

ABELIAN VARIETIES AND RIEMANN SURFACES WITH GENERALIZED QUATERNION GROUP ACTION

ANGEL CAROCCA, SEBASTIÁN REYES-CAROCCA, AND RUBÍ E. RODRÍGUEZ

ABSTRACT. In this article we consider Riemann surfaces and abelian varieties endowed with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to a generalized quaternion group. We provide isogeny decompositions of each abelian variety with this action, compute dimensions of the corresponding factors and provide conditions under which this decomposition is nontrivial. We then specialize our results to the case of Jacobians and relate them to the so-called genus-zero actions on Riemann surfaces. We also give a complete classification and description of the complex one-dimensional families of Riemann surfaces and Jacobians with a generalized quaternion group action, extending known results concerning the quasisplatonic case. Finally, we construct and describe explicit families of abelian varieties with a quaternion group action and derive a period matrix for the Jacobian of the surface with full automorphism group of second largest order among the hyperelliptic surfaces of genus four.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Complex abelian varieties and compact Riemann surfaces have been extensively studied since the nineteenth century and their foundations go back to works of Abel, Jacobi, Riemann, Klein and Hurwitz among others. These objects, that are different generalizations of the classically known elliptic curves, are intimately related to each other by the Torelli map which takes a surface and sends it to its Jacobian variety.

Although the theory of groups actions on compact Riemann surfaces and complex abelian varieties is classical and have been widely investigated over the last decades, it still attracts much attention because of several interesting questions in this subject remain unsolved up to the present.

A foundational result in the area claims that each abstract finite group can be realized as a group of automorphisms of some compact Riemann surface, hence of some Jacobian variety, and therefore of some complex abelian variety. Articles aimed at studying group actions of special classes of groups can be found in the literature in plentiful supply.

This article is devoted to considering complex abelian varieties and compact Riemann surfaces possessing a group of automorphisms isomorphic to the generalized quaternion group. These groups form one of the four series of non-abelian 2-groups that have a cyclic subgroup of index two; the simplest member of the series being the classical

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30F10, 14H37, 14H40, 30F20, 14K02, 14H30.

Key words and phrases. Riemann surfaces, Abelian varieties, group actions, automorphisms.

Partially supported by Fondecyt Grants 1200608, 11180024 and 1190991.

quaternion group of order eight. Throughout the paper, we denote by

$$Q(2^n) = \langle x, y : x^{2^{n-1}}, y^2 x^{2^{n-2}}, yxy^{-1}x \rangle$$

the generalized quaternion group of order 2^n , where $n \geq 3$ is an integer.

1.1. Abelian varieties with $Q(2^n)$ -action. Every action of a finite group G on an abelian variety A induces \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism

$$\Xi : \mathbb{Q}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

from the rational group algebra of G to the rational endomorphism algebra of A . As proved by Lange and Recillas in [16], the decomposition of 1 as a sum of appropriate idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ induces, by means of Ξ , an isogeny decomposition

$$A \sim A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r$$

where the factors A_i are abelian subvarieties of A that are pairwise non- G -isogenous. This decomposition is called the *isotypical decomposition* of A with respect to G . Moreover, each A_i decomposes further isogenously as a suitable power of an abelian subvariety B_i of it, and therefore the following isogeny is obtained

$$A \sim B_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B_r^{n_r}.$$

This decomposition is called a *group algebra decomposition* of A with respect to G . The subvarieties A_i and B_i together with the integers n_i are closely related to the representations of G , as we shall explain later.

The first result of this paper provides the above-mentioned isogeny decompositions of each abelian variety endowed with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. In order to state it, we need to introduce some notations.

Let A be an abelian variety with G -action. For each subgroup K of G we write

$$p_K = \sum_{k \in K} k \in \mathbb{Q}[G] \quad \text{and} \quad A_K = \text{Im}(\Xi(p_K)).$$

Observe that A_K is the abelian subvariety of A on which K acts trivially. In addition, if $K_1 \leq K_2$ are subgroups of G then $A_{K_2} \subseteq A_{K_1}$ and, as proved in [7], there exists an abelian subvariety P of A_{K_1} in such a way that

$$A_{K_1} \sim P \times A_{K_2}.$$

The abelian subvariety P as before will be denoted by $\text{Prym}(A_{K_1}/A_{K_2})$.

Along the article, we shall employ the following notation for the proper nontrivial subgroups of $Q(2^n)$:

$$H_j = \langle x^{2^{n-j}}, y \rangle \quad K_i = \langle x^{2^{n-i}} \rangle \quad \tilde{H}_j = \langle x^{2^{n-j}}, xy \rangle$$

where $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. Among these subgroups, there are four that will play a special role in our results; we shall use a different notation for them:

$$Z := K_2 = \langle y^2 \rangle \quad N_1 := K_n = \langle x \rangle \quad N_2 := H_{n-1} = \langle x^2, y \rangle \quad N_3 := \tilde{H}_{n-1} = \langle x^2, xy \rangle.$$

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let A be an abelian variety endowed with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$.*

The isotypical decomposition of A with respect to G is given by

$$A \sim A_G \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G) \times \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) \times \prod_{i=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{K_i}/A_{K_{i+1}}).$$

Moreover, two group algebra decompositions of A with respect to G are given by

$$A \sim A_G \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G) \times \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}})^2$$

$$A \sim A_G \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G) \times \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{\tilde{H}_j}/A_{\tilde{H}_{j+1}})^2.$$

The previous theorem tells us that each factor arising in the isotypical decomposition and in a group algebra decomposition of A with respect to G is isogenous to an abelian subvariety of A with a geometric meaning. It is worth pointing out that, in general, the aforementioned fact is not true. Observe, moreover, that a direct consequence of the previous result is the fact that there are isogenies

$$\prod_{i=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{K_i}/A_{K_{i+1}}) \sim \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{\tilde{H}_j}/A_{\tilde{H}_{j+1}})^2 \sim \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}})^2.$$

It will be a consequence of the proof of the theorem that, indeed, there are isogenies

$$\text{Prym}(A_{K_j}/A_{K_{j+1}}) \sim \text{Prym}(A_{\tilde{H}_j}/A_{\tilde{H}_{j+1}})^2 \sim \text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}})^2$$

for each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$.

The group algebra decompositions only depend on the algebraic structure of the group. By contrast, the dimensions of the factors do depend on the way the group acts, and this dependence is encoded in the analytic representation of G , denoted by

$$\rho_a : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \text{ where } A = V/\Lambda.$$

To state the following proposition we need to fix some notations concerning the well-known representations of the generalized quaternion group. Hereafter, the complex irreducible representations of $Q(2^n)$ of degree one will be denoted by

$$\chi_1 : x, y \mapsto 1, \chi_2 : x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto -1, \chi_3 : x \mapsto -1, y \mapsto 1 \text{ and } \chi_4 : x, y \mapsto -1,$$

whereas the ones of degree two will be denoted by

$$\Theta_s : x \mapsto \text{diag}(\omega^s, \bar{\omega}^s) \text{ and } y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^s \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\omega := \exp(2\pi i/2^{n-1})$ and $s \in \{1, \dots, 2^{n-2} - 1\}$.

Proposition 1. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let A be an abelian variety with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. Assume that the analytic representation of the action of G on A decomposes as a sum of irreducible representations as*

$$\rho_a \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 a_j \chi_j \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{2^{n-2}-1} b_s \Theta_s$$

for some non-negative integers $a_1, \dots, a_4, b_1, \dots, b_{2^{n-2}-1}$.

For each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$, choose $t_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$ such that $\omega \mapsto \omega^{t_j}$ generates the Galois group associated to the character field of $\Theta_{2^{j-1}}$ (which is isomorphic to the cyclic group of order 2^{n-j-2}). Then

$$b_1 = b_3 = \dots = b_{2^{n-2}-1} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{2^{j-1}} = b_{t_j^{2^{j-1}}} \quad \text{for each } 0 \leq k \leq 2^{n-j-2} - 1,$$

and the dimension of the factors arising in the group algebra decompositions of A with respect to G of Theorem 1 is given in the following table.

A_G	$\text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G)$	$\text{Prym}(A/A_Z)$	$\text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}})$	$\text{Prym}(A_{\tilde{H}_j}/A_{\tilde{H}_{j+1}})$
a_1	a_{i+1}	$2^{n-2}b_1$	$2^{n-j-2}b_{2^{j-1}}$	$2^{n-j-2}b_{2^{j-1}}$

We emphasize the fact that the dimension of any factor arising in a group algebra decomposition might be equal to zero. Indeed, in an extreme situation all but one of the factors can be zero-dimensional; in this case we say that such a group algebra decomposition is *trivial*. Clearly, if a group algebra decomposition is trivial then each group algebra decomposition is also as well.

Theorem 2. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let A be an abelian variety with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. Assume the analytic representation ρ_a of G to be as in Proposition 1. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *A group algebra decomposition of A with respect to G is trivial.*
- (2) *The dimension of A_Z is zero.*
- (3) *The dimension of A_K is zero, for each nontrivial subgroup K of G .*
- (4) *The integers a_i and b_s equal zero, for all i and for all even s .*
- (5) *1 is not an eigenvalue of $\rho_a(g)$ for each nontrivial $g \in G$.*

A complex representation of a finite group satisfying the last statement of the preceding theorem is called *fixed point free*. It would be an interesting problem to classify finite groups acting on abelian varieties in such a way that its analytic representation is fixed point free; this general problem will be considered elsewhere.

1.2. Jacobian varieties with $Q(2^n)$ -action. Let S be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. The Jacobian variety JS of S is an irreducible principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g . We recall that, by the classical Torelli's theorem,

$$S \cong S' \iff JS \cong JS'.$$

Let G be a finite group acting on S and let K be a subgroup of G . The covering map $\pi_K : S \rightarrow S_K$ given by the action of K on S induces a homomorphism of abelian varieties

$$\pi_K^* : JS_K \rightarrow JS \quad \text{and therefore} \quad JS \supset \pi_K^*(JS_K) \sim JS_K.$$

Observe that if $A = JS$ then

$$A_K = \pi_K^*(JS_K) \sim JS_K \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Prym}(A_{K_1}/A_{K_2}) = \text{Prym}(S_{K_1} \rightarrow S_{K_2}),$$

where the latter symbol stands for the generalized Prym variety associated to a covering map of compact Riemann surfaces.

It then follows immediately that Theorems 1 and 2 can be restated as follows.

Corollary 1. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let S be a compact Riemann surface endowed with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. Then a group algebra decomposition of JS with respect to G is given by*

$$JS \sim JS_G \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Prym}(S_{N_i} \rightarrow S_G) \times \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}})^2.$$

If, in addition, the quotient S_G is isomorphic to the projective line then

$$JS \sim JS_{N_1} \times JS_{N_2} \times JS_{N_3} \times \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}})^2.$$

Moreover, the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) *A group algebra decomposition of JS with respect to G is trivial.*
- (2) *The genus of S_Z is zero.*
- (3) *The genus of S_K is zero, for each nontrivial subgroup K of G .*

Observe that in the case of Jacobians with $Q(2^n)$ -action, the dimension of the factors arising in a group algebra decomposition of JS with respect to G can be computed in terms of the genus of the quotient Riemann surfaces given by the action on S of certain subgroups of G . See also [23, Theorem 5.12].

