

ANALYTICITY AND OBSERVABILITY FOR FRACTIONAL HEAT EQUATION ON \mathbb{R}^n

MING WANG AND CAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study quantitative spatial analytic bounds and unique continuation inequalities of solutions for fractional heat equations with an analytic lower order term on the whole space. At first, we show that the solution has a uniform positive analytic radius for all time, and the solution enjoys a log-type ultra-analytic bound if the coefficient is ultra-analytic. Second, we prove a Hölder type interpolation inequality on a thick set, with an explicit dependence on the analytic radius of coefficient. Finally, by the telescoping series method, we establish an observability inequality from a thick set. As a byproduct of the proof, we obtain observability inequalities in weighted spaces from a thick set for the classical heat equation with a lower order term.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been recently proved in [34, 11] that the observability inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \forall T > 0, \exists C = C(n, T, E) > 0 \text{ so that } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt$$

and the interpolation inequality

$$(1.2) \quad \forall T > 0, \forall \theta \in (0, 1), \exists C = C(n, T, \theta, E) > 0 \text{ so that}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_E |u(T, x)|^2 dx \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(0, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}$$

hold for all solutions of the heat equation

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_t u - \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u(0, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

if and only if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is thick, namely there exists $L > 0$ so that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |E \cap Q_L(x)| > 0.$$

Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure, $Q_L(x)$ stands for the cube in \mathbb{R}^n centered at x with side length $L > 0$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 93B07, 35K05, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. Fractional heat equation, analytic bound, observability.

The thick set has been first introduced in the study of the uncertainty principle of Fourier transform. In fact, the Logvinenko-Sereda theorem (see e.g. [15, 21]) says that

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{CN} \int_E |f(x)|^2 dx, \quad \forall N > 0, \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset B_N(0)$$

holds for some constant $C = C(n, E) > 0$ if and only if E is thick. Here \widehat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f , the ball $B_N(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| \leq N\}$. The inequality (1.4) is called spectral inequality [23], which plays an important role in the the Lebeau-Robbiano strategy to establish observability inequalities.

In fact, let \mathbb{H} be a self-adjoint operator so that $-\mathbb{H}$ generates a C_0 semigroup $\{e^{-t\mathbb{H}}\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $\{\pi_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ be a family of orthogonal projection operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we recall the Lebeau-Robbiano strategy [22, 30, 33, 9, 3, 2]: If there exist $b > a > 0$ and $C > 0$ so that the spectral inequality

$$(1.5) \quad \|\pi_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{CN^a} \|\pi_N f\|_{L^2(E)}$$

and the dissipative inequality

$$(1.6) \quad \|(1 - \pi_N)e^{-tH} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{-CtN^b} \|(1 - \pi_N)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t > 0$$

hold for all $N \geq 1$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the following observability inequality holds

$\forall T > 0, \exists C = C(n, T, E) > 0$ so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{-TH} f(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^T \int_E |e^{-tH} f(x)|^2 dx dt, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

If we let π_N be the Fourier projection operator defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \widehat{\pi_N f}(\xi) = \chi_{|\xi| \leq N} \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

where $\chi_{|\xi| \leq N}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq N\}$, then the inequality (1.4) can be rewritten as

$$(1.8) \quad \|\pi_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{CN} \|\pi_N f\|_{L^2(E)}, \quad \forall N > 0, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, for every $s > 0$, let $\Lambda^s = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ be the fractional Laplacian defined by the Fourier transform

$$(1.9) \quad \widehat{\Lambda^s f} = |\xi|^s \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

By the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$(1.10) \quad \|(1 - \pi_N)e^{-t\Lambda^s} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{-tN^s} \|(1 - \pi_N)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t, N > 0, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

According to the above Lebeau-Robbiano strategy, if $s > 1$ and E is a thick set, then the observability inequality (1.1) holds for all solutions of

$$(1.11) \quad \partial_t u + \Lambda^s u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u(0, x) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In particular, letting $s = 2$, this recovers the observability (1.1) for the heat equation (1.3). We note that the restriction $s > 1$, comes from the assumption $b > a$ in (1.5)-(1.6), is essential¹. In fact, if $0 < s \leq 1$, then the equation (1.11) is not null controllable on a thick set E (say, E is the complement of a nonempty open set, see [20, 27]).

