

Weak Solution and Invariant Probability Measure for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with Integrable Drifts*

Xing Huang ^{a)}, Shen Wang ^{a)}, Fen-Fen Yang ^{b)}

a)Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China
xinghuang@tju.edu.cn, wswangshen@tju.edu.cn

b)Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China
yangfenfen@shu.edu.cn

October 19, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, by utilizing Wang's Harnack inequality with power and the Banach fixed point theorem, the weak well-posedness for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with integrable drift is investigated. In addition, using the decoupled method, some regularity such as relative entropy and Sobolev's estimate of invariant probability measure are proved. Finally, by Banach's fixed theorem, the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure for symmetric McKean-Vlasov SDEs and stochastic Hamiltonian system with integrable drifts are obtained.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10, 60G44.

Keywords: McKean-Vlasov SDEs, Total variation distance, Weak solution, Invariant probability measure, Integrable condition.

1 Introduction

Invariant probability measure is the equilibrium state in physics. There are plentiful results on the invariant probability measure for linear semigroup P_t associated to classical diffusion process in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t,$$

*Supported in part by NNSFC (11801406).

the infinitesimal generator of which is defined as

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla^2) + b \cdot \nabla.$$

The existence of invariant probability measure can be studied by investigating the tightness of the sequence of probability measures

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_0^n P_t^* \delta_x dt, \quad n \geq 1,$$

see [21]. Meanwhile, a useful sufficient condition to obtain the existence of invariant probability measure is Lyapunov's condition, i.e.

$$LW_1 \leq C - W_2$$

for some positive function $W_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, positive compact function W_2 and some constant $C > 0$ can derive that P_t has an invariant probability measure μ satisfying $\mu(W_2) \leq C$, see [8, 9, 11].

For the uniqueness, the classical principle is strong Feller property together with irreducibility, see [21, Theorem 4.2.1]. Moreover, by Wang's Harnack inequality [35, Theorem 1.4.1], the uniqueness can also be ensured. Furthermore, using couplings or generalized couplings, [34] proved the uniqueness of the invariant measures. One can also refer to [10, 12, 13, 14] for conditions on the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure by Lyapunov function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$ and constants $C, R > 0$ such that

$$LV \leq -C, \quad |x| \geq R.$$

Recently, in [38], the existence and uniqueness as well as the regularity such as relative entropy and Sobolev's estimate are derived by hyperboundedness or log-Sobolev's inequality.

However, all the above methods are invalid to obtain the existence and uniqueness for distribution dependent SDEs (McKean-Vlasov SDEs or mean field SDEs), where the associated semigroup P_t^* is nonlinear. In [37], Wang obtained the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure and the exponential ergodicity in Wasserstein distance by the method of basic coupling ([17, Definition 2.4]), see [26] for the path-distribution dependent case and [30] for the McKean-Vlasov SDEs with Lévy noise. Quite recently, [41] investigated the existence of invariant probability measure by Schauder's fixed point theorem, see also [4] for the existence of invariant probability measure of functional McKean-Vlasov SDEs by Kakutani's fixed point theorem. In addition, [40] proved the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure for (reflecting) McKean-Vlasov SDEs by exponential ergodicity of the decoupled SDEs and Banach's fixed point theorem. For more results, one can see [22, 24, 25] and references therein. What's more, by using log-Sobolev's inequality or Poincaré's inequality for the invariant probability measure

of decoupled SDE and the Banach fixed point theorem, [7, 11] investigated the existence and uniqueness of the solution to stationary nonlinear and non-degenerate Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations.

In this paper, we will prove the weak well-posedness for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with drift being integrable in the spacial component with respect to some reference probability measure by Banach's fixed point theorem. The result extend the one in [38]. Moreover, the regularity of invariant probability measure with integrable drift is also obtained by decoupled technique and the existed results in [38]. Since the invariant probability measure of decoupled SDE is in general unknown when the drift is only assumed to be integrable, the conditions in [7, 11] such as log-Sobolev's inequality and Poincaré's inequality are not explicit. As a result, we only investigate the existence and uniqueness of the invariant probability measure for symmetric and non-degenerate McKean-Vlasov SDEs as well as distribution dependent stochastic Hamiltonian system, where the drift is assumed to be of gradient form and integrable in the spacial component with respect to some reference probability measure.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d equipped with the weak topology. Consider the following distribution dependent SDE on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\boxed{\text{E1}} \quad (1.1) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t,$$

where $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an n -dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtration probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, \mathcal{L}_{X_t} is the law of X_t ,

$$Z : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad Z_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$$

are measurable. Compared with [38], Z can depend on the distribution of the solution, see (A_b) below for the condition of Z on the measure component. When a different probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is concerned, we use $\mathcal{L}_\xi | \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ to denote the law of a random variable ξ under the probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, and use $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ to stand for the expectation under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

