

Invariant Probability Measure for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with Singular Drifts*

Xing Huang ^{a)}, Shen Wang ^{a)}, Fen-Fen Yang ^{b)}

a)Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China
xinghuang@tju.edu.cn, wswangshen@tju.edu.cn

b)Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China
yangfenfen@shu.edu.cn

December 4, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, by utilizing Wang's Harnack inequality with power and the Banach fixed point theorem, the weak well-posedness for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with integrable drift is investigated. In addition, using the decoupled method, some regularity such as relative entropy and Sobolev's estimate of invariant probability measure are proved. Furthermore, by comparing two stationary Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations, the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure for McKean-Vlasov SDEs are obtained by Banach's fixed theorem. Finally, some examples are presented.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10, 60G44.

Keywords: McKean-Vlasov SDEs, Total variation distance, Invariant probability measure, Information entropy, Log-Sobolev's inequality.

1 Introduction

Invariant probability measure is the equilibrium state in physics. There are plentiful results on the invariant probability measure for linear semigroup P_t associated to classical

*Supported in part by NNSFC (11801406).

SDEs. Among them the existence of invariant probability measure can be studied by investigating the tightness of the sequence of probability measures

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_0^n P_t^* \delta_x dt$$

with respect to n , see [15]. Moreover, Lyapunov's condition is an useful sufficient condition to obtain the existence of invariant probability measure, i.e. there exists a function V with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$ and constants $C, R > 0$ such that

$$LV \leq -C, \quad |x| \geq R,$$

see, for instance [9]. For the uniqueness, the classical principle is strong Feller property together with irreducibility, see [15, Theorem 4.2.1]. By Wang's Harnack inequality [29, Theorem 1.4.1], the uniqueness can also be ensured. Furthermore, using couplings or generalized couplings, [28] proved the uniqueness of the invariant measures. Recently, in [31], the existence and uniqueness as well as the regularity such as relative entropy and Sobolev's estimate are investigated.

However, all the above methods are invalid to obtain the existence and uniqueness for distribution dependent SDEs, where the associated semigroup P_t^* is nonlinear. In [30], Wang obtained the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure by Cauchy sequence method, see [20] for the path-distribution dependent case. One can also refer to [24] for the McKean-Vlasov SDEs with Lévy noise. Quite recently, [34] investigated the existence of invariant probability measure by Schauder's fixed point theorem, see also [4] for the existence of invariant probability measure of functional McKean-Vlasov SDEs by Kakutani's fixed point theorem. In addition, [33] proved the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure for (reflecting) McKean-Vlasov SDEs by exponential ergodicity and Banach's fixed point theorem. For more results, one can see [16, 18, 19] and references therein. Moreover, by using log-Sobolev's inequality, Poincaré's inequality or Poisson equations, [7, 8] investigated the existence and uniqueness of the solution to stationary nonlinear and non-degenerate Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations.

In this paper, we will extend the results in [7] to the degenerate case, where the diffusion coefficients may be not invertible. More precisely, we will give the estimate of information entropy between two invariant probability measures of two different SDEs. Accordingly, the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure for McKean-Vlasov SDEs are proved by Banach's fixed point theorem. Moreover, the regularity of invariant probability measure with integrable drift is also obtained by decoupled technique and the existed results in [31].

To do so, let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d equipped with the weak topology. Consider the following distribution dependent SDE on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$(1.1) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t,$$

where $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an n -dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtration probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, \mathcal{L}_{X_t} is the law of X_t ,

$$Z : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad Z_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$$

are measurable. Compared with [31], Z can depend on the distribution of the solution, see (A_b) below for the condition of Z on the measure component. When a different probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is concerned, we use $\mathcal{L}_\xi|\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ to denote the law of a random variable ξ under the probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, and use $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ to stand for the expectation under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

Definition 1.1. (1) An adapted continuous process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{R}^d is called a solution of (1.1), if X_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable,

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \{ |Z_0(X_t)| + |\sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})| + \|\sigma(X_t)\|^2 \} dt < \infty, \quad T > 0,$$

and \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$(1.3) \quad X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t Z_0(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)Z(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- (2) For any $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $((\tilde{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\tilde{W}_t)_{t \geq 0})$ is called a weak solution to (1.1) starting at μ_0 , if $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an n -dimensional Brownian motion under a complete filtration probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, $(\tilde{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -adapted process on \mathbb{R}^d with $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_0}|\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mu_0$ and $\tilde{X}_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$, and (1.2)-(1.3) hold for $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})$ replacing $(X, W, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{E})$.
- (3) We call (1.1) weakly well-posed for an initial distribution μ_0 , if it has a weak solution starting at μ_0 and any weak solution with the same initial distribution is equal in law.

