

A Simple Factor in Canonical Quantization yields Affine Quantization Even for Quantum Gravity

John R. Klauder*

Department of Physics and Department of Mathematics
University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-8440

Abstract

Canonical quantization (CQ) is built around $[Q, P] = i\hbar\mathbb{1}$, while affine quantization (AQ) is built around $[Q, D] = i\hbar Q$, where $D \equiv (PQ + QP)/2$. The basic CQ operators must fit $-\infty < P, Q < \infty$, while the basic AQ operators can fit $-\infty < P < \infty$ and $0 < Q < \infty$, $-\infty < Q < 0$, or even $-\infty < Q \neq 0 < \infty$. AQ can also be the key to quantum gravity, as our simple outline demonstrates.

1 Canonical Quantization to Affine Quantization: CQ to AQ

The CQ equation $[Q, P] = QP - PQ = i\hbar\mathbb{1}$ is **multiplied** by Q to give $\{Q(QP - PQ) + (QP - PQ)Q\}/2 = \{Q^2P + QPQ - QPQ - PQ^2\}/2 = [Q, (QP + PQ)/2] \equiv [Q, D] = i\hbar Q$. This principal equation **requires** that $Q \neq 0$, leading to the last expression which applies for $Q > 0$, $Q < 0$, or both. From a classical promotion viewpoint for CQ it is $-\infty < p \rightarrow P, q \rightarrow Q < \infty$, while for AQ it is $-\infty < d \equiv pq \rightarrow D \equiv (PQ + QP)/2 < \infty$, while $-\infty < q \neq 0 \rightarrow Q \neq 0 < \infty$. Note: $P^\dagger \neq P$, but $P^\dagger Q = PQ$.

*klauder@ufl.edu

Not every set of classical variables lead to valid quantum operators, neither for CQ or for AQ. The correct choice of classical variables to promote to quantum operators for CQ are Cartesian coordinates, which create a constant vanishing curvature. The classical coordinates that create a constant negative curvature¹ are the correct coordinates to promote to quantum operators for AQ.² *Regarding CQ and AQ operators, the principal difference is that CQ operators must span the whole real line, while the AQ operator Q strictly spans all positive coordinates, all negative coordinates, or both, provided $Q \neq 0$.*³

Different sets of classical problems require valid CQ or AQ quantizations. For example, a traditional harmonic oscillator, with $-\infty < p, q < \infty$, employs CQ, while a half-harmonic oscillator, with $-\infty < p < \infty$, but $0 < q < \infty$, employs AQ [3]. Partial-harmonic oscillators, with $-\infty < p < \infty$, while $-b < q < \infty$ and $0 < b < \infty$, also employ AQ [4].

Examples from scalar field theories show that φ_3^{12} leads to “free” results for CQ while “non-free” results for AQ [5], and also “free” results for φ_4^4 [6, 7, 8] while AQ leads to a “non-free” result [9]. *It becomes clear that CQ is **not** the only quantization procedure for various classical systems!* The author has even suggested that quantum field theory might benefit by adding AQ to the usual procedures [10].

Regarding gravity, an affine result can offer a *strictly positive metric*, i.e., $ds(x)^2 = g_{ab}(x) dx^a dx^b > 0$, provided $dx^c \neq 0$. This ability offers a strong contribution to the quantization of gravity, as sketched below.

2 The Essence of Quantum Gravity

The author has shown that an affine quantization is the natural procedure to quantize Einstein’s gravity, e.g., [2, 11]. Here we offer an easy and straightforward derivation that features the highlights of a natural quantum gravity. Our procedure is based on the analysis of other quantum procedures that lead toward a conventional Hamiltonian as part of a standard Schrödinger’s representation followed by a suitable version of Schrödinger’s equation.

¹A constant negative curvature is discussed in [1].

²Classical variables for spin variables that form a constant positive curvature are the final set of correct classical variables that promote to valid quantum operators. The constant (+, 0, -1) curvatures are all addressed in [2], Sec. 1.

³Instead of $-\infty < Q \neq 0 < \infty$, we can also have $-\infty < Q \neq b < \infty$, provided b is finite.

