

Classification of solutions of the 2D steady Navier-Stokes equations with separated variables in cone-like domains

Wendong Wang* and Jie Wu†

February 4, 2022

Abstract

We investigate the problem of classification of solutions for the steady Navier-Stokes equations in any cone-like domains. In the form of separated variables,

$$u(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(r)v_1(\theta) \\ \varphi_2(r)v_2(\theta) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$ in polar coordinates, we obtain the expressions of all smooth solutions with C^0 Dirichlet boundary condition. In particular, it shows that (i) some solutions are found, which are Hölder continuous on the boundary, but their gradients blow up at the corner; (ii) all solutions in the entire plane of \mathbb{R}^2 like harmonic functions or Stokes equations, are polynomial expressions.

Keywords: classification of solutions; Liouville type theorem; steady Navier-Stokes equations; separation of variables.

MSC (2020): 35Q30; 35B53; 76D05.

1 Introduction

Consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on the whole space \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

One challenging problem is to investigate the classification of solutions of (1), which is related to the celebrated paper by Koch-Nadirashvili-Seregin-Sverak [7], where the regularity problem of the non-stationary Navier-Stokes equations was reduced to Liouville

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China; E-mail: wendong@dlut.edu.cn

†Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China; E-mail: jackwu@amss.ac.cn

type theorem of bounded ancient solutions. As they said in [7] “The case of general 3-dimensional fields is, as far as we know, completely open. In fact, it is open even in the steady-state case (u independent of t).” By assuming the additional finite Dirichlet integral, Liouville theorem is proved by Galdi [4] for $u \in L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. For more references, we refer to [2], [11] and the references therein.

However, even for the 2-dimensional case, the problem of classification of solutions for the steady Navier-Stokes equations is not solved. As the case of 2D, Gilbarg-Weinberger [5] proved the above Liouville type theorem by assuming the finite Dirichlet integral, where they made use of the fact that the vorticity function satisfies a nice elliptic equation to which a maximum principle applies. When the smooth solution u is bounded, a Liouville theorem being more in the spirit of the classical one for entire analytic functions was obtained by in [7] as a byproduct of their work on the non-stationary case. If $\nabla u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $1 < p < \infty$, the constant u follows by the first author in [12] by using the growth estimate of $\mathcal{D}^{1,q}$ functions (see also [8] for another approach). As suggested by Fuchs-Zhong in [3]:

“Suppose that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-1}|u(x)| = 0$. Does the constancy of u follow?”

It’s true for harmonic functions, since the linear solutions are the counterexamples; see also Yau [13] and Li-Tam [9], where they considered the space of harmonic functions on complete manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature with linear growth. When $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-\alpha}|u(x)| \leq C$ with $\alpha \in [0, 1/7)$, u is a constant vector [3]. The component is improved to $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$ with help of the vorticity equation by Bildhauer-Fuchs-Zhang in [1].

In this note, our purpose is to classify the solutions for the 2D steady Navier-Stokes equations by separating variables.

Let Ω be the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 , the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^2 , or any cone domain of $\{(r, \theta); \alpha < \theta < \beta, 0 < r < \infty\}$ with $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2\pi$. Our first result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $(u, p) \in C^3(\Omega) \times C^1(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1), and $u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, which has the form*

$$u(x, y) = \varphi(r) \begin{pmatrix} v_1(\theta) \\ v_2(\theta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, (u, p) can only be expressed in one of the following types:

(i)

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = C_3;$$

(ii)

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1x + C_2y \\ C_3x - C_1y \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -\frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 + C_2C_3)(x^2 + y^2) + C_4;$$

(iii)

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} (C_2 + C_3)x^2 + (3C_2 - C_3)y^2 + 2(C_1 + C_4)xy \\ (C_4 - 3C_1)x^2 - (C_1 + C_4)y^2 - 2(C_2 + C_3)xy \end{pmatrix},$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 + C_2^2 - C_3^2 - C_4^2)(x^2 + y^2)^2 + 8C_2x - 8C_1y + C_5,$$

with C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 satisfying

$$\begin{cases} C_1C_3 + C_2C_4 - 2C_1C_2 = 0, \\ C_1C_4 - C_2C_3 + C_1^2 - C_2^2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

(iv)

$$u = r^\lambda \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \cos(\lambda\theta) + C_2 \sin(\lambda\theta) \\ C_2 \cos(\lambda\theta) - C_1 \sin(\lambda\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -\frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 + C_2^2)r^{2\lambda} + C_3.$$

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\lambda \geq 3$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, otherwise $\lambda \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2) \cup (2, \infty)$.

(v) If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$u = (C_1 + C_2 \ln r) \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2}r^2 \left[C_2^2 \ln^2 r + (2C_1C_2 - C_2^2) \ln r + C_1^2 - C_1C_2 + \frac{1}{2}C_2^2 \right] + 2C_2\theta + C_3.$$

Remark 1.2. In the condition of (iii), the coefficients of u_1 are proportional to those of u_2 , since equations (2) are equivalent to

$$\frac{C_2 + C_3}{C_4 - 3C_1} = \frac{3C_2 - C_3}{-(C_1 + C_4)} = \frac{(C_1 + C_4)}{-(C_2 + C_3)}.$$

Remark 1.3 (Boundary blow-up phenomenon). First, the solutions in (v) show that

$$\nabla u(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} -C_2 \sin \theta \cos \theta & -(C_1 + C_2 \ln r + C_2 \sin^2 \theta) \\ C_1 + C_2 \ln r + C_2 \cos^2 \theta & C_2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

which blow up at the corner of $r = 0$. However, $u \in C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega})$ locally for any $0 < \gamma < 1$. This is different from the case in [6], where the authors consider a class of Hölder continuous boundary data on the time and prove there exist unbounded gradients at boundary. Second, our examples show that the singularity of the solution does not depend on the regularity of the boundary (for example, the case of \mathbb{R}_+^2 when $\alpha = 0, \beta = \pi$). Also, for boundary regularity criteria of steady Navier-Stokes equations (for example, see [10] for the boundary Hölder regularity of 6D steady Navier-Stokes equations), it's impossible to prove the uniform boundary C^γ regularity with $\gamma > \gamma_0 > 0$ independent of u due to the examples in (iv) for nontrivial boundary data.

More generally, let u be the form of

$$u(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(\theta)\varphi_1(r) \\ v_2(\theta)\varphi_2(r) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

and we have the following conclusions.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose that $(u, p) \in C^3(\Omega) \times C^1(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1) with the form of (3). Moreover, $u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$. Then, (u, p) can only be expressed as one of the forms in (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) in Theorem 1.1, or one of the following two types:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 x \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -C_1 C_2 y + C_3,$$

and

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 y \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -C_1 C_2 x + C_3.$$

This theorem immediately leads to the following conclusion.

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that (u, p) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.4 with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, then u and p must be polynomials, which is similar to harmonic functions on the whole space.*

As an application, we prove a sharp and extended Liouville theorem to any cone domains for (1) when u has the form (3), which answer the question in [3] in this setting.

Corollary 1.6. *Suppose that (u, p) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.4 with $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-1} |u(x)| = 0, \quad (4)$$

then (u, p) must be constant.

2 Preliminaries

We state some preliminary lemmas before proving the main theorems, which play important roles in our following arguments.

In this part, we let I and J be intervals in \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that*

$$A(\theta)f(r) = B(\theta)g(r), \quad \theta \in I, \quad r \in J, \quad (5)$$

If $g(r) \not\equiv 0$, then either

$$A(\theta) = B(\theta) \equiv 0,$$

or, there exists a constant λ such that

$$B(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta), \quad f(r) = \lambda g(r).$$

Proof. Assume that $g(r_0) \neq 0$ without loss of generality.

Case 1: $A(\theta) \equiv 0$. Then $B(\theta)g(r) \equiv 0$ for $\theta \in I$ and $r \in J$, which implies $B(\theta) \equiv 0$ for $\theta \in I$ due to $g(r_0) \neq 0$.

Case 2: $A(\theta) \not\equiv 0$. We assume that $A(\theta_0) \neq 0$ for some $\theta_0 \in I$, then

$$f(r) = \frac{B(\theta_0)}{A(\theta_0)} g(r) =: \lambda g(r), \quad r \in J, \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda = \frac{B(\theta_0)}{A(\theta_0)}$. Substituting equation (6) into (5), there holds

$$\lambda A(\theta)g(r) = B(\theta)g(r), \quad \theta \in I, \quad r \in J,$$

which yields $B(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)$ for $\theta \in I$ by taking $r = r_0$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $v = v(\theta)$, $\theta \in I$.*

(1) If $v \in C^1(I)$ and satisfies $\sin \theta v + \cos \theta v' = 0$, then $v = C \cos \theta$.

(2) If $v \in C^2(I)$ and satisfies $2 \sin \theta v + \cos \theta v' = 0$, then $v = C \cos^2 \theta$.

Proof. Assume that $I = (0, 2\pi)$ for simplicity and denote

$$I_1 = (0, \frac{\pi}{2}), \quad I_2 = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}), \quad I_3 = (\frac{3\pi}{2}, 2\pi),$$

then

$$\cos \theta \neq 0, \quad \theta \in I_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(1) In I_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(\cos^{-1} \theta v)' = \cos^{-2} \theta (\sin \theta v + \cos \theta v') = 0,$$

then

$$\cos^{-1} \theta v = C_i, \quad v = C_i \cos \theta, \quad \theta \in I_i,$$

and

$$v' = -C_i \sin \theta, \quad \theta \in I_i.$$

Then $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 =: C$, since v' is continuous at $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\frac{3\pi}{2}$. Therefore $v = C \cos \theta$.