Definition 1.1. (1) An adapted continuous process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{R}^d is called a solution of (1.1), if X_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable,

$$\boxed{\text{A1}} \quad (1.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \{ |Z_0(X_t)| + |\sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})| + \|\sigma(X_t)\|^2 \} dt < \infty, \quad T > 0,$$

and \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\boxed{\text{A2}} \quad (1.3) \quad X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t Z_0(X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)Z(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)dW_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

(2) For any $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $((\tilde{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\tilde{W}_t)_{t \geq 0})$ is called a weak solution to (1.1) starting at μ_0 , if $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an n -dimensional Brownian motion under a complete filtration probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, $(\tilde{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -adapted process on \mathbb{R}^d with $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_0} | \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mu_0$ and $\tilde{X}_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$, and (1.2)-(1.3) hold for $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})$ replacing $(X, W, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{E})$.

- (3) We call (1.1) weakly well-posed for an initial distribution μ_0 , if it has a weak solution starting at μ_0 and any weak solution with the same initial distribution is equal in law.

For the well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts, one can refer to [5, 6, 15, 16, 18, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 42] and references within.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we investigate the weak well-posedness of (1.1) under integrable condition. In Section 3, the regularity of invariant probability measure for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with integrable drift is presented. The existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure are provided in Section 4.

2 Weak Well-posedness

For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the total variation distance between μ and ν is defined as

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} = 2 \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)| = \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|.$$

To obtain the weak well-posedness of (1.1), we make the following assumptions, see [38] for more details.

(A) The reference SDE

$$\boxed{\text{EM}} \quad (2.1) \quad dX_t = Z_0(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$$

is strongly well-posed and has a unique invariant probability measure μ^0 .

(A_Z) There exist constants $\varepsilon > 0, K_Z > 0$ such that

$$\boxed{\text{ne}} \quad (2.2) \quad \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2}) < \infty,$$

and

$$\boxed{\text{cono}} \quad (2.3) \quad |Z(x, \gamma) - Z(x, \tilde{\gamma})| \leq K_Z \|\gamma - \tilde{\gamma}\|_{TV}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let π_t be the projection map from $C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$ to \mathbb{R}^d , i.e.

$$\pi_t(w) = w_t, \quad w \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d).$$

For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we will prove that (1.1) has a unique weak solution with initial distribution γ and the distribution of the solution \mathbb{P}^γ satisfying

$$\boxed{\text{Pg}} \quad (2.4) \quad \mathbb{P}^\gamma \left(w \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d), \int_0^t |Z(w_s, \mathbb{P}^\gamma \circ \pi_s^{-1})|^2 ds < \infty, \quad t \geq 0 \right) = 1.$$

Firstly, repeating the proof of [38, Theorem 2.1], we can easily extend it to the time dependent case below. Consider

$$\boxed{\text{E1}'}$$
 (2.5)
$$dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)\tilde{Z}_t(X_t)\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t,$$

here $\tilde{Z} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable. For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let X_t^γ be the solution to (2.1) with initial distribution γ .

$\boxed{\text{zi}}$ **Theorem 2.1.** *Assume (A) and that there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\|e^{\varepsilon|\tilde{Z}|^2}\|_{L^\infty([0,t];L^1(\mu^0))} < \infty, \quad t > 0.$$

(i) *For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\gamma \ll \mu^0$, (2.5) has a unique weak solution starting at γ and the distribution \mathbb{P}^γ satisfying*

$$\boxed{\text{Pgo}}$$
 (2.6)
$$\mathbb{P}^\gamma \left(w \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d), \int_0^t |\tilde{Z}_s(w_s)|^2 ds < \infty, \quad t \geq 0 \right) = 1.$$

