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Abstract—In this paper, we study the task of hallucinating an authentic high-resolution (HR) face from an occluded thumbnail. We propose a multi-stage Progressive Upsampling and Inpainting Generative Adversarial Network, dubbed Pro-UIGAN, which exploits facial geometry priors to replenish and upsample (8×) the occluded and tiny faces (16×16 pixels). Pro-UIGAN iteratively (1) estimates facial geometry priors for low-resolution (LR) faces and (2) acquires non-occluded HR face images under the guidance of the estimated priors. Our multi-stage hallucination network super-resolves and inpaints occluded LR faces in a coarse-to-fine manner, thus reducing unwanted blurriness and artifacts significantly. Specifically, we design a novel cross-modal transformer module for facial priors estimation, in which an input face and its landmark features are formulated as queries and keys, respectively. Such a design encourages joint feature learning across the input facial and landmark features, and deep feature correspondences will be discovered by attention. Thus, facial appearance features and facial geometry priors are learned in a mutual promotion manner. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our Pro-UIGAN achieves visually pleasing HR faces, reaching superior performance in downstream tasks, i.e., face alignment, face parsing, face recognition and expression classification, compared with other state-of-the-art (SotA) methods.

Index Terms—Face hallucination, super-resolution, face inpainting, generative adversarial network.

I. INTRODUCTION
With the increasing demand for social security, non-intrusive identity verification has become indispensable in daily life. As face is one of the most frequently utilized biometric cues, it is highly desirable to obtain face images in high quality in order to provide essential information for identity verification. However, in real scenarios, such as in surveillance systems, the captured faces usually not only are in low resolutions but also undergo occlusions caused by body parts or accessories, such as eye-glasses, scarves, etc. Since the information available in those low-resolution (LR) face images with occlusions is quite limited, it is difficult to extract useful features to support downstream applications, such as face verification and facial attribute classification. Therefore, it becomes necessary to design advanced methods to hallucinate LR images and uncover the information from occlusions.

One straightforward solution to hallucinating LR face images with occlusions is directly utilizing existing face SR methods [2], [4]–[8] and face inpainting solutions [1], [9] to process the LR images with occlusions, which, however, has been experimentally proved sub-optimal. On the one hand, off-the-shelf face inpainting methods usually require a high-resolution (HR) face image as their inputs to analyze the context in facial regions. When the input images are in low resolutions, for example, 16×16 pixels, it becomes impractical to accurately segment the facial areas (see Fig. 1(c)), let

Fig. 1: Face super-resolution and inpainting results on an occluded LR face. (a) An input occluded LR face (16×16 pixels). (b) The ground-truth HR face (128×128 pixels). (c) The face parsing result of (a) by applying [1] after bicubic upsampling. (d) Face inpainting result of (a) by applying [1] after bicubic upsampling. (e) Face SR result of (a) by applying [2]. (f) Result obtained by applying [1] followed by [2]. (g) Result obtained by applying [3] followed by [1]. (h) Result of FCSR-GAN [3]. (i) Result of our Pro-UIGAN.
alone conducting further analysis and modeling on each facial component. Hence, inpainting leads to overly-blurred facial details (see Fig. 1(d)). Those errors might be exaggerated in the following face SR process (see Fig. 1(f)). On the other hand, most of existing face SR solutions only consider non-occluded face images as their inputs. These method may suffer from ghosting artifacts in the results when LR inputs with occlusions are given (see Fig. 1(e)). Moreover, when we apply face inpainting methods on those hallucinated images, the final results will exhibit serious distortions (see Fig. 1(g)). Based on our observations, we believe that treating face SR and face inpainting as two independent tasks is not an ideal solution.

Very recently, [3] proposes a deep generative adversarial network (FCSR-GAN) to jointly super-resolve and inpaint occluded face images in low resolutions. Comparing to the solutions which directly combine face inpainting and SR methods, the solution performed in FCSR-GAN is able to alleviate artifacts to some extent. However, since FCSR-GAN is a single-stage face hallucination network, it does not process a “looking back” mechanism to modify hallucinated faces. Therefore, FCSR-GAN may output blurry facial details when the inputs have very low resolutions and extreme poses. As visible in Fig. 1(h), unnatural artifacts and severe distortions still exist in the result of FCSR-GAN.

In this paper, we propose to hallucinate occluded LR input[1] while achieving non-occluded HR face[2] in a unified framework. In this manner, these two tasks (i.e., face SR and face inpainting) are addressed simultaneously and mutually facilitate each other. Moreover, we design a progressive joint face SR and face inpainting framework, dubbed Pro-UIGAN. Pro-UIGAN runs in a multi-stage manner where each stage refines the face images hallucinated at the previous stage. By iteratively refining the details of hallucinated images, we can achieve high-quality results for large upsampling factors. Not only that, equipped with a delicate designed cross-modal attention mechanism, we exploit facial geometry priors (i.e., facial landmark heatmaps) as the semantic guidance during our progressive hallucination process to reconstruct more realistic facial details.

Our Pro-UIGAN consists of a Pro-UInet and two discriminators, i.e., a local discriminator (namely Local-D) and a global discriminator (namely Global-D). The Pro-UInet stacks a few successive Upsampling and Inpainting Blocks (UI-blocks) and generates a non-occluded HR face image by performing multiple inpainting and upsampling of an occluded LR face. By doing so, we can inpaint and super-resolve the LR input in a coarse-to-fine manner. In particular, in each stage, our UI-block comprises a Cross-modal Transformer Module (CM-TM) and a Transformative Upsampling Net (TUN). It estimates the most distinguishable facial landmarks in an input face and constructs facial geometry priors to guide hallucination. Our designed CM-TM employs the input face and its landmark features as queries and keys to calculate their cross-attention matrix for deep feature correspondences construction. Then, it generates facial geometry priors and facial appearance features in a mutual promotion manner. In our multi-stage hallucination process, the first UI-block generates a coarse hallucinated face from the occluded LR input, which acts as a preliminary result for following UI-blocks. Then, the following UI-blocks refine the face images hallucinated at the previous stage and generate hallucination results with finer details. Fig. 1(i) illustrates that our hallucinated non-occluded HR face is more visually appealing than the results of the SotA methods.

