

Global well-posedness and large time behavior for compressible non-isothermal nematic liquid crystal flows with vacuum at infinity *

Yang Liu^{1,2} Xin Zhong^{3†}

¹ School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P. R. China

² College of Mathematics, Changchun Normal University, Changchun 130032, P. R. China

³ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China

Abstract

We investigate the Cauchy problem of three-dimensional compressible non-isothermal nematic liquid crystal flows in \mathbb{R}^3 . We derive the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions with both interior and far field vacuum states provided that the initial data are of small total energy. This improves our previous work [27] in the sense that the initial data allow possibly large oscillations. The key analysis is based on delicate energy estimates and the structural characteristic of the system under consideration. Moreover, we also show the algebraic decay estimates of the solution. The results could be viewed as an extension of the studies in Li-Xu-Zhang [21] for the isothermal case to the non-isothermal situation.

Key words and phrases. Compressible non-isothermal nematic liquid crystals; global strong solution; large time behavior; vacuum.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35; 76D03.

1 Introduction and main results

The motion of compressible nematic liquid crystal flows in the three-dimensional (3D) space can be written as the following simplified non-isothermal Ericksen-Leslie model (see [2, 14–16]):

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla p = -\nabla d \cdot \Delta d, \\ c_v \rho (\theta_t + u \cdot \nabla \theta) + p \operatorname{div} u - \kappa \Delta \theta = \lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 + |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2, \\ d_t + u \cdot \nabla d = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d, \\ |d| = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the unknowns ρ , $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$, θ , and $d \in \mathbb{S}^2$ are the density, the velocity, the absolute temperature, and the macroscopic average of the nematic liquid crystal orientation field, respectively. $p = R\rho\theta$, with positive constant R , is the pressure. The constant viscosity coefficients μ and λ satisfy the physical restrictions

$$\mu > 0, \quad 2\mu + 3\lambda \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The positive constants c_v and κ are the heat capacity and the ratio of the heat conductivity coefficient over the heat capacity, respectively.

*Y. Liu was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11901288), Scientific Research Foundation of Jilin Provincial Education Department (No. JJKH20210873KJ), Natural Science Foundation of Changchun Normal University, and Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (No. 2021M691219). X. Zhong was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11901474, 12071359), the Chongqing Talent Plan for Young Topnotch Talents (No. CQYC202005074), and the Innovation Support Program for Chongqing Overseas Returnees (No. cx2020083).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail address: liuyang0405@ccsfu.edu.cn (Y. Liu), xzhong1014@amss.ac.cn (X. Zhong)

We consider the Cauchy problem of (1.1) with the initial condition

$$(\rho, u, \theta, d)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0, d_0), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.3)$$

and the far field behavior

$$(\rho, u, \theta, d)(x, t) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0, \mathbf{1}), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is a given unit vector and $|d_0| = 1$.

In 2011, Feireisl-Rocca-Schimperna [9] introduced a non-isothermal model for incompressible nematic liquid crystals in the spirit of the simplified version of the Leslie-Ericksen model proposed by Lin and Liu [23]. Later on, Feireisl-Frémond-Rocca-Schimperna [8] proposed a new approach to the modeling of non-isothermal liquid crystals and investigated global-in-time weak solutions for a Ginzburg-Landau approximation system to relax the nonlinear constraint $|d| = 1$. Since then much attention has been paid to various non-isothermal nematic liquid crystals models. Li and Xin [20] proved the global existence of weak solutions on \mathbb{T}^2 . Yet the uniqueness and regularity of such weak solutions remain open. Bian and Xiao [1] showed the global strong solution to the non-isothermal nematic liquid crystal flows with temperature-dependent viscosity provided that the initial data is a sufficiently small perturbation around the trivial equilibrium state. De Anna and Liu [2] studied the general non-isothermal Ericksen-Leslie system and obtained the global existence of strong solutions in Besov spaces provided the Besov norm of the initial data is sufficiently small. Du-Li-Wang [5] concerned the simplified non-isothermal Ericksen-Leslie system of nematic liquid crystal flow on a three-dimensional smooth bounded domain. Existence of a global weak solution is proven under the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on the velocity field, the Neumann condition on the director field, and the non-flux condition on the heat flux, and for suitable initial data. It is worth mentioning that some mathematical analysis concerning the hydrodynamic flow of non-isothermal nematics in the Q -tensor formalism were addressed by Feireisl-Rocca-Schimperna-Zarnescu [10, 11]. For more background and theoretical results about nematic liquid crystals both in the isothermal and non-isothermal situation, we refer the reader to the monograph [29] and survey articles [15, 24].

Recently there has been considerable interest in the mathematical study for the compressible non-isothermal nematic liquid crystals. In 2016, Hieber and Prüss [14] derived a general compressible non-isothermal Ericksen-Leslie model for the flow of nematic liquid crystals and analyzed the simplified non-isothermal model mathematically. Later, they [16] showed the local well-posedness with in L^q setting and the global solution for initial data close to equilibria points without structural assumptions on the Leslie coefficients in bounded domains. At the same time, De Anna and Liu [2] proposed a non-isothermal model describing the evolution of a nematic liquid-crystal material under the action of thermal effects in the whole space. On the other hand, for the simplified compressible non-isothermal model (1.1), Fan-Li-Nakamura [6] proved the local well-posedness of strong solutions to the initial boundary value problem under the compatibility conditions (1.8) and (1.9) for the initial data. Then Liu and Zhong [27] extended it to be a global one for the problem (1.1)–(1.4) provided that $\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_0\|_{L^3}$ is suitably small and $3\mu > \lambda$. While, for the absence of vacuum states, Guo-Xi-Xie [12] studied global existence and long time behavior of smooth solutions to (1.1)–(1.4) provided that the initial data are close to the constant equilibrium state in H^3 -framework. When the term $|\nabla d|^2$ in (1.1)₃ and (1.1)₄ is replaced by the Ginzburg-Landau approximation term $\frac{1-|d|^2}{\varepsilon}$, Guo-Xie-Xi [13] established the global existence of weak solutions. The global well-posedness of strong solutions to the one-dimensional problem of (1.1) can be found in [28, 32]. There are also very interesting mathematical results concerning the global existence of (weak, strong or classical) solutions of compressible isothermal nematic liquid crystal flows, please refer to [18, 19, 21, 25, 33].

In this paper, we further investigate the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the problem (1.1)–(1.4). Our result reveals that the small initial energy is sufficient to guarantee the global well-posedness. Moreover, we also show that the solutions converge algebraically to zero in L^2 as time goes to infinity. This result could be viewed as the first one on time-decay rates of solutions to the Cauchy problem of multi-dimensional compressible non-isothermal nematic liquid crystals with vacuum. The main novelty of the present article consists in allowing possibly large oscillations of the initial data as well as obtaining algebraic decay estimates of the solution.

Before formulating our main result, we first explain the notations and conventions used throughout this paper. For simplicity, in what follows, we denote

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dx = \int f dx.$$

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and integer $k \geq 0$, the standard homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces as follows:

$$\begin{cases} L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^3), & W^{k,p} = L^p \cap D^{k,p}, & H^k = W^{k,2}, \\ D^{k,p} = \{u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^p} < \infty\}, & D^k = D^{k,2}, \\ D^1_0 = \{u \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) : \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} < \infty\}. \end{cases}$$

We begin with the global existence of strong solutions to the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with initial data being subjected to the small energy assumption.

Theorem 1.1 *For $q \in (3, 6)$ and positive constant $\bar{\rho}$, assume that the initial data $(\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0 \geq 0, d_0)$ satisfies*

$$\rho_0 \in L^1 \cap H^1 \cap W^{1,q}, \quad (\rho_0 |u_0|^2, \rho_0 \theta_0, |\nabla d_0|^2) \in L^1, \quad (1.5)$$

$$(\nabla u_0, \nabla \theta_0) \in H^1, \quad \nabla d_0 \in H^2, \quad |d_0| = 1, \quad (1.6)$$

$$0 \leq \inf \rho_0(x) \leq \sup \rho_0(x) \leq \bar{\rho}, \quad (1.7)$$

and the compatibility conditions

$$\mu \Delta u_0 + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u_0 - \nabla(R\rho_0 \theta_0) - \nabla d_0 \cdot \Delta d_0 = \sqrt{\rho_0} g_1, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\kappa \Delta \theta_0 + \lambda (\operatorname{div} u_0)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u_0 + (\nabla u_0)^{tr}|^2 + |\Delta d_0 + |\nabla d_0|^2 d_0|^2 = \sqrt{\rho_0} g_2, \quad (1.9)$$

for some $g_1, g_2 \in L^2$. There exists a small positive constant ε_0 depending only on $\mu, \lambda, c_v, R, \kappa, \bar{\rho}$, and the initial data such that if

$$\int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |u_0|^2 + c_v \rho_0 \theta_0 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d_0|^2 \right) dx \triangleq \mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_0, \quad (1.10)$$

then the problem (1.1)–(1.4) has a unique global strong solution (ρ, u, θ, d) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \rho \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L^1 \cap L^\infty) \cap C([0, \infty); L^1 \cap H^1 \cap W^{1,q}), \\ (u, \theta) \in C([0, \infty); D^1_0 \cap D^2) \cap L^2_{loc}([0, \infty); D^{2,q}), \\ (u_t, \theta_t) \in L^2_{loc}([0, \infty); D^1_0), \quad (\sqrt{\rho} u_t, \sqrt{\rho} \theta_t) \in L^\infty_{loc}([0, \infty); L^2), \\ \nabla d \in L^\infty([0, \infty); H^2) \cap C([0, \infty); H^2) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^3), \\ d_t \in C([0, \infty); H^1) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^2). \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Remark 1.1 *Although our Theorem 1.1 has small energy, yet the oscillations of the initial data could be arbitrarily large. Thus we improve our previous work [27] where the initial density must be small pointwisely. Moreover, we remove the additional restriction $3\mu > \lambda$ used in [27].*

