

THE ÉTALE OPEN TOPOLOGY OVER THE FRACTION FIELD OF A HENSELIAN LOCAL DOMAIN

WILL JOHNSON, ERIK WALSBURG, JINHE YE

ABSTRACT. Suppose that R is a local domain with fraction field K . If R is Henselian then the R -adic topology over K refines the étale open topology. If R is regular then the étale open topology over K refines the R -adic topology. In particular the étale open topology over $L((t_1, \dots, t_n))$ agrees with the $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ -adic topology for any field L and $n \geq 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, K and L are fields, all rings are commutative with unit, the “dimension” of a ring is the Krull dimension, and by convention a “local ring” is not a field. The étale open topology (or \mathcal{E}_K -topology) on the K -points $V(K)$ of a K -variety V is introduced in [JTWY]; see Section 1.1 for definitions. Fact 1.1, proven in [JTWY], describes what we have established so far concerning the relationship between the étale open and other topologies.

Fact 1.1. *Suppose that V is a K -variety and v is a non-trivial valuation on K .*

- (1) *The \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ refines the Zariski topology.*
- (2) *If K is separably closed then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ agrees with the Zariski topology.*
- (3) *If $<$ is a field order on K then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ refines the $<$ -topology.*
- (4) *If K is real closed then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ agrees with the order topology.*
- (5) *If the Henselization of (K, v) is not separably closed then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ refines the v -topology. (Hence if the value group of v is not divisible or the residue field of v is not algebraically closed then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ refines the v -topology.)*
- (6) *If K is not separably closed and v is Henselian then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ agrees with the v -topology.*

Fact 1.1.6 shows that the \mathcal{E}_K -topology over $L((t))$ agrees with the valuation topology. We give a generalization to the fraction field $L((t_1, \dots, t_n))$ of $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$. Let R be the valuation ring $\{a \in K : v(a) \geq 0\}$ of v . Then R is a local ring and (by definition) v is Henselian if and only if R is Henselian. It is natural to ask if Fact 1.1 generalizes to fraction fields of Henselian local domains such as $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$. If R is a local domain with fraction field K then $(\alpha R + \beta : \alpha \in K^\times, \beta \in K)$ is a basis for a non-discrete Hausdorff field topology [PZ78, Theorem 2.2] on K which we refer to as the **R -adic topology**. It is easy to see that the R -adic topology on R agrees with the union of the I -adic topologies, I ranging over non-zero ideals in R . When R is a valuation ring the R -adic topology is the valuation topology.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that R is a local domain with fraction field K and V is a K -variety.*

- (1) *If R is Henselian then the R -adic topology on $V(K)$ refines the \mathcal{E}_K -topology.*
- (2) *If R is regular then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ refines the R -adic topology.*

Hence the étale open topology over $L((t_1, \dots, t_n))$ agrees with the $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ -adic topology.

See Section 1.1 for the definition of regularity. Examples of regular Henselian local rings are $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ and the algebraic closure of $L[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ in $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ for any field L , and the ring $L\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ of convergent powers series in n variables for L a local field.

A one-dimensional regular local ring is a discrete valuation ring [Eis, 11.1], so the one-dimensional case of Theorem 1.2 follows from Fact 1.1.5. If R is a Noetherian local domain of dimension at least two then the R -adic topology is not induced by a valuation (see Proposition 2.1) so Theorem 1.2 does not follow from Fact 1.1. The étale open topology on $K = \mathbb{A}^1(K)$ is non-discrete if and only if K is large [JTWY]. Thus the first claim of Theorem 1.2 can be seen as a topological refinement of the fact, proven in [Pop10], that fraction fields of Henselian local domains are large. (See [Pop, BSF14] for an account of largeness.)

Both refinements in Theorem 1.2 can be strict. For example the localization of $L[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ at the ideal generated by t_1, \dots, t_n is a regular local ring R with non-large fraction field $L(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, so the étale open topology over $L(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is discrete and hence strictly refines the R -adic topology. Theorem 1.3 shows that the other refinement may also be strict.

Theorem 1.3. *Fix a prime p . There is a subring E of \mathbb{Z}_p such that:*

- (1) *E is a one-dimensional Noetherian Henselian local domain,*
- (2) *\mathbb{Q}_p is the fraction field of E , and*
- (3) *the E -adic topology on \mathbb{Q}_p strictly refines the p -adic topology.*

By Fact 1.1.6 the étale open topology over \mathbb{Q}_p agrees with the p -adic topology, hence the E -adic topology on \mathbb{Q}_p strictly refines the étale open topology. Hence some assumption like regularity is needed in Theorem 1.2.2. Possibly regularity can be replaced with a weaker assumption on R , such as excellence or $\dim R \geq 2$. We leave this question for future work. (E has non-reduced completion and is hence not excellent; see Remark 5.10 below.)