In summary, our contributions are fourfold:

- We propose a novel framework, dubbed Progressive Upsampling and Inpainting Generative Adversarial Network (Pro-UIGAN), to jointly tackle face SR and face inpainting in a unified framework.
- We design a multi-stage hallucination and inpainting interwoven strategy. Specifically, we super-resolve and inpaint the occluded LR face in a coarse-to-fine manner, thus reducing unwanted blurriness and artifacts significantly compared to a direct combination of face hallucination and inpainting methods.
- We propose a Cross-Modal Transformer Module (CM-TM) to collaboratively learn facial geometry priors and facial appearance features. Our CM-TM provides effective clues for feature alignment and enhancement, and thus promotes more accurate face hallucination results.
- Our extensive experiments demonstrate that Pro-UIGAN is able to inpaint and super-resolve (by an upsampling factor of 8×) very low resolution and occluded face images (i.e., 16 × 16 pixels). Moreover, our Pro-UIGAN provides superior hallucinated face images for downstream tasks, i.e., face recognition and expression classification, in comparison to the state-of-the-art.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Face Super-resolution

Face Super-resolution (SR) aims at enhancing the resolution of LR face images to generate corresponding HR face images. The previous works are generally categorized into three mainstreams: holistic-based [10]–[12], part-based [13]–[19], and deep learning based methods [2, 5, 20–27].

Holistic-based methods employ global face models to upsample LR faces. Wang et al. [10] establish a linear mapping between LR and HR images to achieve face SR based on an Eigen-transformation of LR faces. Liu et al. [11] incorporate a bilateral filtering to mitigate the ghosting artifacts, improving the quality of generated HR faces. Kolouri and Rohde [12] introduce optimal transport and subspace learning to morph HR faces from aligned LR ones. However, they require LR inputs to be precisely aligned and reference HR faces are under canonical poses and natural expressions.

To handle large poses and complex expressions, part-based methods are proposed to upsample local facial regions instead of imposing global constraints. The works [13]–[16] incorporate exemplar facial patches in reference HR database to super-resolve input LR facial regions. Marshall et al. [18] employ the SIFT Flow algorithm to warp exemplar faces and compute the hallucinated HR image through MAP estimation.
Yang et al. [19] use facial landmarks to retrieve adequate high-resolution facial component exemplars for further face super-resolution. Those works [18], [19] need to precisely localize facial components for face super-resolution, which is challenging especially in LR cases.

Recently, deep learning based face SR methods have been widely studied and achieved superior performance compared to traditional methods. Yu et al. [20] design a GAN-based model to upsample LR faces. Huang et al. [21] introduce wavelet coefficients into CNNs to super-resolve LR faces with multiple upscaling factors. Cao et al. [5] put forward an attention-aware mechanism and a local enhancement network to progressively enhance local facial regions during hallucination. Xu et al. [23] exploit a multi-class adversarial loss to promote joint face SR and deblurring. Dahl et al. [24] present an autoregressive Pixel-RNN [25] to hallucinate pre-aligned LR inputs. Yu et al. [2] incorporate facial component information from the intermediate upsampled features into an upsampling stream to achieve superior face hallucination results. Yu et al. [26] develop a multiscale transformative discriminative network to hallucinate unaligned LR face images under flexible resolutions. Zhang et al. [27] present a two-branch upsampling network to normalize and super-resolve ill-illuminated LR face inputs. Menon et al. [8] present a Photo Upsampling via Latent Space Exploration (PULSE) algorithm to generate high-quality frontal face images at large resolutions. Since those works [2], [5], [8], [20]–[27] aim to super-resolve LR faces without occlusions, they might obtain inferior results when occluded LR inputs are given, as seen in Fig. 1(e).

B. Image Inpainting

Image inpainting is to generate missing regions in corrupted images. The inpainted images should be not only visually realistic but also consistent in contents. Image inpainting techniques can be divided into three categories. The first category employs the diffusion equation to iteratively propagate low-level features from the content area to the missing region along the boundaries [28], [29]. The methods belonging to the second category are patch-based methods, which search similar patches from the same image or exemplar image databases to fill in the missing contents [30]–[33]. The third category is learning-based methods, which employ encoder-decoder networks to extract image features and generate missing content according to the extracted features [34], [35]. However, these methods generally focus on inpainting natural images rather than class-specific images, such as faces.

Face inpainting is even more challenging because the face topological structure and the face identity should be retained during the inpainting process and humans are very sensitive to distorted facial structure. In general, researchers usually introduce facial prior information to inpainting. S. Zhang et al. [36] aim to recover face images from structural obstructions such as streaks. However, their method is more effective when only a small area is missing. Li et al. [1] propose a face inpainting GAN which introduces facial geometry as semantic regularization to maintain face topological structure. Meanwhile, they use both global and local discriminators to ensure the quality of completed face images. However, their algorithm is sensitive to pose and expression variations and may fail when the occluded faces are in low resolutions. Liu et al. [37] design a geometry-aware face inpainting model which exploits facial geometry information as guidance for inpainting. Liu et al. [38] integrate perceptual subnetwork to capture semantic-level facial features, thus improving synthesized content details. While these methods [9], [37] focus on the correctness of the structural information, they neglect the quality of the texture on key areas in the image (e.g., key facial components). Zhou et al. [38] propose to learn the correlations between facial textures at multiple scales and take the location of the facial components as prior knowledge during the face inpainting process. Although [38] can produce high-fidelity face images with fine-grained facial components, it still suffers from severe performance degradation when inputs are in low resolutions.

