

Hawking radiation of anyons

Vishnupal C,^{1,*} Soumen Basak,^{1,†} and Saurya Das^{2,‡}

¹*School of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram, Maruthamala PO, Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram 695551, Kerala, India*

²*Theoretical Physics Group and Quantum Alberta, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Lethbridge 4401 University Drive, Lethbridge, Alberta T1K 3M4, Canada*

(Dated: November 9, 2021)

We derive the Hawking radiation spectrum of anyons, namely particles in $(2 + 1)$ -dimension obeying fractional statistics, from a Bañados, Teitelboim, and Zanelli (BTZ) black hole, in the tunneling formalism. We examine ways of measuring the spectrum in experimentally realizable systems in the laboratory.

I. INTRODUCTION

According to the classical theory of Gravity, formulated by Albert Einstein, a black hole is a region of spacetime from which gravity prevents everything, including light, from escaping. It is quite remarkable that black holes turn “grey” and radiate energy in the form of Hawking radiation [1], when quantum mechanics is brought into the picture. Hawking radiation, being thermal in nature, contains very little information, and since a large amount of information may have entered the black hole during its formation phase, it may be lost forever when the black hole evaporates completely. This is the so-called information loss problem, whose resolution is still-being sought, despite a number of interesting proposals [2–7]. Hence, it is important to examine Hawking radiation phenomena for a diverse set of spacetimes and a variety of particles. At the very least, this would help in a better understanding of the problem itself. It has been shown that in $(3 + 1)$ -dimension, bosons and fermions Hawking radiate from black holes at the *same* Hawking temperature of the black hole, with the respective Planck and Fermi distributions. Contrary to this, in $(2 + 1)$ -dimension, there can exist particles, known as *anyons*, which are neither bosons, nor fermions, but follow fractional statistics [8, 9]. Anyons are interesting entities to study in their own right. Furthermore, there has been recent experiments which show strong evidence in favour of their existence [10]. They may also be practically useful in a variety of systems such as quantum computation [11, 12]. The obvious question that arise in this context is whether there exist Hawking radiation of anyons as well. Existence of Hawking radiation of anyons from black holes will not only strengthen the Hawking radiation results, but may also shed new light on the information loss problem, as well as provide a new avenue of observing Hawking radiation in the laboratory [13]. Hence the primary focus of this article is to take a closer look at these issues, which to the best of our knowl-

edge, is the first study of anyonic Hawking radiation. In the next section, we review the important properties of anyons that are relevant to this work. This is followed by, in Section III, a brief review of the Hawking decay rate from a black hole horizon in the tunneling approach first proposed by Parikh and Wilczek [14] for bosons and fermions in $(3 + 1)$ -dimension. Hawking radiation using a complex path approach in different coordinates was also studied in [15, 16] and anyon like excitations in the context of Bañados, Teitelboim, and Zanelli (BTZ) black holes in [17]. In Section IV, we describe in detail an extension of this formalism to anyons in the context of a BTZ black hole. In Section V, we examine potential ways of observing this radiation in tabletop experiments. Finally, we summarize our results and conclude in Section VI.

II. PARTICLES WITH INTERMEDIATE STATISTICS

In the $(3 + 1)$ -spacetime dimension that we live in, particles are either bosons or fermions, with intrinsic spin of integer or half-odd integer (in units of \hbar). These particles are described by wave functions which are either symmetric or anti-symmetric under the exchange of two particles. Contrary to this, in $(2 + 1)$ -spacetime dimension, a continuous range of statistics is available [9]. Consider two identical particles in $(2 + 1)$ -dimension. Let $\psi(r)$ be the wave function of the two particle system, subject to the condition that $\psi(r) \neq 0$, if $r > a$ (the so-called ‘hard-core condition’), where \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 are the positions of the two particles and the relative position vector $\vec{r} \equiv \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2$. So, the configuration space of the particles is the two-dimensional (x, y) -plane with a disc of radius a removed. Given these coordinates, we can define a complex coordinate $z = x + iy$, and make a transformation $z \rightarrow z e^{2\pi i}$, which effectively brings a particle back to its starting point, the wave function must also remain invariant, *but* up to a phase, i.e.

$$\psi(z e^{i2\pi}, z^* e^{-i2\pi}) = e^{i2\pi\alpha} \psi(z, z^*), \quad (1)$$

for some real parameter α . Similarly, one may interchange the two particles, i.e. transform $z \rightarrow z e^{i\pi}$, to