We now discuss an application to definable sets. “Definable” means “first order definable in the language of rings, possibly with parameters” and a field is *Henselian* if it admits a non-trivial Henselian valuation. It is a well-known and important fact that definable sets in characteristic zero Henselian fields are well behaved with respect to the valuation. In particular if K is a characteristic zero Henselian field then every definable subset of K^n is a finite union of valuation open subsets of Zariski closed subsets of K^n [vdD89], note that this applies to $L((t))$. Definable sets in fraction fields of characteristic zero Henselian local domains need not be well behaved. Suppose $\text{Char}(L) = 0$ and $n \geq 2$. Jensen and Lenzig [JL89, Theorem 3.34] showed that $L((t_1, \dots, t_n))$ defines $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$, Becker and Lipschitz [BL80] showed that $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ defines \mathbb{N} , Delon [Del81] showed that $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ uniformly defines all subsets of \mathbb{N} , hence $L((t_1, \dots, t_n))$ defines the standard model of second order arithmetic. However we can still say something about existentially definable sets.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that R is a Henselian local domain with fraction field K , K is perfect, and $X \subseteq K^n$ is existentially definable. Then there are pairwise disjoint irreducible smooth subvarieties V_1, \dots, V_k of \mathbb{A}^n and O_1, \dots, O_k such that each O_i is a definable R -adically open subset of $V_i(K)$ and $X = O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_k$.*

Corollary 1.4 follows directly from Theorem 1.2.1, and the theorem, proven in [WY21], that if K is perfect then every existentially definable subset of K^n is a finite union of definable \mathcal{E}_K -open subsets of Zariski closed subsets of K^n .

Acknowledgements. Thanks to Marcus Tressl for encouraging us to write this down.

1.1. Conventions and background. A K -variety is a separated K -scheme of finite type, n is a natural number, \mathbb{A}^n is n -dimensional affine space over K , and \mathbb{G}_m is the scheme-theoretic multiplicative group over K , i.e. $\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec } K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } K[y, y^{-1}]$. We let $V(K)$ be the set of K -points of a K -variety V . Recall that $\mathbb{A}^n(K) = K^n$ and $\mathbb{G}_m(K) = K^\times$. We let $\text{Frac}(R)$ be the fraction field of a domain R .

Suppose that R is a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then R is **Henselian** if for any $g \in R[x]$ and $\alpha \in R$ such that $g(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $g'(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ there is $\alpha^* \in R$ such that $g(\alpha^*) = 0$ and $\alpha^* \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Furthermore R is **regular** if R is Noetherian and \mathfrak{m} admits a d -element generating set, where $d = \dim R$.

We briefly recall the étale open topology. Suppose that V is a K -variety. An **étale image** in $V(K)$ is a set of the form $f(X(K))$ for an étale morphism $f: X \rightarrow V$ of K -varieties. The collection of étale images in $V(K)$ forms a basis for the étale open topology on $V(K)$. Fact 1.5 below gathers some basic facts on the étale open topology from [JTWY].

Fact 1.5. *Suppose that $V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of K -varieties. Then:*

- (1) *the induced map $V(K) \rightarrow W(K)$ is \mathcal{E}_K -continuous.*
- (2) *if $V \rightarrow W$ is étale then the induced map $V(K) \rightarrow W(K)$ is \mathcal{E}_K -open.*
- (3) *the map $K \rightarrow K$, $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta$ is an \mathcal{E}_K -homeomorphism for any $\alpha \in K^\times, \beta \in K$.*
- (4) *if n is prime to $\text{Char}(K)$ then $\{\alpha^n : \alpha \in K^\times\}$ is an étale open subset of K .*

We will need Fact 1.6 below, proven in [JTWY].

Fact 1.6. *Suppose that \mathcal{T} is a Hausdorff field topology on K . If the \mathcal{T} -topology on each $K^n = \mathbb{A}^n(K)$ refines the \mathcal{E}_K -topology, then the \mathcal{T} -topology on $V(K)$ refines the \mathcal{E}_K -topology for any K -variety V . If the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on K refines \mathcal{T} , then the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ refines the \mathcal{T} -topology for any K -variety V .*

We finally prove a general lemma.

Lemma 1.7. *Suppose R is a local domain and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The \mathcal{E}_K -topology on $V(K)$ refines the R -adic topology for any K -variety V .*
- (2) *The \mathcal{E}_K -topology on K refines the R -adic topology.*
- (3) *R is an \mathcal{E}_K -open subset of K .*
- (4) *R contains a nonempty \mathcal{E}_K -open subset of K .*

If K is additionally large and perfect then (1)-(4) is equivalent to the following:

- (5) *R contains an infinite set which is existentially definable in K .*
- (6) *There is a morphism $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ of K -varieties such that $f(V(K))$ is infinite and contained in R .*

We will only use the equivalence of (1)-(4) at present. If K is not large then the étale open topology is discrete and hence trivially refines the R -adic topology.

