AXES OF JORDAN TYPE IN NON-COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

LOUIS ROWEN    YOAV SEGEV

Abstract. The Peirce decomposition of a Jordan algebra with respect to an idempotent is well known. This decomposition was taken one step further and generalized recently by Hall, Rehren and Shpectorov, with their introduction of axial algebras, and in particular primitive axial algebras of Jordan type (PJs for short). It turns out that these notions are closely related to 3-transposition groups and vertex operator algebras. De Medts, Peacock, Shpectorov, and M. Van Couwenbergh generalized axial algebras to decomposition algebras which, in particular, are not necessarily commutative. This paper deals with decomposition algebras which are non-commutative versions of PJs.

1. Introduction

Axial algebras were introduced recently by Hall, Rehren and Shpectorov in [HRS]. These algebras are of interest because of their connection with group theory and with vertex operator algebras. We refer the reader to the introduction of [HRS] for further information. This notion was put in the more general (non-commutative) framework of decomposition algebras in [DPSC, Definitions 4.1 and 5.1].

This paper studies a certain class of decomposition algebras, extending the notion of “primitive axial algebras of Jordan type” (see [HRS]) to the non-commutative setting. Thus in this paper \( A \) is an algebra not necessarily associative or commutative, not necessarily with a multiplicative unit element, over a field \( F \) with \( \text{char}(F) \neq 2 \), unless stated otherwise. As in [HRS] we are interested in such algebras generated by certain kind of axes. These are idempotents, as defined in Definition 1.2. As in [Sa] and [HRS], most of our efforts are devoted to classifying our 2-generated algebras. See Theorem A and B below.

An additional underlying motivation in studying axes is to understand the “reason” that a primitive axial algebra of Jordan type \( \eta \neq 1/2 \), which
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is generated (as an algebra) by a finite set of $m$ axes, is finite dimensional. This fact follows from the classification of finite simple groups, since such algebras, in the commutative setting, come from 3-transposition groups. For $\eta = 1/2$ and $m > 3$, it is not known whether this is true or not. But this goal may lie in the not-so-near future. This will be further pursued in \[RS\].

**Definitions 1.1.**

1. The algebra $A$ is **flexible** if it satisfies the identity $(xy)x = x(yx)$. Note that commutative algebras are flexible. Indeed

   $$(xy)x = (yx)x = x(yx).$$

2. We often denote multiplication $x \cdot y$ in $A$ by juxtaposition: $xy$.
3. The commutative center of $A$ is denoted $Z(A)$ and defined as

   $$Z(A) = \{x \in A \mid xy = yx, \text{ for all } y \in A\}.$$

4. We define left and right multiplication maps $L_a(b) := a \cdot b$ and $R_a(b) := b \cdot a$.
5. We write $A_{\lambda}(X_a)$ for the eigenspace of $\lambda \in F$ with respect to the transformation $X_a$, $X \in \{L, R\}$, i.e., $A_{\lambda}(L_a) = \{v \in A : a \cdot v = \lambda v\}$, and similarly for $A_{\lambda}(R_a)$. **Often we just write** $A_{\lambda}$ **for** $A_{\lambda}(L_a)$, **when** $a$ **is understood.**
6. We denote:

   $$A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) := A_{\lambda}(L_a) \cap A_{\delta}(R_a).$$

   An element in $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ will be called a $(\lambda, \delta)$-eigenvector of $a$, and $(\lambda, \delta)$ will be called its **eigenvalue**. **We just write** $A_{\lambda, \delta}$ **when** the idempotent $a$ **is understood.**

**Definition 1.2.** Let $a \in A$ be an idempotent, and $\lambda, \delta \not\in \{0, 1\}$ in $F$.

1. **a is a left axis of type $\lambda$** if
   
   (a) $a$ is absolutely left primitive, that is, $A_1(L_a) = Fa$.
   
   (b) $(L_a - \lambda)(L_a - 1)L_a = 0$.
   
   (c) The direct sum decomposition of $A$ into eigenspaces of $L_a$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading:

   $$A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_\lambda,$$

   recalling that $A_\lambda$ means $A_{\lambda}(L_a)$.

2. A **right axis of type $\lambda$** is defined similarly.

3. **a is an axis (2-sided) of type $(\lambda, \delta)$** if $a$ is a left axis of type $\lambda$ and a right axis of type $\delta$ and, in addition, $L_aR_a = R_aL_a$. Thus $A_{1,1} = Fa = A_1$; in particular $A_{1,\delta} = A_{\lambda,1} = 0$. Hence $A$ decomposes into a direct sum

   $$A = A_{1,1} \oplus A_{0,0} \oplus A_{0,\delta} \oplus A_{\lambda,0} \oplus A_{\lambda,\delta},$$

   and this is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ grading of $A$ (multiplication $(\epsilon, \epsilon')(\rho, \rho')$ is defined in the obvious way for $\epsilon, \epsilon', \rho, \rho' \in \{+, -\}$),
(4) The axis \( a \) is of Jordan type \((\lambda, \delta)\) if \( A_{\lambda,0} = A_{0,\lambda} = 0 \). In this case,

\[
A = A_{1,1} \oplus A_{0,0} \oplus A_{\lambda,\delta},
\]

is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading of \( A \).