(2) The argument is simialr, and we omitted it.

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^1((0, +\infty))$ and satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} r\varphi_1'(r) = a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2, \\ r\varphi_2'(r) = c\varphi_1 + d\varphi_2. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Moreover, let $\delta := (a - d)^2 + 4bc$. Then

(1) If $b = 0$, $d = a$, then

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = C_1 r^a, \\ \varphi_2 = (cC_1 \ln r + C_2) r^a. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $b = 0$, $d \neq a$, then

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = C_1 r^a, \\ \varphi_2 = \frac{c}{a-d} C_1 r^a + C_2 r^d. \end{cases}$$

(3) If $b \neq 0$, $\delta > 0$, then

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = C_1 r^m + C_2 r^n, & (m > n) \\ \varphi_2 = \frac{m-a}{b} C_1 r^m + \frac{n-a}{b} C_2 r^n, \end{cases}$$

where m, n are two different real roots of equation

$$\rho^2 - (a + d)\rho + ad - bc = 0. \quad (8)$$

(4) If $b \neq 0$, $\delta = 0$, then

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = (C_1 \ln r + C_2) r^l, \\ \varphi_2 = \left[\frac{l-a}{b} C_1 \ln r + \frac{C_1 + (l-a)C_2}{b} \right] r^l, \end{cases}$$

where l is the unique real root of (8).

(5) If $b \neq 0$, $\delta < 0$, then

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = \left[C_1 \cos(\mu \ln r) + C_2 \sin(\mu \ln r) \right] r^\lambda, \\ \varphi_2 = \left[\frac{(\lambda-a)C_1 + \mu C_2}{b} \cos(\mu \ln r) + \frac{(\lambda-a)C_2 - \mu C_1}{b} \sin(\mu \ln r) \right] r^\lambda, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \pm \mu i$ are the complex roots of (8).

Proof. Let $r = e^t$ and $D = \frac{d}{dt}$, then the equations (7) become

$$\begin{cases} D\varphi_1 = a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2, \\ D\varphi_2 = c\varphi_1 + d\varphi_2. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Case 1: $b = 0$. The first equation of (9) becomes $D\varphi_1 = a\varphi_1$, then

$$\varphi_1 = C_1 e^{at} = C_1 r^a. \quad (10)$$

Substituting (10) into the second equation of (9), we get

$$D\varphi_2 - d\varphi_2 = cC_1 e^{at}.$$

Then

$$D(e^{-dt}\varphi_2) = e^{-dt}(D\varphi_2 - d\varphi_2) = cC_1 e^{(a-d)t}, \quad (11)$$

which can be divided into the following two situations.

Case 1.1: If $d = a$, there holds $e^{-at}\varphi_2 = cC_1 t + C_2$, and

$$\varphi_2 = (cC_1 t + C_2)e^{at} = (cC_1 \ln r + C_2)r^a.$$

Case 1.2: If $d \neq a$, it follows from (11) that $e^{-dt}\varphi_2 = \frac{c}{a-d}C_1 e^{(a-d)t} + C_2$, and

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{c}{a-d}C_1 e^{at} + C_2 e^{dt} = \frac{c}{a-d}C_1 r^a + C_2 r^d.$$

Case 2: $b \neq 0$. The first equation of (9) implies that

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{b}(D\varphi_1 - a\varphi_1). \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) into (9)₂, we get

$$D^2\varphi_1 - (a+d)D\varphi_1 + (ad-bc)\varphi_1 = 0, \quad (13)$$

which has characteristic equation (8).

Case 2.1: If $\delta > 0$, equation (8) has two different real roots m, n ($m > n$) and the general solution of (13) is expressed as follows

$$\varphi_1 = C_1 e^{mt} + C_2 e^{nt} = C_1 r^m + C_2 r^n.$$

Substituting this into (12), we get

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{m-a}{b}C_1 e^{mt} + \frac{n-a}{b}C_2 e^{nt} = \frac{m-a}{b}C_1 r^m + \frac{n-a}{b}C_2 r^n.$$

Case 2.2: If $\delta = 0$, equation (8) has a unique real root l and the general solution of (13) is

$$\varphi_1 = (C_1 t + C_2)e^{lt} = (C_1 \ln r + C_2)r^l.$$

Substituting this into (12), we get

$$\varphi_2 = \left[\frac{l-a}{b}C_1 \ln r + \frac{C_1 + (l-a)C_2}{b} \right] r^l.$$

Case 2.3: If $\delta < 0$, equation (8) has complex roots $\lambda \pm \mu i$ ($\mu \neq 0$) and the general solution of (13) is

$$\varphi_1 = [C_1 \cos(\mu t) + C_2 \sin(\mu t)]e^{\lambda t} = [C_1 \cos(\mu \ln r) + C_2 \sin(\mu \ln r)]r^\lambda.$$

Substituting this into (12), we get

$$\varphi_2 = \left[\frac{(\lambda - a)C_1 + \mu C_2}{b} \cos(\mu \ln r) + \frac{(\lambda - a)C_2 - \mu C_1}{b} \sin(\mu \ln r) \right] r^\lambda.$$

Thus the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $v_1, v_2 \in C^1(I)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} a \cos \theta v_1 + c \sin \theta v_2 - \sin \theta v_1' = 0, \\ b \cos \theta v_1 + d \sin \theta v_2 + \cos \theta v_2' = 0, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and $v_1 v_2 \equiv 0$. Then we have (i) $v_1 \equiv 0$ if $b \neq 0$; (ii) $v_2 \equiv 0$ if $c \neq 0$.

Proof. Multiplying (14)₁ by v_2 , due to $v_1 v_2 \equiv 0$ we get

$$c v_2^2 - v_1' v_2 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, multiplying (14)₂ by v_1 , it follows that

$$b v_1^2 + v_1 v_2' = 0. \quad (16)$$

Then (16) minus (15) tells us $b v_1^2 - c v_2^2 + (v_1 v_2)' = 0$. Then $b v_1^2 = c v_2^2$, since $v_1 v_2 \equiv 0$. Consequently,

$$b v_1^3 = c v_2 (v_1 v_2) \equiv 0, \quad c v_2^3 = b v_1 (v_1 v_2) \equiv 0.$$

If $b \neq 0$, then $v_1 \equiv 0$. If $c \neq 0$, then $v_2 \equiv 0$. The proof is complete. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $w := \partial_2 u_1 - \partial_1 u_2$ be the vorticity of u , then w satisfies the equation

$$\Delta w - u \cdot \nabla w = 0. \quad (17)$$

Throughout this section, we write $v_i(\theta), v_i'(\theta), \varphi(r), \varphi'(r)$ as $v_i, v_i', \varphi, \varphi', i = 1, 2$.

Direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} u &= (\cos \theta v_1 + \sin \theta v_2) \varphi' - (\sin \theta v_1' - \cos \theta v_2') \frac{\varphi}{r} \\ &=: A(\theta) \varphi' - B(\theta) \frac{\varphi}{r}; \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$w = (\sin \theta v_1 - \cos \theta v_2) \varphi' + (\cos \theta v_1' + \sin \theta v_2') \frac{\varphi}{r}. \quad (19)$$

The equation $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ and (18) yield that $A(\theta) \varphi' = B(\theta) \frac{\varphi}{r}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have either

$$A(\theta) = B(\theta) \equiv 0,$$

or

$$B(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta), \quad \varphi' = \lambda \frac{\varphi}{r}.$$

Next, we discuss two cases respectively.

Step 1: $A(\theta) = B(\theta) \equiv 0$. $A(\theta) \equiv 0$ implies that

$$v_1 = -\tan \theta v_2, \quad (20)$$

and $B(\theta) \equiv 0$ tells us

$$-\tan \theta v_1' + v_2' = 0. \quad (21)$$

Substituting (20) into (21), we deduce that $\sin \theta v_2 + \cos \theta v_2' = 0$. Due to $v_2 \in C^1$, applying Lemma 2.2 we obtain that

$$v_2 = C \cos \theta, \quad (22)$$

and thus

$$v_1 = -C \sin \theta. \quad (23)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $C \neq 0$. Substituting (22) and (23) into (19), we have

$$w = -C \left(\varphi' + \frac{\varphi}{r} \right),$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\theta^2 \right) w = -C \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \right) \left(\varphi' + \frac{\varphi}{r} \right) \\ &= -C \left(\varphi''' + \frac{2\varphi''}{r} - \frac{\varphi'}{r^2} + \frac{\varphi}{r^3} \right); \\ \partial_1 w &= -C \left(\varphi' + \frac{\varphi}{r} \right)' \cos \theta = -C \cos \theta \left(\varphi'' + \frac{\varphi'}{r} - \frac{\varphi}{r^2} \right); \\ \partial_2 w &= -C \sin \theta \left(\varphi'' + \frac{\varphi'}{r} - \frac{\varphi}{r^2} \right); \\ u \cdot \nabla w &= \varphi (v_1 \partial_1 w + v_2 \partial_2 w) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Combining (17) and (24), we obtain

$$r^3 \varphi''' + 2r^2 \varphi'' - r \varphi' + \varphi = 0. \quad (25)$$

Let $r = e^t$ and denote $D = \frac{d}{dt}$, then the equation (25) becomes

$$(D + 1)(D - 1)^2 \varphi = 0,$$

which has the general solution as

$$\varphi = C_1 e^t + C_2 t e^t + C_3 e^{-t} = C_1 r + C_2 r \ln r + C_3 r^{-1}.$$

