

On comparison of fractional Laplacians

Alexander I. Nazarov*

Abstract. For $s > -1$, $s \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, we compare two natural types of fractional Laplacians $(-\Delta)^s$, namely, the restricted Dirichlet and the spectral Neumann ones. We show that for the quadratic form of their difference taken on the space $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ is positive or negative depending on whether the integer part of s is even or odd. For $s \in (0, 1)$ and convex domains we prove also that the difference of these operators is positivity preserving on $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$. This paper complements [10] and [11] where similar statements were proved for the spectral Dirichlet and the restricted Dirichlet fractional Laplacians.

1 Introduction

In recent decades a lot of efforts have been invested in studying nonlocal differential operators and nonlocal variational problems. Model operators here are various fractional Laplacian (FLs for the brevity) $(-\Delta)^s$, mainly for $s \in (0, 1)$.

Recall that the **spectral Dirichlet and Neumann** FLs are the s th powers of conventional Dirichlet and Neumann Laplacian in the sense of spectral theory. In a Lipschitz bounded domain Ω , they can be defined by corresponding quadratic forms

$$Q_s^{\text{DSp}}[u] \equiv ((-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DSp}}^s u, u) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^s |(u, \varphi_j)|^2;$$

$$Q_s^{\text{NSp}}[u] \equiv ((-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{NSp}}^s u, u) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j^s |(u, \psi_j)|^2,$$

*St.Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute, Fontanka, 27, St.Petersburg, 191023, Russia and St.Petersburg State University, Universitetskii pr. 28, St.Petersburg, 198504, Russia. E-mail: al.il.nazarov@gmail.com. Supported by RFBR grant 20-01-00630.

where λ_j , φ_j and μ_j , ψ_j are eigenvalues and (normalized) eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet and Neumann Laplacian in Ω , respectively. Notice that $\mu_0 = 0$ and $\psi_0 \equiv \text{const}$.

For $s \in (0, 1)$ the domains of these quadratic forms are the classical Sobolev–Slobodetskii spaces (see [15, Ch. 4] or [5])

$$\text{Dom}(Q_s^{\text{DSP}}) = \tilde{H}^s(\Omega); \quad \text{Dom}(Q_s^{\text{NSP}}) = H^s(\Omega) \quad (1)$$

(we recall that

$$\tilde{H}^s(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega) \quad \text{if } 0 < s < 1/2; \quad \tilde{H}^s(\Omega) \subsetneq H^s(\Omega) \quad \text{if } s \geq 1/2,$$

see, e.g., [15, 4.3.2]).

The first equality in (1) is proved in [10, Lemma 1]; the proof of the second one is quite similar.

For $s > 1$ the domains of spectral quadratic forms are more complicated but the following relations are always true:

$$\tilde{H}^s(\Omega) \subset \text{Dom}(Q_s^{\text{DSP}}); \quad \tilde{H}^s(\Omega) \subset \text{Dom}(Q_s^{\text{NSP}}).$$

On the other hand, the quadratic form of **restricted Dirichlet** FL is defined as follows:

$$Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u] \equiv ((-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^s u, u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}u(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} u(x) dx.$$

Corresponding domain is $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ for all $s > 0$.

For $s \in (0, 1)$ the following relation holds:

$$Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u] = c_{n,s} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy,$$

where

$$c_{n,s} = 2^{2s-1} \pi^{-n/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{2})}{|\Gamma(-s)|}.$$

Remark 1 Notice that for $s \in (0, 1)$ the quadratic form of **restricted Neumann** (or **regional**) FL is

$$Q_s^{\text{NR}}[u] \equiv ((-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{NSp}}^s u, u) := c_{n,s} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy.$$

For some other types of fractional Laplacians see, e.g., [12] and references therein.

The operators $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DSp}}^s$ and $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^s$ were compared in the sense of quadratic forms and in the pointwise sense in [10] ($s \in (0, 1)$) and [11] (for partial results see also [4], [6], [7], [13]).