III. PROPOSED METHOD: PRO-UIGAN

In this section, we propose a Progressive Upsampling and Inpainting Generative Adversarial Network (Pro-UIGAN) for joint face inpainting and SR. Our Pro-UIGAN comprises a Pro-UInet and two discriminators, i.e., Local-D and Global-D. The Pro-UInet estimates facial geometry priors, i.e., facial landmark heatmaps, and uses them as the semantic guidance to obtain non-occluded HR faces progressively. Meanwhile, the Local-D and Global-D enforce the hallucinated faces to be more photo-realistic.

A. Multi-stage Progressive Hallucination Strategy

Inspired by Bengio et al. [10], we define a reasonable multi-stage progressive hallucination strategy. The first stage produces a coarse hallucinated face from an occluded LR input, which acts as a preliminary result for its following stage to refine. Then, the following stage achieves refinement of the face image hallucinated at the previous stage and generates a finer hallucinated one, which provides more delicate prior knowledge for the next stage. By repeating procedures mentioned previously, we can super-resolve and inpaint the occluded LR face in a coarse-to-fine manner. It is empirically demonstrated that our proposed progressive learning manner and the designed network can reduce bluriness and artifacts in the hallucinated face image significantly, as shown in Fig. 1(e).

B. Pro-UInet

Based on our multi-stage progressive hallucination strategy, our Pro-UInet stacks a series of Upsampling and Inpainting Blocks (UI-blocks) and reconstructs non-occluded HR face images progressively (see Fig. 2).

First, an occluded LR face and its facial landmark features estimated by [39] are sent into the first UI-block. Our UI-block comprises a Cross-Modal Transformer Module (CM-TM) and a Transformative Upsampling Net (TUN). The CM-TM employs the input face and its landmark features as queries and keys, and collaboratively learns facial geometry priors and
facial appearance features. Then, the learned facial geometry priors and facial appearance features are concentrated and sent into the TUN for feature alignment, aggregation and 2× upscaling. Notice that our TUN employs the residual block [41] to improve the recovery of high-frequency facial details and the network capacity. As a result, the first UI-block generates a coarse completed and upsampled face (see Fig. 4(c)). Subsequently, the latter UI-blocks further inpaint and upsample the coarse hallucinated face, generating finer hallucinated ones (see Figs. 4(d) and (l)). Specifically, as the stage number increases, the latest input image generated by the UI-block in the past stage, becomes more accurate in structures and provides more detailed prior knowledge, both of which benefit to learning processes in the current and following stages.

C. Cross-modal Transformer Module (CM-TM)

We argue that facial geometry priors (i.e., facial landmark heatmaps) and facial appearance features are different but complementary. Thus, we design the CM-TM to learn facial geometry priors and facial appearance features in a mutual promotion manner.

As shown in Fig. 3, the facial landmark features $F_L$ and the input facial features $F_C$ are formulated as queries (Q) and keys (K) to calculate their cross-attention matrix. Then, the cross-attention matrix is adopted to augment the attended features from one stream to another. Such a design encourages joint feature learning across the input facial and landmark features, and their deep feature correspondences will be discovered by attention. As a result, we can learn the facial geometry priors ($F_P$) and facial appearance features ($F_A$).

To verify the effectiveness of our CM-TM, we conduct some comparisons. As shown in Fig. 4(e), the Pro-UIGAN variant without CM-TM produces inferior results. Therefore, our CM-TM provides effective facial geometry priors as clues for feature alignment and enhancement, and thus promotes more accurate face hallucination results (see Fig. 4(l)).

D. Local-Global Discriminators

Our Pro-UInet hallucinates occluded LR faces in a coarse-to-fine manner and produces non-occluded HR faces. We
Fig. 4: Impacts of different components and losses on model performance. (a) Occluded LR images (16 × 16 pixels). (b) Ground-truth HR images (128 × 128 pixels). (c) Results of UI-block1. (d) Results of UI-block2. (e) Results of Pro-UIGAN without adopting CM-TMs. Note that the facial geometry is ambiguous. (f) Results of Pro-UIGAN trained by $L_{mse}$ and $L_{id}$. (g) Results of Pro-UIGAN trained by $L_{mse}$, $L_{id}$ and $L_{h}$. (h) Results of Pro-UIGAN without using the estimated facial geometry priors. Here, we just employ the facial appearance features as the input of TUNs. (i) Results of Pro-UIGAN using ground-truth facial geometry priors. (j) Results of Pro-UInet (Pro-UIGAN trained without employing $L_{adv}$ and $L_{adv}^s$). (k) Results of Pro-UInet and Local-D. (l) Results of Pro-UIGAN.

1) Mirror symmetry loss: Human faces, like many biological forms, manifest high degrees of symmetry. Therefore, we employ a mirror symmetry loss $L_{sys}$ to guarantee the content integrity of hallucinated faces. The mirror symmetry loss $L_{sys}$ of a generated image $\hat{h}_i$ is formulated as:

$$L_{sys} = \mathbb{E}_{(\hat{h}_i) \sim p(h)} \left\| \tilde{h}_i - \hat{h}_i \right\|_F^2,$$

where $\tilde{h}_i$ represents the horizontally flipped copy of a generated face $h_i$.

2) Intensity similarity loss: In order to force the hallucinated face to be close to its ground-truth, an intensity similarity loss $L_{mse}$ is introduced, which is defined as:

$$L_{mse} = \mathbb{E}_{(\hat{h}_i, h_i) \sim p(h)} \left\| \hat{h}_i - h_i \right\|_F^2,$$

where $p(\hat{h}, h)$ represents the joint distribution of the generated result $\hat{h}_i$ and the corresponding ground-truth $h_i$.

As mentioned in [42], only employing the intensity similarity loss $L_{mse}$ in training often leads to over-smoothed results and the network may fail to generate high-frequency facial features (see Fig. 4(f)). Therefore, we incorporate an identity similarity loss to enhance our hallucinated results.

