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ABSTRACT. We consider the dispersion managed power-law nonlinear Schrödinger (DM NLS) equations with a small parameter \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and the averaged equation, which are used in optical fiber communications. We prove that the solutions of DM NLS equations converge to the solution of the averaged equation in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}) \) as \( \varepsilon \) goes to zero. Meanwhile, in the positive average dispersion, we obtain the global existence of the solution to DM NLS equation in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}) \) for sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), even when the exponent of the nonlinearity is beyond the mass–critical power.

1. Introduction

We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

\[
    i\partial_t u + d(t)\partial_x^2 u + |u|^\alpha u = 0,
\]

where \( u = u(x, t) \), \( x, t \in \mathbb{R} \), is a complex–valued function, \( d(t) \) a periodic real–valued function, and \( \alpha > 0 \). Such an equation arises naturally as an envelope equation for electromagnetic wave propagation along the cable used in optical fiber communications, see, e.g., [14, 16]. Here, \( x \) denotes the (retarded) time and \( d(t) \) the dispersion at position \( t \) along the cable to be specified below.

The technique called dispersion management was invented in 1980, see [11], with the idea of creating rapidly varying dispersion with alternating sections of positive and negative dispersion in fibers. Such rapid variation was successful in transferring the data at ultra-high speed over long distances, see, e.g., [1, 9, 10, 12]. For more detailed information on this technique, see [15].

The periodic modulation of the dispersion in the strong dispersion regime is given by

\[
d(t) = d_{av} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d_0 \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right),
\]

where \( d_0 \) is a periodic function of mean zero, \( d_{av} \in \mathbb{R} \) the average dispersion over one period, and \( \varepsilon \) a small positive parameter. In this regime, we consider the Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{cases}
    i\partial_t u + \left( d_{av} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d_0 \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) \right)\partial_x^2 u + |u|^\alpha u = 0, \\
    u(x, 0) = \varphi(x),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( d_0 \) is assumed to be a 2-periodic function with \( d_0 = \chi_{[0,1)} - \chi_{[1,2)} \) on \( [0, 2) \). Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), by the standard argument, it can be shown that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is globally well–posed in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}) \) for appropriate values of \( \alpha \). Indeed, for any \( d_{av} \in \mathbb{R} \), if \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \), then a local solution exists in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, if \( d_{av} \neq 0 \), then by the mass and energy conservations it is easy to see that the solution is global under the additional condition \( \alpha < 4 \) only when \( d_{av} > 0 \). For the vanishing average dispersion, we use the mass conservation and the regularity of the \( L^2 \) solution to get the global existence provided...
0 < \alpha < 4$. To obtain such results, one should consider the properties of the linear equation associated with (1.1), see [4, 7] for more details.

Now we change the variables $u = T_{D(t/\varepsilon)}v$ in (1.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ i\partial_t v + d_{av}\partial_x^2 v + T_{D(t/\varepsilon)}^{-1}\left(|T_{D(t/\varepsilon)}v|^\alpha T_{D(t/\varepsilon)}v\right) = 0, \\
v(x, 0) = \varphi(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

(1.2)

where $D(t) = \int_0^t d_0(t')dt'$ and $T_t$ is the solution operator for the free Schrödinger equation in spatial dimension one. We consider the two–scale asymptotic expansion for the solution $v$ of (1.2), that is,

$$
v(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^j v_j \left( t, \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right),
$$

where all $v_j = v_j(t, \tau)$ are 2-periodic in $\tau$. Then we see that $v_0$ is constant in $\tau$ at order $\varepsilon^{-1}$ and

$$
i\partial_t v_0 + i\partial_\tau v_1 + d_{av}\partial_x^2 v_0 + T_{D(\tau)}^{-1}\left(|T_{D(\tau)}v_0|^\alpha T_{D(\tau)}v_0\right) = 0
$$

at order $\varepsilon^0$. Averaging (1.3) with respect to $\tau$ over one period, we have

$$
i\partial_t v_0 + d_{av}\partial_x^2 v_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^2 T_{D(\tau)}^{-1}\left(|T_{D(\tau)}v_0|^\alpha T_{D(\tau)}v_0\right) d\tau = 0.
$$

Furthermore, if we use the change of variables $D(\tau) = r$, we have the following averaged equation of (1.2)

$$
i\partial_t v + d_{av}\partial_x^2 v + \int_0^1 T_{r}^{-1}\left(|T_{r}v|^\alpha T_{r}v\right) dr = 0,
$$

(1.4)

where $v_0$ is replaced by $v$. For more information on the averaging process regarding dispersion management, see, e.g., [1, 9, 10].