1.3. Genus-zero actions on Riemann surfaces. Following [15, Definition 1], the action of a group G on a compact Riemann surface S is termed a *genus-zero* action if

$$1_G \neq K \leq G \implies S_K \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Kallel and Sjerne in [15] provided a classification of genus-zero actions on Riemann surfaces. They succeeded in proving that only a few groups arise with this property: the cyclic groups, the generalized quaternion groups, the polyhedral groups and the so-called ZM groups $C_p \rtimes_2 C_4$ where p is an odd prime. Furthermore, they gave a topological description by providing the so-called signatures that realize each such actions.

Let K be a group of automorphisms of S . We recall that the tuple

$$\sigma = (\gamma; k_1, \dots, k_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^{l+1} \text{ where } \gamma \geq 0 \text{ and } k_i \geq 2$$

is called the *signature* of the action of K on S if the genus of S_K is γ and the associated branched regular covering map $S \rightarrow S_K$ ramifies over exactly l values y_1, \dots, y_l and the fibre over y_i consists of points with K -isotropy group of order k_i for each i . If $\gamma = 0$ and $l = 3$ then we say that such an action is *triangle*.

In [15, Theorem 3], it is claimed that the genus-zero actions of $Q(2^n)$ have signature

$$\sigma_b := (0; 2, \dots, 2, 4, 4, 2^{n-1})$$

where b is an odd integer. We have detected a small mistake in this theorem since b need not be odd; the following theorem amends the aforementioned mistake.

Theorem 3. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and let S be a compact Riemann surface with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The action of G on S is a genus-zero action.*
- (2) *The signature of the action is σ_b for some $b \geq 0$.*

Moreover, for each $b \geq 0$ there exists a compact Riemann surface with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$ acting on it with signature σ_b ; its genus is $2^{n-2}(b+1)$.

1.4. Families of Riemann surfaces with $Q(2^n)$ -action. Hidalgo and Quispe in [14] studied Riemann surfaces with a triangle action (or, equivalently, regular dessins d'enfants in Grothendieck's terminology [11]) of a group isomorphic to the so-called dicyclic group

$$G_m := \langle x, y : x^{2m}, y^2x^{-m}, yxy^{-1}x \rangle \text{ where } m \geq 2.$$

For the special case of the generalized quaternion group $Q(2^n) = G_{2^{n-2}}$, they were able to prove that there exists, up to isomorphism, a unique Riemann surface, hereafter denoted by X_n , endowed with a triangle action of a group isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. They also obtained that the signature of the action is $(0; 4, 4, 2^{n-1})$ (and therefore its genus is 2^{n-2}), determined its full automorphism group, and proved that the surface is represented by the singular plane affine algebraic curve

$$Y^2 = X(X^{2^{n-1}} - 1).$$

Observe that the hyperellipticity of X_n coupled with Corollary 1 (even though this corollary was stated for $n \geq 4$, we shall see in §9 that it also holds for $n = 3$) allow us to recover the fact proved in [14, Theorem 2.1] that each group algebra decomposition of JX_n with respect to $Q(2^n)$ is trivial.

Let \mathcal{M}_g denote the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$. It is known that \mathcal{M}_g is a complex space of dimension $3(g-1)$ and that if $g \geq 4$ then

$$\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \{[S] : S \text{ has nontrivial automorphisms}\}.$$

If a Riemann surface of genus g admits a triangle action then it represents a *rigid* point in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_g)$; namely, it cannot be deformed together with its automorphisms. Hence, it is natural to study surfaces in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ that admit deformations keeping their automorphisms invariant. Among them, the simplest ones are the uniparametric families.

A closed family \mathcal{F} of Riemann surfaces of genus g is a sublocus of $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ consisting of all those surfaces that admit an action of a fixed group K with a fixed signature σ . Following [4, Theorem 2.1], the interior of the family consists of finitely many *equisymmetric strata* that are in correspondence with the pairwise non-equivalent topological actions of K (see §2.3). If $\sigma = (\gamma; k_1, \dots, k_l)$ then the complex dimension of the family is given by

$$\dim(\mathcal{F}) = 3\gamma - 3 + l.$$

Observe that, in this terminology, Riemann surfaces with a triangle action correspond to zero-dimensional families and among them, as already mentioned, the ones with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$ have been classified in [14]. Here we shall provide a classification of the complex one-dimensional situation.

Theorem 4. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer. There exist precisely $n+1$ complex one-dimensional closed families of compact Riemann surfaces endowed with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. These families are:*

- (1) the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}$ consisting of all those compact Riemann surfaces of genus $2^{n-1} - 1$ with G acting on them with signature $(1; 2^{n-2})$,
- (2) the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,1}$ consisting of all those compact Riemann surfaces of genus $2^{n-1} + 1$ with G acting on them with signature $(0; 4, 4, 4, 4)$,
- (3) the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,2}$ consisting of all those compact Riemann surfaces of genus $3 \cdot 2^{n-2} - 1$ with G acting on them with signature $(0; 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 4, 4)$, and
- (4) the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$, with $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$, consisting of all those compact Riemann surfaces of genus $3 \cdot 2^{n-2} - 2^{k-1}$ with G acting on them with signature $(0; 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-k}, 4, 4)$.

Furthermore, the families $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}, \mathcal{F}_{n,1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$ consist of only one equisymmetric stratum each, the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,2}$ consists of at most 2^{n-2} equisymmetric strata and the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ consists of at most 2^{n-k-1} equisymmetric strata, for each $k \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$.

Given a compact Riemann surface with a group of automorphisms, a natural problem that arises is to decide whether or not the surface admits more automorphisms. This is a challenging problem whose answer depends on the signature of the action (as proved by Singerman in [26]) and on the geometry of a fundamental domain for the surface.

For each $n \geq 4$ we consider the supergroups of $Q(2^n)$ of order 2^{n+1} given by

$$G_1 = \langle x, y, z : x^{2^{n-1}}, z^2, y^2 x^{2^{n-2}}, yxy^{-1}x, zxzx^{-1}, zyzy \rangle$$

$$G_2 = \langle x, y, z : x^{2^{n-1}}, z^2, y^2 x^{2^{n-2}}, yxy^{-1}x, zxzx^{2^{n-2}+1}, zyzy \rangle.$$

Theorem 5. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer.*

- (1) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}$ then the action of G on S extends to an action of G_1 with signature $(0; 2, 2, 2, 2^{n-1})$. Furthermore, up to possibly finitely many exceptions, the full automorphism group of S is isomorphic to G_1 .*
- (2) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,1}$ then the action of G on S extends to an action of G_1 with signature $(0; 2, 2, 4, 4)$. Furthermore, up to possibly finitely many exceptions, the full automorphism group of S is isomorphic to G_1 .*
- (3) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,2}$ and the action of G on S extends to an action of a supergroup G' of G then G' equals either G_1 or G_2 , and the signature of the action is $(0; 2, 2, 4, 2^{n-1})$. Furthermore, up to possibly finitely many exceptions, the full automorphism group of S is isomorphic to either G, G_1 or G_2 .*
- (4) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ then, up to finitely many exceptions, the full automorphism group of S is isomorphic to G , for each $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$.*

A consequence of the previous result together with Theorem 3 is that among the previous families only $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$ consists of Riemann surfaces with a genus-zero action of $Q(2^n)$. Hence, by Corollary 1, the Jacobian varieties of the surfaces lying in the remaining families admit a group algebra decomposition that is nontrivial.

The following theorem provides such decompositions explicitly.

Theorem 6. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer.*

(1) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}$ then JS_{N_1}, JS_{N_2} and JS_{N_3} are elliptic curves,*

$$\dim \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-2} \text{ and } \dim \operatorname{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}}) = 2^{n-j-2}$$

for each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$. In particular

$$JS \sim E \times \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \operatorname{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}})^2$$

where E is an elliptic curve isogenous to JS_G .

(2) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,1}$ then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-1} \text{ and } \dim JS_{N_1} = 1.$$

Moreover, S_{N_2}, S_{N_3} and S_{H_j} for each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$ have genus zero, and

$$JS \sim E \times \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z)$$

where E is an elliptic curve isogenous to JS_{N_1} . In particular $JS_Z \sim JS_{N_1}$.

(3) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,2}$ then S_{N_1} and S_{N_2} have genus zero,*

$$\dim \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-1} \text{ and } \dim \operatorname{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}}) = 2^{n-2-j}$$

for each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$. Furthermore, if $n = 4$ then

$$JS \sim E_1 \times E_2^2 \times \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z),$$

whilst if $n \geq 5$ then

$$JS \sim E_1 \times E_2^2 \times \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-3} \operatorname{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}})^2$$

where E_1 and E_2 are elliptic curves isogenous to JS_{N_3} and $JS_{H_{n-2}}$ respectively.

(4) *If S belongs to the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ and $k \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$ then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-1} \text{ and } \dim JS_{H_j} = 2^{n-j-1} - 2^{k-2}$$

if $2 \leq j \leq n-k$ and zero otherwise. In particular S_{N_1}, S_{N_2} and S_{N_3} have genus zero. Furthermore, if $k = n-2$ then

$$JS \sim \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) \times JS_{H_2}^2,$$

whilst if $k \leq n-3$ then

$$JS \sim \operatorname{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-k-1} \operatorname{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}})^2 \times JS_{H_{n-k}}^2.$$

For conciseness of exposition our results have been stated for $n \geq 4$. The case $n = 3$ is slightly different and analogous results hold; we shall discuss it briefly in §9. At this point, we should anticipate that, with the notations of Theorem 4, the families

$$\mathcal{F}_{3,0}, \mathcal{F}_{3,1} = \mathcal{F}_{3,2} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{3,2}$$

also exist, and that there is no other complex one-dimensional family of Riemann surfaces with quaternion group action.

1.5. An algebraic description of $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$. Among the families introduced in Theorem 4, only the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$ consists of surfaces that are hyperelliptic. This property allows us to describe its members algebraically as follows.

Proposition 2. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and let S be a Riemann surface lying in the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$. Then S is isomorphic to the normalization of the singular affine plane algebraic curve*

$$Y^2 = X(X^{2^{n-2}} - 1)(X^{2^{n-2}} - t)(X^{2^{n-1}} - t)$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$. In addition, with respect to this model, the group of automorphisms of S isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$ is generated by the transformations

$$(X, Y) \mapsto (\xi^2 X, \xi Y) \text{ and } (X, Y) \mapsto \left(\frac{\lambda}{X}, \eta t \frac{Y}{X^{2^{n-1}+1}}\right)$$

where $\xi = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2^{n-1}}\right)$, and η and λ are chosen to satisfy $\eta^2 = -\lambda$ and $\lambda^{2^{n-2}} = t$.

Note that in the proposition above if we take $t = -1$ then we obtain the curve

$$Y^2 = X(X^{2^n} - 1)$$

mentioned in §1.4 and denoted there by X_{n+1} . Thereby, as a direct consequence of the previous proposition, we derive the following interesting result.

Corollary 2. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. The family $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$ contains the unique Riemann surface that admits a triangle action of the generalized quaternion group of order 2^{n+1} .*

It is worth remarking that the exceptional Riemann surfaces uncovered by Theorem 5 correspond to those surfaces that have *extra automorphisms*; these surfaces lie in the closure of the families. Observe that the previous corollary provides an explicit surface with this property for the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$, for each $n \geq 3$.

1.6. Families of abelian varieties with $Q(8)$ -action. It is well-known that the moduli space \mathcal{A}_g of isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension $g \geq 3$ has a structure of complex space of dimension $g(g+1)/2$ inherited by the projection

$$\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g := \mathcal{H}_g / \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where \mathcal{H}_g denotes the Siegel upper half-plane and $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ the symplectic group.