3) Identity similarity loss: Identity preservation is one of the most important goals in face hallucination [43]. Thus, we adopt an identity similarity loss $L_{id}$ by measuring the Euclidean distance between the high-level features of a hallucinated face and its ground-truth, thereby endowing our Pro-UIGAN with the identity preserving ability. The identity similarity loss $L_{id}$ is expressed as:

$$L_{id} = \mathbb{E}_{(\hat{h}_i, h_i) \sim p(h)} \left\| \Phi(\hat{h}_i) - \Phi(h_i) \right\|_F^2,$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ represents the extracted feature maps of a layer in VGG-19 [44]. We use the layer ReLU32, which gives good empirical results in our experiments. As seen in Fig. 4(g), employing $L_{id}$ indeed improves the generated results while producing more authentic facial details.

4) Geometry similarity loss: To facilitate face alignment as well as constrain the topological structure consistency between the generated HR image and the ground-truth one, a geometry similarity loss $L_{h}$ [45] is employed in training our CM-TMs, written as:

$$L_{h} = \mathbb{E}_{(\hat{h}_i, h_i) \sim p(h)} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left\| H^k(f_i) - H^k(h_i) \right\|_2^2,$$

where $H^k(f_i)$ represents the estimated $k$-th facial landmark heatmap by our CM-TM. $H^k(h_i)$ denotes the corresponding facial landmark heatmap generated by FAN [39] on the ground-truth image $h_i$.

5) Style loss: Inspired by [46], we introduce a style loss $L_{style}$ to make the style of the completed area as similar as possible to the ground-truth image. The style loss $L_{style}$ is defined as:

$$L_{style} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left\| K_n \left( \phi_n(\hat{h}_i) \right)^T \phi_n(h_i) - \phi_n(h_i)^T \phi_n(\hat{h}_i) \right\|_1,$$

where $\phi_n(\cdot)$ represents the extracted feature maps of the $n$-th layer in VGG-16 [44], and we use the pool1, pool2 and pool3

E. Objective Functions

In our work, we employ six individual losses to train our networks, including a mirror symmetry loss ($L_{sys}$), an intensity similarity loss ($L_{mse}$), an identity similarity loss ($L_{id}$), a geometry similarity loss ($L_{h}$), a style loss ($L_{style}$) and a local-global discriminative loss ($L_{adv}$ and $L_{adv}^s$).
layers. \( K_n = 1 / (C_n \cdot H_n \cdot W_n) \) is a normalization factor for the n-th VGG-16 layer. \( C_n \), \( H_n \) and \( W_n \) are the channel number, height and width of the feature maps, respectively.

6) Local-Global discriminative loss: Aiming at generating photo-realistic results, we infuse the discriminative information into our Pro-UInet by adopting the local-global discriminators, i.e., Local-D and Global-D. Our goal is to let the local-global discriminators fail to distinguish hallucinated faces from ground-truth ones.

The objective function \( L_D \) for the Local-D is defined as follows:

\[
L_D = -E_{(\hat{h}, m_i) \sim p(\hat{h}, m)} \left[ \log D^l_d (m_i) + \log \left( 1 - D^l_d (\hat{m}_i) \right) \right],
\]

(6)

where \( p(\hat{m}, m) \) represents the joint distribution of the generated occluded areas \( \hat{m}_i \) and the corresponding ground-truths \( m_i \). \( D^l \) and \( d \) represent the Local-D and its parameters. During training, we minimize the loss \( L_D \) and update the parameters for the Local-D.

The objective function \( L_D^g \) for the Global-D is defined as follows:

\[
L_D^g = -E_{(h, h_i) \sim p(h, h)} \left[ \log D^g_d (h_i) + \log \left( 1 - D^g_d (\hat{h}_i) \right) \right],
\]

(7)

where \( D^g \) and \( d \) represent the Global-D and its parameters. During training, we minimize the loss \( L_D^g \) and update the parameters for the Global-D.

Acting as a generator, our Pro-UInet is designed to produce realistic face images, which would be classified as real faces by the discriminators.

Thus, the local discriminative loss \( L_{adv}^l \) is represented as:

\[
L_{adv}^l = -E_{\hat{h}, m} \sim p(\hat{h}, m) \log \left( D^l_d (\hat{m}_i) \right).
\]

(8)

Meanwhile, the global discriminative loss \( L_{adv}^g \) is represented as:

\[
L_{adv}^g = -E_{h_i \sim p(h)} \log \left( D^g_d (\hat{h}_i) \right).
\]

(9)

In the network learning process, we minimize \( L_{adv}^l \) and \( L_{adv}^g \).

\section*{F. Training Details}

Although UI-block1, UI-block2 and UI-block3 tackle the same hallucination subtask, their different inputs with diverse details attach their learning process with different difficulty levels. Therefore, we adopt different loss terms for training different UI-blocks.

The objective function for the UI-block1, \( L_{net1} \), is expressed as:

\[
L_{net1} = L_{sys}^a + L_{mase}^a + \alpha L_{adv}^a + \beta L_{h}^a + \gamma L_{style}^a.
\]

(10)

The objective function for the UI-block2, \( L_{net2} \), is expressed as:

\[
L_{net2} = L_{mase}^b + \alpha L_{adv}^b + \beta L_{h}^b + \gamma L_{style}^b.
\]

(11)

The objective function for the UI-block3, \( L_{net3} \), is expressed as:

\[
L_{net3} = L_{mase}^c + \alpha L_{adv}^c + \beta L_{h}^c + \gamma L_{style}^c + \psi (L_{adv}^l + L_{adv}^g).
\]

(12)

Above all, the total objective function for our Pro-UInet, \( L_G \), is expressed as:

\[
L_G = L_{net1} + L_{net2} + L_{net3}.
\]

(13)

Since we intend to make hallucinated HR faces without occlusions to be close to ground-truth, we set lower weights on \( L_{adv}^l \), \( L_{adv}^g \), and \( L_{adv}^c \). Thus, \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \psi \) in Eqs. (10)–(12) are set to 0.01. Meanwhile, \( \gamma' \), \( \gamma'' \) and \( \gamma \) are set to 10, 10 and 1, respectively.