* lal15@alumni.iisertvm.ac.in

† sbasak@iisertvm.ac.in

‡ saurya.das@uleth.ca

obtain,

$$\psi(z e^{i\pi}, z^* e^{-i\pi}) = e^{i\pi\alpha} \psi(z, z^*). \quad (2)$$

In Eq.(2) the value of α equal to 0 and 1 corresponding to bosons and fermions. However, in $(2+1)$ -dimension, any real value of α in between 0 and 1 is also allowed. To understand this particular point, let's consider a system of two identical particles in $(3+1)$ -dimension. We need to do two consecutive interchanges of the location of the particles to go back to the original configuration. All such trajectories are topologically equivalent. However, in $(2+1)$ dimension, after doing one interchange, we need to do one more winding of one particle around the other to come back to the initial configuration. Unlike to the case in $(3+1)$ -dimension, these two trajectories are distinct in nature. So, it can be associated with two different topological phases which can take any value. It clearly indicates to the fact that, in two spatial dimension, a particle may possess statistics which are different from the standard Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac statistics and are called fractional statistics. The particles that follow fractional statistics are called anyons. The objective of our work is to describe the Hawking radiation of these particles.

III. HAWKING RADIATION FROM TUNNELING FOR BOSONS AND FERMIONS

Hawking radiation [14] from a black hole horizon can be interpreted in the following way: there is copious pair production of particles and anti-particles from vacuum just inside the horizon. The anti-particle travels backwards in time inside the horizon, while the particle tunnels out quantum mechanically and is manifested as Hawking radiation. An equivalent picture exists in which the pair production occurs just outside the horizon, with the negative energy particle tunneling inside the horizon and the one with positive energy gives rise to Hawking radiation. A rigorous calculation of the tunneling rate indeed reproduces the correct radiation rate, derived independently by other methods, and lends further credence to the above picture. To estimate the tunneling probability, we compute the imaginary part of the action of the particle over classically forbidden region [14],

$$\begin{aligned} Im S &= Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} dr p_r, \\ &= Im \int_M^{M-\omega} \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} dH \frac{dr}{\dot{r}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $H = M - \omega'$ and the initial value of radius is chosen just inside the event horizon, $r_{in} = 2M - \epsilon$. Due to loss of energy, the radius of the event horizon will reduce to, $r_h = 2M - \omega$. Therefore, the point outside will be at, $r_{out} = 2(M - \omega) + \epsilon$.

The integration in Eq.(3) is obtained using the equation of motion of radial null geodesics to express the $Im S$

in terms of M and ω ,

$$Im S = 4\pi\omega \left(M - \frac{\omega}{2} \right). \quad (4)$$

The corresponding amplitude of the tunneling process can be written in a straightforward manner as,

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2Im S} = e^{-8\pi\omega \left(M - \frac{\omega}{2} \right)} = p_\omega. \quad (5)$$

The above tunneling amplitude also can be interpreted as the relative probability of creating a particle-antiparticle pair just outside the horizon [18]. Eq.(5) is valid for both bosons and fermions. When a pair production happens just outside the horizon with the relative probability p_ω , particle with energy ω escapes from the horizon and antiparticle with energy $-\omega$ goes inward towards the singularity. The absolute probability of creating a pair of particles in a particular mode ω is calculated as follows:

$$P_\omega = C_\omega p_\omega, \quad (6)$$

where C_ω , which is the probability that no pairs are created in that particular mode. Since fermions obey the exclusion principle, only one particle-antiparticle pair can be created in each quantum state, the sum of the probabilities of no pair production and one pair production must add up to one,

$$C_\omega + C_\omega p_\omega = 1. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, the probability of creating one pair of particles in the energy mode ω is given by

$$P_{1\omega} = C_\omega p_\omega = \frac{P_\omega}{1 + p_\omega}. \quad (8)$$

We know that there is an effective potential barrier exterior of the black hole ($2M < r < \infty$) which causes a back-scattering. In the particle production calculation we only need to consider the fraction entering black hole horizon, which is represented by the transmission coefficient Γ_ω [19]. Taking this fact into account we compute the probability of the one particle emission,

$$\bar{P}_{1\omega} = P_{1\omega} \Gamma_\omega = \frac{P_\omega \Gamma_\omega}{1 + p_\omega}, \quad (9)$$

$\bar{P}_{1\omega}$ can also be interpreted as the mean number of particles (\bar{N}_ω) emitted in a given mode. We substitute Eq.(5) in Eq.(9) to obtain \bar{N}_ω [20],

$$\bar{N}_\omega = \frac{\Gamma_\omega}{e^{8\pi M\omega} + 1}. \quad (10)$$

The Eq.(10) is precisely the Fermi-Dirac distribution modified by the transmission coefficient Γ_ω . Similar expression can be derived for bosons as well [20]

$$\bar{N}_\omega = \frac{\Gamma_\omega}{e^{8\pi M\omega} - 1}. \quad (11)$$

These results are consistent with Hawking's celebrated work about particle emission from black hole and provides a nice physical picture in terms of tunneling through a potential barrier [1].