Moreover, the singular locus of \mathcal{A}_g is given by

$$\text{Sing}(\mathcal{A}_g) = \{[A] : A \text{ has automorphisms different from } \pm id\}.$$

Here we construct three families of principally polarized abelian varieties of low dimension whose members have a group of automorphisms isomorphic to the quaternion group. These families have the property that contain the three complex one-dimensional families of Jacobians with a quaternion group action.

Theorem 7. *There exists a complex one-dimensional family \mathcal{B}_3 of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension three endowed with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to*

$$\langle a, b, c : a^2, b^2, c^4, bcb^3, acac^3, abac^2b \rangle = (C_4 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$$

satisfying the following properties.

- (1) The quaternion group of order eight acts on each member of \mathcal{Y}_3 with analytic representation equivalent to $\chi_1 \oplus \Theta_1$.
- (2) The members of \mathcal{Y}_3 decompose isogenously as the product of an elliptic curve and an abelian surface.
- (3) \mathcal{Y}_3 contains the image under the Torelli map of the family $\mathcal{F}_{3,0}$.
- (4) \mathcal{Y}_3 is described, in terms of period matrices, as

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1+i+\frac{1-i}{2}t & 1-it & \frac{1+i}{2}t \\ 1-it & 1-(1+i)t & t \\ \frac{1+i}{2}t & t & i+\frac{i-1}{2}t \end{array} \right) : t \in \mathbf{C} \right\} \cap \mathcal{H}_3$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Theorem 8. *There exists a complex two-dimensional family \mathcal{Y}_5 of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension five endowed with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to*

$$\langle r, s, a, b : r^4, s^2, a^2, b^2, (sr)^2, arar^{-1}, (as)^2, brbr^{-1}, bsb(sra)^{-1}, bab(ar^2)^{-1} \rangle = (\mathbb{D}_4 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$$

satisfying the following properties.

- (1) The quaternion group of order eight acts on each member of \mathcal{Y}_5 with analytic representation equivalent to $\chi_2 \oplus 2\Theta_1$.
- (2) The members of \mathcal{Y}_5 decompose isogenously as the product of an elliptic curve and an abelian fourfold.
- (3) \mathcal{Y}_5 contains the image under the Torelli map of the family $\mathcal{F}_{3,1} = \mathcal{F}_{3,2}$.
- (4) \mathcal{Y}_5 is described, in terms of period matrices, as

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{3}{2}+4t_1-3t_2 & -\frac{7}{4}-2t_1+\frac{3}{2}t_2 & 0 & \frac{5}{4}-\frac{3}{2}t_2+2t_1 & \frac{7}{4}+\frac{5}{2}t_2-2t_1 \\ -\frac{7}{4}-2t_1+\frac{3}{2}t_2 & 1+t_1 & t_2 & -\frac{1}{2}+t_2-t_1 & \frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2}t_2+t_1 \\ 0 & t_2 & 2t_2 & \frac{1}{2} & t_2 \\ \frac{5}{4}-\frac{3}{2}t_2+2t_1 & -\frac{1}{2}+t_2-t_1 & \frac{1}{2} & t_1 & -\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{2}t_2-t_1 \\ \frac{7}{4}+\frac{5}{2}t_2-2t_1 & \frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2}t_2+t_1 & t_2 & -\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{2}t_2-t_1 & t_1 \end{array} \right) : t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{C} \right\} \cap \mathcal{H}_5$$

Theorem 9. *There exists a complex one-dimensional family \mathcal{Y}_4 of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension four endowed with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to the quaternion group of order eight satisfying the following properties.*

- (1) G acts on each member of \mathcal{Y}_4 with analytic representation equivalent to $2\Theta_1$.
- (2) The isotypical decomposition of each member of \mathcal{Y}_4 with respect to G is trivial.
- (3) \mathcal{Y}_4 contains the image under the Torelli map of the family $\mathcal{C}_{3,2}$.

As proved in [10], the families $\mathcal{F}_{3,0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{3,2}$ give rise to special subvarieties in \mathcal{A}_3 and \mathcal{A}_4 , and therefore their closures contain a dense set of points that are of CM type.

Consider the Riemann surface $X_4 \in \mathcal{C}_{3,2}$ and its Jacobian variety $JX_4 \in \mathcal{Y}_4$.

- (1) The full automorphism group of X_4 is isomorphic to the quasi-dihedral group QD_{16} of order 32 and acts on X_4 with signature $(0; 2, 4, 16)$; see [14, Section §2.1.1].
- (2) JX_4 is of CM type; see, for instance, [28, Section 6.4].

- (3) X_4 is the Riemann surface with full automorphism group of second largest order among the hyperelliptic surfaces of genus four; see the main result of [5].

Even though we are not in position to describe the family \mathcal{Y}_4 as a whole in terms of period matrices as done for \mathcal{Y}_3 and \mathcal{Y}_5 , we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3. *A period matrix of JX_4 is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{6}{7} - \frac{1}{28}\sqrt{2} + 23i\lambda & (10i\sqrt{2} - 27i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} & (i\sqrt{2} - 5i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} & (-13i\sqrt{2} + 19i)\lambda - \frac{1}{28}\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{7} \\ (10i\sqrt{2} - 27i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} & (-2i\sqrt{2} + 33i)\lambda + \frac{5}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{5}{7} & (3i\sqrt{2} - 15i)\lambda + \frac{5}{28}\sqrt{2} - \frac{2}{7} & (i\sqrt{2} - 5i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} \\ (i\sqrt{2} - 5i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} & (3i\sqrt{2} - 15i)\lambda + \frac{5}{28}\sqrt{2} - \frac{2}{7} & (-2i\sqrt{2} + 33i)\lambda + \frac{5}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{5}{7} & (10i\sqrt{2} - 27i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} \\ (-13i\sqrt{2} + 19i)\lambda - \frac{1}{28}\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{7} & (i\sqrt{2} - 5i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} & (10i\sqrt{2} - 27i)\lambda - \frac{3}{28}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{14} & \frac{6}{7} - \frac{1}{28}\sqrt{2} + 23i\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda := \frac{\sqrt{500 + 146\sqrt{2}}}{644}$.

Section §2 is devoted to introducing some notations and to briefly reviewing the basic background. The case $n = 3$ is worked out in Section §9. The proof of the results are given in the remaining sections.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Abelian varieties. Let G be a finite group let W be a rational irreducible representation of G . Then there is a complex irreducible representation V of G such that

$$W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} := s_V(\oplus_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}),$$

where s_V is the Schur index of V and the sum is taken over the Galois group associated to the character field K_V of V . We say that V is associated to W .

Assume that W_1, \dots, W_r are the pairwise non-equivalent rational irreducible representations of G . Let V_l be a complex irreducible representation of G associated to W_l and set

$$e_l = \frac{d_{V_l}}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}_{K_{V_l}|\mathbb{Q}}(\chi_{V_l}(g^{-1}))g \in \mathbb{Q}[G],$$

where d_{V_l} is the degree of V_l . As proved in [16], the decomposition of 1 as the sum

$$1 = e_1 + \dots + e_r \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}[G] \quad (2.1)$$

yields the isotypical decomposition of A with respect to G

$$JS \sim A_1 \times \dots \times A_r \text{ where } A_l := \Xi(\alpha_l e_l)(A)$$

and $\alpha_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ is chosen to satisfy that $\alpha_l e_l \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. In addition, there are (in general, non-uniquely determined by W_l) idempotents f_{l1}, \dots, f_{ln_l} such that

$$e_l = f_{l1} + \dots + f_{ln_l} \text{ for each } 1 \leq l \leq r \quad (2.2)$$

where $n_l = d_{V_l}/s_{V_l}$. These idempotents provide n_l pairwise isogenous subvarieties of A . If we denote by B_l one of them for each l , then (2.1) and (2.2) provide a group algebra decomposition of A with respect to G

$$A \sim B_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times B_r^{n_r}.$$

See also [8] and [21] for the special case of Jacobians.

Decompositions of abelian varieties with respect to special classes of groups can be found, for instance, in [6], [13], [18], [19], [20], [22] and [24].

2.2. Riemann surfaces and Fuchsian groups. The algebraic structure of a (co-compact) Fuchsian group Δ is determined by its *signature*; namely, the tuple

$$\sigma(\Delta) = (\gamma; k_1, \dots, k_s),$$

where γ is the genus of \mathbb{H}_Δ , with \mathbb{H} denoting the upper half-plane, and k_1, \dots, k_s are the branch indices in the canonical projection $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\Delta$. In this case, Δ has a presentation

$$\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\gamma, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\gamma, x_1, \dots, x_s : x_1^{k_1} = \dots = x_s^{k_s} = \prod_{i=1}^\gamma [\alpha_i, \beta_i] \prod_{i=1}^s x_i = 1 \rangle,$$

where the brackets stand for the commutator.

Let Δ' be a group of automorphisms of \mathbb{H} such that $\Delta \leq \Delta'$ of finite index. Then Δ' is also Fuchsian and they are related by the so-called Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$\mu(\Delta)/\mu(\Delta') = [\Delta' : \Delta],$$

where $\mu(\Delta) = 2\gamma - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (1 - 1/k_i)$.

Let S be a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. By the uniformization theorem, there is a Fuchsian group Γ of signature $(g; -)$ such that $S \cong \mathbb{H}_\Gamma$. Moreover, a finite group G acts on S if and only if there is a Fuchsian group Δ together with a group epimorphism

$$\theta : \Delta \rightarrow G \text{ such that } \ker(\theta) = \Gamma. \quad (2.3)$$

This important result is known as Riemann's existence theorem; see, for example, [3], [23] and [27]. Note that G acts on S with signature $\sigma(\Delta)$. We shall say that the action is represented by the *surface-kernel epimorphism* θ (hereafter *ske* for short).

Let G' be a finite supergroup of G . The action of G on S represented by the *ske* (2.3) extends to an action of G' on S if there is a Fuchsian group Δ' together with a *ske*

$$\Theta : \Delta' \rightarrow G' \text{ in such a way that } \Theta|_\Delta = \theta \text{ and } \ker(\Theta) = \ker(\theta),$$

and the Teichmüller spaces of Δ and Δ' have the same dimension. Maximal actions are those that cannot be extended. We refer to [26] and [27] for more details.

2.3. Equisymmetric stratification of \mathcal{M}_g . Two actions ϵ_1, ϵ_2 of G on S are *topologically equivalent* if there exist $\omega \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}^+(S)$ such that

$$\epsilon_2(g) = \varphi \epsilon_1(\omega(g)) \varphi^{-1} \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Note that each φ as before induces an automorphism φ^* of Δ where $\mathbb{H}_\Delta \cong S_G$. If \mathcal{B} is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Delta)$ consisting of them, then $\text{Aut}(G) \times \mathcal{B}$ acts on the set of *skes* defining actions of G on S with signature $\sigma(\Delta)$ by

$$((\omega, \varphi^*), \theta) \mapsto \omega \circ \theta \circ (\varphi^*)^{-1}.$$

Two *skes* $\theta_1, \theta_2 : \Delta \rightarrow G$ define topologically equivalent actions if and only if they belong to the same orbit of the aforementioned action; see, for example, [3]. If $S_G \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ then \mathcal{B} is known to be generated by the *braid transformations* Φ_i , for $1 \leq i < s$, defined by

$$x_i \mapsto x_{i+1}, \quad x_{i+1} \mapsto x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i x_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad x_j \mapsto x_j \text{ when } j \neq i, i+1.$$

Following [4] (see also [12]), the singular locus of \mathcal{M}_g admits an *equisymmetric* stratification where each equisymmetric stratum, if nonempty, corresponds to one topological class of maximal actions. More specifically:

$$\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \cup_{G \neq 1, \theta} \mathcal{M}_g^{G, \theta}$$

where the *equisymmetric stratum* $\mathcal{M}_g^{G, \theta}$ consists of surfaces of genus g with full automorphism group isomorphic to G such that the action is topologically equivalent to θ . In addition, the *closure* $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g^{G, \theta}$ of $\mathcal{M}_g^{G, \theta}$ is a closed irreducible algebraic subvariety of \mathcal{M}_g and consists of surfaces of genus g with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to G such that the action is topologically equivalent to θ .