Theorem 1 (Theorem 2 in [10] and Theorem 1 in [11]) Let $s > -1$ and $s \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose that¹ $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $u \not\equiv 0$. Then the following relation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s^{\text{DSp}}[u] &> Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u], & \text{if } 2k < s < 2k + 1, & k \in \mathbb{N}_0; \\ Q_s^{\text{DSp}}[u] &< Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u], & \text{if } 2k - 1 < s < 2k, & k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 1. **(Theorem 1 in [10])** Let $s \in (0, 1)$, and let $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $u \geq 0$, $u \not\equiv 0$. Then the following relation holds in the sense of distributions:

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DSp}}^s u > (-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^s u.$$

2. **(Theorem 3 in [11])** Let $s \in (-1, 0)$. Suppose that¹ $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $u \geq 0$ in the sense of distributions, $u \not\equiv 0$. Then the following relation holds:

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DSp}}^s u < (-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^s u.$$

In this paper we prove similar results for the operators $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^s$ and $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{NSp}}^s$. Since the domains of their quadratic forms are in general different, we consider them on the smaller domain $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3 Let $s > -1$ and $s \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose that² $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $u \not\equiv 0$. Then the following relation holds:

$$Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u] > Q_s^{\text{NSp}}[u], \quad \text{if } s \in (2k, 2k + 1), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad (2)$$

$$Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u] < Q_s^{\text{NSp}}[u], \quad \text{if } s \in (2k - 1, 2k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3)$$

¹For $n = 1$ and $s \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ assume in addition that $(u, \mathbf{1}) = 0$.

²For $s < 0$ assume in addition that $(u, \mathbf{1}) = 0$.

Remark 2 Notice that a weaker inequality $Q_s^{\text{Dsp}}[u] \geq Q_s^{\text{NSp}}[u]$ for $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $s \in (0, 1)$, is a particular case of the well-known Heinz inequality [8]. On the other hand, the inequality $Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u] \geq Q_s^{\text{NR}}[u]$ for $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $s \in (0, 1)$, is trivial.

Theorem 4 Suppose that Ω is convex. Let $s \in (0, 1)$, and let $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $u \geq 0$, $u \not\equiv 0$. Then the following relation holds in the sense of distributions:

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^s u > (-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{NSp}}^s u \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (4)$$

The structure of our paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic facts on the generalized harmonic extensions related to fractional Laplacians of orders $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $-\sigma \in (-1, 0)$. Theorems 3 and 4 are proved in Section 3. Also we show that the assumption of convexity in Theorem 4 cannot be removed.

2 Fractional Laplacians as D-to-N and N-to-D operators

It is a common knowledge nowadays that some of FLs of order $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ are related to the so-called *harmonic extension in $n + 2 - 2\sigma$ dimensions* and to the generalized Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (notice that for $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ it was known long ago).

Let $u \in H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (in our consideration, we always assume that $u \in \tilde{H}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is extended by zero to \mathbb{R}^n). In the pioneering paper [2], it was shown that there exists a unique solution $w_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(x, y)$ of the BVP in the half-space

$$-\text{div}(y^{1-2\sigma} \nabla w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \quad w|_{y=0} = u,$$

with finite energy (weighted Dirichlet integral)

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(w) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2\sigma} |\nabla w(x, y)|^2 dx dy,$$

and the relation

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^\sigma u(x) = -C_\sigma \cdot \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} y^{1-2\sigma} \partial_y w_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(x, y) \quad (5)$$

holds in the sense of distributions and pointwise at every point of smoothness of u . Here the constant C_σ is given by

$$C_\sigma := \frac{4^\sigma \Gamma(1 + \sigma)}{\Gamma(1 - \sigma)}.$$

Moreover, the function $w_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(x, y)$ minimizes $\mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{DR}}$ over the set

$$\mathcal{W}_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(u) = \left\{ w(x, y) : \mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(w) < \infty, \quad w|_{y=0} = u \right\},$$

and the following equality holds:

$$Q_\sigma^{\text{DR}}[u] = \frac{C_\sigma}{2\sigma} \cdot \mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(w_\sigma^{\text{DR}}). \quad (6)$$

In [14] this approach was transferred to quite general situation. In particular, it was shown that for $u \in H^\sigma(\Omega)$ there is a unique solution $w_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}(x, y)$ of the BVP in the half-cylinder

$$-\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2\sigma} \nabla w) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+; \quad w|_{y=0} = u, \quad \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w|_{x \in \partial\Omega} = 0$$

(here \mathbf{n} is the unit vector of exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$) having finite energy

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}(w) = \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega y^{1-2\sigma} |\nabla w(x, y)|^2 dx dy,$$

and the relation

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)_\sigma^{\text{NSp}} u(x) = -C_\sigma \cdot \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} y^{1-2\sigma} \partial_y w_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}(x, y). \quad (7)$$

holds in the sense of distributions on Ω and pointwise at every point of smoothness of u .