Remark 1.2 *Compared with the 3D isothermal case [21], there is no need to assume that $u_0, \nabla d_0 \in \dot{H}^\beta$ with $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ for the global existence of strong solutions.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on delicate energy estimates. The key point in our analysis is to derive the time-independent upper bound of the density. Compared with the 3D isothermal situation [21], as pointed out in [27], the basic energy estimate provides us useless information on dissipation estimates of u, θ , and d . To overcome this difficulty, motivated by [22], we introduce a small time t_0 and recover the crucial dissipation estimate of the form $\int_0^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt$ in terms of a power function of \mathbb{E}_0 (see Lemma 3.1) rather than $\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_0\|_{L^3}$ used in [27]. Moreover, we point out

that the method used here to derive the $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ estimates of ∇u and $\nabla^2 d$ is different from that of in [27]. In order to obtain this control, the key observation is to treat the temperature simultaneously by introducing a cut-off function $\sigma(t) \triangleq \min\{t, t_0\}$ (see Lemma 3.3) instead of $E \triangleq \frac{|u|}{2} + c_v \theta$, the sum of specific kinetic energy and specific internal energy. Furthermore, unlike [27], it is impossible to get the smallness of $\|\nabla d\|_{L^3}$ which plays a critical role in dealing with the coupling terms $u \cdot \nabla d$ and $\nabla d \cdot \Delta d$. Next, since the Zlotnik inequality which was used in [21] for isothermal flow to get the upper bound of the density does not work here, we adopt some ideas introduced by [3, 34] to establish the time-independent upper bound of the density. Finally, having the time-independent and time-dependent *a priori* estimates at hand, together with the local well-posedness theory, we succeed in showing Theorem 1.1 by an argument of contradiction.

Next we derive the algebraic decay estimates of the global solution obtained in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 *Let (ρ, u, θ, d) be the global solution obtained in Theorem 1.1, then there exists a constant C depending only on $\mu, \lambda, c_v, \kappa, R, \bar{\rho}$, and the initial data such that, for large time t ,*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.13)$$

provided that $\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon'_0$ for some constant $\varepsilon'_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$.

Remark 1.3 *Let F and w (see (2.3)) be the effective viscous flux and the vorticity, respectively. Then it follows from (2.5), Sobolev's inequality, (1.11), (1.12), and (1.13) that, for large time t ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} &\leq C(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla d\|_{H^2}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

This combined with (2.6) and (1.12) yields that, for large time t ,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \leq C(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (1.15)$$

Furthermore, we derive from Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality, (1.15), (1.12), and (1.13) that, for any $q \in [2, 6]$ and large time t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q} &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \\ \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^q} &\leq \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} \leq C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{5q-6}{8q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.4 *It is worth mentioning that Guo-Xi-Xie [12] established the decay rates for second order spatial derivatives of the density and the velocity provided that the initial perturbation is small in H^3 -norm and bounded in L^q -norm ($1 \leq q < \frac{6}{5}$). However, we should point out that, for the initial density allowing vacuum states, whether the second derivatives of the velocity field decay or not remains unknown. The main difficulty lies in deriving time-independent bounds on the gradient of density (see (3.97)).*

Remark 1.5 *Compared with decay rates of the isothermal model [33, Theorem 1.3], our decay rates of the solution is slower due to the complicated structure of the system (1.1). Consequently, the mathematical studies between the isothermal model and the non-isothermal case of the 3D Cauchy problem appear highly differences.*

We divide the proof of Theorem 1.2 into six steps. As mentioned above, due to the structural characteristic of the system (1.1), the basic energy estimate provides useless dissipation effect on the solution. However, for small initial energy (see (5.4)), we can derive

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (1.16)$$

This reveals that the time-decay rate of the second derivatives of the orientation field plays a crucial role in the initial layer analysis. In step 1, we obtain that $\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}$ decays with the rate of $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (see (5.1)) by analyzing (1.1)₄. In step 2, with the help of (1.7) and the result of step 1, we deduce that $\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}$ decay with the rate of $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (see (5.5)). In step 3, owing to very slowly time-decay estimates on the initial layer analysis, we fail to show the decay rates of $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}$ directly and should require the initial energy to be much more small (see (5.16)). In steps 4 and 5, we devote the decay rates to $\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}$ by delicate energy estimates and the results of steps 1–3. Finally, in step 6, the decay estimates on the third derivatives of the orientation field and the temperature can be obtained by applying L^2 -estimates for the elliptic system and uniformly bounds we have just derived.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some known facts and elementary inequalities which will be used later. Section 3 is devoted to the global *a priori* estimates. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be done in Section 4. Finally, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.

2 Preliminarily

In this section, we recall some known facts and elementary inequalities which will be used later.

We begin with the following local existence of a unique strong solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.4), whose proof can be performed by using similar strategies (with a minor modification) as those in [6].

Lemma 2.1 *Under the conditions (1.5)–(1.9), there is a small $T_* > 0$ such that the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.4) admits a unique solution (ρ, u, θ, d) over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T_*]$ satisfying for some constant $M_* > 1$ depending on T_* and the initial data*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} (\|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + \int_0^{T_*} (\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq M_*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Next, the following well-known Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see [4, Chapter 10, Theorem 1.1]) will be used frequently later.

Lemma 2.2 *Assume that $f \in D^{1,m} \cap L^r$ with $m, r \geq 1$, then there exists a constant C depending only on q, m , and r such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \leq C\|\nabla f\|_{L^m}^\vartheta \|f\|_{L^r}^{1-\vartheta}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\vartheta = (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}) / (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{3})$ and the admissible range of q is the following:

- if $m < 3$, then q is between r and $\frac{3m}{3-m}$;
- if $m = 3$, then $q \in [r, \infty)$;
- if $m > 3$, then $q \in [r, \infty]$.

Next, the material derivatives of f , the effective viscous flux F , and the vorticity w are defined as follows,

$$\dot{f} \triangleq u_t + u \cdot \nabla f, \quad F \triangleq (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} u - p, \quad w \triangleq \operatorname{curl} u. \quad (2.3)$$

Then one derives the following elliptic equations from (1.1)₂ by taking the operators div and curl , respectively.

$$\Delta F = \operatorname{div}(\rho \dot{u}) - \operatorname{div}(\nabla d \odot \nabla d), \quad \mu \Delta w = \operatorname{curl}(\rho \dot{u} + \operatorname{div}(\nabla d \odot \nabla d)). \quad (2.4)$$

We now state some elementary L^q -estimates of the elliptic system (2.4), whose proof can be done by similar methods as those in [22].

Lemma 2.3 *Let (ρ, u, θ, d) be a smooth solution of (1.1)–(1.4). Then for any $q \in [2, 6]$, there exists a positive constant C depending only on μ, λ , and q such that*

$$\|\nabla F\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla w\|_{L^q} \leq C(\|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^q} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^q}), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \leq C(\|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}). \quad (2.6)$$

Finally, the following Beal-Kato-Majda type inequality (see [17, Lemma 2.3]) will be used to estimate $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}$.

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose that $q \in (3, \infty)$, then there exists a constant C depending only on q such that for any $\nabla u \in L^2 \cap W^{1,q}$,*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^\infty}) \log(e + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^q}) + C(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}). \quad (2.7)$$

3 A priori estimates

In this section, we will establish some necessary *a priori* bounds for smooth solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.4) to extend the local strong solutions guaranteed by Lemma 2.1. Thus, let $T > 0$ be a fixed time and (ρ, u, θ, d) be the smooth solution to (1.1)–(1.4) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T]$ with initial data $(\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0, d_0)$ satisfying (1.5)–(1.9). In what follows, C, C_i , and c_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) denote generic positive constants which rely only on $R, c_v, \mu, \lambda, \kappa, \bar{\rho}, M_*$, and the initial values, and $C(\alpha)$ is used to emphasize the dependence of C on α .