2.4. The moduli space of abelian varieties. Each abelian variety $A = V/\Lambda$ admits a polarization; namely, a non-degenerate real alternating form E on V such that

$$E(\Lambda \times \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$$

for all $v, w \in V$. If the elementary divisors of $E|_{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$ equal 1 then E is called *principal* and A is called a *principally polarized abelian variety* (ppav for short). In this case, there exists a basis for Λ such that the matrix for $E|_{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$ with respect to it is given by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } g = \dim(A). \quad (2.4)$$

In addition, there exist a basis for V with respect to which the period matrix for A has the form $(I_g Z)$ where $Z \in \mathcal{H}_g$. If $(I_g Z_i)$ is the period matrix of A_i then an isomorphism $A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is given by invertible matrices

$$M \in \text{GL}(g, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } R \in \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } M(I_g Z_1) = (I_g Z_2)R. \quad (2.5)$$

As R preserves the polarization (2.4), it belongs to the symplectic group and therefore

$$\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g \text{ given by } (R = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, Z) \mapsto R \cdot Z := (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$$

is an action whose orbits are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of ppavs. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g := \mathcal{H}_g / \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the moduli space of of ppavs of dimension g . See [17].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 AND PROPOSITION 1

3.1. Rational irreducible representations. We recall here that the complex irreducible representations of $Q(2^n)$ are, up to equivalence,

$$\Theta_s : x \mapsto \text{diag}(\omega^s, \bar{\omega}^s) \text{ and } y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^s \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\omega := \exp(2\pi i/2^{n-1})$ and $s \in \{1, \dots, 2^{n-2} - 1\}$, and

$$\chi_1 : x, y \mapsto 1, \chi_2 : x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto -1, \chi_3 : x \mapsto -1, y \mapsto 1 \text{ and } \chi_4 : x, y \mapsto -1.$$

For each $l \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$, the Galois group of the character field of Θ_{2^l-1} is

$$\mathbb{G}_l = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\omega^{2^{l-1}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{l-1}})/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\cos(\frac{2\pi}{2^{n-l}}))/\mathbb{Q}) \cong C_{2^{n-l-2}}.$$

Observe that if $l \neq 1$ then $\Theta_{2^{l-1}}$ is not faithful, induces a representation of the dihedral group of order 2^{n-1} and therefore its Schur index equals one. On the other hand, Θ_1 is not real since its Schur-Frobenius indicator is equal to -1 . Thus, Θ_1 cannot be defined over its character field, showing that its Schur index equals two. It then follows that the rational irreducible representations of $Q(2^n)$ are $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4$

$$W_1 := 2(\oplus_{\sigma \in G_1} \Theta_1^\sigma) \text{ and } W_l := \oplus_{\sigma \in G_l} \Theta_{2^{l-1}}^\sigma \text{ for } l \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}.$$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let A be an abelian variety with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. Then the aforementioned facts concerning the rational irreducible representations of $Q(2^n)$ together with the results mentioned in §2.1 show that the isotypical decomposition of A with respect to G is given by

$$A \sim A_G \times A_2 \times A_3 \times A_4 \times A_{W_1} \times A_{W_2} \times \dots \times A_{W_{n-2}}$$

where A_i is the abelian subvariety of A associated to χ_i and A_{W_l} is the one associated to W_l . Besides, the group algebra decompositions of A with respect to G have the form

$$A \sim A_G \times B_2 \times B_3 \times B_4 \times B_{W_1} \times B_{W_2}^2 \times \dots \times B_{W_{n-2}}^2 \quad (3.1)$$

where $B_i = A_i$, $B_{W_1} = A_{W_1}$ and B_{W_l} is a subvariety of A such that $B_{W_l}^2 \sim A_{W_l}$ for $l \geq 2$.

Let K be a subgroup of G . In the sequel, we denote by ρ_K the representation of G induced by the trivial one of K . Note that ρ_G and ρ_{1_G} are the trivial and regular representation, respectively. We recall that, by Frobenius Reciprocity theorem (see, for instance, [25, Chapter 7]), if V is a complex representation of G then

$$\langle V, \rho_K \rangle_G = \dim V^K$$

where V^K denotes the vector subspace of V of elements fixed under K and the brackets stand for the inner product of characters of G . It then follows that

$$\rho_K \simeq \oplus_{i=1}^4 (\dim \chi_i^K) \chi_i \oplus \oplus_{s=1}^{2^{n-2}-1} (\dim \Theta_s^K) \Theta_s.$$

In order to avoid redundant computations, we also mention that $\dim V^K$ is invariant under the action of the Galois group associated to the character field of V .

Observe that the dimension of the fixed subspace of χ_i under the action of H_j equals 1 if $i = 1$ and $i = 3$, and equals zero otherwise, for each j . In addition, the dimension of the fixed subspace of Θ_1 under the action of H_j equals zero, for all j . Similarly, for $l \geq 2$

$$\Theta_{2^{l-1}}(x^{2^{n-j}}) = \text{diag}(\omega^{2^{n-j+l-1}}, \bar{\omega}^{2^{n-j+l-1}})$$

and, consequently, the dimension of the fixed subspace of $\Theta_{2^{l-1}}$ under the action of H_j is equal to 1 if $l \geq j$ and zero otherwise. All the above implies that

$$\rho_{H_j} \simeq \chi_1 \oplus \chi_3 \oplus \oplus_{l \geq j} (\oplus_{\sigma \in G_l} \Theta_{2^{l-1}}^\sigma) = \chi_1 \oplus \chi_3 \oplus (\oplus_{l \geq j} W_l)$$

and therefore

$$\rho_{H_j} \simeq \rho_{H_{j+1}} \oplus W_j \text{ for each } j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}.$$

We now apply [7, Theorem 6.2] to conclude that there exists an isogeny

$$B_{W_j} \rightarrow \text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}}) \text{ for each } j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}. \quad (3.2)$$

By proceeding analogously, it can be seen that

$$\rho_{1_G} \simeq \rho_Z \oplus W_1, \rho_{N_1} \simeq \rho_G \oplus \chi_2, \rho_{N_2} \simeq \rho_G \oplus \chi_3 \text{ and } \rho_{N_3} \simeq \rho_G \oplus \chi_4.$$

Hence, there exist isogenies

$$B_{W_l} \rightarrow \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) \text{ and } B_{l+1} \rightarrow \text{Prym}(A_{N_l}/A_G) \quad (3.3)$$

for each $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and the desired isogeny

$$A \sim A_G \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G) \times \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}})^2$$

is obtained by replacing (3.2) and (3.3) in (3.1).

To obtain the remaining isogeny decompositions, we proceed analogously to see

$$\rho_{\tilde{H}_j} \simeq \rho_{\tilde{H}_{j+1}} \oplus W_j \text{ and } \rho_{K_i} \simeq \rho_{K_{i+1}} \oplus 2W_i$$

for each $i, j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$, and therefore

$$B_{W_j} \sim \text{Prym}(A_{\tilde{H}_j}/A_{\tilde{H}_{j+1}}) \text{ and } A_{W_i} = \text{Prym}(A_{K_i}/A_{K_{i+1}}) \sim B_{W_i}^2.$$

3.3. Proof of Proposition 1. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let A be an abelian variety with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. Let $s \in \{1, \dots, 2^{n-2} - 1\}$. Since the character of Θ_s is real, the complex-conjugate representation $\bar{\Theta}_s$ is equivalent to Θ_s . If $\rho := \rho_a \oplus \bar{\rho}_a$ and σ belongs to the Galois group of the character field of Θ_s then

$$\langle \rho_a, \Theta_s \rangle_G = \frac{1}{2} \langle \rho, \Theta_s \rangle_G = \frac{1}{2} \langle \rho, \Theta_s^\sigma \rangle_G = \langle \rho_a, \Theta_s^\sigma \rangle_G,$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that ρ is rational. In other words, the multiplicity of the irreducible summands of ρ_a is invariant under Galois-conjugation. Thus, having said that, to prove the first statement of the proposition it suffices to notice that the Galois orbits of Θ_1 and of Θ_{2^j-1} , for each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$, are

$$\{\Theta_1, \Theta_3, \dots, \Theta_{2^{n-2}-1}\} \text{ and } \{\Theta_{t_j^{k2^j-1}} : 0 \leq k < 2^{n-j-2}\}$$

respectively, where t_j is as in the statement of the proposition. Note that, with the notations of §3.1, the analytic representation of G can be rewritten as

$$\rho_a \simeq \oplus_{j=1}^4 a_j \chi_j \oplus b_1 (\oplus_{\sigma \in G_1} \Theta_1^\sigma) \oplus \oplus_{l=2}^{n-2} b_{2^l-1} W_l.$$

To prove the second statement we recall that, following [7, Corollary 5.4],

$$\dim A_K = \langle \rho_a, \rho_K \rangle_G = \dim \rho_a^K$$

for each subgroup K of G . It follows that $\dim A_G = \langle \rho_a, \rho_G \rangle_G = \langle \rho_a, \chi_1 \rangle_G = a_1$ and

$$\dim \text{Prym}(A_{N_l}/A_G) = \dim A_{N_l} - a_1 = \langle \rho_a, \rho_{N_l} \rangle_G - a_1 = (a_1 + a_{l+1}) - a_1 = a_{l+1}.$$

Similarly we have that

$$\dim \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) = \langle \rho_a, \rho_{1_G} \rangle_G - \langle \rho_a, \rho_Z \rangle_G = 2(b_1 + b_3 + \dots + b_{2^{n-2}-1}) = 2^{n-2} b_1,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $b_s = b_1$ for each s odd.

Finally, for each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$ we have that

$$\dim \text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}}) = \langle \rho_a, \rho_{H_j} \rangle_G - \langle \rho_a, \rho_{H_{j+1}} \rangle_G = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-j-2}-1} b_{t_j^{k2^j-1}} = 2^{n-j-2} b_{2^j-1}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $b_{t_k 2^{j-1}} = b_{2^{j-1}}$ for each k .

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let A be an abelian variety with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. By Proposition 1, the analytic representation of the action of G on A is given by

$$\rho_a \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 a_j \chi_j \oplus b_1 (\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G_1} \Theta_1^\sigma) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=2}^{n-2} b_{2^l-1} W_l.$$

for some non-negative integers $a_1, \dots, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_4, \dots, b_{2^{n-3}}$.

(1) \implies (2). Observe that if the dimension of A_Z is positive then the dimension of A is strictly greater than the dimension of $\text{Prym}(A/A_Z)$ and therefore the group algebra decomposition of A with respect to G

$$A \sim A_G \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G) \times \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \text{Prym}(A_{H_j}/A_{H_{j+1}})^2$$

is nontrivial.

(2) \implies (3). If K is any nontrivial subgroup of G then $Z \leq K$ and therefore $A_K \subseteq A_Z$. It then follows that if the dimension of A_Z equals zero then the same conclusion holds for any nontrivial subgroup K of G .

(3) \implies (4). It is a direct consequence of Proposition 1.