Moreover, it holds

$$P_t^{\tilde{Z}} f(\gamma) := [\mathbb{P}^\gamma \circ \pi_t^{-1}](f) = \mathbb{E}(R^\gamma(t) f(X_t^\gamma)), \quad t \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where $R^\gamma(t)$ is a martingale given by

$$R^\gamma(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \langle \tilde{Z}_s(X_s^\gamma), dW_s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\tilde{Z}_s(X_s^\gamma)|^2 ds \right\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

(ii) *If in addition, there exists $p > 1$ such that*

$$\boxed{\text{HI}}$$
 (2.7)
$$(P_t^0 |f|)^p(z) \leq (P_t^0 |f|^p)(\bar{z}) e^{\Phi_p(t,z,\bar{z})}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0$$

with

$$\boxed{\text{E0p}}$$
 (2.8)
$$\int_0^t \{ \mu^0(e^{-\Phi_p(s,z,\cdot)}) \}^{-\frac{1}{p}} ds < \infty, \quad t > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

then the assertion in (i) holds for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Since the proof can be completely the same with that of [38, Theorem 2.1], we omit it here. \square

The main result in this section is the following theorem.

$\boxed{\text{T1.1}}$ **Theorem 2.2.** *Assume (A) and (A_Z) .*

(i) *For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\gamma \ll \mu^0$, (2.5) has a unique weak solution starting at γ and satisfying (2.4).*

(ii) If in addition, P_t^0 satisfies (2.7) and (2.8), then for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then (i) holds for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

ING **Remark 2.3.** Compared with the localized integrable condition of the drift on the spacial component for the weak well-posedness in [42, Theorem 3.9], the drift Z in Theorem 2.2 is allowed to be of some growth. For instance, taking $n = d$, $Z_0 = -x$, $\sigma = \sqrt{2}I_{d \times d}$, if Z satisfies

$$|Z(x, \mu_0)| \leq c(1 + |x|),$$

then (2.2) holds with $c^2\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, (1.1) can be degenerate, see [38, Example 4.3] and Example 4.2 below.

To prove Theorem 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that for any $T > 0$, (1.1) is weakly well-posed on $[0, T]$. So, we fix $T > 0$ in the following. For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, consider

$$\text{E2} \quad (2.9) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mu_t)\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$$

with initial distribution γ .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Since the proofs of (i) and (ii) are completely the same, we only prove (ii).

Note that (A_Z) implies that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\text{INE} \quad (2.10) \quad \|e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|Z(\cdot, \mu_t)|^2}\|_{L^\infty([0, t]; L^1(\mu^0))} \leq \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4\varepsilon K_Z^2}) = \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2})e^{4\varepsilon K_Z^2} < \infty.$$

This together with **(A)**, (2.7), (2.8) and Theorem 2.1(ii) for $\tilde{Z}_t^\mu = Z(\cdot, \mu_t)$ yields that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (2.9) has a unique weak solution starting at γ and the distribution $\mathbb{P}^{\gamma, \mu}$ satisfying

$$\text{PGo} \quad (2.11) \quad \mathbb{P}^{\gamma, \mu} \left(w \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d), \int_0^T |Z(w_s, \mu_s)|^2 ds < \infty \right) = 1.$$

Define $\Phi_t^\gamma(\mu) = \mathbb{P}^{\gamma, \mu} \circ \pi_t^{-1}$, $t \in [0, T]$. Let

$$R^{\gamma, \mu}(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \langle Z(X_s^\gamma, \mu_s), dW_s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |Z(X_s^\gamma, \mu_s)|^2 ds \right\}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Theorem 2.1(ii) also implies

$$\Phi_t^\gamma(\mu)(f) = \mathbb{E}(R^{\gamma, \mu}(t)f(X_t^\gamma)), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