Proof. Fact 1.6 shows that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). It is clear that (2) \Rightarrow (3). The definition of the R -adic topology and Fact 1.5.3 together show that (3) \Rightarrow (2). The equivalence of (3) and (4) follows by Fact 1.5.3 as R is an additive subgroup of K . Suppose that K is large and perfect. By [JTWY] the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on K is not discrete, so any nonempty étale image in

K is infinite. If (4) holds then R contains a nonempty étale image, (6) follows immediately and (5) follows as étale images are existentially definable. (6) implies (5) as $f(V(K))$ is existentially definable. Finally, an infinite existentially definable subset of K has nonempty \mathcal{E}_K -interior [WY21], hence (5) implies (4). \square

2. R -ADIC TOPOLOGIES AND V -TOPOLOGIES

Let \mathcal{T} be a Hausdorff field topology on K . Then \mathcal{T} is a **V-topology** if for every neighbourhood O of 0 there is $a \in K$ such that for every $b \in K$ either $b \in O$ or $a/b \in O$, and \mathcal{T} is a V -topology if and only if \mathcal{T} is induced by a valuation or absolute value on K [EP05, Theorem B.1].

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that R is an n -dimensional Noetherian local domain with fraction field K . If $n \geq 2$ then the R -adic topology on K is not a V -topology. Hence if R is in addition regular then the R -adic topology is a V -topology if and only if $n = 1$. In particular, the $L[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ -adic topology on $L((t_1, \dots, t_n))$ is a V -topology if and only if $n = 1$.*

A Noetherian local ring has finite Krull dimension [Eis, 8.2.2], so our use of “ n ” is justified.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that R is a local domain, K is the fraction field of R , $v, v^*: K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are homomorphisms such that v, v^* are both non-negative on $R \setminus \{0\}$, and some $\beta \in K^\times$ satisfies $v(\beta) < 0 < v^*(\beta)$. Then the R -adic topology on K is not a V -topology.*

Before proving Lemma 2.2, we use it to show that the $L[[t, t^*]]$ -adic topology on $L((t, t^*))$ is not a V -topology. Let v be the t -adic valuation and v^* be the t^* -adic valuation on $L((t, t^*))$. Set $\beta = t^*/t$. Then v, v^* are both non-negative on $L[[t, t^*]]$ and $v(\beta) < 0 < v^*(\beta)$.

Proof (of Lemma 2.2). Suppose otherwise. Fix $c \in K$ such that for any $a \in K$, either a or c/a is in R . For every $n \geq 1$ we have $v(\beta^n) < 0$, and if n is sufficiently large then $v^*(c/\beta^n) = v^*(c) - nv^*(\beta) < 0$. Thus there is n such that $\beta^n, c/\beta^n \notin R$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose R is a Noetherian domain with dimension ≥ 2 . Then R contains infinitely many distinct prime ideals of height one.*

Proof. Assume otherwise. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be all the primes of height 1 and let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of R of height ≥ 2 . By prime avoidance, $\mathfrak{m} \not\subseteq \bigcup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$. Take $a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \bigcup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$. Let \mathfrak{p}^* be a minimal prime ideal containing a . By Krull’s principal ideal theorem [Eis, Theorem 10.1], \mathfrak{p}^* has height one. But $\mathfrak{p}^* \neq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, a contradiction. \square

We now prove Proposition 2.1. We let $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the localization of a ring R at a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. The second claim follows from the first as a one-dimensional regular local ring is a DVR. We prove the first claim. Applying Lemma 2.3 fix height one prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}^*$ in R . Then $R_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}$ are one-dimensional Noetherian domains. Let $v: R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be given by declaring $v(a)$ to be the length of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/aR_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then v extends to a map $K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by declaring $v(a/b) = v(a) - v(b)$. We likewise define $v^*: K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ using \mathfrak{p}^* . By [Ful98, Definition A.3] v, v^* are well-defined homomorphisms. Note that v, v^* are non-negative on R as the length is non-negative. As $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}^*$ are distinct height one ideals neither is contained in the other. Fix $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^*, \alpha^* \in \mathfrak{p}^* \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and set $\beta = \alpha^*/\alpha$. Note that $v(\beta) < 0 < v^*(\beta)$. Apply Lemma 2.2. \square

The valuational approach to $L((t, t^*))$ generalizes to the regular case. Suppose that R is a regular local ring of dimension ≥ 2 . Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}^*$ be distinct prime ideals of R . A localization of a regular ring is regular [Eis, Corollary 19.14] so $R_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}$ are one-dimensional regular local rings, hence DVR’s. Note that the induced discrete valuations on K satisfy Lemma 2.2.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.1

In this section we suppose that R is a Henselian local domain with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and fraction field K . We will need the following variant of Hensel's lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $g \in R[x]$ and $\alpha \in R$ satisfy $g'(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $g(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{g'(\alpha)^2 \mathfrak{m}}$. Then there is $\alpha^* \in R$ such that $g(\alpha^*) = 0$ and $\alpha^* - \alpha \in (g(\alpha)/g'(\alpha))R$.*

We let $\bar{a} \in R/\mathfrak{m}$, $\bar{p}(y) \in (R/\mathfrak{m})[y]$ be the reduction mod \mathfrak{m} of an $a \in R$, $p \in R[y]$, respectively.