First of all, if we multiply (1.1)₁ by a cut-off function and then use a standard limit procedure, we can obtain that (see also [34, Lemma 3.1])

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L^1} = \|\rho_0\|_{L^1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.1)$$

Applying standard maximum principle (see [7, p. 43]) to (1.1) along with $\rho_0, \theta_0 \geq 0$ indicates that

$$\inf_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]} \rho(x, t) \geq 0, \quad \inf_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]} \theta(x, t) \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Multiplying (1.1)₂ by u and (1.1)₄ by $\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d$, respectively, and integrating the resulting equations over \mathbb{R}^3 , integrating (1.1)₃ over \mathbb{R}^3 , and summing them together, we obtain from integration by parts and (1.1)₅ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + c_v \rho \theta + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \right) dx = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + c_v \rho \theta + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \right) dx = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |u_0|^2 + c_v \rho_0 \theta_0 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d_0|^2 \right) dx, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.3)$$

3.1 Time-independent estimates

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(T) &\triangleq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2), \\ A_2(T) &\triangleq \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt, \\ A_3(T) &\triangleq \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 *There exists some positive constant ε_0 depending only on $\mu, \lambda, c_v, R, \kappa, \bar{\rho}$, and the initial data such that if (ρ, u, θ, d) is the smooth solution of (1.1)–(1.4) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T]$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2\bar{\rho}, \quad A_1(T) \leq 2M_*, \quad A_2(T) \leq 2\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad A_3(T) \leq 2\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (3.4)$$

then the following holds true

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}, \quad A_1(T) \leq \frac{3}{2}M_*, \quad A_2(T) \leq \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad A_3(T) \leq \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (3.5)$$

provided that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

Before proving Proposition 3.1, we establish some necessary *a priori* estimates, see Lemmas 3.1–3.4 below.

Lemma 3.1 *Let (ρ, u, θ, d) be the smooth solution of (1.1)–(1.4) satisfying (3.4), then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ & \leq C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $t_0 \in (0, T_*)$ is to be determined and T_* is taken from Lemma 2.1.

Proof. 1. Multiplying (1.1)₂ by u and integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |u|^2 dx + \int [\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\mu + \lambda)(\operatorname{div} u)^2] dx \\ & = - \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx + \int R \rho \theta \operatorname{div} u dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Multiplying (1.1)₄ by $-\Delta d$ and integrating by parts lead to

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d|^2 dx + \int |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx = \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx + \int |\nabla d|^4 dx, \quad (3.8)$$

where we have used $\Delta d \cdot d = -|\nabla d|^2$ due to $|d| = 1$. Then we derive from (3.7), (3.8), Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (3.1), and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho |u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2) dx + \int [\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\mu + \lambda)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2] dx \\ & = \int |\nabla d|^4 dx + \int R \rho \theta \operatorname{div} u dx \\ & \leq (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int \rho^2 \theta^2 dx + \int |\nabla d|^4 dx \\ & \leq (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho}) \|\rho\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|\theta\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\rho\|_{L^1}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + c_1 \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) + 2\mu \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq 2c_1 \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

2. Multiplying (1.1)₃ by θ together with integration by parts and (1.1)₅ gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c_v}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \theta^2 dx + \kappa \int |\nabla \theta|^2 dx \\
&= \int \theta \left(\lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 - R \rho \theta \operatorname{div} u \right) dx \\
&\quad + \int (\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d) \cdot (\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d) \theta dx \\
&\leq \frac{\mu \kappa}{6c_1} \int |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int \theta^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + \rho \theta^2) dx + C \int |\nabla d| |\nabla^3 d| \theta dx \\
&\quad + C \int |\nabla \theta| |\nabla d| |\nabla^2 d| dx + C \int |\nabla d|^4 \theta dx + C \int |\nabla d|^2 |\nabla^2 d| \theta dx \\
&\triangleq \frac{\mu \kappa}{6c_1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality, and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we infer from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2), \\
I_2 + I_3 &\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} + C \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2, \\
I_4 &\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^3 \\
&\leq C (M_*) \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{6c_1} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2, \\
I_5 &\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6} \\
&\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6}^2 \\
&\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequalities into (3.10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
c_v \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \frac{\mu \kappa}{3c_1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{3c_1} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.11) multiplied by $\frac{3c_1}{\kappa}$ to (3.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} (\|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

3. Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.13}$$

we derive from (3.4) that

$$\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 dt \leq C \int_0^T (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{3.14}$$

By Hölder's inequality, (1.7), Sobolev's inequality (see [31, Theorem]), and (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sqrt{\rho_0}\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|\rho_0\theta_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\|_{L^{\frac{5}{4}}} \|\theta_0^{\frac{6}{5}}\|_{L^5} \\
&\leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{5}} \|\rho_0\theta_0\|_{L^1}^{\frac{4}{5}} \|\theta_0\|_{L^6}^{\frac{6}{5}} \\
&\leq \bar{\rho}^{\frac{1}{5}} c_v^{-\frac{4}{5}} \|c_v\rho_0\theta_0\|_{L^1}^{\frac{4}{5}} \frac{1}{3^{\frac{3}{5}}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6}{5}} \\
&\leq \bar{\rho}^{\frac{1}{5}} \frac{1}{3^{\frac{3}{5}}} \left(\frac{2}{c_v\pi}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6}{5}} \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Integrating (3.12) with respect to t gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}_0^2 + \bar{\rho}^{\frac{1}{5}} \frac{1}{3^{\frac{3}{5}}} \left(\frac{2}{c_v\pi}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6}{5}} \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \int_{t_0}^T (\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
&\quad + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^T (\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
&\leq C(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C_1\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C_1\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

provided that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} \frac{1}{3^3} \left(\frac{1}{c_v\pi}\right)^4 \|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}^6 = \frac{\bar{\rho}\|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}^6}{54c_v^4\pi^4}.$$

Hence, (3.6) follows from (3.16) provided that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \triangleq \min \left\{ \frac{\bar{\rho}\|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}^6}{54c_v^4\pi^4}, \frac{1}{(2C_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\}.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let (ρ, u, θ, d) be the smooth solution of (1.1)–(1.4) satisfying (3.4), then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \int \left[\frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\kappa\delta}{2} |\nabla\theta|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2 + \left(\frac{2c_2c_3}{\mu} + 1\right) \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 + 2c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla d_t|^2 \right] dx \\
&\quad + \int \left(\rho |\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\nabla d_t|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\nabla^3 d|^2 + \frac{c_v\delta}{4} \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 + \frac{\mu c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} |\nabla \dot{u}|^2 + 2c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |d_{tt}|^2 + c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla^2 d_t|^2 \right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(R\rho\theta \operatorname{div} u + (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla u - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 + \lambda\delta\theta (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu\delta}{2} \theta |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 \right) dx \\
&\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int (\delta\theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx + \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\|\theta\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + 6\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 \\
&\quad + C\delta \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where δ is a small positive constant and to be determined.

Proof. 1. Multiplying (1.1)₂ by \dot{u} and integrating the resulting equality over \mathbb{R}^3 lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \int \rho |\dot{u}|^2 dx &= - \int \dot{u} \cdot \nabla p dx + \mu \int \Delta u \cdot \dot{u} dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int \nabla \operatorname{div} u \cdot \dot{u} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \dot{u} \cdot \nabla |\nabla d|^2 dx - \int \dot{u} \cdot \operatorname{div}(\nabla d \odot \nabla d) dx \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^5 J_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Since $\dot{\theta} = \theta_t + u \cdot \nabla \theta$, we have $\rho \dot{\theta} = \rho \theta_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla \theta = (\rho \theta)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \theta)$ due to (1.1)₁. Thus, we get that, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int (\operatorname{div} u)_t p dx - \int (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla p dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int R \rho \theta \operatorname{div} u dx - R \int [(\rho \theta)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \theta u)] \operatorname{div} u dx + \int R \rho \theta \partial_j u^k \partial_k u^j dx \\ &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int R \rho \theta \operatorname{div} u dx + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) + C \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Here and in what follows, we use the Einstein convention that the repeated indices denote the summation. Integration by parts implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= -\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \mu \int \partial_i u^j \partial_i (u^k \partial_k u^j) dx \\ &\leq -\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \mu \int \left(\partial_i u^j \partial_i u^k \partial_k u^j - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \operatorname{div} u \right) dx \\ &\leq -\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Similarly to (3.20), we have

$$J_3 \leq -\frac{\mu + \lambda}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^3. \quad (3.21)$$

We deduce from (1.1)₄, Sobolev's inequality, and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div} u_t |\nabla d|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) |\nabla d|^2 dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 dx + \int \operatorname{div} u \nabla d : \nabla \Delta d dx + \int \operatorname{div} u \nabla d : \nabla (|\nabla d|^2) dx \\ &\quad - \int \operatorname{div} u \partial_i d^j \partial_i u \cdot \nabla d^j dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_i u \cdot \nabla u^i |\nabla d|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla d|^2 (\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 dx + \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^6 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 dx + \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 dx + \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 dx + \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Similarly, we arrive at

$$J_5 \leq \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla u dx + \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6. \quad (3.23)$$

Inserting (3.19)–(3.23) into (3.18) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int [\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\mu + \lambda)(\operatorname{div} u)^2] dx + \int \rho |\dot{u}|^2 dx \\
& \leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(R\rho\theta \operatorname{div} u + (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla u - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 \right) dx + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} C (\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4) + C\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3. \quad (3.24)
\end{aligned}$$

2. It follows from (1.1)₄, Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx + \int (|\nabla d_t|^2 + |\nabla^3 d|^2) dx \\
& = \int |\nabla d_t - \nabla \Delta d|^2 dx \\
& = \int |-\nabla(u \cdot \nabla d) + \nabla(|\nabla d|^2 d)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^6 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.25)
\end{aligned}$$