For $\nu \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, let $R^{\gamma, \nu}(t)$ be defined in the same way as $R^{\gamma, \mu}(t)$ with ν in place of μ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu)(f) = \mathbb{E}(R^{\gamma, \nu}(t)f(X_t^\gamma)) = \mathbb{E} \left(R^{\gamma, \mu}(t) \frac{R^{\gamma, \nu}(t)}{R^{\gamma, \mu}(t)} f(X_t^\gamma) \right), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let

$$W_t^\nu = W_t - \int_0^t Z(X_s^\gamma, \nu_s) ds, \quad \xi_t = Z(X_t^\gamma, \nu_t) - Z(X_t^\gamma, \mu_t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Since $R^{\gamma, \nu}(t)$ is a martingale due to Theorem 2.1 and (2.10), we conclude that $\{W_t^\nu\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an n -dimensional Brownian motion under probability measure $\mathbb{Q} = R_T^{\gamma, \nu} \mathbb{P}$. So, applying Pinsker's inequality [20, 32], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu) - \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu)\|_{TV}^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left(R_t^{\gamma, \nu} \log \left(\frac{R_t^{\gamma, \nu}}{R_t^{\gamma, \mu}} \right) \right) \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} \left\{ R_t^{\gamma, \nu} \left\{ \int_0^t \langle \xi_s, dW_s^\nu \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\xi_s|^2 ds \right\} \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[R_t^{\gamma, \nu} \int_0^t |Z(X_s^\mu, \mu_s) - Z(X_s^\mu, \nu_s)|^2 ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.3) implies

$$\boxed{\text{ph}} \quad (2.12) \quad \|\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu) - \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu)\|_{TV}^2 \leq \int_0^t K_Z^2 \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2 ds.$$

Take $\lambda = K_Z^2$ and consider the space $E_T := \{\mu \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \mu_0 = \gamma\}$ equipped with the complete metric

$$\rho(\nu, \mu) := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\lambda t} \|\nu_t - \mu_t\|_{TV}.$$

It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-2\lambda t} \|\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu) - \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu)\|_{TV}^2 &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t K_Z^2 e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} e^{-2\lambda s} \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2 ds \\ \boxed{\text{ESTM}'} \quad (2.13) \quad &\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} e^{-2\lambda s} \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t K_Z^2 e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} e^{-2\lambda s} \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then Φ^γ is a strictly contractive map on E_T , so that the equation

$$\boxed{\text{PHIE}} \quad (2.14) \quad \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu) = \mu_t, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

has a unique solution $\mu \in E_T$. This combined with (2.11) completes the proof. \square

3 Regularity of Invariant Probability Measure

In this section, we consider the regularity of invariant probability measure of (1.1) and a general result for the regularity will be presented. Throughout this section, we assume

that $\mu^Z = \rho\mu^0$ is an invariant probability measure of (1.1). It is clear that μ^Z is also an invariant probability measure of the following decoupled SDE:

$$\boxed{\text{EMI}} \quad (3.1) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mu^Z)\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t.$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{P}_Z = \{\nu = \rho_\nu\mu^0 : \nu \text{ is an invariant probability measure of (3.1)}\}.$$

$\boxed{\text{rp}}$ **Theorem 3.1.** *Assume (A). If there exists $t > 0$ such that P_t^0 has a strictly positive density with respect to μ^0 and*

$$\boxed{\text{HYP}} \quad (3.2) \quad \|P_{t_0}^0\|_{L^2(\mu^0) \rightarrow L^{2p_0}(\mu^0)} < \infty$$

for some $t_0 > 0$ and $p_0 > 1$. Then (A_Z) with some $\varepsilon > \kappa_0 := \frac{t_0(3p_0-1)}{2(p_0-1)}$ implies

$$\mu^0(\rho \log \rho) \leq \inf_{\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa_0, \varepsilon)} \frac{t_0(3p_0-1) \log \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_Z^2 \frac{\varepsilon\tilde{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}) + 4\tilde{\varepsilon}p_0 \log \|P_{t_0}^0\|_{L^2(\mu^0) \rightarrow L^{2p_0}(\mu^0)}}{2\tilde{\varepsilon}(p_0-1) - t_0(3p_0-1)}.$$

Proof. For any $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\varepsilon}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}) &\leq \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + K_Z \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV})^2}) \\ \boxed{\text{em}} \quad (3.3) \quad &= \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\varepsilon}|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 2\tilde{\varepsilon} \frac{K_Z \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon - \tilde{\varepsilon}}} \sqrt{\varepsilon - \tilde{\varepsilon}} |Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + \tilde{\varepsilon} K_Z^2 \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV}^2}) \\ &\leq \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2}) e^{K_Z^2 \frac{\varepsilon\tilde{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon-\tilde{\varepsilon}} \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV}^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > \kappa_0 = \frac{t_0(3p_0-1)}{2(p_0-1)}$, using (3.3) for $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa_0, \varepsilon)$ and [38, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.1], we conclude that μ^Z is the unique invariant probability measure of (3.1) in \mathcal{P}_Z . Furthermore, [38, Theorem 4.1] holds with ε replaced by $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa_0, \varepsilon)$, i.e.