The Cauchy problem of the averaged equation (1.4) is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ when $0 < \alpha < 8$ for $d_{av} > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 4$ for $d_{av} = 0$, $\alpha > 0$ for $d_{av} < 0$, see [3, 6]. It is remarkable that this averaged equation has the $H^1$ global solution even for $4 \leq \alpha < 8$ when $d_{av} > 0$ in contrast to the classical focusing NLS. In [3], the $H^s$ theory for $s \geq 0$ in the case of the Kerr nonlinearity was established, while it was shown in [6] that the problem is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ when $d_{av} \neq 0$ and $d_{av} = 0$, respectively, for more general nonlinearities including even saturated nonlinearities. Furthermore, since a local solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ exists for every $\alpha > 0$ regardless of the sign of $d_{av}$, see [6], in the case $d_{av} = 0$, one can obtain the $H^1$ global solution using $H^1$ regularity argument from the $L^2$ solution, see, e.g., [5].

Our interest is to analyze the asymptotic behavior of the solutions, $v_\varepsilon$, for (1.2) on the maximal life time of the solution $v$ for (1.4) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. When $\alpha = 2$, the averaging procedure is first rigorously justified in [17]. More precisely, it is shown that for $\varepsilon > 0$, the solutions of (1.2) and (1.4) with the initial datum in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s$ sufficiently large, stay $\varepsilon$–close in $H^{s-3}$ for a long time in $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$. Recently, the authors with Y. Kang, in [7], improved this result by verifying the averaging procedure in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ where the solutions exist for the initial datum in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, for $\alpha = 2$. The operator associated with this Kerr nonlinearity is multi–linear, which is crucial to get the averaging theorems in [7, 17]. In this paper, we extend the result in [7] to the power–law nonlinearities by overcoming the difficultly caused by the fact that the operator for the general power $\alpha$ is not multi–linear. Furthermore, it follows from the global well–posedness of the averaged equation in [6] and our main theorem, Theorem 1.1, that if $d_{av} > 0$, then, even for $4 \leq \alpha < 8$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) has a global $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ solution for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. The main theorem is
Theorem 1.1. Let $d_{\text{av}} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \geq 2$, and the initial datum $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, denote by $v_{\varepsilon}$ the maximal solution of (1.2) and by $v$ the solution of the averaged equation (1.4) defined on the maximal interval $(-T_-, T_+)$. Then, given $0 < M < \min\{T_-, T_+\}$, the solution $v_{\varepsilon}$ exists on $[-M, M]$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|v_{\varepsilon} - v\|_{L^\infty([-M, M], H^1(\mathbb{R}))} = 0.$$ 

We have the following immediate corollary using $u = T_{D(t/\varepsilon)}v$.

Corollary 1.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be the maximal solution of (1.1). Then given $0 < M < \min\{T_-, T_+\}$, the solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ exists on $[-M, M]$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|u_{\varepsilon} - T_{D(t/\varepsilon)}^{-1}v\|_{L^\infty([-M, M], H^1(\mathbb{R}))} = 0.$$

Remark 1.3. (i) Let $0 < \alpha < 4$ when $d_{\text{av}} \geq 0$; $\alpha > 0$ when $d_{\text{av}} < 0$, which are naturally assumed. Then, we know that the solution $v_{\varepsilon}$ of (1.2) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and the solution $v$ of (1.4) are globally defined in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. If, in addition, $\alpha \geq 2$, then Theorem 1.1 yields that, for each $0 < M < \infty$, $v_{\varepsilon}$ converge to $v$ in $L^\infty([-M, M], H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

(ii) In the case $d_{\text{av}} > 0$, the range of $\alpha$ for the global existence increases. Even for $4 \leq \alpha < 8$, by [6], it is known that the solution $v$ of (1.4) globally exists in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., $T_+ = T_- = \infty$. Thus, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $v_{\varepsilon}$ is also globally defined for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ and that for each $0 < M < \infty$, $v_{\varepsilon} \to v$ in $L^\infty([-M, M], H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

(iii) The restriction on $\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 2$, comes from Lemma 2.2. Such a restriction can be removed if we use the initial datum in $H^3(\mathbb{R})$.