(4) \implies (5). Observe that if s is odd then 1 is not an eigenvalue of the matrix $\Theta_s(g)$ for all nontrivial $g \in G$. The same conclusion is valid for the representation

$$b_1 (\Theta_1 \oplus \Theta_3 \oplus \dots \oplus \Theta_{2^{n-2}-1})$$

for each non-negative integer b_1 , which is assumed to be equivalent to ρ_a .

(5) \implies (1). If we assume ρ_a to be fixed point free then ([7, Corollary 5.4])

$$\dim A_K = \langle \rho_a, \rho_K \rangle_G = \dim \rho_a^K = 0$$

for each nontrivial subgroup K of G , and therefore each group algebra decomposition of A with respect G becomes trivial.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and let S be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$. Let π denote the regular branched covering map given by the action of G on S . Observe that the signature of the action of G on S must have the following form:

$$(\gamma; 4, \dots, 4, 2, \dots, 2, 2^{n-1}, c_1, 2^{n-1}, \dots, 2^{n-k}, c_k, 2^{n-k}, \dots, 2^2, c_{n-2}, 2^2)$$

where $a, b, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}$ are non-negative integers. Here, the branch points of π associated to the a branch values of π marked with 4 have isotropy group conjugate to $\langle y \rangle$ or $\langle xy \rangle$, whereas the ones associated to the the c_{n-2} branch values of π marked with 4 have isotropy group generated by $\langle x^{2^{n-3}} \rangle$.

Assume the action of G to be of genus-zero. Then $\gamma = 0$ and, by Riemann's existence theorem, a is even and different from zero. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula says that

$$2(g-1) = 2^n \left(-2 + \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{2}b + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-k}} \right) \right). \quad (5.1)$$

We denote by π_Z the regular branched covering map given by the action of Z on S . Observe that each branch value of π marked with 4 yields 2^{n-2} branch values of π_Z , each branch value of π marked with 2 yields 2^{n-1} branch values of π_Z , and each branch value of π marked with 2^{n-k} yields 2^k branch values of π_Z for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$.

It then follows that the signature of the action of Z on S is

$$(0; 2, \dots, 2) \text{ where } d = a2^{n-2} + b2^{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k 2^k$$

and therefore we obtain that

$$2(g-1) = -4 + a2^{n-2} + b2^{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k 2^k \quad (5.2)$$

The equations (5.1) and (5.2) show that

$$-2 + \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{2}b + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-k}} \right) = -2^{2-n} + \frac{1}{4}a + \frac{1}{2}b + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k 2^{k-n}$$

and therefore there is no restriction on b . The previous equality can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-k-1}} \right) + \frac{1}{2}a = 2 - \frac{4}{2^n}.$$

Observe that if $a \geq 4$ then $\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-k-1}} \right) < 0$; a contradiction. Hence, $a = 2$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-k-1}} \right) = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} c_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-k-1}} \right) + c_1 \left(1 - \frac{4}{2^n} \right) = 1 - \frac{4}{2^n}.$$

Thus, $c_1 = 1$ and $c_k = 0$ for $k \neq 1$, showing that the signature of the action is

$$\sigma_b = (0; 2, \dots, 2, 4, 4, 2^{n-1})$$

for some $b \geq 0$, as desired. Conversely, if the signature of the action of G is σ_b then the signature of the action of Z on S is of the form

$$(\gamma; 2, \dots, 2) \text{ where } e = 2^{n-1} + b2^{n-1} + 2,$$

for some $\gamma \geq 0$. By proceeding analogously as already done, one sees that

$$2^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}b - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) = 4\gamma - 4 + e$$

and then $\gamma = 0$. It follows from Corollary 1 that the action of G is a genus-zero action.

It only remains to prove that for each $b \geq 0$ there exists a Riemann surface S on which G acts with signature σ_b . Let Δ be a Fuchsian group of signature σ_b presented as

$$\Delta = \langle x_1, \dots, x_b, y_1, y_2, z_1 : x_1^2 = \dots = x_b^2 = y_1^4 = y_2^4 = z_1^{2^{n-1}} = x_1 \cdots x_b y_1 y_2 z_1 = 1 \rangle.$$

The homomorphisms $\eta : \Delta \rightarrow Q(2^n)$ given by

$$x_1 \mapsto y^2, \dots, x_b \mapsto y^2, y_1 \mapsto y, y_2 \mapsto y^{-1}x^{-1}, z_1 \mapsto x \text{ if } b \text{ is even}$$

$$x_1 \mapsto y^2, \dots, x_b \mapsto y^2, y_1 \mapsto x^{-1}y, y_2 \mapsto y, z_1 \mapsto x \text{ if } b \text{ is odd}$$

are two skes. Hence, we can take $S := \mathbb{H}/\ker(\eta)$ and the result follows from Riemann's existence theorem.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Let Δ_0 be a Fuchsian group of signature $\sigma_0 = (0; k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$ presented as

$$\Delta_0 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 : x_1^{k_1} = x_2^{k_2} = x_3^{k_3} = x_4^{k_4} = x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 1 \rangle \quad (6.1)$$

and let $\theta : \Delta_0 \rightarrow G = Q(2^n)$ be a ske representing an action of G on a Riemann surface S with signature σ_0 . Write $g_j = \theta(x_j)$ for each j and identify θ with the 4-tuple

$$\theta = (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4).$$

The surjectivity of θ shows that the number t of elements g_j 's that lie in $\langle x \rangle$ is at most two. In addition, as the product of three elements of $G - \langle x \rangle$ does not belong to $\langle x \rangle$, we see that t cannot equal 1. The situation naturally splits into three cases.

Case A. Assume $t = 0$. Then $k_j = 4$ for each j and the surfaces S with this type of action belong to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,1}$. If we write $g_j = x^{n_j}y$ then, after considering suitable braid transformations and the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$ of G , one of the following cases occurs: each n_j is even; n_1, n_2, n_3 are even and n_4 is odd; or n_2, n_3 are even and n_1, n_4 are odd. Observe that the first case yields a non-surjective epimorphism θ , whereas the second case gives rise to a map which sends $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4$ to a nontrivial element of G . Thus, again after applying an appropriate automorphism of G , the ske θ is equivalent to

$$\theta_p := (xy, y, x^p y, x^{p+1} y) \text{ where } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}} \text{ is even.} \quad (6.2)$$

The fact that Φ_3^2 identifies θ_p with θ_{p+2} allows us to conclude that the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,1}$ consists of only one equisymmetric stratum (represented by θ_0), as desired. If g is the genus of S then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula reads

$$2(g-1) = 2^n[-2 + 4(1 - \frac{1}{4})] \text{ showing that } g = 2^{n-1} + 1.$$

From now on we assume $t = 2$. After considering suitable braid transformations, we can assume that $k_3 = k_4 = 4$ and that θ is equivalent to the ske

$$(x^\alpha, x^\beta, g_3, g_4) \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}} - \{0\}.$$

Case B. Assume g_3 and g_4 to be conjugate. Up to $(x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$ and after applying an inner automorphism of G , we can assume $g_3 = y$ and $g_4 = x^p y$ where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$ is even. Observe that if both α and β were even then θ would not be surjective. Thus, after applying the braid transformation Φ_1 if necessary, we can assume $\alpha = 1$ and therefore $k_1 = 2^{n-1}$. The fact that $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 1$ says that β equals $p - 1 + 2^{n-2}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$ and, consequently, θ is equivalent to

$$\theta_p := (x, x^{p-1+2^{n-2}}, y, x^p y) \text{ where } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}} \text{ is even.} \quad (6.3)$$

The fact that $p - 1 + 2^{n-2}$ is odd shows that $k_2 = 2^{n-1}$ and therefore the surfaces S with this type of action form the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,2}$. Note that this family consists of at most 2^{n-2} equisymmetric strata, according to the possible choices of p in (6.3). If g is the genus of S then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies that $g = 3 \cdot 2^{n-2} - 1$.

Case C. Assume g_3 and g_4 to be non-conjugate. Up to $(x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$ and after applying an inner automorphism of G we can assume $g_3 = y$ and $g_4 = xy$. The fact that $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 1$ implies that β equals $1 + 2^{n-2} - \alpha$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$ and θ is equivalent to

$$\theta_\alpha := (x^\alpha, x^{1+2^{n-2}-\alpha}, y, xy) \text{ where } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}} - \{0\} \text{ and } \alpha \neq 1 + 2^{n-2}.$$

Since Φ_1 identifies θ_α with $\theta_{1+2^{n-2}-\alpha}$, we can assume α odd and therefore $k_1 = 2^{n-1}$. Observe that $1 + 2^{n-2} - \alpha$ can equal each one of the even integers between 2 and 2^{n-2} , showing that k_2 can equal 2^{n-k} for each $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. Thus, once k is fixed, the surfaces S with this type of action form the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ for each k as before. Besides, the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ consists of at most 2^{n-k-1} equisymmetric strata, because they are in correspondence with the generators of the cyclic subgroup of $\langle x \rangle$ of order 2^{n-k} . The computation of the genus of each S lying in $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

Finally, we consider the case in which the quotient by the action of G has genus one. Let Δ_1 be a Fuchsian group of signature $\sigma_1 = (1; k_1)$ presented as

$$\Delta_1 = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma : [\alpha, \beta]\gamma = \gamma^{k_1} = 1 \rangle \quad (6.4)$$

and let $\theta : \Delta_1 \rightarrow G = Q(2^n)$ be a ske representing an action of G on a compact Riemann surface S with signature σ_1 . We write $a = \theta(\alpha)$, $b = \theta(\beta)$ and $g = \theta(\gamma)$ and identify θ with $\theta = (a, b, g)$. We recall that, following [3, Proposition 2.5], the maps

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto (\alpha, \beta\alpha, \gamma) \text{ and } (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto (\alpha\beta, \beta, \gamma)$$

identify actions that are equivalent. The previous fact together with the surjectivity of θ allow us to assume a and b to belong to $G - \langle x \rangle$. If a and b were conjugate then we might assume $a = y$ and $b = x^p y$ where p is even. But the fact that the commutator subgroup of G equals $\langle x^2 \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-2}}$ would imply that θ is not surjective; a contradiction. Thus, up to equivalence, $a = y, b = xy$ and therefore $g = x^2$ and $k_1 = 2^{n-2}$. Hence, the surfaces S with this type of action form the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}$ and the computation of their genera follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

Let S belong to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}$. The action of G on S is represented by the ske

$$\Delta_1 \rightarrow G \text{ given by } (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto (y, xy, x^2), \quad (7.1)$$

where Δ_1 is a Fuchsian group of signature $(1; 2^{n-2})$ as in (6.4). Let

$$\Delta'_1 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 : y_1^2 = y_2^2 = y_3^2 = y_4^{2^{n-1}} = y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 = 1 \rangle$$

be a Fuchsian group of signature $(0; 2, 2, 2, 2^{n-1})$ and consider the ske $\theta' : \Delta'_1 \rightarrow G_1$

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mapsto (z, yz, xyz, xz).$$

Observe that the elements

$$\alpha' := y_1 y_2, \beta' := y_4 y_2 \text{ and } \gamma' := y_4^2$$

generate a subgroup of Δ'_1 isomorphic to Δ_1 . The restriction of θ' to Δ_1 is given by

$$(\alpha', \beta', \gamma') \mapsto (y^{-1}, xy^{-1}, x^2).$$

Note that the image of the restriction is $\langle x, y \rangle \cong G$. Moreover, after considering the automorphism of G given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^{-1})$, the restriction agrees with (7.1). Hence the action of G on S extends to an action of G_1 with signature $(0; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2^{n-1})$, as claimed. If, in addition, S belongs to the interior of $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}$ (namely, for all the members of the family up to finitely many exceptional surfaces) then $\text{Aut}(S) \cong G'$ due to the fact that the signature $(0; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2^{n-1})$ is maximal; see [26, Theorem 1].