$$\boxed{\text{mm}} \quad (3.4) \quad \mu^0(\rho \log \rho) \leq \frac{t_0(3p_0-1) \log \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\varepsilon}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}) + 4\tilde{\varepsilon}p_0 \log \|P_{t_0}^0\|_{L^2(\mu^0) \rightarrow L^{2p_0}(\mu^0)}}{2\tilde{\varepsilon}(p_0-1) - t_0(3p_0-1)}.$$

Substituting (3.3) into (3.4), we complete the proof. \square

To obtain the Sobolev estimate for ρ by log-Sobolev's inequality of the reference SDE (2.1), let

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \{\partial_j(\sigma\sigma^*)_{ij} - (\sigma\sigma^*)_{ij}\partial_j V\}e_i$$

for some $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_0(f, g) = \mu^0(\langle \sigma^* \nabla f, \sigma^* \nabla g \rangle), \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0)$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under the norm

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1(f, f)} := \{\mu^0(|f|^2 + |\sigma^* \nabla f|^2)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{E}_0, H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0))$ is a symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mu^0)$.

Moreover, we shall introduce the condition **(H)** in [38]:

(H) Assume that $\mu^0(dx) = e^{-V} dx$ is a probability measure. There exists $k \geq 2$ such that $\sigma \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^n)$ and vector fields

$$U_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{ji} \partial_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

satisfy the Hörmander condition up to the k -th order of Lie brackets. Moreover, $1 \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu_0)$ with $\mathcal{E}_0(1, 1) = 0$, and defective log-Sobolev inequality

$$\boxed{\text{dl}} \quad (3.5) \quad \mu^0(f^2 \log f^2) \leq \kappa \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla f|^2) + \beta, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu^0(f^2) = 1$$

holds for some $\kappa > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

One can refer to [1, 2, 3, 19, 23, 39] for more results on the log-Sobolev inequality.

$\boxed{\text{SE}}$ **Theorem 3.2.** Assume (H) and (A_Z) for some $\varepsilon > \kappa$. Then ρ has a strictly positive continuous version satisfying $\log \rho, \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0)$ for $p \in (1, \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\kappa}})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \log \rho|^2) &\leq 4\mu^0((|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + 2K_Z)^2) \\ \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2) &\leq \inf_{\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa, \varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon} - \kappa} (\log \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_Z^2 \frac{\varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon - \tilde{\varepsilon}}}) + \beta), \\ \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \rho^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + \rho^p) &\leq \inf_{\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (p^2 \kappa, \varepsilon)} C_{p, \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_Z^2 \frac{\varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon - \tilde{\varepsilon}}}))^{C_{p, \tilde{\varepsilon}}} \end{aligned}$$

for some function $C_{p, \cdot} : (p^2 \kappa, \varepsilon) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Proof. By (3.3) for $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa, \varepsilon)$ and [38, Theorem 5.2], we conclude that μ^Z is the unique invariant probability measure of (3.1) in \mathcal{P}_Z and ρ has a strictly positive continuous version. So, it remains to prove the three estimates above. By [38, Theorem 5.2], we derive $\log \rho \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0)$ with

$$\mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \log \rho|^2) \leq 4\mu^0(|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2) \leq 4\mu^0((|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + 2K_Z)^2),$$

and for any $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa, \varepsilon)$,

$$\mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2) \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon} - \kappa} (\log \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\varepsilon}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}) + \beta) \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon} - \kappa} (\log \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_Z^2 \frac{\varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon - \tilde{\varepsilon}}}) + \beta),$$

here we used (3.3) in the last step.