Recall that $u \in C^3(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, then

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} C_1 r, & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{R}^2; \\ C_1 r + C_2 r \ln r, & \text{if } \Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

By (22), (23) and (26),

$$u = \begin{cases} C'_1 \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{R}^2; \\ (C'_1 + C'_2 \ln r) \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Step 2: $B(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)$, $\varphi' = \lambda \frac{\varphi}{r}$. At this time, we have

$$\varphi = Cr^\lambda. \quad (28)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $C \neq 0$. Denote

$$L(\theta) = \sin \theta v_1 - \cos \theta v_2,$$

then it is easy to verify that

$$L' = A + B = (\lambda + 1)A, \quad \cos \theta v'_1 + \sin \theta v'_2 = A' + L. \quad (29)$$

By (19), (28) and (29) we have

$$w = C\lambda r^{\lambda-1}L + Cr^{\lambda-1}(A' + L) = Cr^{\lambda-1}[A' + (\lambda + 1)L] =: Cr^{\lambda-1}H,$$

where

$$H = A' + (\lambda + 1)L. \quad (30)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\theta^2 \right) w \\ &= CH \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \right) r^{\lambda-1} + Cr^{\lambda-3} H'' \\ &= Cr^{\lambda-3} [H'' + (\lambda - 1)^2 H]; \\ u \cdot \nabla w &= \varphi (v_1 \partial_1 w + v_2 \partial_2 w) \\ &= \varphi \left[v_1 \left(\partial_r w \cos \theta - \partial_\theta w \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \right) + v_2 \left(\partial_r w \sin \theta + \partial_\theta w \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \right) \right] \\ &= \varphi \left(\partial_r w A - \frac{\partial_\theta w}{r} L \right) \\ &= C^2 r^{2\lambda-2} [(\lambda - 1)HA - H'L]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Combing (17) and (31), we have

$$Cr^{\lambda+1} [(\lambda - 1)HA - H'L] = H'' + (\lambda - 1)^2 H.$$

Since u is differentiable, then $\lambda \geq 0$ and the above equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda - 1)HA - H'L = 0, & (32) \\ H'' + (\lambda - 1)^2 H = 0. & (33) \end{cases}$$

We keep in mind that

$$L' = (\lambda + 1)A, \quad H = A' + (\lambda + 1)L. \quad (34)$$

First, we can solve H according to equation (33). If $\lambda = 1$, it is easy. If $\lambda \neq 1$, (33) has general solution

$$H = A' + (\lambda + 1)L = C_1 \cos((\lambda - 1)\theta) + C_2 \sin((\lambda - 1)\theta), \quad \lambda \neq 1. \quad (35)$$

Substituting (35) into equation (32), we have

$$\left[C_1 \cos((\lambda - 1)\theta) + C_2 \sin((\lambda - 1)\theta) \right] A - \left[C_2 \cos((\lambda - 1)\theta) - C_1 \sin((\lambda - 1)\theta) \right] L = 0. \quad (36)$$

Differentiate both sides of (35) and we obtain that

$$A'' + (\lambda + 1)L' = (\lambda - 1) \left[C_2 \cos((\lambda - 1)\theta) - C_1 \sin((\lambda - 1)\theta) \right].$$

This and (34) yield that

$$A'' + (\lambda + 1)^2 A = (\lambda - 1) \left[C_2 \cos((\lambda - 1)\theta) - C_1 \sin((\lambda - 1)\theta) \right], \quad \lambda \neq 1.$$

This equation has general solution

$$\begin{aligned} A &= C_3 \cos \theta + C_4 \sin \theta + \frac{\theta}{2} (C_1 \cos \theta - C_2 \sin \theta) \\ &= \cos \theta \left(\frac{C_1 \theta}{2} + C_3 \right) + \sin \theta \left(-\frac{C_2 \theta}{2} + C_4 \right), \quad \lambda = 0; \\ A &= C_3 \cos((\lambda + 1)\theta) + C_4 \sin((\lambda + 1)\theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda - 1}{4\lambda} \left[C_2 \cos((\lambda - 1)\theta) - C_1 \sin((\lambda - 1)\theta) \right], \quad \lambda \neq 0, 1. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Next, the classification of solutions is discussed in the following cases.

Case 2.1: $\lambda = 1$. Equations (32) and (33) become $H'L = 0$ and $H'' = 0$, then $H = a\theta + b$ and $aL = 0$. If $a \neq 0$, then $L = 0$. By (34), $A = \frac{1}{2}L' = 0$, $H = A' + 2L = 0$, this contradicts that $a \neq 0$. Therefore $a = 0$ and $H = b$. Namely $A' + 2L = b$, then $A'' + 2L' = 0$. By (34), $L' = 2A$, then $A'' + 4A = 0$. This equation has general solution

$$A = C_1 \cos 2\theta + C_2 \sin 2\theta. \quad (38)$$

Then

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(H - A') = \frac{b}{2} + C_1 \sin 2\theta - C_2 \cos 2\theta. \quad (39)$$

Combining (38) and (39), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = C_1 \cos \theta + \left(C_2 + \frac{b}{2}\right) \sin \theta, \\ v_2 = \left(C_2 - \frac{b}{2}\right) \cos \theta - C_1 \sin \theta. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u = Cr \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \cos \theta + \left(C_2 + \frac{b}{2}\right) \sin \theta \\ \left(C_2 - \frac{b}{2}\right) \cos \theta - C_1 \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C'_1 x + C'_2 y \\ C'_3 x - C'_1 y \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

Case 2.2: $\lambda = 0$. As shown in (37), in this case

$$A = \cos \theta \left(\frac{C_1 \theta}{2} + C_3 \right) + \sin \theta \left(-\frac{C_2 \theta}{2} + C_4 \right). \quad (41)$$

By (34), (35) and (41), we have

$$L = H - A' = \cos \theta \left(\frac{C_1 + C_2 \theta}{2} - C_4 \right) + \sin \theta \left(\frac{C_1 \theta - C_2}{2} + C_3 \right). \quad (42)$$

We substitute (41) and (42) into (36) and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{(C_1^2 - C_2^2)\theta - C_1 C_2}{2} + C_1 C_3 + C_2 C_4 \right] \cos 2\theta \\ & - \left[C_1 C_2 \theta - C_1 C_4 + C_2 C_3 + \frac{C_1^2 - C_2^2}{4} \right] \sin 2\theta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 again, we get

$$\frac{(C_1^2 - C_2^2)\theta - C_1 C_2}{2} + C_1 C_3 + C_2 C_4 = C_1 C_2 \theta - C_1 C_4 + C_2 C_3 + \frac{C_1^2 - C_2^2}{4} \equiv 0,$$

and thus

$$C_1 = C_2 = 0. \quad (43)$$

By (41), (42) and (43), we have

$$\begin{cases} A = C_3 \cos \theta + C_4 \sin \theta \\ L = C_3 \sin \theta - C_4 \cos \theta. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$v_1 = C_3, \quad v_2 = C_4$$

and

$$u = C \begin{pmatrix} C_3 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C'_1 \\ C'_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

Moreover, for $\lambda \neq 0, 1$, as shown in (37) there holds

$$A = C_3 \cos((\lambda+1)\theta) + C_4 \sin((\lambda+1)\theta) + \frac{\lambda-1}{4\lambda} \left[C_2 \cos((\lambda-1)\theta) - C_1 \sin((\lambda-1)\theta) \right], \quad (45)$$

and due to (34), (35) and (45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{1}{\lambda+1} (H - A') \\ &= C_3 \sin((\lambda+1)\theta) - C_4 \cos((\lambda+1)\theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda+1}{4\lambda} \left[C_1 \cos((\lambda-1)\theta) + C_2 \sin((\lambda-1)\theta) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Furthermore, by substituting (45) and (46) into (36) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_1 C_3 + C_2 C_4) \cos 2\theta + (C_1 C_4 - C_2 C_3) \sin 2\theta \\ & - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left[C_1 C_2 \cos((2\lambda-2)\theta) + \frac{C_2^2 - C_1^2}{2} \sin((2\lambda-2)\theta) \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Case 2.3: $\lambda = 2$. Then (47) becomes

$$\left(C_1 C_3 + C_2 C_4 - \frac{C_1 C_2}{4} \right) \cos 2\theta + \left(C_1 C_4 - C_2 C_3 + \frac{C_1^2 - C_2^2}{8} \right) \sin 2\theta = 0, \quad (48)$$

then

$$\begin{cases} C_1C_3 + C_2C_4 - \frac{C_1C_2}{4} = 0, \\ C_1C_4 - C_2C_3 + \frac{C_1^2 - C_2^2}{8} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

