et al. [39] proposed a recognition algorithm based on discriminant tensor subspace analysis (DTSA) and extreme learning machine (ELM). DTSA generates discriminative features from grayscale face images expressed as second-order tensors, further improving ELM classification performance and significantly increasing the recognition rate of micro-expressions. Wang et al. [40] proposed a sparse tensor canonical correlation analysis (STCCA) representation method, which provides a solution to alleviate the sparseness of spatiotemporal information in micro-expressions sequences. Khor et al. [41] proposed a micro-expressions recognition method based on an enriched long-term recurrent convolutional network. Monu et al. [42] used dynamic representation of micro-expressions to preserve the facial motion information of a video in a single frame. They also proposed Lateral Accretive Hybrid Network (LEARNet) to capture the facial micro-level features of dynamic representation. Xia et al. [43] proposed a micro-expression recognition method based on deep recurrent convolutional network to get the spatial-temporal deformation of micro-expressions. Min et al. [44] proposed a dual temporal scale convolutional neural network (DTSCNN) for spontaneous micro-expressions recognition. Different DTSCNNs were used to adapt micro-expressions sequences with different frame rates. Since all the existing micro-expression databases are small, they are not suitable for the deep learning required abundant data. So, many studies combined multiple databases for learning or used the transfer learning method.

Although micro-expressions recognition researches have achieved relatively better results from traditional algorithm methods to deep learning techniques, it is still insufficient for practical applications. Existing feature extraction algorithms still have many problems. For example, due to the subtleness of micro-expressions, the extracted features are often dramatically affected by changes in personal appearance and facial movements that are irrelevant to expressions [45]. There is a large amount of redundant information in extracted features, and there are still no effective feature extraction algorithms for some categories that are easily confused and difficult to distinguish. To some extent, these problems are limited by the database itself, which also further motivated us to build a micro-expression database containing 3D spatial data to extract more effective features of micro-expressions.

3 Dynamic 3D Micro-expression Database

3.1 Participant and Equipment

Thirty-one participants were recruited, and after selected, there are twenty-two valid participants (eight male and fourteen female) with a mean age is 24.73 (standard deviation = 2.60). Before collection, we consulted the participants about the public database and signed an agreement.

The camera used to capture 3D facial expressions is Intel RealSense D415 camera, which contains an RGB camera and depth camera that can capture accurate color images and corresponding deep or point clouds data of the target. The video samples were collected in a compressed file, containing color sequences, deep sequences, and point clouds sequences.

3.2 Elicitation Material and Procedure

Using video episodes with high emotional valence is the key to eliciting micro-expressions [9]. Referring to the existing micro-expression databases, we used video clips from the previous database to stimulate micro-expressions, and added some new clips from the internet and movies. Finally, fourteen video clips involving five basic expression categories, such as happiness, anger, sadness, surprise, and disgust, were used as elicitation materials. The length of these episodes ranged from 20 seconds to 2.5 minutes. The emotional classes of materials were based on the fact that more than half of the participants have same emotion for the same videos. Of course, there are individual differences in subjective feelings about the same video clip, and a clip may elicit several different emotions, so participants only selected one as the main emotion evoked by the video. Twenty-one participants scored intensity of the main emotions elicited by these videos on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 represents the weakest and 5 represents the strongest. Details of the video clips are shown in Table 2.

The sample collection environment is the laboratory, using two professional LED lamps for lighting to avoid flickering as much as possible. The camera is fixed above the computer, directly in front of the people’s faces. The resolutions of both the color and depth cameras were set to 848 × 480 pixels, and the frame rate is 60fps (see Fig. 1).

To maximize the ability to suppress expression naturally, we cleared out the other researchers around the areas to make participants as comfortable as possible. We asked participants to maintain a neutral expression while watching the video, and to try to suppress their facial movements.
when they felt a relevant expression [2], [9]. During viewing the video, it is required to avoid head movements and stare at the screen as much as possible and watch the movie carefully [27]. Participants could also ask to stop watching the video at any time, as the video might overstimulate their emotions and cause the process to be aborted [28]. To avoid the interaction of different video materials on participants’ emotions, each video was watched and the next video was started after participants’ emotions had calmed down. To better elicit hidden expressions, participants were also informed before starting that prize rewards would be offered for good performance, and that all videos contents would be kept confidential after watching videos.