3. Multiplying (1.1)₃ by $\dot{\theta}$ and integrating the resulting equation by parts yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + c_v \int \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 dx & = \kappa \int u \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta dx - \int \rho \theta \operatorname{div} u \dot{\theta} dx + \lambda \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \dot{\theta} dx \\
& \quad + \frac{\mu}{2} \int |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 \dot{\theta} dx + \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \dot{\theta} dx \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^5 N_i. \quad (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us deal with the right-hand side terms in (3.26). In view of the standard estimate of elliptic system, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} & \leq C(\|\rho \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho \theta \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^4}^2) \\
& \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2} + C\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 + C\|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^4}^2. \quad (3.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain from Sobolev's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and (3.27) that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 & = \kappa \int \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \theta|^2 \operatorname{div} u - \partial_i \theta \partial_{i u^k} \partial_k \theta \right) dx \\
& \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^4}^4) + C\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4) + C\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^3 + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^6 \\
& \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4) + C\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{14}. \quad (3.28)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$N_2 \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.29)$$

Integration by parts together with (2.3) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
N_3 &= \lambda \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \theta_t dx + \lambda \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 (u \cdot \nabla \theta) dx \\
&= \lambda \frac{d}{dt} \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \theta dx - 2\lambda \int \theta \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} \dot{u} dx + 2\lambda \int \theta \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u) dx + \lambda \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 (u \cdot \nabla \theta) dx \\
&= \lambda \frac{d}{dt} \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \theta dx - 2\lambda \int \theta \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} \dot{u} dx + 2\lambda \int \theta \operatorname{div} u \partial_i u^j \partial_j u^i dx + \lambda \int u \cdot \nabla (\theta (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx \\
&= \lambda \frac{d}{dt} \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \theta dx - 2\lambda \int \theta \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} \dot{u} dx + 2\lambda \int \theta \operatorname{div} u \partial_i u^j \partial_j u^i dx - \lambda \int \theta (\operatorname{div} u)^3 dx \\
&\leq \lambda \frac{d}{dt} \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \theta dx + \delta (\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + C\delta^{-1} \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Similarly to (3.30), one has

$$N_4 \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 + \delta (\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + C\delta^{-1} \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.31}$$

For the term N_5 , we deduce from Gagliardo-Nirenberg, Hölder's inequality, and Young's inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_5 &= \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \theta_t dx + \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 (u \cdot \nabla \theta) dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \theta dx - \int (|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2)_t \theta dx + \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 (u \cdot \nabla \theta) dx \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \theta dx + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^2) \\
&\quad \times (\|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + \|d_t\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^6}) \\
&\quad + C (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2) \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \theta dx + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^4) + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^3 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} C (\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \theta dx + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} C (\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \theta dx + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) \\
&\quad + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} C \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Inserting the above inequalities back into (3.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + (c_v - 2\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta \left(\lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 + |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \right) dx \\
&\quad + \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + 3\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + 2\delta \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C_2 \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} C \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Thus, combining (3.24), (3.25), and (3.33) multiplied by δ altogether, we derive that, for all $0 < \delta \leq \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{4}}, \frac{1}{4C_2}, \frac{c_v^2}{16} \right\}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\kappa\delta}{2} |\nabla \theta|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2 \right) dx + \int \left(\rho |\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^3 d|^2 + \frac{c_v\delta}{2} \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 \right) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(R\rho\theta \operatorname{div} u + (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla u - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 + \lambda\theta(\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \theta |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 \right) dx \\
&\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int (\theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx + \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + 2\delta^2 \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + 6\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} c_2 \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C\delta \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3). \tag{3.34}
\end{aligned}$$

4. Operating $\partial_t + \operatorname{div}(u \cdot)$ to the j -th component of (1.1)₂ and multiplying the resulting equation by \dot{u}^j , one gets by some calculations that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |\dot{u}|^2 dx \\
&= \mu \int \dot{u}^j (\partial_t \Delta u^j + \operatorname{div}(u \Delta u^j)) dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int \dot{u}^j (\partial_t \partial_j (\operatorname{div} u) + \operatorname{div}(u \partial_j (\operatorname{div} u))) dx \\
&\quad - \int \dot{u}^j (\partial_j p_t + \operatorname{div}(u \partial_j p)) dx - \int \dot{u}^j (\partial_t (\nabla d \cdot \Delta d_j) + \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla d \cdot \Delta d_j)) dx \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i. \tag{3.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= -\mu \int (\partial_i \dot{u}^j \partial_t \partial_i u^j + \Delta u^j u \cdot \nabla \dot{u}^j) dx \\
&= -\mu \int (|\nabla \dot{u}|^2 - \partial_i \dot{u}^j u^k \partial_k \partial_i u^j - \partial_i \dot{u}^j \partial_i u^k \partial_k u^j + \Delta u^j u \cdot \nabla \dot{u}^j) dx \\
&= -\mu \int (|\nabla \dot{u}|^2 + \partial_i \dot{u}^j \partial_k u^k \partial_i u^j - \partial_i \dot{u}^j \partial_i u^k \partial_k u^j - \partial_i u^j \partial_i u^k \partial_k \dot{u}^j) dx \\
&\leq -\frac{3\mu}{4} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4. \tag{3.36}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has

$$K_2 \leq -\frac{\mu + \lambda}{2} \|\operatorname{div} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4. \tag{3.37}$$

It follows from integration by parts, (1.1)₁, and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3 &= \int (\partial_j \dot{u}^j p_t + \partial_j p u \cdot \nabla \dot{u}^j) dx \\
&= \int \partial_j \dot{u}^j p_t dx - \int p \partial_j (u \cdot \nabla \dot{u}^j) dx \\
&= \int \partial_j \dot{u}^j [(\rho\theta)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \theta)] dx - \int \rho \theta \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \dot{u}^j dx \\
&= \int \rho \dot{\theta} \partial_j \dot{u}^j dx - \int \rho \theta \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \dot{u}^j dx \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{4} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.38}
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and applying Hölder's inequality and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
K_4 &\leq C \int |\nabla \dot{u}| (|\nabla d| |\nabla d_t| + |u| |\nabla d| |\nabla^2 d|) dx \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{8} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{8} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{8} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.39}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.36)–(3.39) into (3.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |\dot{u}|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2} \int |\nabla \dot{u}|^2 dx \\ & \leq \delta \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

5. Differentiation (1.1)₄ with respect to t leads to

$$d_{tt} - \Delta d_t = (-u \cdot \nabla d + |\nabla d|^2 d)_t = -u_t \cdot \nabla d - u \cdot \nabla d_t + |\nabla d|^2 d_t + (|\nabla d|^2)_t d, \quad (3.41)$$

which combined with (1.1)₅, Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and (3.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx + \int (|d_{tt}|^2 + |\nabla^2 d_t|^2) dx \\ & = \int |d_{tt} - \Delta d_t|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int |u_t|^2 |\nabla d|^2 dx + C \int |u|^2 |\nabla d_t|^2 dx + C \int |\nabla d|^4 |d_t|^2 dx + C \int |\nabla d|^2 |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int |\dot{u}|^2 |\nabla d|^2 dx + C \int |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla d|^2 dx + C \int |u|^2 |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \\ & \quad + C \int |\nabla d|^4 |d_t|^2 dx + C \int |\nabla d|^2 |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \|\dot{u}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^{12}}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^6} \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^4 \|d_t\|_{L^6}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^6} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

This indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx + \int (|d_{tt}|^2 + |\nabla^2 d_t|^2) dx \\ & \leq c_3 \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Adding (3.40) multiplying by $(\frac{2c_3}{\mu} + 1)$ to (3.43), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int \left[\left(\frac{c_3}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \rho |\dot{u}|^2 + |\nabla d_t|^2 \right] dx + \int \left(\frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla \dot{u}|^2 + |d_{tt}|^2 + |\nabla^2 d_t|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq c_4 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Thus, combining (3.34) and (3.44) multiplied by $2c_2 \delta^{\frac{3}{2}}$ together, we conclude the desired (3.17) as long as δ is chosen suitably small such that

$$0 < \delta \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{4}}, \frac{1}{2C_2}, \frac{c_v^2}{16}, \frac{c_v^2}{(8c_2 c_4)^2}, \frac{1}{(8c_2 c_4)^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \frac{\mu}{2(2c_2 + \mu)} \right\}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete. \square