In this case, we have by (45) and (46) that

$$\begin{cases} A = C_3 \cos 3\theta + C_4 \sin 3\theta + \frac{1}{8}(C_2 \cos \theta - C_1 \sin \theta), \\ L = C_3 \sin 3\theta - C_4 \cos 3\theta + \frac{3}{8}(C_1 \cos \theta + C_2 \sin \theta), \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = \left(C_3 + \frac{C_2}{8}\right) \cos^2 \theta + \left(\frac{3C_2}{8} - C_3\right) \sin^2 \theta + \left(C_4 + \frac{C_1}{8}\right) \sin 2\theta, \\ v_2 = \left(C_4 - \frac{3C_1}{8}\right) \cos^2 \theta - \left(C_4 + \frac{C_1}{8}\right) \sin^2 \theta - \left(C_3 + \frac{C_2}{8}\right) \sin 2\theta, \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

and

$$u = Cr^2 \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} \left(C_3 + \frac{C_2}{8}\right)x^2 + \left(\frac{3C_2}{8} - C_3\right)y^2 + \left(2C_4 + \frac{C_1}{4}\right)xy \\ \left(C_4 - \frac{3C_1}{8}\right)x^2 - \left(C_4 + \frac{C_1}{8}\right)y^2 - \left(2C_3 + \frac{C_2}{4}\right)xy \end{pmatrix},$$

with C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 satisfying equation (49). If we replace $\frac{CC_1}{8}$ by C_1 , $\frac{CC_2}{8}$ by C_2 , CC_3 by C_3 , CC_4 by C_4 , then

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} (C_2 + C_3)x^2 + (3C_2 - C_3)y^2 + 2(C_1 + C_4)xy \\ (C_4 - 3C_1)x^2 - (C_1 + C_4)y^2 - 2(C_2 + C_3)xy \end{pmatrix}, \quad (51)$$

with C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 satisfying

$$\begin{cases} C_1C_3 + C_2C_4 - 2C_1C_2 = 0, \\ C_1C_4 - C_2C_3 + C_1^2 - C_2^2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

Case 2.4: $\lambda \neq 0, 1, 2$. By (47) we have

$$\begin{cases} C_1C_3 + C_2C_4 = 0, \\ C_1C_4 - C_2C_3 = 0, \\ C_1C_2 = 0, \\ C_2^2 - C_1^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

namely

$$C_1 = C_2 = 0. \quad (53)$$

By (45), (46) and (53), we have

$$\begin{cases} A = C_3 \cos((\lambda + 1)\theta) + C_4 \sin((\lambda + 1)\theta), \\ L = C_3 \sin((\lambda + 1)\theta) - C_4 \cos((\lambda + 1)\theta), \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = C_3 \cos(\lambda\theta) + C_4 \sin(\lambda\theta), \\ v_2 = C_4 \cos(\lambda\theta) - C_3 \sin(\lambda\theta), \end{cases}$$

and

$$u = Cr^\lambda \begin{pmatrix} C_3 \cos(\lambda\theta) + C_4 \sin(\lambda\theta) \\ C_4 \cos(\lambda\theta) - C_3 \sin(\lambda\theta) \end{pmatrix} = r^\lambda \begin{pmatrix} C'_1 \cos(\lambda\theta) + C'_2 \sin(\lambda\theta) \\ C'_2 \cos(\lambda\theta) - C'_1 \sin(\lambda\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (54)$$

where $\lambda \neq 0, 1, 2$.

Moreover, if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, it's necessary that $v_i(0) = v_i(2\pi), i = 1, 2$, namely

$$\begin{cases} C'_1[1 - \cos(2\lambda\pi)] - C'_2 \sin(2\lambda\pi) = 0, \\ C'_2[1 - \cos(2\lambda\pi)] + C'_1 \sin(2\lambda\pi) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that the above equations are linear equations with respect to $1 - \cos(2\lambda\pi)$ and $\sin(2\lambda\pi)$, and the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} C'_1 & -C'_2 \\ C'_2 & C'_1 \end{vmatrix} = C_1'^2 + C_2'^2 \neq 0,$$

otherwise $u = 0$, which is included in the former case (44). By Cramer's Rule,

$$1 - \cos(2\lambda\pi) = \sin(2\lambda\pi) = 0,$$

thus $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that $\lambda \neq 0, 1, 2$, then $\lambda \geq 3$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 3: The pressure expressions. Finally, gathering (27), (40), (44), (51) and (54), we obtained that the solution u has five types of forms as in Theorem 1.1. Next we substitute solutions of these types into equations (1) respectively. All these solutions satisfy

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0,$$

then it's left to find the suitable pressure.

In type (i), it is easy to derive the solution

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = C_3.$$

In type (ii), direct computations gives that

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1x + C_2y \\ C_3x - C_1y \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -\frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 + C_2C_3)(x^2 + y^2) + C_4$$

is the solution.

In type (iii), by direct computations, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 p - 8C_2 + 2(C_3^2 + C_4^2 - 2C_1C_4 + 2C_2C_3 + C_2^2 - 3C_1^2)x^3 \\ + 2(C_3^2 + C_4^2 + 2C_1C_4 - 2C_2C_3 + C_1^2 - 3C_2^2)xy^2 \\ + 8(C_1C_3 + C_2C_4 - 2C_1C_2)x^2y = 0, \\ \partial_2 p + 8C_1 + 2(C_3^2 + C_4^2 + 2C_1C_4 - 2C_2C_3 + C_1^2 - 3C_2^2)y^3 \\ + 2(C_3^2 + C_4^2 - 2C_1C_4 + 2C_2C_3 + C_2^2 - 3C_1^2)x^2y \\ + 8(C_1C_3 + C_2C_4 - 2C_1C_2)xy^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We apply (52) to the above equations, then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1 p - 8C_2 + 2(C_3^2 + C_4^2 - C_1^2 - C_2^2)(x^3 + xy^2) &= 0, \\ \partial_2 p + 8C_1 + 2(C_3^2 + C_4^2 - C_1^2 - C_2^2)(y^3 + x^2y) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$p = \frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 + C_2^2 - C_3^2 - C_4^2)(x^2 + y^2)^2 + 8C_2x - 8C_1y + C_5,$$

with C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 satisfying equation (52);

In type (iv), note that $C_1 = C_2 = 0$ in (53), which implies $H = 0$ and $w \equiv 0$ due to (35) and (30). Using

$$(u_2, -u_1)^T w = u \cdot \nabla u - \nabla \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} \right),$$

the pressure can be expressed by $-\frac{1}{2}|u|^2 + C$. Then

$$p = -\frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 + C_2^2)r^{2\lambda} + C_3.$$

In type (v), $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$u = (C_1 r + C_2 r \ln r) \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Direct computations give that

$$w = -(2C_2 \ln r + C_2 + 2C_1),$$

and

$$u \cdot \nabla u = (C_1 + C_2 \ln r) \partial_\theta u.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla p &= \Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u = \nabla^\top w - u \cdot \nabla u \\ &= \frac{2C_2}{r} \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} + (C_1 + C_2 \ln r)^2 r \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$p = \frac{1}{2}r^2 \left[C_2^2 \ln^2 r + (2C_1 C_2 - C_2^2) \ln r + C_1^2 - C_1 C_2 + \frac{1}{2}C_2^2 \right] + 2C_2 \theta + C_3$$

by integration by parts.

Thus the proof is complete. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. Our strategy is to reduce the problem here to the one stated in Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. In this part, u has the form

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(\theta)\varphi_1(r) \\ v_2(\theta)\varphi_2(r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the following, we will only consider $v_1 \not\equiv 0$, $v_2 \not\equiv 0$, $\varphi_1 \not\equiv 0$ and $\varphi_2 \not\equiv 0$, for the cases $v_1 \equiv 0$, $v_2 \equiv 0$, $\varphi_1 \equiv 0$ or $\varphi_2 \equiv 0$ can be reduced to the cases of Theorem 1.1.

Direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} u &= \left(\cos \theta \partial_r - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_\theta \right) (v_1 \varphi_1) + \left(\sin \theta \partial_r + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \partial_\theta \right) (v_2 \varphi_2) \\ &= \cos \theta v_1 \varphi_1' + \sin \theta v_2 \varphi_2' + \cos \theta v_2' \frac{\varphi_2}{r} - \sin \theta v_1' \frac{\varphi_1}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \partial_2 u_1 - \partial_1 u_2 \\ &= \left(\sin \theta \partial_r + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \partial_\theta \right) (v_1 \varphi_1) - \left(\cos \theta \partial_r - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_\theta \right) (v_2 \varphi_2) \\ &= \sin \theta v_1 \varphi_1' - \cos \theta v_2 \varphi_2' + \cos \theta v_1' \frac{\varphi_1}{r} + \sin \theta v_2' \frac{\varphi_2}{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ implies that

$$\cos \theta v_1 \varphi_1' + \sin \theta v_2 \varphi_2' + \cos \theta v_2' \frac{\varphi_2}{r} - \sin \theta v_1' \frac{\varphi_1}{r} = 0. \tag{56}$$

Next we discuss the problem according to whether $\cos \theta v_1$ and $\sin \theta v_2$ are linearly dependent.