The collection begins with a staff member activating the camera. Participants watched the video material, and the sample was saved after each video viewing. After viewing all the videos, participants were asked to provide inner feelings on the facial movements produced during the videos, which was used to aid in the coding of facial expressions.

### 3.3 Sample Selection

Two well-trained coders analyzed the samples frame-by-frame to determine where the micro-expressions appear, how long they lasted, and label the location of the onset frame, apex frame, and offset frame. The captured video was processed as follows.

First of all, since the original files are compressed files, it is necessary to decompress them to get color sequences and point clouds sequences of video.

Secondly, the coders browsed the videos, roughly located all the facial micro-movements locations present in the video, and saved clips that last less than one second as candidates.

Then, they viewed the candidate clips repeatedly, determined the exact onset and offset frames of each movement clip using the frame-by-frame method. According to the timestamps of the sequence frames, the samples that satisfy the micro-expression duration condition, the total duration less than 500ms or the onset duration less than 260ms, are used as final micro-expression samples. Samples with micro-expressions too subtle to be coded were removed [9], some samples with accompanying blinks but with expressions were retained.

Finally, after the color sequences of micro-expressions were determined, the point clouds sequences were extracted correspondingly according to the frame timestamps. Fig.2 shows the 2D and the corresponding 3D point clouds reconstruction sequence frames.

### 3.4 Coding and Categories Labeling

The current database classification methods are different. FACS is an objective method to label facial movements with action units [46]. Since strong emotional stimuli can elicit spontaneous micro-expression, some studies believed that it is inappropriate to forcefully classify micro-expression into six categories that are identical to ordinary facial expressions. Therefore, in CASME II and CAS(ME)² database, the expressions were labeled by combining FACS, participants’ self-report, and video contents [9], [27].

However, some studies currently held that the movements are objective, and it would be a more reasonable method to use FACS to guide the final emotion classification [3]. In the SAMM database coding, only FACS was used to provide information about emotions [28]. In 2017,
Davison et al. [46] addressed the FACS coding method. They believed that the same movements in different classes have an impact on machine learning classification, and proposed new target classes based on FACS to carry out reclassification tests on CASME II and SAMM database. Although recognition rates have improved, there is no suggestion that the coding is necessarily accurate.

Due to the localized nature of micro-expressions, the association between facial movements and felt emotions has not been clearly defined [2]. The representation of emotions should not only include facial movements, but should also take into account the psychological and physiological reactions of the participants, but if only to recognize facial micro-expression movements without classifying emotions, then objective classification using AU only is needed. In this paper, two coders objectively coded each sample using FACS, while combining FACS, self-emotional reports, and emotional categories of videos for subjective classification. Among them, the AUs caused by blinking and eye rolls were not coded, and samples for which the emotional category could not be determined were recorded as “Others”. Table 3 and Table 4 list the sample number and standard AUs of the two classified emotions in the database.

The reliability between two coders in the database is 0.84, which was calculated as

\[
R = \frac{2 \times AU(C_1, C_2)}{All_{AU}}
\]

where \(AU(C_1, C_2)\) is the number of AUs on which Coder 1 and Coder 2 agreed, \(All_{AU}\) is the total number of AUs in a micro-expression scored by the two coders. Afterwards, the two coders discussed and arbitrated the disagreements [47].