Next we define

$$\sigma(t) \triangleq \min\{t_0, t\}, \quad (3.45)$$

where $t_0 \in (0, T_*)$ is the same as that in Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\sigma(t)$ be as in (3.45) and let (ρ, u, θ, d) be the smooth solution of (1.1)–(1.4) satisfying (3.4), then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] \\
& + \int_0^T \sigma(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}). \tag{3.46}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. Multiplying (3.17) by $\sigma(t)$ and integrating the resultant with respect to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] \\
& + \int_0^T \sigma(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^T \sigma(\|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t_0} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& + C \int_0^{t_0} \int (\rho \theta |\operatorname{div} u| + \theta |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 + \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx dt \\
& + C \sigma \int (\rho \theta |\nabla u| + |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 + \delta \theta |\nabla u|^2 + \delta \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx \\
& + C \int_0^T \sigma(\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt + C \delta^{\frac{5}{2}} \int_0^T \sigma \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 dt \\
& + C \delta \int_0^T \sigma \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt + C \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^T \sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 dt \\
& + C \int_0^T \sigma(\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \tag{3.47}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \int_0^{t_0} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C t_0 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq C(M_*) t_0. \tag{3.48}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.1), (3.4), Hölder's inequality, and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \int_0^{t_0} \int (\rho \theta |\operatorname{div} u| + \theta |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 + \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C(\bar{\rho}) \int_0^{t_0} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^2) dt + C \int_0^{t_0} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t_0} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}) dt \\
& + C \int_0^{t_0} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^4) dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t_0} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4) dt \\
& + \int_0^{t_0} (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(\bar{\rho}, M_*)t_0. \quad (3.49)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.3), and (3.4), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} C\sigma \int |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 dx &\leq C\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\sigma \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{-1}\sigma \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C\delta\sigma \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M_*) \left(\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{3}{2}} + \delta \right) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

Owing to (2.6), Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6} &\leq C(\|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\| \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C\left(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Applying ∇ to (1.1)₄ gives that

$$\nabla d_t - \nabla \Delta d = -\nabla(u \cdot \nabla d) + \nabla(|\nabla d|^2 d). \quad (3.52)$$

Then it follows from the standard L^2 -estimate for elliptic equations, Sobolev's inequality, and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|u\| \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla u\| \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^3 + C\|\nabla d\| \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3} + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3. \quad (3.53)$$

Inserting (3.53) into (3.51), one obtains that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.54)$$

which combined with Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &C \int \theta |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\delta \|\theta\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\left(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta^{-\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\delta^{-\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4) \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This along with (2.1) yields that

$$C\delta\sigma \int \theta |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta\sigma \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& + C(M_*) \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

By Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality, (3.53), and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \int \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \|\theta\|_{L^6} \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^3} \\
& \leq C \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^2) (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2) \\
& \leq C \delta^{-\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) + \delta^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 \\
& \leq C \delta^{-\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{-\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M_*) \delta^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \delta \sigma \int \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \delta^{\frac{1}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{3}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.50) and (3.55) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \sigma \int (\rho \theta |\nabla u| + |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 + \delta \theta |\nabla u|^2 + \delta \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx \\
& \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) + \left(\frac{1}{4} + C \delta^{\frac{1}{8}}\right) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad + C \left(\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} + \delta^{\frac{1}{8}}\right) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{3}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta \sigma \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + \left[\frac{1}{4} + C_3 \left(\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} + \delta^{\frac{1}{8}}\right)\right] \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad + C_3 \left(\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} + \delta^{\frac{1}{8}}\right) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + C_3 \left(\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta^{-1} + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}}\right) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta \sigma \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad + \delta^{\frac{3}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Let

$$\delta = \delta_1 \triangleq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{4}}, \frac{1}{2C_2}, \frac{c_v^2}{16}, \frac{c_v^2}{(8c_2c_4)^2}, \frac{1}{(8c_2c_4)^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \frac{\mu}{2(2c_2 + \mu)}, \frac{1}{(8C_3)^8} \right\},$$

substituting (3.48), (3.49), and (3.56) into (3.47), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \sigma (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + C \int_0^T \sigma (\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \quad + C \delta \int_0^T \sigma \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt + C \delta^{\frac{5}{2}} \int_0^T \sigma \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^T \sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 dt + C \int_0^T \sigma (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& \triangleq C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + \bar{R}_1 + \bar{R}_2 + \bar{R}_3 + \bar{R}_4 + \bar{R}_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

provided

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_2 \triangleq \min \left\{ \varepsilon_1, \left(\frac{\delta_1}{8C_3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}.$$

2. It follows from Hölder's, Young's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, (3.4), (3.14), and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_1 & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt + C(M_*) \int_0^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)], \\
\bar{R}_2 & \leq C(M_*) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
\bar{R}_3 & \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sigma \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^T \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& \leq C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{3}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma (\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)], \\
\bar{R}_4 & \leq C(M_*) \int_0^T \sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2})^3 dt \\
& \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& \quad + C \int_0^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4) dt \\
& \leq C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad + 2\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] \\
& \leq C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] + C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right), \\
\bar{R}_5 & \leq C \int_0^T \sigma (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C(M_*) \int_0^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq C\left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}\right) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)].
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates on \bar{R}_1 – \bar{R}_5 into (3.57), one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \sigma (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_4 \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\sigma(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] + C(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}). \quad (3.58)$$

This implies the desired (3.46) provided that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_3 \triangleq \min \left\{ \varepsilon_2, \left(\frac{1}{2C_4} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete. \square

Motivated by [3, 34], we now show a time-independent upper bound for the density, which is the key to derive higher order estimates of the solution.

Lemma 3.4 *Let (ρ, u, θ, d) be the smooth solution of (1.1)–(1.4) satisfying (3.4), then it holds that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{3\bar{\rho}}{2}. \quad (3.59)$$

Proof. 1. For $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ and $\eta > 0$, denote

$$\rho^\eta(y, s) = \rho(y, s) + \eta \exp \left\{ - \int_0^s \operatorname{div} u(X(\tau; x, t), \tau) d\tau \right\} > 0, \quad (3.60)$$

where $X(s; x, t)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds} X(s; x, t) = u(X(s; x, t), s), & 0 \leq s < t, \\ X(t; x, t) = x. \end{cases} \quad (3.61)$$

Then we have $\frac{d}{ds}(f(X(s; x, t), s)) = (f_s + u \cdot \nabla f)(X(s; x, t), s)$, and so it follows from (1.1)₁ that

$$\frac{d}{ds} (\log(\rho^\eta(X(s; x, t), s))) = -\operatorname{div} u(X(s; x, t), s). \quad (3.62)$$

Since $\operatorname{div} u = \frac{F+p}{2\mu+\lambda}$ due to (2.3), we obtain from (3.62) that

$$Y'(s) = g(s) + b'(s), \quad (3.63)$$

with

$$Y(s) \triangleq \log(\rho^\eta(X(s; x, t), s)), \quad g(s) \triangleq -\frac{p(X(s; x, t), s)}{2\mu + \lambda}, \quad b(s) \triangleq -\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^s F(X(\tau; x, t), \tau) d\tau.$$

2. Rewrite (1.1)₂ as

$$\partial_t [\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)] - (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} u + p = -\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) - \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d)), \quad (3.64)$$

where

$$M(d) \triangleq \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \quad \text{with } \mathbb{I}_3 \text{ being } 3 \times 3 \text{ identical matrix.}$$

Thus we get from (2.3) and (3.64) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(X(\tau; x, t), \tau) &= -[(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)]_\tau - (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) - (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d)) \\ &= -[(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)]_\tau - u \cdot \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u) + u \cdot \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u) \\ &\quad - (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) - (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d)) \\ &= -\frac{d}{d\tau} [(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)] + [u^i, R_{ij}](\rho u^j) - (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

Here $[u^i, R_{ij}] \triangleq u^i R_{ij} - R_{ij} u^i$ with $R_{ij} = \partial_i (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_j$ being the Riesz transform on \mathbb{R}^3 (we refer the reader to [30, Chapter 3] for its definition and properties). This yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
b(t) - b(0) &= \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^t \left[\frac{d}{d\tau} [(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)] - [u^i, R_{ij}](\rho u^j) + (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d)) \right] d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u) - \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho_0 u_0) - \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^t [u^i, R_{ij}](\rho u^j) d\tau \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^t (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d)) d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \|(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \|(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho_0 u_0)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^t \|[u^i, R_{ij}](\rho u^j)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^t \|(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d))\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\triangleq Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4. \tag{3.66}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Sobolev's inequality, the L^q -estimates of the Riesz transform, and Hölder's inequality, we obtain from (3.4), (3.6), and (3.46) that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 &\leq \frac{C}{2\mu + \lambda} \|(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)\|_{L^4}^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
&\leq C \|\rho u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\rho u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(\bar{\rho}) \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.67}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to Z_1 , we have

$$Z_2 \leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.68}$$

For Z_3 , we deduce from Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Sobolev's inequality, (3.4), (3.54), and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_3 &\leq \frac{C}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^t \|[u^i, R_{ij}](\rho u^j)\|_{L^{12}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla [u^i, R_{ij}](\rho u^j)\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\rho u\|_{L^{12}})^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \|\rho u\|_{L^{12}})^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \bar{\rho} \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^{12}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{4}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^2) d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t \right) (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^6 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \sigma (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau + C(M_*) t_0. \tag{3.69}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.6) and (3.46), one obtains that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right). \tag{3.70}$$

This together with (3.69) and (3.46) yields

$$Z_3 \leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right). \quad (3.71)$$

For Z_4 , by (3.4), (2.1), (3.46), and the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4 &\leq \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_0^t \|(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(M(d))\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t \right) (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq C(M_*)t_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