For the well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts, one can refer to [5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 21, 22, 23, 25, 27, 35] and references within.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we investigate the weak well-posedness of (1.1) under integrable condition. In Section 3, the regularity of invariant probability measure for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with integrable drift is presented. The existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure are stated in Section 4.

2 Weak Well-posedness

For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the total variation distance between μ and ν is defined as

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} = 2 \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)| = \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|.$$

To obtain the weak well-posedness of (1.1), we make the following assumptions, see [31] for more details.

(A) The reference SDE

$$(2.1) \quad dX_t = Z_0(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$$

is strongly well-posed and has a unique invariant probability measure μ^0 .

(A_b) There exist constants $\varepsilon > 0, K_b > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2}) < \infty,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad |Z(x, \gamma) - Z(x, \tilde{\gamma})| \leq K_b \|\gamma - \tilde{\gamma}\|_{TV}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let π_t be the projection map from $C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$ to \mathbb{R}^d , i.e.

$$\pi_t(w) = w_t, \quad w \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d).$$

For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we will prove that (1.1) has a unique weak solution with initial distribution γ and the distribution of the solution \mathbb{P}^γ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{P}^\gamma \left(w \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d), \int_0^t |Z(w_s, \mathbb{P}^\gamma \circ \pi_s^{-1})|^2 ds < \infty, \quad t \geq 0 \right) = 1.$$

Firstly, repeating the proof of [31, Theorem 2.1], we can easily extend it to the time dependent case below. Consider

$$(2.5) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)\tilde{Z}_t(X_t)\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t,$$

here $\tilde{Z} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume (A) and that the semigroup P_t^0 associated to (2.1) satisfies the Harnack inequality, i.e. there exists $p > 1$ such that*

$$(2.6) \quad (P_t^0|f|)^p(z) \leq (P_t^0|f|^p)(\bar{z})e^{\Phi_p(t, z, \bar{z})}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0$$

with

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^t \{\mu^0(e^{-\Phi_p(s, z, \cdot)})\}^{-\frac{1}{p}} ds < \infty, \quad t > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In addition, if there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{\varepsilon|\tilde{Z}|^2}\|_{L^\infty([0, t]; L^1(\mu^0))} < \infty, \quad t > 0,$$

then for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (2.5) has a unique weak solution \tilde{X}_t^γ with initial distribution γ and satisfying (2.4).

Proof. Since the proof can be completely the same with that of [31, Theorem 2.1], we omit it here. \square

The main result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume (A), (A_b), and that P_t^0 satisfies (2.6) and (2.7), then for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (1.1) has a unique weak solution with initial distribution γ and satisfying (2.4).*

To prove Theorem 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that for any $T > 0$, (1.1) is weakly well-posed on $[0, T]$. So, we fix $T > 0$ in the following. For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, consider

$$(2.8) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mu_t)\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$$

with initial distribution γ .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Note that (A_b) implies

$$\|e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|Z(\cdot, \mu)|^2}\|_{L^\infty([0, t]; L^1(\mu^0))} \leq \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4\varepsilon K_b^2}) = \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2})e^{4\varepsilon K_b^2} < \infty, \quad t \geq 0,$$

So, under the assumption of Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.1 implies that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (2.8) has a unique weak solution $(X_t^\mu, W_t^\mu)_{t \in [0, T]}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}^\mu)$ with $\mathcal{L}_{X_0^\mu}|\mathbb{P}^\mu = \gamma$ for some probability measure \mathbb{P}^μ . Moreover, we denote $\Phi_t^\gamma(\mu) = \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}|\mathbb{P}^\mu$. For $\nu \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, we rewrite (2.8) as

$$(2.9) \quad dX_t^\mu = \{Z_0(X_t^\mu) + \sigma(X_t^\mu)Z(X_t^\mu, \nu_t)\}dt + \sigma(X_t^\mu)d\tilde{W}_t,$$

where

$$\tilde{W}_t = W_t^\mu + \int_0^t \xi_s ds, \quad \xi_s := Z(X_s^\mu, \mu_s) - Z(X_s^\mu, \nu_s), \quad s, t \in [0, T].$$

By (A_b), one can see that

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^\mu}[e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\xi_s|^2 ds}] \leq e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T K_b^2 \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2 ds} \leq e^{2TK_b^2} < \infty.$$

Set

$$R_t := e^{-\int_0^t \langle \xi_s, dW_s^\mu \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\xi_s|^2 ds}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

According to the Girsanov theorem, we see that R_T is a probability density with respect to \mathbb{P}^μ , and $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an n -dimensional Brownian motion under the probability $\mathbb{Q} := R_T \mathbb{P}^\mu$.

