Tilings of the Sphere by Congruent Quadrilaterals with Exactly Two Equal Edges

Ho Man CHEUNG
email: hmcheungae@connect.ust.hk

Hoi Ping LUK
email: hoi@connect.ust.hk

August 17, 2021

Abstract

In this paper we give a classification of tilings of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals with exactly two equal edges. The tilings are the earth map tilings, \((p, q)\)-earth map tilings and their flip modifications, and quadrilateral subdivisions of the cube and the triangular prism. We described the ranges of values of the edges and angles for the tile to be geometrically realisable. The symmetry groups of the tilings are also determined.
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## 1 Introduction

The study of edge-to-edge tilings of the sphere by congruent polygons \((n\text{-gons})\) have seen fruition in the recent decades. For simplicity, by *tilings* we mean tilings of such kind throughout this paper. As an immediate result from Euler’s formula and Dehn-Sommerville formulae, we know that to have tilings \(n\) is 3, 4, 5, namely the polygons are exactly triangles, quadrilaterals and pentagons. Starting from the work by Sommerville [13] in 1923 on the classification of edge-to-edge tiling of the sphere by congruent triangles, collective effort have been made in the subject. Notably, Ueno and Agaoka gave a complete classification for tilings by triangles in [14]. Further efforts have been put into study of tilings by quadrilaterals by Ueno and Agaoka in [15], by Akama et al. in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], which include quadrilaterals of the types that are equilateral or can be divided into two triangles. On the other hand, Yan et al. are on course to give a complete classification of tilings by pentagons in [11], [6], [16], [17], [7], [12], [18], [19], [20]. The problems remain open are the tilings by quadrilaterals with exactly two equal edges and those with exactly three equal edges.

This paper is to give a classification on the tilings by congruent quadrilateral with exactly two equal edges \((a^2bc)\). For the reason of efficiency and compactness, most of the notations in this paper are inherited from Yan’s papers. For instance, we use the product notation for combinations of edges and angles. The notation \(a^2bc\) denotes two \(a\)-edges, one \(b\)-edge and one \(c\)-edge. Interested readers may refer to [12] for more details nevertheless the
notations adopted in this paper will be explained in due course. We remark that the tilings obtained by Akama in [2] can be derived in this paper.

The main result of this paper is stated as follows,

**Theorem.** The tilings of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals with exactly two equal edges are the Earth Map Tilings, the $\left(\frac{1}{1}, 4\right)$-Earth Map Tilings and their flip modifications, and the quadrilateral subdivisions of the cube (or equivalently the octahedron) and of the triangular prism.

Acknowledgement: we like express our gratitude to Henry Kam Hang Cheng for the discussions and suggestions on this paper.

## 2 Basic Techniques

To understand this paper, we highlight the basic notations about the angles, edges, vertices and the combinatorics in this section.

![Default Quadrilateral](image)

Figure 1: Default Quadrilateral

The quadrilateral in this paper, as shown in Figure 1 has edge combination $a^2bc$ and clockwise angle configuration $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ from the top left corner to the bottom left has angles $\beta, \gamma$ next to $b$-edge and $\gamma, \delta$ next to $c$-edge. Graphically, || denotes the $b$-edge whereas $|$ denotes $c$-edge. The edges are geodesic arcs, namely parts of the great circles. By Lemma 1 in [1], the quadrilateral is simple. This implies $a$ and one of $b, c$ is $< \pi$. A priori, the four angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are not assumed to have distinct values. They will be determined accordingly. The tilings are always assumed to be edge-to-edge and all vertices are assumed to have degree $\geq 3$. All these are implicitly assumed, in particular in the statements of all lemmas and propositions in this paper. We remark that despite there is another edge arrangement in Figure 3 for $a^2bc$, which however cannot be a tile for any tilings by Proposition 2. The quadrilateral in Figure 1 is indeed the only tile we need to study. We remark that this tile is symmetric up to the exchange of $\beta, \delta$ and $b, c$. 
2.1 Notations and Conventions

Angles at a vertex

A vertex is denoted by $\alpha^a\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d$ which means it consists of $a$ copies of $\alpha$, $b$ copies of $\beta$, etc. The angle sum of the vertex is

$$a\alpha + b\beta + c\gamma + d\delta = 2\pi,$$

where $a, b, c, d \geq 0$. The vertex angle sums are frequently used so that we simply say, for example, ‘by $\beta\gamma\delta$’ to mean ‘by the angle sum $\beta + \gamma + \delta = 2\pi$ of $\beta\gamma\delta$’. In practice, we only write the angles which appear at a vertex. For example, $\alpha^a\beta^b\gamma^c$ means $a, b, c > 0$ and $a + b + c \geq 3$. We remark this slight difference in the use of the notation from that in Yan’s papers.

We use $\alpha\beta\cdots$ to denote a vertex containing one $\alpha$ and one $\beta$. In other words, $\alpha\beta\cdots = \alpha^a\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d$ with $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 1$. The angle combination in $\cdots$ is called the remainder. To indicate a vertex without a specific angle, say $\alpha$, we denote it by $\hat{\alpha}\cdots$. We also use $\hat{\alpha}\cdots$ or $\hat{\alpha}$-vertex to denote a vertex without $\alpha$-edge, etc.

Even though we do not assume that the four angles to have distinct values, each of them has distinctive adjacent edges as indicated in Figure 1. For example, $\alpha$ is the only angle sandwiched by two $a$-edges, $\beta$ is the only angle between an $a$-edge and a $b$-edge, etc. When we mention each angle, their unique position in the default quadrilateral and their unique edge information are implicitly taken into consideration.

Let $f$ denote the number of tiles. By (12) in [1], the angle sum for quadrilateral is

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = (2 + \frac{4}{f})\pi. \quad (2.1)$$

The equality means that the area of the quadrilateral is the surface area of $4\pi$ of the (unit) sphere divided by $f$ many quadrilateral tiles.

The AVC (anglewise vertex combination) is the collection of all vertices in a tiling. For example,

$$\text{AVC} = \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^d, \beta^2\delta^d, \delta^d\}.$$
different vertices. Their values will be distinguished accordingly whenever necessary. For instance, when we use the AVC above to construct tilings as in (3.12) of Proposition 8, the values of the generic notations are determined below,

\[ AVC = \{ \alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta^{\frac{f+8}{4}}, \beta^2 \delta^{\frac{f-8}{4}}, \delta^f \}. \]

We denote by \( AVC_k \) all the degree \( k \) vertices in a tiling. Take the above AVC as an example, we have \( AVC_3 = \{ \alpha \beta^2 \}, \) \( AVC_4 = \{ \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4 \} \) when \( f \) is sufficiently large.

Note that the AVC lists all the possible vertices. As each angle appears at some vertices in a tiling, to ensure this to happen, some vertices must appear, which we call necessary, whereas some others may or may not appear, which we call optional. The following AVC encodes such information

\[ AVC = \{ \alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4 \ | \ \alpha \delta^d, \beta^d \delta^d, \delta^d \}, \]

where the divider | separates the necessary vertices before it and the optional vertices after it. Further details of the notation can be seen in [7].

Lastly, \( \equiv \) is used instead of \( = \) to indicate the exact list of vertices in a tiling. In other words, every vertex listed is necessary. For example, the \( (\frac{f}{4}, 4) \)-earth map tiling constructed has vertices exactly as follows,

\[ AVC \equiv \{ \alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^f \}. \]

Correspondingly, we have \( AVC_3 \equiv \{ \alpha \beta^2 \} \).

We remark that given an AVC, implicitly each vertex has its vertex angle sum equation and hence the AVC has a system of simultaneous linear equations in terms of the angles. We also remark that whenever necessary the angle sum of quadrilateral can be included into this system without specifying it in the discussion. For a tiling to exists, there must be a solution to the system.

**Counting**

We use \( \# \) to denote various total numbers. In particular, \( \# \alpha \) denotes the total number of \( \alpha \) in a tiling. Since each angle appears exactly once in each tile, we have

\[ \# \alpha = \# \beta = \# \gamma = \# \delta = f. \]
For \( AVC = \{ \alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta^{\frac{1+8}{8}}, \beta \delta^{\frac{f-8}{8}}, \delta^{\frac{f}{4}} \} \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  f &= \#\alpha = \#\alpha \beta^2 + 2\#\alpha^2 \delta^2 + \#\alpha \delta^{\frac{f+8}{8}}, \\
  f &= \#\beta = 2\#\alpha \beta^2 + 2\#\beta^2 \delta^{\frac{f-8}{8}}, \\
  f &= \#\gamma = 4\#\gamma^4, \\
  f &= \#\delta = 2\#\alpha^2 \delta^2 + \frac{f + 8}{8} \#\alpha \delta^{\frac{f+8}{8}} + \frac{f - 8}{8} \#\beta^2 \delta^{\frac{f-8}{8}} + \frac{f}{4} \#\delta^{\frac{f}{4}},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \#\alpha \beta^2 \) denotes the total number of vertex \( \alpha \beta^2 \), etc.

We also use \( \#_\nu \alpha \) to denote the number of \( \alpha \) at a vertex \( \nu \). Meanwhile, we use \( \#_k \alpha \) to denote the number of \( \alpha \) at degree \( k \) vertices. For example, in the \( AVC \) above, \( \#_3 \alpha = \#\alpha \beta^2 \).

**b-Edge and c-Edge**

The distinguished edges in the default quadrilateral are \( b, c \). The angles adjacent to \( b \)-edge are \( \beta, \gamma \) which we call \( b \)-angles. The angles adjacent to \( c \)-edge are \( \gamma, \delta \) which we call \( c \)-angles. A \( b \)-vertex has angles \( \beta, \gamma \), and a \( c \)-vertex has angles \( \gamma, \delta \). Meanwhile, a \( b \)-vertex does not have \( \beta, \gamma \) and has only \( \alpha, \delta \), and a \( c \)-vertex does not have angles \( \gamma, \delta \) and has only \( \alpha, \beta \).

By knowing the arrangement of angles at a vertex, we can determine the vertex to a significant extent. For example, the \( b \)-edge \( || \) can only appear at a vertex as \( \beta || \beta, \beta || \gamma, \gamma || \gamma \). Meanwhile, the \( c \)-edge \( \| \) can only appear at a vertex as \( \gamma \| \gamma, \| \delta, \delta \| \delta \). This observation leads to the Parity Lemma (Lemma 2.1) whereby we establish the list of forbidden vertices and possible vertices in Lemma 2.10.

The arrangement of angles at a vertex is essentially circular and hence there are several equivalent presentations. For instance, \( |\beta||\gamma|\delta|\gamma| \) (rotation), \( |\delta|\gamma||\beta| \) (reversion) are the same arrangements of vertex \( \beta \gamma \delta \).

**Adjacent angle deduction**

The tiles containing the angles at a vertex can be arranged in various ways. The AAD (adjacent angle deduction) is the notation that encodes both the angle arrangement and the tile arrangement at a vertex. For example, both pictures in Figure 2 are AADs of the arrangement \( |\delta|\alpha|\alpha|\delta| \). These two pictures can be denoted by \( |\gamma \delta\alpha|\delta|\alpha\beta|\alpha\delta|\alpha \delta\gamma| \), \( |\gamma \delta\alpha|\delta|\alpha\beta|\alpha\beta|\alpha\delta\gamma| \), \( |\gamma \delta\alpha|\delta|\alpha\beta|\alpha\delta\gamma| \), and \( |\gamma \delta\alpha|\delta|\alpha\beta|\alpha\delta\gamma| \) respectively.
Similar to the arrangement of angles at a vertex, an AAD can be rotated and reversed. For example, the AAD of the second picture in Figure 2 can be written as $\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma | \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ (rotation) and $\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma | \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ (reversion).

The use of AAD as a notation can be flexible. For example, we use $\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma | \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ to denote $\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma | \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ or $\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma | \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$. In particular, when the AAD along a \(b\)-edge or \(c\)-edge is uniquely determined and irrelevant to the discussion, for simplicity we may drop the ‘upper angle’ (\(\gamma\)) in this example. We may even use $\beta | \alpha$ to denote $\beta | \alpha$ or $\beta | \alpha$. We also use $\alpha | \beta \cdots$ to indicate $\alpha | \beta \cdots$ at a vertex.

From the above examples, we can see that an AAD leads to new AADs and vertices. For instance, $\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma | \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ is an AAD at vertex $\alpha \delta \cdots$. This AAD implies an AAD at $\beta \alpha \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ at $\alpha \beta \cdots$ (the right half of the third picture in Figure 2). In general, we have the following reciprocity property: an AAD $\gamma \delta \alpha \beta \gamma | \delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ at $\lambda \mu \cdots$ implies an AAD at $\theta \lambda \mu \cdots$ at $\theta \rho \cdots$ and vice versa.

Though AAD can get complicated, often when it is specified we can streamline the argument. For example, the AAD of $\alpha | \alpha$ can be $\alpha \beta | \delta \alpha$, $\alpha \beta | \delta \alpha$, $\alpha \delta | \alpha \beta$. If we know that $\beta \delta \cdots$, $\delta \alpha \cdots$ are not vertices, the AAD of $\alpha | \alpha$ must be $\alpha \beta | \delta \alpha$, and hence the AAD is unique (up to rotation and reversion). As per the edge configuration in Figure 1, $\beta | \beta, \delta | \delta$ indeed have unique AADs $\beta \alpha | \beta, \delta \alpha | \delta \delta$.

The typical applications of AAD are listed below:

- If $\delta | \delta \cdots$ is not a vertex, then $\alpha \delta | \delta \alpha \cdots$ is also not a vertex.

- If $\beta | \beta \cdots$, $\delta | \delta \cdots$ are not vertices, then $\alpha | \alpha$ has unique AAD $\alpha \beta | \delta \alpha$.

- If $\beta | \beta \cdots$, $\beta | \delta \cdots$ are not vertices, then $\alpha | \alpha \cdots$ cannot be a vertex.

In other words, there cannot be an arrangement of three consecutive $\alpha$’s at a vertex.

We remark that $\beta \delta \cdots$ is not a vertex implies $\beta | \beta \cdots$ is not a vertex, etc.
The application of AAD sometimes depends on which piece of information we can obtain.

The advantage of adopting AAD is seen in the above demonstration that the discussion of angle and tile arrangements can be more efficiently and concisely conducted in place of drawing pictures. Luk and Yan invented the notation to substitute tens of pictures in the studies of pentagonal tilings. In this paper, we closely follow the notation used in their papers.

2.2 Combinatorics

From Euler formula, two Dehn-Sommerville formulae and a vertex equation,

\[ v - e + f = 2, \quad nf = 2e, \quad 2e = \sum_{k \geq 3} kv_k, \quad v = \sum_{k \geq 3} v_k, \quad nf = \sum_{k \geq 3} kv_k. \]

for \( n = 4 \), we get

\[ v_3 = 8 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 4)v_h, \quad f = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \geq 3} kv_k, \quad f = 6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h, \]

\[ f = v - 2, \quad f = 2 + \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{k - 2}{2} v_k, \quad f = 2e_b. \]  

(2.2)

The last equation which comes from counting the number of \( b \)-edges \( e_b \) shows that the number of faces \( f \) must be even.

**Proposition 1.** In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals, \( f = 6 \) if and only if all vertices are of degree 3.

**Proof.** By \( f = 6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h, f = 6 \) if and only if \( \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h = 0 \) for every \( h \geq 4 \), which is equivalent to all vertices are of degree 3. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.1.** (Parity Lemma) If a vertex has a distinguishable edge and \( \gamma, \delta \) are the corresponding adjacent angles, then at a vertex \( \nu \),

\[ \#_\nu \gamma + \#_\nu \delta \equiv 0 \mod 2. \]

In the default quadrilateral in Figure 4, the \( b \)-angles are \( \beta, \gamma \) and the \( c \)-angles are \( \gamma, \delta \), then at a vertex \( \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \),

\[ b + c \equiv 0 \mod 2, \]

\[ c + d \equiv 0 \mod 2, \]

and \( b, c, d \) must be all even or all odd.
Proof. Suppose $\gamma, \delta$ are $c$-angles, the case when a vertex without a $c$-edge is trivial. When a vertex with a $c$-edge,

$$\cdots \cdots = \gamma \gamma, \gamma \delta, \delta \delta,$$

which implies

$$b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad c + d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$ When $b, c > 0$, $d = 0$ at a vertex $\alpha^{a} \beta^{b} \gamma^{c} \delta^{d}$,

$$b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad c \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

which implies $b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. When $c, d > 0$, $b = 0$ at a vertex $\alpha^{a} \beta^{b} \gamma^{c} \delta^{d}$,

$$c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad c + d \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

which implies $c \equiv d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. When $b, d > 0$, $c = 0$ at a vertex $\alpha^{a} \beta^{b} \gamma^{c} \delta^{d}$,

$$b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad d \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

which implies $b \equiv d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. When $b, c, d > 0$ at a vertex $\alpha^{a} \beta^{b} \gamma^{c} \delta^{d}$, (we have $a = 0$ by quadrilateral angle sum),

$$b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad c + d \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

which implies $b \equiv c \equiv d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. As $c$ odd implies $b, d$ both odd and $c$ even implies $b, d$ both even.

Remark: If there is no $\alpha$ at a vertex, parity holds for $a$-edge angles $\beta, \delta$. That is, $b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ in $\beta \beta \gamma \gamma \delta \delta$.

Lemma 2.2. (Balance Lemma) In a monohedral tiling with each tile having a distinguishable edge $l$ and $\theta, \varphi$ being the only $l$-angles,

1. $\theta \theta \cdots$ is a vertex if and only if $\varphi \varphi \cdots$ is also a vertex and when one of them is a vertex then $\theta, \varphi < \pi$.

2. if $\theta^{2} \cdots$ is not a vertex, then $\varphi^{2} \cdots$ is also not a vertex and hence each vertex with $l$ has exactly one $l$ with one $\theta$ and one $\varphi$.

3. if $\theta \varphi \cdots$ is not a vertex, then every $l$-vertex has AAD either $\theta \theta \cdots$ or $\varphi \varphi \cdots$ and both must appear.
Proof. Suppose $\theta \vdash \theta \cdots$ is a vertex, then the AAD $\theta \triangleright \varphi \theta$ implies that $\varphi \vdash \varphi \cdots$ is a vertex. Up to the symmetry between $\theta, \varphi$, we have the equivalence. The vertex angle sums imply $2\theta, 2\varphi < 2\pi$ so we get $\theta, \varphi < \pi$.

When $\theta^2 \cdots$ is not a vertex, then $\theta \vdash \theta \cdots$ is not a vertex and hence $\varphi \vdash \varphi \cdots$ is also not a vertex. The AAD of $\varphi^2 \cdots$ can only be $\cdots \varphi \vdash \varphi \cdots \varphi \theta \cdots$ which contradicts $\theta^2 \cdots$ not being a vertex. So $\varphi^2 \cdots$ cannot be a vertex and every $l$-vertex must be $\theta \vdash \varphi \cdots$ with exactly one $\theta$ and one $\varphi$.

When $\theta \varphi \cdots$ is not a vertex, then the $l$-vertices have either $\theta$ or $\varphi$ and hence a $l$-vertex is either $\theta \vdash \theta \cdots$ or $\varphi \vdash \varphi \cdots$ is a vertex and both of them must appear.

\[ A \quad B \]
\[ \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
\beta \\
\delta \\
\gamma \\
\end{array} \]
\[ D \quad C \]

Figure 3: Impossible Quadrilateral

Proposition 2. The quadrilateral in Figure 3 does not form a tiling.

Proof. Assume the quadrilateral in Figure 3 is a tile of some tiling. Then $\alpha, \delta$ are $c$-angles and $\beta, \gamma$ are $b$-angles. Lemma 2.1 implies that the total number of $\alpha, \delta$ at a vertex must be even and so is the total number of $\beta, \gamma$ at a vertex. Notice that there is no angle between two $a$-edges so the number of $b, c$ at a vertex must be even and $\geq 2$. Hence the minimal degree of a vertex is 4, contradicting $v_3 > 0$.

The following results involving congruent quadrilaterals not limited to $a^2bc$.