Moreover, the function $w_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}(x, y)$ minimizes $\mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}$ over the set

$$\mathcal{W}_{\sigma, \Omega}^{\text{NSp}}(u) = \left\{ w(x, y) : \mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}(w) < \infty, \quad w|_{y=0} = u \right\},$$

and the following equality holds:

$$Q_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}[u] = \frac{C_\sigma}{2\sigma} \cdot \mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}(w_\sigma^{\text{NSp}}). \quad (8)$$

In a similar way, one can connect FLs of order $-\sigma \in (-1, 0)$ with the generalized Neumann-to-Dirichlet map. It was done in [3] for the spectral Dirichlet FL and in [1] for the FL in \mathbb{R}^n (and therefore for the restricted Dirichlet FL). Variational characterization of these operators was given in [11]. We formulate this result for the operator³ $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^{-\sigma}$.

Let $u \in \tilde{H}^{-\sigma}(\Omega)$ (for $n = 1$ and $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ assume in addition that $(u, \mathbf{1}) = 0$). We consider the problem of minimizing the functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(w) = \mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(w) - 2(u, w|_{y=0})$$

over the set $\mathcal{W}_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}$, that is closure of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ with bounded support, with respect to $\mathcal{E}_\sigma^{\text{DR}}(\cdot)$. We notice that by the result of [2] the duality $(u, w|_{y=0})$ is well defined.

If $n > 2\sigma$ (this is a restriction only for $n = 1$) then the minimizer is determined uniquely. Denote it by $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(x, y)$. Then formulae (5) and (6) imply the relations

$$Q_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}[u] = -\frac{2\sigma}{C_\sigma} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}); \quad (-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^{-\sigma} u(x) = \frac{2\sigma}{C_\sigma} w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(x, 0) \quad (9)$$

(the second relation holds for a.a. $x \in \Omega$).

In case $n = 1 \leq 2\sigma$ the minimizer $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(x, y)$ is defined up to an additive constant. However, by assumption $(u, \mathbf{1}) = 0$ the functional $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}})$ does not depend on the choice of the constant, and the first relation in (9) holds. The second equality in (9) also holds if we choose the constant such that $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(x, 0) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Notice that the function $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}$ solves the Neumann problem in the half-space

$$-\text{div}(y^{1-2\sigma} \nabla w) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} y^{1-2\sigma} \partial_y w = -u$$

(the boundary condition holds in the sense of distributions). So, we obtain the “dual” Caffarelli–Silvestre characterization of $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^{-\sigma}$ as the Neumann-to-Dirichlet map.

Now we introduce the “dual” Stinga–Torrea characterization of $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{NSp}}^{-\sigma}$ in almost the same way as it was done in [11] for $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DSp}}^{-\sigma}$. Namely, let

³We emphasize that $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^{-\sigma}$ is not inverse to $(-\Delta_\Omega)_{\text{DR}}^\sigma$.

$u \in H^{-\sigma}(\Omega)$ and let $(u, \mathbf{1}) = 0$. Then the function $w_{-\sigma}^{NSp}(x, y)$ minimizing the functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{NSp}(w) = \mathcal{E}_{-\sigma}^{NSp}(w) - 2(u, w|_{y=0})$$

over the set

$$\mathcal{W}_{-\sigma, \Omega}^{\text{NSp}}(u) = \left\{ w(x, y) : \mathcal{E}_{-\sigma}^{\text{NSp}}(w) < \infty \right\},$$

is defined up to an additive constant. By assumption $(u, \mathbf{1}) = 0$ the functional $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{\text{NSp}}(w_{-\sigma}^{\text{NSp}})$ does not depend on the choice of the constant, and formulae (8) and (7) imply

$$Q_{-\sigma}^{NSp}[u] = -\frac{2\sigma}{C_{\sigma}} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{NSp}(w_{-\sigma}^{NSp}); \quad (-\Delta_{\Omega})_{NSp}^{-\sigma} u(x) = \frac{2\sigma}{C_{\sigma}} w_{-\sigma}^{NSp}(x, 0) \quad (10)$$

(The second equality holds for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ if we choose the constant such that $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{NSp}}(x, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$).