---

**Table 3**

Criteria for non-objective labeling the emotions and the frequency in the database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>AUs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>AU needed for Happiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>AU need for disgust, anger, fear, sadness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>At least 1+2, 25 or 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The DSME-3D database samples are spontaneous, dynamic sequences of micro-expression. The database contains 259 micro-expression samples from 22 participants, each containing color sequence frames and corresponding point clouds sequence frames. These 2D and 3D sequence frames are corresponded one-to-one by timestamps. Each sample was labeled with onset frame, apex frame, offset frame, and the corresponding AUs. The AUs labeling of the micro-expressions is based on FACS Investigator’s Guide and The Manual [47], [48]. The classification categories contain objective classification and non-objective classification of micro-expressions. Because some types of micro-expressions are difficult to elicit under laboratory conditions, the distribution of samples in different categories is uneven.

The file “micro-expression.xsl” records some information about the database sample to help you get the information quickly. The first column of the file records the participants’ number, e.g., “01”; The second column is the sample for each participant, e.g., “1_1”, representing the first sample of the first video material. Columns 3 to 5 are the onset frame, apex frame, and offset frame positions. The sixth column is the AUs of each sample. The seventh and eighth columns are the corresponding label categories of objective and non-objective classification, which help analyze and compare different categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>AUs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>AU6, AU12, AU6+AU12, AU6+AU7+AU12, AU7+AU12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>AU1+AU2, AU5, AU25, AU1+AU2+AU25, AU25+AU26, AU5+AU24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>A23, AU4, AU4+AU7, AU4+AU5, AU4+AU5+AU7,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>AU17+AU24, AU4+AU6+AU7, AU4+AU38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>AU10, AU9, AU4+AU9, AU4+AU40, AU4+AU5+AU40, AU4+AU7+AU9, AU4+AU9+AU17, AU4+AU7+AU10, AU4+AU5+AU7+AU9, AU7+AU10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 DATABASE BASELINE EVALUATION

4.1 Preprocessing

4.1.1 Preprocessing of color sequences

Firstly, locate the faces. The incremental Parallel Cascade of Linear Regression (iPar-CLR) algorithm [49] was used to local the 49 face landmark points of expression sequence (see Fig.3).

Secondly, align the face. The inner eye corners of the first frame were calibrated to align the face using a non-reflective similarity transformation. Due to the short duration of the micro-expressions, slight head movements between sequence frames can be neglected. Therefore, the same transformation was used in the remaining frames of the sequence. Then, 49 facial landmark points of aligned sequences are relocated.

Finally, crop the face. The face crop proportions were determined using the calibrated inner eye corners and nasal spine of the first frame. The face scale was a $6 \times 6$ block size based on the horizontal between the inner eye corners and vertical distances between the nasal spine and the line connecting the inner eye corners [45] (see Fig.4). However, since the point clouds sequence and the color sequence were temporally and spatially aligned. To obtain the landmark points of point clouds, we saved the 49 landmark points for each frame of the color sequence before calibrated.

4.1.2 Preprocessing of point clouds sequences

Since the localization of 3D landmark points requires the assistance of 2D landmark points, we preprocessed 3D point clouds sequences after completing the preprocessing on the 2D image sequences.

First, the depth sequence frames of the micro-expressions were gradually smoothed, denoised, and hole-filled using spatial filtering, temporal filtering, and hole-filling operations. The depth images were then converted to point cloud data aligned with the corresponding color frames, and the face landmark points in 2D sequence frames corresponded to the point clouds frames to obtain 3D facial landmark points.
Second, since the nasal tip of a face is the lowest (or highest) point in the face point clouds [50], [51], [52], and locating the nasal tip by the lowest (or highest) point has been widely used in point clouds face extraction. The point clouds data was spherically cropped with a radius of 100 millimeters centered on the localized nasal tip landmark point to obtain the point clouds facial image.

Third, the facial point clouds of the remaining frames were aligned to the first frame using the ICP algorithm [53], [54], [55], and the landmark points were also aligned to the first frame using the same transformation.

4.2 Feature Extraction

4.2.1 LBP-TOP

The basic LBP operator compares the center pixel of an image with its neighbors. Take the eight-neighbor as an example. If the gray value of the center is greater than the gray value of the neighbor, the sign value is 0. Otherwise, the value is 1. The calculation process of LBP is shown in Fig.5.