Step 1: $\cos \theta v_1$ and $\sin \theta v_2$ are linearly dependent. there exists $\lambda \neq 0$, such that

$$\cos \theta v_1 = \lambda \sin \theta v_2,$$

since $v_1 \not\equiv 0$ and $v_2 \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$v_1 = \lambda \tan \theta v_2. \tag{57}$$

Substituting (57) into (56), we obtain that

$$\sin \theta v_2 (\lambda \varphi_1' + \varphi_2') + \cos \theta v_2' \frac{\varphi_2}{r} - \lambda \sin \theta (\sec^2 \theta v_2 + \tan \theta v_2') \frac{\varphi_1}{r} = 0. \tag{58}$$

Since $v_2 \not\equiv 0$, there exist an interval K , such that $v_2, \sin \theta, \cos \theta \neq 0$ when $\theta \in K$. Then we deduce from (58) that

$$\lambda \varphi_1' + \varphi_2' + \frac{v_2'}{\tan \theta v_2} \frac{\varphi_2}{r} - \lambda \left(\sec^2 \theta + \frac{\tan \theta v_2'}{v_2} \right) \frac{\varphi_1}{r} = 0, \quad \theta \in K.$$

Let $M(\theta) = \frac{v_2'}{\tan \theta v_2}$, $N(\theta) = \sec^2 \theta + M(\theta) \tan^2 \theta$, then we can rewrite the above formula as

$$\lambda \varphi_1' + \varphi_2' + M(\theta) \frac{\varphi_2}{r} - \lambda N(\theta) \frac{\varphi_1}{r} = 0, \quad \theta \in K. \tag{59}$$

Case 1.1: If $M(\theta)$ is not a constant in K , namely there exists $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in K$, such that

$$M(\theta_1) \neq M(\theta_2),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\varphi'_1 + \varphi'_2 + M(\theta_1)\frac{\varphi_2}{r} - \lambda N(\theta_1)\frac{\varphi_1}{r} &= 0, \\ \lambda\varphi'_1 + \varphi'_2 + M(\theta_2)\frac{\varphi_2}{r} - \lambda N(\theta_2)\frac{\varphi_2}{r} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

In the above two equations, the first one minus the second gives that

$$\varphi_2 = \lambda \frac{N(\theta_1) - N(\theta_2)}{M(\theta_1) - M(\theta_2)} \varphi_1 =: C\varphi_1,$$

then $u = \begin{pmatrix} v_1\varphi_1 \\ Cv_2\varphi_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ Cv_2 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_1$, reducing to the cases of Theorem 1.1.

Case 1.2: If $N(\theta)$ is not a constant in K , this case is similar with the above case of **Case 1.1**.

Case 1.3: If both $M(\theta)$ and $N(\theta)$ are constants in K , it is easy to see that $M(\theta) = -1, N(\theta) = 1$, and (59) becomes

$$(\lambda\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)' = \frac{\lambda\varphi_1 + \varphi_2}{r},$$

then $\lambda\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = C_1r$, and

$$\varphi_2 = C_1r - \lambda\varphi_1. \quad (60)$$

Now we substitute (60) to (58) and obtain that

$$(\sin\theta v_2 + \cos\theta v'_2) \left(C_1 - \lambda \sec^2\theta \frac{\varphi_1}{r} \right) = 0.$$

Since $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\varphi_1 \neq 0$, then we have $\sin\theta v_2 + \cos\theta v'_2 = 0$. Applying Lemma 2.2, there holds $v_2 = C_2 \cos\theta$ with $C_2 \neq 0$. By (57), we get $v_1 = \lambda C_2 \sin\theta$. We substitute

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = \lambda C_2 \sin\theta \\ v_2 = C_2 \cos\theta \\ \varphi_2 = C_1r - \lambda\varphi_1 \end{cases}$$

into (55) and obtain that

$$w = \lambda C_2 \left(\varphi'_1 + \frac{\varphi_1}{r} \right) - C_1 C_2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta w &= \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_\theta^2 \right) w = \lambda C_2 \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r \right) \left(\varphi'_1 + \frac{\varphi_1}{r} \right) \\ &= \lambda C_2 \left(\varphi_1''' + \frac{2\varphi_1''}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1'}{r^2} + \frac{\varphi_1}{r^3} \right); \\ \partial_1 w &= \lambda C_2 \left(\varphi'_1 + \frac{\varphi_1}{r} \right)' \cos\theta = \lambda C_2 \cos\theta \left(\varphi_1'' + \frac{\varphi_1'}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1}{r^2} \right); \\ \partial_2 w &= \lambda C_2 \sin\theta \left(\varphi_1'' + \frac{\varphi_1'}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1}{r^2} \right); \\ u \cdot \nabla w &= v_1\varphi_1\partial_1 w + v_2\varphi_2\partial_2 w = \lambda C_1 C_2^2 \sin\theta \cos\theta r \left(\varphi_1'' + \frac{\varphi_1'}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1}{r^2} \right).\end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Combine (17) and (61), and notice that $C_2 \neq 0$, $\lambda \neq 0$, then we obtain

$$\varphi_1''' + \frac{2\varphi_1''}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1'}{r^2} + \frac{\varphi_1}{r^3} = C_1 C_2 \sin \theta \cos \theta r \left(\varphi_1'' + \frac{\varphi_1'}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1}{r^2} \right),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{cases} C_1 \left(\varphi_1'' + \frac{\varphi_1'}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1}{r^2} \right) = 0, \\ \varphi_1''' + \frac{2\varphi_1''}{r} - \frac{\varphi_1'}{r^2} + \frac{\varphi_1}{r^3} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

Notice that the second equation of (62) is namely (25), whose solutions are $\varphi_1 = C_3 r + C_4 r \ln r$. These solutions verify the first equation of (62) if and only if $C_4 = 0$, then $\varphi_1 = C_3 r$ are the solutions of (62). Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = C_3 r, \\ \varphi_2 = (C_1 - \lambda C_3) r. \end{cases}$$

Finally we have

$$u = C_2 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda C_3 r \sin \theta \\ (C_1 - \lambda C_3) r \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_4 y \\ C_5 x \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -\frac{1}{2} C_4 C_5 (x^2 + y^2) + C_6,$$

included in the type (ii) as shown in Theorem 1.1.

Step 2: $\cos \theta v_1$ and $\sin \theta v_2$ are linearly independent. Then there exist $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ such that the determinant

$$\mathbf{D}_1 := \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta_1 v_1(\theta_1) & \sin \theta_1 v_2(\theta_1) \\ \cos \theta_2 v_1(\theta_2) & \sin \theta_2 v_2(\theta_2) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

We take $\theta = \theta_1$ and $\theta = \theta_2$ respectively in (56), then we obtain equations

$$\begin{cases} \cos \theta_1 v_1(\theta_1) \varphi_1' + \sin \theta_1 v_2(\theta_1) \varphi_2' = \sin \theta_1 v_1'(\theta_1) \frac{\varphi_1}{r} - \cos \theta_1 v_2'(\theta_1) \frac{\varphi_2}{r}, \\ \cos \theta_2 v_1(\theta_2) \varphi_1' + \sin \theta_2 v_2(\theta_2) \varphi_2' = \sin \theta_2 v_1'(\theta_2) \frac{\varphi_1}{r} - \cos \theta_2 v_2'(\theta_2) \frac{\varphi_2}{r}. \end{cases} \quad (63)$$

Notice that the determinant of the coefficients of (63) is exactly \mathbf{D}_1 . Since $\mathbf{D}_1 \neq 0$, by Cramer's Rule we must have

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1' = a \frac{\varphi_1}{r} + b \frac{\varphi_2}{r}, \\ \varphi_2' = c \frac{\varphi_1}{r} + d \frac{\varphi_2}{r}. \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

We substitute (64) into (56) and obtain that

$$(a \cos \theta v_1 + c \sin \theta v_2 - \sin \theta v_1') \varphi_1 + (b \cos \theta v_1 + d \sin \theta v_2 + \cos \theta v_2') \varphi_2 = 0.$$

Since $\varphi_2 \not\equiv 0$, by Lemma 2.1 we get either

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = \lambda \varphi_2 \\ b \cos \theta v_1 + d \sin \theta v_2 + \cos \theta v_2' = -\lambda (a \cos \theta v_1 + c \sin \theta v_2 - \sin \theta v_1') \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} a \cos \theta v_1 + c \sin \theta v_2 - \sin \theta v_1' = 0, \\ b \cos \theta v_1 + d \sin \theta v_2 + \cos \theta v_2' = 0. \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

In the first case (65), since $\varphi_1 = \lambda \varphi_2$, $u = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda v_1 \varphi_2 \\ v_2 \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_2$ can be reduced to the cases of Theorem 1.1.