See Examples 2.6 for non-commutative algebras generated by two axes of Jordan type.

Note that besides being non-commutative, Definition 1.2(1c) does not require the condition that \( A_0 \) be a subalgebra, contrary to the usual hypothesis in the theory of primitive axial algebras of Jordan type. But this condition does not seem to pertain to any of the proofs.

We can now state our main results.

**Theorem A** (Theorem 2.5). Suppose that \( \dim(A) = 2 \) and \( A \) is generated by two axes \( a \) and \( b \). Then either \( A \) is one of the commutative algebras described in [HSS] Lemma 3.1.2, p. 269, or \( A \) is the algebra of Example 2.6(i). In particular, \( A \) is flexible.

**Theorem B** (Theorem 2.13). Suppose \( A \) is generated by two axes of Jordan type. Then either \( A \) is a primitive axial algebra of Jordan type as in [HRS] (in particular \( A \) is commutative), or \( A \) is as in Examples 2.6. In particular \( \dim(A) \leq 3 \), and \( A \) is flexible.

This extends the characterization of 2-generated primitive axial algebras of Jordan type in [HRS]. We note also that although our fusion rules do not require that \( A_0(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a) \), for an axis \( a \), this holds if \( A \) is generated by two axes of Jordan type (see Corollary 2.11).

## 2. Algebras generated by two axes

In this section we give some basic properties of axes. In Theorem 2.5 we classify the algebras of dimension 2 generated by two axes and in Theorem 2.13 we classify the algebras generated by two axes of Jordan type.

**Notation 2.1.** Let \( a \) be an axis of type \((\lambda, \delta)\). Given \( y \in A \), we write \( y = \alpha_y a + y_0 + y_\lambda \), where \( y_\rho \in A_\rho(L_a) \), \( \rho \in \{0, \lambda\} \), and \( \alpha \in F \). In this notation, \( y_0 = y_{0,0} + y_{0,\delta} \), and \( y_\lambda = y_{\lambda,0} + y_{\lambda,\delta} \). If \( a \) is of Jordan type, then \( y_0 = y_{0,0} \) and \( y_\lambda = y_{\lambda,\delta} \).

Similarly, we write \( y = \alpha_y a + 0y + \delta y \), where \( \delta y \in A_\rho(R_a) \).

**Remark 2.2.** If \( a \in A \) is an axis such that \( A = A_{1,1} + A_{0,0} \), then our convention is that \( a \) is an axis of Jordan type \((\lambda, \lambda)\), for any \( \lambda \in F \), with \( \lambda \notin \{0, 1\} \).

Here are some basic observations.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose \( a \) is an axis of type \((\lambda, \delta)\), and let \( y \in A \).
(1) $a(Fa + A_0) = Fa$.
(2) $ay = \alpha_y a + \lambda y\lambda$, implying $y\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda}(ay - \alpha_y a)$.
(3) $a(ay) = \alpha_y (1 - \lambda)a + \lambda ay$.
(4) If $a$ is of Jordan type, then $ya \in Fa + Fay$.
(5) An axis $a$ of Jordan type $(\lambda, \delta)$ is in $Z(A)$, iff $\lambda = \delta$.

**Proof.** (1) This is obvious.
(2) Apply $L_a$ to $y$ (and use Notation 2.1).
(3) $a(ay) = \alpha_y a + \lambda^2 y\lambda = \alpha_y a + \lambda (ay - \alpha_y a)$, yielding (3).
(4) By (2), $y\lambda, \delta \in Fa + Fay$, and $ya = \alpha_y a + \delta y\lambda, \delta$.
(5) Suppose that $a \in Z(A)$. If $A_{\lambda, \delta} = 0$, then, by Remark 2.2, $\lambda = \delta$. Otherwise let $0 \neq x\lambda, \delta \in A_{\lambda, \delta}$. Then $\lambda x = ax = xa = \delta x$, so $\lambda = \delta$.
Conversely, for $y \in A$. Then $ay = \alpha a + \lambda x\lambda = xa$, so $a \in Z(A)$. □

**Lemma 2.4.**

(i) $ab \notin Fa$ or $ab = 0$, for any idempotent $a$ and a right axis $b$.
(ii) $ba \notin Fa$ or $ba = 0$, for any idempotent $a$ and a left axis $b$.
(iii) For any left axis $a$ of type $\lambda$ and any right axis $b$, either $ab = 0$ or $b\lambda \neq 0$.
(iv) If $a$ is of Jordan type $(\lambda, \delta)$ with $\lambda \neq \delta$, and $b$ is an axis, then $b^2_{\lambda, \delta} = 0$.