Lemma 2.3. In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals, there is at most one angle with value $\geq \pi$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Suppose the angles are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. Then there are exactly two angles with value $\geq \pi$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha, \beta \geq \pi$. Then there is no $\alpha^2 \cdots, \beta^2 \cdots, \alpha \beta \cdots$. Moreover, all the vertices are in one of the following forms

$\alpha \gamma \delta, \quad \beta \gamma \delta, \quad \gamma \delta, \quad \cdots$
where \(c_i + d_i, c_j + d_j \geq 2\) and \(c_k + d_k \geq 3\). As \(\alpha, \beta\) must appear at some vertices, \(#\alpha \gamma^e \delta^i, #\beta \gamma^e \delta^j > 0\) for some \(i, j\). Counting angles \(\alpha, \beta\), we get

\[
2f = #\alpha + #\beta = \sum_i #\alpha \gamma^e \delta^i + \sum_j #\beta \gamma^e \delta^j < \sum_i (c_i + d_i) #\alpha \gamma^e \delta^i + \sum_j (c_j + d_j) #\beta \gamma^e \delta^j + \sum_k (c_k + d_k) #\gamma^e \delta^k = #\gamma + #\delta = 2f,
\]
a contradiction.

Lemma 2.4. In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals, if two angles \(\theta_1, \theta_2\) do not appear at any degree 3 vertex, then there must be \(\theta^i \cdots (i = 1 \text{ or } 2)\) or \(\theta^i_2 \theta^j \cdots (i, j = 1, 2)\) in AVC_4 or \(\theta^i_3 \theta^j_3 \cdots (i, j = 1, 2)\) or \(\theta^i_1 \theta^j_2 \cdots \) in AVC_5.

Proof. Counting the other two angles as \(\varphi\), then

\[
2f = #\varphi = #\gamma + #\delta = 3v_3 + #\geq 4 \varphi,
\]

\[
#\geq 4 \varphi = 2f - 3v_3 = 2(6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h) - 3(8 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 4)v_h)
\]

\[
= -12 + 2v_4 + v_5 - \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h,
\]

which implies \(2v_4 + v_5 = 12 + #\geq 4 \varphi + \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h\). Then

\[
2v_4 + v_5 > #\geq 4 \varphi \geq #\geq 4 \varphi,
\]

so there must be a vertex in AVC_{4,5} with at most one \(\varphi\).

Lemma 2.5. In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals, if \(\theta_1, \theta_2\) combined appear three times at every degree 3 vertex, then there is either a degree 4 vertex with at most one of \(\theta_1, \theta_2\) or a degree 5 vertex without \(\theta_1, \theta_2\).

Proof. Assume the contrary that \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_2\) combined appear at least twice at every degree 4 vertex and at least once at every degree 5 vertex. Counting \(\theta_1, \theta_2\) together, we get \(2f \geq 3v_3 + 2v_4 + v_5\). By \(f = 6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h\) and \(v_3 = 8 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 4)v_h\), we get

\[
0 \geq 3v_3 + 2v_4 + v_5 - 2f = 12 + \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h > 0,
\]
a contradiction.
Lemma 2.6. In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals, if an angle \( \theta \) does not appear at any vertex of degree \( i \), where \( 3 \leq i \leq k \) and \( k \geq 4 \), then there must be a degree \( \geq k + 1 \) vertex \( \theta \left\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \).

Proof. Assume \( \theta \) appears at most \( \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor \) times at every degree \( \geq k + 1 \) vertex. Then

\[
\sum_{h \geq k+1} \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor v_h \geq \#_{\geq k+1}\theta = \#\theta = f \text{ so that }\]

\[
0 \geq 6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h - \sum_{h \geq k+1} \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor v_h \geq 6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h + \sum_{h \geq k+1} (k - \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 2)v_h > 0,
\]

a contradiction. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.7. If \( AVC_3 = \{\theta^3\} \), then

1. \( v_4 = 18 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (2h - 9)v_h + \#_{\geq 4}\theta \); 
2. \( v_4 \geq \#_{\geq 4}\theta + 18, f \geq 24 \) and hence \( AVC_4 \neq \emptyset \); 
3. there is a degree 4 vertex without \( \theta \); 
4. there is a degree 4 vertex \( \varphi^2 \cdots \) where \( \varphi \neq \theta \) and either there is another different degree 4 vertex or there is a degree 5 vertex without \( \theta, \varphi \); 
5. when \( \varphi^4 \) is a vertex, where \( \varphi \neq \theta \), there must be another degree 4 vertex; 
6. when \( \varphi \), where \( \varphi \neq \theta \), appears at least twice in every degree 4 vertex, then there must be a degree 5 vertex without \( \theta, \varphi \).

Proof. Counting \( \theta \), we have \( f = \#\theta = \#_3\theta + \#_{\geq 4}\theta = 3v_3 + \#_{\geq 4}\theta \), then

\[
\#_{\geq 4}\theta = f - 3v_3 = (6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h) - 3(8 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 4)v_h) = v_4 - 18 - \sum_{h \geq 5} (2h - 9)v_h,
\]

which implies \( v_4 = 18 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (2h - 9)v_h + \#_{\geq 4}\theta \) and \( v_4 > \#_{\geq 4}\theta \). Moreover, as \( \#_{\geq 4}\theta \geq 0 \), we get \( v_4 \geq 18 \), so

\[
f = 6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h \geq 6 + 18 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h \geq 24.
\]
As $v_4 > \#_{\geq 4}\theta \geq 0$, there must be a degree 4 vertex without $\theta$. Then at this vertex, one of the other angles must appear at least twice at such a vertex, that is, it must be $\varphi^2 \cdots$ for some $\varphi \neq \theta$. Suppose this is the only degree 4 vertex in $AVC_4$. Such a vertex must be $\varphi^2 \cdots$ for some $\varphi \neq \theta$. So we have $\#_3\varphi = 0$, $\#_4\varphi \geq 2v_4$ and $\#\varphi = f = \#_4\varphi + \#_{\geq 5}\varphi$. Meanwhile, by $\#_4\theta = 0$, we have $\#_{\geq 5}\theta = \#_{\geq 4}\theta$ and $v_4 = 18 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (2h - 9)v_h + \#_{\geq 5}\theta$, then

$$\#_{\geq 5}\varphi = f - \#_4\varphi \leq f - 2v_4 = v_5 - 12 - \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h - \#_{\geq 5}\theta,$$

which implies $v_5 \geq 12 + \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h + \#_{\geq 5}\theta + \#_{\geq 5}\varphi > 12$. As $v_5 > \#_{\geq 5}\theta + \#_{\geq 5}\varphi$, $\theta$ and $\varphi$ combined cannot appear at least once at every degree 5 vertex.

Assume $AVC_4 = \{\varphi^4\}$ where $\varphi \neq \theta$, then $\#\varphi = f = 4v_4 + \#_{\geq 5}\varphi$ such that

$$\#_{\geq 5}\varphi = f - 4v_4 = 6 + v_4 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h - 4v_4$$

$$= 6 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h - 3(18 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (2h - 9)v_h + \#_{\geq 5}\theta)$$

$$= -48 - 3\#_{\geq 5}\theta - \sum_{h \geq 5} (5h - 24)v_h < 0,$$

a contradiction. So there must be another degree 4 vertex different from $\varphi^4$.

When $\varphi \neq \theta$ appears at least twice at every degree 4 vertex, we get $\#\varphi = f \geq 2v_4 + \#_{\geq 5}\varphi$ such that

$$\#_{\geq 5}\varphi \leq f - 2v_4 = (6 + v_4 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h) - 2v_4$$

$$= 6 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h - (18 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (2h - 9)v_h + \#_{\geq 4}\theta)$$

$$= v_5 - 12 - \#_{\geq 4}\theta - \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h,$$

which implies

$$v_5 \geq 12 + \#_{\geq 5}\varphi + \#_{\geq 4}\theta + \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h > \#_{\geq 5}\varphi + \#_{\geq 5}\theta.$$

So $v_5 > 0$ and there must be a degree 5 vertex without $\theta, \varphi$. \qed
Lemma 2.8. If $AVC_3 = \{\theta^2 \varphi\}$, then

1. $v_4 = 10 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 5)v_h + \#_{\geq 4}\theta$;
2. $v_4 \geq \#_{\geq 4}\theta + 10$, $f \geq 16$, and hence $AVC_4 \neq \emptyset$;
3. there is a degree 4 vertex without $\theta$;
4. when there is no $\varphi \cdots$ in $AVC_i$ for every $4 \leq i \leq k$, there must be a degree $\geq k + 1$ vertex $\varphi^{\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1} \cdots$;
5. when $AVC_4 = \{\psi^4\}$, $\psi \neq \theta, \varphi$, there is a degree 5 vertex with at most of $\psi, \theta$, and there is a degree 5 vertex without $\theta$;
6. when $\theta$ and $\psi$, where $\psi \neq \varphi, \theta$, together appear four times at every degree 4, then there is a degree 5 vertex with at most one of $\theta, \psi$;
7. when $\varphi$ appears at least twice at every degree 4 vertex, there is a degree 5 vertex without both $\varphi$ and $\theta$.

Proof. Counting $\theta$, we have $f = \#\theta = \#_3\theta + \#_{\geq 4}\theta = 2v_3 + \#_{\geq 4}\theta$, then

$$\#_{\geq 4}\theta = f - 2v_3 = (6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h) - 2(8 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 4)v_h) = v_4 - 10 - \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 5)v_h,$$

which implies $v_4 = 10 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 5)v_h + \#_{\geq 4}\theta$ and $v_4 > \#_{\geq 4}\theta$. Moreover, as $\#_{\geq 4}\theta \geq 0$, we get $v_4 \geq 10$, so

$$f = 6 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 3)v_h \geq 6 + 10 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h \geq 16.$$ 

As $v_4 > \#_{\geq 4}\theta \geq 0$, there must be a degree 4 vertex without $\theta$. When $\varphi$ does not appear in every degree $i$ vertex, where $4 \leq i \leq k$ and $k \geq 5$, assume that $\varphi$ appears at most $\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor$ times at every degree $\geq k + 1$ vertex. Then $\#_{\geq k+1}\varphi \leq \sum_{h \geq k+1} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor v_h$ and counting $\varphi$, we have $f = \#\varphi = \#_3\varphi +$
\[ \#_{\geq k+1} \varphi \leq \frac{f}{2} + \sum_{h \geq k+1} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor v_h, \] then by \( v_4 = 10 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-5)v_h + \#_{\geq 4} \theta \)

\[
0 \leq -\frac{f}{2} + \sum_{h \geq k+1} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor v_h \]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \left( 6 + v_4 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-3)v_h + \sum_{h \geq k+1} (h-3 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor)v_h \right) \]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \left( 16 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (2h-8)v_h + \#_{\geq 4} \theta + \sum_{h \geq k+1} (2h-8 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor)v_h \right),
\]

which is \(< 0\), a contradiction. So there must be a degree \( \geq k+1 \) vertex \( \varphi \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + \ldots \). When \( \text{AVC}_4 = \{\psi^4\} \) where \( \psi \neq \theta, \varphi \), we have \( \#_{\geq 4} \theta = 0 \) and \( f = \# \psi = \#_{\geq 5} \psi = 4v_4 + \#_{\geq 5} \psi \), then

\[
\#_{\geq 5} \psi = f - 4v_4 = 6 + v_4 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-3)v_h - 4v_4
\]

\[
= 6 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-3)v_h - 3(10 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-5)v_h + \#_{\geq 4} \theta)
\]

\[
= 2v_5 - 24 - \sum_{h \geq 6} 2(h-6)v_h - 3\#_{\geq 5} \theta,
\]

so we get

\[
2v_5 = \#_{\geq 5} \psi + 3\#_{\geq 5} \theta + 24 + \sum_{h \geq 6} 2(h-6)v_h.
\]

which implies \( 2v_5 > \#_{\geq 5} \psi + 3\#_{\geq 5} \theta \) and \( v_5 > \#_{\geq 5} \theta \). Hence there must be a degree 5 vertex with at most one of \( \psi, \theta \), and there must be a vertex without \( \theta \).

When \( \theta \) and \( \psi \), where \( \psi \neq \varphi, \theta \), together appear four times at every degree 4, let \( \xi := \theta, \psi \), so we have \( 2f = \# \xi = \#_{\geq 5} \xi + \#_{\geq 5} \xi = 2v_3 + 4v_4 + \#_{\geq 5} \xi \).

Then

\[
\#_{\geq 5} \xi = 2f - 2v_3 - 4v_4
\]

\[
= 2(6 + v_4 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-3)v_h) - 2(8 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h-4)v_h) - 4v_4
\]

\[
= 2((6 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-3)v_h) - (8 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-4)v_h) - (10 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h-5)v_h + \#_{\geq 4} \theta))
\]

\[
= 2(-12 - \#_{\geq 4} \theta + v_5 - \sum_{h \geq 6} (h-6)v_h)
\]
which implies
\[
2v_5 = \#_{\geq 5} \xi + 24 + 2\#_{\geq 4} \theta + \sum_{h \geq 6} 2(h - 6)v_h.
\]

So we get \(v_5 > 0\) and \(2v_5 > \#_{\geq 5} \xi\) and hence there is a degree 5 vertex with at most of \(\theta, \psi\).

When \(\varphi\) appears at least twice at every degree 4 vertex, we have
\[
f = \#\varphi \geq v_3 + 2v_4 + \#_{\geq 5} \varphi.
\]
Then
\[
0 \geq v_3 + 2v_4 + \#_{\geq 5} \varphi - f = v_3 + 2v_4 + \#_{\geq 5} \varphi - (6 + v_4 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h)
\]
\[
= \#_{\geq 5} \varphi + 8 + \sum_{h \geq 4} (h - 4)v_h + 10 + \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 5)v_h + \#_{\geq 4} \theta - 6 - \sum_{h \geq 5} (h - 3)v_h
\]
\[
= \#_{\geq 5} \varphi + \#_{\geq 4} \theta + 12 - v_5 + \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h
\]
which implies \(v_5 \geq \#_{\geq 5} \varphi + \#_{\geq 4} \theta + 12 + \sum_{h \geq 6} (h - 6)v_h\). In particular, \(v_5 > \#_{\geq 5} \varphi + \#_{\geq 5} \theta\) shows that there is a degree 5 vertex without both \(\varphi, \theta\).

2.3 Anglewise Vertex Combinations (AVC)

Lemma 2.9. If \(\beta\gamma\delta\) is the only vertex with \(\beta\) (equivalently \(\gamma\) or \(\delta\)), then the other vertices are \(\alpha^a\) where \(a \geq 3\).

Proof. By \(\beta \cdots = \beta\gamma\delta\), we get \(\#\beta = \#\beta\gamma\delta\). Combining with \(\#\beta = \#\gamma = \#\delta\), we have \(\#\gamma = \#\delta = \#\beta\gamma\delta\), which implies \(\beta\gamma\delta\) is the only vertex with \(\gamma\) and \(\delta\). So the other vertices can only be \(\alpha^a\) where \(a \geq 3\).

Lemma 2.10. In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilateral \(a^2bc\), the following vertices are forbidden for \(\varphi, \psi = \beta, \gamma, \delta\),

1. \(\alpha^a \varphi^p\), where \(a \geq 0\) and \(p\) is odd;
2. \(\alpha^a \varphi^p \psi^q\), where \(a \geq 0\) and one of \(p, q\) is odd;
3. \(\beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d\), where \(b, c, d > 0\), are not all odd or not all even;
4. \(\alpha^a \gamma^c\), \(a, c > 0\) and \(\alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d\), where \(a, b, c, d > 0\)
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Moreover the possible vertices are
\[\alpha^a, \alpha^a\beta^b, \alpha^a\beta^b\gamma^c, \alpha^a\beta^b\delta^d, \alpha^a\gamma^c\delta^d, \alpha^a\delta^d; \quad \text{where } a > 0 \text{ and } b, c, d \text{ are even;}
\]
\[\beta^b, \beta^b\gamma^c, \beta^b\delta^d, \gamma^c\delta^d, \delta^d; \quad \text{where } b, c, d \text{ are even;}
\]
\[\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d, \quad \text{where } b, c, d \text{ are all even or all odd;}
\]
Remark: The only vertices that allow odd numbers of \(\beta, \gamma, \delta\) is \(\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d\).

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 when a vertex containing exactly one of \(\beta, \gamma, \delta\), the number must be even, so \(\alpha^a\varphi^p, a \geq 0, p \text{ odd}, \) cannot be a vertex. Also by Lemma 2.1 when a vertex containing exactly two of \(\beta, \gamma, \delta\), then both \(p\) and \(q\) must be even, so \(\alpha^a\varphi^p\psi^q, a \geq 0, p \text{ or } q \text{ odd, \) cannot be a vertex. Again by Lemma 2.1 \(b, c, d\) must be all even or all odd in \(\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d\) when \(b, c, d > 0\). In \(\alpha^a\gamma^c, a \geq 1\) and by Lemma 2.1 \(c \geq 2\), then there must be a non-empty \(\|\gamma\| \cdots \|\gamma\|\) completed by \(\alpha \cdots \alpha = |\alpha| \cdots |\alpha|\), a contradiction. By quadrilateral angle sum, no vertex contains all four angles.

To streamline the arguments, from this point onward, when we give a generic vertex such as \(\alpha^a\beta^b\gamma^c\), it will be assumed to satisfy the conditions in Lemma 2.10 unless otherwise specified.

Corollary. The list of degree 3, 4, 5 vertices are
\[AVC_3 = \{\alpha^3, \alpha^2\beta, \alpha\delta, \beta\gamma\delta\},
\]
\[AVC_4 = \{\alpha^4, \beta^4, \gamma^4, \delta^4, \alpha^2\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^2\delta^2\},
\]
\[AVC_5 = \{\alpha^5, \alpha^4\beta, \alpha^4\delta, \alpha^3\beta^2, \alpha^3\delta^2, \beta^3\gamma\delta, \beta^3\gamma\delta^3, \alpha^2\beta^2\gamma, \alpha^2\beta^2\delta, \alpha^2\gamma^2\delta^2\}.
\]

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.10 to degree 3 vertices of the types \(\theta^3, \theta^2\varphi, \theta\varphi\psi, \)
\[\theta^3 = \alpha^3, \quad \theta^2\varphi = \alpha^2\beta, \alpha^2\delta, \quad \theta\varphi\psi = \beta\gamma\delta.
\]
Apply Lemma 2.10 to degree 4 vertices of the types \(\theta^4, \theta^3\varphi, \theta^2\varphi^2, \theta^2\varphi\psi, \)
\[\theta^4 = \alpha^4, \beta^4, \gamma^4, \delta^4, \quad \theta^2\varphi^2 = \alpha^2\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma\delta, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^2\delta^2,
\]
whereas the other combinations are impossible.

Apply Lemma 2.10 to degree 5 vertices of the types \(\theta^5, \theta^4\varphi, \theta^3\varphi^2, \theta^3\varphi\psi, \theta^2\varphi^2\psi, \)
\[\theta^5 = \alpha^5, \quad \theta^4\varphi = \alpha^4\beta, \alpha^4\delta, \quad \theta^3\varphi^2 = \alpha^3\beta^2, \alpha^3\delta^2,
\]
\[\theta^3\varphi\psi = \beta^3\gamma\delta, \beta^3\gamma\delta^3, \quad \theta^2\varphi^2\psi = \alpha^2\beta^2\gamma, \alpha^2\beta^2\delta, \alpha^2\gamma^2\delta^2,
\]
whereas the other combinations are impossible. 

17
2.4 Geometry

**Lemma 2.11.** In a tiling of the sphere by congruent convex quadrilaterals, for every angle $\theta$ in the quadrilateral we have $\theta > \frac{2\pi}{f}$.

*Proof.* For every angle $\theta$, by convexity, the quadrilateral is contained in the lune defined $\theta$. The area of the lune is $2\theta$ whereas the area of the quadrilateral is $\frac{4\pi}{f}$. Then we get $2\theta > \frac{4\pi}{f}$, which implies $\theta > \frac{2\pi}{f}$. \qed

The following proposition is taken from Proposition 4.9 in [9].

**Proposition 3.** In a spherical triangle such that none of its angles is $\pi$, two angles are equal if and only if their opposite edges are equal.

**Lemma 2.12.** In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals $a^2bc$ in Figure 4, if $\beta = \delta$ and $\gamma \neq \pi$, then $b = c$. In other words, there is no tilings for $\beta = \delta$ and $\gamma \neq \pi$.

*Proof.* By Lemma [2.3], there can be at most one angle $\geq \pi$ in a quadrilateral. When $\beta = \delta$, then $\beta, \delta < \pi$.

When $\alpha, \gamma < \pi$, the isosceles triangle $\triangle ABD$ is contained in the quadrilateral with base angles $\beta_1, \delta_1$ and their respective complements $\beta_2, \delta_2$. Then by $\beta = \delta$ and $\beta_1 = \delta_1$, we get

$$\beta_2 = \delta_2,$$

which implies $b = BC = DC = c$.