For the center pixel \( c \) and its \( P \) neighboring pixels with the radius \( R \), the LBP value of the center pixel is calculated as:

\[
LBP_{P,R}(x_c, y_c) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} s(g_p - g_c) 2^p
\]

(2)

where \((x_c, y_c)\) is the center pixel coordinate and \( g_p \) is gray value. \( g_p (p = 0, 1, ..., P-1) \) is the \( p \)-th neighborhood gray value of the center pixel on a radius \( R \). \( 2^p \) is the weight corresponding to the neighborhood pixel position. The function \( s(x) \) is the sign function defined as:

\[
s(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & x \geq 0; \\
0 & x < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

For an input image, the statistical LBP histogram is used as the input image features.

To represent the dynamic change features of expressions, Zhao et al. [56] proposed the LBP-TOP algorithm as an extension of the LBP algorithm by adding LBP features in the XT, YT planes to represent the changes of expressions in the time dimension. Fig.6 shows XY, XT, YT plane texture and corresponding histograms of the expression sequence. After counting all features of XY, XT, and YT planes, the three histograms are concatenated into a histogram vector as the final LBP-TOP feature vector. The parameters of each plane can be set as needed.

4.2.2 Curvature Feature---HK

Curvature is a characterization of the geometry of local surfaces. It is invariant to affine transformations like translation or rotation. Changes in the surface of the face reflect changes in facial expression, so it can be used as a 3D expression feature.

The principal curvature at a point on a surface is an eigenvalue that measures how the surface curves at that point in different directions with different magnitudes.
In general, the shape index is scale quantized and transformed to nine quantization values that vary from concave to convex [58], namely: Cup (0); Trough (0.125); Rut Saddle (0.25); Rut (0.375); Saddle (0.5); Saddle Ridge (0.625); Ridge (0.75); Dome (0.875); and Cap (1), quantified as shown in Fig. 7 [60,62].

In contrast, the SI features lack the flat feature of HK, but it provides a continuous change between salient shapes, so it can describe more subtle shape variations [62]. However, to analyze which surface representation feature could better represent expression changes, we discussed both forms of features for experimental analysis in the 3D feature extraction.

### 4.2.4 Feature representation and recognition method

The movement of micro-expressions is localized, the occurrence of expressions can be represented by the movement of landmark points and the changes of the local region of these points [31], [36], [63]. Facial micro-expressions are not manifested in all face regions, and different expressions occur in only a few of them. To remove unnecessary, we extracted the local features of the 32 landmark points of the face based on the facial AUs (see Fig.8).

To extract the motion information of facial micro-expressions more effectively, we selected a spherical domain of a certain radius around the point clouds landmark points to extract SI and HK features. Then quantized into nine components, and the quantized feature frequencies are used as 3D features to represent the facial spatial motion. The SI and HK features of the j-th landmark point in the k-th frame of the sequence can be represented as

$$SI_j^k = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 & s_5 & s_6 & s_7 & s_8 & s_9 \\ M & M' & M' & M' & M' & M' & M' & M' & M' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$HK_j^k = \begin{bmatrix} h_{k_1} & h_{k_2} & h_{k_3} & h_{k_4} & h_{k_5} & h_{k_6} & h_{k_7} & h_{k_8} & h_{k_9} \end{bmatrix}$$

(8)

where $s_i$ and $h_{k_i}$ denote the number of vertices of the corresponding SI and HK quantization scale, $M$ denotes the total local number of vertices. It could effectively represent the changes in the features corresponding to the landmark points in the sequence.

Inspired by [64], different micro-expressions have different motion regions, and the intensity of the motion also varies. We used the average intensity of the local circular domain of facial landmark points of the 2D mean difference image as weights to weight the local features of the 3D. Thus, the features corresponding to the j-th point in a sequence of length m are:

$$SI_j = w_j(SI_j^1, SI_j^2, \ldots , SI_j^m)$$

$$HK_j = w_j(HK_j^1, HK_j^2, \ldots , HK_j^m)$$

(9)

(10)

Where $w_j$ is the weight of the feature of the j-th landmark point.