(iv) Theorem 1.1 can be proved similarly even when the coefficient of the nonlinear term in (1.1) is a bounded periodic function of $t$ with the same period of $d$, see [7] for a special case with the Kerr nonlinearity. Such nonlinearities arise in the presence of fiber loss and amplification, see, e.g., [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and gather the bounds of the nonlinearities in two main equations, (1.2) and (1.4). In Section 3, we prove the local existence of a solution for (1.2) and the main theorem, Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminary Results

Let us start by introducing some notations. The spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ are the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with norms $\| \cdot \|_{L^p}$ and $\| \cdot \|_{H^s}$, respectively. We use $L^p_q(I, L^p(I))$, for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and intervals $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}$, to denote the Banach space of functions $u$ with the mixed norm

$$\|u\|_{L^p_q(I, L^p(I))} := \left( \int_I \left( \int_I |u(x,t)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right)^\frac{1}{q}.$$ 

If $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$, use the essential supremum instead. For simple notations, $L^q(J, L^p)$ is used for $L^q(J, L^p_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$.

For a Banach space $X$ with norm $\| \cdot \|_X$ and an interval $J$, we use $C(J, X)$ and $C^1(J, X)$ to denote the space of all continuous functions $u : J \to X$ and the space of all continuously differentiable functions, respectively. For a compact $J$, $C(J, X)$ is the Banach space with norm

$$\|u\|_{C(J, X)} = \sup_{t \in J} \|u(t)\|_X.$$
The solution operator $T_t$ for the free Schrödinger equation in spatial dimension one is unitary on $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and, therefore,

$$\|T_tf\|_{H^s} = \|f\|_{H^s}$$

for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The notation $f \lesssim g$ is used when there is a positive constant $C$ such that $f \leq Cg$.

Next, we gather some estimates for the nonlinear terms of two main equations, (1.2) and (1.4),

$$Q(s, f) := T_{D(s)}^{-1}\left(\|T_{D(s)}f\|_D\right)\|T_{D(s)}f\|_D$$

and

$$\langle Q \rangle(f) := \int_0^1 T_{T}^{-1}\left(\|T_{T}f\|_D\right)dr$$

defined for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $\alpha > 0$. Then

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|Q(s, f)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1}^{\alpha + 1} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\|\langle Q \rangle(f)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1}^{\alpha + 1} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

**Proof.** Note that

$$\|\|h\|_{H^1}\|_H \lesssim \|\|h\|^{\alpha + 1}_{H^1}$$

since $\|\|h\|^{\alpha + 1}_H \lesssim \|\|h\|^{\alpha + 1}_L \leq \|\|h\|^{\alpha + 1}_{H^1}$. Using the fact that $T_{D(s)}$ and $\partial_x$ commute and that $T_{D(s)}$ is unitary in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have (2.1). Similarly, we prove bound (2.2) using Minkowski’s inequality.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $\alpha \geq 2$. Then

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|\partial_x^2 Q(s, f)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^3}^{\alpha + 1}$$

and

$$\|\partial_x^2 \langle Q \rangle(f)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^3}^{\alpha + 1}$$

for all $f \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$.

**Proof.** Note that if $h \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|(h|h)^n\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|h\|_{H^1}^{\alpha + 1}$ since

$$\|(h|h)^n\| \lesssim (\|h\|^{-2}_Hh')^2 + \|h\|^2_H$$

and $\alpha \geq 2$. Thus, an argument similar to that used in proving Lemma 2.1 completes the proof since $T_{D(s)}$ is unitary in $H^3(\mathbb{R})$, also.
3. Averaging Theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem, Theorem 1.1. First, we establish the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and $M > 0$. Then

\[
\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_0^M \left\| Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_1(t) \right) - Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_2(t) \right) \right\|_{H^1} dt \lesssim \left( \| v_1 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha + \| v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha \right) \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}
\]

and

\[
\int_0^M \left\| \langle Q \rangle (v_1(t)) - \langle Q \rangle (v_2(t)) \right\|_{H^1} dt \lesssim \left( \| v_1 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha + \| v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha \right) \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}
\]

for all $v_1, v_2 \in C([0,M], H^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