From the weak uniqueness of (2.8) and $\mathcal{L}_{X_0^\mu}|\mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{L}_{X_0^\mu}|\mathbb{P}^\mu = \gamma$, we conclude that

$$\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu) = \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}|\mathbb{Q}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Combining this with (A_b) and applying Pinsker's inequality [14, 26], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad \|\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu) - \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu)\|_{TV}^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^\mu}[R_t \log R_t] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \int_0^t |\xi_s|^2 ds \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \int_0^t |Z(X_s^\mu, \mu_s) - Z(X_s^\mu, \nu_s)|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (A_b) implies

$$(2.12) \quad \|\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu) - \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu)\|_{TV}^2 \leq \int_0^t K_b^2 \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2 ds.$$

Take $\lambda = K_b^2$ and consider the space $E_T := \{\mu \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \mu_0 = \gamma\}$ equipped with the complete metric

$$\rho(\nu, \mu) := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-2\lambda t} \|\nu_t - \mu_t\|_{TV}.$$

It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-2\lambda t} \|\Phi_t^\gamma(\nu) - \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu)\|_{TV}^2 &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t K_b^2 e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} e^{-2\lambda s} \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2 ds \\
&\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} e^{-2\lambda s} \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t K_b^2 e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} e^{-2\lambda s} \|\mu_s - \nu_s\|_{TV}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then Φ^γ is a strictly contractive map on E_T , so that the equation

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi_t^\gamma(\mu) = \mu_t, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

has a unique solution $\mu \in E_T$. The proof is completed. \square

3 Regularity of Invariant Probability Measure

In this section, we consider the regularity of invariant probability measure of (1.1) and a general result for the regularity will be presented. Assume that μ^Z is an invariant probability measure of (1.1) with density ρ with respect to μ^0 , i.e. $\mu^Z = \rho\mu^0$. It is clear that μ^Z is also an invariant probability measure of the following distribution independent SDE:

$$(3.1) \quad dX_t = \{Z_0(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)Z(X_t, \mu^Z)\}dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t.$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume **(A)**, (2.3) and

$$(3.2) \quad \|P_{t_0}^0\|_{L^2(\mu^0) \rightarrow L^{2p_0}(\mu^0)} < \infty$$

for some $t_0 > 0$ and $p_0 > 1$. If in addition, $\mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2}) < \infty$ for some $\lambda > \kappa_0 := \frac{t_0(3p_0-1)}{2(p_0-1)}$, then it holds

$$\mu^0(\rho \log \rho) \leq \inf_{\tilde{\lambda} \in (\kappa_0, \lambda)} \frac{t_0(3p_0-1) \log \mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_b^2 \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}}}) + 4\tilde{\lambda} p_0 \log \|P_{t_0}^0\|_{L^2(\mu^0) \rightarrow L^{2p_0}(\mu^0)}}{2\tilde{\lambda}(p_0-1) - t_0(3p_0-1)}.$$

Proof. For any $\tilde{\lambda} \in (0, \lambda)$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\lambda}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}) &\leq \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\lambda}(|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + K_b \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV})^2}) \\ &= \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\lambda}|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 2\tilde{\lambda} \frac{K_b \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV}}{\sqrt{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}}} \sqrt{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}} |Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + \tilde{\lambda} K_b^2 \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV}^2}) \\ &\leq \mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2}) e^{K_b^2 \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}} \|\mu^Z - \mu^0\|_{TV}^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda > \kappa_0 = \frac{t_0(3p_0-1)}{2(p_0-1)}$, using (3.3) for $\tilde{\lambda} \in (\kappa_0, \lambda)$ and [31, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.1], we conclude that μ^Z is the unique invariant probability measure of (3.1). Furthermore, [31, Theorem 4.1] holds with λ replaced by $\tilde{\lambda} \in (\kappa_0, \lambda)$, i.e.

$$(3.4) \quad \mu^0(\rho \log \rho) \leq \frac{t_0(3p_0-1) \log \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\lambda}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}) + 4\tilde{\lambda} p_0 \log \|P_{t_0}^0\|_{L^2(\mu^0) \rightarrow L^{2p_0}(\mu^0)}}{2\tilde{\lambda}(p_0-1) - t_0(3p_0-1)}.$$

Substituting (3.3) into (3.4), we complete the proof. \square

To obtain the Sobolev estimate for ρ by log-Sobolev's inequality of the reference SDE (2.1), let

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \{\partial_j(\sigma\sigma^*)_{ij} - (\sigma\sigma^*)_{ij} \partial_j V\} e_i$$

for some $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_0(f, g) = \mu^0(\langle \sigma^* \nabla f, \sigma^* \nabla g \rangle), \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0)$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under the norm

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1(f, f)} := \{\mu^0(|f|^2 + |\sigma^* \nabla f|^2)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{E}_0, H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0))$ is a symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mu^0)$.