Next we focus on the second case of (66), which implies that

$$\begin{cases} v_1' = a \cot \theta v_1 + cv_2, \\ v_2' = -(bv_1 + d \tan \theta v_2). \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

Substituting (64) and (67) into (55), we obtain that

$$w = \frac{av_1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\varphi_1}{r} - \frac{dv_2}{\cos \theta} \frac{\varphi_2}{r}. \quad (68)$$

w satisfy the equation $\Delta w = u \cdot \nabla w$. First, we compute $u \cdot \nabla w$.

$$\begin{aligned} u \cdot \nabla w &= v_1 \varphi_1 \partial_1 w + v_2 \varphi_2 \partial_2 w \\ &= v_1 \varphi_1 \left(\cos \theta \partial_r - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_\theta \right) w + v_2 \varphi_2 \left(\sin \theta \partial_r + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \partial_\theta \right) w \\ &= (\cos \theta v_1 \varphi_1 + \sin \theta v_2 \varphi_2) \partial_r w + \frac{1}{r} (-\sin \theta v_1 \varphi_1 + \cos \theta v_2 \varphi_2) \partial_\theta w. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

According to (68) and (64),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r w &= \frac{av_1}{\sin \theta} \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{r} \right)' - \frac{dv_2}{\cos \theta} \left(\frac{\varphi_2}{r} \right)' \\ &= \frac{av_1}{\sin \theta} r^{-2} [(a-1)\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2] - \frac{dv_2}{\cos \theta} r^{-2} [c\varphi_1 + (d-1)\varphi_2] \\ &= \left[a(a-1) \frac{v_1}{\sin \theta} - cd \frac{v_2}{\cos \theta} \right] r^{-2} \varphi_1 + \left[ab \frac{v_1}{\sin \theta} - d(d-1) \frac{v_2}{\cos \theta} \right] r^{-2} \varphi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

According to (68) and (67),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\theta w &= \frac{a\varphi_1}{r} \left(\frac{v_1}{\sin \theta} \right)' - \frac{d\varphi_2}{r} \left(\frac{v_2}{\cos \theta} \right)' \\ &= \frac{a}{\sin \theta} [(a-1) \cot \theta v_1 + cv_2] r^{-1} \varphi_1 + \frac{d}{\cos \theta} [bv_1 + (d-1) \tan \theta v_2] r^{-1} \varphi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Substituting (70) and (71) into (69), we obtain that

$$u \cdot \nabla w = r^{-2} [-c(a+d)v_1v_2\varphi_1^2 + b(a+d)v_1v_2\varphi_2^2 + F_3\varphi_1\varphi_2], \quad (72)$$

where

$$F_3 = (a \cot \theta - d \tan \theta)(bv_1^2 + cv_2^2) + \left(\frac{a^2 - a}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{d^2 - d}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) v_1 v_2. \quad (73)$$

Next we compute Δw .

$$\Delta w = (\partial_r^2 + r^{-1} \partial_r + r^{-2} \partial_\theta^2) w. \quad (74)$$

By (70) and (64),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r^2 w &= \left\{ [a(a-1)(a-2) + abc] \frac{v_1}{\sin \theta} - cd(a+d-3) \frac{v_2}{\cos \theta} \right\} r^{-3} \varphi_1 \\ &\quad + \left\{ ab(a+d-3) \frac{v_1}{\sin \theta} - [d(d-1)(d-2) + bcd] \frac{v_2}{\cos \theta} \right\} r^{-3} \varphi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

By (71) and (67),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\theta^2 w = & \left\{ [a(a-1)(a-2) \cot^2 \theta - a(bc+a-1)] \frac{v_1}{\sin \theta} + ac[(a-2) \cot^2 \theta - d] \frac{v_2}{\cos \theta} \right\} r^{-1} \varphi_1 \\ & - \left\{ [d(d-1)(d-2) \tan^2 \theta - d(bc+d-1)] \frac{v_2}{\cos \theta} + bd[(d-2) \tan^2 \theta - a] \frac{v_1}{\sin \theta} \right\} r^{-1} \varphi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Substituting (70), (75) and (76) into (74), we obtain that

$$\Delta w = r^{-3}(F_1 \varphi_1 - F_2 \varphi_2), \quad (77)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 = & a(a-1)(a-2) \frac{v_1}{\sin^3 \theta} + c[a(a-2) \cot^2 \theta - d(d+2a-2)] \frac{v_2}{\cos \theta}, \\ F_2 = & d(d-1)(d-2) \frac{v_2}{\cos^3 \theta} + b[d(d-2) \tan^2 \theta - a(a+2d-2)] \frac{v_1}{\sin \theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

$\Delta w = u \cdot \nabla w$, (72) and (77) yield that

$$F_1 \varphi_1 - F_2 \varphi_2 = (a+d)v_1 v_2 r (b\varphi_2^2 - c\varphi_1^2) + F_3 r \varphi_1 \varphi_2, \quad (79)$$

where F_1, F_2, F_3 are given by (73) and (78), and v_1, v_2 satisfy (66).

Since φ_1, φ_2 satisfy equations (64), applying Lemma 2.3 for them, we obtain their expressions as shown in Lemma 2.3. If φ_1 and φ_2 are linearly dependent, one can deduce this problem to the previous one, hence it suffices to consider that they are linearly independent.

Case (1): $b = 0, d = a$. At this time,

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = C_1 r^a, \\ \varphi_2 = (cC_1 \ln r + C_2) r^a. \end{cases}$$

Since φ_1 and φ_2 are linearly independent, then $cC_1 \neq 0$. $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^0([0, \infty))$, then $a > 0$.

Substitute $b = 0, d = a$ and the expressions of φ_1 and φ_2 into (79),

$$(C_1 F_1 - C_2 F_2) - cC_1 F_2 \ln r = r^{a+1} [cC_1^2 F_3 \ln r - 2acC_1^2 v_1 v_2 + C_1 C_2 F_3],$$

which implies

$$\begin{cases} C_1 F_1 - C_2 F_2 = 0; \\ cC_1 F_2 = 0; \\ cC_1^2 F_3 = 0; \\ -2acC_1^2 v_1 v_2 + C_1 C_2 F_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $cC_1 \neq 0$ and $a > 0$, then $F_1 = F_2 = F_3 = v_1 v_2 \equiv 0$. Applying Lemma 2.4, we have $v_2 \equiv 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $v_2 \not\equiv 0$. Therefore this case doesn't exist.

Case (2): $b = 0, d \neq a$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = C_1 r^a, \\ \varphi_2 = \frac{c}{a-d} C_1 r^a + C_2 r^d. \end{cases}$$

Since φ_1 and φ_2 are linearly independent, then $C_1 C_2 \neq 0$. $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^0$, then $a \geq 0$ and $d \geq 0$. $d \neq a$ implies that $a + d > 0$.

In this case, equation (79) becomes

$$C_1 \left(F_1 - \frac{cF_2}{a-d} \right) r^a - C_2 F_2 r^d = cC_1^2 \left[\frac{F_3}{a-d} - (a+d)v_1v_2 \right] r^{2a+1} + C_1 C_2 F_3 r^{a+d+1}. \quad (80)$$

$r^a, r^d, r^{2a+1}, r^{a+d+1}$ appear in the above formula. Obviously, r^a is different from the other three, so is r^{a+d+1} . Then the coefficients of r^a and r^{a+d+1} must be 0, which give that

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = \frac{c}{a-d} F_2; & (81) \\ F_3 = 0; & (82) \end{cases}$$

$$C_2 F_2 r^d = c(a+d)C_1^2 v_1 v_2 r^{2a+1}. \quad (83)$$

This situation will be divided into two subcases for further discussion.

Case (2.1): $c = 0$. The above equations are reduced to

$$F_1 = F_2 = F_3 = 0,$$

namely

$$\begin{cases} a(a-1)(a-2) \frac{v_1}{\sin^3 \theta} = 0; \\ d(d-1)(d-2) \frac{v_2}{\cos^3 \theta} = 0; \\ \left(\frac{a^2-a}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{d^2-d}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) v_1 v_2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (84)$$

Since $v_1 \neq 0$ and $v_2 \neq 0$, then the first and second equation of (84) give that

$$a = 0, 1, 2; \quad d = 0, 1, 2.$$

Notice that $c = 0$, then the first equation of (66) is reduced to

$$a \cos \theta v_1 - \sin \theta v_1' = 0.$$

Since $a = 0, 1, 2$ and $v_1 \in C^2$, we use a argument similar to the one in Lemma 2.2, then we obtain that

$$v_1 = C_3 \sin^a \theta, \quad C_3 \neq 0, \quad (85)$$

Similarly, since $b = 0$, the second equation of (66) is reduced to

$$d \sin \theta v_2 + \cos \theta v_2' = 0,$$

Since $d = 0, 1, 2$ and $v_2 \in C^2$, we apply Lemma 2.2 and obtain that

$$v_2 = C_4 \cos^d \theta, \quad C_4 \neq 0. \quad (86)$$

Having (85) and (86), one can reduce the third equation of (84) to

$$\begin{cases} a^2 - a = 0; \\ d^2 - d = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $d \neq a$, the above equations have solutions

$$\begin{cases} a = 1 \\ d = 0; \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} a = 0 \\ d = 1. \end{cases}$$

If $a = 1, d = 0$, then

$$\varphi_1 = C_1 r, \quad \varphi_2 = C_2, \quad v_1 = C_3 \sin \theta, \quad v_2 = C_4,$$

and

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 C_3 r \sin \theta \\ C_2 C_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_5 y \\ C_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -C_5 C_6 x + C_7. \quad (87)$$

If $a = 0, d = 1$, then

$$\varphi_1 = C_1, \quad \varphi_2 = C_2 r, \quad v_1 = C_3, \quad v_2 = C_4 \cos \theta,$$

and

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 C_3 \\ C_2 C_4 r \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_5 \\ C_6 x \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = -C_5 C_6 y + C_7. \quad (88)$$

Case (2.2): $c \neq 0$. Consider equation (83).