Next, for any $p \in (1, \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\kappa}})$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (p^2 \kappa, \varepsilon)$, we have $p \in (1, \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}{\sqrt{\kappa}})$. Again by (3.3) for $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (p^2 \kappa, \varepsilon)$ and [38, Theorem 5.2], there exists a constant $C_{\tilde{\varepsilon}, p} \geq 1$ such that

$$\mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \rho^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + \rho^p) \leq C_{p, \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mu^0(e^{\tilde{\varepsilon}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}))^{C_{p, \tilde{\varepsilon}}} \leq C_{p, \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_Z^2 \frac{\varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon - \tilde{\varepsilon}}}))^{C_{p, \tilde{\varepsilon}}}.$$

So, the proof is completed. \square

4 Existence and Uniqueness of Invariant Probability Measure

In this section, we will consider two cases: one is the symmetric case and the other one is stochastic Hamiltonian system. We will consider the invariant probability measure of (1.1) in the class:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_Z = \{\nu = \rho_\nu \mu^0 : \nu \text{ is an invariant probability measure of (1.1)}\}.$$

For any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, consider

$$\boxed{\text{EMO}} \quad (4.1) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mu)\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t,$$

and denote

$$\mathcal{P}_Z^\mu = \{\nu = \rho_\nu \mu^0 : \nu \text{ is an invariant probability measure of (4.1)}\}.$$

4.1 Symmetric Case

$\boxed{\text{non}}$ **Example 4.1.** Let $Z(x, \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\nabla F(x, \mu) + \nabla \bar{F}(x))$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{2}I_{d \times d}$. Assume **(H)** and that there exist constants $\varepsilon > \kappa, C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{\log 2}{2})$ such that

$$\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot, \mu^0) + \bar{F}}) + \mu^0(e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\nabla F(\cdot, \mu^0) + \nabla \bar{F}|^2}) < \infty,$$

$$|\nabla F(x, \mu) - \nabla F(x, \nu)| \leq C\|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$|F(x, \mu) - F(x, \nu)| \leq \delta\|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then (1.1) has a unique invariant probability measure in $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_Z$.

Proof. By (3.3) for $\mu^Z = \mu$, $Z(x, \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\nabla F(x, \mu) + \nabla \bar{F}(x))$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa, \varepsilon)$, [38, Theorem 5.2] implies that for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (4.1) has a unique invariant probability measure in \mathcal{P}_Z^μ , which is denoted by $\Gamma(\mu)$. Therefore, Γ construct a map from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, it is clear that

$$\frac{d\Gamma(\mu)}{d\mu^0} = \frac{e^{F(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{F}}}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{F}})}.$$

By Taylor's expansion, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{F(x, \mu)} - e^{F(x, \nu)}| &\leq e^{F(x, \nu)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|F(x, \mu) - F(x, \nu)|^k}{k!} \\ &\leq e^{F(x, \nu)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^k \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}^k}{k!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq e^{F(x,\nu)} \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^k 2^{k-1}}{k!} \\
&= e^{F(x,\nu)} \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} \frac{e^{2\delta} - 1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma(\mu) - \Gamma(\nu)\|_{TV} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}}}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}})} - \frac{e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \right| \mu^0(dx) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}} \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}) - e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}} \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}})}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}}) \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \right| \mu^0(dx) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}) - \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}})|}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \mu^0(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}} - e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}|}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \mu^0(dx) \\
&\leq \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} (e^{2\delta} - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

So, when $\delta \in (0, \frac{\log 2}{2})$, Γ is a strictly contractive map on $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{TV})$. By Banach's fixed point theorem, we finish the proof. \square

4.2 Stochastic Hamiltonian system

The next example concentrates on the stochastic Hamiltonian system in \mathbb{R}^{2d} .

SHS **Example 4.2.** Let $Z_0(x, y) = (y, -x - y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{d \times d} & 0_{d \times d} \\ 0_{d \times d} & \sqrt{2}I_{d \times d} \end{pmatrix}$. Consider

$$\text{SHS00} \quad (4.2) \quad \begin{cases} dX_t = Y_t dt \\ dY_t = (-X_t - Y_t) dt + \nabla H(\cdot, \mathcal{L}_{(X_t, Y_t)})(X_t) dt + \nabla \bar{H}(X_t) dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t, \end{cases}$$

here $H : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\bar{H} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $Z(x, \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(0, \nabla H(\cdot, \mu)(x) + \nabla \bar{H}(x))$. By [36, Example 5.1], $\mu^0(dx, dy) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-\frac{|x|^2 + |y|^2}{2}} dx dy$ and

$$\|P_{t_0}^0\|_{L^2(\mu^0) \rightarrow L^4(\mu^0)} = 1$$

for some $t_0 > 0$. Assume that there exist constants $\varepsilon > \frac{5}{2}t_0$, $C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{\log 2}{2})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu^0(e^{H(\cdot, \mu^0) + \bar{H}}) + \mu^0(e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\nabla H(\cdot, \mu^0) + \nabla \bar{H}|^2}) < \infty, \\
&|\nabla H(x, \mu) - \nabla H(x, \nu)| \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|H(x, \mu) - H(x, \nu)| \leq \delta \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then (4.2) has a unique invariant probability measure in $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_Z$.