Proof. After possibly replacing $g(x)$ with $g(x + \alpha)$ we suppose $\alpha = 0$. Fix $c_0, \dots, c_m \in R$ with $g(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_nx^n$. Then $c_1 = g'(0) \neq 0$ and $c_0/c_1^2 = g(\alpha)/g'(\alpha)^2 \in \mathfrak{m}$. Let $p \in R[x]$ be given by

$$p(x) = x + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i c_1^{i-2} \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1^2} \right)^{i-1} (x-1)^i.$$

As $c_0/c_1^2 \in \mathfrak{m}$ we have $\bar{p}(x) = x$. Hence $\bar{p}(0) = 0$ and $\bar{p}'(0) = 1$. As R is Henselian there is $\beta \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $p(\beta) = 0$. Then

$$0 = p(\beta) = \beta + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i c_1^{i-2} \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1^2} \right)^{i-1} (\beta-1)^i = 1 + (\beta-1) + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1^2} \right)^{i-1} (\beta-1)^i.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_0 p(\beta) = c_0 + c_0(\beta-1) + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1} \right)^i (\beta-1)^i \\ &= c_0 + c_1 \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1} \right) (\beta-1) + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1} \right)^i (\beta-1)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1} \right)^i (\beta-1)^i \end{aligned}$$

Set $\alpha^* = (c_0/c_1)(\beta-1)$. Then $\alpha^* \in (c_0/c_1)R$ as $\beta \in R$. Note that $g(\alpha^*) = 0$. □

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.2.1. We assume some familiarity with the notion of a standard étale morphism; see for example [Poo17, Definition 3.5.38]. Given an affine K -variety V , a **standard étale image** in $V(K)$ is a set of the form $f(X(K))$ for a standard étale morphism $f: X \rightarrow V$ of K -varieties. If V is affine, then every étale image is a union of standard étale images. This holds because for any étale morphism $f: X \rightarrow V$, we can cover X by affine opens $U \subseteq X$ such that $U \rightarrow V$ is standard étale [Sta20, Lemma 02GT].

We show that the R -adic topology on $V(K)$ refines the \mathcal{E}_K -topology for any K -variety V . By Fact 1.6 it suffices to fix n and show that the R -adic topology on K^n refines the \mathcal{E}_K -topology. It suffices to fix an \mathcal{E}_K -open neighbourhood $O \subseteq K^n$ of the origin and show that 0 lies in the R -adic interior of O . We may suppose that O is a standard étale image. By the definition of a standard étale morphism there are $f, g \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]$ such that:

- (1) $O = \{\alpha \in K^n : (\exists \beta \in K) f(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \neq g(\alpha, \beta)\}$, and
- (2) $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in K^n \times K$ such that $f(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \neq g(\alpha, \beta)$.

Fix $b \in K$ such that $f(0, b) = 0$. Replacing y with $y + b$, we may suppose $b = 0$, so that $f(0, 0) = 0 \neq g(0, 0)$. After clearing denominators we may suppose $f \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]$. This implies that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, hence $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) \in R$. Because $g(a, b)$ is R -adically continuous and $g(0, 0) \neq 0$, there is some R -adic neighborhood U of 0 in $K^n \times K$ such that $g(a, b) \neq 0$ whenever $(a, b) \in U^n \times U$. Because R is R -adically bounded, there is an R -adic neighborhood U^* such that $U^* \cdot R \subseteq U$. For each $a \in K^n$ we let $f_a \in K[y]$ be given by $f_a(y) = f(a, y)$. Note that $f'_a(y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a, y)$. Thus $f_0 \in R[y]$, $f_0(0) = 0$, and $f'_0(0) \neq 0$. By continuity there is an R -adic neighbourhood U' of 0 in K^n such that $U' \subseteq U^n$ and if $a \in U'$ then:

- (1) $f_a \in R[y]$,
- (2) $f'_a(0) \neq 0$,
- (3) $f_a(0)/f'_a(0)^2 \in \mathfrak{m}$,
- (4) $f_a(0)/f'_a(0) \in U^*$.