IV. HAWKING RADIATION FROM TUNNELING FOR PARTICLES WITH INTERMEDIATE STATISTICS

Following the procedure described in section III, we now derive the amplitude for the emission of anyons from BTZ black hole. Since anyons exist in (2+1)-dimensional spacetime only, we restrict ourselves to BTZ black hole. This is a solution of the Einstein field equation in (2+1)-dimensional spacetime and describes a rotating geometry with horizons [21, 22]. The action corresponding to this solution is expressed in terms of metric $g^{(3)}$ and Ricci scalar $R^{(3)}$ in (2 + 1)-dimension as follows [21, 23],

$$S = \int d^3x \sqrt{-g^{(3)}} (R^{(3)} + 2\Lambda), \quad (12)$$

and the line element in polar coordinates is given by

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 \left(d\theta - \frac{J}{2r^2} dt \right)^2, \quad (13)$$

$$f(r) = -M + \Lambda r^2 + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}. \quad (14)$$

Here M and J are respectively the mass and angular momentum of the 3-dimensional rotating black hole and Λ is the cosmological constant.

For the simplicity of the calculations, to start with, we restrict ourselves to the $J = 0$ case. Generalization to $J \neq 0$ is straightforward and is discussed at the end of this section. We start with the transformation from the original coordinates (t, r) to the Painlevé coordinates (t_p, r) ,

$$dt = dt_p - \frac{1}{f(r)} \sqrt{1 - f(r)} dr. \quad (15)$$

In terms of new coordinates and via a dimensional reduction, the line element in Eq.(13) takes the following form,

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt_p^2 + 2\sqrt{1 - f(r)} dt_p dr + dr^2. \quad (16)$$

Next, consider the Lagrangian for a massive particle in the above background,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{m}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau}, \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} m f(r) (\dot{t}_p)^2 + m \sqrt{1 - f(r)} \dot{t}_p \dot{r} + \frac{m}{2} (\dot{r})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where in the last step we substituted the metric components from Eq.(16). Since t_p is a cyclic coordinate, the conjugate momentum is conserved,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{t}_p} = -m f \dot{t}_p + m \sqrt{1 - f} \dot{r} = -\omega = \text{constant}. \quad (18)$$

The negative sign on the right hand side of the Eq.(18) represents the positive energy of the tunneling particle. Exploiting the fact that massive particles travel along

time-like trajectories, we derive from Eq.(18) the expressions of the derivatives of Painlevé coordinates with respect to proper time of the particle under consideration,

$$\dot{t}_p = \pm \frac{1}{m f(r)} \sqrt{(1 - f(r))(\omega^2 - m^2 f(r))} + \frac{\omega}{m f(r)}, \quad (19)$$

$$\dot{r} = \pm \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2 f(r)}. \quad (20)$$

Given the expressions of the derivatives of Painlevé coordinates, we rewrite the imaginary part of the action Eq.(3) as follows,

$$Im S = -Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_m^\omega \frac{d\omega'}{\frac{dr}{dt_p}} dr. \quad (21)$$

Following methodology described in [14], we exchange the order of integration in Eq.(21) and do the integration first over the radial coordinate,

$$\int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \frac{1}{\frac{dr}{dt_p}} dr = \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \frac{\sqrt{(1 - f)(\omega^2 - m^2 f)} + \omega}{f \sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2 f}} dr. \quad (22)$$

Using the Taylor expansion of $f(r)$ around the radius of the horizon, we rewrite the right hand side of Eq.(22),

$$\int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \frac{1}{\frac{dr}{dt_p}} dr = \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} G(r) dr,$$

where the function $G(r)$ is given by

$$G(r) = \frac{\sqrt{(1 - f'(r_h)(r - r_h))(\omega^2 - m^2 f'(r_h)(r - r_h))} + \omega}{f'(r_h)(r - r_h) \sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2 f'(r_h)(r - r_h)}} dr.$$

The final expression of this integral is obtained using Cauchy's residue theorem,

$$\int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \frac{1}{\frac{dr}{dt_p}} dr = -\frac{2\pi i}{f'(r_h)}. \quad (23)$$