**Proof.** (i) & (ii) If $ab = \gamma a$, then $a$ is an eigenvector of $R_b$, with eigenvalue $\gamma$. If $\gamma = 1$, then $b$ is not absolutely primitive, a contradiction. Otherwise, by the fusion rules for $b$, $a = a^2 \in Fb + A_0(b)$. But then $ab \in Fb$. This together with $ab \in Fa$, forces $ab = 0$. The proof of part (ii) is the same.
(iii) If $b\lambda = 0$, then $ab = \alpha b a$, so we are done by (i).
(iv) Recall that $L_b R_b = R_b L_b$. We compute $b(ab) = (ba)b$.

$$b(ab) = b(\alpha b a + \lambda b\lambda, \delta) = \alpha b a + \lambda b\lambda, \delta$$

$$= \alpha b(\alpha b a + \delta b\lambda, \delta) + \lambda(b\lambda, \delta + (b_0 + \alpha b a))b\lambda, \delta$$

$$= \alpha^2 b a + \lambda b^2\lambda, \delta + \alpha b(\lambda^2 + \delta) b\lambda, \delta + \lambda b\lambda, \delta + \lambda b\lambda, \delta.$$ 

On the other hand,

$$(ba)b = (\alpha b a + \delta b\lambda, \delta) b = \alpha b a + \delta b\lambda, \delta b$$

$$= \alpha b(\alpha b a + \lambda b\lambda, \delta) + \delta b\lambda, \delta (\alpha b a + b_0 + b\lambda, \delta)$$

$$= \alpha^2 b a + \delta b^2\lambda, \delta + \alpha b(\lambda + \delta^2) b\lambda, \delta + \delta b\lambda, \delta b_0,$$

so matching parts in $A_0 + Fa$ shows $\alpha^2 b a + \lambda b^2\lambda, \delta = \alpha^2 b a + \delta b^2\lambda, \delta$, and thus $b\lambda, \delta = 0$. □

**Theorem 2.5.** Suppose that dim$(A) = 2$ and $A$ is generated by two axes $a$ and $b$. Then either $A$ is one of the algebras described in [HSS], Lemma 3.1.2, p. 269] (in particular $A$ commutative) or $A$ is the non-commutative flexible algebra of Example 2.7(i).

**Proof.** Let $a$ be of type $(\lambda, \delta)$ and $b$ be of type $(\lambda', \delta')$. Assume first that $A_\lambda(L_a) = 0$. Then $ab = \alpha b a$, hence $ab = 0$, by Lemma 2.4(i).
Suppose $A_\delta(R_a) \neq 0$. Write $b = \alpha_b a + b_\delta$, with $b_\delta \in A_\delta(R_a)$. Then, an easy computation shows that $ba = \alpha_b (1 - \delta)a + \delta b$. Then, by the fusion rules

$$Fa \ni b_\delta^2 = b - \alpha_b ba + \alpha_b^2 a = b - \delta b + \gamma a, \gamma \in F.$$ 

Since $\delta \neq 1$, this is impossible. Hence, as above $ba = 0$, and $A$ is the algebra $2B$ of $[HRS]$. Thus we may assume that $A_\lambda(L_a) \neq 0$, and similarly $A_\delta(R_a) \neq 0$. Thus, as $A$ is 2-dimensional, $A_0(L_a) = A_0(R_a) = 0$, and $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \neq 0$. By symmetry the same holds for $b$.

Write

$$b = \alpha_b a + b_{\lambda,\delta}, \quad a = \alpha_a b + a_{\lambda',\delta'}.$$ 

Then (also by symmetry)

$$b_{\lambda,\delta} = -\alpha_b a + b, \quad a_{\lambda',\delta'} = a - \alpha_a b,$$

$$ab = \alpha_b (1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b = \delta' a + \alpha_a (1 - \delta') b,$$

$$ba = \alpha_a (1 - \lambda')b + \lambda' a = \delta b + \alpha_b (1 - \delta)a.$$ 

We conclude, also using symmetry, that

$$ab = \delta' a + \lambda b$$
$$ba = \lambda' a + \delta b$$
$$\alpha_b = \frac{\delta'}{\lambda + \delta} = \frac{\lambda'}{1 - \lambda}$$
$$\alpha_a = \frac{\delta}{1 - \lambda'} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda' + \delta'}.$$ 

Hence

$$\lambda \lambda' - \delta \delta' = \lambda' - \delta' = \lambda - \delta.$$ 

Now

$$Fa \ni b_{\lambda,\delta}^2 = (-\alpha_b a + b)^2 = \alpha_b^2 a - \alpha_b (ab + ba) + b = \alpha_b^2 a - \alpha_b (\lambda' + \delta') a - \alpha_b (\lambda + \delta) b + b.$$ 