![Figure 4: $\beta = \delta$ implies $b = c$](image)

When $\gamma > \pi$, the isosceles triangle $\triangle ABD$ contains the quadrilateral and the complementary triangle $\triangle CBD$ has base angles $\beta', \delta'$. By the base angles of $\triangle ABD$, we have $\beta + \beta' = \delta + \delta'$, which implies $\beta' = \delta'$ in $\triangle CBD$ and hence $b = c$.  
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When $\alpha = \pi$, the quadrilateral is in fact an isosceles $\triangle BCD$. Then $\beta = \delta$ implies $b = c$.

When $\alpha > \pi$, the triangle $\triangle BCD$ contains the quadrilateral and the complementary triangle $\triangle ABD$ is an isosceles triangle with base angles $\beta'$, $\delta'$. By $\beta = \delta$ and $\beta' = \delta'$, we have the base angles $\beta + \beta' = \delta + \delta'$ in $\triangle BCD$ whereby Proposition 3 implies $b = c$.

**Corollary.** In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals $a^2bc$ and angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, there are at least two distinct angles. 

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.12, when $\beta = \delta$ and $\gamma \neq \pi$, we have $b = c$, a contradiction. So we either have $\gamma = \pi$ and by Lemma 2.3 all other angles $< \pi$ or $\beta \neq \delta$. □

**Lemma 2.13.** In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals $a^2bc$ in Figure 1, if the quadrilateral is convex we have the following inequalities

\[
\gamma + \delta < \pi + \beta,
\]
\[
\gamma + \beta < \pi + \delta.
\]

**Proof.** By convexity, the quadrilateral can be divided into two triangles. Since every angle in each of the triangles is $< \pi$, so $a, b, c < \pi$. The triangles $\triangle ABD$ and $\triangle BCD$ in the first picture in Figure 4 are contained in the quadrilateral. Let $\beta_1 = \delta_1$ be the base angles of isosceles $\triangle ABD$ and the corresponding complementary angles $\beta_2, \delta_2$ in $\triangle BCD$. Since $\triangle BCD$ is contained in the $\beta_2$-lune and $\delta_2$-lune, the area of $\triangle BCD$ is less than the area of both lunes, which implies

\[
\gamma + \beta_2 + \delta_2 - \pi < 2\beta_2, \quad \gamma + \beta_2 + \delta_2 - \pi < 2\delta_2,
\]
simplifying the above inequalities, and adding $\beta_1$ to the RHS of the first inequality and the LHS of the second, and adding $\delta_1$ to the LHS of the first inequality and the RHS of the second, we get the inequalities intended. □

**Lemma 2.14.** When $\alpha \beta^2$ is a vertex, then $\alpha \beta \cdots = \alpha \beta^2$ and some $\alpha \delta \cdots$ is a vertex and $\alpha \delta^2$, $\alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c$, $\alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d$, $\alpha^a \gamma^c \delta^d$ cannot be a vertex.

**Proof.** By $\alpha \beta^2$ and Lemma 2.10, $\alpha^a \beta^b = \alpha \beta^2$. Up to symmetry, the AAD of $\alpha \beta^2 = \|\beta^1|\beta^0|\alpha^1\beta^0\|$. So some $\alpha \delta \cdots$ must be a vertex.

When both $\alpha \beta^2$, $\alpha \delta^2$ are vertices, we get the angle formulae $\beta = \delta = \pi - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$. By $f \geq 6$, $\gamma < \pi$, Lemma 2.12 implies $b = c$, a contradiction.
So \( \alpha \delta^2 \) cannot be a vertex. By Lemma 2.10, \( b, c \) are both even at \( \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \) and \( b, d \) are both even at \( \alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d \). By \( \alpha \beta^2 \), the angle sums of \( \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \) and \( \alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d \) are \( > 2\pi \), so they cannot be vertices. By Lemma 2.12, \( c, d \geq 2 \) at \( \alpha^a \gamma^c \delta^d \), then we have \( \alpha + 2\gamma + 2\delta \leq 2\pi \), which combined with the angle sum of \( \alpha \beta^2 \) and divided by 2 on both sides we get \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 2\pi \), contradicting the quadrilateral angle sum. So \( \alpha^a \gamma^c \delta^d \) cannot be a vertex. \( \square \)

## 3 Vertices

By Corollary to Lemma 2.10,

\[
\text{AVC}_3 = \{\alpha^3, \alpha \beta^2, \alpha \delta^2, \beta \gamma \delta\}, \\
\text{AVC}_4 = \{\alpha^4, \beta^4, \gamma^4, \delta^4, \alpha^2 \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \gamma^2, \beta^2 \delta^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2\}, \\
\text{AVC}_5 = \{\alpha^5, \alpha \beta^4, \alpha \delta^4, \alpha^3 \beta^2, \alpha^3 \delta^2, \beta^3 \gamma \delta, \beta^3 \delta^3, \beta \gamma^3 \delta, \alpha \beta^2 \delta^2, \alpha \beta^2 \delta^2, \alpha \gamma^2 \delta^2\}.
\]

**Proposition 4.** If \( \beta \gamma \delta \) is a vertex, then AVC is given in (3.2).

**Proof.** Up to symmetry between \( \beta, \gamma, \delta \) at \( \beta \gamma \delta \), it suffices to consider \( \beta^2 \ldots \).

Assume the contrary, then by the symmetry between \( \gamma, \delta \) in the vertex angle sum of \( \beta \gamma \delta \) and Lemma 2.1 suppose \( \beta^2 \ldots = \beta^2 \delta^2 \ldots \). The angle sum suggests that \( \beta + \delta \leq \pi \) which implies \( \gamma \geq \pi \). However \( \gamma \geq \pi \) implies that \( \gamma^2 \ldots \) is not a vertex, and then Lemma 2.2 implies that \( \delta^2 \ldots \) cannot be a vertex, contradicting \( \beta^2 \delta^2 \ldots \) being a vertex. Hence, \( \beta^2 \ldots \) has no \( \gamma, \delta \) and \( \delta^2 \ldots \) has no \( \beta, \gamma \). In other words, \( \beta^2 \ldots \) is a \( \hat{c} \)-vertex and \( \delta^2 \ldots \) is a \( \hat{b} \)-vertex.

The AAD of \( |\gamma| \ldots \) is \( |\gamma| \beta \ldots \) or \( |\gamma| \gamma \ldots \). If \( |\gamma| \ldots = |\gamma| \beta \ldots \), by \( \beta \gamma \delta \) and \( \beta^2 \ldots \) being a \( \hat{c} \)-vertex, there is no more \( \beta \) at the vertex. Apply Lemma 2.1 on \( c \), we get \( |\gamma| \beta \ldots = |\delta| |\gamma| \beta \ldots \). The former is indeed the vertex \( \beta \gamma \delta \) whereas the latter can only be filled by \( \alpha, \gamma \) so it can only be \( \alpha^a \beta^c \gamma^c (a \geq 0, c \geq 2) \) which by Lemma 2.10 cannot be a vertex. So \( |\gamma| \beta \ldots = \beta \gamma \delta \). If \( |\gamma| \ldots = |\gamma| \gamma \ldots \), by \( \beta^2 \ldots \) being a \( \hat{c} \)-vertex, \( \delta^2 \ldots \) being a \( \hat{b} \)-vertex and Lemma 2.10, we get \( |\gamma| \gamma \ldots = \gamma^c \). Hence

\[
\gamma \ldots = \beta \gamma \delta, \gamma^c.
\]

The AAD of \( |\delta| \ldots \) is \( |\delta| \alpha \ldots \), \( |\delta| \beta \ldots \) or \( |\delta| \delta \ldots \). If \( |\delta| \ldots = |\delta| \beta \ldots \), by \( \beta \gamma \delta \), \( \beta^2 \ldots \) being a \( \hat{c} \)-vertex and \( \delta^2 \ldots \) being a \( \hat{b} \)-vertex, we get \( |\delta| \beta \ldots = |\gamma| |\delta| \beta \ldots = \beta \gamma \delta \). If \( |\delta| \ldots = |\delta| \alpha \ldots \) or \( |\delta| \delta \ldots \), by \( \beta \gamma \delta \) and Lemma 2.10, we get \( \delta^d, a^d \delta^d \). Hence

\[
\delta \ldots = \beta \gamma \delta, \delta^d, a^d \delta^d.
\]
By symmetry between $\beta, \delta$ in the default quadrilateral in Figure 1, we get

$$\beta \cdots = \beta \gamma \delta, \beta^b, \alpha^a \beta^b.$$ 

Hence the vertices are

$$\beta \gamma \delta, \alpha^a, \beta^b, \gamma^c, \delta^d, \alpha^a \beta^b, \alpha^a \delta^d.$$ (3.1)

By $\beta \gamma \delta$ and quadrilateral angle sum, we get $\alpha = \frac{4\pi}{f}$. We divide the discussion into two cases, $\beta \geq \pi$ and $\beta < \pi$.

Case ($\beta \geq \pi$). By the symmetry of $\beta, \gamma, \delta$ at $\beta \gamma \delta$, it suffices to discuss $\beta \geq \pi$. When $\beta \geq \pi$, $\beta^2 \cdots$ cannot be a vertex. The contrapositive of Lemma 2.6 implies that $\beta$ must appear at some degree 3 or 4 vertex. However, since every vertex with $\beta$ has exactly one $\beta$, by Lemma 2.10, $\beta$ cannot appear at any degree 4 vertex and indeed $\beta \cdots = \beta \gamma \delta$. Then Lemma 2.9 implies that the vertices are $\beta \gamma \delta, \alpha \delta^2$. As $\alpha = \frac{4\pi}{f}$, we have $a = \frac{f}{2}$. Hence

$$\{\beta \gamma \delta, \alpha \delta^2\}.$$ (3.2)

Case ($\beta < \pi$). When $\beta < \pi$, by $\beta \gamma \delta$, $\beta^2 \cdots$ being a $\hat{c}$-vertex, $\delta^2 \cdots$ being a $\hat{b}$-vertex and Lemma 2.10 we get $\|\gamma\| \cdots |\delta| = \|\beta \delta \|$. So $\gamma \delta \cdots = \beta \gamma \delta$. By $\beta \gamma \delta$ and $\beta < \pi$, we have $\gamma + \delta > \pi$. Then $\max\{\gamma, \delta\} > \frac{\pi}{2}$. From (3.1), we have $\gamma^2 \cdots = \gamma^c \geq 4$, $\delta^2 \cdots = \delta^d \geq 4$, $\alpha^a \delta^d$.

Subcase ($\gamma \geq \delta$). Since $\gamma^2 \cdots = \gamma^{c \geq 4}$, by $\gamma + \delta > \pi$ and $\gamma \geq \delta$, we have $\gamma > \frac{\pi}{2}$ and then $\gamma^4 \cdots$ cannot be a vertex, so $\gamma^2 \cdots$ cannot be a vertex. By Lemma 2.2, $\delta^2 \cdots$ cannot be a vertex. So the $c$-vertices can only be $\beta \gamma \delta$. By Lemma 2.10, the other vertices can only be $\alpha^{a \geq 4}$. Hence we get the same AVC in (3.2).

Subcase ($\gamma \leq \delta$). Since $\delta^2 \cdots = \delta^d, \alpha^a \delta^d$, by $\gamma + \delta > \pi$ and $\gamma \leq \delta$, we have $\beta \delta \cdots = \beta \gamma \delta$ and $\delta > \frac{\pi}{2}$, so $\delta^4 \cdots$ is not a vertex and hence $\delta^2 \cdots = \alpha^a \delta^2$.

Then the vertices are $\alpha^a, \beta^b, \alpha^a \beta^b, \beta \gamma \delta, \gamma^c, \alpha^a \delta^2$ from which we know that $\beta \gamma \delta = \beta \delta \cdots = \beta \gamma \delta, \alpha \beta \cdots = \alpha^a \beta^b, \alpha \delta \cdots = \alpha^a \delta^2, \gamma^2 \cdots = \gamma^c$ and $\alpha \gamma \cdots$, $\delta \delta \cdots, \alpha \delta \delta \alpha \cdots$ cannot be vertices.

When $\alpha^a \delta^2$ is a vertex, we have $\delta < \pi$. By $\beta \gamma \delta$, $\alpha^a \delta^2$ and $\gamma \leq \delta$, we have $\beta + \gamma + \delta = a\alpha + 2\delta$ which implies $\beta - a\alpha = \delta - \gamma \geq 0$. So by $\beta < \pi$ and $a \geq 1$, we get $\alpha \leq \beta < \pi$. Since every angle is $\pi$, the quadrilateral is convex. By convexity, Lemma 2.13 implies $\gamma + \delta < \pi + \beta$.  
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Then by $\beta \gamma \delta$, we have $\gamma + \delta = 2\pi - \beta$, combining with the inequality, we get $\beta > \frac{\pi}{2}$. So Lemma 2.10 implies that $\beta^b$, $\alpha^a \beta^{b\geq 2}$ cannot be vertices. Then $\alpha^a \beta^b = \alpha^a \beta^b = \|\beta|\alpha \cdot \cdots \cdot |\beta\|$ and hence $\beta|\beta \cdots$ and $\alpha^b | \alpha \cdots$ cannot be vertices. As a result, we get $\alpha | \alpha = \alpha^b | \delta \alpha$ and hence $\alpha | \alpha$ has unique AAD $\cdots | \delta \alpha$, by which we get

$$\alpha^a \delta^2 = \delta^a | \alpha^\delta | \cdots | \delta^a \alpha | \delta | \delta$$.

By $\alpha \delta \cdots = \alpha^a \delta^2$ and $\beta \delta \cdots = \beta \gamma \delta$, the AAD at $\cdots | \delta | \alpha^b | \alpha^\delta | \alpha^\delta | \delta$ starting at tiles $T_1, T_2, T_3$ in Figure 5 implies $\beta_1 \cdots = \beta \gamma \delta$ and $\delta_1 \cdots = \alpha^a \delta^2$. Then we get $\gamma_1 \cdots = \beta \gamma^2 \cdots$ which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So $\alpha^a \delta^2$ cannot be a vertex and hence $\delta | \delta \cdots$ cannot be a vertex by which Lemma 2.2 implies that $\gamma \alpha \gamma \cdots$ also not a vertex. Then $\gamma \alpha \gamma \cdots$ cannot be a vertex by which Lemma 2.2 implies that $\alpha^a \beta^2$ cannot be a vertex. So we obtain the same AVC in (3.2).

![Figure 5: The AAD of $\alpha^a \delta^2$](image)

With $\text{AVC} = \{\beta \gamma \delta, \alpha^2\}$, the Earth Map Tilings are constructed in Figure 11.

**Proposition 5.** When $\alpha \beta^2$ and $\gamma^2 \delta^2$ are vertices, then we have $f > 8$, $\beta > \delta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\text{AVC} = \{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^c\}$ and no tilings.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.14, $\alpha^a \beta^b = \alpha \beta^2$ and some $\alpha \delta \cdots$ must be a vertex and $\alpha^2, \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c, \alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d, \alpha^a \gamma^c \delta^d$ are not vertices.

When $\gamma^2 \delta^2$ is also a vertex, Lemma 2.10 implies $\gamma^c \delta^d = \gamma^2 \delta^2$. If $\beta^2 \gamma^2$ is also a vertex, then it implies $\gamma < \pi$ and $\beta = \delta$, by Lemma 2.12 a contradiction. So $\beta^2 \gamma^2$ cannot be a vertex. If $\alpha^2 \delta^d, \beta^b \gamma^c$ are both vertices, Lemma 2.10 implies that $b, c, d$ are all even. Then the angle sums of the two vertices combined to give $a \alpha + b \beta + c \gamma + d \delta = 4\pi$, by the angle sums of $\alpha \beta^2$ and $\gamma^2 \delta^2$, we have $(a - 1) \alpha + (b - 2) \beta + (c - 2) \gamma + (d - 2) \delta = 0$ which implies
\[a = 1, b = c = d = 2.\] However, \(\alpha \delta^2, \beta^2 \gamma^2\) cannot be vertices. So \(\alpha \delta^4, \beta \gamma^c\) cannot both be vertices.

Since some \(\alpha \delta \cdots\) is a vertex, by Lemma 2.10 and the discussion above, we have \(\alpha \delta \cdots = \alpha \delta^d\). Then \(\beta \gamma^c\) cannot be a vertex. So by Lemma 2.10 and the discussion above, \(\gamma \cdots = \gamma^2 \delta^2, \gamma^c, \beta \gamma^c \delta^d\). Meanwhile, by \(\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2\), we get angle formulae

\[
\alpha = \frac{8\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \pi - \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma + \delta = \pi.
\]

By the angle formulae, \(\beta \gamma \delta\) cannot be a vertex. Meanwhile, by \(\gamma^2 \delta^2\), we have \(f \geq 8\) and by the angle formulae, the quadrilateral is convex.

In \(\beta \gamma^c \delta^d\), by Lemma 2.10, \(b, c, d\) in \(\beta \gamma^c \delta^d\) are either all even or all odd. By \(\gamma^2 \delta^2\), \(c, d\) cannot be both \(\geq 2\), so one of them must be \(1\). Then \(b, c, d\) must be all odd. If \(b \geq 3\), then we get \(\pi = \gamma + \delta \leq c \gamma + d \delta \leq 2\pi - 3\beta = -\pi + \frac{12\pi}{f}\) which implies \(f \leq 6\), contradicting \(f \geq 8\). As \(\beta \gamma \delta\) is not a vertex, \(\beta \gamma^c \delta^d = \beta \gamma^c \delta(c \geq 3), \beta \gamma^c \delta^d(d \geq 3)\). When \(\beta \gamma^c \delta(c \geq 3)\) is a vertex, we have \(2\pi - \frac{4\pi}{f} + 2\gamma = \beta + 3 \gamma + \delta \leq 2\pi\) which implies \(\gamma \leq \frac{2\pi}{f}\). However, by convexity, Lemma 2.11 implies that \(\gamma > \frac{2\pi}{f}\), a contradiction. So \(\beta \gamma^c \delta(c \geq 3)\) cannot be a vertex. Apply the same argument to \(\beta \gamma \delta^d(d \geq 3)\), we get the same contradiction. So \(\beta \gamma^c \delta^d\) cannot be a vertex. Moreover, by Lemma 2.10 and the results above, \(\gamma \cdots = \gamma^2 \delta^2, \gamma^c\).

If \(\delta < \frac{\pi}{2}\), combining with \(\gamma + \delta = \pi\), we have \(\gamma > \frac{\pi}{2}\). Then \(\gamma^c\) cannot be a vertex. So \(\gamma \cdots = \gamma^2 \delta^2\). However, in such \(\{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta^d, \cdots\}\) we have \(# \gamma < # \delta\), a contradiction. So we must have \(\delta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\alpha \delta^d(d \geq 4), \beta \delta^d(d \geq 4), \delta^d\) cannot be vertices, and \(\alpha \delta \cdots = \alpha \delta^2(a \geq 2), \beta \delta^d = \beta^2 \delta^2\).

By \(\alpha \beta^2\) and \(\alpha \delta^d(a \geq 2)\), we get \(\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \delta < \beta = \pi - \frac{4\pi}{f}\) which implies \(f > 8\). Then \(4\beta = 4\pi - \frac{16\pi}{f} > 2\pi\) which implies \(\beta^b, \beta^b \delta^2(b \geq 4)\) cannot be vertices. Then \(\beta^b \delta^d = \beta^2 \delta^c\). However when \(\beta^2 \delta^2\) is a vertex, we get

\[
\beta^2 \delta^2: \quad \alpha = \frac{8\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \pi - \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]

As \(\delta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\), we get \(f = 8\) which implies \(\beta = \gamma = \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\), contradicting Lemma 2.12. So \(\beta^2 \delta^2\) cannot be a vertex and there is no \(\beta \delta^d\).