In terms of this integration, the imaginary part of the action simplifies to the following form,

$$Im S = \pi \int_m^\omega \frac{2}{f'(r_h)} d\omega' = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}} (\omega - m), \quad (24)$$

where we have used the fact r_h depends on ω ,

$$r_h = r_h(M - \omega'). \quad (25)$$

The final expression of the tunneling rate for $J = 0$ is obtained using $Im S$,

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2Im S} = e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}(\omega - m)}. \quad (26)$$

It is to be noted that we have ignored the back-reaction on the metric to get this results and hence, the results are valid when $m \ll M$ and $\omega \ll M$. From Eq.(26) in the

$m \rightarrow 0$ limit gives the tunneling amplitude for massless particle,

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2Im S} = e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}\omega} . \quad (27)$$

However, one can obtain the same expression starting from the condition for null geodesics and following the procedure applied in case of massive particles. It is to be noted that, to derive Eq.(26), we have not explicitly used information about the statistics of the Hawking radiation particles. Therefore, it is valid not only for bosons and fermions, but also for anyons. The next step is to find the distribution function of anyons from the the expression of tunneling amplitude which we have already derived [Eq.(26)], which reduces to the standard Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac distribution functions in appropriate limits. In order to find the expression of the distribution function, first we consider the following assumptions which hold for any particles in $(2+1)$ -dimensions [24].

- The permutation of the coordinates of any two particles in the multi-particle anyon wavefunction results in a phase being picked up by the wavefunction as follows (this is simply a restatement of the Eq.(2) for anyons)

$$\Psi_n(\dots, q_j, \dots, q_i, \dots) = f \Psi_n(\dots, q_i, \dots, q_j, \dots) , \quad (28)$$

where $f = e^{i\pi\alpha}$.

- Principle of detailed balance: If n_1, n_2 are the mean occupation numbers for the states 1 and 2 respectively, then at equilibrium, the number of transitions from 1 to 2 is the same as from 2 to 1. Under this condition, the ‘enhancement factor’ $F(n) \equiv P^{(n+1)}/P^{(n)}$, where $P^{(n)}$ is the probability of an n -anyon state, satisfies the following condition,

$$n_1 F(n_2) e^{\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}(\omega_1 - m)} = n_2 F(n_1) e^{\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}(\omega_2 - m)} . \quad (29)$$

This condition implies that

$$\frac{n}{F(n)} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}(\omega - m)} = \text{constant} . \quad (30)$$

The form of $F(n)$ can be read-off from ref.[24], which is $F(n) = \frac{4}{n+1} \left(\left[\frac{n+1}{2} \right] \cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \right)^2$. When expanded in a power series in n , this yields the following,

$$e^{\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}(\omega - m)} = \frac{1}{n} + a_0 + a_1 n + a_2 n^2 + \dots . \quad (31)$$

Inverting the above equation, we get the expression of occupation number as a function of ω and m ,

$$\begin{aligned} n(\omega, m) &= \frac{1}{g} + \frac{B}{g^2} + \frac{C}{g^3} + \frac{D}{g^4} + \dots , \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{g} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{g^k} , \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $g \equiv e^{\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}(\omega - m)} - a_0$ and the explicit form of the coefficients is taken from [24]. Rewriting Eq.(32) in the form of a continued fraction, we get,

$$n(\omega, m) = \frac{1}{g - \frac{\alpha_3 g}{g^2 + \alpha_3 - \dots}} . \quad (33)$$

Finally, using the expression of g we find from the above equation, the generalized Hawking radiation formula in $(2+1)$ -dimension,

$$n(\omega, m) = \frac{\Gamma_\omega}{e^{\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda M}}(\omega - m)} - a(\alpha)} , \quad (34)$$

where Γ_ω is the transmission coefficient. Eq.(34) for $a(\alpha) = 1$ and $a(\alpha) = -1$ corresponds to bosonic and fermionic Hawking radiation respectively. Any value of $a(\alpha)$ in between this range corresponds to anyons.

It is now quite straightforward to generalize the results to the $J \neq 0$ case. Dimensional reduction of the full metric (Eq.(13)) gives the following 2-dimensional metric [25, 26],

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} , \quad (35)$$

where

$$f(r) = -M + \Lambda r^2 + \frac{J^2}{4r^2} . \quad (36)$$

Following the procedure mentioned before, one obtains the imaginary part of the action,

$$Im S = \int_m^\omega \frac{2\pi}{f'(r_h)} d\omega' , \quad (37)$$

where the radius of the horizon is r_h is an explicit function of $(M - \omega')$, where M is the mass of the black-hole and ω' is the energy of the tunneling particle under consideration. Now we make the following expansion for $f'(r)$ and keep only the leading order term $f'(r_h(M))$ in the expansion,

$$f'(r_h) = f'(r_h) \Big|_{\omega'=0} - f''(r_h) \frac{\partial r_h}{\partial M} \Big|_{\omega'=0} \omega' + \dots . \quad (38)$$