If $d \neq 2a + 1$,

$$C_2 F_2 = c(a + d) C_1^2 v_1 v_2 \equiv 0,$$

namely

$$F_2 \equiv 0, \quad v_1 v_2 \equiv 0.$$

According to Lemma 2.4, $v_2 \equiv 0$, which contradicts that $v_2 \neq 0$. Therefore

$$d = 2a + 1.$$

With (78) and $b = 0$, we rewrite (81) as

$$a(a-1)(a-2)v_1 = \frac{c \sin \theta}{\cos^3 \theta} \left[\frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{a-d} \sin^2 \theta - a(a-2) \cos^4 \theta + d(d+2a-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] v_2. \quad (89)$$

If $a(a-1)(a-2) = 0$, then

$$\left[\frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{a-d} \sin^2 \theta - a(a-2) \cos^4 \theta + d(d+2a-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] v_2 = 0.$$

Since $v_2 \neq 0$, there holds

$$\begin{cases} d(d-1)(d-2) = 0; \\ a(a-2) = 0; \\ d(d+2a-2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The above equations have solutions

$$\begin{cases} a = 0 \\ d = 0; \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} a = 0 \\ d = 2; \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} a = 2 \\ d = 0. \end{cases}$$

These all contradict that $d = 2a + 1$. Therefore

$$a(a-1)(a-2) \neq 0.$$

This and (89) give that

$$v_1 = \frac{c}{a(a-1)(a-2)} \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos^3 \theta} \left[\frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{a-d} \sin^2 \theta - a(a-2) \cos^4 \theta + d(d+2a-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] v_2. \quad (90)$$

Notice that when $b = 0$, equation (82) is

$$F_3 = c(a \cot \theta - d \tan \theta) v_2^2 + \left(\frac{a^2 - a}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{d^2 - d}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) v_1 v_2 = 0.$$

Since $v_2 \neq 0$, there exists an interval K_1 , such that

$$v_2 \neq 0, \quad \theta \in K_1.$$

Then

$$c(a \cot \theta - d \tan \theta) v_2 + \left(\frac{a^2 - a}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{d^2 - d}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) v_1 = 0, \quad \theta \in K_1.$$

Substituting (90) into the above equation, we obtain that in K_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & a(a-1)(a-2)(a \cos^2 \theta - d \sin^2 \theta) \cos^4 \theta + [(a^2 - a) \cos^2 \theta - (d^2 - d) \sin^2 \theta] \\ & \times \left[\frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{a-d} \sin^2 \theta - a(a-2) \cos^4 \theta + d(d+2a-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Notice that the left hand side of the above equation is a polynomial with respect to $\cos^2 \theta$, and the constant term of this polynomial is $\frac{d^2(d-1)^2(d-2)}{d-a}$, then we have

$$\frac{d^2(d-1)^2(d-2)}{d-a} = 0,$$

and $d = 0, 1, 2$. Recall that $d = 2a + 1$, $a \geq 0$ and $a(a-1)(a-2) \neq 0$, then

$$d = 2, \quad a = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Substituting this into (91), we obtain that $-\frac{11}{16} \cos^2 \theta = \sin^2 \theta$, which is impossible. Therefore, Case (2.2) does't exist.

Case (3): $b \neq 0, \delta > 0$. Now we have

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = C_1 r^m + C_2 r^n, \\ \varphi_2 = \frac{m-a}{b} C_1 r^m + \frac{n-a}{b} C_2 r^n, \end{cases}$$

where m, n are two different real roots of equation (8) and $m > n$.

Since φ_1 and φ_2 are linearly independent, then $C_1 C_2 \neq 0$. $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^0$, then $m > n \geq 0$.

By Vieta's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} a + d &= m + n > 0, \\ ad - bc &= mn. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

In this case, equation (79) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_1 [bF_1 - (m-a)F_2]r^m + C_2 [bF_1 - (n-a)F_2]r^n \\
& = C_1^2 \left\{ (a+d)[(m-a)^2 - bc]v_1v_2 + (m-a)F_3 \right\} r^{2m+1} \\
& \quad + C_2^2 \left\{ (a+d)[(n-a)^2 - bc]v_1v_2 + (n-a)F_3 \right\} r^{2n+1} \\
& \quad + C_1C_2 \left\{ 2(a+d)[(m-a)(n-a) - bc]v_1v_2 + (m+n-2a)F_3 \right\} r^{m+n+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Note that $r^m, r^n, r^{2m+1}, r^{2n+1}, r^{m+n+1}$ appear in the above equation. Since $m > n$,

$$2m+1 > m+n+1 > 2n+1, m > n,$$

the coefficients of r^{2m+1}, r^{m+n+1} and r^n must be 0, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (a+d)[(m-a)^2 - bc]v_1v_2 + (m-a)F_3 = 0; \\ 2(a+d)[(m-a)(n-a) - bc]v_1v_2 + (m+n-2a)F_3 = 0; \\ bF_1 = (n-a)F_2; \\ C_1 [bF_1 - (m-a)F_2]r^m = C_2^2 \left\{ (a+d)[(n-a)^2 - bc]v_1v_2 + (n-a)F_3 \right\} r^{2n+1}. \end{cases} \tag{94}$$

Notice that m, n are roots of equation (8). This and (92) give that

$$\begin{aligned}
(m-a)^2 - bc &= (d-a)(m-a); \\
(n-a)^2 - bc &= (d-a)(n-a); \\
(m-a)(n-a) &= -bc; \\
m+n &= a+d.
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

Substitute the third equation of (94) into the fourth one and apply (95), then one can rewrite (94) as

$$\begin{cases} (a+d)(d-a)(m-a)v_1v_2 + (m-a)F_3 = 0; \\ 4bc(a+d)v_1v_2 + (a-d)F_3 = 0; \\ F_1 = \frac{n-a}{b}F_2; \\ [(a+d)(d-a)(n-a)v_1v_2 + (n-a)F_3]r^{2n+1} = (n-m)C_1C_2^{-2}F_2r^m. \end{cases} \tag{96}$$

Consider the first and second equation of (96), where the determinant of the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}_2 &:= \begin{vmatrix} (a+d)(d-a)(m-a) & m-a \\ 4bc(a+d) & a-d \end{vmatrix} \\
&= (a+d)(a-m)[(d-a)^2 + 4bc] = (a+d)(a-m)\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{D}_2 \neq 0$, by Cramer's Rule,

$$v_1v_2 \equiv 0, \quad F_3 \equiv 0.$$

According to Lemma 2.4,

$$v_1 \equiv 0,$$

which contradicts that $v_1 \neq 0$. Then we must have $\mathbf{D}_2 = 0$, namely

$$(a+d)(a-m)\delta = 0.$$

Notice that $a + d > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, then

$$m = a.$$

Therefore, $n = a + d - m = d$, $bc = ad - mn = 0$. Since $b \neq 0$, then $c = 0$. In summary,

$$m = a, \quad n = d, \quad c = 0, \quad (97)$$

and $a > d \geq 0$.

With (97), we can reduce equations (96) to

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = \frac{d-a}{b}F_2; & (98) \\ F_3 = 0; & (99) \end{cases}$$

$$(a+d)(d-a)v_1v_2r^{2d+1} = C_1C_2^{-2}F_2r^a. \quad (100)$$

The following argument for this case is very similar to that for Case (2.2). For completeness, let's briefly describe the proof.

If $a \neq 2d + 1$, equation (100) is equivalent to

$$(a+d)(d-a)v_1v_2 = C_1C_2^{-2}F_2 \equiv 0,$$

and then $v_1v_2 \equiv 0$, which contradicts that $v_1 \neq 0$. Therefore

$$a = 2d + 1.$$

With (78) and $c = 0$, we rewrite (98) as

$$d(d-1)(d-2)v_2 = \frac{b \cos \theta}{\sin^3 \theta} \left[\frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{d-a} \cos^2 \theta - d(d-2) \sin^4 \theta + a(a+2d-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] v_1. \quad (101)$$

If $d(d-1)(d-2) = 0$, then

$$\left[\frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{d-a} \cos^2 \theta - d(d-2) \sin^4 \theta + a(a+2d-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] v_1 = 0.$$

Since $v_1 \neq 0$, we must have

$$\begin{cases} a(a-1)(a-2) = 0; \\ d(d-2) = 0; \\ a(a+2d-2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The above equations have solutions

$$\begin{cases} d = 0 \\ a = 0; \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} d = 0 \\ a = 2; \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} d = 2 \\ a = 0. \end{cases}$$

These all contradict that $a = 2d + 1$. Therefore

$$d(d-1)(d-2) \neq 0.$$

This and (101) give that

$$v_2 = \frac{b}{d(d-1)(d-2)} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin^3 \theta} \left[\frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{d-a} \cos^2 \theta - d(d-2) \sin^4 \theta + a(a+2d-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] v_1. \quad (102)$$

Notice that when $c = 0$, equation (99) is

$$F_3 = b(a \cot \theta - d \tan \theta) v_1^2 + \left(\frac{a^2 - a}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{d^2 - d}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) v_1 v_2 = 0.$$

Since $v_1 \neq 0$, there exists an interval K_2 , such that

$$v_1 \neq 0, \quad \theta \in K_2.$$

Then

$$b(a \cot \theta - d \tan \theta) v_1 + \left(\frac{a^2 - a}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{d^2 - d}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) v_2 = 0, \quad \theta \in K_2.$$

Substituting (102) into the above equation, we obtain that in K_2 ,

$$d(d-1)(d-2)(a \cos^2 \theta - d \sin^2 \theta) \sin^4 \theta + [(a^2 - a) \cos^2 \theta - (d^2 - d) \sin^2 \theta] \times \left[\frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{d-a} \cos^2 \theta - d(d-2) \sin^4 \theta + a(a+2d-2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right] = 0.$$

Notice that the left hand side of the above equation is a polynomial with respect to $\sin^2 \theta$, and the constant term of this polynomial is $\frac{a^2(a-1)^2(a-2)}{d-a}$, then we have

$$\frac{a^2(a-1)^2(a-2)}{d-a} = 0,$$

and $a = 0, 1, 2$. Recall that $a = 2d + 1$, $d \geq 0$ and $d(d-1)(d-2) \neq 0$, then

$$a = 2, \quad d = \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is also impossible by similar arguments as Case (2.2). Therefore, Case (3) doesn't exist.