Based on Carleman estimates, Lebeau and Moyano [24] have proved a spectral inequality for the Schrödinger operator $H_{g,V} = \Delta_g + V(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to an analytic metric g , $V(x)$ is an analytic function vanishes at infinity. Precisely, if E is a thick set, then there exists $C > 0$ so that

$$(1.12) \quad \|\pi_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \sqrt{\det g} dx)} \leq C e^{C\sqrt{N}} \|\pi_N f\|_{L^2(E, \sqrt{\det g} dx)}, \quad \forall N > 0, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where π_N is a spectral projection to the low frequency (see [24] for a precise definition). Clearly, the inequality (1.12), by the Lebeau-Robbiano strategy, implies that the observability inequality (1.1) holds for all solutions of the heat equation with real analytic potentials $V(x)$:

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = V(x)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u(0, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Motivated by these works, we wonder that, to what extent the above mentioned results can be extended to the fractional heat equation with a space-time potential

$$(1.13) \quad \partial_t u + \Lambda^s u = a(t, x)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u(0, x) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $s > 1$, Λ^s is defined by (1.9). In particular, whether the inequalities (1.1)-(1.2) hold for all solutions of (1.13) with analytic potential $a(t, x)$?

We first note that, due to the nonlocal property of Λ^s and the time dependence of $a(t, x)$, it is not clear that how to adapt the approach of Lebeau and Moyano in [24] to the equation (1.13). Moreover, if one uses the spectral inequality (1.8), with π_N being the Fourier projection defined by (1.7), then according to an adapted Lebeau-Robbiano strategy [2], the observability (1.1) reduces to the following dissipative estimate

$$(1.14) \quad \|(1 - \pi_N)u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(t) e^{-CtN^\gamma} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t, N > 0, u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

¹But for the exponential stabilization of the fractional heat equation, this restriction can be removed, see [18, Lemma 2.2].

for some $\gamma > 1$ (corresponding to $b > a$ in (1.5)-(1.6)), where $u(t, x)$ is the solution of (1.13). However, the estimate (1.14) is equivalent to

$$(1.15) \quad \|e^{ct|\xi|^\gamma} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(t) \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t > 0, u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which, to the best of our knowledge, is still open even if $a(t, x)$ satisfying that

$$\sup_{t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^\alpha a(t, x)| \leq 1, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

In fact, the bound (1.15) implies that (see e.g. [34, Lemma 3.3, p.131])

$$(1.16) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C^{|\alpha|}(t) (\alpha!)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

which, usually called ultra-analytic estimate, is stronger than the usual analytic bound

$$(1.17) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C^{|\alpha|}(t) \alpha!, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

since $s > 1$. The bound of the form (1.17) has been studied for Navier-Stokes equations, see e.g. [31, 5, 6, 16]. We also mention that the time analyticity has been proved in [7]. But little is known on the bound (1.16) for heat equations.

Thus, we shall first study analytical bounds toward to (1.15) for the solution of (1.13). To state our main results, we make two assumptions on $a(t, x)$.

(A1) Analyticity: There exist constants $C, R > 0$ so that

$$\sup_{t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^\alpha a(t, x)| \leq C \frac{\alpha!}{R^{|\alpha|}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

(A2) Ultra-analyticity: There exist constants $C, M > 0, \kappa \in [0, 1)$ so that

$$\sup_{t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^\alpha a(t, x)| \leq CM^{|\alpha|} (\alpha!)^\kappa, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s > 1$ and let $u(t, x)$ be the solution of (1.13).*

(i) *Assume (A1) holds. Then there exist constants $c > 0, C > 0$ so that for all $t > 0$*

$$(1.18) \quad \|\widehat{u}(t, \cdot) e^{cR|\xi|}\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \exp \left\{ C \left[1 + (t^{-1} R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} + t \sup_{t>0} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}} \right] \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{A^R}$ is defined by (2.5).