Finally, The SI and HK features of a sequence can be written as:

$$SI = [SI_1, SI_2, \ldots , SI_{32}]$$

$$HK = [HK_1, HK_2, \ldots , HK_{32}]$$

(11)

(12)

Since the apex frame is the frame with the largest variation in the expression sequence, using the features of the onset and the apex frame are effective in representing the micro-expression changes while reducing time consumption.

Experimental analysis is performed using LIBSVM classifier, LOSO and 10-fold cross-validation methods. Since the random nature of the 10-fold cross-validation results, we take the average result of 10 times results as the final
10

recognition result in experiments.

We used a prediction probability weighting method to fuse 2D and 3D features, and prediction categories is based on the maximum value of the fusion probability. The weighted fusion method is

\[
P = (1-a) \times p_1 + a \times p_2\tag{13}
\]

where \( p_1 \) denotes the predicted probability of a 2D feature and \( p_2 \) means the predicted probability of a 3D feature, \( a \in [0,1] \). Where 0 means that only 2D features are used and 1 means that only 3D features are used. The weight values are fixed for all samples in each classification.

### 4.3 Micro-Expression Recognition Evaluation

Baseline evaluation was performed for both objective and non-objective classifications, and the experimental environment for baseline evaluation was Matlab 2019b.

We discussed the effect of different parameters on the recognition rate for 2D and 3D features under LOSO and 10-fold cross-validation for each classification. To more standardly analyze the recognition performance of fusion features, F-score was also used to illustrate classification accuracy \([29],[46]\). F-score is a harmonic mean of the classification accuracy computed by precision and recall.

In non-objective classification experiments, the classification did not include samples from the sadness category because the number of sadness samples is too small to be accurately identified.

#### 4.3.1 Non-objective classification baseline evaluation

(1) LBP-TOP parameter discussion

1) Performance of LBP-TOP texture feature recognition under different radii

Table 6 analyzes the effect of LBP radii on the recognition rate in the XY, XT, and YT planes when the image is divided into \(5 \times 5\) blocks, the overlap pixel is 0, and the neighborhoods are \([8 8 8]\). The x- and y-axis radii vary from 1 to 4, and the z-axis radius ranges from 2 to 4.

It can be seen that the radii affect the recognition rate. In the LOSO validation, there is a high recognition rate at radius \(R_x = 1, R_y = 1, R_z = 4\). The 10-fold cross-validation has a high recognition rate at radius \(R_x = 3, R_y = 3, R_z = 4\). These radii will be used as the basis in the discussion of other analyses below.

2) LBP-TOP texture feature recognition performance under different overlapping pixels with different blocks

Block has a definite effect on the recognition rate. This is because micro-expressions occur locally, and a proper block can help to extract features effectively. To more fully analyze which blocks and overlaps have better recognition rate, in Tables 7 and 8, we discussed and analyzed 53 kinds of blocks from \(1 \times 3\) to \(10 \times 10\) and 7 kinds of overlaps such as 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30. Here, we only show the recognition results of some more commonly used blocks and some blocks with higher recognition rate in the experiment.

In LOSO, the highest recognition rate is 54.95% when the block structure is \(8 \times 8\), and the overlap is 15. In 10-fold cross-validation, the highest recognition rate is 70.40% when the block is \(5 \times 9\), and the overlap is 15. Since the fusion performance of different blocks may also be different, in the fusion of 2D and 3D feature results, we performed fusion experiments on several blocks with relatively high results to analyze the fusion performance.

(2) Curvature feature recognition performance for different neighborhood radii

Table 9 analyzes the effect of 3D features with different local radii on the recognition rate. In LOSO validation, the highest recognition rate of SI features is 39.97% at a radius of 0.035. The highest recognition rate of HK is 44.85% at a radius of 0.03, and the highest recognition rate of concatenation features (SI+HK) is 39.84% at a radius of 0.025.