The training process of our Pro-UIGAN model involves three stages: (i) Pre-training the UI-block1 with loss \( L_{net1} \) (Eq. (10)) on the training dataset for parameter initialization. (ii) Pre-training the UI-block1 and the UI-block2 with loss \( L_{net1} \) (Eq. (10)) and loss \( L_{net2} \) (Eq. (11)) for parameter initialization. In this stage, the UI-block1 has been initialized. (iii) Training the whole Pro-UIGAN model with three losses together: Pro-UInet is trained by \( L_G \) (Eq. (13)), \( L_D^l \) (Eq. (6)) and \( L_D^g \) (Eq. (7)) are used to optimize Local-D and Global-D. In the final stage, since our UI-block3 has not been initialized, the learning rate for training our UI-block3 is set to \( 10^{-3} \) while the learning rate for training the other networks is set to \( 10^{-4} \).

\section*{IV. EXPERIMENTS}

\subsection*{A. Experimental Setup}

1) Databases: Pro-UIGAN is trained and tested on multiple widely used benchmarks, i.e., the Multi-PIE database \([47]\), the CelebA-HQ database \([48]\) and the Helen database \([49]\).

Multi-PIE \([47]\) is a large face database with 750K+ images of 337 subjects under various poses, illumination conditions, and expressions. We choose 12,912 images of all the subjects spanning across various poses (0°, ±15°, ±30°, ±45°, ±60°, ±75°, ±90°) and expressions (“smile”, “disgust”, “squint”, “scream”, “surprise”, and “neutral”) for our experiments. Our training set contains 12,000 images belonging to the former 250 individuals, and the testing set includes 912 images belonging to the remaining 87 individuals.

CelebA-HQ \([50]\) consists of 30,000 high-resolution face images under different pose, occlusion and background variations. Each face image has a manually-annotated, binary segmentation mask and 19 labelled facial attributes, e.g., eyes, mouth, hat, neck, skin and etc. The standard split for CelebA-HQ is employed in our experiments, where 28,432 images for training and 1,568 for testing.

Helen \([49]\) is composed of 2,330 in-the-wild face images with labeled facial components generated through manually-annotated contours along eyebrows, nose, lips and jawline. We employ the former 2,280 images to construct the training set, and the remaining 50 images forms the testing set. Specifically, we perform data augmentation on the training images. We rotate the original images by ±90°, ±180°, ±270° and flip them horizontally. This results in 7 additional augmented images for each original one.

2) Implementation details: First, we crop CelebA-HQ, Multi-PIE, and Helen face images, resize them to 128 × 128 pixels, and use them as our ground-truths. Then, we use the masks with different sizes, ranging from 16 × 16 to 64 × 64 pixels, and covering at least one key facial component to
Fig. 5: Qualitative comparisons of SotA methods on the CelebA-HQ, Multi-PIE and Helen databases. Columns: (a) Occluded LR inputs (16 × 16 pixels). (b) Bicubic + [1] (c) [6] + [1]. (d) [2] + [1]. (e) [8] + [1]. (f) [1] + [6]. (g) [1] + [2]. (h) [1] + [8]. (i) [3]. (j) Ours. (k) Ground-truths. The first two lines: testing samples from CelebA-HQ. The middle two lines: testing samples from Multi-PIE. The last two lines: testing samples from Helen.

TABLE I: Average PSNR [dB] and SSIM values of SotA methods on the CelebA-HQ, Multi-PIE and Helen databases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR Method</th>
<th>Multi-PIE</th>
<th>CelebA-HQ</th>
<th>Helen</th>
<th>Multi-PIE</th>
<th>CelebA-HQ</th>
<th>Helen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>SSIM</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>SSIM</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>SSIM</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicubic</td>
<td>12.761</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>14.038</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>13.665</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSRnet [6]</td>
<td>13.538</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>15.011</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>14.168</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHC [2]</td>
<td>16.448</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>16.950</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>16.003</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PULSE [8]</td>
<td>8.451</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>13.106</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>10.516</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCSR-GAN [3]</td>
<td>21.327</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>23.010</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>20.745</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-UIGAN</td>
<td>23.188</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>25.470</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>22.038</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the second fashion (PA+SR), we first inpaint occluded LR faces by popular face inpainting techniques, and then super-resolve the completed faces by SotA face SR methods. In the third fashion (Joint SR+PA), both FCSR-GAN [3] and Pro-UIGAN jointly tackle face SR and face inpainting in a unified framework. For a fair comparison, we retrain these baseline methods on our training sets.

B. Qualitative Evaluation

Fig. 5 illustrates the qualitative results of the compared methods.

As shown in Fig. 5(b), the combination of bicubic interpolation and face inpainting methods [1] fails to generate photo-realistic facial details. Since bicubic upsampling only interpolates new pixels from neighboring pixels without generating new contents, the produced HR images lack details. Consequently, the face inpainting method fails to segment facial areas and outputs erroneous results with notorious

3) Competing methods: We conduct comparative experiments in the following three fashions:

- SR+PA: face SR methods (FSRnet [6], FHC [2], or PULSE [8]) followed by face inpainting techniques (GFC [1]);
- PA+SR: face inpainting techniques (GFC [1]) followed by face SR methods (FSRnet [6], FHC [2], or PULSE [8]) (we use bicubic interpolation to adjust image sizes);
- Joint SR+PA: FCSR-GAN [3] and our Pro-UIGAN.

In the first fashion (SR+PA), we first super-resolve the occluded LR faces, and then inpaint the upsampled results.