Let S belong to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,1}$. The action of G on S is represented by the ske

$$\Delta_0 \rightarrow G \text{ given by } (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (xy, y, y^{-1}, xy^{-1}) \quad (7.2)$$

(we have chosen $p = 2^{n-2}$ in (6.2)) where Δ_0 is a Fuchsian group of signature $(0; 4, 4, 4, 4)$ as in (6.1). Let

$$\Delta'_0 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 : y_1^2 = y_2^2 = y_3^4 = y_4^4 = y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 = 1 \rangle$$

be a Fuchsian group of signature $(0; 2, 2, 4, 4)$ and consider the ske $\theta' : \Delta'_0 \rightarrow G_1$

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mapsto (zy, xyz, xy, y).$$

Observe that the elements

$$x'_1 := y_3, \quad x'_2 := y_4, \quad x'_3 := y_1 y_4 y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x'_4 := y_2 y_3 y_2$$

generate a subgroup of Δ'_0 isomorphic to Δ_0 . The restriction of θ' to Δ_0 is given by

$$(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4) \mapsto (xy, y, y^{-1}, xy^{-1}),$$

that is, the restriction agrees with (7.2). This proves the first assertion.

To prove the second assertion we only have to show that the action of G_1 on S does not extend to any supergroup of G_1 . We proceed by contradiction. If the action extends then, by [26, Theorem 1], there must exist a group G' of order 2^{n+2} such that

$$G \leq G_1 \leq G'$$

and that acts on S with signature $(0; 2, 2, 2, 4)$. It then follows that G' is generated by three involutions whose product has order four; we denote these involutions by a, b and c . As $\langle x \rangle$ is a characteristic subgroup of G_1 and G_1 is a normal subgroup of G' we obtain that a, b and c define automorphisms of $\langle x \rangle$ and, consequently, a, b and c must commute. Hence, the product abc has order two; a contradiction.

Let S belong to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,2}$. The action of G on S is represented by the ske

$$\theta_p : \Delta_0 \rightarrow G \text{ given by } (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x, x^{p-1+2^{n-2}}, y, x^p y) \quad (7.3)$$

for some p even, where Δ_0 is of signature $(0; 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 4, 4)$ as in (6.1). Let

$$\Delta''_0 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 : y_1^2 = y_2^2 = y_3^4 = y_4^{2^{n-1}} = y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 = 1 \rangle$$

be a Fuchsian group of signature $(0; 2, 2, 4, 2^{n-1})$ and consider the skes $\theta''_1 : \Delta''_0 \rightarrow G_1$ and $\theta''_2 : \Delta''_0 \rightarrow G_2$ both defined by the rule

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mapsto (z, zy, xy^{-1}, x).$$

The elements

$$x''_1 := y_2 y_4 y_2, \quad x''_2 := y_4, \quad x''_3 := (y_1 y_2) y_3 (y_1 y_2)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad x''_4 := y_2 y_3 y_2$$

generate a subgroup of Δ_0'' isomorphic to Δ_0 . The restrictions of θ_1'' and θ_2'' to Δ_0 are

$$(x^{-1}, x, y^{-1}x, x^{-1}y) \text{ and } (x^{2^{n-2}+1}, x, y^{-1}x, x^{2^{n-2}+1}y) \quad (7.4)$$

respectively. Now, the automorphism of G given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x^{-1}, x^{-1}y)$ in the first case, and the automorphism of G given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, xy^{-1})$ together with the braid transformation Φ_1 in the second case show that the restrictions (7.4) agree with (7.3) with $p = 2^{n-2}$ and with $p = 2$ respectively. Thus, the action of G on the surfaces lying in the strata defined by $\theta_{2^{n-2}}$ and θ_2 extend to an action of G_1 and G_2 respectively, with signature $(0; 2, 2, 4, 2^{n-1})$.

Claim. The action of G on S does not extend to a group different from G_1 and G_2 .

Let us proceed by contradiction. Assume that the action of G on some S extends to an action of a group H . By [26, Theorem 1], the group H must have order 2^{n+1} and necessarily acts on S with signature $(0; 2, 2, 4, 2^{n-1})$. Since y^2 is the unique involution of G , the group H must contain an involution z that does not belong to G . Thus

$$H \cong G \rtimes C_2 \text{ where } C_2 = \langle z \rangle$$

and therefore $zxz = x^m$ where $m \in \{\pm 1, 2^{n-2} \pm 1\}$.

- (a) Assume $m = 1$. If we write $zyz = x^k y$ then the fact that $z^2 = 1$ shows that $k = 0$ or $k = 2^{n-2}$. Observe that the former case is $H \cong G \times C_2$, but this group cannot be generated by two involutions and an element of order four. It follows that $zyz = y^{-1}$ and therefore $H = G_1$.
- (b) Assume $m = -1$ and write $zyz = x^k y$. Observe that if $\hat{y} := xy^{-1}$ then $z\hat{y}z = x^{k-2}\hat{y}$ and therefore we can assume that $k = 0$ or $k = 1$.
 - (a) The case $k = 1$ must be disregarded since the group cannot be generated by two involutions and an element of order 4 with product of order 2^{n-1} .
 - (b) If $k = 0$ then $zxz = x^{-1}$ and $[z, y] = 1$. Note that

$$\hat{z} := x^{2^{n-3}} yz \text{ satisfies } \hat{z}^2 = 1, \hat{z}x\hat{z} = x \text{ and } \hat{z}y\hat{z} = y^{-1}.$$

Consequently, H is isomorphic to G_1 .

- (c) Assume $m = 2^{n-2} - 1$ and write $zyz = x^k y$. The fact that $z^2 = 1$ implies that k is even. Observe that

$$\hat{y} := x^{2^{n-3}-1} y \text{ satisfies } \hat{y}^4 = 1 \text{ and } z\hat{y}z = x^{k+2}\hat{y}.$$

It follows that we can assume $k = 2^{n-2}$ and $zyz = y^{-1}$. Thus, H is isomorphic to G_2 .

- (d) Assume $m = 2^{n-2} + 1$ and write $zyz = x^k y$. The fact that $z^2 = 1$ implies that $4k \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{n-1}}$ and therefore $k \in \{0, 2^{n-2}, \pm 2^{n-3}\}$. The cases $k = \pm 2^{n-3}$ must be disregarded since they do not yield actions of order two.
 - (a) If $k = 2^{n-2}$ then $zyz = y^{-1}$. If $\hat{z} := yz$ then $\hat{z}^2 = 1$, $\hat{z}x\hat{z} = x^{2^{n-2}-1}$ and $\hat{z}y\hat{z} = y^{-1}$. It then follows that H is isomorphic to G_2 .

- (b) If $k = 0$ then we let $\hat{y} := xy$ and observe that $z\hat{y}z = (\hat{y})^{-1}$. It follows that this case agrees with case (a).

The proof of the claim is done. The proof of the statement follows from the previous claim together with the fact that the signature $(0; 2, 2, 4, 2^{n-1})$ is maximal.

Finally, the statement for each $S \in \mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ follows directly from the maximality of the signature $(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-k}, 4, 4)$ for each $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$.

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 6

Let S belong to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,0}$. Following the proof of Theorem 4, the action of G on each S is represented by the ske (x, xy, x^2) and the associated regular covering map $S \rightarrow S_G$ has exactly four branch points, all of them with G -isotropy group $\langle x^2 \rangle$. Observe that the intermediate regular covering map given by the action of Z ramifies over exactly four branch values marked with 2. It follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz that the genus of S_Z equals $2^{n-2} - 1$ and therefore

$$\dim \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-2}. \quad (8.1)$$

Similarly, for each $2 \leq j \leq n-2$, the regular covering map given by the action of H_j ramifies over two values marked with 2^{j-1} . Hence, the genus of S_{H_j} equals 2^{n-j-1} and

$$\dim \text{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}}) = 2^{n-j-2} \quad (8.2)$$

Now, the equalities (8.1) and (8.2) together with the isogeny of Corollary 1 imply

$$2^{n-1} - 1 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \dim \text{Prym}(S_{N_i} \rightarrow S_G) + 2^{n-2} + 2(\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} 2^{n-j-2})$$

and, consequently, S_{N_i} an elliptic curve for each i and the result follows.

Hereafter in this section we denote by z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 the ordered branch values of the regular covering map $\pi : S \rightarrow S_G$.

Let S belong to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,1}$. Following the proof of Theorem 4, the action of G on S is represented by the ske (xy, y, y, xy) . We note that there are exactly 2^{n-2} branch points of π over each z_l and the G -isotropy group of them are $\langle x^i y \rangle$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$ is odd for $l = 1, 4$ and even for $l = 2, 3$. The fact that $Z \subset \langle x^i y \rangle$ for all i allows us to see that the regular covering map given by the action of Z on S ramifies over 2^n values, each of them marked with 2. Thus, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies that the genus of S_Z equals one and therefore

$$\dim \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-1}.$$

In a similar way, observe that $\langle x^i y \rangle \cap H_2$ equals $H_2 = \langle y \rangle$ if $i = 0$ and equals $\langle y^2 \rangle$ otherwise. It then follows that the regular covering map given by the action of H_2 on S ramifies over four values marked with 4 and over $2^{n-1} - 2$ values marked with 2. Hence, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies S_{H_2} has genus zero. This fact implies, in turn, that the genera of S_{N_2} (and then, by symmetry, the one of JS_{N_3}) and S_{H_j} for each $j \geq 3$ are zero as well. The isogeny decomposition given in Corollary 1 implies that JS_{N_1} is an elliptic curve, and the result follows

Let S belong to the family $\mathcal{F}_{n,2}$. Following the proof of Theorem 4, the action of G on S is represented by the ske

$$(x, x^{p-1+2^{n-2}}, y, x^p y) \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}} \text{ that is even.}$$

We observe that, independently of the stratum to which S belongs (or independently of the choice of p) there are exactly two branch points of π over each z_l for $l = 1, 2$ and the G -isotropy group of them equal $\langle x \rangle$, whereas there are exactly 2^{n-2} branch points of π over each z_l for $l = 3, 4$ and the G -isotropy group of have the form $\langle x^i y \rangle$ where i is even.