Following the steps described in [27], we calculate the integral in Eq.(37) using the above expression of $f'(r_h)$,

$$Im S = \frac{2\pi}{f'(r_h)} (\omega - m) . \quad (39)$$

For $J \neq 0$ case, we have two horizons,

$$r_h^2 = r_\pm^2 = \frac{M}{2\Lambda} \left[1 \pm \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda J^2}{M^2} \right)^{1/2} \right] . \quad (40)$$

However, for our work, only the outer horizon is relevant.

$$f'(r_h) = f'(r_+) = 2\Lambda r_+ - \frac{J^2}{2r_+^3} . \quad (41)$$

For the outer horizon of the black-hole, the imaginary part of the action takes the following form,

$$Im S = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\Lambda}} \frac{(M + \sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2}} (\omega - m), \quad (42)$$

and the corresponding expression of the tunneling amplitude is given by

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2Im S} = e^{\frac{-2\pi}{\sqrt{2\Lambda}} \frac{(M + \sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2}} (\omega - m)}. \quad (43)$$

The expression of the tunneling amplitude clearly indicates that Hawking temperature [28, 29],

$$T_H = \frac{\sqrt{2\Lambda}}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2}}{(M + \sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2})^{1/2}}. \quad (44)$$

Finally, following the steps described earlier for $J = 0$ case, we get the expression of anyonic Hawking radiation spectrum for $J \neq 0$ case as

$$n(\omega, m) = \frac{\Gamma_\omega}{e^{\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2\Lambda}} \frac{(M + \sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{M^2 - \Lambda J^2}} (\omega - m)} - a(\alpha)}. \quad (45)$$

V. APPLICATIONS

A. Experimental set-up

In this section we review an analogue model of gravity as a potential system for testing our results. In these models, the dynamical equation of the analog system closely resembles that of a quantum field in the background of a curved spacetime. This allows one to potentially test certain semi-classical and quantum gravitational results, especially those pertaining to Hawking radiation (see [30–35] and the references therein). In particular, if one considers a two-dimensional photon superfluid system, it can be shown that the dynamics is governed by the equation of a massless scalar field in the background of an acoustic metric [36]. Furthermore, augmenting this equation by some corrections (last three terms in the RHS of Eq.(46)), which may be realizable in the laboratory, we show that it governs the dynamics of anyons in the background of the superfluid or similar analog systems. It should be noted that Eq.(46) is nothing but the non-linear Schrödinger equation plus corrections,

$$\partial_z \Psi = \frac{i}{2k} \bar{\nabla}^2 \Psi - \frac{ikn_2}{n_0} \Psi |\Psi|^2 + \frac{c\alpha}{n_0 \Psi^*} \partial_z \phi + \frac{\alpha}{2\Psi^*} (\bar{\nabla} \phi)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\Psi^*} \phi. \quad (46)$$

Here, z is the propagation direction and plays the role time. c is the speed of light, k is the wave number, n_2 is the material nonlinear coefficient and n_0 is the linear refractive index. Ψ is the slowly varying envelope of electric field. So, $|\Psi|^2$ can be interpreted as the intensity of the optical field.

$$\Psi \equiv \rho^{1/2} e^{i\phi} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \frac{n_0}{c} z. \quad (47)$$

The gradient operator ‘ $\bar{\nabla}$ ’ is defined with respect to the transverse directions (x, y) and, α and β are real valued functions. The first two terms on the right hand side of Eq.(46) can be realized as the dynamics of massless scalar field in acoustic metric and the rest of the three terms are relevant for anyons. However, following a set of well-motivated assumptions, it is straight forward to show that the presence of the third term on the right hand side is sufficient to realize anyonic Hawking radiation. Plugging Eq.(47) in Eq.(46) and separating the resultant equation in to real and imaginary part gives rise to the following equations,

$$\partial_t \rho + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\rho v) - 2k\alpha \partial_t \psi - k\alpha v^2 - 2k\beta \psi = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$\partial_t \psi + \frac{1}{2} v^2 + \frac{c^2 n_2}{n_0^3} \rho = 0, \quad (49)$$

where

$$v \equiv \frac{c}{kn_0} \bar{\nabla} \phi \equiv \bar{\nabla} \psi. \quad (50)$$

Here v can be interpreted as the fluid velocity. In the last step, following the articles [36, 37], we have neglected the quantum pressure term which has no analogy in classical fluid dynamics. Next, we linearize Eq.(48) and Eq.(49) around the background state (ρ_0, ψ_0) to obtain acoustic disturbances as first order fluctuations of quantities describing mean fluid flow,