Moreover, we shall introduce the condition **(H)** in [31]:

(H) Assume that $\mu^0(dx) = e^{-V} dx$ is a probability measure. There exists $k \geq 2$ such that $\sigma \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^n)$ and vector fields

$$U_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{ji} \partial_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

satisfy the Hörmander condition up to the k -th order of Lie brackets. Moreover, $1 \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu_0)$ with $\mathcal{E}_0(1, 1) = 0$, and defective log-Sobolev inequality

$$(3.5) \quad \mu^0(f^2 \log f^2) \leq \kappa \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla f|^2) + \beta, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu^0(f^2) = 1$$

holds for some $\kappa > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

One can refer to [1, 2, 3, 13, 17, 32] for more results on the log-Sobolev inequality.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume (H), (2.3) and $\mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2}) < \infty$ for some $\lambda > \kappa$. Then ρ has a strictly positive continuous version satisfying $\log \rho, \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0)$ for $p \in (1, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\kappa}})$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \log \rho|^2) &\leq 4\mu^0((|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + 2K_b)^2) \\ \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2) &\leq \inf_{\tilde{\lambda} \in (\kappa, \lambda)} \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda} - \kappa} (\log \mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_b^2 \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}}}) + \beta), \\ \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \rho^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2) &\leq \inf_{\tilde{\lambda} \in (p^2 \kappa, \lambda)} C_{p, \tilde{\lambda}} (\mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_b^2 \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}}}))^{C_{p, \tilde{\lambda}}} \end{aligned}$$

for some function $C_{p, \cdot} : (p^2 \kappa, \lambda) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Proof. By (3.3) for $\tilde{\lambda} \in (\kappa, \lambda)$ and [31, Theorem 5.1(2), Theorem 5.2], ρ has a strictly positive continuous version. So, it remains to prove the three estimates above. By [31, Theorem 5.1(2), Theorem 5.2], we arrive at $\log \rho \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0)$ with

$$\mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \log \rho|^2) \leq 4\mu^0(|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2) \leq 4\mu^0((|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)| + 2K_b)^2),$$

and for any $\tilde{\lambda} \in (\kappa, \lambda)$,

$$\mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2) \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda} - \kappa} (\log \mu^0(e^{\tilde{\lambda}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}) + \beta) \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda} - \kappa} (\log \mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_b^2 \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}}}) + \beta),$$

here we used (3.3) in the last step.

Next, for any $p \in (1, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\kappa}})$ and $\tilde{\lambda} \in (p^2 \kappa, \lambda)$, we have $p \in (1, \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\kappa}})$. Again by (3.3) for $\tilde{\lambda} \in (p^2 \kappa, \lambda)$ and [31, Theorem 5.1(2), Theorem 5.2], there exists a constant $C_{\tilde{\lambda}, p} \geq 1$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \rho^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2) \leq C_{p, \tilde{\lambda}} (\mu^0(e^{\tilde{\lambda}|Z(\cdot, \mu^Z)|^2}))^{C_{p, \tilde{\lambda}}}.$$

So, this together with the third inequality in (3.6) yields

$$\mu^0(|\sigma^* \nabla \rho^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2) \leq C_{p, \tilde{\lambda}} (\mu^0(e^{\lambda|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2 + 4K_b^2 \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}}}))^{C_{p, \tilde{\lambda}}}.$$

□

4 Existence and Uniqueness of Invariant Probability Measure

Consider the stationary nonlinear Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \mu = 0,$$

here,

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu f = \langle Z_0, \nabla f \rangle + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla f \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla^2 f), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_\mu^* \gamma)(f) = \gamma(\mathcal{L}_\mu f), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu, \gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

When (1.1) is weakly well-posed, the invariant probability measure of (1.1) is a solution to (4.1) in the sense

$$\mu(\mathcal{L}_\mu f) = 0, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Note that

$$\mu^0(e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|Z(\cdot, \mu)|^2}) \leq \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2} e^{\varepsilon|Z(\cdot, \mu) - Z(\cdot, \mu^0)|^2}), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then according to [31, Theorem 5.2], under **(H)** and (A_b) with $\varepsilon > 2\kappa$, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique probability measure $\Gamma(\mu)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu^*(\Gamma(\mu)) = 0.$$

Therefore, Γ construct a map from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Next, we prove that Γ has a unique fixed point. We first give a result characterizing the Fisher information of $\Gamma(\mu)$ and $\Gamma(\nu)$ for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