In 10-fold validation, the highest recognition rate of SI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5*5</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>50.14</td>
<td>49.52</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>48.58</td>
<td>47.21</td>
<td>46.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*6</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>50.60</td>
<td>52.12</td>
<td>50.68</td>
<td>49.83</td>
<td>47.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*8</td>
<td>54.85</td>
<td>51.87</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>43.49</td>
<td>46.79</td>
<td>46.97</td>
<td>49.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*7</td>
<td>54.43</td>
<td>46.75</td>
<td>47.91</td>
<td>45.72</td>
<td>44.88</td>
<td>52.22</td>
<td>49.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*8</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>50.44</td>
<td>48.12</td>
<td>48.21</td>
<td>44.90</td>
<td>48.58</td>
<td>48.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*9</td>
<td>49.68</td>
<td>49.20</td>
<td>43.88</td>
<td>54.95</td>
<td>49.48</td>
<td>49.92</td>
<td>47.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
features is 53.82% at a radius of 0.015. The highest recognition rate of HK is 53.16% at radius 0.015, and the highest recognition rate of SI+HK is 55.09% at radius 0.015. Even if they do not have the highest recognition rate, different parameters may achieve the highest fusion results. Therefore, in the fusion experiments, the fusion results under all radii will be discussed.

(3) Recognition performance in fusion mode

According to the recognition results of 3D features, in all fusion classification experiments, we set the values of \( a \) to 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1, etc., and the fusion result is taken as the one with the best recognition rate under the middle five weights.

In LOSO, the highest recognition rate and good fusion result are obtained when the block is \( 8 \times 9 \) and overlap is 15. Table 10 shows that the highest recognition rate of the fusion of LBP-TOP with SI features is 57.26%, which is an improvement of 2.2% compared to the LBP-TOP feature. The fusion with HK feature has the highest recognition rate of 57.08%, which is 2.13% higher than LBP-TOP. With SI+HK feature fusion, the recognition rate was 59.84%, an improvement of 4.89%. F1-score was 0.5604. The fusion performance of different 3D features is different at different radii, and it is not easy to determine which feature is better than the other. Although the recognition rate of the 3D features is not high, it helps improve the recognition rate.

In 10-fold cross-validation, the highest recognition rate is achieved when the block is set to \( 5 \times 9 \) and the overlap is 15. The highest recognition rate of the fusion of LBP-TOP with SI features is 72.82%, an improvement of 2.42% compared to LBP-TOP. When fused with HK features, the highest recognition rate is 72.86%, which is 2.46% higher than that of LBP-TOP. With SI+HK feature fusion, the recognition rate is up to 73.03%, an improvement of 2.63%. The highest F1-score is 0.6813. Compared with the 2D results, the recognition rate is higher, but the LOSO result is lower than 10-fold.

4.3.2 Objective classification baseline evaluation

(1) LBP-TOP parameter discussion

1) Performance of LBP-TOP texture feature recognition under different radii

Similar to the experiments for non-objective classification, we discussed the recognition rates for different radii with the block set to \( 5 \times 5 \), the overlap to 0, and the neighborhood to \( [8 8 8] \) (see Table 11).

In LOSO validation, there is a high recognition rate at radius \( R_x = 1, R_y = 1, R_t = 4 \). In the 10-fold cross-validation, there is a high recognition rate at radius \( R_x = 2, R_y = 2, R_t = 4 \). This radius will be used in the next other experiments for parameter discussion.

2) LBP-TOP texture feature recognition performance under different overlapping pixels with different blocks

Similarly, we discussed the recognition rates under different blocks and overlaps. Tables 12 and 13 show the results of several common blocks and overlaps and offer other results of blocks that obtained better recognition rates in the experiment.

In LOSO, the highest recognition rate is 61.78% when the block is set to \( 3 \times 3 \) and overlap is 10. In 10-fold cross-validation, the highest recognition rate is 74.90% when the block is set to \( 3 \times 8 \), and the overlap is 10. Of course, this is only a partial test and does not indicate the absolute best
results. The fusion of 2D and 3D features under several parameters with high recognition rates were analyzed in feature result fusion.