We show that $U' \subseteq O$. Fix $\alpha \in U'$. Then we have $f'_\alpha(0) \neq 0$ and $f'_\alpha(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{f'_\alpha(0)^2 \mathfrak{m}}$. By Lemma 3.1 there is $\beta \in (f_\alpha(0)/f'_\alpha(0))R$ such that $f_\alpha(\beta) = 0$. By (4) we have $\beta \in U^* \cdot R$. Hence $\beta \in U$, so $(\alpha, \beta) \in U^n \times U$, and $g(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$. Note $f(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, so β witnesses $\alpha \in O$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.2

In this section we suppose that R is a regular local ring with fraction field K . We first prove several algebraic lemmas. Lemma 4.1 is presumably unoriginal.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that S is the Henselization of R . Then $S \cap K = R$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $S \cap K \subseteq R$. Suppose $\beta \in S \cap K$; we may suppose $\beta \neq 0$. Fix $\alpha, \alpha^* \in R$ such that $\beta = \alpha/\alpha^*$. We may assume that α, α^* have no common prime factors in R as a regular local ring is a UFD [Eis, Theorem 19.19]. Let $I = \{r \in R : \beta r \in R\}$ and $I' = \beta I$. Both I and I' are ideals of R , with $\alpha^* \in I$ and $\alpha \in I'$. Note that $I'S \subseteq IS$ as $\beta \in S$. By faithful flatness of S over R [Sta20, Lemma 07QM], we have $I' = I'S \cap R \subseteq I = IS \cap R$. Then $\alpha \in I'$ implies $\alpha \in I$, so $\beta\alpha \in R$. Note that $\alpha^*(\beta\alpha) = \alpha^2$, so α^* divides α^2 in R . As α, α^* have no common prime factors, α^* must be invertible. Hence $\beta \in R$. \square

Fact 4.2 is from [JL89, pg 52, 55].

Fact 4.2. *Suppose that R is in addition Henselian and $\dim R \geq 2$.*

- (1) *If $\text{Char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) \neq 2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K$ satisfy $1 + \alpha^4 = \beta^2$ then $\alpha \in R$ or $1/\alpha \in R$.*
- (2) *If $\text{Char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K$ satisfy $1 + \alpha^3 = \beta^3$ then $\alpha \in R$ or $1/\alpha \in R$.*

We now remove the assumption of Henselianity from Fact 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $\dim R \geq 2$.*

- (1) *If $\text{Char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) \neq 2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K$ satisfy $1 + \alpha^4 = \beta^2$ then $\alpha \in R$ or $1/\alpha \in R$.*
- (2) *If $\text{Char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K$ satisfy $1 + \alpha^3 = \beta^3$ then $\alpha \in R$ or $1/\alpha \in R$.*

Proof. We treat (1); (2) follows in the same manner. Suppose that $\text{Char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) \neq 2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K$ satisfy $1 + \alpha^4 = \beta^2$. Let S be the Henselization of R . Then S is a regular local ring of the same dimension as R by [Sta20, Lemmas 06LJ, 06LK, 06LN]. The maximal ideal of S is $\mathfrak{m}S$ and $S/\mathfrak{m}S = R/\mathfrak{m}$ [Sta20, Lemma 07QM]. Hence $\text{Char}(S/\mathfrak{m}S) \neq 2$. Thus by Fact 4.2 $\alpha \in S$ or $1/\alpha \in S$. An application of Lemma 4.1 shows that $\alpha \in R$ or $1/\alpha \in R$. \square

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.2.2. If R is one dimensional then K is a discretely valued field; this case follows by Fact 1.1.6. Hence we suppose that $\dim R \geq 2$. By Lemma 1.7 it is enough to produce an \mathcal{E}_K -neighbourhood of 0 contained in R . First suppose $\text{Char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) \neq 2$. This implies that $\text{Char}(K) \neq 2$. Let S be $\{b^2 : b \in K^\times\}$, $f: K \rightarrow K$ be given by $f(a) = 1 + a^4$, and $\Omega = f^{-1}(S)$. Note $0 \in \Omega$. By Fact 1.5, S and Ω are \mathcal{E}_K -open sets. By Lemma 4.3, $\Omega \subseteq R \cup R^{-1}$. Fix a height one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . The localization $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular local ring of dimension 1, hence a discrete valuation ring. Let $v: K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the associated valuation. By Fact 1.1.5, the \mathcal{E}_K -topology on K refines the v -topology. Therefore the valuation ideal $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{a \in K : v(a) > 0\}$ is \mathcal{E}_K -open. The intersection $\Omega \cap \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an \mathcal{E}_K -open neighborhood of 0. We show that $\Omega \cap \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R$. Suppose $a \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As $a \in \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we have $v(a) > 0$, hence $v(a^{-1}) < 0$, hence $a^{-1} \notin R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so $a^{-1} \notin R$. As $a \in \Omega$, we have $a \in R$.