2.1 Classical gravity

The classical Hamiltonian, according to ADM [12], uses the metric $g_{ab}(x) = g_{ba}(x)$ and its determinant $g(x) \equiv \det[g_{ab}(x)]$,⁴ the momentum $\pi^{cd}(x) = \pi^{dc}(x)$, or more useful, the momentric⁵ $\pi_d^c(x) \equiv \pi^{ce}(x) g_{de}(x)$, all of which leads to

$$H(\pi_b^a, g_{cd}) = \int \{g(x)^{-1/2} [\pi_b^a(x) \pi_a^b(x) - \frac{1}{2} \pi_a^a(x) \pi_b^b(x)] + g(x)^{1/2}(x) R(x)\} d^3x, \quad (1)$$

where $R(x)$ is the Ricci scalar [13]. The Poisson brackets for the momentric and metric fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\pi_b^a(x), \pi_d^c(x')\} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta^3(x, x') [\delta_d^a \pi_b^c(x) - \delta_b^c \pi_d^a(x)], \\ \{g_{ab}(x), \pi_d^c(x')\} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta^3(x, x') [\delta_a^c g_{bd}(x) + \delta_b^c g_{ad}(x)], \\ \{g_{ab}(x), g_{cd}(x')\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Observe that these Poisson brackets are valid even if we change $g_{ab}(x)$ to $-g_{ab}(x)$, a property that allows us to retain only $g_{ab}(x) > 0$. This is not possible with the Poisson brackets for canonical variables.

2.2 Quantum variables

Passing to operator commutations by a promotion of the set of Poisson brackets to operator commutations leads, as established in [11], to

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\pi}_b^a(x), \hat{\pi}_d^c(x')] &= i \frac{1}{2} \hbar \delta^3(x, x') [\delta_d^a \hat{\pi}_b^c(x) - \delta_b^c \hat{\pi}_d^a(x)], \\ [\hat{g}_{ab}(x), \hat{\pi}_d^c(x')] &= i \frac{1}{2} \hbar \delta^3(x, x') [\delta_a^c \hat{g}_{bd}(x) + \delta_b^c \hat{g}_{ad}(x)], \\ [\hat{g}_{ab}(x), \hat{g}_{cd}(x')] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

As with the Poisson brackets, these commutators are valid if we change $\hat{g}_{ab}(x)$ to $-\hat{g}_{ab}(x)$. For the momentric and metric operators, we again find that we can retain only $\hat{g}_{ab}(x) > 0$. Moreover, with suitable regularization, it follows that $\hat{\pi}_b^a(x) \hat{g}(x)^{-1/2} = 0$, which is proved in [11].

⁴The additional symbol $\{g\}$ stands for $\{g_{ab}(\cdot) > 0\}$.

⁵The name momentric is taken from *momentum* and *metric*.

2.3 Schrödinger's representation and equation

Schrödinger's representation chooses $\hat{g}_{ab}(x) = g_{ab}(x)$ and

$$\hat{\pi}_a^c(x) = -i\frac{1}{2}\hbar[g_{de}(x)(\partial/\partial g_{ce}(x)) + (\partial/\partial g_{ce}(x))g_{de}(x)], \quad (4)$$

which is well evaluated using a suitable regularization [11]. Finally, we are led to Schrödinger's equation

$$i\hbar \partial \Psi(\{g\}, t)/\partial t = \{ \int \{ [\hat{\pi}_b^a(x) g(x)^{-1/2} \hat{\pi}_a^b(x) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\pi}_a^a(x) g(x)^{-1/2} \hat{\pi}_b^b(x)] + g(x)^{1/2} R(x) \} d^3x \} \Psi(\{g\}, t). \quad (5)$$

2.4 Potential wave functions

The expression $\hat{\pi}_b^a(x) g(x)^{-1/2} = 0$ points to a form of wave function given by $\Psi(\{g\}) = W(\{g\})\Pi_y g(y)^{-1/2}$. The wave functions for the Hamiltonian are continuous in the metrics that make up $\{g\}$, which is natural for a Hamiltonian that contains spatial derivatives of the metric fields and enforces continuity within the Ricci scalar, $R(x)$.