By [31, Theorem 5.2], under **(H)** and (A_b) with $\varepsilon > 2\kappa$, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\Gamma(\mu)$ has a strictly positive and continuous density with respect to μ^0 . We denote $\rho_\mu = \frac{d\Gamma(\mu)}{d\mu^0}$ and $\rho_{\nu, \mu} = \frac{d\Gamma(\nu)}{d\Gamma(\mu)}$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then it is clear that $\rho_\nu = \rho_{\nu, \mu} \rho_\mu$. Moreover, $\log \rho_\mu, \rho_\mu^{\frac{p}{2}} \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0)$ for $p \in (1, \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})$. Next, we prove a useful result on the information entropy between $\Gamma(\mu)$ and $\Gamma(\nu)$. The proof is modified from [8, Theorem 2.1], where $\sigma \sigma^*$ is assumed to be invertible.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume **(H)** and (A_b) with $\varepsilon > 2\kappa$. If*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{|\sigma \sigma^*(x)|}{(1+|x|)^2} + \frac{|Z_0(x) + \sigma Z(x, \mu)|}{1+|x|} \right) \Gamma(\mu)(dx) < \infty,$$

then it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu, \mu}|^2}{\rho_{\nu, \mu}} d\Gamma(\mu) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu)|^2 d\Gamma(\nu).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}^0 := \langle Z_0, \nabla \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla^2)$. Then under **(H)**, $(\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^0))$ is a symmetric operator in $L^2(\mu^0)$. It follows from integration by parts formula that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla f \rangle g e^{-V(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f [-\text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu)g) + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle g] \mu^0(dx), \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

So, we conclude that the adjoint operator of \mathcal{L}_μ on $L^2(\mu^0)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu^* g = \mathcal{L}^0 g - \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu)g) + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle g, \quad g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For any $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\mu^*[uv] &= \mathcal{L}^0[uv] - \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu)[uv]) + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle[uv] \\ &= v \langle Z_0, \nabla u \rangle + u \langle Z_0, \nabla v \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* (v \nabla^2 u + u \nabla^2 v + 2 \nabla u \otimes \nabla v)) \\ &\quad - u \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla v \rangle - v \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla u \rangle - \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu))[uv] + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle[uv] \\ &= u \mathcal{L}_\mu^* v + v \mathcal{L}_\mu^* u + \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla u, \nabla v) + \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu))[uv] - \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle[uv] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\mu^*[f(u)] &= \mathcal{L}^0[f(u)] - \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu)[f(u)]) + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle[f(u)] \\ &= f'(u) \langle Z_0, \nabla u \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* (f''(u) \nabla u \otimes \nabla u + f'(u) \nabla^2 u)) \\ (4.4) \quad &\quad - f(u) \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu)) - f'(u) \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla u \rangle + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle f(u) \\ &= f'(u) \mathcal{L}_\mu^* u + \frac{1}{2} f''(u) \langle \sigma \sigma^* \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \\ &\quad + (u f'(u) - f(u)) \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu)) + \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle (f(u) - u f'(u)). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of ρ_μ and ρ_ν , we arrive at

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu^* \rho_\mu = \mathcal{L}_\nu^* \rho_\nu = 0$$

in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\mu(\phi) \rho_\mu d\mu^0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\nu(\phi) \rho_\nu d\mu^0 = 0, \quad \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

So, for $f \in C^2((0, \infty))$ with $f'' \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\mu^*[f(\rho_{\nu, \mu}) \rho_\mu] &= \rho_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu^* f(\rho_{\nu, \mu}) + f'(\rho_{\nu, \mu}) \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu, \mu}, \nabla \rho_\mu) \\ (4.5) \quad &\quad + \text{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu))[f(\rho_{\nu, \mu}) \rho_\mu] - \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle [f(\rho_{\nu, \mu}) \rho_\mu]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing u by $\rho_{\nu,\mu}$ and multiplying ρ_μ on both sides of (4.4), we get from (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_\mu^*[f(\rho_{\nu,\mu})\rho_\mu] \\ &= \rho_\mu \frac{1}{2} f''(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \langle \sigma \sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu}, \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rangle \\ &+ f'(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \left[\rho_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \rho_{\nu,\mu} + \rho_\mu \rho_{\nu,\mu} \operatorname{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu)) \right. \\ &\left. + \operatorname{Tr} \langle \sigma \sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu}, \nabla \rho_\mu \rangle - \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle [\rho_\mu \rho_{\nu,\mu}] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu^*[\rho_\mu \rho_{\nu,\mu}] = (\mathcal{L}_\mu^* - \mathcal{L}_\nu^*)\rho_\nu = \operatorname{div}(\sigma(Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu))\rho_\nu) + \langle \sigma(Z(\cdot, \mu) - Z(\cdot, \nu)), \nabla V \rangle \rho_\nu,$$