For each $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ fixed, we consider the regular covering map π_j given by the action of H_j on S . Observe that the four branch values of π with G -isotropy group $\langle x \rangle$ yield two branch values π_j marked of with 2^{j-1} . In addition, the fact that

$$\langle x^i y \rangle \cap H_j = \langle x^i y \rangle \cong C_4 \text{ if } i \text{ is a multiple of } 2^{n-j}$$

and $\langle x^i y \rangle \cap H_j = \langle y^2 \rangle$ otherwise, implies that among the 2^{n-1} branch values with G -isotropy group of the form $\langle x^i y \rangle$, there are exactly 2^j of them giving rise to four branch values of π_j marked with 4, and the $2^{n-1} - 2^j$ remaining ones give rise to $2^{n-j} - 2$ branch values of π_j marked with 2. In other words, the branch data of the action of H_j on S is

$$r(H_j) = (2^{j-1}, 2^{j-1}, 4, \dots, 4, 2, \dots, 2) \text{ where } d = 2^{n-j} - 2.$$

It then follows that the genus of S_{H_j} is $2^{n-j-1} - 1$ and, consequently,

$$\dim \text{Prym}(S_{H_j} \rightarrow S_{H_{j+1}}) = 2^{n-j-2}.$$

By arguing similarly, one obtains that

$$r(Z) = (2, \dots, 2), r(N_1) = (2^{n-1}, \dots, 2^{n-1}, 2, 2), r(N_3) = (2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2}, 2, 2)$$

and therefore the genus of S_{N_1} is zero, JS_{N_3} is an elliptic curve and

$$\dim \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-1}.$$

The isogeny decomposition of Corollary 1 implies

$$3 \cdot 2^{n-1} - 1 = 0 + \dim(JS_{N_2}) + 1 + 2^{n-1} + 2(\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} 2^{n-j-2})$$

and therefore S_{N_2} has genus zero, and the result follows. Note that

$$\text{Prym}(S_{H_{n-2}} \rightarrow S_{H_{n-1}}) = JS_{H_{n-2}} \text{ is an elliptic curve.}$$

Let $k \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$ and let S belong to the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$. Following the proof of Theorem 4, the action of G on S is represented by a ske of the form (g_1, g_2, y, xy) where g_1 generates $\langle x \rangle$ and g_2 generates the subgroup of $\langle x \rangle$ isomorphic to $C_{2^{n-k}}$. Without loss of generality, we can choose g_2 as $x^{2^{k-1}}$.

The regular covering map given by the action of Z on S ramifies over $2^{n-1} + 2^k + 2$ values marked with 2. It follows that

$$\dim JS_Z = 2^{n-2} - 2^{k-1} \text{ and therefore } \dim \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z) = 2^{n-1}.$$

In a similar way it can be checked that the genus of S_{N_1}, S_{N_2} and S_{N_3} equals zero.

In order to determine the genus of S_{H_j} we have to proceed more carefully. Let π_j denote the covering map given by the action of H_j on S . Note that there are two branch points of π over z_1 and they give rise to one branch value of π_j marked with 2^{j-1} , and there are 2^{n-2} branch points of π over z_4 and they give rise to 2^{n-j-1} branch values of π_j marked with 2. Moreover, there are 2^{n-2} branch points of π over z_3 and the G -isotropy group of them is $\langle x^p y \rangle$ where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$ is even. We see that 2^{j-1} of these points produce two branch values of π_j marked with 4 and the remaining $2^{n-2} - 2^{j-1}$ ones produce $2^{n-j-1} - 1$ branch values of π_j marked with 2. Moreover, there are 2^k branch points of π over z_2 , the G -isotropy groups of them equal $\langle x^{2^{k-1}} \rangle$ and

$$\langle x^{2^{k-1}} \rangle \cap H_j = \begin{cases} \langle x^{2^{k-1}} \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-k}} & \text{if } n-k \leq j-1 \\ \langle x^{2^{n-j}} \rangle \cong C_{2^{j-1}} & \text{if } n-k > j-1 \end{cases}$$

It follows that the 2^k branch points over z_2 yield 2^{n-j} branch values of π_j marked with 2^{n-k} if $j \geq n-k+1$, and yield 2^{k-1} branch values of π_j marked with 2^{j-1} if $j < n-k+1$

All the above says that the branch data of the action of H_j on S is

$$r(H_j) = \begin{cases} (2, \overset{d}{\cdot}, \overset{d-1}{\cdot}, 2, 4, 4, 2^{j-1}, 2^{n-k}, \overset{d}{\cdot}, \dots, 2^{n-k}) & \text{if } j \geq n-k+1 \\ (2, \overset{d}{\cdot}, \overset{d-1}{\cdot}, 2, 4, 4, 2^{j-1}, \overset{d'}{\cdot}, \dots, 2^{j-1}) & \text{if } j < n-k+1 \end{cases}$$

where $d = 2^{n-j}$ and $d' = 2^{k-1} + 1$. It then follows from Riemann Hurwitz formula that

$$\dim JS_{H_j} = 2^{n-j-1} - 2^{k-2} \quad \text{if } j < n-k+1$$

and zero otherwise. The result follows from the isogeny given in Corollary 1.

9. THE CLASSICAL QUATERNION GROUP

In this section we collect results concerning abelian varieties A and compact Riemann surfaces S with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to the quaternion group of order eight $Q(8)$. For the sake of brevity we shall avoid some details, but they can be deduced by arguing as we did to prove the previous results.

The isotypical decomposition of A with respect to G (which agrees with the group algebra decompositions) is given by

$$A \sim A_G \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G) \times \text{Prym}(A/A_Z). \quad (9.1)$$

If the analytic representation of the action of G on A decomposes as

$$\rho_a \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 a_j \chi_j \oplus b \Theta_1$$

where $a_i, b \geq 0$ are integers, then the dimension of the factors arising in (9.1) are

$$\dim A_G = a_1, \quad \dim \text{Prym}(A_{N_i}/A_G) = a_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \text{Prym}(A/A_Z) = 2b$$

Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The isotypical decomposition of A with respect to G is trivial.
- (2) The dimension of A_Z is zero.
- (3) The dimension of A_K is zero, for each nontrivial subgroup K of G .

- (4) The integers a_i equal zero, for all i .
- (5) 1 is not an eigenvalue of $\rho_a(g)$ for each nontrivial $g \in G$.

The previous results applied to $A = JS$ provide analogous results for Jacobians, as in the general case.

There exist precisely three complex one-dimensional families of Riemann surfaces endowed with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $Q(8)$.

(1). The family $\mathcal{F}_{3,0}$ consisting of those Riemann surfaces S of genus three with G acting on them with signature $(1;2)$. This family consists of only one equisymmetric stratum and the action of G on each S extends to an action of

$$\langle a, b, c : a^2, b^2, c^4, bcb^3, acac^3, abac^2b \rangle = (C_4 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2 \quad (9.2)$$

with signature $(0;2,2,2,4)$. Moreover, up to finitely many exceptional surfaces, the full automorphism group of S is isomorphic to (9.2). See also [3, Table 5, 3.ad.2]. With respect to this presentation, G is isomorphic to $\langle x := ca, y := ba \rangle$.

The isotypical decomposition of JS with respect to G turns into the canonical decomposition

$$JS \sim E \times P$$

where $E \sim JS_G$ is an elliptic curve and $P \sim \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_G)$ an abelian surface. However, JS decomposes further. Indeed, by considering group algebra decompositions with respect to (9.2), it decomposes as

$$JS \sim E_1^2 \times E_2$$

where $E_1 \sim JS_{\langle a \rangle}$ and $E_2 \sim JS_{\langle ab \rangle}$ are elliptic curves.

(2). The family $\mathcal{F}_{3,1} = \mathcal{F}_{3,2}$ consisting of those Riemann surfaces S of genus five with G acting on them with signature $(0;4,4,4,4)$. This family consists of only one equisymmetric stratum and the action of G on each S extends to an action of the group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{D}_4 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$ presented as

$$\langle r, s, a, b : r^4, s^2, a^2, b^2, (sr)^2, arar^{-1}, (as)^2, brbr^{-1}, bsb(sra)^{-1}, bab(ar^2)^{-1} \rangle \quad (9.3)$$

with signature $(0;2,2,2,4)$. Moreover, up to finitely many exceptional surfaces, the full automorphism group of S is isomorphic to (9.3). With respect to the preceding presentation, G is isomorphic to $\langle x := ra, y := rb \rangle$. See also [1, Lemma 8].

The isotypical decomposition of JS with respect to G is

$$JS \sim E \times P'$$

where $E \sim JS_Z$ is an elliptic curve and $P' \sim \text{Prym}(S \rightarrow S_Z)$ an abelian fourfold. However, by considering group algebra decompositions of JS with respect to (9.3), the Jacobian decomposes further as

$$JS \sim E_1 \times E_2^4$$

where $E_1 \sim JS_{\langle r \rangle}$ and $E_2 \sim JS_{\langle s,a \rangle}$ are elliptic curves.

(3). The family $\mathcal{C}_{3,2}$ consisting of those Riemann surfaces S of genus four with G acting on them with signature $(0; 2, 4, 4, 4)$. This family consists of only one equisymmetric stratum and, up to finitely many exceptional surfaces, the full automorphisms group of S is isomorphic to G . See also [9, Lemma 3.1]. These surfaces are hyperelliptic and their isotypical decompositions are trivial.

10. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and let S be a Riemann surface lying in the family $\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}$. The two-fold regular covering map $\pi_Z : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by the action of $Z = \langle y^2 \rangle$ on S ramifies over $2^n + 2$ branch values. If we denote these values by

$$\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2^{n-2}}, \alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{2^{n-2}}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2^{n-2}}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2^{n-2}} \quad (10.1)$$

then S is isomorphic to the normalization of the singular affine algebraic curve

$$Y^2 = (X - \delta_1)(X - \delta_2) \prod_{j=1}^{2^{n-2}} (X - \alpha_j)(X - \alpha'_j)(X - \beta_j)(X - \gamma_j). \quad (10.2)$$

Note that

$$K = G/Z \cong \mathbb{D}_{2^{n-2}} = \langle r, s : r^{2^{n-2}}, s^2, (sr)^2 \rangle$$

acts on $S_Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ in such a way that the corresponding quotient is isomorphic to S_G . Without loss of generality, we can assume

$$r(z) = \omega z \quad \text{where } \omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2^{n-2}}\right).$$

The fact that the branch values (10.1) are setwise invariant under the action of r implies that we can assume that there exist nonzero complex numbers $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ different from 1 and satisfying that $\lambda_i \notin \{\lambda_l \omega^k : 1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-2}\}$ for each $i \neq l$, such that

$$\delta_1 = 0, \delta_2 = \infty, \alpha_j = \omega^j, \alpha'_j = \lambda_2 \omega^j, \beta_j = \lambda_3 \omega^j \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_j = \lambda_4 \omega^j \quad (10.3)$$

for each $j \in \{1, \dots, 2^{n-2}\}$. The covering map induced by $\langle r \rangle$ can be assumed to be $z \mapsto z^{2^{n-2}}$ and therefore the composed covering map $S \rightarrow S_Z \rightarrow (S_Z)_{\langle r \rangle}$ ramifies over ∞ and 0 marked with 2^{n-1} , and over

$$1, \lambda_2^{2^{n-2}}, \lambda_3^{2^{n-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_4^{2^{n-2}}$$

marked with 2. Note that s induces an involution \tilde{s} of $(S_Z)_{\langle r \rangle}$ in such a way that the corresponding quotient is isomorphic to S_G . Thus, \tilde{s} acts with two orbits of length two and with two fixed points. Without loss of generality, we can assume

$$\tilde{s}(z) = \lambda_2^{2^{n-2}} \frac{1}{z}$$

and therefore the orbits are $\{\infty, 0\}$, $\{1, \lambda_2^{2^{n-2}}\}$ and the fixed points are $\lambda_3^{2^{n-2}}$ and $\lambda_4^{2^{n-2}}$. It follows that

$$\lambda_2^{2^{n-2}} = \lambda_3^{2^{n-1}} = \lambda_4^{2^{n-1}} \quad \text{showing that} \quad \lambda_4^{2^{n-2}} = -\lambda_3^{2^{n-2}}.$$

If we let $t := \lambda_2^{2^{n-2}}$ then $\lambda_3^{2^{n-2}} = \sqrt{t}$ and $\lambda_4^{2^{n-2}} = -\sqrt{t}$. Note that $t \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$. Now, we replace (10.3) in (10.2) to obtain that S is isomorphic to the normalization of

$$Y^2 = X(X^{2^{n-2}} - 1)(X^{2^{n-2}} - t)(X^{2^{n-1}} - t) \quad (10.4)$$

as desired, where the factor $X - \delta_2$ has been disregarded since $\delta_2 = \infty$. Finally, if

$$\mathbf{x}(X, Y) = (\zeta^2 X, \zeta Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y}(X, Y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{X}, \eta t \frac{Y}{X^{2^{n-1}+1}} \right)$$

where $\zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{2^{n-1}})$, $\eta^2 = -\lambda$ and $\lambda^{2^{n-2}} = t$ then it is a routine computation to verify that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are automorphisms of (10.4) and generate a group isomorphic to $Q(2^n)$.