**Proof.** We prove (3.1) only since (3.2) can be proved analogously. First, we prove

\[
\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_0^M \left\| Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_1(t) \right) - Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_2(t) \right) \right\|_{L^2} dt \lesssim \left( \| v_1 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha + \| v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha \right) \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],L^2)}
\]

Note that

\[
\| z_1^\alpha z_1 - |z_2|^{\alpha}z_2 \| \lesssim (|z_1|^\alpha + |z_2|^\alpha) |z_1 - z_2| \quad \text{for all } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

It follows from the embedding $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R})$ that

\[
\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_0^M \left\| Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_1(t) \right) - Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_2(t) \right) \right\|_{L^2} dt = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_0^M \left\| T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_1(t)^\alpha T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_1(t) - |T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_2(t)|^\alpha T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_2(t) \right\|_{L^2} dt 
\]

\[
\lesssim \int_0^M \left( \| v_1(t) \|_{H^1}^\alpha + \| v_2(t) \|_{H^1}^\alpha \right) \| v_1(t) - v_2(t) \|_{L^2} dt 
\]

\[
\lesssim \left( \| v_1 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha + \| v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha \right) \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{L^\infty([0,M],L^2)}
\]

Next, to complete the proof of (3.1), we first observe that

\[
\| |f|^\alpha f - |g|^\alpha g| \| \lesssim |f|^\alpha |f' - g'| + |f|^\alpha - |g|^\alpha \| |g'| + \| f|^{\alpha - 1} f^2 - |g|^\alpha g^2 \| |g'|
\]

for continuously differentiable functions $f, g$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Thus,

\[
\int_0^M \left\| \partial_x \left( Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_1(t) \right) - Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v_2(t) \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2} dt 
\]

\[
= \int_0^M \left\| \partial_x \left( |T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_1(t)|^\alpha T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_1(t) - |T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_2(t)|^\alpha T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_2(t) \right) \right\|_{L^2} dt 
\]

\[
\lesssim \int_0^M \left\| T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_1(t)^\alpha \partial_x \left( T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_1(t) - T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_2(t) \right) \right\|_{L^2} dt 
\]

\[
+ \int_0^M \left\| \left( |T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_1(t)|^\alpha - |T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_2(t)|^\alpha \right) \partial_x T_{D_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}} v_2(t) \right\|_{L^2} dt 
\]

(3.3)
It is easy to see that the first term of (3.3) is bounded as
\[
\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \int_0^M \left\| \left| T_{D(\varepsilon)} v_1(t) \right|^{\alpha} - \left| T_{D(\varepsilon)} v_2(t) \right|^{\alpha} \partial_x T_{D(\varepsilon)} v_2(t) \right\|_{L^2} dt \\
\lesssim \left\| v_1 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)} \left\| \partial_x (v_1 - v_2) \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], L^2)}.
\]
Since the third term can be bounded similarly to the second term, we bound the second term only. First, we note that, for \(z_1, z_2 \in \bb{C}\),
\[
\|z_1|^{\alpha} - |z_2|^{\alpha} \lesssim |z_1 - z_2| (|z_1|^{\alpha-1} + |z_2|^{\alpha-1})
\]
since \(\alpha \geq 1\). Thus, similarly as before, we have
\[
\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \int_0^M \left( \left\| (T_{D(\varepsilon)} v_1(t))^{\alpha} - (T_{D(\varepsilon)} v_2(t))^{\alpha} \partial_x T_{D(\varepsilon)} v_2(t) \right\|_{L^2} dt \\
\lesssim \int_0^M \left\| v_1(t) - v_2(t) \right\|_{H^1} \left( \left\| v_1(t) \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}^{\alpha-1} + \left\| v_2(t) \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}^{\alpha-1} \right) \left\| \partial_x v_2(t) \right\|_{L^2} dt \\
\lesssim \left( \left\| v_1 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)} + \left\| v_2 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}^{\alpha} \right) \left\| v_1 - v_2 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)},
\]
which completes the proof. \(\blacksquare\)