Our large-scale occluded LR/non-occluded HR face pair dataset and the code will be available on https://github.com/SEU-yang.
TABLE II: Ablation study of facial geometry priors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Multi-PIE</th>
<th>CelebA-HQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>SSIM</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^G_1</td>
<td>18.473</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^G_2</td>
<td>19.281</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^G_3</td>
<td>20.403</td>
<td>0.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^G_4</td>
<td>22.755</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^G_5</td>
<td>23.188</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III: Ablation study of different losses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Losses</th>
<th>Multi-PIE</th>
<th>CelebA-HQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L^id</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>SSIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^sys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^adv</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As demonstrated in Tab. II, only adopting intensity similarity loss \( L_{mse} \) leads to unpleasant quantitative results (\( L^G_5 \) in Tab. III). The identity similarity loss \( L_{id} \) not only improves the visual quality (see Fig. 3(i)) but also increases the quantitative performance (\( L^G_5 \) in Tab. III). This experiment asserts that \( L_{id} \) forces the high-order moments of the hallucinated faces, i.e., feature maps, to be similar to their ground-truths and thus improves hallucination performance. In addition, we also verify the effectiveness of the mirror symmetry loss \( L_{sys} \). As indicated in Tab. III(\( L^G_5 \)), using \( L_{sys} \) leads to better quantitative performance. Since \( L_{sys} \) enforces the content integrity of completed faces, it is able to reduce the reconstruction errors of coarsely hallucinated faces in the first UI-block rather than results via a coarse-to-fine manner. Furthermore, Tab. I also demonstrates that both SR+PA and PA+SR methods fail to achieve satisfying quantitative performance. This implies that jointly addressing face SR and inpainting is more suitable and effective for this challenging task.

D. Ablation Analysis

1) Impacts of facial geometry priors: In our work, we exploit facial geometry priors, i.e., facial landmark heatmaps, for joint face SR and inpainting. We give a full discussion about how many improvements the facial geometry priors bring.

We formulate two baseline models as below (we denote Pro-UIGAN as P, estimated facial priors as FP, and ground-truth facial priors as GT):

- P-FP: we abandon the estimated facial priors of CM-TMs and just employ the facial appearance features as the input of TUNs.
- P+GT: we introduce the ground-truth facial geometry priors, i.e., ground-truth facial landmark heatmaps, to replace the estimated priors of CM-TMs, constructing the “P+GT” model.

The results of the compared models are illustrated in Fig. 4. As we can see, the result in Fig. 4(i) shows more accurate facial geometry than the results in Figs. 4(h) and 4(i). This clearly manifests the importance of facial prior knowledge in the hallucination process: accurate facial prior knowledge assists to reduce the ambiguous mapping caused by occlusions, thus facilitates the upsampling procedures. As shown in Tab. IV, P+GT model (with the ground-truth facial geometry priors) outperforms Pro-UIGAN model (with the estimated facial geometry prior) and P-FP model (without prior information) with the PSNR improvement of 0.819 dB and 4.682 dB on CelebA-HQ, respectively. Therefore, facial geometry priors significantly promote the model performance and thus improve the visual quality of hallucinated faces.

2) Impact of different losses: We report the performance of different Pro-UIGAN variants, which are trained with different loss combinations, on Multi-PIE and CelebA-HQ (see Tab. III and Fig. 4). We denote the compared Pro-UIGAN variants as follows: (i) \( L^G_5 \): \( L_{mse} \) and \( L_{id} \); (ii) \( L^G_5 \): \( L_{mse} \), \( L_{id} \) and \( L_{h} \); (iii) \( L^G_5 \): \( L_{mse} \), \( L_{id} \), \( L_{h} \) and \( L_{sys} \); (iv) \( L^G_5 \): \( L_{mse} \), \( L_{id} \), \( L_{h} \), \( L_{sys} \), \( L_{style} \), and \( L_{adv} \); (v) \( L^G_5 \): \( L_{mse} \), \( L_{id} \), \( L_{h} \), \( L_{sys} \), \( L_{style} \), \( L_{adv} \) and \( L_{id}^{sys} \). Note that \( L_{h} \) is a prerequisite constraint in training our CM-TMs.

As shown in Tab. III, our Pro-UIGAN achieves remarkable quantitative results than other SotA methods on all databases. For example, on the CelebA-HQ testing set, Pro-UIGAN outperforms the second best technique FCSR-GAN with a large margin of approximate 2.46 dB in PSNR. This is mainly because our model progressively refines hallucinated ambiguity, such as over-smoothed facial details and distorted contours.

As discussed in Sec. I, simply combining existing face SR and inpainting methods cannot address this challenging issue. This is verified by the results of the SR+PA methods (see Figs. 5(c)-(e)), where the hallucinated facial regions are still corrupted. Similarly, the PA+SR methods also fail to recover the occluded facial details (see Figs. 5(f)-(h)).

FCSR-GAN is the first attempt to jointly address face SR and face inpainting in a whole framework. In this manner, two tasks alternately facilitate each other. Thus, FCSR-GAN generates relatively satisfying results, as shown in Fig. 5(i). However, due to its single-stage mechanism, FCSR-GAN does not have a “looking back” mechanism to revise the hallucinated faces. Therefore, when input LR faces are under large poses or complex expressions (see Fig. 5(a)), the results of FCSR-GAN suffer from severe distortions and ghosting artifacts.

As shown in Fig. 5(j), our Pro-UIGAN generates visually appealing non-occluded HR faces from very LR and occluded inputs. Specifically, we show challenging examples of coarsely hallucinated faces in the first UI-block rather than completed faces, it is able to reduce the reconstruction errors and face inpainting in a whole framework. In this manner, two tasks alternately facilitate each other. Thus, FCSR-GAN generates relatively satisfying results, as shown in Fig. 5(i). However, due to its single-stage mechanism, FCSR-GAN does not have a “looking back” mechanism to revise the hallucinated faces. Therefore, when input LR faces are under large poses or complex expressions (see Fig. 5(a)), the results of FCSR-GAN suffer from severe distortions and ghosting artifacts.