Proof. Note that (3.2) holds for $p_0 = 2$ and so $\kappa_0 = \frac{5}{2}t_0$. Using (3.3) for $\mu^Z = \mu$, $Z(x, \mu) = \nabla H(x, \mu) + \nabla \bar{H}(x)$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\kappa_0, \varepsilon)$ and applying [38, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.1], we conclude that (4.1) has a unique invariant probability measure in \mathcal{P}_Z^μ and we denote it as $\Gamma(\mu)$, which satisfies

$$\frac{d\Gamma(\mu)}{d\mu^0} = \frac{e^{H(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{H}}}{\mu^0(e^{H(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{H}})}.$$

In fact, the infinitesimal generator of (4.2) with μ in place of $\mathcal{L}_{(X_t, Y_t)}$ is

$$Lf(x, y) = y\nabla_x f + (-x - y + \nabla H(x, \mu) + \nabla \bar{H}(x))\nabla_y f + \nabla_y^2 f, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Lf(x, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x|^2}{2} - \frac{|y|^2}{2} + H(x, \mu) + \bar{H}(x) \right\} dx dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\langle \nabla_x f, \nabla_y \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x|^2}{2} - \frac{|y|^2}{2} + H(x, \mu) + \bar{H}(x) \right\} \right\rangle dx dy \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\langle \nabla_y f, \nabla_x \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x|^2}{2} - \frac{|y|^2}{2} + H(x, \mu) + \bar{H}(x) \right\} \right\rangle dx dy = 0, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}). \end{aligned}$$

Then repeating the proof of Example 4.1, we complete the proof. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professor Feng-Yu Wang for helpful comments.

References

- [1] S. Aida, *Uniformly positivity improving property, Sobolev inequalities and spectral gap*, J. Funct. Anal. 158(1998), 152-185.
- [2] D. Bakry, M. Émery, *Hypercontractivité de semi-groupes de diffusion*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 299(1984), 775-778.
- [3] D. Bakry, *L'hypercontractivité et son utilisation en théorie des semigroupes*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1581(1994), Springer.
- [4] J. Bao, M. Scheutzow, C. Yuan, *Existence of invariant probability measures for functional McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, arXiv:2107.13881.
- [5] M. Bauer, T. M-Brandis, *Existence and regularity of solutions to multi-dimensional mean-field stochastic differential equations with irregular drift*, arXiv:1912.05932.
- [6] M. Bauer, T. M-Brandis, F. Proske, *Strong solutions of mean-field stochastic differential equations with irregular drift*, Electron. J. Probab. 23(2018), 35 pp.