So we have \(\{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta^2, \gamma^c | \alpha^a\}\), from which \(\delta \cdots = \gamma^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta^2\) and \(\beta \delta \cdots\) is not a vertex. Meanwhile, \(\beta^2 \cdots = \alpha \beta^2 = \alpha | \beta || \beta\). In other words, there is exactly one \(b\)-edge at \(\alpha \beta^2\) which implies \(\alpha^\delta | \alpha \cdots\) cannot be a vertex. Since \(\beta \delta \cdots, \alpha \delta^2 \alpha \cdots\) are not vertex, \(\alpha | \alpha = \alpha^\delta | \alpha\) which implies there is no
\(\alpha|\alpha|\alpha\) at a vertex. Then \(\alpha^2\delta^2 = \alpha^2\delta^2\) and \(\alpha^2\) cannot be a vertex. Hence we have

\[
AVC = \{\alpha\beta^2, \gamma^2\delta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^c\}.
\]

By the unique AAD of \(\gamma^2\delta^2\) we determine \(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\) in Figure 6. As \(\gamma\delta\cdots = \gamma^2\delta^2\), we get \(\delta_1\cdots = \delta_2\cdots = \gamma^2\delta^2\), so we further determine \(T_5, T_6\). By \(\beta^2\cdots = \alpha\beta^2\), we get \(\beta_1\beta_2\cdots = \alpha\beta^2\) so we have \(\alpha_7\) in \(T_7\). By the symmetry about the common edge between \(T_1\) and \(T_2\), \(T_7\) is determined but we get \(\beta_7\cdots = \alpha^2\beta\cdots\), which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So \(\gamma^2\delta^2\) cannot be a vertex, contradicting the hypothesis of \(\gamma^2\delta^2\) being a vertex. Hence there is no tilings.

![Figure 6: The AAD of \(\gamma^2\delta^2\)](image)

Proposition 6. When \(\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2\) are vertices and \(\beta\gamma\delta\) is not a vertex, the AVC is given in (3.5).

Proof. By Lemma 2.14 \(\alpha\delta^2, \alpha^2\beta\gamma^c, \alpha^a\beta^b\delta^d, \alpha^a\gamma^c\delta^d\) cannot be vertices. So we have

\[
AVC_3 = \{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2\}.
\]

And the angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma + \delta = \frac{2\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]

As \(\gamma, \delta\) do not appear at degree 3 vertices, there must be degree \(\geq 4\) vertices and \(f \geq 8\). By Proposition 5 \(\gamma^2\delta^2\) cannot be a vertex. Then corresponding
lists of degree 4, 5 vertices are

\[
\text{AVC}_4 = \{\gamma^4, \delta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2\},
\]

\[
\text{AVC}_5 = \{\alpha\delta^4, \beta\gamma^3\delta, \beta\gamma\delta^3\}.
\]

For \(\gamma, \delta\), by Lemma 2.4, one of the degree 4 vertices, \(\gamma^4, \delta^4\), or one of the degree 5 vertices, \(\alpha\delta^4, \beta\gamma^3\delta, \beta\gamma\delta^3\) must appear. We divide the discussion into these cases.

**Case** \((\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \gamma^4)\). The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{4\pi}{f},
\]

with the angle lower bounds \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{6}\). By the angle formulae and the angle lower bounds, the number of each angle at a vertex is bounded. Then apply Lemma 2.10, we get the other vertices with corresponding \(f\) values, \(f = 12 : \delta^4, \gamma^2\delta^2, f = 24 : \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \alpha\delta^4, \delta^6, f = 36 : \beta\gamma\delta^3, f = 48 : \gamma^2\delta^4, \delta^8, f = 72 : \alpha\delta^6, f = 120 : \delta^{10}\). Lemma 2.14 implies that \(\alpha\delta\cdots\) must be a vertex which is absent in all these \(f\) values. So \(\delta^4\) cannot be a vertex.

\[
\text{Case} \ (\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \delta^4). \quad \text{We may assume that} \ \gamma^4 \ \text{is not a vertex. The angle formulae are}
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

with the angle lower bounds \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{6}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\). By the angle formulae, angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10 we get the other vertices with corresponding \(f\) value, \(f = 12 : \gamma^2\delta^2, f = 24 : \gamma^6, \beta^2\gamma^2, f = 36 : \beta\gamma^3\delta, f = 48 : \gamma^4\delta^2, \gamma^8, f = 120 : \gamma^{10}\). By Lemma 2.14 \(\alpha\delta\cdots\) must be a vertex which is absent in all these \(f\) values. So \(\delta^4\) cannot be a vertex.
The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{6}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{3}\). By the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10, the other vertices are \(\alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \delta^6\). However, among all vertices, there is no \(\gamma\), a contradiction. So \(\alpha\delta^4\) cannot be a vertex.

**Case \((\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \alpha\gamma^3\delta)\).** We may assume that \(\gamma^4, \delta^4, \gamma^2\delta^2\) are not vertices. The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \delta = \frac{2}{3}.
\]

By \(\gamma > 0\), we have \(f \geq 8\). Then the angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \gamma \geq \frac{\pi}{12}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{3}\). By the angle lower bounds, Lemma 2.10 and the assumption, the other vertices with their corresponding \(f\) values are \(f = 8 : \beta^2\gamma^8, \gamma^2\delta^4, \delta^4\), \(f = 12 : \beta^2\gamma^4, \gamma^4\), \(f = 24 : \gamma^8\). However, in each of \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma^3\delta, \beta^2\gamma^4, \gamma^2\delta^4, \gamma^8\}\), \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma^3\delta, \beta^2\gamma^4, \gamma^4\}\), \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma^3\delta, \gamma^2\delta^4, \gamma^4\}\), we have \(#\gamma > #\delta\), a contradiction. So \(\beta\gamma^3\delta\) cannot be a vertex.

**Case \((\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta^3)\).** We may assume that \(\gamma^4, \delta^4, \gamma^2\delta^2, \alpha\delta^4, \beta\gamma^3\delta\) are not vertices. The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{6\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{2\pi}{f}.
\]

By \(\delta > 0\), we have \(f \geq 8\). Then the angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{6}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{3}\). By the angle lower bounds, Lemma 2.10 and the assumption, the other vertices with their corresponding \(f\) values are \(f = 8 : \beta^2\delta^8, \alpha\delta^6, \delta^2\delta^4, \delta^4\), \(f = 10 : \alpha\delta^{10}, f = 12 : \beta^2\delta^4, \alpha\delta^8, \delta^2\delta^4\), \(f = 18 : \alpha\delta^6\), \(f = 24 : \delta^8\). However, in each of \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta^3, \alpha\delta^8, \beta^2\delta^8, \alpha\delta^6, \delta^2\delta^4\}\), \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta^3, \alpha\delta^6\}\), \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta^3, \alpha\delta^8\}\), \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta^3, \beta^2\delta^4\}\), \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta^3, \alpha\delta^6\}\), \(\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta^3, \delta^8\}\), we have \(#\gamma < #\delta\), a contradiction. So \(\beta\gamma\delta^3\) cannot be a vertex.

Hence we complete the proof. \(\square\)

**Proposition 7.** When \(\alpha^3\) is the only degree 3 vertex, the AVC is given in (3.8).
Proof. By $\alpha^3$, the vertices $\alpha^4$, $\alpha^5$, $\alpha^3\beta^2$, $\alpha^3\delta^2$ cannot appear. Then the corresponding degree 4, 5 vertices are

\[
\text{AVC}_4 = \{\beta^4, \gamma^4, \delta^4, \alpha^2\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^2\delta^2\},
\]
\[
\text{AVC}_5 = \{\alpha\beta^4, \alpha\delta^4, \beta^3\gamma\delta, \beta\gamma^3\delta, \alpha\beta^2\gamma^2, \alpha\beta^2\delta^2, \alpha\gamma^2\delta^2\}.
\]

(3.6) (3.7)

We will frequently refer to the above results in this proof. Meanwhile, by Lemma 2.7, we have $f \geq 24$ and $\text{AVC}_4 \neq \emptyset$ and there must be a degree 4 vertex without $\alpha$. So by (3.6), one of $\beta^4$, $\gamma^4$, $\delta^4$, $\beta^2\gamma^2$, $\beta^2\delta^2$, $\gamma^2\delta^2$ must appear as a vertex. By symmetry between $\beta, \delta$ in Figure 1, it suffices to discuss $\beta^4$, $\gamma^4$, $\beta^2\gamma^2$ and $\beta^2\delta^2$.

Case ($\alpha^3$, $\beta^4$). When $\alpha^3$, $\beta^4$ are vertices, we get $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\beta = \frac{\pi}{3}$, so $\alpha^2\beta^2$ and $\alpha\beta^4$ cannot be a vertex. By (3.6) and Lemma 2.7, $\text{AVC}_4 \neq \{\beta^4\}$, one of $\alpha^2\delta^2$, $\beta^2\gamma^2$, $\beta^2\delta^2$, $\gamma^4$, $\delta^4$, $\gamma^2\delta^2$ must appear.

Subcase ($\alpha^3$, $\beta^4$, $\alpha^2\delta^2$). The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\beta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\gamma > \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta = \frac{\pi}{3}$. Then by Lemma 2.10 the other vertices are $\alpha\delta^4$, $\delta^6$, $\gamma^2\delta^2$. We get $\{\alpha^3, \beta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^2\delta^2 | \alpha\delta^4, \delta^6\}$ where $\beta\delta \cdots$ is not a vertex. At $\gamma^2\delta^2$, the AAD is unique as indicated in $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$ in Figure 7. From the AVC, we have $\alpha^2\cdots = \alpha^3$. So $\alpha\delta^4 \cdots = \alpha^3 = \alpha\alpha_4\alpha_5$. Then we determine $T_5$, up to symmetry we have $\delta_5 \cdots = \beta\delta \cdots$ which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So $\gamma^2\delta^2$ cannot be a vertex. Then $\{\alpha^3, \beta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2 | \alpha\delta^4, \delta^6\}$ has no $\gamma \cdots$, a contradiction. So $\alpha^2\delta^2$ cannot be a vertex.

![Figure 7: The AAD of $\gamma^2\delta^2$](image)
Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^4, \beta^2\gamma^2)\). We may further assume that \(\alpha^2\delta^2\) is not a vertex. The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]

When \(f = 24\), we get \(\beta = \gamma = \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\), contradicting Lemma 2.12. So we may assume \(f > 24\). Then the angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{3}\). Then by Lemma 2.10, the other vertices can only be \(\gamma^4\). However, there is no \(\delta \cdots\), a contradiction. So \(\beta^2\gamma^2\) cannot be a vertex.

Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^4, \beta^2\delta^2)\). We may further assume that \(\alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2\) are not vertices. The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

When \(f = 24\), we get \(\gamma < \pi\) and \(\beta = \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\), contradicting Lemma 2.12. So we may assume \(f > 24\). Then the angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{3}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\). By the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10, the other vertices can only be \(\gamma^4\). However there is no \(\gamma \cdots\), a contradiction. So \(\beta^2\delta^2\) cannot be a vertex.

Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^4, \gamma^4)\). We may further assume that \(\alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2\) are not vertices. The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

which is the same angle formulae as in case \(\alpha^3, \beta^4, \beta^2\gamma^2\), so we get the same conclusion.

Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^4, \delta^4)\). We may further assume that \(\alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4\) are not vertices. The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

which is the same angle formulae as in case \(\alpha^3, \beta^4, \beta^2\delta^2\), so we get the same conclusion.
Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^4, \gamma^2\delta^2)\). We may further assume that \(\alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\) are not a vertices. The angle formulae are

\[
f = 24, \quad \alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma + \delta = \pi.
\]

By the angle formulae, the quadrilateral is convex. Then Lemma 2.13 implies

\[
\gamma + \beta < \pi + \delta \implies \gamma - \delta < \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

Combining with \(\gamma + \delta = \pi\) we get

\[
\gamma < \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad \delta > \frac{\pi}{4}.
\]

By convexity and Lemma 2.11 and \(f = 24\), \(\gamma > \frac{2\pi}{12} = \frac{\pi}{6}\). Then the angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{12}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{4}\). By Lemma 2.10, the other vertices are

\[
\hat{\gamma} \cdots = \alpha\delta^4, \beta^2\delta^4, \delta^6, \delta^8,
\]

\[
\gamma \cdots = \gamma^c, \beta^2\gamma^c, \alpha\beta^2\gamma^c, \beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d,
\]

where \(\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d\) has \(b, c, d\) are all even or all odd. Note that \(\beta^2\delta^4\) or \(\delta^8\) implies \(\delta = \frac{\pi}{4}\), so they cannot be vertices. Meanwhile, in \(\{\alpha^3, \beta^4, \gamma^2\delta^2\}\), we have \(\#\gamma = \#\delta\). When there is a vertex with more \(\gamma\) than \(\delta\), then there must be another vertex with more \(\delta\) than \(\gamma\), and vice versa.

When \(\alpha\delta^4\) or \(\delta^6\) is a vertex, the angle formulae become

\[
f = 24, \quad \alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3}.
\]

By Lemma 2.10 the other vertices can only be \(\delta^6\) or \(\alpha\delta^4\). However, in \(\{\alpha^3, \beta^4, \gamma^2\delta^2, \alpha\delta^4, \delta^6\}\), we get \(\#\gamma < \#\delta\) if any of \(\alpha\delta^4, \delta^6\) is a vertex, a contradiction. So \(\alpha\delta^4\) and \(\delta^6\) cannot be vertices.

Then \(\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d\) is the only vertex which may have more \(\delta\) than \(\gamma\). When \(\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d\) is a vertex, by \(2\beta + \gamma + \delta = 2\pi\) and \(b, c, d\) being all even or all odd, we have \(\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d = \beta\gamma^c\delta^d\). Assume that \(\beta\gamma^c\delta^d\) has more \(\delta\) than \(\gamma\). By \(\gamma + \delta = \pi\), \(c, d\) cannot be both \(\geq 2\), then \(c = 1\) and such \(\beta\gamma^c\delta^d = \beta\gamma\delta^d\).
where \( d = 3, 5, 7 \). By \( \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma + \delta = \pi \) and the vertex angle sum, we have 
\[
\frac{\pi}{2} = (d - 1)\delta > (d - 1)\frac{\pi}{4},
\]
which implies \( d < 3 \). So \( \beta \gamma \delta^d \) cannot be a vertex and hence no \( \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \) with more \( \delta \) than \( \gamma \). Since there is no vertex with more \( \delta \) than \( \gamma \), every vertex has equal number of \( \gamma \) and \( \delta \). So \( \gamma \cdots = \gamma^2 \delta^2 \) and we have \( f = 24 : \{\alpha^3, \beta^4, \gamma^2 \delta^2\} \) which is a subset of the AVC in subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2)\). So we get the same contradiction and \( \gamma^2 \delta^2 \) cannot be a vertex.

Hence this case has no tilings.

**Case** \((\alpha^3, \gamma^4)\). We may assume that \( \beta^4 \) is not a vertex. Moreover, by the symmetry of \( \beta, \delta \) in the default quadrialeteral in Figure[1] we may also assume \( \delta^4 \) is not a vertex. When \( \alpha^3, \gamma^4 \) are vertices, we get \( \alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3} \) and \( \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} \). By Lemma 2.7, AVC\(_4 \neq \{ \gamma^4 \} \), combining with the above results, one of the other degree 4 vertices must appear. Moreover, when \( \beta^4 \) is not a vertex, by the symmetry between \( \beta, \delta \) in Figure[1] it suffices to discuss one of \( \alpha^2 \beta^2, \beta^2 \gamma^2, \beta^2 \delta^2 \) being a vertex.

**Subcase** \((\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \alpha^2 \beta^2)\). The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{f},
\]
The angle lower bounds are \( \alpha = \frac{4\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{2} \). Then by Lemma 2.10, the other vertices are \( \beta^2 \delta^2, \alpha \beta^3, \beta^6 \). However, in \( \{\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \alpha^2 \beta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2, \alpha \beta^4, \beta^6\} \) we get \( \# \beta > \# \delta \), a contradiction. So \( \alpha^2 \beta^2 \) cannot be a vertex.

**Subcase** \((\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \gamma^2)\). We may further assume that \( \alpha^2 \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2 \) are not a vertex. The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f},
\]
When \( f = 24 \), we get \( \beta = \gamma = \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} \). So we may assume \( f = 24 \). Then angle lower bounds are \( \alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{2} \). By Lemma 2.10, the other vertices are \( \beta^2 \delta^2, \delta^4, \gamma^2 \delta^2 \), each of which implies \( f = 24 \), a contradiction. Hence there is no vertices other than \( \alpha^3, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \gamma^2 \) where there is no \( \delta \cdots \), a contradiction. So \( \beta^2 \gamma^2 \) cannot be a vertex.

**Subcase** \((\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \delta^2)\). We may further assume that \( \alpha^2 \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \gamma^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2 \) are not a vertex. The angle formulae are
\[
f = 24, \quad \alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta + \delta = \pi, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2},
\]
then the quadrilateral is convex. By Lemma 2.13, $\gamma + \beta < \pi + \delta$ implies $\beta - \delta < \pi - \gamma$. Then by $\beta + \delta = \pi$ and $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{4}$, we get $2\delta > \gamma$ which gives $\delta > \frac{\pi}{4}$. By Lemma 2.11 and $f = 24$, we get $\beta > \frac{2\pi}{3} = \frac{\pi}{12}$. So the angle lower bounds are $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\beta > \frac{\pi}{12}$, $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta > \frac{\pi}{4}$. Then by Lemma 2.10 and the assumption, the other vertices are$$
abla = \alpha \delta^4, \delta^6, \gamma^2 \delta^4,$$
abla = \alpha \beta^b, \alpha^2 \beta^b, \alpha \beta^b \gamma^2, \beta^b, \beta^b \gamma^2, \beta^b \gamma^2 \delta^d,$$where $\beta^b \gamma^2 \delta^d$ has $b, c, d$ are all even or all odd. Note that $\delta^6 \gamma^2 \delta^4$ implies $\delta = \frac{\pi}{4}$, so they cannot be vertices. Meanwhile, in $\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \delta^2$, we have $\# \beta = \# \delta$. When there is a vertex with more $\beta$ than $\delta$, then there must be another vertex with more $\delta$ than $\beta$, and vice versa.

When $\alpha \delta^4$ or $\delta^6$ is a vertex, the angle formulae are$$ f = 24, \quad \alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3}. $$Then by Lemma 2.10 and the assumption, the other vertices can only be $\delta^6$ or $\alpha \delta^4$. However, in $\{\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta^4, \delta^6\}$ we get $\# \beta < \# \delta$ if any of $\alpha \delta^4, \delta^6$ is a vertex, a contradiction. So $\alpha \delta^4$ and $\delta^6$ cannot be vertices.

Then $\beta^b \gamma^2 \delta^d$ is the only vertex which may have more $\delta$ than $\beta$. When $\beta^b \gamma^2 \delta^d$ is a vertex, by $\beta + 2\gamma + \delta = 2\pi$ and $b, c, d$ being all even or all odd, we have $\beta^b \gamma^2 \delta^d = \beta^b \gamma \delta^d$. Assume that $\beta^b \gamma \delta^d$ has more $\delta$ than $\beta$. By $\beta + \delta = \pi$, $b, d$ cannot be both $\geq 2$, then $b = 1$ and such $\beta^b \gamma \delta^d = \beta^b \gamma \delta^d$ where $d = 3, 5, 7$. By $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{4}$, $\beta + \delta = \pi$ and the vertex angle sum, we have $\frac{\pi}{4} = (d - 1)\delta > (d - 1)\frac{\pi}{4}$, which implies $d < 3$. So $\beta \gamma \delta^d$ cannot be a vertex and hence no $\beta^b \gamma \delta^d$ with more $\delta$ than $\beta$. Since there is no vertex with more $\delta$ than $\beta$, every vertex has equal number of $\beta$ and $\delta$ and such that $\alpha \beta^b, \alpha^2 \beta^b, \alpha \beta^b \delta^2, \beta^b, \beta \gamma^2$ cannot be vertices. So $\nabla = \beta^2 \delta^2$ and we have AVC,

$$ f = 24, \quad \{\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \delta^2\}. \quad (3.8) $$

The tiling is uniquely constructed in Figure 17.

Case $(\alpha^3, \beta^2 \gamma^2)$. We may assume $\beta^4, \gamma^4, \delta^4$ are not vertices. Moreover, when $\alpha^2 \delta^2$ is a vertex, there is no solutions. So $\alpha^2 \delta^2$ cannot be a vertex. By Lemma 2.7, either we have one of $\alpha^2 \beta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2$ as a vertex or there is a degree 5 vertex without $\alpha, \beta$. For the latter, however, there is no such degree 5 vertex in (3.7). So one of $\alpha^2 \beta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2, \gamma^2 \delta^2$ must appear as a vertex.
Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^2\gamma^2, \alpha^2\beta^2)\). The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \gamma = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{3}\). By \(f \geq 24\), \(\beta^2\delta^2\) which implies \(f = 12\) is not a vertex. Then by Lemma 2.10 and the assumption, the other vertices are \(\alpha\beta^4, \beta^6\). However, there is no \(\delta \cdots\), a contradiction. So \(\alpha^2\beta^2\) cannot be a vertex.

Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2)\). We may further assume that \(\alpha^2\beta^2\) is not a vertex. Then we either have another degree 4 vertex without \(\beta^2 \cdots\), that is, \(\gamma^2\delta^2\), or by Lemma 2.7 there is a degree 5 vertex without \(\alpha, \beta\). When \(\gamma^2\delta^2\) is also a vertex, we get \(\beta = \gamma = \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\), contradicting Lemma 2.12. So there must be a degree 5 vertex without \(\alpha, \beta\), which is however impossible by (3.7). So \(\beta^2\delta^2\) cannot be a vertex.

Subcase \((\alpha^3, \beta^2\gamma^2, \gamma^2\delta^2)\). We may further assume that \(\alpha^2\beta^2, \beta^2\delta^2\) are not vertices. Then we either have another degree 4 vertex without \(\gamma^2 \cdots\) or by Lemma 2.7 there is a degree 5 vertex without \(\alpha, \gamma\). By (3.6) and the assumption, there is no such degree 4 vertex, and by (3.7) there is no such degree 5 vertex either. So \(\gamma^2\delta^2\) cannot be a vertex.

Case \((\alpha^3, \beta^2\delta^2)\). We may assume \(\beta^4, \gamma^4, \beta^2\gamma^2, \delta^4\) are not vertices. By Lemma 2.7 either we have one of \(\alpha^2\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^2\delta^2\) as a vertex or there is a degree 5 vertex without \(\alpha, \beta\). For the latter, however, by (3.7) there is no such degree 5 vertex. So one \(\alpha^2\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^2\delta^2\) must appear. By the symmetry between \(\beta, \delta\), it suffices to discuss \(\alpha^2\beta^2\) as a vertex. The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{2\pi}{3}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{3}, \delta = \frac{2\pi}{3}\). Then by Lemma 2.10 and the assumption, the other vertices are \(\alpha\beta^4, \beta^6\). However, there is no \(\gamma \cdots\), a contradiction. So this case has no tilings.

Therefore we complete the proof. □

Proposition 8. When \(\alpha\beta^2\) is the only degree 3 vertex and \(\gamma^2\delta^2\) is not a vertex, then the AVC is given in (3.11) and (3.12).
Proof. By Lemma 2.14, \(\alpha \beta \cdots = \alpha \beta^2\) and some \(\alpha \delta \cdots\) is a vertex and \(\alpha \delta^2\), \(\alpha^\gamma \delta^d\), \(\alpha^\beta \gamma^e\), \(\alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d\) cannot be a vertex. The corresponding degree 4,5 vertices are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AVC}_4 &= \{\alpha^4, \beta^4, \gamma^4, \delta^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \gamma^2, \beta^2 \delta^2\}, \\
\text{AVC}_5 &= \{\alpha^5, \alpha \delta^4, \alpha^3 \delta^2, \beta^3 \gamma \delta, \beta \gamma^3 \delta, \beta \gamma \delta^3\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Moreover, as \(\gamma, \delta\) do not appear in degree 3 vertices and every degree 5 vertex has \(\alpha\) or \(\beta\), Lemma 2.5 implies that one of \(\gamma^4, \delta^4\) from (3.9) must appear.

Case \((\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4)\). When \(\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4\) are vertices, one of \(\delta^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2\) is a vertex, or by Lemma 2.6 one of \(\alpha \delta^4, \beta \gamma \delta^3\) is a vertex, or \(\delta^d \geq 3\) of degree \(\geq 6\) is a vertex.

Subcase \((\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4)\). The angle formulae are

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &= \frac{8\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \pi - \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]

From the angle formulae, by \(f \geq 16\), the angle inequalities are \(\beta > \gamma = \delta \geq \alpha\) and by Lemma 2.13, the angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{8\pi}{f}, \beta \geq \frac{3\pi}{4}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\). By the angle formulae, the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10 and the assumption,

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\alpha} \cdots &= \gamma^4, \delta^4, \\
\alpha \cdots &= \alpha \beta^2, \alpha^a, \alpha^a \delta^2.
\end{align*}
\]

So we have \(\{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4, \alpha^a, \alpha^a \delta^2\}\). Since \(\beta \delta \cdots\) and \(\alpha^a \beta \cdots\) are not vertices, there is no \(\alpha \beta \alpha \cdots\) at a vertex and hence \(\alpha^a \delta^2 = \alpha^2 \delta^2\) and \(\alpha^a\) cannot be a vertex. Then we have

\[
f = 16 : \quad \{\alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}.
\]

The tiling for the above is uniquely constructed in Figure 12.

Next we assume that \(\delta^4\) is not a vertex, then in the unique AAD of \(\alpha^2 \delta^2\), we have \(\alpha^\delta \beta \alpha\) which implies \(\cdots \delta \delta \cdots\) must be a vertex. However in the AVC, \(\cdots \delta \delta \cdots\) can only be \(\delta^4\), contradicting \(\delta^4\) not being a vertex. Hence Figure 12 is the only tiling for \(f = 16\).
Subcase \((\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2)\). We may further assume no \(\delta^4\). The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \pi - \frac{8\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{8\pi}{f}.
\]

From the angle formulae, when \(f = 16\), we get \(\alpha = \gamma = \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\beta = \frac{3\pi}{4}\). Then by the angle values, Lemma 2.10 and our assumption, the other vertices can only be \(\alpha^4\). Since \(\beta \delta \cdots\) is not a vertex and \(\beta^2 \cdots = \alpha \beta^2 = ||\beta|\alpha|\beta|| \) such that \(\beta|\beta\cdots\) is also not a vertex, then \(\alpha|\alpha = \alpha \delta|\alpha\). By the unique AAD of \(\alpha|\alpha, \alpha^4\) cannot be a vertex. Then we have \(\{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2\}\). By the AAD of \(\gamma^c\) in Figure 8, we first determine \(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\). Since \(\delta|\delta\cdots = \alpha^2 \delta\) and \(\alpha|\alpha = \alpha \delta|\alpha\), we then determine \(T_5, T_6, T_7, T_8\). By \(\alpha \beta \cdots = \beta^2 \cdots = \alpha \beta^2\), we determine \(T_9\). However, \(\delta_9 \cdots = \alpha \beta \delta \cdots\), which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So we get \(f \neq 16\).

![Diagram](image)

Figure 8: The AAD of \(\gamma^c\)

Next for \(f > 16\), the angle inequalities are \(2\gamma > \alpha, \beta > \gamma > \delta\). The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha \geq \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta > \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}; \delta > 0\). By the angle formulae, the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10,

\[
\hat{\delta} \cdots = \gamma^4, \alpha \beta^2;
\hat{\delta} \cdots = \alpha^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta^d, \beta^2 \delta^d, \beta \gamma \delta^d, \gamma^2 \delta^d, \delta^d.
\]

So the other vertices can only be \(\alpha \delta^d, \beta^2 \delta^d, \beta \gamma \delta^d, \gamma^2 \delta^d, \delta^d\).
Figure 9: The AAD of $\beta\gamma\delta^d$ and $\gamma^2\delta^d$

Since $\beta\gamma\delta^d = |\beta|\gamma|\delta \cdots \delta|$, by the unique AAD we determine $T_1,T_2,T_3$ in the first picture in Figure 9. By $\alpha^2 \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2$, we get $\alpha_1\alpha_3 \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2$ so that we further determine $T_4$. By $\beta\gamma \cdots = \beta\gamma\delta^d$, $\beta_2\gamma_1 \cdots = \beta\gamma\delta^d$ so that we further determine $T_5$. At $T_4$, we get $\alpha_1\alpha_3 \cdots = \alpha\gamma\delta \cdots$, which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So $\beta\gamma\delta^d$ cannot be a vertex.

Since $\gamma^2\delta^d = |\gamma|\gamma|\delta \cdots \delta|$, by the unique AAD we determine $T_1,T_2,T_3,T_4$ in the second picture in Figure 9. As $\beta\gamma\delta^d$ can no longer be a vertex, we get $\gamma_2\delta^d = |\gamma|\gamma|\delta \cdots \delta|$ such that we further determine $T_5,T_6$. By $\beta\gamma \cdots = \beta\gamma\delta^d$, $\beta_2\gamma_1 \cdots = \beta\gamma\delta^d$ so that we further determine $T_7$. At $T_4$, we get $\alpha_4 \cdots = \alpha\gamma\delta \cdots$, which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So $\beta_2\gamma_1 \cdots$ cannot be a vertex and so $\gamma^2\delta^d$ cannot be a vertex.

Hence we get

$$\{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^\frac{f-s}{f}, \beta^2\delta^\frac{f-s}{f}, \delta^\frac{f}{f}\}.$$  

(3.12)

For the above AVC, the tilings will be constructed in the next section.

**Subcase** $(\alpha\beta^2, \gamma^4, \beta^2\delta^2)$. We may further assume no $\delta^4$, $\alpha^2\delta^2$. The angle formulae are

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{2\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{f}.$$  

By $f \geq 16$, the angle lower bounds are $\alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta \geq \frac{5\pi}{8}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{4}$. Then by $f \geq 16$, the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10, the other
vertices are \( f = 24 : \alpha \delta^4, \delta^6 \). So we get \( \{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \delta^2 \mid \alpha \delta^4, \delta^6\} \). Since \( \beta^2 \delta^2 = \|\beta \alpha \delta \| \alpha \beta \| \), the AAD implies that \( \alpha \alpha \cdots \) has to be a vertex, contradicting \( \alpha \alpha \cdots \) is not a vertex. So \( \beta^2 \delta^2 \) cannot be a vertex.

**Subcase** \( (\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta^4) \). We may further assume no \( \delta^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2 \). The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{16\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \pi - \frac{8\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]

And we have \( \beta = 2\delta \). By \( f \geq 16 \), the angle lower bounds are \( \alpha > 0 \), \( \beta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta \geq \frac{\pi}{4} \). In \( \alpha \cdots \), by Lemma \( 2.10 \), \( \alpha \cdots = \alpha^a, \alpha^a \beta^b, \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c, \alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d, \alpha \gamma^c \delta^d, \alpha^a \delta^d, \) where \( a > 0 \) and \( b, c, d \) are even. Then \( \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c, \alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d, \alpha \gamma^c \delta^d \) have vertex angle sums \( > 2\pi \) and \( \alpha^a \beta^b = \alpha \beta^2 \). Meanwhile, as \( \alpha^2 \delta^2 \) is excluded by the assumption, \( \alpha^a \delta^d = \alpha^a \geq 3 \delta^2, \alpha \delta^4 \). So

\[
\alpha \cdots = \alpha^a \beta^2, \alpha^a \delta^4, \alpha^a \geq 3 \delta^2, \alpha^a > 3.
\]

The \( \alpha \)-vertices other than \( \gamma^4 \) with corresponding \( f \) values are \( f = 16 : \beta^4, \beta^2 \gamma^2, \beta^2 \delta^4, \gamma \delta^4, \delta^8 \), \( f = 20 : \beta \gamma^3, \delta = 24 : \delta^6 \). When the other \( \alpha \)-vertices appear, combining with \( f = 16, 20, 24 \), we get \( \alpha = \pi, \frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} \) and \( \delta = \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{10}, \frac{\pi}{3} \) respectively, then \( \alpha^{a>3} \) and \( \alpha^3 \delta^2 \) have angle sums \( > 2\pi \) so they cannot be vertices. Hence \( \alpha \cdots = \alpha^a \beta^2, \alpha \delta^4 \). However, the AAD shows that \( \alpha \delta^4 \) contains \( \delta^a \alpha \delta \) which implies \( \alpha^2 \cdots \) being a vertex, a contradiction. So none of the \( \alpha \)-vertices other than \( \gamma^4 \) can be a vertex and we have \( \{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta^4, \alpha^a, \alpha^a \delta^2\} \). Since \( \beta \delta \cdots, \alpha \beta \mid \alpha \cdots \) are not vertices, there is no \( \alpha \mid \alpha \alpha \alpha \) at a vertex. So \( \alpha^3 \) is not a vertex and \( \alpha^a \delta^2 = \alpha^2 \delta^2 \) which is however not a vertex under our assumption. Meanwhile, \( \alpha \delta^4 = \alpha \delta^4 \) in which \( \delta \delta = \delta \alpha \mid \alpha \delta \) implies \( \alpha^2 \cdots \) has to be a vertex, which is not in \( \{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta^4\} \). Then \( \alpha \delta^4 \) cannot be a vertex.

**Subcase** \( (\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \beta \gamma \delta^3) \). We may further assume no \( \delta^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta^4 \). The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{6\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{f}.
\]

By \( f \geq 16 \), the angle inequalities are \( \alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta \geq \frac{9\pi}{16}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{4} \). By Lemma \( 2.10 \) and the assumption, the other vertices can only be \( \delta^6 \), which implies \( \text{AVC}_4 = \{\gamma^4\} \). However, by Lemma \( 2.8 \), there is a degree 5 vertex with at most one of \( \beta, \gamma \), which does not exist under the our assumption, a contradiction. Hence this case has no tilings.
Subcase \((\alpha b^2, \gamma^4, \delta^{d\geq 3}\ldots)\). We may assume no \(\delta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \alpha\delta^4, \beta\gamma\delta^3\). We divide the discussion into two subsubcases, \(AVC_4 = \{\gamma^4\}\) and not.

Subsubcase \((AVC_4 = \{\gamma^4\})\). Lemma 2.8 implies that there is a degree 5 vertex with at most one of \(\beta, \gamma\). By Lemma 2.14 some \(\alpha\delta\ldots\) is a vertex. Under our assumption, \(\alpha\delta^4\) is not a vertex, then one of \(\alpha^5, \alpha^3\delta^2\) must be a vertex. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6 there must be a vertex \(\delta^d\ldots\) where \(d \geq 3\).

When \(\alpha^5\) is a vertex, the angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{5}, \quad \beta = \frac{4\pi}{5}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{3\pi}{10} + \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{5}, \beta = \frac{4\pi}{5}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{3\pi}{10}\). Since \(\delta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \alpha\delta^4, \beta\gamma\delta^3\) are not vertices under the assumption, by the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10 the other vertices with corresponding \(\delta\) values are \(f = 40: \alpha^3\delta^2, f = 120: \delta^6\). In the former, we have \(\{\alpha\beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^3\delta^2, \alpha^5\}\) where there is no \(\delta^d\ldots(d \geq 3)\) inferred by Lemma 2.6, a contradiction. In the latter, we have \(\{\alpha\beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^5, \delta^6\}\), the absence of \(\alpha\delta\ldots\) contradicts Lemma 2.14. So \(\alpha^5\) cannot be a vertex.

When \(\alpha^3\delta^2\) is a vertex, we may assume \(\alpha^5\) is not a vertex. The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{6\pi}{f}.
\]

By \(f \geq 16\), the angle lower bounds are \(\alpha \geq \frac{\pi}{4}, \beta > \frac{3\pi}{4}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{4}\). Since \(\delta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \alpha\delta^4, \beta\gamma\delta^3, \alpha^5\) are not vertices, by the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10 the other vertices with corresponding \(f\) values are \(f = 16: \alpha^8, f = 24: \alpha^6, f = 72: \delta^6\). The former two do not have the \(\delta^d\ldots(d \geq 3)\) inferred by Lemma 2.6. In the latter, \(\{\alpha\beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^3\delta^2, \delta^6\}\), no \(\beta\delta\ldots\) and \(\alpha^5\) implies no \(\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\ldots\) at a vertex so that \(\alpha^3\delta^2\) cannot be a vertex, a contradiction. So \(AVC_4 \neq \{\gamma^4\}\).

Subsubcase \((AVC_4 \neq \{\gamma^4\})\). Under our assumption \(\alpha^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2\) are not vertices, then from (3.9) one of \(\alpha^4, \beta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2\) must be a vertex.

When \(\alpha^4\) is a vertex, The angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]

By the angle formulae none of \(\beta^4, \beta^2\gamma^2\) can be a vertex. Then \(AVC_4 = \{\alpha^4, \gamma^4\}\). The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4}, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta > \frac{\pi}{4}\).
Since $\delta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \alpha\delta^4, \beta\gamma\delta^3$ are not vertices, the other vertices can only be $f = 48 : \delta^6$. So we get $\{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^4, \gamma^4, \delta^6\}$ in which the absence of $\alpha\delta \cdots$ contradicting Lemma 2.14. So $\alpha^4$ cannot be a vertex.

When $\beta^4$ is a vertex, the angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \pi, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{4\pi}{f}.
\]
Then $\text{AVC}_4 = \{\beta^4, \gamma^4 | \beta^2\gamma^2\}$. Since $\text{AVC}_3 = \{\alpha\beta^2\}$ and $\beta, \gamma$ together appear four times at every degree 4 vertex, Lemma 2.8 implies there must be a degree 5 vertex with at most one of $\beta, \gamma$. Then one of $\alpha^5, \alpha\delta^4, \alpha^3\delta^2$ must be a vertex. However, $\alpha\delta^4$ is not a vertex under our assumption and by $\alpha = \pi, \alpha^5$ and $\alpha^3\delta^2$ cannot be vertices, a contradiction. So $\beta^4$ cannot be a vertex.

When $\beta^2\gamma^2$ is a vertex, we may assume that $\beta^3$ is not a vertex. We get the same angle formulae as in the case of $\beta^4$. So the same argument follows and $\beta^2\gamma^2$ cannot be a vertex. Hence there is no tiling.

**Case** ($\alpha\beta^2, \delta^4$). We may further assume no $\gamma^4$. So (3.9) becomes $\text{AVC}_4 = \{\alpha^4, \beta^4, \delta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \beta^2\delta^2\}$. Then $\beta^2\gamma^2$ is a vertex, or by (3.9) and Lemma 2.6 $\beta\gamma^3\delta$ is a vertex, or some other degree $\geq 6 \gamma^c \cdots \delta \gamma$ is a vertex.

**Subcase** ($\alpha\beta^2, \delta^4, \beta^2\gamma^2$). When $\delta^4$ is a vertex, $\alpha\delta^4$ cannot be a vertex. The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{2\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]
By $f \geq 16$, the angle lower bounds are $\alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta \geq \frac{5\pi}{8}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{4}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. By Lemma 2.10 the other vertices can only be $f = 24 : \gamma^6$. So we get $\{\alpha\beta^2, \beta^2\gamma^2, \delta^4, \gamma^6\}$ in which $\# \alpha < \# \beta$, a contradiction. So $\beta^2\gamma^2$ cannot be a vertex.

**Subcase** ($\alpha\beta^2, \delta^4, \beta\gamma^3\delta$). We may further assume $\beta^2\gamma^2$ are not vertices. The angle formulae are
\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{6\pi}{f}, \quad \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{f}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]
By $f \geq 16$, the angle lower bounds are $\alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta \geq \frac{9\pi}{10}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{4}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. By the angle lower bounds and Lemma 2.10 there is no other vertex. So we get $\{\alpha\beta^2, \delta^4, \beta\gamma^3\delta\}$ in which $\# \alpha < \# \beta$. So $\beta\gamma^3\delta$ cannot be a vertex.
Subcase \((\alpha\beta^2, \delta^4, \gamma^{c+3} \ldots)\). We may further assume \(\beta^2\gamma^2, \beta\gamma^3\delta\) are not vertices. We divide the discussion into two subsubcases, \(AVC_4 = \{\delta^4\}\) and not.

Subsubcase \((AVC_4 = \{\delta^4\})\). By Lemma \[2.8\] there is a degree 5 vertex without \(\beta\). As \(\alpha\delta^4\) cannot be a vertex, one of \(\alpha\delta^5, \alpha^3\delta^2\) must appear.

When \(\alpha^5\) is a vertex, the angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{5}, \quad \beta = \frac{4\pi}{5}, \quad \gamma = \frac{3\pi}{10} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{5}, \beta = \frac{4\pi}{5}, \gamma > \frac{3\pi}{10}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\). By Lemma \[2.10\] the other vertices can only be \(f = 120 : \gamma^6\). So we get \(\{\alpha\beta^2, \delta^4, \alpha^5, \gamma^6\}\) in which the absence of \(\alpha\delta^\cdot \cdot \cdot\) contradicts Lemma \[2.14\]. So \(\alpha^5\) cannot be a vertex.

When \(\alpha^3\delta^2\) is a vertex, the angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{5\pi}{6}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{\pi}{3}, \beta = \frac{5\pi}{6}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{3}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\). By Lemma \[2.10\] the other vertices can only be \(\alpha\delta^6\). However, there is no \(\gamma^{c+3} \ldots\), a contradiction. So \(\alpha^3\delta^2\) cannot be a vertex and \(AVC_4 \neq \{\delta^4\}\).