As shown in Fig. 5(j), our Pro-UIGAN generates visually appealing non-occluded HR faces from very LR and occluded inputs. Specifically, we show challenging examples of coarsely hallucinated faces in the first UI-block rather than completed faces, it is able to reduce the reconstruction errors and face inpainting in a whole framework. In this manner, two tasks alternately facilitate each other. Thus, FCSR-GAN generates relatively satisfying results, as shown in Fig. 5(i). However, due to its single-stage mechanism, FCSR-GAN does not have a “looking back” mechanism to revise the hallucinated faces. Therefore, when input LR faces are under large poses or complex expressions (see Fig. 5(a)), the results of FCSR-GAN suffer from severe distortions and ghosting artifacts.

As shown in Fig. 5(j), our Pro-UIGAN generates visually appealing non-occluded HR faces from very LR and occluded inputs. Specifically, we show challenging examples of coarsely hallucinated faces in the first UI-block rather than completed faces, it is able to reduce the reconstruction errors

C. Quantitative Evaluation

To evaluate the hallucination performance quantitatively, we report the average Peak Single-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and Structural SIMilarity (SSIM) values on the testing sets in Tab. I.

As shown in Tab. I, our Pro-UIGAN achieves remarkable quantitative results than other SotA methods on all databases. For example, on the CelebA-HQ testing set, Pro-UIGAN outperforms the second best technique FCSR-GAN with a large margin of approximate 2.46 dB in PSNR. This is mainly because our model progressively refines hallucinated
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spread the errors through the entire Pro-UInet. Thus, the latter UI-blocks can focus on learning mappings between LR and HR facial patterns. Moreover, as demonstrated in Tab. III ($L^*_G$) and Fig. 3(k), the Local-D improves the quantitative performance by forcing the generated occluded parts to be photo-realistic. However, Fig. 3(k) shows that the hallucinated faces still suffer from global structural inconsistency. Finally, with the help of the Global-D, Pro-UIGAN achieves the most satisfied faces (see Fig. 4(l)) and the highest quantitative results ($L^*_G$ in Tab. III).

E. Impacts of Different Mask Sizes
We evaluate the impact of different mask sizes on our model. The compared masks are as follows: (a) m1: 16 × 16, (b) m2: 24 × 24, (c) m3: 32 × 32, (d) m4: 48 × 48, (e) m5: 64 × 64.

Figs. 6 and 7 show the qualitative and quantitative results of occluded LR faces with different masks. The performance of our Pro-UIGAN gradually drops with the increasing mask size, which is expected as the larger mask size represents more uncertainties in pixel values. But generally our model performs well for the common mask sizes (smaller than 64 × 64). This is because our facial geometry priors ensure the integrity of facial structure and the consistency of facial attributes for the generated results.

F. Efficiency Analysis
We also conduct comparisons to verify the efficiency of our model. As indicated in Tab. IV compared with the SotA methods, our Pro-UIGAN requires the shortest running time (34.21 ms) because Pro-UIGAN performs face SR and inpainting seamlessly. Moreover, despite of our multi-stage framework, the parameter capacity of Pro-UIGAN is more lightweight than the compared models. Meanwhile, we achieve much better improvements on quantitative performance (see the PSNR values Tab. IV). As a result, our Pro-UIGAN model is computationally efficient and functionally prominent.

G. Facial Attributes Manipulation
After hallucinating the occluded LR faces, users may not be satisfied with the facial attributes of generated faces and tend to modify them. We demonstrate that our model allows the users to perform interactive facial attribute editing on the hallucinated face. As shown in Fig. 9, we can simply change the facial geometry priors to modify one attribute (i.e., mouth, nose, eyes) while other attributes are kept similar to the ground-truth.

H. Performance on Non-occluded LR Faces
As shown in Fig. 1(d), the face inpainting method [11] is not suitable to inpaint LR faces and leads to ambiguous results. Then, the errors would be exaggerated in the following face SR process (see Fig. 1(f)). In contrast, we jointly inpaint and super-resolve faces instead of treating these two tasks separately. Therefore, we significantly alleviate side-effects caused by either of these two processes.

For fair comparisons, we conduct experiments to evaluate Pro-UIGAN and SotA face SR methods on non-occluded LR faces. In this case, face inpainting methods are not necessary and thus not employed. As shown in Fig. 8, Pro-UIGAN still outperforms the SotA methods and achieves more visually appealing and identity-preserving results. This demonstrates the effectiveness of our progressive learning strategy and the identity-preserving ability of our model.

I. Performance on Faces with Real Occlusions
We demonstrate our method can effectively hallucinate the faces where real occlusions occur. We select a few CelebA-HQ testing faces partly occluded by objects such as glasses or...
GAN. Ours/GT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.24/0.4126</td>
<td>16.91/0.5037</td>
<td>18.09/0.5615</td>
<td>23.13/0.6978</td>
<td>25.82/0.7590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.91/0.5037</td>
<td>18.09/0.5615</td>
<td>23.13/0.6978</td>
<td>25.82/0.7590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.09/0.5615</td>
<td>23.13/0.6978</td>
<td>25.82/0.7590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.13/0.6978</td>
<td>25.82/0.7590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. FACE HALLUCINATION EVALUATION VIA DOWNSTREAM TASKS

A. Comparisons with SotA on Face Alignment

We demonstrate that our Pro-UIGAN boosts the performance of low-quality face alignment. We adopt the “alignment via hallucination” framework to conduct experiments on the Multi-PIE, CelebA-HQ and Helen databases. Concretely, occluded LR faces are first hallucinated by face hallucination methods and then used for alignment.

Fig. 11 shows the hallucinated images of compared methods and facial landmarks estimated by FAN [39] on different hallucinated faces. Moreover, Tab. V presents the NRMSE results, which is a popular metric in face alignment. The results indicate that: (1) It is a challenge for face alignment models to predict landmarks directly from very low resolution and occluded images. The estimated landmarks of the bicubic face exhibit large errors around mouth, eyes or other components. Our Pro-UIGAN can ease the alignment difficulty, which leads to lower NRMSE than bicubic faces (see Tab. V). (2) Compared to FSCR-GAN [3], our hallucinated face provides visually superior estimation on facial components and shapes, and achieves a large margin of NRMSE performance on all datasets. These results demonstrate that Pro-UIGAN reconstructs facial geometry more accurately, and is practical for low-quality face alignment tasks.