Hence,

$$\alpha_b = \frac{1}{\lambda + \delta} \implies \frac{\lambda'}{1 - \delta} = \frac{1}{\lambda + \delta} \implies$$

$$\lambda \lambda' + \lambda' \delta = 1 - \delta, \quad \text{and by symmetry}$$

$$\lambda \lambda' + \lambda' \delta = 1 - \delta'.$$ 

Also we have

$$Fb \ni (a - \alpha_a b)^2 = a - \alpha_a (\lambda' + \delta') a - \alpha_a (\lambda + \delta) b + \alpha_a^2 b.$$ 

Hence

$$\alpha_a = \frac{1}{\lambda' + \delta'} = \frac{\delta}{1 - \lambda'}.$$ 

So

$$\lambda' \delta + \delta \delta' = 1 - \lambda', \quad \text{and by symmetry}$$

$$\lambda \delta' + \delta \delta' = 1 - \lambda.$$
We thus have the following equalities

\( \lambda' - \delta' = \lambda - \delta' \). \hspace{1cm} (2.1)
\( \lambda' - \delta' = \lambda - \delta \). \hspace{1cm} (2.2)
\( \lambda' + \lambda' \delta = 1 - \delta \). \hspace{1cm} (2.3)
\( \lambda' \delta + \lambda' \delta' = 1 - \lambda' \). \hspace{1cm} (2.4)
\( \lambda \delta' + \delta \delta' = 1 - \lambda \). \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

Adding equation (2.1) to equation (2.5) gives
\( \lambda' + \lambda' \delta = 1 - \delta \). This and equation (2.3) gives \( \delta = \delta' \). Then, equations (2.1) and (2.2) give \( \lambda = \lambda' \).

Finally, equation (2.1) gives
\( \lambda^2 - \delta^2 = \lambda - \delta \), thus either \( \lambda = \delta \), or \( \lambda + \delta = 1 \).

If \( \lambda = \delta \), then equation (2.3) gives \( \lambda \in \{-1, 1/2\} \), and \( A \) is as in [HSS].

When \( \lambda + \delta = 1 \), this is example 2.6(i), because \( ab = \delta a + \lambda b \). \( \square \)

Axes of Jordan type.

The purpose of this section is to classify algebras \( A \) generated by two axes of Jordan type. Hence, throughout this section \( A \) is generated by the axes \( a, b \) of Jordan type \((\lambda, \delta)\) and \((\lambda', \delta')\) respectively. Inspired by [HRS] we let
\[ \sigma = ab - \lambda'a - \lambda b. \]

Recall our notation, from Notation 2.1.

We start with two examples.

**Examples 2.6.** Let \( \lambda, \delta \in F \) such that \( \lambda, \delta \notin \{0, 1\} \), \( \lambda + \delta = 1 \), and \( \lambda \neq \delta \).

(1) Let \( A \) be the 2-dimensional algebra \( Fa + Fb \) with multiplication defined by
\[ a^2 = a, \quad b^2 = b, \quad ab = \delta a + \lambda b, \quad ba = \lambda a + \delta b. \]

Then \((ab)a = \delta a + \lambda ba = \delta a + \lambda^2 a + \lambda \delta b \) and \((a)(b) = \lambda a + \delta ab = \lambda a + \lambda^2 a + \lambda \delta b \).

Note however that \( \delta + \lambda^2 = \lambda + \delta^2 \). So \((ab)a = (ab)a\). By symmetry \((ba)b = b(ab)\). Next we show that \( R^2_a - Ra = L^2_a - La\). We have
\[ a(ab) - ab = a(\delta a + \lambda b) - \delta a - \lambda b = 2ab - \lambda b = \lambda(\delta a + \lambda b) - \lambda b \]
\[ = \lambda \delta a + \lambda^2 b - \lambda b. \]

and
\[ (ba)a - ba = (\lambda a + \delta b)a - \lambda a - \delta b = \delta ba - \delta b = \delta(\lambda a + \delta b) - \delta b \]
\[ = \delta \lambda a + \delta^2 b - \delta b. \]

Since \( \lambda^2 - \lambda = \delta^2 - \delta \), we are done.

Next
\[ ((\alpha a + \beta b)a)(\alpha a + \beta b) = (\alpha a + \beta ba)(\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha^2 a + \alpha \beta ab + \beta \alpha (ba)b + \beta^2 (ba)b, \]
and
\[
((a + \beta b)(a(a + \beta b)) = (a + \beta b)(a + \beta ab) = a^2 a + \alpha \beta a(ab) + \beta ab + \beta^2 b(ab).
\]

Since \((ba)a - ba = a(ab) - ab\), and since \((ab)a = a(ba)\), we see that \((xa)x = x(ax)\), for all \(x \in A\). By symmetry \((xb)x = x(bx)\), for all \(x \in A\), so \(A\) is flexible.