Case (4) and (5): $b \neq 0, \delta \leq 0$. In these two cases, write

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 = (C_1 f_1 + C_2 f_2) r^k, \\ \varphi_2 = (B_1 f_1 + B_2 f_2) r^k. \end{cases} \quad (103)$$

In Case (4),

$$\begin{cases} k = l, \\ f_1 = \ln r, & f_2 = 1, \\ B_1 = \frac{l-a}{b} C_1, & B_2 = \frac{C_1 + (l-a)C_2}{b}. \end{cases} \quad (104)$$

where l is the unique real root of equation (8). Since φ_1 and φ_2 are linearly independent, then $C_1 \neq 0$. $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^0$ at $r = 0$, then $k = l > 0$.

In Case (5),

$$\begin{cases} k = \lambda, \\ f_1 = \cos(\mu \ln r), & f_2 = \sin(\mu \ln r), \\ B_1 = \frac{(\lambda-a)C_1 + \mu C_2}{b}, & B_2 = \frac{(\lambda-a)C_2 - \mu C_1}{b}. \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

where $\lambda \pm \mu i$ ($\mu \neq 0$) are the complex roots of equation (8). Since φ_1 and φ_2 are linearly independent, then $C_1^2 + C_2^2 \neq 0$. $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^0$ at $r = 0$, then $k = \lambda > 0$.

Substitute (103) into (79) and denote $G = (a + d)v_1v_2$, then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_1F_1 - B_1F_2)f_1 + (C_2F_1 - B_2F_2)f_2 \\ &= r^{k+1} \left\{ f_1^2 [(bB_1^2 - cC_1^2)G + C_1B_1F_3] + f_2^2 [(bB_2^2 - cC_2^2)G + C_2B_2F_3] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f_1f_2 [2(bB_1B_2 - cC_1C_2)G + (C_1B_2 + C_2B_1)F_3] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

Since $f_1, f_2, r^{k+1}f_1^2, r^{k+1}f_2^2$ and $r^{k+1}f_1f_2$ are linearly independent, the above equations are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} C_1F_1 - B_1F_2 = 0; \\ C_2F_1 - B_2F_2 = 0; \\ (bB_1^2 - cC_1^2)G + C_1B_1F_3 = 0; \\ (bB_2^2 - cC_2^2)G + C_2B_2F_3 = 0; \\ 2(bB_1B_2 - cC_1C_2)G + (C_1B_2 + C_2B_1)F_3 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (107)$$

For Case (4), we substitute (104) into the last three equations of (107) and take into account that $C_1 \neq 0$, then we obtain that

$$\left\{ [(l-a)^2 - bc]G + (l-a)F_3 = 0; \right. \quad (108)$$

$$\left\{ \left\{ C_1^2 + 2(l-a)C_1C_2 + [(l-a)^2 - bc]C_2^2 \right\}G + [C_1C_2 + (l-a)C_2^2]F_3 = 0; \right. \quad (109)$$

$$\left\{ \left\{ 2(l-a)C_1 + 2[(l-a)^2 - bc]C_2 \right\}G + [C_1 + 2(l-a)C_2]F_3 = 0. \right. \quad (110)$$

$\frac{(109)-(108) \times C_2^2}{C_1}$ gives that

$$[C_1 + 2(l-a)C_2]G + C_2F_3 = 0. \quad (111)$$

$\frac{(110)-(108) \times 2C_2}{C_1}$ gives that

$$2(l-a)G + F_3 = 0. \quad (112)$$

$\frac{(111)-(112) \times C_2}{C_1}$ gives that

$$G = 0,$$

namely $G = (a + d)v_1v_2 = 0$. Notice that $a + d = 2l > 0$, then $v_1v_2 = 0$. Since $b \neq 0$, we apply Lemma 2.4 again, then we have $v_1 \equiv 0$, which contradicts that $v_1 \not\equiv 0$. Therefore Case (4) doesn't exist.

For Case (5), we substitute (105) into the last three equations of (107), then we obtain that

$$\left\{ \left\{ [(\lambda-a)^2 - bc]C_1^2 + \mu^2C_2^2 + 2\mu(\lambda-a)C_1C_2 \right\}G + [(\lambda-a)C_1^2 + \mu C_1C_2]F_3 = 0; \right. \quad (113)$$

$$\left\{ \left\{ [(\lambda-a)^2 - bc]C_2^2 + \mu^2C_1^2 - 2\mu(\lambda-a)C_1C_2 \right\}G + [(\lambda-a)C_2^2 - \mu C_1C_2]F_3 = 0; \right. \quad (114)$$

$$\left\{ \left\{ 2\mu(\lambda-a)(C_2^2 - C_1^2) + 2[(\lambda-a)^2 - \mu^2 - bc]C_1C_2 \right\}G \right. \\ \left. + [\mu(C_2^2 - C_1^2) + 2(\lambda-a)C_1C_2]F_3 = 0. \right. \quad (115)$$

We claim that

$$G = 0.$$

Firstly, if $C_1 = 0$, then $C_2 \neq 0$ due to $C_1^2 + C_2^2 \neq 0$, and (113) becomes $\mu^2 C_2^2 G = 0$. Since $\mu \neq 0$, then $G = 0$.

Secondly, if $C_2 = 0$, then $C_1 \neq 0$ and (114) becomes $\mu^2 C_1^2 G = 0$ and thus $G = 0$.

Finally, if $C_1 C_2 \neq 0$, (113) $\times \frac{C_2}{C_1} + (114) \times \frac{C_1}{C_2} - (115)$ gives that

$$\mu^2 \frac{(C_1^2 + C_2^2)^2}{C_1 C_2} G = 0,$$

then $G = 0$. Thus the claim is proved.

Consequently, $G = (a + d)v_1 v_2 = 0$. Notice that $a + d = 2\lambda > 0$, then $v_1 v_2 = 0$, which contradicts that $v_1 \neq 0$. Therefore Case (5) doesn't exist.

Finally, when

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(\theta)\varphi_1(r) \\ v_2(\theta)\varphi_2(r) \end{pmatrix},$$

all solutions of equations (1) are (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) as shown in Theorem 1.1, (87) and (88).

The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgments. J. Wu is supported by NSFC under grant 11601373. W. Wang was supported by NSFC under grant 11671067.

References

- [1] M. Bildhauer, M. Fuchs, G. Zhang, *Liouville-type theorems for steady flows of degenerate power law fluids in the plane*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 15 (2013), no. 3, 583-616.
- [2] D. Chae, *Liouville-type theorem for the forced Euler equations and the Navier-Stokes equations*. Commun. Math. Phys., 326: 37-48 (2014).
- [3] M. Fuchs, X. Zhong, *A note on a Liouville type result of Gilbarg and Weinberger for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations in 2D*. Problems in mathematical analysis. No. 60. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 178 (2011), no. 6, 695-703
- [4] G. P. Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier- Stokes equations. Steady-state problems*. Second edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2011. xiv+1018 pp.
- [5] D. Gilbarg, H. F. Weinberger, *Asymptotic properties of steady plane solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with bounded Dirichlet integral*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 5 (1978), no. 2, 381-404.
- [6] K. Kang, B. Lai, C.-C. Lai, T.-P. Tsai, *Finite energy Navier-Stokes flows with unbounded gradients induced by localized flux in the half-space*, arXiv:2107.00810[math.AP].

- [7] G. Koch, N. Nadirashvili, G. Seregin, V. Sverak, *Liouville theorems for the Navier-Stokes equations and applications*, Acta Mathematica, 203 (2009), 83-105.
- [8] H. Kozono, Y. Terasawa, Y. Wakasugi, *Asymptotic properties of steady and non-steady solutions to the 2D Navier-Stokes equations with finite generalized Dirichlet integral*, arXiv:1903.09969v4 [math.AP].
- [9] P. Li, L.-F. Tam, *Linear growth harmonic functions on a complete manifold*, J. Differential Geometry, 29 (1989), 421-425.
- [10] J. Liu, W. Wang, *Boundary regularity criteria for the 6D steady Navier-Stokes and MHD equations*. J. Differential Equations 264 (2018), no. 3, 2351-2376.
- [11] G. Seregin, *Liouville type theorem for stationary Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity, 29 (2016), 2191-2195.
- [12] W. Wang, *Liouville-type theorems for the planar stationary MHD equations with growth at infinity*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., to appear.
- [13] S. T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on a complete Riemannian manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975) 201-228.