Substituting (3.67), (3.68), (3.71), and (3.72) into (3.66), we find that

$$b(t) - b(0) \leq C_5 \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \log \frac{3}{2}, \quad (3.73)$$

provided that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_4 \triangleq \min \left\{ \varepsilon_3, \left(\frac{\log \frac{3}{2}}{2^{\frac{1}{4}} C_5} \right)^5 \right\}.$$

Consequently, integrating (3.62) with respect to s over $[0, t]$, we get from (3.73) that

$$\log \rho^\eta(x, t) = \log[\rho_0(X(t; x, 0)) + \eta] + \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau + b(t) - b(0) \leq \log(\bar{\rho} + \eta) + \log \frac{3}{2},$$

due to (1.7) and $g = -\frac{p}{2\mu+\lambda} = -\frac{R\rho\theta}{2\mu+\lambda} \leq 0$. Letting $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain the desired (3.59). \square

With Lemmas 3.1–3.4 at hand, we are now in a position to prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. 1. It follows from (2.1) and (3.46) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sigma(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq M_* + C_6 \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

Thus, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \leq \frac{3M_*}{2}, \quad (3.75)$$

provided

$$t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \leq \frac{M_*}{2C_6}.$$

2. From (3.4), (3.27), and (3.54) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^4}^4) \\
&\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^3 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^8}^8) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}i\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}i\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^4,
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}i\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^4. \quad (3.76)$$

Thus, using (2.1), (3.6), and (3.46), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho}i\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
&= \left(\int_0^{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^T \right) (\|\sqrt{\rho}i\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
&\leq M_* t_0 + \int_0^T \sigma(\|\sqrt{\rho}i\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \sigma(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) dt + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T \sigma \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
&\leq C_7(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}) \leq \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}},
\end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

provided

$$t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}}}{C_7}.$$

3. In the view of (3.6) and (3.46), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt &\leq C(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}) + C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sigma(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C_8(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}}) \leq E_0^{\frac{1}{3}},
\end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

provided

$$t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{1}{3}}}{C_8}.$$

Finally, (3.5) is valid if we select

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_0 \leq t_1 \triangleq \min \left\{ \frac{T_*}{2}, \varepsilon_0 \right\} \quad (3.79)$$

with

$$\varepsilon_0 \triangleq \min \left\{ \frac{\bar{\rho}\|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}^6}{54c_v^4\pi^4}, \frac{1}{(2C_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \left(\frac{\delta_1}{8C_3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \left(\frac{1}{2C_4}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \left(\frac{\log \frac{3}{2}}{2^{\frac{1}{4}}C_5}\right)^5, \left(\frac{M_*}{2C_6}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}}, \left(\frac{1}{2C_7}\right)^{\frac{15}{2}}, \left(\frac{1}{2C_8}\right)^{\frac{15}{7}} \right\}.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete. \square

Lemma 3.5 *Let (ρ, u, θ, d) be the smooth solution of (1.1)–(1.4) satisfying (3.4), then it holds that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3d\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T \|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (3.80)$$

Proof. 1. Operating $\partial_t + \operatorname{div}(u \cdot)$ to (1.1)₃ gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} c_v \rho(\dot{\theta} + u \cdot \nabla \dot{\theta}) &= \kappa \Delta \dot{\theta} + \kappa [\operatorname{div} u \Delta \theta - \partial_i(\partial_i \cdot \nabla \theta) - \partial_i u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \theta] - \rho \dot{\theta} \operatorname{div} u - \rho \theta \operatorname{div} \dot{u} \\ &\quad + \left(\lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 \right) \operatorname{div} u + 2\lambda (\operatorname{div} \dot{u} - \partial_k u^l \partial_l u^k) \operatorname{div} u \\ &\quad + \rho \theta \partial_k u^l \partial_l u^k + \mu (\partial_i u^j + \partial_j u^i) (\partial_i \dot{u}^j + \partial_j \dot{u}^i - \partial_i u^k \partial_k u^j - \partial_j u^k \partial_k u^i) \\ &\quad + \partial_t |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 + \operatorname{div} (|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

Then multiplying (3.81) by $\dot{\theta}$ and integration by parts lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{c_v}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 dx + \kappa \int |\nabla \dot{\theta}|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int |\nabla u| (|\nabla^2 \theta| |\dot{\theta}| + |\nabla \theta| |\nabla \dot{\theta}|) dx + C \int |\nabla u|^2 |\dot{\theta}| (|\nabla u| + \rho \theta) dx \\ &\quad + C \int \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 |\nabla u| dx + C \int \rho \theta |\nabla \dot{u}| |\dot{\theta}| dx + C \int |\nabla u| |\nabla \dot{u}| |\dot{\theta}| dx \\ &\quad + \int \dot{\theta} \partial_t |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 dx + \int \dot{\theta} \operatorname{div} (|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 u) dx \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^7 K_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

By virtue of Hölder's, Young's, and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, we can bound each term K_i as follows

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} (\|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^6}) \leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2, \\ K_2 &\leq C \|\rho \theta\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\rho \theta\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2) \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4, \\ K_3 &\leq C(\bar{\rho}) \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2, \\ K_4 &\leq C \|\rho \theta\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\rho \theta\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2, \\ K_5 &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2, \\ K_6 &\leq C \int (|\nabla^2 d_t| |\nabla^2 d| + |\nabla^2 d| |\nabla d| |\nabla d_t| + |\nabla d|^2 |d_t| |\nabla^2 d|) |\dot{\theta}| dx \\ &\quad + \int (|\nabla^2 d_t| |\nabla d|^2 + |\nabla d|^3 |\nabla d_t| + |\nabla d|^4 |d_t|) |\dot{\theta}| dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^6} \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \\ &\quad + C \|d_t\|_{L^6} \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 + C \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \\ &\quad + C \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^3} + C \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} \|d_t\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^3 \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^8) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ K_7 &\leq C \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^{12}}^4) \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d\|_{L^3}^4 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6}^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{14} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4.$$

Substituting the above estimates on K_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$) into (3.82) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad \times (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

2. It follows from (3.6), (2.1), (3.54), and (3.75) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(\bar{\rho}) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(\bar{\rho}) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(M_*, \bar{\rho}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.84)$$

and from (2.1), (3.5), (3.46), and (3.77) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^T \right) (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(M_*), \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

where we have used the following fact

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^3 \\ &\leq C(M_*) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2})^3 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.86)$$

Combining (3.84) and (3.85), and integrating (3.83) over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (3.87)$$

This together with (3.87) and (3.75) leads to

$$\|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^4 \leq C. \quad (3.88)$$

Thus, the proof of Lemma 3.5 is complete. \square

As a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3.1 and Lemmas 3.1–3.5, we arrive at

Corollary 3.1 *Under the assumptions in Proposition 3.1, then there exists a positive constant C depending only on $\mu, \lambda, c_v, \kappa, R$, and the initial data such that the following estimates hold true*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &+ \int_0^T (\|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2) dt \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.89)$$

3.2 Time-dependent estimates

Lemma 3.6 *Under the same assumption in Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2 \cap L^q} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla^4 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C(T). \quad (3.90)$$

Proof. 1. Taking spatial derivative ∇ on the mass equation (1.1)₁ leads to

$$\partial_t \nabla \rho + u \cdot \nabla^2 \rho + \nabla u \cdot \nabla \rho + \operatorname{div} u \nabla \rho + \rho \nabla \operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

For $q \in (3, 6)$, multiplying the above equality by $q|\nabla \rho|^{q-2} \nabla \rho$ gives that

$$(|\nabla \rho|^q)_t + \operatorname{div}(|\nabla \rho|^q u) + (q-1)|\nabla \rho|^q \operatorname{div} u + q|\nabla \rho|^{q-2} (\nabla \rho)^{tr} \nabla u (\nabla \rho) + q\rho |\nabla \rho|^{q-2} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

Thus, integration by parts over \mathbb{R}^3 yields that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} \leq C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^q}. \quad (3.91)$$

Applying the standard L^q -estimate to (1.1)₂ leads to

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^q} \leq C(\|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla(\rho \theta)\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla d\| \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^q}) \leq C(1 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}), \quad (3.92)$$

due to (3.89). So we obtain from (3.91) and (3.92) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} \leq C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} + C(1 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}). \quad (3.93)$$

2. It follows from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(\|F\|_{L^\infty} + \|\rho \theta\|_{L^\infty} + \|w\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq C\|F\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla F\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\|w\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla F\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla w\|_{L^6}) \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\| \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + \|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla d\| \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6}) \\ &\leq C(\bar{\rho})(1 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(\bar{\rho})(1 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.94)$$

which together with Lemma 2.4, (3.92), and (3.94) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^\infty}) \log(e + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^q}) + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}) \log(e + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}) + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.95)$$

Substituting (3.95) into (3.93) yields that

$$f'(t) \leq Cg(t)f(t) \ln f(t), \quad (3.96)$$

where

$$f(t) \triangleq e + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}, \quad g(t) \triangleq 1 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}.$$

It thus follows from (3.96), Gronwall's inequality, and (3.89) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} \leq C(T). \quad (3.97)$$