We have
\[
a(a - b) = a - ab = a - (\delta a + \lambda b) = \lambda(a - b) \text{ and }
\]
\[
b(a - b) = ba - b = \lambda a + \delta b - b = \lambda(a - b).
\]

Similarly \((a - b)a = \delta(a - b) = (a - b)b\). (Indeed \((a - b)^2 = 0\). We thus have \(A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) = A_{\lambda, \delta}(b) = F(a - b)\), with \((F(a - b))^2 = 0\), and of course \(A_0(a) = A_0(b) = 0\). Thus the fusion laws hold for both \(a\) and \(b\), and both are axes of Jordan type \((\lambda, \delta)\). Note that the idempotents in \(A\) have the form \(aa + (1 - \alpha)b, \alpha \in F\). Also \(\sigma = 0\).

(2) Let \(A = F a + F b + F x\), with multiplication defined by \(a^2 = a\), \(b^2 = b\), \(x^2 = 0\), and
\[
ab = ax = xb = \lambda x, \quad ba = xa = bx = \delta x.
\]

So
\[
F x = A_{\lambda, \delta}, \quad A_{0, 0} = F(b - x).
\]

In checking the fusion rules for \(a\), we have \((b - x)x = bx \in Fx\) and \(x^2 = 0\), and also
\[
(b - x)^2 = b^2 - bx - xb + x^2 = b - (\lambda + \delta)x = b - x.
\]

Likewise for \(b\). Thus \(a\) and \(b\) are axes of Jordan type \((\lambda, \delta)\) and \((\delta, \lambda)\) respectively.

Let us check the eigenvalues of the idempotent \(b - x\). \(a(b - x) = 0 = (b - x)a\), \((b - x)x = \delta x\), and \(x(b - x) = \lambda x\). Thus \(b - x\) also is a Jordan axis, of type \((\delta, \lambda)\).

To show that \(A\) is flexible, we compute that
\[
((a + \beta b + \xi x)(a(a + \beta b + \xi x)) = (a + \xi x)(a + \beta b + \xi x) \quad \eta = \beta \delta + \xi \delta
\]
\[
= a^2 a + \alpha \beta a \lambda x + \alpha \xi \lambda x + \eta(\alpha \delta + \beta \lambda)x
\]
\[
= a^2 a + \alpha \beta a \lambda x + \alpha \xi \lambda x + (\alpha \beta \delta^2 + \beta^2 \lambda \delta + \alpha \xi \delta^2 + \xi \delta \beta \lambda)x
\]

and
\[
((a + \beta b + \xi x)(a(a + \beta b + \xi x)) = (a + \beta b + \xi x)(a + \gamma x) \quad \gamma = \beta \lambda + \xi \lambda
\]
\[
= a^2 a + \alpha \beta a \delta x + \alpha \xi \delta x + \gamma(\alpha \lambda + \beta \delta)x
\]
\[
= a^2 a + \alpha \beta a \delta x + \alpha \xi \delta x + (\alpha \beta \lambda^2 + \beta^2 \lambda \delta + \xi \alpha \lambda^2 + \xi \lambda \beta \delta)x
\]
We must show that
\[\alpha\beta\lambda + \alpha\lambda\xi + \alpha\beta\xi^2 + \alpha\xi\lambda^2 = \alpha\beta\delta + \alpha\xi\delta + \alpha\beta\lambda^2 + \xi\alpha\lambda^2.\]

But this follows from the fact that \(\lambda^2 - \lambda = \delta^2 - \delta\). By symmetry \((yb)y = y(by)\), for all \(y \in A\). It is easy to check that \((yx)y = y(xy)\), for all \(y \in A\), and we see that \(A\) is flexible. Also \(\sigma = \lambda x - \delta a - \lambda b\).

Let us determine the idempotents in \(A\). Suppose \(y := \gamma_1 a + \gamma_2 b + \gamma_3 x \neq 0\). Then
\[y^2 = \gamma_1^2 a + \gamma_2^2 b + \gamma_3 (ax + xa) + \gamma_3 (bx + xb) + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (ab + ba) = \gamma_1^2 a + \gamma_2^2 b + (\gamma_3 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + \gamma_1 \gamma_2) x,
\]
so \(y\) idempotent implies \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \{0, 1\}\) and \(\gamma_3 = \gamma_3 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + \gamma_1 \gamma_2\). If \(\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1\) then \(\gamma_3 = -1\), and \(a + b - x\) is an idempotent but \((a + b - x)x = x\), so \(x\) is a 1-eigenvalue; hence \(a + b - x\) is not absolutely primitive. It follows that the only axes are of the form:

- \(a + \gamma x\), of type \((\lambda, \delta)\) since \((a + \gamma x)x = \lambda x\) and \(x(a + \gamma x) = \delta x\);
- \(b + \gamma x\), of type \((\delta, \lambda)\).

Note that the subalgebra generated by all axes of type \((\lambda, \delta)\) is \(Fa + Fx\), and the subalgebra generated by all axes of type \((\delta, \lambda)\) is \(Fb + Fx\), both proper subalgebras of \(A\).