Also the function $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{NSp}}$ solves the Neumann problem in the half-cylinder

$$-\text{div}(y^{1-2\sigma} \nabla w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+; \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} y^{1-2\sigma} \partial_y w = -u, \quad \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w|_{x \in \partial\Omega} = 0$$

(the boundary condition on the bottom holds in the sense of distributions).

3 Proof of main results

Proof of Theorem 3. We split the proof in three parts.

1. Let $s \in (0, 1)$. For any $w \in \mathcal{W}_s^{\text{DR}}(u)$ we have $w|_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} \in \mathcal{W}_{s, \Omega}^{\text{NSp}}(u)$. Therefore, relations (6) and (8) provide

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s^{\text{NSp}}[u] &= \frac{C_s}{2s} \cdot \inf_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{s, \Omega}^{\text{NSp}}(u)} \mathcal{E}_s^{\text{NSp}}(w) \leq \frac{C_s}{2s} \mathcal{E}_s^{\text{NSp}}(w_s^{\text{DR}}) \\ &\leq \frac{C_s}{2s} \mathcal{E}_s^{\text{DR}}(w_s^{\text{DR}}) = Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u], \end{aligned}$$

and (2) follows with the large sign.

Finally, the equality in (2) implies $\nabla w_s^{\text{DR}} = 0$ on $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Since any x -derivative of w_s^{DR} solves the same equation in the whole half-space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, it should be zero everywhere that is impossible for $u \neq 0$.

2. Let $s \in (-1, 0)$. We define $\sigma = -s \in (0, 1)$ and construct the extension $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}$ as described in Section 2.

We again have $w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}|_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} \in \mathcal{W}_{-\sigma, \Omega}^{\text{NSp}}(u)$. Therefore, relations (9) and (10) provide

$$\begin{aligned} -Q_s^{\text{NSp}}[u] &= \frac{2\sigma}{C_\sigma} \cdot \inf_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{-\sigma, \Omega}^{\text{NSp}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{\text{NSp}}(w) \leq \frac{2\sigma}{C_\sigma} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{\text{NSp}}(w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}) \\ &\leq \frac{2\sigma}{C_\sigma} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}(w_{-\sigma}^{\text{DR}}) = -Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u], \end{aligned}$$

and (3) follows with the large sign. To complete the proof, we repeat the argument of the first part.

3. Now let $s > 1$, $s \notin \mathbb{N}$. We put $k = \lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor$ and define for $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$

$$v = (-\Delta)^k u \in \tilde{H}^{s-2k}(\Omega), \quad s - 2k \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1).$$

Note that $v \neq 0$ if $u \neq 0$, and

$$(v, \mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{F}v(0) = |\xi|^{2k} \mathcal{F}u(\xi)|_{\xi=0} = 0.$$

Then we have

$$Q_s^{\text{DR}}[u] = Q_{s-2k}^{\text{DR}}[v], \quad Q_s^{\text{NSp}}[u] = Q_{s-2k}^{\text{NSp}}[v],$$

and the conclusion follows from cases **1** and **2**. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. We recall the representation formulae for w_s^{DR} and w_s^{NSp} , see [2] and [14], respectively:

$$w_s^{\text{DR}}(x, y) = \text{const} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{y^{2s} u(\xi) d\xi}{(|x - \xi|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}};$$

$$w_s^{\text{NSp}}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (u, \psi_j)_{L_2(\Omega)} \cdot \mathcal{Q}_s(y\sqrt{\mu_j}) \psi_j(x), \quad \mathcal{Q}_s(\tau) = \frac{2^{1-s} \tau^s}{\Gamma(s)} \mathcal{K}_s(\tau),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_s(\tau)$ stands for the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

First of all, these formulae imply for $u \geq 0$, $u \neq 0$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} w_s^{\text{DR}}(x, y) = 0; \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} w_s^{\text{NSp}}(x, y) = (u, \psi_0)_{L_2(\Omega)} \cdot \psi_0(x) > 0;$$

the second relation follows from the asymptotic behavior (see, e.g., [14, (3.7)])