Subsubcase \((AVC_4 \neq \{\delta^4\})\). Then one of \(\alpha^4, \beta^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2\) in \[3.9\] must appear.

When one of \(\alpha^4, \alpha^2\delta^2\) is a vertex, the angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

The angle lower bounds are \(\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta = \frac{3\pi}{4}, \gamma > \frac{\pi}{4}, \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}\). By Lemma \[2.10\] the other vertices are \(a, \alpha^4, \alpha^2\delta^2\) and \(f = 48 : \gamma^6\). As \(\gamma^\cdot \cdot\cdot\) must be a vertex, we get \(\{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \delta^4, \gamma^6\}\). Since no \(\beta\delta^\cdot \cdot \cdot\) and \(\alpha\beta^\cdot \cdot \cdot\) implies no \(\alpha|\alpha|\alpha\) at a vertex, \(\alpha^4\) cannot be a vertex. So we get \(\{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \delta^4, \gamma^6\}\). By the AAD of \(\gamma\) in Figure \[8\] we first determine \(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\). Since \(\delta^4 \cdot \cdot \cdot = \alpha^2\delta^2\) and \(\alpha|\alpha = \alpha^4|\alpha\), we then determine \(T_5, T_6, T_7, T_8, T_9\). By \(\alpha\beta^\cdot \cdot \cdot = \beta^2 \cdot \cdot \cdot = \alpha\beta^2\), we determine \(T_9\). However, \(\delta^9 \cdot \cdot \cdot = \alpha\beta\delta^\cdot \cdot\cdot\), which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So \(\alpha^4, \alpha^2\delta^2\) cannot be vertices.

When \(\beta^4\) or \(\beta^2\delta^2\) is a vertex, the angle formulae are

\[
\alpha = \pi, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{4\pi}{f}, \quad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]
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By the angle formulae and $f \geq 16$, we have $\gamma = \frac{4\pi}{f} < \pi$ and $\beta = \delta$, contradicting Lemma 2.12. So $\beta^4$ and $\beta^2\delta^2$ cannot be vertices.

4 Tilings

In the first part of this section, we demonstrate the construction of tilings from the AVCs obtained in the previous section and in the second part we explain how they are related via flip modifications. The idea of flip modification was first introduced by Yan et al. Interested reader may refer to in [17] for more examples.

4.1 Construction

We summarise the AVCs from (3.2), (3.5), (3.8), (3.11), (3.12). in the previous section as follows

1. $\{\beta\gamma\delta, \alpha^\frac{f}{2}\}$,
2. $\{\alpha, \beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}$,
3. $\{\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \beta^2\delta^2\}$,
4. $\{\alpha^3, \alpha, \beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^4, \delta^6\}$,
5. $\{\alpha, \beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^4, \frac{f+8}{\pi}, \beta^2\delta^2, \frac{f-8}{\pi}, \delta^4\}$

It is apparent that some of the AVCs is a subset or a special case of some subset of another AVC. The relation between tilings constructed from each of these AVCs will become transparent in the construction below.

Case (AVC = $\{\beta\gamma\delta, \alpha^\frac{f}{2}\}$). When $f = 6$, starting at this vertex the tiling given by the cube is uniquely constructed in Figure 10.

We construct the tilings with AVC = $\{\beta\gamma\delta, \alpha^a\}$. When $a > 3$, Figure 11 shows the Earth Map Tilings and their time zones. There are four time zones indicated, each consists of two tiles, namely $(T_1, T_4), (T_2, T_5), (T_3, T_6), (T_7, T_8)$. 
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Figure 10: Tiling of $f = 6$, $AVC = \{\alpha^3, \beta \gamma \delta\}$

Figure 11: Earth Map Tilings: $AVC \equiv \{\beta \gamma \delta, \alpha^a\}$
Case \(\text{(AVC} = \{\alpha\beta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}\)). From the AVC, we have \(\alpha^2 \cdot \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2, \alpha\beta \cdot \cdots = \beta^2 \cdot \cdots = \alpha^2\beta^2, \gamma^2 \cdot \cdots = \gamma^4\). By the unique AAD of \(\delta^4\), we determine \(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\) in Figure 12. Then by \(\alpha^2 \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2\), we get \(\alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdot \cdots = \alpha_3\alpha_4 \cdot \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2\). So we determine \(T_5, T_6, T_7, T_8\). Meanwhile, by \(\alpha\beta \cdot \cdots = \alpha\beta\delta^2\), we have \(\alpha_5\alpha_6 \cdot \cdots = \alpha_7\alpha_8 \cdot \cdots = \alpha\beta\delta^2\). Then by \(\alpha^2 \cdot \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2\), we get \(\alpha_9\alpha_{10} \cdot \cdots = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{12} \cdot \cdots = \alpha\beta\delta^2\), so we determine \(T_9, T_{10}, T_{11}, T_{12}\). Then we have \(\alpha_9\beta_5 \cdot \cdots = \alpha_{10}\beta_6 \cdot \cdots = \alpha_{11}\beta_7 \cdot \cdots = \alpha_{12}\beta_8 \cdot \cdots = \alpha\beta\delta^2\), so we determine \(T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}, T_{16}\). Hence we obtain the unique tiling with AVC \(\equiv \{\alpha\beta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}\).

Figure 12: (4, 4)-Earth Map Tiling of \(f = 16\), AVC \(\equiv \{\alpha\beta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}\) and (6, 4)-Earth Map Tiling of \(f = 24\), AVC \(\equiv \{\alpha\beta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^6\}\)

In light of the unique construction starting at \(\delta^4\) in Figure 12 we uniquely obtain the tilings of AVC \(\equiv \{\alpha\beta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}\). We call such tilings \((p, q)\)-Earth Map Tilings, where \(p = \frac{f}{4}\) is the degree of the polar vertices \(\delta^2\) and \(q = 4\) is the degree of the adjacent rings of vertices, \(\alpha^2\delta^2\) and \(\gamma^4\), to the polar vertices, for the reason explained in Figure 13 (numbering not related). A time zone consists of eight tiles, composed in the shape as indicated in \(T_1, \cdots, T_8\) in Figure 13. Two time zones combined we get the tiling of \(f = 16\), AVC \(\equiv \{\alpha\beta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}\) in Figure 12. Three time zones combined we get the tiling of \(f = 24\), AVC \(\equiv \{\alpha\beta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^6\}\) in Proposition 6. In general, tilings of such kind consist of \(k = \frac{f}{8}\) time zones for \(k\) in Figure 13.
Case (AVC = \{α^3, αβ^2, α^2δ^2, β^2δ^2, γ^4, αδ^4, δ^6\}). When δ^6 is a vertex, from the previous case we will get the (6, 4)-earth map tiling of AVC ≡ \{αβ^2, α^2δ^2, γ^4, δ^6\} in Figure 12. Next we may assume δ^6 is not a vertex. Suppose α^3 is a vertex, then Figure 14 shows the uniquely determined the neighbourhood of α^3 up to symmetry. In T_6, T_7, by α^2 ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = α^3, α^2δ^2, there are two choices for α_6α_7 ⋅ ⋅ ⋅.

When α_6α_7 ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = α^3, then T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15} in Figure 15 are determined. If β_8β_{12} ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = αβ^2, then either α_8α_9 ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = α^2β ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ or α_{12}α_{15} ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = α^2β ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So β_8β_{12} ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = β^2δ^2. Then we determine T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}. By the same token, β_4β_{10} ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = β^2δ^2 and we determine T_{19}, T_{20}, T_{21}. Lastly, by γ ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = γ^4, we get γ_{13} ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = γ_{16} ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ = γ_{19} ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ =
\( \gamma^4 \) and we determine \( T_{22}, T_{23}, T_{24} \) and hence the tiling of \( f = 24 \), \( \text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha^3, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4\} \). This tiling is the same as the tiling in Figure 17 which will be further explained.

![Figure 15: The Tiling of \( f = 24 \), \( \text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha^3, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4\} \)](image)

When \( \alpha_6\alpha_7\cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2 \), then \( T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15} \) in Figure 16 are determined. If \( \beta_4\beta_{10}\cdots = \beta^2\delta^2 \), we get \( \alpha_4\alpha_5\cdots = \alpha^3 \) and hence \( \alpha_{15}\delta_5\delta_{11}\cdots = \alpha\beta\delta^2\cdots \) which is not a vertex, a contradiction. So \( \beta_4\beta_{10}\cdots = \alpha\beta^2 \) and we determine \( T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18} \). By the same token, \( \beta_8\beta_{12}\cdots = \alpha\beta^2 \) and we determine \( T_{19}, T_{20}, T_{21} \). Lastly, by \( \gamma\cdots = \gamma^4 \), we get \( \gamma_{13}\cdots = \gamma_{16}\cdots = \gamma_{19}\cdots = \gamma^4 \) and we determine \( T_{22}, T_{23}, T_{24} \) and hence the tiling of \( f = 24 \), \( \text{AVC} \equiv \)
\{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4\}. This is in fact the quadrilateral subdivision of the triangular prism in the third picture in Figure 18.

Next we may further assume no \(\alpha^3\). We have AVC = \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^4\}. Then \(\alpha^2 \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2\) and \(\delta^3 \cdots = \alpha\delta^4\). If \(\beta^2\delta^2\) is a vertex, starting at this vertex we determine \(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\) in Figure 19. By \(\gamma_3 \cdots = \gamma_4 \cdots = \gamma^4\), \(T_5, T_6\) are determined. By \(\alpha_1\alpha_4 \cdots = \alpha_2\alpha_3 \cdots = \alpha^2 \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2\), \(T_7, T_8, T_9, T_{10}\) are determined. By \(\gamma_7 \cdots = \gamma_8 \cdots = \gamma_9 \cdots = \gamma_{10} \cdots = \gamma^4\), \(T_{11}, T_{12}, T_{13}, T_{14}\) are determined and at these four vertices we have \(\delta^4 \cdots = \alpha\delta^4\) must be a vertex. Up to symmetry, \(\alpha\delta^4\) uniquely determines \(T_{15}\) which is translated in Figure 20. Meanwhile, \(\gamma_{15} \cdots = \gamma_1 \cdots = \gamma_2 \cdots = \gamma^4\) so \(T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}, T_{19}, T_{20}\) are determined. By \(\alpha_{18}\alpha_{19} \cdots = \alpha^2 \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2\), \(T_{21}, T_{22}\) are determined.
Finally, $\gamma_2 \cdots = \gamma^4$ we determine $T_{23}, T_{24}$. Hence we obtain the tiling of $f = 24$, $\text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^4\}$ in Figure 20.

![Figure 17: Tiling of $f = 24$, $\text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha^3, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4\}$: Cube Subdivision](image)

From the AVC, we already know the tiling which is given by Figure 15. The discussion here is to give unequivocal perspective to show that the tiling is indeed a quadrangle subdivision of the cube. We have $\alpha \cdots = \alpha^3, \beta \cdots = \delta \cdots = \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma \cdots = \gamma^4$. At $\gamma^4$, the unique AAD determines tiles $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$ in Figure 17. As $\beta \cdots = \beta^2\delta^2$, we get $\beta_1\beta_2 \cdots = \beta_3\beta_4 \cdots = \beta^2\delta^2$, so we determine $T_5, T_6, T_7, T_8$. Meanwhile, $\delta_1\delta_4 \cdots = \delta_2\delta_3 \cdots = \beta^2\delta^2$, so we determine $T_9, T_{10}, T_{11}, T_{12}$. Then by $\beta_5 \cdots = \beta_6 \cdots = \beta_7 \cdots = \beta_8 \cdots = \beta^2\delta^2$, so we determine $T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}, T_{19}, T_{20}$. Lastly $\beta_{13} \cdots = \beta_{16} \cdots = \beta_{17} \cdots = \beta_{20} \cdots = \beta^2\delta^2$, so we determine $T_{21}, T_{22}, T_{23}, T_{24}$. Hence we get a tiling with $f = 24$. It is indeed the quadrilateral subdivision of the cube (or the octahedron) as indicated in the first picture (second picture) in Figure 18.

Next we may assume $\beta^2\delta^2$ is not a vertex and we have $\text{AVC} = \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^4\}$. 
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Figure 18: Quadrilateral Subdivisions of the Cube, the Octahedron and the Triangular Prism.

Figure 19: AAD of $\beta^2\delta^2$
Figure 20: Tiling of $f = 24$, $AVC \equiv \{\alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta^4\}$
If $\alpha^2\delta^2$ is a vertex, then in $\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4$, we have $\#\alpha > \#\delta$ so $\alpha\delta^4$ must be a vertex. Meanwhile, if $\alpha\delta^4$ is a vertex, the AAD implies $\alpha^2 \cdots$ must be a vertex, so $\alpha^2\delta^2$. Then both $\alpha^2\delta^2, \alpha\delta^4$ must be vertices. Starting at $\alpha\delta^4$, by $\alpha\beta \cdots = \alpha\beta^2, \gamma \cdots = \gamma^4$ we determine $T_1, \cdots T_{10}$ in Figure 21. By $\beta^2 \cdots = \alpha\beta^2, \beta_2\beta_3 \cdots = \alpha\beta^2$ so we also determine $T_{11}$. Then we have $\alpha_2 \cdots = \alpha\delta^4 \cdots = \alpha\delta^4$. So $T_{12}$ is determined. By $\gamma_11 \cdots = \gamma^4$, we determine $T_{13}, T_{14}$. By the same token, we also determine $T_{15}, T_{16}$. By $\alpha_{10}\alpha_{12} \cdots = \alpha^2\delta^2$, we determine $T_{17}, T_{18}$. Then by $\alpha_9\beta_{18} \cdots = \alpha\beta^2$, $T_{19}$ is also determined. By the same token, $T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$ are determined. By $\alpha_{14}\beta_{17} \cdots = \alpha_{15}\beta_{20} \cdots = \alpha\beta^2$, $T_{23}, T_{24}$ are determined. Hence we obtain the tiling for $f = 24, AVC = \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^4\}$.

Case (AVC = $\{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^4, \beta^2\delta^\frac{L_s^8}{8} = \delta^4\}$). When $\delta^4$ is a vertex, we get the $(\frac{L}{4}, 4)$-earth map tiling of AVC = $\{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^4\}$. Then we may assume $\delta^4$ is not a vertex. When $\beta^2\delta^\frac{L_s^8}{8} = \alpha\beta^2$, we know that $\frac{L_s^8}{8} \geq 2$, ...
that is, \( f \geq 24 \). When \( f = 24 \), we have seen the tiling in Figure 20 obtained by the unique AAD of \( \beta^2 \delta^2 \) in Figure 19. When \( f > 24 \), the same AAD applies to \( \beta^2 \delta^{f-8} \) as indicated in the second picture of Figure 22 where \( R \) can be viewed as cutting out \( T_3, T_4, T_5, T_6 \) in Figure 19 along the common edge of \( T_{12}, T_{13} \) and filled by \( \frac{f-24}{16} \) copies of zones which are given by \( T_{k_1}, ... T_{k_8} \) plus half a time zone given by \( T_{k_1}, T_{k_2}, T_{k_3}, T_{k_4} \) in Figure 13. For such region \( R \), we may express it as \( R = R(d, d-2) \) where \( d, d-2 \) are the multiplicities of \( \delta \) in the corresponding vertices in the first picture of Figure 22. So a tiling is uniquely determined for each \( f > 24 \) and \( f \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \) as in Figure 23 where \( R_1 = R_2 = R(\frac{f-8}{8}, \frac{f-24}{8}) \).

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure22a.png} \\
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure22b.png}
\end{array} \] Figure 22: Region \( R \) and AAD of \( \beta^2 \delta^{f-8/8} \)

When \( \delta^4, \beta^2 \delta^{f-8/8} \) are not vertices, \( \alpha \delta^{f-8/8} \) is the only high degree vertex and \( \beta^2 \cdots = \alpha \beta^2 \). From \( \alpha \delta^{f-8/8} \), we know that \( f \geq 24 \). When \( f = 24 \), we have seen the tiling obtained by \( \alpha \delta^4 \) in the first picture of Figure 21. Indeed the same AAD applies to \( \alpha \delta^{f-8/8} \) and for each \( f \geq 24 \) and \( f \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \) we uniquely determine a tiling of \( \text{AVC} \equiv \{ \alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta^{f-8/8} \} \) as indicated in the second picture of Figure 21 where \( R_1 = R_2 = R(\frac{f+8}{8}, \frac{f-8}{8}) \).

### 4.2 Relations via Flip Modifications

First we explain the relation between the tilings in Figure 15 and 16 via flip modifications. We express the AAD of Figure 14 as an \( \alpha^3 \)-disk in the first
Figure 23: Tiling of AVC \( \equiv \{ \alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \beta^2 \delta^{4s}, \alpha \delta^{4s} \} \)
picture of Figure 24. Flipping along the dashed line we obtain its flip modification. The tiling in Figure 15 can be obtained by gluing two copies of the $\alpha^3$-disk along the five edges of each of the neighbouring disks and then the along other ten edges in the first row of Figure 25. The tiling in Figure 16 can be obtained by gluing an $\alpha^3$-disk and its flip modification along the five edges of each of the neighbouring disks and then along other ten edges in the second row of Figure 25.

![Diagram of $\alpha^3$-Disk and Its Flip Modification](image)

Figure 24: $\alpha^3$-Disk and Its Flip Modification

Next we first provide a different and more useful perspective that explains the relation between $(6, 4)$-earth map tiling in Figure 12 and the tilings in Figure 20 and Figure 21. The time zone in Figure 13 can be viewed as a disk in the first picture of Figure 26. Flipping the disk along the dashed line, we get the modification in the second picture of Figure 26. The tilings mentioned are obtained by gluing different combinations of such disks. For instance, the $(6, 4)$-earth map tiling is obtained in the first row of Figure 27 by gluing along the five edges from each of the neighbouring disks and then gluing the remaining five edges from the first disk with those from the last disk. Likewise, the other two tilings are obtained in the second and the third row of Figure 27.

The new perspective can indeed be extended to explain the relation between the three families of tilings. First we have the corresponding disk of $\frac{8}{16}$ copies of time zones in the first picture of Figure 28 and its flip modification along the dashed line given in the second picture of Figure 29.
Figure 25: Relation between Two Tilings

Figure 26: Time Zone Disk and Its Flip Modification
Figure 27: Relation Between Three Tilings
Next apply the same gluing method to 1-time zone disk and two \( \frac{f-8}{16} \)-time zone disks, we get the \((\frac{f}{4}, 4)\)-earth map tiling as illustrated in the first row of Figure 29. Likewise the tilings of \( \text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta \frac{f-s}{s}\} \) and \( \text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha \beta^2, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha \delta \frac{f-s}{s}, \beta^2 \delta \frac{f-s}{s}\} \) are obtained in the second and the third row of Figure 29.

![Figure 28: Disk of \( \frac{f-8}{16} \) Copies of Time Zones and Its Flip Modification](image)

## 5 Geometric Realisation

In this section we show the geometric existence of the tile in Figure 1 with respect to each of the AVCs obtained in the previous sections. The well-known fact that the longer edge lying opposite to the bigger inner angle in a standard spherical triangle is used. That is, for inner angles \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \) and their corresponding opposite edges \( e_1, e_2 \), we have \( \theta_1 \geq \theta_2 \) if and only if \( e_1 \geq e_2 \).

**Lemma 5.1.** The area of a spherical triangle with edges \( x, \pi - x \) and included angle \( \varphi \) is \( \varphi \). Let \( \psi, \psi' \) be the angles opposite to \( x, \pi - x \) respectively, then \( \psi' = \pi - \psi \). When \( \varphi < \pi \), we have \( x \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \pi - x \) if and only if \( \psi \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \psi' \). One of the equalities holds if and only if another holds.

**Proof.** Piecing two copies of such triangle along the opposite edge to \( \varphi \) we get a lune with antipodal angles \( \varphi \) in Figure 30 which has area \( 2 \varphi \) and hence the area of the triangle is \( \varphi \). By rotational symmetry, \( \psi' \) has the same value as the supplementary angle of \( \psi \) and hence \( \psi' = \pi - \psi \). When \( \varphi < \pi \), the
Figure 29: Relation among Three Family Tilings.
longer edge lies opposite to the bigger inner angle, so \( x \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \pi - x \) if and only \( \psi \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \psi' \) and it is obvious that \( x = \frac{\pi}{2} \) if and only if \( \psi = \frac{\pi}{2} \).