we know

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\mu^*[\rho_\mu \rho_{\nu,\mu}] &= \rho_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \rho_{\nu,\mu} + \operatorname{Tr} \langle \sigma \sigma^* \nabla \rho_\mu, \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rangle \\ &+ \operatorname{div}(\sigma Z(\cdot, \mu))[\rho_\mu \rho_{\nu,\mu}] - \langle \sigma Z(\cdot, \mu), \nabla V \rangle [\rho_\mu \rho_{\nu,\mu}] \\ &= \operatorname{div}(\sigma(Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu))\rho_\nu) + \langle \sigma(Z(\cdot, \mu) - Z(\cdot, \nu)), \nabla V \rangle \rho_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_\mu \frac{1}{2} f''(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \langle \sigma \sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu}, \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{L}_\mu^*[f(\rho_{\nu,\mu})\rho_\mu] - f'(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) [\operatorname{div}(\sigma(Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu))\rho_\nu) + \langle \sigma(Z(\cdot, \mu) - Z(\cdot, \nu)), \nabla V \rangle \rho_\nu]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Phi = \sigma(Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu))$. It yields that for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\mu \frac{1}{2} f''(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \langle \sigma \sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu}, \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rangle \psi \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f(\rho_{\nu,\mu})\rho_\mu] \mathcal{L}_\mu \psi \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f'(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \operatorname{div}(\Phi \rho_\nu) \psi \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x) \\ (4.6) \quad &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Phi, \nabla V \rangle f'(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \rho_\nu \psi \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f(\rho_{\nu,\mu})\rho_\mu] \mathcal{L}_\mu \psi \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Phi, \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rangle \rho_\nu f''(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \psi \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Phi, \nabla \psi \rangle f'(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \rho_\nu \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to [7, Proof of Theorem 1] or [8, Proof of Theorem 2.1], there exists a sequence of $\{\psi_N\}_{N \geq 1} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\psi_N \rightarrow 1$, $|\nabla \psi_N| \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_\mu \psi_N \rightarrow 0$ as N goes to infinity. Therefore, replacing ψ with ψ_N in (4.6) and letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, (4.3) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\mu \frac{1}{2} f''(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \langle \sigma \sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu}, \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rangle \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Phi, \nabla \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rangle \rho_{\nu,\mu} \rho_\mu f''(\rho_{\nu,\mu}) \mu^0(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Recalling $\Phi = \sigma(Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu))$ and combing the elemental inequality

$$\langle \Phi, \nabla \rho_{\nu, \mu} \rangle \rho_{\nu, \mu} \leq \frac{1}{2} |\sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu, \mu}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu)|^2 \rho_{\nu, \mu}^2,$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f''(\rho_{\nu, \mu}) |\sigma^* \nabla \rho_{\nu, \mu}|^2 \rho_{\nu, \mu} \mu^0(dx) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Z(\cdot, \nu) - Z(\cdot, \mu)|^2 \rho_{\nu, \mu}^2 f''(\rho_{\nu, \mu}) \rho_{\nu, \mu} \mu^0(dx).$$

Finally, taking $f(x) = x \log x$ and noting $f''(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, we completed the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Assume (H) and (A_b) with $\varepsilon > 2\kappa$. If in addition, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$(4.7) \quad \|\Gamma(\mu) - f^2 \Gamma(\mu)\|_{TV}^2 \leq \tilde{\kappa} \Gamma(\mu) (|\sigma^* \nabla f|^2), \quad f \in H_\sigma^{1,2}(\mu^0), \Gamma(\mu)(f^2) = 1$$

with $\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{2}} K_b < 1$, then $\mathcal{L}_\mu^* \mu = 0$ has a unique solution.

Proof. Taking $f = \sqrt{\rho_{\nu, \mu}}$ in (4.7), we have

$$\|\Gamma(\mu) - \Gamma(\nu)\|_{TV}^2 \leq \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Z(x, \nu) - Z(x, \mu)|^2 d\Gamma(\nu) \leq \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{2} K_b^2 \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}^2.$$

When $\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{2}} K_b < 1$, we finish the proof due to the fixed point theorem. \square

4.1 Some Other Examples

When Z has a special form, we can also derive the existence and uniqueness of invariant probability measure. The following three examples are presented to illustrate this case.