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_s(\tau) &\sim \Gamma(s)2^{s-1}\tau^{-s}, \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0; \\ \mathcal{K}_s(\tau) &\sim \left(\frac{\pi}{2\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\tau}(1 + O(\tau^{-1})) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Next, for $x \in \partial\Omega$ we derive by convexity of Ω

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w_s^{\text{DR}}(x, y) = \text{const} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{y^{2s} \langle (\xi - x), \mathbf{n} \rangle u(\xi) d\xi}{(|x - \xi|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+2s+2}{2}}} < 0.$$

Thus, the difference $W(x, y) = w_s^{\text{NSp}}(x, y) - w_s^{\text{DR}}(x, y)$ has the following properties in the half-cylinder $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$-\text{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla W) = 0; \quad W|_{y=0} = 0; \quad W|_{y=\infty} > 0; \quad \partial_{\mathbf{n}} W|_{x \in \partial\Omega} > 0.$$

By the strong maximum principle, $W > 0$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Finally, we apply the boundary point principle (the Hopf–Oleinik lemma, see [9]) to the function $W(x, t^{\frac{1}{2s}})$ and obtain (cf. [10, Theorem 1])

$$\liminf_{y \rightarrow 0^+} y^{1-2s} \partial_y W(x, y) = \liminf_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{W(x, y)}{y^{2s}} = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{W(x, t^{\frac{1}{2s}})}{t} > 0, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

This completes the proof in view of (5) and (7). \square

Remark 3 *For non-convex domains the relation (4) does not hold in general. We provide corresponding counterexample.*

Put temporarily $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ where $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$. If $u \geq 0$ is a smooth function supported in Ω_1 then easily $(-\Delta_{\Omega})_{\text{NSp}}^s u \equiv 0$ in Ω_2 . On the other hand, $w_s^{\text{DR}}(x, y) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y > 0$, and the Hopf–Oleinik lemma gives $(-\Delta_{\Omega})_{\text{DR}}^s u < 0$ in Ω_2 .

Finally, if we join Ω_1 with Ω_2 by a small channel then the inequality $(-\Delta_{\Omega})_{\text{DR}}^s u < (-\Delta_{\Omega})_{\text{NSp}}^s u$ in Ω_2 holds by continuity.

References

- [1] X. Cabré and Y. Sire, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians. I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*, AIHP – AN. **31** (2014), no. 1, 23–53.

- [2] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. PDEs. **32** (2007), no. 7-9, 1245–1260.
- [3] A. Capella, J. Dávila, L. Dupaigne and Y. Sire, *Regularity of radial extremal solutions for some non-local semilinear equations*, Comm. PDEs. **36** (2011), no. 8, 1353–1384.
- [4] Z.-Q. Chen and R. Song, *Two-sided eigenvalue estimates for subordinate processes in domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **226** (2005), 90–113.
- [5] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [6] M.M. Fall, *Semilinear elliptic equations for the fractional Laplacian with Hardy potential*, Nonlin. Analysis – TMA, **193** (2020), 111311, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2018.07.008. Arxiv preprint 1109.5530v4 (2012).
- [7] R. L. Frank and L. Geisinger, *Refined semiclassical asymptotics for fractional powers of the Laplace operator*, J. reine und angew. Math. (Crelles Journal), **712** (2016), 1–37.
- [8] E. Heinz, *Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung*, Math. Ann., **193** (1951), 415–438.
- [9] L. I. Kamynin and B. N. Himčenko, *Theorems of Giraud type for second order equations with a weakly degenerate non-negative characteristic part*, Sib. Math. J. **18** (1977), 76–91.
- [10] R. Musina and A.I. Nazarov, *On fractional Laplacians*, Comm. PDEs, **39** (2014), no. 9, 1780–1790.
- [11] R. Musina and A.I. Nazarov, *On fractional Laplacians–2*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré – An. Nonlin., **33** (2016), no. 6, 1667–1673.
- [12] R. Musina and A.I. Nazarov, *Strong maximum principles for fractional Laplacians*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A. **149** (2019), no. 5, 1223–1240.
- [13] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *On the spectrum of two different fractional operators*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A, **144** (2014), no. 4, 831–855.
- [14] P. R. Stinga and J. L. Torrea, *Extension problem and Harnack’s inequality for some fractional operators*, Comm. PDEs. **35** (2010), no. 11, 2092–2122.
- [15] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, Deutscher Verlag Wissensch., Berlin, 1978.