B. Comparisons with SotA on Face Parsing

We manifest that our Pro-UIGAN also benefits low-quality face parsing tasks. Similarly, we adopt a recent face parsing model [52] to conduct face parsing experiments for recovered images of compared methods.

As shown in Fig. 12, the parsing maps of our hallucinated face images separate complete and accurate facial components, while others provide wrong shapes or even lose components (e.g., eyes, nose and mouth). Meanwhile, we report the Intersection-over-union (IoU) results on the testing sets with comparisons to the SotA (see Tab. V). As shown in Tab. V our Pro-UIGAN yields the highest parsing accuracy and surpasses all the SotA methods by a large margin (over 5%) in terms of IoU on all datasets. The comparison implies that Pro-UIGAN
C. Comparisons with SotA on Face Recognition

The identity distance in Fig. 12 partly reveals our network ability of preserving identity information. In order to test to what extent the face identity can be preserved across its different inputs, we evaluate our hallucinated results in the task to what extent the face identity can be preserved across its ability of preserving identity information. In order to test how well our model can preserve the identity of the face, we conduct experiments on the CelebA-HQ dataset. For each non-occluded HR, we generate the occluded HR faces from LR ones by different methods. Finally, we train the compared face hallucination methods on the Multi-PIE database. Then, we partition the Multi-PIE database into subject disjoint training and testing sets. Afterwards, we employ a SotA pre-trained face recognition model (SphereFaceNet [51]) to conduct face recognition experiments on occluded LR faces and hallucinated HR faces from LR ones by different methods. Finally, we compute the cosine distance of the extracted deep features for face recognition. In particular, we train a CycleGAN [53] to alleviate the domain gap between gallery faces and hallucinated ones.

1) Experimental settings: We adopt the “recognition via hallucination” framework to conduct experiments on the multi-PIE database [47]. First, we partition the Multi-PIE database into subject disjoint training and testing sets. Then, we train the compared face hallucination methods on the training set and then conduct face recognition experiments on the testing set. The testing set includes the frontal HR Multi-PIE face images of 50 testing individuals under normal illumination. Here, we apply the four masking types in Fig. 12 for each non-occluded HR. These four masking types, to some extent, simulate the occlusions that possibly occur in real scenarios. For example, masking two eyes mainly refers to the occlusion by glasses and masking mouths matches the case of wearing the masks. Then, we generate the occluded LR faces (16 × 16 pixels) by transforming and downsampling the masked HR ones. As a result, the occluded LR and non-occluded HR face images construct the probe and gallery sets respectively. Afterwards, we employ a SotA pre-trained face recognition model (SphereFaceNet [51]) to conduct face recognition experiments on occluded LR faces and hallucinated HR faces from LR ones by different methods. Finally, we compute the cosine distance of the extracted deep features for face recognition. In particular, we train a CycleGAN [53] to alleviate the domain gap between gallery faces and hallucinated ones.

2) Evaluation: The performance comparisons of Pro-UIGAN and other face hallucination methods are shown in Fig. 13. Here, we compare the performance of face SR...
methods as well as the combinations of face SR and face inpainting techniques. As indicated by Fig. 13, the face recognition rates of our hallucinated faces are superior to those of the occluded LR faces and other methods’ results. This demonstrates that our Pro-UIGAN achieves remarkable identity preservation ability, which substantially satisfies the need of the downstream face recognition task.

D. Comparisons with SotA on Face Expression Classification

We manifest that our Pro-UIGAN is also able to preserve facial expression modality, and benefits low-quality face expression classification tasks.

1) Experimental settings: We perform a standard 10-fold subject-independent cross-validation on the Multi-PIE dataset. First, the synthesized occluded LR/non-occluded HR Multi-PIE face pairs are split into 10 subsets according to the identity information and the individuals in any two subsets are mutually exclusive [54], [55]. In each experiment, 9 subsets are used for training and the remaining one subset for testing. We train all the compared hallucination models on the same training dataset and employ a SotA expression classification model, VGG-VD-16 [44], to identify the facial expression of non-occluded HR faces hallucinated from occluded LR ones. Here, SotA face hallucination methods are used to upsample the testing faces, while the classification results of the occluded LR faces upsampled by bicubic interpolation and the ground-truth HR faces are also provided as baselines. Finally, the expression classification performance for each method is obtained by averaging the results of the 10 folds, as indicated in Tab. VI.

2) Evaluation: As implied in Tab. VI, the hallucinated non-occluded HR face images of our Pro-UIGAN are more authentic to the ground-truth faces in comparison to the SotA, thus leading to superior face expression classification rates. Particularly, the face expression classification rate of our hallucinated faces exceeds that of the input occluded LR ones by a large margin of 33.43%. Meanwhile, Fig. 14 illustrates the corresponding confusion matrices. These matrices indicate that Pro-UIGAN recovers facial expressions more faithfully, and is more practical for low-quality face expression classification tasks.

VI. Conclusion

This paper presents a Pro-UIGAN framework to jointly super-resolve and inpaint occluded LR face images seamlessly. Moreover, our developed multi-stage progressive learning strategy allows us to achieve coarse-to-fine feature refinement under the guidance of facial geometry priors. Meanwhile, we also provide a solution to estimate facial landmarks from occluded thumbnails, thus significantly improving our hallucination performance. Experimental results validate the effectiveness of Pro-UIGAN, which yields identity-preserving faces and substantially boosts the performance of downstream tasks, i.e., face alignment, face parsing, face recognition and expression classification. Following the main idea of this work, our future research will explore more practical scenes, e.g., masks with arbitrary shapes and real-world degraded faces.
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