The normalization of such wave functions may be given by

$$\int |\Psi(\{g\})|^2 \mathcal{D}\{g\} = \int |W(\{g\})|^2 \Pi_y g(y)^{-1} \mathcal{D}\{g\} = 1, \quad (6)$$

while a matrix expression for an operator A may be given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \Psi'(\{g'\})^* A(\{g'\}, \{g\}) \Psi(\{g\}) \mathcal{D}\{g'\} \mathcal{D}\{g\} \\ & = \int \Pi_{y'} g'(y')^{-1/2} A(\{g'\}, \{g\}) \Pi_y g(y)^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}\{g'\} \mathcal{D}\{g\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It may be noticed that the factors $\Pi_y g(y)^{-1/2}$ act somewhat like 'coherent states', e.g., [14], in these equations.

3 Conclusion

The forgoing study is focussed on the gravity Hamiltonian, which is the most difficult aspect of quantum gravity. Additional topics, such as fulfilling constraints, are examined in [11], along with a somewhat different viewpoint in [2]. An earlier paper [15] is especially focussed on establishing a path through the multiple constraints that quantum gravity faces.

Contributions by other researchers using affine procedures to quantize gravity are welcome to add to the present story.

References

- [1] "constant negative curvature"; Scholarpedia: Hyperbolic dynamics
- [2] J. Klauder, "Using Coherent States to Make Physically Correct Classical-to-Quantum Procedures That Help Resolve Nonrenormalizable Fields Including Einstein's Gravity", *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology* **7**, (1019-1026 (2021)); DOI:10.4236/jhepgc.2021.73060.
- [3] L. Gouba, "Affine Quantization on the Half Line", *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology* **7**, 352-365 (2021); DOI:10.4236/jhepgc.2021.71019.
- [4] C. Handy and J. Klauder, "Proof that Half-Harmonic Operators become Full-Harmonic Oscillators after the Wall Slides Away", arXiv:2108.00289.
- [5] R. Fantoni, "Monte Carlo Evaluation of the Continuum Limit of φ_3^{12} ", *J. Stat. Mech.* (2021) 083102; arXiv:2011.09862.
- [6] B. Freedman, P. Smolensky, D. Weingarten, "Monte Carlo Evaluation of the Continuum Limit of φ_4^4 and φ_3^4 ", *Phys. Lett. B* **113**, 481 (1982).
- [7] M. Aizenman, "Proof of the Triviality. of φ_d^4 Field Theory and Some Mean-Field Features of Ising Models for $d > 4$ ", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 1-4, E-886 (1981).
- [8] J. Fröhlich, "On the Triviality of $\lambda\varphi_d^4$ Theories and the Approach to the Critical Point in $d \geq 4$ Dimensions", *Nuclear Physics B* **200**, 281-296 (1982).
- [9] R. Fantoni and J. Klauder, "Affine Quantization of φ_4^4 Succeeds While Canonical Quantization Fails", *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 076013 (2021).
- [10] J. Klauder, "Evidence for Expanding Quantum Field Theory", *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology* **7**, 1157-1160 (2021); DOI:10.4236/jhepgc.2021.73067.
- [11] J. Klauder, "Using Affine Quantization to Analyze Nonrenormalizable Scalar Fields and the Quantization of Einstein's Gravity", *Journal of*

High Energy Physics, 9Gravitation and Cosmology **6**, 802-816 (2020);
DOI:10.4236/jhepgc.2020.64053.

- [12] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. Misner, “The Dynamics of General Relativity”, *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, Ed. L. Witten, (Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962), p. 227; arXiv:gr-qc/0405109.
- [13] “Ricci scalar”: Wikipedia; <https://wikipedia Ricci scalar>
- [14] J. Klauder and B.-S. Skagerstam, (1985) “Coherent States: Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics”, World Scientific, Singapore; DOI:/org/10.1142/0096
- [15] J. Klauder, “Fundamentals of Quantum Gravity”, arXiv:gr-qc/0612168v1.