The case when $\text{Char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ is similar, using $S = \{b^3 : b \in K^\times\}$ and $f(a) = 1 + a^3$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Fix a prime p . We let $\text{res}: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be the residue map and $v: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the p -adic valuation. Let ∂ be a fixed \mathbb{Q} -linear derivation $\mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ that is not identically zero. We can construct ∂ in the following fashion: Fix $t \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , let ∂^* be the unique \mathbb{Q} -linear derivation $\mathbb{Q}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(t)$ such that $\partial^*t = 1$, and ∂ be a \mathbb{Q} -linear derivation $\mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ extending ∂^* . Such extensions exist by [Wei46, Chapter 1 §5]. Note that \mathbb{Q} -linearity implies that $\partial r = 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We declare E to be $\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p : \partial a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$. It is easy to see that E is a subring of \mathbb{Z}_p . In this section we show that E is a one-dimensional Noetherian Henselian local ring, \mathbb{Q}_p is the fraction field of E , and the E -adic topology on \mathbb{Q}_p strictly refines the p -adic topology. We also show that E has non-reduced completion, so E is not excellent, hence not regular.

Lemma 5.1. *The graph $\{(a, \partial a) : a \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}$ of ∂ is p -adically dense in \mathbb{Q}_p^2 .*

Proof. Fix $t \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ with $\partial t \neq 0$. Recall that \mathbb{Q}^2 is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p^2 . Let $f: \mathbb{Q}_p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^2$ be the affine transformation $f(x, y) = (x + yt, (\partial t)y)$. Then f is invertible as $\partial t \neq 0$, hence $f(\mathbb{Q}^2)$ is p -adically dense in \mathbb{Q}_p^2 . We have $\partial(a + bt) = \partial(a) + b\partial(t) = b\partial(t)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, hence

$$f(\mathbb{Q}^2) = \{(a + bt, \partial(a + bt)) : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq \{(c, \partial c) : c \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.2. *The fraction field of E is \mathbb{Q}_p .*

Proof. It is enough to show that \mathbb{Z}_p is contained in the fraction field of E . Fix $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We produce $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $b, ab \in E$. Take $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma + v(\partial a) \geq 0$. By Lemma 5.1 there is $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying $v(b) = v(\partial b) = \gamma$; in particular $b \neq 0$. Then $b, \partial b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ as $\gamma \geq 0$, hence $b \in E$. As $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $ab \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, so it remains to show that $\partial(ab) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\partial(b)a) &= v(\partial b) + v(a) = \gamma + v(a) \geq 0 \\ v(\partial(a)b) &= v(\partial a) + v(b) = v(\partial a) + \gamma \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a\partial(b), b\partial(a) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\partial(ab) = a\partial(b) + b\partial(a)$ is in \mathbb{Z}_p . □

Lemma 5.3. *E is a local ring with maximal ideal $E \cap p\mathbb{Z}_p = \{a \in E : \text{res}(a) = 0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} = E \cap p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then \mathfrak{m} is a proper ideal of E . It suffices to fix $a \in E \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ and show that a is invertible in E . We have $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\text{res}(a) \neq 0$, hence $v(a) = v(a^{-1})$. Then

$$v(\partial(a^{-1})) = v(-\partial(a)/a^2) = v(\partial a) - 2v(a) = v(\partial a) \geq 0.$$

Therefore $a^{-1}, \partial(a^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, hence $a^{-1} \in E$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that R, R^* are local domains with the same fraction field K . Then the R -adic topology on K refines the R^* -adic topology if and only if R^* is R -adically open. If $R \subseteq R^*$ then the R -adic topology refines the R^* -adic topology.*

Proof. The first claim is easy and left to the reader. Suppose $R \subseteq R^*$. Then R is an additive subgroup of R^* , hence $R^* = \bigcup_{a \in R^*} (a + R)$, hence R^* is R -adically open. \square

Proposition 5.5. *The E -adic topology on \mathbb{Q}_p strictly refines the p -adic topology.*

Proof. We have $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$, so the E -adic topology refines the p -adic topology by Lemma 5.4. We show that E is not open in the p -adic topology. Suppose O is a p -adically open subset of \mathbb{Q}_p . By Lemma 5.1 there is $a \in O$ such that $\partial a \in \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $a \notin E$, hence $O \not\subseteq E$. \square

Proposition 5.6. *E is Henselian.*

Given $g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$, $g(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_dx^d$ we let $\partial g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ be $\partial(a_0) + \partial(a_1)x + \dots + \partial(a_d)x^d$. Note that if $g \in E[x]$ then $\partial g \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. As above we let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of E .