(2) Curvature feature recognition performance for different neighborhood radii

For the objective classification, we did the same for the radius discussion (see Table 14). For the LOSO validation, the SI feature has the highest recognition rate of 52.40% at a radius of 0.035, and the HK feature has the highest recognition rate of 50.41% at a radius of 0.02, the SI+HK feature has the highest recognition rate of 51.32% at a radius of 0.035.

For 10-fold cross-validation, the SI feature has the highest recognition rate of 60.77% at radius 0.03, the HK has the highest recognition rate of 59.88% at radius 0.01, and SI+HK has the highest recognition rate of 60.34% at radius 0.01. The recognition results are higher than the results of non-objective classification, which may be because non-objective classification contains some subjective elements that have an impact on machine learning classification.

(3) Recognition performance in fusion mode

Table 15 shows the results of the fusion features under objective classification. In LOSO validation, it has the best fusion result when setting the block as $15 \times 15$ and the overlap as 5. The highest fusion recognition rate of LBP-TOP and SI features is 65.37%, which is 3.83% higher than that of LBP-TOP. When fused with HK features, the highest recognition rate is 66.01%, which is 4.47% higher than SI and HK. F1-score is 0.4364.

In 10-fold cross-validation, the fusion result with $38 \times 38$ block and 10 overlap was taken as the baseline result. The fusion recognition rate of LBP-TOP and SI features was up to 77.13%, an improvement of 2.23% compared to LBP-TOP. When fused with HK features, the highest recognition rate is 77.42, which is 2.52% higher than that of LBP-TOP. When fused with SI+HK features, the recognition rate is 77.01%, which is 2.11% higher. For F1 up to 0.6634, but the LOSO result is lower than 10-fold.
The above results fully demonstrate that the combination of 3D features can improve the recognition rate of micro-expressions, both for objective and non-objective classification. It also proves that the 3D spatiotemporal information is useful for representing micro-expressions and can accurately identify samples that cannot be accurately identified in 2D features. However, the fusion recognition rate does not achieve the desired result, which may have some relationship with the processing of 3D data.

4.3.3 Others methods evaluations
We used other state-of-the-art 2D feature extraction algorithms and fused them with the 3D features extracted in this paper to further illustrate the performance of the database. The algorithm of [38] is a traditional artificial feature and [65], [66] are the deep neural network algorithms. The recognition results of these algorithms on our database are shown in Table 16 and Table 17. Because the sample size is small, referring to the existed research methods of micro-expression deep learning, we reclassify the samples into three categories according to AU: positive, negative, surprise, and discard the samples of 'Others' class, and use the LOSO validation method for database estimation. It can be seen that the recognition rate of micro-expressions is improved with both 2D features fused with 3D features. The recognition performance of Bi-WOOF features is lower than that of LBP-TOP, and the performance of deep learning algorithms is slightly better due to fewer categories.

5 DISCUSSION
The contribution of this paper is to create a new micro-expressions database and to verify that combining 2D texture features with 3D spatial features of micro-expression changes can improve the inadequacy of relying only on 2D information to express micro-expressions. However, there are still some limitations in the database.

It is not clear what is the most appropriate way to label micro-expressions. Two types of coding were used for micro-expression labeling: objective and non-objective. It is
impossible to demonstrate whether objective labeling based on FACS or non-objective labeling that contains video content and participants’ self-report is the most appropriate and accurate. And it is not clear that depending on whether inner feelings are consistently associated with the facial movements they elicits. Therefore, to analyze the recognition under the two encodings, different classification methods were discussed in this paper. The size of the database is limited. Although the database offers the possibility to study micro-expressions in more depth, the size of the database may be insufficient due to the difficulty of eliciting micro-expressions and the extremely time-consuming manual coding, similar to the currently available databases. Also, the number of categories is unbalanced among categories which will greatly affect the results of baseline estimation. We discarded meaningless facial movements, but since some expressions occur with a blinking motion, these expressions cannot be excluded due to blinking and retained in this database. Of course, this also affects the recognition performance to some extent. In addition, for the depth camera to acquire samples synchronously with the color camera, the frame rate and resolution are not superior to some currently available databases due to camera specifications. Unlike macro-expressions, 3D micro-expressions are still at the stage of research and exploration. There is no or little research related to 3D micro-expressions, the 3D feature extraction algorithm proposed in this paper is still in the initial stage. For algorithms using deep learning, this paper only explores the performance of two outstanding algorithms, but it does not show the effectiveness of such