Using Lemma 3.1, we have the following lemma which is the key ingredient in our work. This is inspired by [8, 13] where the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with strong confinement was analyzed.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \(\alpha \geq 2\) and \(M > 0\). If \(v \in C([-M, M], H^1(\bb{R}))\), then
\[
\sup_{t \in [-M, M]} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i\alpha(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left[ Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) - \langle Q \rangle (v(s)) \right] ds \right\|_{H^1} \to 0 \quad (3.4)
\]
as \(\varepsilon \to 0\).

**Proof.** We consider positive times only. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that if \(v_1, v_2\) belong to \(C([-M, M], H^1(\bb{R}))\), then
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, M]} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i\alpha(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left( Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v_1(s) \right) - Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v_2(s) \right) \right) ds \right\|_{H^1} \leq \int_0^M \left\| Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v_1(s) \right) - Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v_2(s) \right) \right\|_{H^1} ds \\
\lesssim \left( \left\| v_1 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}^{\alpha} + \left\| v_2 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}^{\alpha} \right) \left\| v_1 - v_2 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}
\]
and
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, M]} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i\alpha(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left( \langle Q \rangle (v_1(s)) - \langle Q \rangle (v_2(s)) \right) ds \right\|_{H^1} \\
\lesssim \left( \left\| v_1 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}^{\alpha} + \left\| v_2 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}^{\alpha} \right) \left\| v_1 - v_2 \right\|_{L^\infty([0,M], H^1)}.
\]
Therefore, by a density argument, it is enough to prove (3.4) for \(v \in C^1([0, M], S(\bb{R}))\) only, where the Schwartz space \(S(\bb{R})\) consists of infinitely differentiable, rapidly decreasing functions.
We define
\[
Q(\theta, f) := \int_0^\theta [Q(s, f) - \langle Q \rangle(f)] \, ds
\]
on \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}). Note that for each \( f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \), \( Q(\cdot, f) \) is 2-periodic since \( Q(\cdot, f) \) is a 2-periodic function whose average is \( \langle Q \rangle(f) \). Thus, we have
\[
\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|Q(\theta, f)\|_{H^1} = \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2]} \|Q(\theta, f)\|_{H^1}
\leq \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2]} \int_0^\theta (\|Q(s, f)\|_{H^1} + \|\langle Q \rangle(f)\|_{H^1}) \, ds
\]
(3.5)
for all \( f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \), where we use Lemma 2.1 in the last inequality. Similarly, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that
\[
\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\partial_x^2 Q(\theta, f)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^3}^{\alpha+1}
\]  
(3.6)
for all \( f \in H^3(\mathbb{R}) \).