Example 4.3. *Let $Z(x, \mu) = \nabla F(x, \mu) + \nabla \bar{F}(x)$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{2} I_{d \times d}$. Assume (H) and that there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0, C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{\log 2}{2})$ such that*

$$\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot, \mu^0) + \bar{F}}) + \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon |\nabla F(\cdot, \mu^0) + \nabla \bar{F}|^2}) < \infty,$$

$$|\nabla F(x, \mu) - \nabla F(x, \nu)| \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$|F(x, \mu) - F(x, \nu)| \leq \delta \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then (1.1) has a unique invariant probability measure.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\frac{d\Gamma(\mu)}{d\mu^0} = \frac{e^{F(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{F}}}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{F}})}.$$

By Taylor's expansion, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
|e^{F(x,\mu)} - e^{F(x,\nu)}| &\leq e^{F(x,\nu)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|F(x,\mu) - F(x,\nu)|^k}{k!} \\
&\leq e^{F(x,\nu)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^k \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}^k}{k!} \\
&\leq e^{F(x,\nu)} \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^k 2^{k-1}}{k!} \\
&= e^{F(x,\nu)} \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} \frac{e^{2\delta} - 1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma(\mu) - \Gamma(\nu)\|_{TV} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}}}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}})} - \frac{e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \right| \mu^0(dx) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}} \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}) - e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}} \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}})}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}}) \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \right| \mu^0(dx) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}) - \mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}})|}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \mu^0(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|e^{F(\cdot,\mu)+\bar{F}} - e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}}|}{\mu^0(e^{F(\cdot,\nu)+\bar{F}})} \mu^0(dx) \\
&\leq \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} (e^{2\delta} - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

By Banach's fixed point theorem and $\delta \in (0, \frac{\log 2}{2})$, we finish the proof. \square

The next example concentrates on the stochastic Hamiltonian system.

Example 4.4. Let $Z_0(x, y) = (y, -x - y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma = \sqrt{2}I_{d \times d}$. Consider

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{cases} dX_t = Y_t \\ dY_t = -X_t - Y_t - \nabla H(\cdot, \mathcal{L}_{(X_t, Y_t)})(X_t)dt - \nabla \bar{H}(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dW_t, \end{cases}$$

here $H : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\bar{H} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $Z(x, \mu) = -\nabla H(\cdot, \mu)(x) - \nabla \bar{H}(x)$. Then $\mu^0(dx, dy) = \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2}\right\} dx dy$. Assume that there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0, C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{\log 2}{2})$ such that

$$\mu^0(e^{H(\cdot, \mu^0)+\bar{H}}) + \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon|\nabla H(\cdot, \mu^0)+\nabla \bar{H}|^2}) < \infty,$$

$$|\nabla H(x, \mu) - \nabla H(x, \nu)| \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$|H(x, \mu) - H(x, \nu)| \leq \delta \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then (1.1) has a unique invariant probability measure.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{d\Gamma(\mu)}{d\mu^0} = \frac{e^{H(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{H}}}{\mu^0(e^{H(\cdot, \mu) + \bar{H}})}$$

In fact, the infinitesimal generator of (4.8) is

$$Lf(x, y) = y\nabla_x f + (-x - y - \nabla H(x, \mu) - \nabla \bar{H})\nabla_y f + \nabla_y^2 f, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Lf(x, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2} - \bar{H}(x, \mu) - H(x) \right\} dx dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle \nabla_x f, \nabla_y \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2} - \bar{H}(x, \mu) - H(x) \right\} \right\rangle dx dy \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle \nabla_y f, \nabla_x \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2} - \bar{H}(x, \mu) - H(x) \right\} \right\rangle dx dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then repeating the proof of Example 4.3, we complete the proof. \square

In the one-dimensional case, since the invariant probability measure has explicit representation, the drift term Z can be more general.

Example 4.5. Let $d = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, and $Z(y, \mu) = b(y, \mu) + \bar{b}(y)$. Assume that there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0$, $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{\log 2}{2})$ such that

$$\mu^0(e^{\int_{c_0} b(y, \mu^0) dy} + \int_{c_0} \bar{b}(y) dy) + \mu^0(e^{\varepsilon |b(\cdot, \mu^0) + \bar{b}|^2}) < \infty,$$

$$|b(x, \mu) - b(x, \nu)| \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$\left| \int_{c_0}^x b(y, \mu) dy - \int_{c_0}^x b(y, \nu) dy \right| \leq \delta \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then (1.1) has a unique invariant probability measure.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\frac{d\Gamma(\mu)}{d\mu^0} = \frac{e^{\int_{c_0} b(y, \mu^0) dy} + \int_{c_0} \bar{b}(y) dy}{\mu^0(e^{\int_{c_0} b(y, \mu^0) dy} + \int_{c_0} \bar{b}(y) dy)}.$$

Again the remaining is just repeating the proof of Example 4.3, we obtain the desired result. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professor Feng-Yu Wang for helpful comments.