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \epsilon \rho_1 + O(\epsilon^2), \quad (51)$$

$$\psi = \psi_0 + \epsilon \psi_1 + O(\epsilon^2), \quad (52)$$

$$v_0 = \bar{\nabla} \psi_0. \quad (53)$$

In terms of perturbed quantities, Eq.(48) and Eq.(49) take the following form in polar coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_1 + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\rho_0 \bar{\nabla} \psi_1 + \rho_1 v_0) - 2k\alpha \partial_t \psi_1 - 2k\alpha v_r \partial_r \psi_1 \\ - 2k\alpha \frac{v_\theta}{r} \partial_\theta \psi_1 - 2k\beta \psi_1 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\partial_t \psi_1 + v_0 \cdot \bar{\nabla} \psi_1 + \frac{c^2 n_2}{n_0^3} \rho_1 = 0. \quad (55)$$

Eliminating ρ_1 from Eq.(54) and Eq.(55), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t \left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_s^2} \chi \right) + \bar{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho_0 \bar{\nabla} \psi_1 - \frac{\rho_0 v_0}{c_s^2} \chi \right) - 2k\alpha \partial_t \psi_1 \\ - 2k\alpha v_r \partial_r \psi_1 - 2k\alpha \frac{v_\theta}{r} \partial_\theta \psi_1 - 2k\beta \psi_1 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where

$$\chi = \partial_t \psi_1 + v_0 \cdot \bar{\nabla} \psi_1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_s = \frac{c^2 n_2 \rho_0}{n_0^3}. \quad (57)$$

Here c_s is the local speed of sound. The final step is to set up a connection between dynamics of acoustic disturbances and the dynamics of an anyonic field, which can be written as an abelian Higgs model with a Chern-Simons term as follows [8],

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\psi + 2iqg^{\mu\nu}A_\mu\partial_\nu\psi - (2c_2 + q^2g^{\mu\nu}A_\mu A_\nu)\psi + 4c_4|\psi|^2\psi = 0. \quad (58)$$

Here c_2 and c_4 are constants and A_μ is the four vector potential associated with the anyonic field. Consider Eq.(58) in an acoustic metric with $c_2 = c_4 = 0$ and $A_\mu = (ia, 0, 0, 0)$ for an anyonic field ψ_1 . Here we have considered an imaginary vector potential, similar to the assumption in [38]. With these choices of the parameters and the four vector potential, Eq.(58) takes the following form,

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\psi_1 + \frac{2qa}{\rho_0^2}\partial_t\psi_1 + \frac{2qa}{\rho_0^2}v_r\partial_r\psi_1 + \frac{2qa}{\rho_0^2}\frac{v_\theta}{r}\partial_\theta\psi_1 + \frac{q^2}{\rho_0^2}a^2\psi_1 = 0, \quad (59)$$

and the ‘analog metric’ is given by,

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_s}\right)^2 \left[-\left(1 - \frac{v_r^2}{c_s^2}\right) (c_s dt)^2 - 2\frac{v_r}{c_s} (c_s dt) dr - 2\frac{v_\theta}{c_s} (c_s dt) (r d\theta) + dr^2 + (r d\theta)^2 \right] \quad (60)$$

It is important to note that the overall structure of Eq.(56) and Eq.(59) are the same. This is the motivation for us to study anyonic Hawking radiation in the experimental set-up under consideration. Eq.(59) is simplified further by assuming that the phase ϕ is slowly varying in space. This implies that v_r and v_θ are very small. In addition to this we assume that the charge q is also a negligible quantity. Under these assumptions, we can drop the last three terms in Eq.(59),

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\psi_1 + \frac{2qa}{\rho_0^2}\partial_t\psi_1 + O(\epsilon^2) = 0. \quad (61)$$

Here we have taken $q \sim v_i \sim O(\epsilon)$. It is now easy to see that the above equation is identical to Eq.(56) under the previous assumptions.