Proof. Fix $g \in E[x]$ and $a \in E$ such that $g(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $g'(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. We will produce $a^* \in E$ such that $g(a^*) = 0$ and $a^* \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. As $\mathfrak{m} = E \cap p\mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $g(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $g'(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. As \mathbb{Z}_p is Henselian there is $a^* \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $g(a^*) = 0$ and $a^* \equiv a \pmod{p}$. We claim that $a^* \in E$; as $\mathfrak{m} = E \cap p\mathbb{Z}_p$ this yields $a^* \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$, so E is Henselian. It is enough to show that $\partial a^* \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have

$$0 = \partial(0) = \partial(g(a^*)) = (\partial g)(a^*) + g'(a^*)\partial(a^*).$$

hence

$$\partial(a^*) = \frac{(\partial g)(a^*)}{-g'(a^*)}.$$

As $g \in E[x]$, we have $\partial g \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, hence $(\partial g)(a^*) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. As $a^* \equiv a \pmod{p}$ we have $g'(a^*) \equiv g'(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so $g'(a^*) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Therefore $\partial a^* \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. \square

It remains to show that E is one-dimensional Noetherian. We first prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose $a, a', a'' \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $v(a) \leq \min\{v(a'), v(a'')\}$ and $v(\partial(a'/a)) \leq v(\partial(a''/a))$. Then $a'' \in aE + a'E$.*

Proof. We may assume $a'' \neq 0$. Then $v(a) \leq v(a'') < \infty$, so $a \neq 0$. After replacing a, a', a'' by $a/a, a'/a, a''/a$, we may suppose $a = 1$. Then $0 = v(a) \leq \min\{v(a'), v(a'')\}$, so $a', a'' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Additionally, $v(\partial a') \leq v(\partial a'')$. This yields $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\partial(a'') = b\partial(a')$. By continuity of multiplication there is a p -adically open neighbourhood $U \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ of b such that if $b^* \in U$ then $\partial(a'') - b^*\partial(a') \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Lemma 5.1 there is $b^* \in U$ such that $\partial(b^*) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $b^* \in E$. Declare $c = a'' - b^*a'$. Then $a'' = c + b^*a'$. We claim that $c \in E$, so that $a'' \in E + a'E$. We have $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, as $a', a'', b^* \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have

$$\partial(c) = \partial(a'') - \partial(b^*a') = \partial(a'') - b^*\partial(a') - a'\partial(b^*).$$

Note that $\partial(a'') - b^*\partial(a')$ and $a'\partial(b^*)$ are both in \mathbb{Z}_p . Hence $\partial c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $c \in E$. \square

The fact that E is one-dimensional Noetherian follows from Fact 5.8 and Lemma 5.9 below. Fact 5.8 is a theorem of Cohen [Coh50].

Fact 5.8. *Suppose that R is a domain, R is not a field, and there is n such that every ideal in R admits an n element generating set. Then R is one-dimensional Noetherian.*

Lemma 5.9. *Every ideal in E has a two element generating set.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal in E . We may suppose $I \neq \{0\}$. As $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $v(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in I$. Fix $a \in I$ minimizing $v(a)$; note that $a \neq 0$. For any $a^* \in I$ we have

$$v(\partial(a^*/a)) = v\left(\frac{a\partial(a^*) - a^*\partial(a)}{a^2}\right) = v(a\partial(a^*) - a^*\partial(a)) - 2v(a).$$

As $a, a^*, \partial(a), \partial(a^*) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $v(a\partial(a^*) - a^*\partial(a)) \geq 0$, hence $v(\partial(a^*/a)) \geq -2v(a)$. Therefore we may select $a' \in I$ minimizing $v(\partial(a'/a))$. We show that $I = aE + a'E$. Fix $a'' \in I$. Then $v(a) \leq \min\{v(a'), v(a'')\}$ and $v(\partial(a'/a)) \leq v(\partial(a''/a))$. Apply Lemma 5.7. \square

Remark 5.10. Our ring E is similar to Ferrand and Raynaud's example of a Noetherian 1-dimensional local domain with non-reduced completion [FR70, Proposition 3.1]. Their example probably satisfies an analogue of Theorem 1.3, with $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ replacing \mathbb{Q}_p . Conversely, E has non-reduced completion. This implies that E is not excellent [Sta20, 07QT, 07GH, 07QK]. In fact the completion of E is $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$. It is enough to produce a ring embedding $\tau: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$ such that τ gives a dense topological embedding from the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology on E to the p -adic topology on $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$. Let $\tau: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$ be $\tau(a) = a + \partial(a)x$. Note that τ is an injective ring homomorphism. The p -adic topology on $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$ agrees with the product topology given by the natural bijection $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. By Lemma 5.1 τ has dense image. The \mathfrak{m} -adic topology on E agrees with the E -adic topology on \mathbb{Q}_p restricted to E . (It suffices to show that for any non-zero ideal I in E we have $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I$ for some n . This holds because E/I is a local Artinian ring, as $\dim E = 1$.) By the proof of [Joh, Proposition 8.21] the collection of sets of the form $\{a \in E : v(b - a) > \gamma \text{ and } v(b^* - \partial a) > \gamma^*\}$ for $b, b^* \in E$, $\gamma, \gamma^* \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a basis for the E -adic topology on E , hence τ is a topological embedding.