Now let \( v \in C^1([0, M], \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})) \). By a simple calculation, we have
\[
\frac{d}{ds} \left( e^{idav(t-s)\partial_x^2} Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) \right)
= idav e^{idav(t-s)\partial_x^2} Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e^{idav(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left( Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) - \langle Q \rangle(v(s)) \right)
\]
(3.7)
\[
+ e^{idav(t-s)\partial_x^2} \int_0^s \frac{d}{ds} \left[ T_{D(s')}^{-1} \left( |T_{D(s')}v(s)|^\alpha T_{D(s')}v(s) \right) \right] - \int_0^1 T_r^{-1} \left( |T_r v(s)|^\alpha T_r v(s) \right) dr \right] ds'.
\]
Note that
\[
\frac{d}{ds} T_{D(s')}^{-1} \left( |T_{D(s')}v(s)|^\alpha T_{D(s')}v(s) \right)
= T_{D(s')}^{-1} \left[ \frac{d}{ds} \left( |T_{D(s')}v(s)|^\alpha T_{D(s')}v(s) \right) \right]
\]
\[
= T_{D(s')}^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha + 2}{2} |T_{D(s')}v(s)|^\alpha T_{D(s')} \partial_t v(s) + \frac{\alpha}{2} |T_{D(s')}v(s)|^{\alpha-2} (T_{D(s')}v(s))^2 T_{D(s')} \partial_t v(s) \right)
\]
which is 2-periodic in \( s' \). Moreover, its average over one period is
\[
\frac{d}{ds} \int_0^1 T_r^{-1} \left( |T_r v(s)|^\alpha T_r v(s) \right) dr.
\]
Thus, by the same argument as above, we have
\[
\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \int_0^\theta \frac{d}{ds} \left[ T_{D(s')}^{-1} \left( |T_{D(s')}v(s)|^\alpha T_{D(s')}v(s) \right) \right] - \int_0^1 T_r^{-1} \left( |T_r v(s)|^\alpha T_r v(s) \right) dr \right\|_{H^1}
\]
\[
= \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2]} \left\| \int_0^\theta \frac{d}{ds} \left[ T_{D(s')}^{-1} \left( |T_{D(s')}v(s)|^\alpha T_{D(s')}v(s) \right) \right] - \int_0^1 T_r^{-1} \left( |T_r v(s)|^\alpha T_r v(s) \right) dr \right\|_{H^1}
\]
\[
\lesssim \|v(s)\|_{H^2}^{\alpha} \|\partial_t v(s)\|_{H^1}. \tag{3.8}
\]
Therefore, using the bounds \( (3.5), (3.6), (3.8) \) together with \( (3.7) \), we obtain
\[
\left\| \int_0^\theta e^{idav(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left( Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) - \langle Q \rangle(v(s)) \right) \right\|_{L^\infty([0, M], H^1)}
\]
\[ \leq \varepsilon \sup_{t \in [0,M]} \left\| Q \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, v(t) \right) \right\|_{H^1} + \varepsilon |d_{av}| \int_0^M \left\| \partial_x^2 Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) \right\|_{H^1} ds \\
+ \varepsilon \int_0^M \left\| \int_0^{s/\varepsilon} \frac{dT_{D(s')}^{-1}}{ds} \left[ (T_{D(s')} v(s))^\alpha T_{D(s')} v(s) \right] - \int_0^1 T_r^{-1} \left[ (T_r v(s))^\alpha T_r v(s) \right] dr \right\|_{H^1} ds \]
\[ \lesssim \left[ \|v\|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^3)}^{1+} + \|v\|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)}^\alpha \right] \| \partial_t v \|_{L^\infty([0,M],H^1)} \]
which completes the proof.

In preparation for the proof of the main theorem, Theorem 1.1, we provide the local existence of a solution for (1.2). Given \( \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \), we consider the Duhamel formula for (1.2)

\[ v_\varepsilon(t) = e^{itd_{av}\partial_x^2} \varphi + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)d_{av}\partial_x^2} Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v_\varepsilon(s) \right) ds. \]

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( d_{av} \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \alpha > 0 \). For every \( K > 0 \), there exist \( M_\pm > 0 \) such that if \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and the initial datum \( \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \) satisfies \( \| \varphi \|_{H^1} \leq K \), then there is a unique solution \( v_\varepsilon \in C([-M_\pm, M_\pm], H^1(\mathbb{R})) \) of (3.9). Moreover,

\[ \|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq 2K \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } t \in [-M_\pm, M_\pm]. \]

**Proof.** The result is quite standard and its proof is very analogous to that of Proposition 3.5 in [6]. Instead of Lemma 2.6 in [6], we use Lemma 2.1 and

\[ \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \| Q(s,f) - Q(s,g) \|_{L^2} = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \| T_D(s)f \|^\alpha T_D(s)f - T_D(s)g \|^\alpha T_D(s)g \|_{L^2} \]
\[ \lesssim (\|f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{H^1}) \|f - g\|_{L^2}, \]
for all \( f, g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let \( M > 0 \) be fixed and consider positive times only. Let

\[ K = 2 \sup_{t \in [0,M]} \|v(t)\|_{H^1}. \]

Thus, since \( \|\varphi\|_{H^1} = \|v(0)\|_{H^1} \leq K/2 \), it follows from Proposition 3.3 that there exists a positive \( M_1 \) corresponding to \( K/2 \), independent of \( \varepsilon \), such that \( v_\varepsilon \in C([-M_\pm, M_\pm], H^1(\mathbb{R})) \) and

\[ \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{t \in [0,M_1]} \|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq K. \]