We modify Seress’ Lemma [HRS] Lemma 4.3:

**Lemma 2.7** (General Seress’ Lemma). If \(a\) is an axis of type \((\lambda, \delta)\) then \(a(xy) = (ax)y + a(x_0 y_0)\) for any \(x \in A\) and \(y \in Fa + A_0(L_a)\). In particular \(a(xy) \in (ax)y + Fa\). Symmetrically, \((yx)a = y(xa) + (0_0 y_0) a\), for any \(x \in A\), and \(y \in Fa + A_0(R_a)\). In particular, \((yx)a \in y(xa) + Fa\).

**Proof.** Since \(L_a\) and \(R_a\) commute, we have \(x_0 a \in A_0(L_a)\), and \(x_\lambda a \in A_\lambda(L_a)\), hence,
\[a(xy) = a(\alpha x \alpha y a + \alpha y x_0 a + \alpha_0 y_0 + \alpha y x_\lambda a + x_\lambda y_0) = \alpha x \alpha y a + a(x_0 y_0) + \lambda \alpha y x_\lambda a + \lambda x_\lambda y_0,
\]
whereas
\[(ax)y = (\alpha x a + \lambda x_\lambda)(\alpha y a + y_0) = \alpha x \alpha y a + \lambda \alpha y x_\lambda a + \lambda x_\lambda y_0. \quad \square\]

Here are some basic properties of \(\sigma\) and \(A\).

**Lemma 2.8.**
1. \(\sigma = (\alpha b(1 - \lambda) - \delta')a - \lambda b_0 = (\alpha a(1 - \delta') - \lambda)b - \delta' a_0\).
2. If \(b_\lambda \delta = 0\), then \(ab = ba = 0\) and \(A = Fa \oplus Fb\).
3. If \(b_0 = 0\), then \(\dim(A) = 2\).
4. \(A\) is spanned by \(a, b, \sigma\), so \(A\) is spanned by \(a, b, ab\).
5. Either \(A\) is commutative, and then \(\lambda = \delta\), and \(\lambda' = \delta'\), or \(\lambda \neq \delta\) and \(\lambda' \neq \delta'\).
Proof. (1) We compute that,
\[ \sigma = (\alpha_a + \lambda b_{\lambda, \delta}) - \delta' - \lambda \alpha_a - \lambda b_0 - \lambda b_{\lambda, \delta} = (\alpha_a - \lambda \alpha_a - \delta')a - \lambda b_0, \]
\[ \sigma = (\alpha_a + \delta' a_{\lambda', \delta'}) - \delta' \alpha_a - \delta' a_0 - \delta' a_{\lambda', \delta'} - \lambda b = (\alpha_a - \delta' a_a - \lambda)b - \delta' a_0. \]

(2) If \( b_{\lambda, \delta} = 0 \), then \( ab, ba \in F a \), so \( ab = ba = 0 \), by Lemma 2.3(ii).

(3) If \( b_0 = 0 \), then \( b_{\lambda, \delta} \in F a + F b \). But then \( ab, ba \in F a + F b \), so \( \dim(A) = 2 \).

(4) Let \( V = F a + F b + F \sigma = F a + F b + F b \). First, by Lemma 2.3(4), \( ba \in V \).

Also, by (1), \( \sigma a = a \sigma \in F a \), and \( \sigma b = b \sigma \in F b \). Further, by Seress’ Lemma 2.7, \( \sigma(ab) \in (\sigma a)b + F a \subseteq F a + F b \).

Hence
\[ \sigma^2 = (\sigma(ab - \delta' a - \lambda b)) \in F a + F b + F b = V. \]

This shows that \( V \) is a subalgebra of \( A \), so \( A = V \).

(5) Suppose that \( \lambda = \delta \). Then, by Lemma 2.3(5), \( a \in Z(A) \). Thus \( a \) commutes with \( b \) and \( \sigma \). By (1), also \( \sigma b = b \sigma \), so by (2) \( A \) is commutative. By Lemma 2.3(5), \( \lambda' = \delta' \).

Let us first deal with the non-commutative case of Lemma 2.3(5).

**Proposition 2.9.** Suppose that \( \lambda \neq \delta, \lambda' \neq \delta' \), and \( \dim(A) = 3 \). Then \( A \) is as in Examples 2.6(ii).

Proof. Since \( \dim(A) = 3 \), Lemma 2.3(2) implies that \( A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) \neq 0 \neq A_{\lambda', \delta'}(b) \).

Also, \( A_0(a) \neq 0 \neq A_0(b) \), by Lemma 2.8(3).

By Lemma 2.4(iv), \( b_{\lambda, \delta}^2 = 0 \), so
\[ bb_{\lambda, \delta} = (\alpha_a + b_0 + b_{\lambda, \delta})b_{\lambda, \delta} = \alpha_a b_{\lambda, \delta} + b_0 b_{\lambda, \delta} \in F b_{\lambda, \delta}, \]
so \( bb_{\lambda, \delta} = \rho b_{\lambda, \delta} \), with \( \rho \in \{0, 1, \lambda'\} \). But \( \rho \neq 1 \) since otherwise \( b_{\lambda, \delta} \in F b \), implying \( ab = \lambda b \), contrary to Lemma 2.4(i). Suppose \( \rho = 0 \). Then
\[ b = b^2 = (b - b_{\lambda, \delta})^2 = (\alpha_a a + b_0)^2 = \alpha_a^2 a + b_0^2. \]

This is impossible.