- [7] V. I. Bogachev, A. I. Kirillov, and S. V. Shaposhnikov, *The Kantorovich and variation distances between invariant measures of diffusions and nonlinear stationary Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations*, Math. Notes 96(2014), 855-863.
- [8] V. I. Bogachev, N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner, *Elliptic and parabolic equations for measures*, (Russian. Russian summary) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 64(2009), 5-116[Russian Math. Surveys 64(2009), 973-1078].
- [9] V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Elliptic equations for invariant measures on finite and infinite dimensional manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80(2001), 177-221.
- [10] V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner, S. V. Shaposhnikov, *The Poisson equation and estimates for distances between stationary distributions of diffusions*, J. Math. Sci. 232(2018), 254-282.
- [11] V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner, *A generalization of Khasminskii's theorem on the existence of invariant measures for locally integrable drifts*, Teor. Veroyatnost. Primenen. 45(2000), 417-436[Theory Probab. Appl. 45(2000), 363-378 ; correction: ibid. 46(2001), 600].
- [12] V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner, and S. V. Shaposhnikov, *On positive and probability solutions of the stationary Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk 444(2012), 245-249[Dokl. Math. 85 (2012), 350-354].
- [13] V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner, and S. V. Shaposhnikov, *On uniqueness problems related to elliptic equations for measures*, J. Math. Sci. 176(2011), 759-773.
- [14] O. Butkovsky, *Subgeometric rates of convergence of Markov processes in the Wasserstein metric*, Ann. Appl. Probab. 24(2014), 526-552.
- [15] K. Carrapatoso, *Exponential convergence to equilibrium for the homogeneous Landau equation with hard potentials*, Bull. Sci. Math. 139(2015), 777-805.
- [16] Chaudru de Raynal, P. E., Frikha, N., *Well-posedness for some non-linear diffusion processes and related pde on the wasserstein space*, arXiv:1811.06904.
- [17] M.-F. Chen, S. Li, *Coupling methods for multidimensional diffusion processes*, Ann. Probab. 17(1989), 151-177.
- [18] P. E. Chaudru de Raynal, *Strong well-posedness of McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equation with Hölder drift*, Stoch. Process Appl. 130(2020), 79-107.
- [19] M.-F. Chen, F.-Y. Wang, *Estimates of logarithmic Sobolev constant: an improvement of Bakry-Emery criterion*, J. Funct. Anal. 144(1997), 287-300.

- [20] I. Csiszár, J. Körne, *Information Theory: Coding Theorems for Discrete Memoryless Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [21] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [22] D. A. Dawson, *Critical dynamics and fluctuations for a mean-field model of cooperative behavior*, J. Stat. Phys. 31(1983), 29-85.
- [23] J.-D. Deuschel, D. W. Stroock, *Hypercontractivity and spectral gap of symmetric diffusions with applications to the stochastic Ising models*, J. Funct. Anal. 92(1990), 30-48.
- [24] M. H. Duong, J. Tugaut, *Stationary solutions of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation: Existence, characterization and phase-transition*, Appl. Math. Lett. 52(2016), 38-45.
- [25] S. Feng, and X. G. Zheng, *Solutions of a class of non-linear Master equations*, Stoch. Process Appl. 43(1992), 65-84.
- [26] X. Huang, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations for probability measures on path space and path-distribution dependent SDEs*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 39(2019), 3017-3035.
- [27] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution dependent SDEs with singular coefficients*, Stoch. Process Appl. 129(2019), 4747-4770.
- [28] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *McKean-Vlasov SDEs with drifts discontinuous under Wasserstein distance*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 41(2021), 1667-1679.
- [29] D. Lacker, *On a strong form of propagation of chaos for McKean-Vlasov equations*, Electron. Commun. Probab. 23(2018), 11 pp.
- [30] M. Liang, M. B. Majka, J. Wang, *Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 57(2021), 1665-1701.
- [31] Yu. S. Mishura, A. Yu. Veretennikov, *Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of McKean-Vlasov stochastic equations*, arXiv:1603.02212.
- [32] M. S. Pinsker, *Information and Information Stability of Random Variables and Processes*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1964.
- [33] M. Röckner, X. Zhang, *Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts*, Bernoulli, 27(2021), 1131-1158.
- [34] M. Scheutzow, *Couplings, generalized couplings and uniqueness of invariant measures*, Electron. Commun. Probab. 25(2020), 7 pp.

- [35] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack Inequality for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [36] F.-Y. Wang, *Hypercontractivity and applications for stochastic Hamiltonian systems*, J. Funct. Anal. 272(2017), 5360-5383.
- [37] F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution-dependent SDEs for Landau type equations*, Stoch. Process Appl. 128(2018), 595-621.
- [38] F.-Y. Wang, *Estimates for invariant probability measures of degenerate SPDEs with singular and path-dependent drifts*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 172(2018), 1181-1214.
- [39] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities for log-Sobolev functions and estimates of log-Sobolev constants*, Ann. Probab. 27(1999), 653-663.
- [40] F.-Y. Wang, *Exponential Ergodicity for Singular Reflecting McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, arXiv:2108.03908.
- [41] S.-Q. Zhang, *Existence and non-uniqueness of stationary distributions for distribution dependent SDEs*, arXiv:2105.04226.
- [42] G. Zhao, *On Distribution depend SDEs with singular drifts*, arXiv:2003.04829.