We assume that \( M_1 < M \). Using Duhamel’s formula, for \( t \in [0, M_1] \), we write

\[ v_\varepsilon(t) - v(t) = i\mathcal{I}_1(t) + i\mathcal{I}_2(t), \]

where

\[ \mathcal{I}_1(t) = \int_0^t e^{id_{av}(t-s)d_x^2} \left[ Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v_\varepsilon(s) \right) - Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) \right] ds \]
and

\[ \mathcal{I}_2(t) = \int_0^t e^{id_{av}(t-s)d_x^2} \left[ Q \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s) \right) - \langle Q \rangle (v(s)) \right] ds. \]

Then, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

\[ \|\mathcal{I}_2\|_{L^\infty([0,M_1],H^1)} := \eta_\varepsilon \to 0 \]
as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). On the other hand, in order to estimate \( \mathcal{I}_1 \), use Minkowski’s inequality and the same argument in the proof of Lemma 3.1, then we obtain

\[
\|\mathcal{I}_1(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \int_0^t \|Q\left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v_\varepsilon(s)\right) - Q\left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, v(s)\right)\|_{H^1} ds
\]

\[
\lesssim \int_0^t \left(\|v_\varepsilon(s)\|^\alpha_{H^1} + \|v(s)\|^\alpha_{H^1}\right)\|v_\varepsilon(s) - v(s)\|_{H^1} ds
\]

\[
\lesssim K^\alpha \int_0^t \|v_\varepsilon(s) - v(s)\|_{H^1} ds
\]

for all \( 0 \leq t \leq M_1 \), where we use (3.10) and (3.11) in the last bound. It follows from (3.12) and (3.13) that there exists a positive constant \( C \), independent of \( \varepsilon \), such that

\[
\|v_\varepsilon(t) - v(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \eta_\varepsilon + C \int_0^t \|v_\varepsilon(s) - v(s)\|_{H^1} ds
\]

for all \( 0 \leq t \leq M_1 \). Thus, by Gronwall’s inequality, we obtain

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, M_1]} \|v_\varepsilon(t) - v(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \sup_{t \in [0, M_1]} \eta_\varepsilon e^{Ct} \leq \eta_\varepsilon e^{CM_1} \to 0
\]

as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). Note that assuming \( M_1 < M \) is acceptable since, if \( M_1 \geq M \), replacing \( M_1 \) on the above by \( M \) completes the proof.

Next, since

\[
\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \|v_\varepsilon(M_1)\|_{H^1} \leq K
\]

by (3.11), it follows from Proposition 3.3 with the initial datum \( v_\varepsilon(M_1) \) that the solution \( v_\varepsilon \) exists on \([M_1, M_1 + M_2] \) for some positive \( M_2 \) corresponding to \( K \), independent of \( \varepsilon \), and

\[
\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{t \in [M_1, M_1 + M_2]} \|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq 2K.
\]

The last inequality together with (3.11) yields

\[
\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{t \in [0, M_1 + M_2]} \|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq 2K
\]

and, therefore, by the same argument as above, we obtain

\[
\|v_\varepsilon - v\|_{L^\infty([0, \min\{M, M_1 + M_2\}], H^1)} \to 0
\]

as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). Thus, if \( M_1 + M_2 \geq M \), then the proof is complete.

Now assume that \( M_1 + M_2 < M \). Then, by (3.10) and (3.14), there exists \( \varepsilon_1 > 0 \) such that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1} \sup_{t \in [0, M_1 + M_2]} \|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq K.
\]

Moreover, since \( v_\varepsilon \in C([0, M_1 + M_2], H^1(\mathbb{R})) \),

\[
\sup_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1} \|v_\varepsilon(M_1 + M_2)\|_{H^1} \leq K.
\]

Replacing \( v_\varepsilon(M_1) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) by \( v_\varepsilon(M_1 + M_2) \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 \), respectively, in the previous argument, we obtain

\[
\|v_\varepsilon - v\|_{L^\infty([0, \min\{M, M_1 + 2M_2\}], H^1)} \to 0
\]

as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). Iterating this procedure finitely many times, until the sum of \( M_1 \) and the integer multiple of \( M_2 \) gets greater than or equal to \( M \), completes the proof.
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