It should be noted that (3.91) also holds true for $q = 2$, hence we then derive from that Gronwall's inequality, (3.95), (3.97), (3.92), and (3.89) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \leq C(T). \quad (3.98)$$

This combined with (3.97), (3.75), (3.84), and (3.85) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} &\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(\rho\theta)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}) \leq C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.99)$$

and

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^6}^2 dt \leq C \int_0^T (1 + \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^6}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (3.100)$$

3. Taking the operator ∇^2 to (1.1)₄, we get

$$-\nabla^2 \Delta d = \nabla^2 (|\nabla d|^2 d - u \cdot \nabla d - d_t). \quad (3.101)$$

Using the L^2 -estimates of elliptic system, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^4 d\|_{L^2} &\leq C (\|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2(u \cdot \nabla d)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2(|\nabla d|^2 d)\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6} \\ &\quad + C \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^3} + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6} + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^3} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^4 d\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.102)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^4 d\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4) \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.103)$$

from which, (3.99), and (3.75), one obtains that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla^4 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C \int_0^T (\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (3.104)$$

This together with (3.89), (3.75), (3.99), and (3.104) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^6}^2 dt &\leq C \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{12}}^4 + \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^{12}}^4) dt \\ &\leq C(\bar{\rho}) \int_0^T (\|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^6}^2) dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^T (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^3) dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T (\|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla^4 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.105)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.6 is finished. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In terms of Lemma 2.1, the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.4) has a unique solution (ρ, u, θ, d) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_*]$ for some small $T_* > 0$. With the a priori estimates obtained in Section 3, we can extend the (ρ, u, θ, d)

to all time. In fact, by the continuity of density and Lemma 2.1, we see that (3.4) and (3.5) are valid in $[0, T_1]$ with $T_1 \triangleq \min \left\{ T^*, \frac{2E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}}{M_*} \right\}$.

Set

$$T^* = \sup\{T \mid (3.4) \text{ is valid}\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then $T^* \geq T_1$. We next claim that

$$T^* = \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that $T^* < \infty$. Note that, all the a priori estimates obtained in Section 3 are uniformly bounded for any $t < T^*$. Hence, we define

$$(\rho, u, \theta, d)(x, T^*) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} (\rho, u, \theta, d)(x, t). \quad (4.3)$$

Furthermore, standard arguments yield that $\rho\dot{u}, \rho\dot{\theta} \in C([0, T^*]; L^2)$, which implies

$$(\rho\dot{u}, \rho\dot{\theta})(x, T^*) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} (\rho\dot{u}, \rho\dot{\theta})(x, t) \in L^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & [-\mu\Delta u - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla\operatorname{div}u + \nabla(R\rho\theta) + \nabla d \cdot \Delta d]_{t=T^*} = \sqrt{\rho}(x, T^*)g_1(x), \\ & [-\kappa\Delta\theta - \lambda(\operatorname{div}u)^2 - \frac{\mu}{2}|\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 - |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2]_{t=T^*} = \sqrt{\rho}(x, T^*)g_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x, T^*)(\rho\dot{u})(x, T^*), & \text{for } x \in \{x \mid \rho(x, T^*) > 0\}, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in \{x \mid \rho(x, T^*) = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_2(x) = \begin{cases} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x, T^*)(c_v\rho\dot{\theta} + \rho\theta\operatorname{div}u)(x, T^*), & \text{for } x \in \{x \mid \rho(x, T^*) > 0\}, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in \{x \mid \rho(x, T^*) = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

satisfying $(g_1, g_2) \in L^2$ due to (3.89). Thus, (ρ, u, θ, d) satisfy compatibility conditions (1.8) and (1.9). Therefore, we can extend the local strong solution beyond T^* by taking $(\rho, u, \theta, d)(x, T^*)$ as the initial data and applying the Lemma 2.1. This contradicts the assumption of T^* . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We divide the proof of Theorem 1.2 into several steps.

Step 1. Estimate for $\|\nabla^2 d(t)\|_{L^2}$. We obtain from (3.25) and (3.89) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + t(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2.$$

This along with Gronwall's inequality and (3.89) yields

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T t (\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (5.1)$$

Step 2. Estimate for $\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta(t)\|_{L^2}$. It follows from (3.7), Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality, (3.1), and (3.59) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |u|^2 dx + \int [\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) (\operatorname{div} u)^2] dx \\
&= \int R\rho\theta \operatorname{div} u dx - \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx \\
&\leq (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\rho^{\frac{2}{3}}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|\rho^{\frac{4}{3}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{L^6}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\rho\|_{L^1}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3 + C \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.89) implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{H^2}^2 + c_6 \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.2)$$

In view of (3.10), we infer from Hölder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and (3.89) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c_v}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho\theta^2 dx + \kappa \int |\nabla\theta|^2 dx \\
&= \int \theta \left(\lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 - R\rho\theta \operatorname{div} u \right) dx + \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \theta dx \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa\mu}{8c_6} \int |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int \theta^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + \rho\theta^2) dx + C \int |\nabla d| |\nabla^3 d| \theta dx \\
&\quad + C \int |\nabla\theta| |\nabla d| |\nabla^2 d| dx + C \int |\nabla d|^4 \theta dx + C \int |\nabla d|^2 |\nabla^2 d| \theta dx \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa\mu}{8c_6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|\theta\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6} + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^3 \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\theta\|_{L^6} + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^3}^2 \|\theta\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6} \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa\mu}{8c_6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{2}} + C \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa\mu}{8c_6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{H^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used, for $t \geq t_0$,

$$\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \leq C \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\sigma \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

due to (3.46). Hence, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_v \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa\mu}{4c_6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 + C_9 \left(t_0 + \mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{4}{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.2) and (5.3) multiplied by $\frac{2c_6}{\kappa}$, and choosing

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon_4 \triangleq \min \left\{ \varepsilon_0, \left(\frac{\mu}{2^{\frac{5}{2}} C_9} \right)^{10}, \left(\frac{\kappa}{2^{\frac{5}{2}} C_9} \right)^{10} \right\},$$

we get that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2. \quad (5.4)$$

Multiplying (5.4) by $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality over $[0, T]$, we obtain from (3.89) and (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2) \right] + \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^{t_0} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt + C \int_{t_0}^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \quad + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 dt \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Step 3. Estimate for $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}$, $\|\nabla\theta(t)\|_{L^2}$, and $\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}(t)\|_{L^2}$. Multiplying (3.17) by $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and integrating it by parts over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \left[\frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\kappa\delta}{2} |\nabla\theta|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2 + \left(\frac{2c_2c_3}{\mu} + 1 \right) \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 + 2c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla d_t|^2 \right] dx \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\rho |\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\nabla d_t|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\nabla^3 d|^2 + \frac{c_v\delta}{4} \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 + \frac{\mu c_2 \delta^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} |\nabla \dot{u}|^2 + 2c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |d_{tt}|^2 + c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla^2 d_t|^2 \right) dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^T \int t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\kappa\delta}{2} |\nabla\theta|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2 + \left(\frac{2c_2c_3}{\mu} + 1 \right) \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 + 2c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla d_t|^2 \right) dx dt \\
& \quad + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \left(R\rho\theta \operatorname{div} u + (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla u - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 + \lambda\delta\theta (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu\delta}{2} \theta |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 \right) dx \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(R\rho\theta \operatorname{div} u + (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla u - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} u |\nabla d|^2 + \lambda\delta\theta (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu\delta}{2} \theta |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^{tr}|^2 \right) dx dt \\
& \quad + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \int (\delta\theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx + \int_0^T \int t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\delta\theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx dt \\
& \quad + \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}} (6\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt \\
& \quad + C\delta \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

It follows from (2.1), (3.6), and (3.89) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\kappa\delta}{2} |\nabla\theta|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2 + \left(\frac{2c_2c_3}{\mu} + 1 \right) \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 + 2c_2\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla d_t|^2 \right] dx dt \\
& \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^{t_0} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \\
& \quad + C \int_{t_0}^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Moreover, we deduce from (3.59), (5.5), and (3.89) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& Ct^{\frac{1}{2}} \int (\rho\theta |\operatorname{div} u| + \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 + |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 + \theta |\nabla u|^2) dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{16} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C\delta^{\frac{1}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{16} + C\delta^{\frac{1}{8}} \right) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& + \delta^{\frac{11}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \delta^{\frac{15}{8}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) + C. \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain from (2.1), Hölder's inequality, (3.1), (3.59), Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and (3.89) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\rho \theta |\operatorname{div} u| + \theta |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 + \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq \left(\int_0^{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^T \right) \int t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\rho \theta |\operatorname{div} u| + \theta |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u| |\nabla d|^2 + \theta |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^{t_0} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \\
& \quad + C \int_{t_0}^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^2) dt \\
& \quad + \int_{t_0}^T \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^4) dt \\
& \leq C \int_{t_0}^T (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt + C \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \int_{t_0}^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& \quad + C \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) \int_{t_0}^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \int_{t_0}^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& \quad + C \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \int_{t_0}^T (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \quad + C \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}) \int_{t_0}^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \\
& \leq C. \tag{5.9}
\end{aligned}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (3.89), and (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt \\
& \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \quad + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \tag{5.10}
\end{aligned}$$

We infer from Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (3.89), and (5.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\theta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dx \\
& \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\theta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + 1) \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \tag{5.11}
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.6), (3.89), Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 & \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^3 \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2})^3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.12)$$

Hence, we obtain from (3.89), (5.5), and Proposition 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 dt &\leq \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2})^3 dt \\ &\leq C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2)] \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4) ds \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2)] + C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\leq C_{10}\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2)] + C. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

From (3.53), (3.89), and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^4 dt &\leq C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)] \int_0^T \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq C_{11}\mathbb{E}_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Meanwhile, we infer from (3.89), (5.1), and (5.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} &C \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) dt \\ &\leq C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\quad + C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Substituting (5.7)–(5.15) into (5.6) and choosing δ suitably small, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] \\ &\quad + \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

provided that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \leq \varepsilon'_0 \triangleq \min \left\{ \varepsilon_4, \left(\frac{c_2}{8C_{10}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \left(\frac{\mu}{2C_{11}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}.$$

Consequently, the desired (1.12) follows from (5.5) and (5.16).