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radius</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>LOSO</th>
<th>10-fold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP</td>
<td>Acc(%)</td>
<td>F1-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI</td>
<td>65.37</td>
<td>0.4330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +HK</td>
<td>64.32</td>
<td>0.4250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI+HK</td>
<td>65.06</td>
<td>0.4291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI</td>
<td>63.58</td>
<td>0.4161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +HK</td>
<td>65.22</td>
<td>0.4348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI+HK</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>0.4247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>0.4104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +HK</td>
<td>63.19</td>
<td>0.4134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI+HK</td>
<td>62.19</td>
<td>0.4186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI</td>
<td>64.48</td>
<td>0.4291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +HK</td>
<td>65.86</td>
<td>0.4271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI+HK</td>
<td>64.18</td>
<td>0.4315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI</td>
<td>64.92</td>
<td>0.4288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +HK</td>
<td>64.69</td>
<td>0.4251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI+HK</td>
<td>65.45</td>
<td>0.4274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI</td>
<td>65.17</td>
<td>0.4267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +HK</td>
<td><strong>66.36</strong></td>
<td>0.4307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBP-TOP +SI+HK</td>
<td><strong>66.01</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.4364</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 16</th>
<th>The best identification results for DSME database using Bi-WOOF and 3D algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td>Objective classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acc(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D(Bi-WOOF)</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D(Bi-WOOF)+3D</td>
<td>62.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 17</th>
<th>Best identification results for DSME database using EMR+AT and 3D algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td>Acc(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D(EMR+AT)</td>
<td>70.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D(EMR+AT)+3D</td>
<td>73.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D(STSTNET)</td>
<td>67.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D(STSTNET)+3D</td>
<td>72.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
algorithms for this database. In addition, the 3D information in the DSME-3D database may have some deficiencies in data acquisition processing as well as feature extraction, and the recognition performance is not ideal, so further research is needed. The DSME-3D database will be available for download with permission. The database contains cropped 2D and 3D micro-expression sequences and uncropped micro-expression sequences.

6 Conclusion
This paper constructs a new spontaneous dynamic micro-expression database, which is the first micro-expression database containing dynamic 3D spatial data. It aims to improve recognition performance by incorporating spatio-temporal deformation features of micro-expressions for more detailed and subtle facial behaviors. This database was created in a controlled laboratory environment, where high-speed infrared binocular cameras simultaneously record dynamic 2D and 3D information of expressions. The database includes 259 samples, each containing a 2D texture sequence and a corresponding 3D point cloud sequence, marking the onset, apex, and offset frames of the expression sequence, coding and classifying the samples using both objective and non-objective classification. It is useful to study the validity of the classification method. Baseline evaluation results of 2D, 3D features, and classification fusion using LOSO and 10-fold cross-validation methods were presented for comparative analysis in future studies. Other 2D features were also used for database performance analysis.

The DSME-3D database makes up for the lack of 3D spatial information in previous micro-expression databases by capturing the dynamic 3D information of micro-expressions, which helps improve the recognition rate of micro-expressions. However, due to the poor robustness of the extracted 3D features, and the advantages of 3D information in expression recognition cannot be fully utilized. In the future, 3D data processing and feature representation will continue to be the key to our research, and more powerful methods are needed to make data processing and visualization faster and more accurate. Simultaneously, the 2D feature extraction algorithm for facial micro-expressions and the adaptive fusion algorithm of 2D and 3D features will be our next research direction.
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