Remark 5.11. We discuss our usage of the axiom of choice. Note that ∂ is a discontinuous additive homomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. This implies that ∂ is not measurable, hence existence of ∂ requires a strong application of the axiom of choice; see for example [Ros09, Section 2]. One can avoid this. In fact, our argument goes through for R a characteristic zero Henselian DVR, $K = \text{Frac}(R)$, and $\partial: K \rightarrow K$ a derivation with dense graph. For example fix $t \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q} and let F be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ in \mathbb{Q}_p . Then F is a dense subfield of \mathbb{Q}_p and $F \cap \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a Henselian DVR with fraction field F . Let ∂^* be the unique \mathbb{Q} -linear derivation $\mathbb{Q}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(t)$ with $\partial^*t = 1$. Then ∂^* uniquely extends to a \mathbb{Q} -linear derivation $\partial: F \rightarrow F$ as $F/\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is algebraic [Wei46, Proposition 1.15]. This extension does not require choice. Our example goes through with $\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p$ replaced by $F \cap \mathbb{Z}_p, F$, respectively.

6. FINAL REMARK

We showed in [JTWY] that the étale open topology over K is induced by a V-topology if and only if K is infinite, t-Henselian, and not separably closed (t-Henselianity is a topological generalization of Henselianity, see [PZ78]). We have produced examples such as $L((t_1, \dots, t_n))$ where the étale open topology is induced by a field topology that is not a V-topology. We do not know a general criterion for when the étale open topology is induced by a field topology.

REFERENCES

- [BL80] Joseph Becker and Leonard Lipshitz, *Remarks on the elementary theories of formal and convergent power series*, Fund. Math. **105** (1979/80), no. 3, 229–239. MR 580584
 - [BSF14] Lior Bary-Soroker and Arno Fehm, *Open problems in the theory of ample fields*, Geometric and differential Galois theory, Séminaires & Congrès. **27** (2014).
 - [Coh50] I. S. Cohen, *Commutative rings with restricted minimum condition*, Duke Math. J. **17** (1950), 27–42.
 - [Del81] Françoise Delon, *Indécidabilité de la théorie des anneaux de séries formelles à plusieurs indéterminées*, Fund. Math. **112** (1981), no. 3, 215–229. MR 628071
 - [Eis] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag.
 - [EP05] Antonio J. Engler and Alexander Prestel, *Valued fields*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. MR 2183496
 - [FR70] Daniel Ferrand and Michel Raynaud, *Fibres formelles d'un anneau local noethérien*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **3** (1970), 295–311. MR 272779
 - [Ful98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
 - [JL89] Christian U. Jensen and Helmut Lenzing, *Model-theoretic algebra with particular emphasis on fields, rings, modules*, Algebra, Logic and Applications, vol. 2, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1989. MR 1057608
 - [Joh] Will Johnson, *Dp finite fields IV, the rank 2 picture*, arXiv:2003.09130.
 - [JTWY] Will Johnson, Minh Chieu Tran, Erik Walsberg, and Jinhe Ye, *Étale open topology and the stable field conjecture*, arXiv:2009.02319.
 - [Poo17] Bjorn Poonen, *Rational points on varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 186, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. MR 3729254
 - [Pop] Florian Pop, *Little survey on large fields - old & new*, Valuation Theory in Interaction, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, pp. 432–463.
 - [Pop10] ———, *Henselian implies large*, Annals of Mathematics **172** (2010), no. 3, 2183–2195.
 - [PZ78] Alexander Prestel and Martin Ziegler, *Model theoretic methods in the theory of topological fields.*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **0299_0300** (1978), 318–341.
 - [Ros09] Christian Rosendal, *Automatic continuity of group homomorphisms*, Bull. Symbolic Logic **15** (2009), no. 2, 184–214. MR 2535429
 - [Sta20] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2020.
 - [vdD89] Lou van den Dries, *Dimension of definable sets, algebraic boundedness and Henselian fields*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **45** (1989), no. 2, 189–209, Stability in model theory, II (Trento, 1987). MR 1044124
 - [Wei46] André Weil, *Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 29, American Mathematical Society, New York, 1946. MR 0023093
 - [WY21] Erik Walsberg and Jinhe Ye, *Éz fields*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.06919 (2021).
- Email address:* willjohnson@fudan.edu.cn, ewalsber@uci.edu, jinhe.ye@imj-prg.fr