11. PROOF OF THEOREMS 7, 8 AND 9 AND PROPOSITION 3

Let S be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Consider the Jacobian variety $JS \cong \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda$ and its full (polarization-preserving) automorphism group $\text{Aut}(JS)$. Every automorphism of S induces a unique automorphism of JS . In fact

$$[\text{Aut}(JS) : \text{Aut}(S)] \in \{1, 2\}$$

according to whether or not S is hyperelliptic; moreover, in the latter case

$$\text{Aut}(JS)/\text{Aut}(S) = \{\pm \text{id}\}.$$

Once a symplectic basis of $\Lambda = H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is fixed, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(JS) \cong \Sigma_S := \{R \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) : R \cdot Z_S = Z_S\},$$

where $(I_g Z_S)$ is the period matrix of JS . Two different basis induce different but equivalent matrices Z_S and conjugate subgroups Σ_S . Thus, we obtain a well-defined analytic submanifold of \mathcal{H}_g given by

$$\mathcal{S}_S := \{Z \in \mathcal{H}_g : R \cdot Z = Z \text{ for all } R \in \Sigma_S\}$$

whose points are matrices representing principally polarized abelian varieties admitting an action equivalent to the one of $\text{Aut}(S)$ in the symplectic group. Observe that $-I_g \in \mathcal{S}_S$.

Following the results of §9, the family $\mathcal{F}_{3,0}$ consists of one stratum and, up to finitely many surfaces, the full automorphism group of its members is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle a, b, c : a^2, b^2, c^4, bc bc^3, ac ac^3, ab ac^2 b \rangle = (C_4 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$$

and acts on then with signature $(0; 2, 2, 2, 4)$. The action is represented by the ske

$$\theta = (a, b, abc^{-1}, c^{-1}).$$

We apply the results on adapted hyperbolic polygons, geometric generators and symplectic representations obtained in [2] as well as the algorithms programed in the same article to represent symplectically the group \mathcal{G} starting from the action θ . Explicitly, the correspondence

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad c \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines an isomorphism between \mathcal{G} and a subgroup $\Sigma_{3,0}$ of $\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{Z})$.

Now, if $Z \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ is symmetric and satisfy

$$R \cdot Z = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1} = Z \quad \text{for each } R = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma_{3,0}$$

then, after some computations, we obtain that Z equals

$$Z_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1+i+\frac{1-i}{2}t & 1-it & \frac{1+i}{2}t \\ 1-it & 1-(1+i)t & t \\ \frac{1+i}{2}t & t & 1+\frac{i-1}{2}t \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. If $\pi_3 : \mathcal{H}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_3$ is the canonical projection (see §2.4) then

$$\mathcal{Y}_3 := \pi_3(\{Z_t : t \in \mathbb{C}\} \cap \mathcal{H}_3) \subset \text{Sing}(\mathcal{A}_3)$$

is a complex one-dimensional family of principally polarized abelian threefolds whose members, by construction, admit an action of \mathcal{G} with rational representation $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Sigma_{3,0}$. Clearly, this family contains the image under the Torelli map of the family $\mathcal{F}_{3,0}$

The fact that all the members of \mathcal{Y}_3 share the same rational representation of \mathcal{G} implies that the analytic representation ρ_a of $G = \langle ca, ba \rangle \cong Q(8)$ on each member of \mathcal{Y}_3 is equivalent to the one of the members of $\mathcal{F}_{3,0}$. Thus, $\rho_a \simeq \chi_1 \oplus \Theta_1$.

The arguments employed to prove Proposition 1 together with the facts discussed in §9 show that the members of \mathcal{Y}_3 decompose isogenously as the product of an elliptic curve and an abelian surface. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

To prove Theorem 8 we proceed analogously, but taking into account that the full automorphism group of a generic member of the family $\mathcal{F}_{3,1} = \mathcal{F}_{3,2}$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{G}' = \langle r, s, a, b : r^4, s^2, a^2, b^2, (sr)^2, arar^{-1}, (as)^2, brbr^{-1}, bsb(sra)^{-1}, bab(ar^2)^{-1} \rangle$$

and that the image $\Sigma_{3,1} \leq \text{Sp}(10, \mathbb{Z})$ of its symplectic representation is generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -3 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 5 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 5 & 2 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 6 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -6 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 1 & 0 & -5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To guarantee the existence of the family \mathcal{Y}_4 and to prove the statements of Theorem 9 we also proceed as in the preceding cases. Since we are able to solve the corresponding system of equations $R \cdot Z = Z$ (it requires solving a system of several fairly complicated quadratic equations in ten variables, even more complicated than in the apparently more challenging case of \mathcal{Y}_5), we are not in position to provide an explicit description of the corresponding period matrices and, consequently, we cannot deduce from that its dimension. However, the complex dimension of the family \mathcal{Y}_4 equals 1, as it was computed in [10] (the family \mathcal{Y}_4 is labeled there as the case (36) in Table 1).

Finally, we apply again the results of [2] to determine a symplectic representation of

$$\text{Aut}(X_4) \cong \text{QD}_{16} = \langle u, v : u^{16}, v^2, vuvu^{-7} \rangle$$

according to its action on X_4 . The image Σ_0 of this representation $QID_{16} \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ is generated by the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, after some computations, one sees that the equations

$$A \cdot Z = B \cdot Z = Z = Z^t, \quad Z \in GL(4, \mathbb{C})$$

admit a unique solution Z_0 in \mathcal{H}_4 . In other words, there exists a unique principally polarized abelian fourfold A endowed with an action of QID_{16} with rational representation equivalent to $QID_{16} \rightarrow \Sigma_0$. Clearly, $A = JX_4$ and Z_0 is its period matrix; this matrix is the one given in the statement of Proposition 3 and the proof is done.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. BARTOLINI, A. F. COSTA, AND M. IZQUIERDO, *On the orbifold structure of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genera four and five*. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **108** (2014), no. 2, 769–793.
- [2] A. BEHN, R. E. RODRÍGUEZ AND A. M. ROJAS, *Adapted hyperbolic polygons and symplectic representations for group actions on Riemann surfaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), no. 3, 409–426.
- [3] S. A. BROUGHTON, *Classifying finite groups actions on surfaces of low genus*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **69** (1990), no. 3, 233–270.
- [4] S. A. BROUGHTON, *The equisymmetric stratification of the moduli space and the Krull dimension of mapping class groups*, Topology Appl. **37** (1990), no. 2, 101–113.
- [5] E. BUJALANCE, J. J. ETAYO AND E. MARTINEZ, *Automorphisms groups of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces*, Kodai Math. J. **10** (1987) 174–181.
- [6] A. CAROCCA, S. RECILLAS AND R. E. RODRÍGUEZ, *Dihedral groups acting on Jacobians*, Contemp. Math. **311** (2011), 41–77.
- [7] A. CAROCCA AND R. E. RODRÍGUEZ, *Hecke algebras acting on abelian varieties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), no. 9, 2626–2647.
- [8] A. CAROCCA AND R. E. RODRÍGUEZ, *Jacobians with group actions and rational idempotents*. J. Algebra **306** (2006), no. 2, 322–343.
- [9] A. F. COSTA AND M. IZQUIERDO, *Equisymmetric strata of the singular locus of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus 4*, Geometry of Riemann surfaces, 120–138, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 368, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [10] P. FREDIANI, A. GHIGI AND M. PENEGINI, *Shimura varieties in the Torelli locus via Galois coverings*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **20** (2015) 10595–10623.
- [11] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Esquisse d'un Programme*. In Geometric Galois Actions 1, Around Grothendieck's Esquisse d'un Programme. ed. Schneps, L. and Lochak, P., London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **242** (1997), 5–48.
- [12] J. HARVEY, *On branch loci in Teichmüller space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 387–399.
- [13] R. A. HIDALGO, L. JIMÉNEZ, S. QUISPE AND S. REYES-CAROCCA, *Quasiplatonic curves with symmetry group $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_m$ are definable over \mathbb{Q}* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **49** (2017) 165–183.
- [14] R. A. HIDALGO AND S. QUISPE, *Regular dessins d'enfants with dicyclic group of automorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **224** no. 5 (2020).
- [15] S. KALLEL AND D. SJERVE, *Genus zero actions on Riemann surfaces*, Kyushu J. Math. **55** (2001), no. 1, 141–164.

- [16] H. LANGE AND S. RECILLAS, *Abelian varieties with group action*. J. reine angew. Math. **575** (2004), 135–155.
- [17] F. OORT, *Singularities of coarse moduli schemes*, Sém. Dubriel **16** (1976).
- [18] J. PAULHUS AND A. M. ROJAS, *Completely decomposable Jacobian varieties in new genera*, Experimental Mathematics **26** (2017), no. 4, 430–445.
- [19] S. REYES-CAROCCA, *On the one-dimensional family of Riemann surfaces of genus q with $4q$ automorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **223**, no. 5 (2019), 2123–2144.
- [20] S. REYES-CAROCCA AND R. E. RODRÍGUEZ, *A generalisation of Kani-Rosen decomposition theorem for Jacobian varieties*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **19** (2019), no. 2, 705–722.
- [21] S. REYES-CAROCCA AND R. E. RODRÍGUEZ, *On Jacobians with group action and coverings*, Math. Z. (2020) **294**, 209–227.
- [22] J. RIES, *The Prym variety for a cyclic unramified cover of a hyperelliptic curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **340** (1983) 59–69.
- [23] A. M. ROJAS, *Group actions on Jacobian varieties*, Rev. Mat. Iber. **23** (2007), no. 2, 397–420.
- [24] A. SÁNCHEZ-ARGÁEZ, *Actions of the group A_5 in Jacobian varieties*, Aportaciones Mat. Comun. **25**, Soc. Mat. Mexicana, México (1999), 99–108.
- [25] J-P. SERRE, *Linear Representations of finite groups*, Graduate text in Mathematics **42**, 1996.
- [26] D. SINGERMAN, *Finitely maximal Fuchsian groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **6**, (1972), 29–38.
- [27] D. SINGERMAN, *Subgroups of Fuchsian groups and finite permutation groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **2**, (1970), 319–323.
- [28] J. WOLFART, *Triangle groups and Jacobians of CM type*. Frankfurt a.M., 2000. www.math.uni-frankfurt.de/~wolfart/Artikel/jac.pdf

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y ESTADÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA FRONTERA, AVENIDA FRANCISCO SALAZAR 01145, TEMUCO, CHILE.

Email address: `angel.carocca@ufrontera.cl`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y ESTADÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA FRONTERA, AVENIDA FRANCISCO SALAZAR 01145, TEMUCO, CHILE.

Email address: `sebastian.reyes@ufrontera.cl`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y ESTADÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA FRONTERA, AVENIDA FRANCISCO SALAZAR 01145, TEMUCO, CHILE.

Email address: `rubi.rodriguez@ufrontera.cl`