B. Hawking radiation of anyons from acoustic metric

In section IV, we have discussed about the methodology to calculate the tunneling amplitude of anyons from a BTZ black hole. In this section we will follow similar procedures to calculate the same in the case of acoustic metric (Eq.(60)). Just to be consistent with the metric of the geometry around BTZ black hole, we set $v_\theta = 0$. In addition to this consider the case where c_s is a constant. In order to cast the Eq.(60) in the desired form

we consider re-scaling of radial coordinates,

$$r \longrightarrow \frac{\rho_0}{c_s}r \quad dr \longrightarrow \frac{\rho_0}{c_s}dr. \quad (62)$$

Under these re-scaling, the metric now takes a very simple form,

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_s}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_r^2}{c_s^2}\right) (c_s dt)^2 - \frac{2v_r\rho_0}{c_s^2} (c_s dt) dr + dr^2. \quad (63)$$

This metric is further simplified by setting the radial component of the velocity vector in $c = 1$ units as, $v_r = -\frac{\pi}{kn_0\sqrt{rr_0}}$ [36, 37],

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + 2f(r)\sqrt{\frac{1-g(r)}{f(r)g(r)}} dt dr + dr^2, \quad (64)$$

where

$$g(r) = 1 - \frac{r_s^2}{r_0 r} \quad \text{and} \quad f(r) = \rho_0^2 g(r). \quad (65)$$

From the expression of $g(r)$, it is obvious that the horizon is located at $r = r_h$,

$$r_h = \frac{r_s^2}{r_0}, \quad (66)$$

where

$$r_s = \frac{\pi}{k n_0 c_s}. \quad (67)$$

Given the current form of the metric, the imaginary part of the action is calculated as follows [27],

$$Im S = \int_0^\omega \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{f'(r_h)g'(r_h)}} d\omega' = \frac{2\pi r_s^2}{\rho_0 r_0} \omega, \quad (68)$$

where we have taken the lower limit as photon mass, m to be zero. It is now very straightforward to calculate the corresponding tunneling amplitude,

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2Im S} = e^{-\frac{\omega}{T_H}}, \quad (69)$$

where

$$T_H = \frac{r_0 \rho_0}{4\pi r_s^2} \quad (70)$$

is the Hawking temperature. Given this Hawking temperature, the distribution function of the particles in analogue model is given by,

$$n(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma_\omega}{e^{\frac{\omega}{T_H}} - a(\alpha)}. \quad (71)$$

We remind the reader that the parameter $a(\alpha)$ in Eq.(71) can take any value in between -1 and $+1$ with $a(\alpha) = 1$ and $a(\alpha) = -1$ corresponding to bosons and fermions respectively, and intermediate values signifying anyons. In principle the value of $a(\alpha)$ can be determined from the

exact nature of anyons under consideration. However, if we are unaware about the statistics of the particle, experiment provides an alternate way to determine the value of parameter using Eq.(71). So, an experimental confirmation of the existence of probability distribution of anyons in a photon superfluid would not only support the existence of Hawking radiation, but also the confirm the existence of anyons. This work is the first attempt to demonstrate anyonic Hawking radiation to the best of our knowledge.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have derived a general expression for Hawking radiation of *anyons*, particles with intermediate statistics in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime, using the tunneling approach. The results are derived for both rotating and non-rotating BTZ black holes for massive and massless cases. We have shown that our results may be verifiable experimentally in an appropriate analogue