References

- [1] S. Aida, *Uniformly positivity improving property, Sobolev inequalities and spectral gap*, J. Funct. Anal. 158(1998), 152-185.
- [2] D. Bakry, M. Émery, *Hypercontractivité de semi-groupes de diffusion*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 299(1984), 775-778.
- [3] D. Bakry, *L'hypercontractivité et son utilisation en théorie des semigroupes*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1581(1994), Springer.
- [4] J. Bao, M. Scheutzow, C. Yuan, *Existence of invariant probability measures for functional McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, arXiv:2107.13881.
- [5] M. Bauer, T. M-Brandis, *Existence and regularity of solutions to multi-dimensional mean-field stochastic differential equations with irregular drift*, arXiv:1912.05932.
- [6] M. Bauer, T. M-Brandis, F. Proske, *Strong solutions of mean-field stochastic differential equations with irregular drift*, Electron. J. Probab. 23(2018), 35 pp.
- [7] V. I. Bogachev, A. I. Kirillov, and S. V. Shaposhnikov, *The Kantorovich and variation distances between invariant measures of diffusions and nonlinear stationary Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations*, Math. Notes 96(2014), 855-863.
- [8] V. I. Bogachev, A. I. Kirillov, and S. V. Shaposhnikov, *The Poisson equation and estimates for distances between stationary distributions of diffusions*, J. Math. Sci. 232(2018), 254-282.
- [9] O. Butkovsky, *Subgeometric rates of convergence of Markov processes in the Wasserstein metric*, Ann. Appl. Probab. 24(2014), 526-552.
- [10] K. Carrapatoso, *Exponential convergence to equilibrium for the homogeneous Landau equation with hard potentials*, Bull. Sci. Math. 139(2015), 777-805.
- [11] Chaudru de Raynal, P. E., Frikha, N., *Well-posedness for some non-linear diffusion processes and related pde on the wasserstein space*, arXiv:1811.06904.
- [12] P. E. Chaudru de Raynal, *Strong well-posedness of McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equation with Hölder drift*, Stoch. Process Appl. 130(2020), 79-107.
- [13] M.-F. Chen, F.-Y. Wang, *Estimates of logarithmic Sobolev constant: an improvement of Bakry-Emery criterion*, J. Funct. Anal. 144(1997), 287-300.
- [14] I. Csiszár, J. Körne, *Information Theory: Coding Theorems for Discrete Memoryless Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

- [15] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [16] D. A. Dawson, *Critical dynamics and fluctuations for a mean-field model of cooperative behavior*, J. Stat. Phys. 31(1983), 29-85.
- [17] J.-D. Deuschel, D. W. Stroock, *Hypercontractivity and spectral gap of symmetric diffusions with applications to the stochastic Ising models*, J. Funct. Anal. 92(1990), 30-48.
- [18] M. H. Duong, J. Tugaut, *Stationary solutions of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation: Existence, characterization and phase-transition*, Appl. Math. Lett. 52(2016), 38-45.
- [19] S. Feng, and X. G. Zheng, *Solutions of a class of non-linear Master equations*, Stoch. Process Appl. 43(1992), 65-84.
- [20] X. Huang, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Nonlinear Fokker–Planck equations for probability measures on path space and path-distribution dependent SDEs*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 39(2019), 3017-3035.
- [21] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution dependent SDEs with singular coefficients*, Stoch. Process Appl. 129(2019), 4747-4770.
- [22] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *McKean-Vlasov SDEs with drifts discontinuous under Wasserstein distance*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 41(2021), 1667-1679.
- [23] D. Lacker, *On a strong form of propagation of chaos for McKean-Vlasov equations*, Electron. Commun. Probab. 23(2018), 11 pp.
- [24] M. Liang, M. B. Majka, J. Wang, *Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 57(2021), 1665-1701.
- [25] Yu. S. Mishura, A. Yu. Veretennikov, *Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of McKean-Vlasov stochastic equations*, arXiv:1603.02212.
- [26] M. S. Pinsker, *Information and Information Stability of Random Variables and Processes*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1964.
- [27] M. Röckner, X. Zhang, *Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts*, Bernoulli, 27(2021), 1131-1158.
- [28] M. Scheutzow, *Couplings, generalized couplings and uniqueness of invariant measures*, Electron. Commun. Probab. 25(2020), 7 pp.
- [29] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack Inequality for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 2013.

- [30] F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution-dependent SDEs for Landau type equations*, Stoch. Process Appl. 128(2018), 595-621.
- [31] F.-Y. Wang, *Estimates for invariant probability measures of degenerate SPDEs with singular and path-dependent drifts*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 172(2018), 1181-1214.
- [32] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities for log-Sobolev functions and estimates of log-Sobolev constants*, Ann. Probab. 27(1999), 653-663.
- [33] F.-Y. Wang, *Exponential Ergodicity for Singular Reflecting McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, arXiv:2108.03908.
- [34] S.-Q. Zhang, *Existence and non-uniqueness of stationary distributions for distribution dependent SDEs*, arXiv:2105.04226.
- [35] G. Zhao, *On Distribution depend SDEs with singular drifts*, arXiv:2003.04829.