Step 4. Estimate for $\|\nabla d_t(t)\|_{L^2}$. We derive from (3.42), Sobolev's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Young's inequality, and (3.89) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla d\|_{L^3}\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2)\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + C(\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2)\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which multiplied by t together with (5.12) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}(t\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) + t\|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + t\|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2)(t\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) + Ct(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2)\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + Ct(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4) + Ct\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain from (3.52), Sobolev's inequality, and (3.89) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^3 + C\|\nabla d\|\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^6 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6}^2 \\
&\leq C\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{H^1}^2\|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&\leq C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{5.18}
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (5.1), (5.5), (5.16), and (3.89), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T t(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2)\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}) \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C, \\
&\int_0^T t(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4) dt \\
&\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2)] \int_0^T t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C, \\
&\int_0^T t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

These estimates combined with (5.17), (5.18), Gronwall's inequality, and (3.89) yields that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T t(\|d_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \tag{5.19}$$

Step 5. Estimate for $\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}(t)\|_{L^2}$. We obtain from (3.83), Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality, (3.59), (3.51), (5.12), (3.76), and (3.89) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_v \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad \times (\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C(\|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad \times (\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which multiplied by t gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_v \frac{d}{dt} (t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2) + \kappa t\|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C[t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2)] \\
&\quad \times t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C(t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)(\|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{H^1}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 [t(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d_t\|_{L^2}^2)] + C\|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2)(t\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& + C[t(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2)]\|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where we have used (3.53) and the following facts

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^3}^2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^6}^2 \leq C(\|\rho_0\|_{L^1}, \bar{\rho})\|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^3}^2 \|\dot{\theta}\|_{L^6}^2 \leq C(\|\rho_0\|_{L^1}, \bar{\rho})\|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

due to Hölder's inequality, (3.1), (3.59), and Sobolev's inequality. Consequently, integrating (5.20) over $[0, T]$, we deduce from (5.16), (5.5), (5.1), (3.89), and (5.19) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T t\|\nabla \dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \tag{5.21}$$

Step 6. Estimate for $\|\nabla^3 d(t)\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\nabla^2 \theta(t)\|_{L^2}$. In view of (3.53) and (3.89), we get that

$$\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which together with (5.19) and (5.1) leads to

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^3 d\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \tag{5.22}$$

We obtain from (1.1)₃, the standard L^2 -estimates of elliptic equations, Sobolev's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (3.89), and (3.54) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^4}^4) \\
& \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^3 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^8}^8) \\
& \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 \\
& \quad + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^3) \\
& \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2 \\
& \quad + C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^4).
\end{aligned}$$

This along with (5.21), (5.1), (5.22), and (5.16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2) & \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2) + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t\|\nabla^2 d\|_{H^1}^2) \\
& \quad + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2)]^2 \leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

which combined with (5.1), (5.19), (5.21), and (5.22) yields the desired (1.13). \square

References

- [1] D. Bian and Y. Xiao, Global solution to the nematic liquid crystal flows with heat effect, *J. Differential Equations*, 263 (2017), no. 9, 5298–5329.
- [2] F. De Anna and C. Liu, Non-isothermal general Ericksen-Leslie system: derivation, analysis and thermodynamic consistency, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 231 (2019), no. 2, 637–717.
- [3] B. Desjardins, Regularity of weak solutions of the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 22 (1997), 977–1008.
- [4] E. DiBenedetto, *Real analysis, 2nd edition*, Birkhäuser, New York, 2016.

- [5] H. Du, Y. Li, and C. Wang, Weak solutions of non-isothermal nematic liquid crystal flow in dimension three, *J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ.*, 6 (2020), no. 1, 71–98.
- [6] J. Fan, F. Li, and G. Nakamura, Local well-posedness for a compressible non-isothermal model for nematic liquid crystals, *J. Math. Phys.*, 59 (2018), no. 3, 031503.
- [7] E. Feireisl, *Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [8] E. Feireisl, M. Frémond, E. Rocca, and G. Schimperna, A new approach to non-isothermal models for nematic liquid crystals, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 205 (2012), 651–672.
- [9] E. Feireisl, E. Rocca, and G. Schimperna, On a non-isothermal model for nematic liquid crystals, *Nonlinearity*, 24 (2011), 243–257.
- [10] E. Feireisl, E. Rocca, G. Schimperna, and A. Zarnescu, Evolution of non-isothermal Landau-de Gennes nematic liquid crystals flows with singular potential, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 12 (2014), no. 2, 317–343.
- [11] E. Feireisl, G. Schimperna, E. Rocca, and A. Zarnescu, Nonisothermal nematic liquid crystal flows with the Ball-Majumdar free energy, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 194 (2015), no. 5, 1269–1299.
- [12] B. Guo, X. Xi, and B. Xie, Global well-posedness and decay of smooth solutions to the non-isothermal model for compressible nematic liquid crystals, *J. Differential Equations*, 262 (2017), no. 3, 1413–1460.
- [13] B. Guo, B. Xie, and X. Xi, On a compressible non-isothermal model for nematic liquid crystals, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03976>
- [14] M. Hieber and J. Prüss, Thermodynamical consistent modeling and analysis of nematic liquid crystal flows, in *Mathematical fluid dynamics, present and future*, 433–459, Springer, Tokyo, 2016.
- [15] M. Hieber and J. Prüss, Modeling and analysis of the Ericksen-Leslie equations for nematic liquid crystal flows, in *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*, 1075–1134, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [16] M. Hieber and J. Prüss, Dynamics of the Ericksen-Leslie equations with general Leslie stress II: The compressible isotropic case, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 233 (2019), no. 3, 1441–1468.
- [17] X. Huang, J. Li, and Z. Xin, Serrin-type criterion for the three-dimensional viscous compressible flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 43 (2011), no. 4, 1872–1886.
- [18] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, and D. Wang, On multi-dimensional compressible flows of nematic liquid crystals with large initial energy in a bounded domain, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 265 (2013), 3369–3397.
- [19] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, and D. Wang, Global weak solutions to the equations of compressible flow of nematic liquid crystals in two dimensions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 214 (2014), 403–451.
- [20] J. Li and Z. Xin, Global existence of weak solutions to the non-isothermal nematic liquid crystals in 2D, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)*, 36 (2016), no. 4, 973–1014.
- [21] J. Li, Z. Xu, and J. Zhang, Global existence of classical solutions with large oscillations and vacuum to the three-dimensional compressible nematic liquid crystal flows, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 20 (2018), no. 4, 2105–2145.
- [22] Z. Liang, Global strong solutions of Navier-Stokes equations for heat-conducting compressible fluids with vacuum at infinity, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 23 (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 17.
- [23] F. H. Lin and C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 48 (1995), no. 5, 501–537.

- [24] F. H. Lin and C. Wang, Recent developments of analysis for hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 372 (2014), no. 2029, 20130361.
- [25] J. Lin, B. Lai, and C. Wang, Global finite energy weak solutions to the compressible nematic liquid crystal flow in dimension three, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 47 (2015), 2952–2983.
- [26] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics, vol. II: compressible models*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [27] Y. Liu and X. Zhong, Global well-posedness to the 3D Cauchy problem of compressible non-isothermal nematic liquid crystal flows with vacuum, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 58 (2021), Paper No. 103219.
- [28] Y. Mei, Global classical solutions to the one dimensional free boundary problem for compressible non-isothermal liquid crystal flow with large data, *J. Differential Equations*, 269 (2020), no. 10, 8055–8106.
- [29] A. M. Sonnet and E. G. Virga, *Dissipative ordered fluids. Theories for liquid crystals*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [30] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [31] G. Talenti, Best constants in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 110 (1976), 353–372.
- [32] T. Tang and J. Sun, Global well-posedness for a 1-D compressible non-isothermal model for nematic liquid crystals, *Acta Appl. Math.*, 168 (2020), 217–233.
- [33] T. Wang, Global existence and large time behavior of strong solutions to the 2-D compressible nematic liquid crystal flows with vacuum, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 18 (2016), 539–569.
- [34] H. Wen and C. Zhu, Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum at infinity in some classes of large data, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 49 (2017), no. 1, 162–221.