system. Such a measurement on the one hand, will provide further evidence for Hawking radiation and that of anyons, albeit in an analog setting. Once anyon excitations are detected in this way, one can envisage potential uses of these particles with fractional statistics in a variety of ways. Furthermore, it is hoped that this work and its potential experimental verification would shed some light on the information loss problem. For example, our analysis is quantum mechanical and manifestly unitary, while the information loss problem suggests a fundamental non-unitarity. Thus it would be interesting to see how much of the current unitarity, say in analog models, can carry over to a real black hole and the subsequent Hawking radiation. We hope to report on these issues in the future.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking, "Particle creation by black holes," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 199–220, 1975.
- [2] S. W. Hawking, "Breakdown of predictability in gravitational collapse," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 14, pp. 2460–2473, Nov 1976.
- [3] J. Preskill, "Do black holes destroy information?," in *International Symposium*, 1 1992.
- [4] S. B. Giddings, "The black hole information paradox," arXiv e-prints, pp. hep-th/9508151, Aug. 1995.
- [5] S. D. Mathur, "The Fuzzball proposal for black holes: An Elementary review," *Fortsch. Phys.*, vol. 53, pp. 793–827, 2005.
- [6] A. Almheiri, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski, D. Stanford, and J. Sully, "An Apologia for Firewalls," *JHEP*, vol. 09, p. 018, 2013.
- [7] S. L. Braunstein, S. Das, and Z.-W. Wang, "Information recovery from evaporating black holes," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, vol. 30, no. 09, p. 2150069, 2021.
- [8] S. Rao, "An Anyon primer," 4 1992.
- [9] D. Sen, "An Introduction to anyons," 1993.
- [10] H. Bartolomei et al., "Fractional statistics in anyon collisions," *Science*, vol. 368, no. 6487, pp. 173–177, 2020.
- [11] F. Wilczek, "Inside the Knotty World of Anyon Particles," *Quanta Magazine*, 2017.
- [12] B. G. K. Brennen and J. K. Pachos, "Why should anyone care about computing with anyons?," *Proc. R. Soc.*, 2007.
- [13] J. Drori, Y. Rosenberg, D. Bermudez, Y. Silberberg, and U. Leonhardt, "Observation of stimulated hawking radiation in an optical analogue," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 122, p. 010404, Jan 2019.
- [14] M. Parikh and F. Wilczek, "Hawking radiation as tunneling," *Physical review letters*, vol. 85, pp. 5042–5, 01 2001.
- [15] S. Shankaranarayanan, T. Padmanabhan, and K. Srinivasan, "Hawking radiation in different coordinate settings: Complex paths approach," *Class. Quant. Grav.*, vol. 19, pp. 2671–2688, 2002.
- [16] S. Shankaranarayanan, "Temperature and entropy of Schwarzschild-de Sitter space-time," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 67, p. 084026, 2003.
- [17] Z.-X. Luo and H.-Y. Sun, "Topological entanglement entropy in Euclidean AdS₃ via surgery," *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 116, 2017.
- [18] R. P. Feynman, "The Theory of positrons," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 76, pp. 749–759, 1949.
- [19] J. H. Traschen, "An Introduction to black hole evaporation," in *1999 Londrona Winter School on Mathematical Methods in Physics*, 8 1999.
- [20] S. Sannan, "Heuristic Derivation of the Probability Distributions of Particles Emitted by a Black Hole," *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, vol. 20, pp. 239–246, 1988.
- [21] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, "The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 69, pp. 1849–1851, 1992.
- [22] S. Carlip, "The (2+1)-Dimensional black hole," *Class. Quant. Grav.*, vol. 12, pp. 2853–2880, 1995.
- [23] E. C. VAGENAS, "Two-dimensional dilatonic black holes and hawking radiation," *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 17, p. 609–618, Mar 2002.
- [24] R. Acharya and P. Swamy, "Detailed balance and intermediate statistics," *Journal of Physics A General Physics*, vol. 37, 09 2003.
- [25] A. Achúcarro and M. E. Ortiz, "Relating black holes in two and three dimensions," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 48, pp. 3600–3605, Oct 1993.
- [26] D. A. Lowe and A. Strominger, "Exact four-dimensional dyonic black holes and bertotti-robinson spacetimes in string theory," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 73, pp. 1468–1471, Sep 1994.
- [27] Y.-G. Miao, Z. Xue, and S.-J. Zhang, "Massive charged particle's tunneling from spherical charged black hole,"

- EPL, vol. 96, no. 1, p. 10008, 2011.
- [28] S. K. Modak, “Corrected entropy of BTZ black hole in tunneling approach,” Phys. Lett. B, vol. 671, pp. 167–173, 2009.
- [29] R. Banerjee and S. K. Modak, “Exact Differential and Corrected Area Law for Stationary Black Holes in Tunneling Method,” JHEP, vol. 05, p. 063, 2009.
- [30] W. G. Unruh, “Experimental black hole evaporation,” Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 46, pp. 1351–1353, 1981.
- [31] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, “Towards the observation of Hawking radiation in Bose-Einstein condensates,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, vol. 18, p. 3735, 2003.
- [32] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, “Analogue gravity,” Living Rev. Rel., vol. 8, p. 12, 2005.
- [33] W. Unruh and R. Schutzhold, “Hawking radiation from ‘phase horizons’ in laser filaments?,” Physical Review D, vol. 86, p. 064006, 2012.
- [34] S. Weinfurtner, E. Tedford, M. C. Penrice, W. Unruh, and G. Lawrence, “Classical aspects of hawking radiation verified in analogue gravity experiment,” Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 870, pp. 167–180, 2013.
- [35] M. F. Linder, R. Schutzhold, and W. G. Unruh, “Derivation of Hawking radiation in dispersive dielectric media,” Phys. Rev. D, vol. 93, no. 10, p. 104010, 2016.
- [36] F. Marino, “Acoustic black holes in a two-dimensional ‘photon fluid’,” Phys. Rev. A, vol. 78, p. 063804, Dec 2008.
- [37] D. Vocke, C. Maitland, A. Prain, F. Biancalana, F. Marino, and D. Faccio, “Rotating black hole geometries in a two-dimensional photon superfluid,” Optica, vol. 5, 09 2017.
- [38] L. Descheemaeker, V. Ginis, S. Viaene, and P. Tassin, “Optical force enhancement using an imaginary vector potential for photons,” Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 119, p. 137402, Sep 2017.