**Lemma 5.2.** In a standard spherical triangle with edges \( x, \pi - x \) and one of their opposite angle \( \psi \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \), then any geodesic arc passing through the common vertex of these two edges dissecting the triangle into two triangles has length between \( x \) and \( \pi - x \). When \( \psi > \frac{\pi}{2} \), any such dissecting geodesic arc has length strictly larger than \( \min(x, \pi - x) \) and smaller than \( \max(x, \pi - x) \).

**Proof.** Suppose such spherical triangle is illustrated as \( \triangle PP'P'' \) in Figure 30 and \( PQ \) is any geodesic arc dissecting \( \triangle PP'P'' \). Then \( \triangle PP'Q \) and \( \triangle PP''Q \) are also standard triangles and \( \angle PQP' + \angle PQP'' = \pi \). Without loss of generality, suppose \( \pi - x \geq x \). Assume \( PQ > \pi - x \). Then \( \angle PQP' < \psi' \) and \( \angle PQP'' < \pi - \psi' \) which implies \( \angle PQP' + \angle PQP'' < \pi \), a contradiction. By the same token, \( PQ < x \) implies \( \angle PQP' + \angle PQP'' > \pi \), also a contradiction. Hence \( \min(x, \pi - x) \leq PQ \leq \max(x, \pi - x) \). When \( \psi' > \frac{\pi}{2} \), we have \( \pi - x > x \). Assume \( PQ = x \), then \( \pi - x > PQ \) implies \( \angle PQP'' > \pi - \psi' \) which gives \( \angle PQP' < \psi' \) and hence \( x < PQ \), a contradiction. So \( PQ > x \). Assume \( PQ = \pi - x \), then \( PQ > x \) implies \( \psi' > \angle PQP' = \pi - \angle PQP'' \) which gives \( \angle PQP'' > \pi - \psi' \) and hence \( PQ < \pi - x \), a contradiction. So \( PQ < \pi - x \). Hence we get \( \min(x, \pi - x) < PQ < \max(x, \pi - x) \).  

**Lemma 5.3.** In a lune \( L_\alpha \) defined by two geodesic arcs \( a \in (0, \pi) \) and \( \alpha \) as the angle in between, let the antipodal vertices be \( A, A^* \) and \( AB, AD \) has lengths \( = a \in (0, \pi) \). For any \( C \) inside \( L_\alpha \), the quadrilateral \( \square ABCD \) obtained has area \( = \alpha \) if and only if \( AC \) has length \( = \pi - a \).

**Proof.** When \( C \) is inside \( L_\alpha \), it is obvious that the quadrilateral \( \square ABCD \) is simple. Up to symmetry, let \( \theta \) denote the angle between \( AB \) and \( AC \) be
θ. When AC has length π − a, Lemma 5.1 implies the area of △ABC = θ and area of △ADC = α − θ. Then area of □ABCD is the sum of the area of △ABC and the area of △ADC which is α. When □ABCD has area α, assume AC has length < π − a, by Lemma 5.1 the area of △ABC < θ and area of △ADC < α − θ. Then the area of □ABCD < θ + α − θ = α, a contradiction. By the same token, if AC has length > π − a, we get the area of □ABCD > α, also a contradiction. □

**Proposition 9.** If α ≥ 4π , for any a ∈ (0, π), there exist a θ-family of simple default quadrilaterals in Figure [1] with distinct edges a, b, c and area equals to 4π .

**Proof.** For every a ∈ (0, π) and α ≥ 4π where f ≥ 6, let Lα denote the lune defined by a and α. We construct such θ-family of quadrilaterals by locating vertex C inside Lα and θ denotes the angle between AB and AC. By Lemma 5.3 when AC has length π − a, □ABCD is simple and has area α ≥ 4π . Let x denote the length of AC, the area of □ABCD is a function A(x, θ). Then for every fixed θ, A(x, θ) is a continuous function in x on (0, π). In particular, A(0, θ) = 0 and A(π − a, θ) = α ≥ 4π . By Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists a value l ∈ (0, π − a] such that A(l, θ) = 4π . In other words, there is a value l ∈ (0, π − a] such that when AC has length = l, □ABCD has area = 4π . It remains to argue is that we can locate such a C vertex so that a, b, c are distinct. This is done via a choice of θ ∈ [0, α] as follows. We consider the a = b, a = c, b = c respectively.

If b = c, then triangles △ABC and △ACD are congruent (SSS) and hence θ = α − θ = π 2. If a = b, then cosine law on △ABC gives

\[
\cos a = \cos b = \cos a \cos l + \sin a \sin l \cos \theta,
\]

which implies

\[
\cos \theta = \frac{\cos a(1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l}.
\]

If a = c, then cosine law on △ACD gives

\[
\cos a = \cos c = \cos a \cos l + \sin a \sin l \cos (\alpha - \theta),
\]
which implies

\[
\cos(\alpha - \theta) = \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l}.
\]

When \( \left| \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right| > 1 \), there is no solution for \( \theta \) in both of the discussion of \( a = b \) and \( a = c \) whereas when \( \left| \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right| \leq 1 \) and \( \theta \neq \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right), \alpha - \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right) \), we have \( a \neq b \) and \( a \neq c \) respectively. Hence, for \( a \in (0, \pi) \), when \( \left| \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right| > 1 \), for any \( \theta \in [0, \alpha] \) \( \{ \frac{\alpha}{2} \} \), there is a quadrilateral \( \square ABCD \) with area \( \frac{4\pi}{7} \) and distinct \( a, b, c \); when \( \left| \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right| \leq 1 \), for any \( \theta \in [0, \alpha] \) \( \{ \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right), \alpha - \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\cos a (1 - \cos l)}{\sin a \sin l} \right) \} \), there is a quadrilateral \( \square ABCD \) with area \( \frac{4\pi}{7} \) and distinct \( a, b, c \).

**Corollary.** Moreover when \( \alpha < \pi \), among these quadrilaterals constructed, we have

1. \( 0 < a < \frac{\pi}{2} \) if and only if \( 0 < \gamma < \pi \),
2. \( a = \frac{\pi}{2} \) if and only if \( \gamma = \pi \),
3. \( \frac{\pi}{2} < a < \pi \) if and only if \( \pi < \gamma < 2\pi \).

**Proof.** When \( 0 < a \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \), \( \triangle ABD \) is contained in half of \( L_\alpha \) thus the area of \( \triangle ABD \) is no more than half of the area of \( L_\alpha \), which is \( \alpha \). So \( C \) must lie either outside of \( \triangle ABD \) or on \( BD \) and hence \( 0 < \gamma \leq \pi \) such that \( a = \frac{\pi}{2} \) holds if and only if \( \gamma = \pi \). When \( \frac{\pi}{2} < a < \pi \), the area of \( \triangle ABD \) is bigger than \( \alpha \) so \( C \) must lie inside the triangle and hence \( \gamma \) must be \( > \pi \).  

As proven by Cheung in [9], the default quadrilateral in Figure 1 satisfies the following matrix equation

\[
Y(b)Z(\pi - \beta)Y(a)Z(\pi - \alpha)Y(a)Z(\pi - \delta)Y(c)Z(\pi - \gamma) = I_3 \quad (5.1)
\]

where

\[
Y(x) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos x & 0 & \sin x \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sin x & 0 & \cos x
\end{bmatrix}, \quad Z(\pi - \theta) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
\sin \theta & -\cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad I_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

such that \( x = a, b, c \) and \( \theta = \alpha, \beta, \gamma \). The following equations are obtained from (5.1).
Lemma 5.4. In a tiling of the sphere by congruent quadrilaterals in Figure 4, we have the following trigonometry equations,

\[
\begin{align*}
(\cos \alpha - 1) \sin \beta \sin \delta \cos^2 a + \sin \alpha (\cos \beta \sin \delta + \cos \delta \sin \beta) \cos a &= 0, \quad (5.2) \\
+ \sin \beta \sin \delta + \cos \gamma - \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cos \delta &= 0; \\
\cos b \sin \beta \sin \gamma - \cos a \sin \alpha \sin \delta - \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \cos \delta &= 0; \quad (5.3) \\
\cos \delta \sin \beta (\cos \alpha - 1) \cos^2 a + \sin \alpha (\cos \beta \cos \delta - \sin \beta \sin \delta) \cos a &= 0, \quad (5.4) \\
+ \sin \gamma \cos c + \cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \delta + \cos \delta \sin \beta &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Rewriting (5.1) as

\[
Z(\pi - \beta)Y(a)Z(\pi - \alpha)Y(a)Z(\pi - \delta) - Y'(b)Z'(\pi - \gamma)Y'(c) = 0, \quad (5.5)
\]
simply the [2,1]-entry and [2,2]-entry to give (5.4) and (5.2) respectively. Rewriting (5.1) as

\[
Z(\pi - \alpha)Y(a)Z(\pi - \delta)Y(c) - Y'(a)Z'(\pi - \beta)Y'(b)Z'(\pi - \gamma) = 0,
\]
simply the [2,2]-entry to gives (5.3). \qed

5.1 AVC \equiv \{\beta \gamma \delta, \alpha^f\}

The existence of quadrilaterals \(\Box ABCD\) in Figure 4 with area \(\alpha = \frac{4\pi}{f}\) and distinct edges \(a, b, c\) is ensured in Proposition 10. Note that by the quadrilateral angle sum, \(\alpha = \frac{4\pi}{f}\) is equivalent to \(\beta + \gamma + \delta = 2\pi\).

Proposition 10. For every \(a \in (0, \pi)\) and \(\alpha = \frac{4\pi}{f}\), there exist a \(\theta\)-family of default quadrilaterals in Figure 4 with distinct edges \(a, b, c\) which give tilings of AVC \(\equiv \{\beta \gamma \delta, \alpha^f\}\).

Proof. For every \(a \in (0, \pi)\) and \(\alpha = \frac{4\pi}{f}\) where \(f \geq 6\), let \(L_\alpha\) denote the lune defined by \(a\) and \(\alpha\). We provide the construction of such \(\theta\)-family of tiles in two cases, \(C\) inside \(L_\alpha\) and \(C\) outside \(L_\alpha\) where in the latter the range of values for \(a\) will be further specified when \(\Box ABCD\) is required to be simple.

Case \((C\) in \(L_\alpha\)). By Proposition 9 there is a \(\theta\)-family of default quadrilaterals with distinct edges \(a, b, c\) and when \(l = \pi - a\), the area is \(\frac{4\pi}{f}\). It remains to discuss the range of \(\theta\).
If $b = a$, then by $l = \pi - a$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}.$$ 

If $c = a$, then by $l = \pi - a$

$$\cos(\alpha - \theta) = \frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}.$$ 

Hence, for $a \in (0, \pi)$, when $|\frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}| > 1$, for any $\theta \in [0, \alpha]\{\frac{\alpha}{2}\}$, there is a quadrilateral $\square ABCD$ with area $\alpha$; when $|\frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}| \leq 1$, for any $\theta \in [0, \alpha]\{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}\right), \alpha - \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}\right)\}$ there is a quadrilateral $\square ABCD$ with area $\alpha$.

**Case** ($C$ outside of $L_\alpha$). This implies one of $\beta, \delta$ has value $> \pi$. Up to symmetry, suppose $\beta > \pi$. By $\beta > \pi$ and we require $\beta \gamma \delta$ being a vertex, then $\gamma < \pi$. As a consequence of $\alpha, \gamma < \pi$, $BD$ is contained in $\square ABCD$ we are about to construct and hence $\triangle ABD$ is contained this $\square ABCD$. Since we require $\square ABCD$ to be simple, if $\pi - a \leq a$, then we have $a > \frac{\pi}{2}$ which implies the area of $\triangle ABD$ is bigger than half of that of $L_\alpha$. That is, the area of $\triangle ABD$ is bigger than $\alpha$, contradicting the area of $\square ABCD$ having to be $\alpha$ and hence the quadrilateral cannot be simple for $a > \pi - a$. So it remains to discuss $\pi - a > a$ which implies $a \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

![Figure 31: Triangles $\triangle ACD$ and $\triangle ACE$](image)

When $\theta \in (0, \pi - a)$, by $\pi - a > a$, Lemma 5.1 implies $\angle D > \frac{\pi}{2}$ in standard triangle $\triangle ACD$. In Figure 31 let $E$ be the intersection point of the geodesic arc inside $\triangle ACD$ at $CD$ of $\triangle ACD$ defined by $A, B$ and $\theta$. Then $AE$ is contained in $\triangle ACD$. Lemma 5.2 implies that $AE$ has length $> a$ and hence $AB$ is contained in $\triangle ACD$. So $\square ABCD$ is contained in $\triangle ACD$ and hence $\square ABCD$ is simple. By Lemma 5.1 $\triangle ABC$ has area $\theta$ and $\triangle ACD$
has area $\alpha + \theta$ when $AC$ has length $\pi - a$ and we obtain a quadrilateral $\square ABCD$ with area $\alpha + \theta - \theta = \alpha$.

When $\theta \in [\pi - \alpha, \pi)$, the complementary angle $2\pi - (\alpha + \theta) < \pi$ and the triangle $\triangle'$ with vertices $A, C, D$, $2\pi - (\alpha + \theta)$ as interior angle and the complementary geodesic arc of $CD$ is standard. Let $E^*$ be the antipodal point of $E$, then $\alpha, \theta < \pi$ implies that $E^*$ is on the complementary geodesic arc of $CD$ and hence is contained on the boundary of $\triangle'$. Meanwhile, $AE^* = \pi - AE$. Lemma 5.2 implies $a < AE^* < \pi - a$. The first inequality implies $AE < \pi - a$ whereas the second implies $a < AE$ and hence we get $a < AE < \pi - a$. So $AB$ is contained in $\triangle ACD$. So $\square ABCD$ is contained in $\triangle ACD$ and hence $\square ABCD$ is simple. Again by Lemma 5.1 $\triangle ABC$ has area $\theta$ and $\triangle ACD$ has area $\alpha + \theta$ when $AC$ has length $\pi - a$ and we obtain a quadrilateral $\square ABCD$ with area $\alpha + \theta - \theta = \alpha$. Now it remains to argue whether we can locate such a $C$ vertex so that $a, b, c$ are distinct.

If $b = a$, then cosine law on $\triangle ABC$ gives
\[
\cos b = \cos a = \cos a \cos(\pi - a) + \sin a \sin(\pi - a) \cos \theta = -\cos^2 a + \sin^2 a \cos \theta,
\]
which implies
\[
\cos \theta = \frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}.
\]

If $c = a$, then cosine law on $\triangle ACD$ gives
\[
\cos c = \cos a = \cos a \cos(\pi - a) + \sin a \sin(\pi - a) \cos(\alpha + \theta) = -\cos^2 a + \sin^2 a \cos(\alpha + \theta),
\]
which implies
\[
\cos(\alpha + \theta) = \frac{\cos a}{1 - \cos a}.
\]

If $b = c$, then combining formulae in the above we get
\[
-\cos^2 a + \sin^2 a \cos \theta = \cos b = \cos c = -\cos^2 a + \sin^2 a \cos(\alpha + \theta)
\]
which, by $\sin^2 a > 0$ for $a \in (0, \pi)$, implies
\[
\cos(\alpha + \theta) = \cos \theta.
\]
Then we have
\[
\alpha + \theta = \pm \theta + 2k\pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
For $f \geq 6$, $0 < \alpha < \pi$, and we consider $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$. When $\theta \geq \pi$, in $\Delta ABC$ and $\Delta ACE$ respectively, we have $BC$ and $CE$ having lengths $\geq \pi$. This implies $BC$ and $CE$ intersects at both of the antipodal points $C, C^*$ so $\square ABCD$ cannot be simple. Then we restrict our scope to $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. As $C$ is outside of $L_\alpha$, we get $\theta = \pi - \frac{\alpha}{2}$.

Then when $|\cos a| > 1$, there is no solution for $\theta$ when $a = b$ and $a = c$ respectively whereas when $|\cos a| \leq 1$ and $\theta \neq \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos a}{1-\cos a}\right)$, we have $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$ respectively. Hence, for $a \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, when $|\cos a| > 1$, for any $\theta \in (0, \pi) \{\pi - \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$, there is a quadrilateral $\square ABCD$ with area $\alpha$; when $|\cos a| \leq 1$, for any $\theta \in (0, \pi) \{\pi - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos a}{1-\cos a}\right), \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos a}{1-\cos a}\right) - \alpha\}$ there is a quadrilateral $\square ABCD$ with area $\alpha$.

5.2 $\text{AVC} = \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha\delta^{f-8/8}, \beta^2\delta^{f-8/8}, \delta^{f}\}$

By $\alpha = \pi - \frac{8\pi}{8}f, \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{8}f, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta = \frac{8\pi}{8}f$ and $f \geq 16$, the quadrilateral is convex and hence it contains $BD$. Then Corollary to Proposition 9 implies $0 < a < \frac{\pi}{2}$. So we have $0 < \cos a < 1$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \frac{4\pi}{8}f$, by $\alpha = \pi - \frac{8\pi}{8}f, \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{8}f, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta = \frac{8\pi}{8}f$, we have

$$\alpha = \pi - 2\mathcal{A}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \mathcal{A}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta = 2\mathcal{A}.$$  

Substituting the above into (5.2), we obtain

$$(-4\sin^5\mathcal{A} + 8\sin^3\mathcal{A} - 4\sin\mathcal{A}) \cos^2 a + (8\sin^5\mathcal{A} - 10\sin^3\mathcal{A} + 2\sin\mathcal{A}) \cos a$$

$$- 4\sin^5\mathcal{A} + 2\sin^3\mathcal{A} + \sin\mathcal{A} = 0,$$

solving which we get

$$\cos a = \frac{4\sin^2\mathcal{A} - \sqrt{5} - 1}{4\sin^2\mathcal{A} - 4}, \quad \frac{4\sin^2\mathcal{A} + \sqrt{5} - 1}{4\sin^2\mathcal{A} - 4},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\cos a = \frac{4\cos^2\mathcal{A} + \sqrt{5} - 3}{4\cos^2\mathcal{A}}, \quad \frac{4\cos^2\mathcal{A} - \sqrt{5} - 3}{4\cos^2\mathcal{A}}.$$
As \( \cos^2 A \leq 1 \),
\[
\frac{4 \cos^2 A - \sqrt{5} - 3}{4 \cos^2 A} = 1 - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} + 3}{\cos^2 A} \right) < 0,
\]
so we have
\[
\cos A = \frac{4 \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 3}{4 \cos^2 A}.
\]
Then by (5.3), we get
\[
\cos b = -\left( \sqrt{5} - 3 \right) \cos^2 A + \frac{\sqrt{5} - 2}{\cos A}.
\]
Then by (5.4), we get
\[
\cos c = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{4 \cos A}.
\]
When \( a = b \), then \( \cos a = \cos b \) which implies one of the following,
\[
\frac{4 \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 3}{4 \cos^2 A} = -\left( \sqrt{5} - 3 \right) \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 2 \cos A.
\]
Since \( 0 < A < \frac{\pi}{2} \), we have \( 0 < \cos A < 1 \). Solving the above equations, the real solutions to \( A \) can only be
\[
\frac{4\pi}{f} = A = 1.88495695649716,
\]
which implies
\[
f = 6.6666661841292876.
\]
When \( a = c \), then \( \cos a = \cos c \) which implies one of the following,
\[
\frac{4 \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 3}{4 \cos^2 A} = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{4 \cos A}.
\]
Since \( 0 < A < \frac{\pi}{2} \), we have \( 0 < \cos A < 1 \). Solving the above equations, taking the positive solution to \( \cos A \), the real solutions to \( A \) can only be
\[
\frac{4\pi}{f} = A = 0.9045568943023813,
\]
which implies

\[ f = 13.89229433053042, \]

which is not a valid value for \( f \).

When \( b = c \), then \( \cos b = \cos c \) which implies one of the following,

\[ -\frac{(\sqrt{5} - 3) \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 2}{\cos A} = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{4 \cos A}. \]

Since \( \cos A > 0 \), solving the above we get

\[ \frac{4\pi}{f} = A = \frac{2\pi}{5}, \]

which implies

\[ f = 10. \]

For every \( f \geq 16 \), as \( A = \frac{4\pi}{f} \), the following give solutions to distinct \( a, b, c \),

\[ a = \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{4 \sin^2 \frac{4\pi}{f} - \sqrt{5} - 1}{4 \sin^2 \frac{4\pi}{f} - 4} \right), \]

\[ b = \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{-\frac{(\sqrt{5} - 3) \cos^2 \frac{4\pi}{f} + \sqrt{5} - 2}{\cos \frac{4\pi}{f}}}{\cos \frac{4\pi}{f}} \right), \]

\[ c = \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{4 \cos \frac{4\pi}{f}} \right). \]

Lastly we substitute \( \cos a, \cos b, \cos c \) by the above and check whether the above solutions satisfy \( 5.1 \) which is equivalent to \( 5.5 \). Indeed we check the solutions with \( 5.5 \).