Hence \( bb_{\lambda, \delta} = \lambda' b_{\lambda, \delta}. \) Thus \( A_{\lambda', \delta'}(b) = A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) = F b_{\lambda, \delta}. \) Set \( y := b_{\lambda, \delta}. \) We have \( ab = \alpha_a a + \lambda y \) and \( ab = \alpha_a b + ky \), for some \( k \in F \). Since \( a, b, y \) are linearly independent, \( \alpha_a = \alpha_b = 0. \) Hence \( ab = \lambda y \) and \( ba = \delta y. \) We thus have
\[ ab = ay = \lambda y, \quad ba = ya = \delta y, \quad y = (\lambda + \delta) y. \]

Note now that \( b - y \in A_0(a), \) so \( (b - y)^2 = b - (\lambda' + \delta') y \in F a + A_0(a) \). But then also
\[ (b - (\lambda' + \delta') y) - (b - y) = y - (\lambda' + \delta') y \in F a + A_0(a). \]

This forces \( \lambda' + \delta' = 1. \) By symmetry, \( \lambda + \delta = 1. \)

Further, \( y - \frac{\lambda}{\delta} a, y - \frac{\delta}{\lambda} a \in A_0(b), \) so \( \frac{\lambda}{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{\lambda}, \) or \( \lambda' = \delta' \). It follows that
\[ \lambda' \lambda = (1 - \lambda') \delta \Rightarrow \delta = \lambda' (\lambda + \delta) = \lambda'. \]

Then \( \delta' = \lambda, \) and this is Example 2.6(ii). \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.10.** Assume that \( \lambda = \delta, \lambda' = \delta' \) and that \( \dim(A) = 3. \)
(1) $\sigma = (\alpha_b(1 - \lambda) - \lambda')a - \lambda b_0 = (\alpha_a(1 - \lambda') - \lambda)b - \lambda'a_0$.
(2) $\sigma a = (\alpha_b(1 - \lambda) + \lambda')a$ and $b\sigma = (\alpha_a(1 - \lambda') + \lambda)b$.
(3) $b_0^2 \in A_0(a)$, and $a_0^2 \in A_0(b)$.
(4) $\alpha_b(1 - \lambda) + \lambda' = \alpha_a(1 - \lambda') + \lambda := \gamma$, and $\sigma^2 = \gamma\sigma$.
(5) If $\lambda = \lambda'$, then $\alpha_a = \alpha_b := \varphi$, and $A$ is a primitive axial algebra of Jordan type $B(\lambda, \varphi)$, as given in [HRS, Theorem (4.7), p. 98].

**Proof.** Note that by Lemma 2.8(5), $A$ is commutative.
(1) Follows from 2.8(1).
(2) Is immediate from (1).
(3) & (4) By Seress’ lemma 2.7 and since $\sigma_0 = -\lambda b_0$, we have

$$(ab)\sigma = a(b\sigma) - \lambda a(b_0^2) = (\alpha_a(1 - \lambda') + \lambda)ab - \lambda a(b_0^2).$$

Similarly,

$$(ab)\sigma = (ba)\sigma = (\alpha_b(1 - \lambda) + \lambda')ab - \lambda'b(a_0^2).$$

Since $a(b_0^2) \in Fa$ and $b(a_0^2) \in Fb$ and $a, b$ and $ab$ are linearly independent, we must have $a(b_0^2) = b(a_0^2) = 0$, so (3) follows and the first part of (4) follows. Now we have $(ab)\sigma = \gamma ab$, so

$$\sigma^2 = (ab - \lambda' a - \lambda b)\sigma = (ab)\sigma - \lambda' a\sigma - \lambda b\sigma = \gamma ab - k\lambda'a - \lambda\lambda b = \gamma\sigma.$$

(5) If $\lambda = \lambda'$, then by (4), $\alpha_a = \alpha_b := \varphi$, and $A$ is the algebra $B(\lambda, \varphi)$. \qed

**Corollary 2.11.** $A_0(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a)$, that is, condition (c) in the introduction of [HRS] follows from conditions (a), (b), and (d).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.8, $\dim(A) \leq 3$. If $\dim(A) = 2$, the we are done by Theorem 2.5. So assume $\dim(A) = 3$. Of course $\dim(A_0) \leq 2$.

If $A$ is not commutative, then Proposition 2.10 completes the proof. So we may assume that $A$ is commutative. Suppose $b_0 = 0$. then $b_{\lambda,\delta} \in Fa + Fb$, and then $ab, ba \in Fa + Fb$, and $\dim(A) = 2$, a contradiction. Suppose $\dim(A_0) = 1$ then $A_0 = Fb_0$, and we are done by Proposition 2.10(3).