Let

\[ M := Z(\pi - \beta)Y(a)Z(\pi - \alpha)Y(a)Z(\pi - \delta) - Y^t(b)Z^t(\pi - \gamma)Y^t(c). \]

It is obvious that the \( M[2, 2] = 0 \) and we also get \( M[1, 2], M[2, 1], M[3, 3] = 0 \) and

\[ M[1, 3] = \frac{(2\sqrt{5} - 6) \sin a \cos A \sin A + (\sqrt{5} - 1) \sin b}{4 \cos A}, \]

\[ M[2, 3] = \frac{(\sqrt{5} + 1) \sin a \cos A}{2} - \sin c. \]
Multiply $M[1, 3]$ by $\frac{(2\sqrt{5}-6) \sin a \cos A \sin A - (\sqrt{5}-1) \sin b}{4 \cos A}$, we get
\[
\frac{(2\sqrt{5}-6)^2 \sin^2 a \cos^2 A \sin^2 A - (\sqrt{5}-1)^2 \sin^2 b}{16 \cos^2 A} = 0
\]
when substituting $\sin^2 A = 1 - \cos^2 A$, $\sin^2 a = 1 - \cos^2 a = 1 - \left(\frac{4 \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 3}{4 \cos^2 A}\right)^2$, and
\[
\sin^2 b = 1 - \cos^2 b = 1 - \left(\frac{-(\sqrt{5}-3) \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 2}{\cos A}\right)^2.
\]
Meanwhile, if $(2\sqrt{5}-6) \sin a \cos A \sin A - (\sqrt{5}-1) \sin b = 0$, we have
\[
(2\sqrt{5}-6) \sin a \cos A \sin A = (\sqrt{5}-1) \sin b.
\]
However, $\sin a, \cos A, \sin A, (\sqrt{5}-1), \sin b > 0$ but $(2\sqrt{5}-6) < 0$, which implies the LHS $< 0$ while the RHS $> 0$, a contradiction. So $\frac{(2\sqrt{5}-6) \sin a \cos A \sin A - (\sqrt{5}-1) \sin b}{4 \cos A} \neq 0$ which implies $M[1, 3] = 0$. As a consequence,
\[
\sin b = (\sqrt{5}-1) \sin a \cos A \sin A.
\]

Multiply $M[2, 3]$ by $\frac{(\sqrt{5}+1) \sin a \cos A}{2} + \sin c$, we get
\[
\frac{(\sqrt{5}+1)^2 \sin^2 a \cos^2 A}{4} - \sin^2 c = 0
\]
when substituting $\sin^2 a = 1 - \cos^2 a = 1 - \left(\frac{4 \cos^2 A + \sqrt{5} - 3}{4 \cos^2 A}\right)^2$, and $\sin^2 c = 1 - \cos^2 c = 1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{4 \cos A}\right)^2$. Meanwhile, by $\sqrt{5}+1, \sin a, \cos A, \sin c > 0$, then $\frac{(\sqrt{5}+1) \sin a \cos A}{2} + \sin c > 0$ which implies $M[2, 3] = 0$. As a consequence,
\[
\sin c = \frac{(\sqrt{5}+1) \sin a \cos A}{2}.
\]

By $\sin b = (\sqrt{5}-1) \sin a \cos A \sin A, \sin c = \frac{(\sqrt{5}+1) \sin a \cos A}{2}$ and $\cos^2 A = 1 - \sin^2 A$, we get
\[
M[1, 1] = \sin b \sin c + \frac{4(\sqrt{5}-3) \sin^3 A + (5-\sqrt{5}) \sin A}{4 \sin^2 A - 4} = 0.
\]
By the same token, we have $M[3, 1] = M[3, 2] = 0$. Hence the solutions satisfy (5.1) and the quadrilateral indeed exists.
5.3 $\text{AVC} \supset \{\alpha^3, \beta^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4\}$

The discussion here includes $\text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha^3, \beta^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4\}$ in Figure 15, Figure 17, and $\text{AVC} \equiv \{\alpha^3, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \delta^2, \gamma^4\}$ in Figure 16. By $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta + \delta = \pi, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the quadrilateral is convex and hence it contains $BD$. Then Corollary to Proposition 9 implies $0 < a < \frac{\pi}{2}$. So we have $0 < \cos a < 1$.

Substituting $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \beta = \pi - \delta, \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$ into (5.2), we have

$$-\frac{3 \sin^2 \delta \cos^2 a}{2} + \sin^2 \delta - \frac{\cos^2 \delta}{2} = 0.$$

by $0 < \cos a < 1$, solving the above equation we get

$$\cos a = \frac{\sqrt{3 \sin^2 \delta - 1}}{\sqrt{3 \sin \delta}}.$$

For $a \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $\cos a > 0$ so we must have $3 \sin^2 \delta - 1 > 0$, that is $\sin^2 \delta > \frac{1}{3}$. Then $\cos^2 \delta < \frac{2}{3}$.

Next by (5.3), we get

$$\cos b = \frac{\sqrt{3 \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta}}{2 \sin \delta}.$$

For $b \in (0, \pi)$, likewise we have $\sin^2 \delta > \frac{1}{3}$ and $\cos^2 \delta < \frac{2}{3}$.

Next by (5.4), we get

$$\cos c = \frac{\sqrt{3 \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta}}{2 \sin \delta}.$$

For $c \in (0, \pi)$, likewise we have $\sin^2 \delta > \frac{1}{3}$ and $\cos^2 \delta < \frac{2}{3}$.

The geometric existence of the tile requires $M = 0$, in particular $M[1, 1] = 0$. By the above, we have $M[1, 1]$ as follows,

$$M[1, 1] = \frac{2 \cos^2 \delta \sin b \sin c - 2 \sin b \sin c - 2 \cos \delta^2 + 1}{2(\cos \delta - 1)(\cos \delta + 1)} = 0,$$

which implies $\sin b \sin c = \frac{2 \cos^2 \delta - 1}{2(\cos^2 \delta - 1)}$. Since $\sin b \sin c > 0$ and $2(\cos^2 \delta - 1) < 0$, we get $\cos^2 \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ which implies $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \cos \delta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and hence we have $\frac{\pi}{4} < \delta < \frac{3\pi}{4}$.
When $a = b$, then $\cos a = \cos b$ which implies one of the following,

$$\frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1}{\sqrt{3} \sin \delta} = \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta}.$$ 

The solutions to the above equations are

$$\sin \delta = \pm \frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6 - 3^2}}}.$$ 

As $\delta \in (0, \pi)$, we have $\sin \delta > 0$ so that

$$\delta = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6 - 3^2}}} \right), \ \pi - \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6 - 3^2}}} \right)$$

$$= 0.432221997677038\pi, \ 0.5677778002322962\pi.$$ 

When $a = c$, then $\cos a = \cos c$ which implies one of the following,

$$\frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1}{\sqrt{3} \sin \delta} = \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta}.$$ 

The solutions to the above equations are

$$\sin \delta = \pm \frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6 - 3^2}}}.$$ 

As $\delta \in (0, \pi)$, we have $\sin \delta > 0$ so that

$$\delta = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6 - 3^2}}} \right), \ \pi - \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6 - 3^2}}} \right)$$

$$= 0.432221997677038\pi, \ 0.5677778002322962\pi.$$ 

When $b = c$, then $\cos b = \cos c$ which implies one of the following,

$$\frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta} = \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta}.$$ 

Solving the above equations, we get

$$\cos \delta = 0,$$
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then we get \( \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} = \beta \). Hence for \( f = 24 \), the following give solutions to

\[
\begin{align*}
    a &= \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1}{\sqrt{3} \sin \delta} \right), \\
    b &= \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta} \right), \\
    c &= \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

when \( \delta \in (\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}) \) such that

\[
\delta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 2\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{6} - 3\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad \pi - \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 2\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{6} - 3\sqrt{2}} \right).
\]

In AVC \( \equiv \{\alpha^3, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta\delta^2, \gamma^4\} \), we have \( \alpha = \beta = \frac{2\pi}{3} \) and \( \delta = \frac{\pi}{3} \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos a &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}, \quad \cos b = \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad \cos c = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2\sqrt{3}},
\end{align*}
\]

which implies

\[
\begin{align*}
    a &= \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right), \\
    b &= \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2\sqrt{3}} \right), \\
    c &= \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2\sqrt{3}} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Lastly we check whether the \( \cos a = \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1}{\sqrt{3} \sin \delta}, \cos b = \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta}, \cos c = \frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta} \) satisfy (5.5). It is obvious that the \( M[2, 2] = 0 \) and we also get \( M[1, 2], M[2, 1], M[3, 3] = 0 \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
M[2, 3] &= \frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta + \sqrt{3} \cos \delta) \sin a}{2} - \sin c, \\
M[3, 2] &= \frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta - \sqrt{3} \cos \delta) \sin a}{2} - \sin b.
\end{align*}
\]
Multiple $M[3, 2]$ by $\frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta - \sqrt{3} \cos \delta) \sin a}{2} + \sin b$, we get

$$\frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta - \sqrt{3} \cos \delta)^2 \sin^2 a}{4} - \sin^2 b = 0$$

when substituting $\sin^2 \delta = 1 - \cos^2 \delta$, $\sin^2 a = 1 - \cos^2 a = 1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1}{\sqrt{3} \sin \delta}\right)^2$,

$$\sin^2 b = 1 - \cos^2 b = 1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta}\right)^2.$$ Meanwhile,

$$3 \cos a \sin \delta - \sqrt{3} \cos \delta = \sqrt{3} \left(\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta\right).$$

When $\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta \leq 0$, we get $\cos^2 \delta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, contradicting $\cos^2 \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. So $\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta > 0$ and $\cos c > 0$. Combining with $\sin a$, $\sin b > 0$, we have $\frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta - \sqrt{3} \cos \delta) \sin a}{2} + \sin b > 0$, which implies $M[3, 2] = 0$. As a consequence,

$$\sin b = \frac{\sqrt{3}(\sqrt{2} - 3 \cos^2 \delta - \cos \delta) \sin a}{2}.$$

Multiple $M[2, 3]$ by $\frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta + \sqrt{3} \cos \delta) \sin a}{2} + \sin c$, we get

$$\frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta + \sqrt{3} \cos \delta)^2 \sin^2 a}{4} - \sin^2 c = 0$$

when substituting $\sin^2 \delta = 1 - \cos^2 \delta$, $\sin^2 a = 1 - \cos^2 a = 1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1}{\sqrt{3} \sin \delta}\right)^2$,

$$\sin^2 c = 1 - \cos^2 c = 1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 - \cos \delta}{2 \sin \delta}\right)^2.$$ Meanwhile,

$$3 \cos a \sin \delta + \sqrt{3} \cos \delta = \sqrt{3} (\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta).$$

When $\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta \leq 0$, we have $\sqrt{2} - 3 \cos^2 \delta \leq \cos(\pi - \delta)$ which implies $\cos^2 \delta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, contradicting $\cos^2 \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. So $\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \delta - 1 + \cos \delta > 0$ and $\cos b > 0$. Combining with $\sin a$, $\sin c > 0$, we have $\frac{(3 \cos a \sin \delta + \sqrt{3} \cos \delta) \sin a}{2} + \sin c > 0$, which implies $M[2, 3] = 0$. As a consequence,

$$\sin c = \frac{\sqrt{3}(\sqrt{2} - 3 \cos^2 \delta + \cos \delta) \sin a}{2}.$$

By the solutions to $\cos a$, $\cos b$, $\cos c$ and solutions to $\sin b$, $\sin c$, we get $M[1, 1] = M[1, 3] = M[3, 1] = 0$. Hence the solutions satisfy (5.1) and the quadrilateral indeed exists.
6 Symmetries

We conclude our main theorem by presenting the symmetry group of each tiling. It is well known that the symmetry group of each tiling is a discrete subgroup of $O(3)$. What we need to do is simply to determine which discrete subgroup it is for each tiling. To do so we follow the decision tree on p313 of Cromwell [10]. Before applying the decision tree, we first establish some general facts about the reflectional and rotational symmetries which will be shown to be closely related the all the tiling vertices, namely $\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^{\frac{7}{4}}, \delta^{\frac{3}{4}}, \alpha\delta^{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}}, \beta^2\delta^{\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}}$.

Reflectional symmetries of the tilings can only occur when their mirror planes do not cut through the interior of the tile since it does not have any reflectional symmetry. In other words, the mirror plane of any reflectional symmetry must cut along some edges and bisect the angle combinations at the end point vertices of each edge. We call a path vertex bisecting path if it consists of edges bisecting vertices and if such path is also a cycle we call it a vertex bisecting cycle. Then a mirror plane must contain vertex bisecting cycle. Only $\gamma^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \alpha^{\frac{7}{4}}, \beta^2\delta^{\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}}, \delta^{\frac{3}{4}}$ can be on a vertex bisecting path or a vertex bisecting cycle.

Rotational symmetries of the tilings can only occur when their axes do not pass through the interior of the tile since it does not have any rotational symmetry. In other words, the axis of any rotational symmetry passes through the midpoint of some edge or some vertex. Moreover, $n$-fold rotations, where $n \geq 3$, can only happen at a vertex. Meanwhile, by the AAD the vertices $\alpha\beta^2, \beta\gamma\delta, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^{\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}}, \alpha\delta^{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}}$ do not have rotational symmetry. Hence if a rotational axis passes through a vertex, then it must be one of $\alpha^3, \gamma^4, \alpha^{\frac{7}{4}}, \delta^{\frac{3}{4}}$.

Case (AVC $\equiv \{\beta\gamma\delta, \alpha^{\frac{7}{4}}\}$). There are 2-fold symmetry axes between the midpoints of $b$-edges and their antipodal points, likewise for $c$-edges. There is also a $\frac{7}{4}$-fold ($\frac{7}{4} > 2$) rotational symmetry with axis through the $\alpha^{\frac{7}{4}}$-pair and it is the only $n$-fold rotation symmetry with $n > 2$. Since every $\alpha^{\frac{7}{4}}$ is adjacent to some $\beta\gamma\delta$, there is no vertex bisecting cycle containing $\alpha^{\frac{7}{4}}$. Then there is no mirror plane. Hence the symmetry group is $D_{\frac{7}{4}}$.

Case (AVC $\equiv \{\alpha^3, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4\}$). Since the tiling is a quadrilateral subdivision of the cube (or octahedron), there are more than one 3-fold axes through the origin and the antipodal $\alpha^3$-vertices. The rotational axis of every $\gamma^4$ is at
most 2-fold. So there is no 4, 5-fold axis. Meanwhile, the origin is a point of inversion. Hence the symmetry group is $T_h$.

**Case** (AVC $\equiv \{\alpha^3, \alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4\}$). Since the tiling is a quadrilateral subdivision of the triangular prism, there are one 3-fold axis and three 2-fold axes. The 3-fold axis passes through the origin and the pair of $\alpha^3$. Each of the 2-fold axes passes through a midpoint of the common edge (a-edge) between the pair of tiles in the middle of the side face of the prism and the midpoint of the middle side edge (a-edge) on the opposite side.

Since every $\alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2$ is adjacent to some $\gamma^4$ along a b-edge or a c-edge, it suffices to show that there is no vertex bisecting cycle containing $\gamma^4$. Up to the rotational symmetry in Figure 16, it suffices to discuss one $\gamma^4$, for instance $\gamma^4$ at $T_1, T_4, T_9, T_{10}$. The vertex bisecting path of $\gamma^4$ along b-edges terminates before $\alpha\beta^2$ and the vertex bisecting path along c-edges terminates at the neighbours of $\alpha^2\delta^2 (\beta^2\delta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \alpha\beta^2)$. Then there is no vertex bisecting cycle containing any of $\alpha^2\delta^2, \beta^2\delta^2, \gamma^4$. So there is no mirror plane and hence the symmetry group is $D_3$ (the rotational group of the triangular prism).

**Case** (AVC $\equiv \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^8\}$). Since $f$ is even, we have $f \equiv 0 \mod 8$. There is a $\frac{f}{8}$-fold rotational symmetry with axis through the $\delta^8$-pair. For $f \geq 24$, this is the only $n$-fold rotational symmetry with $n > 2$. Meanwhile, as $\frac{f}{4}$ is even, viewing the tiling as a collection of time zones in Figure 13, there is a 2-fold rotational symmetry with axis through the midpoint of the time zones at the a-edge between the $\alpha\beta^2$-pair and its antipodal point (also at the a-edge between the $\alpha\beta^2$-pair counterpart).

Up to symmetry, every vertex bisecting cycle cutting through both $\delta^8$ and the $\alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4$ in between corresponds to a mirror plane of reflectional symmetry. For example, a vertex bisecting cycle is given by a vertex sequence $\delta^8, \gamma^4, \alpha^2\delta^2, \delta^8, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4$ in the first picture of Figure 12 and a vertex sequence $\delta^8, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \delta^8, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4$ in the second picture of Figure 12. However, there is no mirror plane perpendicular to $\frac{f}{8}$-fold rotational axis. So for $f \geq 16$, the symmetry group is $D_{\frac{f}{8}}^v$.

**Case** (AVC $\equiv \{\alpha\beta^2, \alpha^2\delta^2, \gamma^4, \beta^2\delta^{\frac{f-8}{8}}, \alpha\delta^{\frac{f+8}{8}}\}$). In Figure 23 we can see that there is an axis of 2-fold rotational symmetry through the midpoint of the a-edge between $T_{11}, T_{10}$. This axis is indeed the only axis of rotational symmetry. It is because any rotation either fixes $\beta^2\delta^{\frac{f-8}{8}}, \alpha\delta^{\frac{f+8}{8}}$, which is not
possible by the AAD of these vertices, or moves one pair of $\beta^2 \delta \frac{f-8}{s}, \alpha \delta \frac{f+8}{s}$ to their counterpart, which has the same image as the 2-fold rotation.

Since every $\alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \delta \frac{f-8}{s}$ is adjacent to some $\gamma^4$ along a $b$-edge or a $c$-edge, it suffices to show that there is no vertex bisecting cycle containing $\gamma^4$. The vertex bisecting path of $\gamma^4$ along $b$-edges terminates before $\alpha \beta^2$ and the vertex bisecting path along $c$-edges terminates before $\alpha \delta \frac{f+8}{s}$. Then there is no vertex bisecting cycle containing any of $\alpha^2 \delta^2, \beta^2 \delta \frac{f-8}{s}, \gamma^4$. So there is no mirror plane.

Since the rotational symmetry is unique, a reflection in the plane perpendicular to the axis sends its end point, the midpoint of the $\alpha \beta^2$-pair and the midpoint of $\alpha^2 \delta^2$-pair to each other. No rotation about the same axis preceding or succeeding the reflection is a symmetry. So there is no rotation-reflection. Hence the symmetry group is $C_2$.

**Case** ($AVC \equiv \{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta \frac{f+8}{s}\}$). From the AVC, there is no $n$-fold rotations with $n > 2$. The regions $R_1, R_2$ in the tiling in Figure 21 can be expressed in as the two disks in Figure 32. The 2-fold rotation with axis passing through the midpoint of the $a$-edge between $T_1, T_2$ is indicated by circles in Figure 32 on the boundary of two disks. Since $\frac{f-8}{s}, \frac{f+8}{s}$ are even, there is another 2-fold rotation with axis passing through the midpoint of $a$-edge, indicated as a dot in Figure 32 between the $\alpha \beta^2$-pair at the centre of each disk.

![Figure 32: The 2-fold Rotations of the Tiling of AVC \(\equiv \{\alpha \beta^2, \gamma^4, \alpha^2 \delta^2, \alpha \delta \frac{f+8}{s}\}\).](image)

Since every $\alpha^2 \delta^2$ is adjacent to some $\gamma^4$ along a $c$-edge, it suffices to show that there is no vertex bisecting cycle containing $\gamma^4$. The vertex bisecting path of $\gamma^4$ along $b$-edges terminates before $\alpha \beta^2$ and the vertex bisecting path
along $c$-edges terminates before $\alpha \delta \frac{f_8}{8}$. Then there is no vertex bisecting cycle containing any of $\alpha^2 \delta^2$, $\gamma^4$. So there is no mirror plane and hence the symmetry group is $D_2$.
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