Hence we may assume that $\dim(A_0) = 2$, so $A = Fa + A_0$. Hence $b = \alpha_b a + b_0$, so $ab = \alpha_a a$, contradicting Lemma 2.8(1). \qed

In the next result we will use the Miyamoto involution $\tau_a$, associated with an axis $a$ of Jordan type $\lambda, \delta$. Because of the $Z_2$-grading of $A$ induced by $a$, the map $x \mapsto x^{\tau_a}$, where $x = \alpha_x a + x_0 + x_{\lambda,\delta}$, and $x^{\tau_a} = \alpha_x a + x_0 - x_{\lambda,\delta}$ is an automorphism of $A$.

**Proposition 2.12.** Assume that $\lambda = \delta$, $\lambda' = \delta'$, and that $\dim(A) = 3$. Write $A_0$ for $A_0(a)$.

(i) we have

$$\gamma := \alpha_b(1 - \lambda) + \lambda' = (\alpha_a(1 - \lambda') + \lambda) \in F,$$
and $A = Fa + Fb + F\sigma$, with the multiplication table $a^2 = a$, $b^2 = b$, $ab = ba = \lambda'a + \lambda b + \sigma$, $a\sigma = \sigma a = \gamma a$, $b\sigma = \sigma b = \gamma b$, and $\sigma^2 = \gamma\sigma$. 
Conversely, if $A$ is an algebra defined by this multiplication table, the $A$ is generated by $a, b$, which are axes of Jordan type $(\lambda, \lambda), (\lambda', \lambda')$, respectively.

(ii) If $\gamma = 0$, then $\lambda = \lambda'$.

(iii) If $\gamma \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{\gamma} \sigma$, denoted as $1$, is the unit element of $A$. We have $\dim(A_0) = 1 = \dim(A_0(b))$.

(iv) $1 - a$ is an axis of Jordan type $(1 - \lambda, 1 - \lambda)$.

(v) For axes $c, d \in A$, let $A'(c, d)$ be the subalgebra generated by $c$ and $c'$. If $\dim(A'(a, b)) = 2$, then either $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, or $A = A'(1 - a, b)$.

(vi) $A$ is a primitive axial algebra of Jordan type as in [HRS].

Proof. (i) The first part is Proposition 2.10(2,3,5). Conversely, we have

$$(L_a - 1)L_a(\sigma) = (L_a - 1)(\gamma a) = 0,$$

of course $L_a - 1L_a(a) = 0$, and

$$(L_a - 1)L_a(L_a - \lambda)(b) = (L_a - 1)L_a(ab - \lambda b) = (L_a - 1)L_a(\sigma - \lambda a) = 0,$$

So $a$ is an axis, and, by symmetry, so is $b$. Clearly $a, b$ generate $A$, so $A$ is as claimed.

(ii) Suppose $\gamma = 0$, then $\sigma x = 0$, for all $x \in A$. We have

$$ab - \sigma = \lambda' a + \lambda b \implies a(ab) = \lambda'(a) + \lambda ab.$$  

by Lemma 238(3), $a(ab) = \alpha_b(1 - \lambda)a + \lambda ab$. It follows that $\lambda' = \alpha_b(1 - \lambda)$. By symmetry, $\lambda = \alpha_a(1 - \lambda')$. But now Proposition 2.10(4) shows that $2\lambda' = 2\lambda$.

(iii) If $\dim(A_0) = 2$ then $A = A_0 + Fa$, implying $ab \in Fa$, contrary to Lemma 2.4. By symmetry $\dim(A_0(b)) = 1$.

(iv) This is easy to check.

(v) Suppose that $\dim(A'(a, b)) = 2$, and $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$. By [HSS] Lemma 3.1.2, p. 269 we either have $a a^\gamma = 0$, or $\lambda = -1$. In the first case we have $(1 - a)a^\gamma = a^\gamma$, contradicting the primitivity of $1 - a$. In the second case, if $\text{char}(F) = 3$, then $-1 = \frac{1}{2}$, otherwise we get that $1 - a$ is an axis of type $(2,2)$, and then necessarily $\dim(A'(1 - a, b)) = 3$.

(vi) By Proposition 2.10(5) it suffices to show that $A$ is generated by two axes of the same Jordan type. Note that $A'(c, d)$ is such an algebra for axes $c, d \in A$. If $A'(a, b) = A$, we are done. Otherwise, by (v), $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly, considering $A'(b, a)$, we are done unless $\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}$. But now $\lambda = \lambda' = \frac{1}{2}$. $\square$

We can finally prove

**Theorem 2.13.** Either $A$ is an axial algebra of Jordan type, as in [HSS], or $A$ is as in one of the two algebras in Examples 2.6. In particular, $A$ is flexible.
Proof. If \( \dim(A) = 2 \), then this is Proposition 2.5. Hence, by Lemma 2.8, we may assume that \( \dim(A) = 3 \). Now Lemma 2.8(5) together with Propositions 2.9 and 2.12 complete the proof.

\[ \square \]
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