(ii) *Assume (A2) holds. Then there exist constants $c > 0, C > 0$ so that for all $t > 0$*

$$(1.19) \quad \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^{1-\kappa}} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{C(t^{-\frac{1}{s-1}} + t)} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The bound (1.18) shows that $\|\widehat{u}(t, \cdot)e^{cR|\xi|}\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is finite for every $t > 0$. This, according to the Paley-Wiener theorem [32, Theorem IX.13, p.18], implies that the solution $u(t, \cdot)$ can be extended to an analytic function $u(t, z)$ in the strip

$$S_\sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\operatorname{Im}z| < \sigma\}$$

with $\sigma = cR$. In particular, the solution has a fixed analytic radius at every time if the lower order term is analytic. Results in similar manner has been proved in [10] for $2m$ (m is an integer) order parabolic equation on bounded domains. The proof in [10] relies on Schauder estimates and a delicate iteration argument, while (1.18) is proved by some tools in Fourier analysis.

The main novelty of (1.18) lies in that it gives quantitative information on the analytic radius of the solution and upper bound constant in terms of R , the analytic radius of the coefficient $a(t, \cdot)$. This is the key ingredient in the proof of the estimate (1.19).

Since for every $R > 0$,

$$\|e^{R|\xi|}\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(R)\|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^{1-\kappa}}\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

it follows from (1.19) that the solution $u(t, x)$, for every $t > 0$, can be extended to an analytic function on the whole \mathbb{C}^n . Though (1.19) is weaker than the classical ultra-analytic estimate (1.15), it is new for us. We call (1.19) a log-type ultra-analytic estimate.

With these quantitative analytic bounds in hand, we prove some Hölder type interpolation inequalities of unique continuation as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s > 1$, E be a thick set in \mathbb{R}^n and $u(t, x)$ be the solution of (1.13).*

- (i) *Assume that (A1) holds for some $R > 0$. Then, there exist constants $C = C(n, E, \sigma) > 0$ and $C' = C'(n, E) > 0$ so that*

$$(1.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq C_0 \left(\int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right)^\theta \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2(1-\theta)}$$

holds for all $\theta \in (0, e^{-C' \max\{1, R^{-1}\}})$, where

$$C_0 = C \exp \left\{ C \left[1 + (t^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} + t \sup_{t>0} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}} \right] \right\}.$$

- (ii) *Assume that (A2) holds. Then, there exist $C > 0$ so that for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$*

$$(1.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{C(t^{-\frac{1}{s-1}} + t)} e^{e^{C(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta})^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} \left(\int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right)^\theta \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2(1-\theta)}.$$

In order to prove (1.20), we shall establish an interpolation inequality for a function f satisfying $\|e^{R|\xi|}\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ on a thick set. This is a slightly stronger than previous versions in the existing literature, we refer the interesting reader to Remark 3.8 for the history of this topic.

The proof of (1.21) relies on the Logvinenko-Sereda theorem and a high-low frequency decomposition. The inequality (1.21) is stronger than (1.20) in the sense that it allows the Hölder exponent θ close to 1 arbitrarily. Moreover, it shows that the interpolation inequality (1.2) holds at least for ultra-analytic lower order terms.

With regards to the observability inequality for solutions to (1.13), we have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $s > 1$ and (A1) holds, and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a thick set. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, a and E so that for all $T > 0$ and all solutions of (1.13),*

$$(1.22) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{C(T + \frac{1}{T^{s-1}})} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

Theorem 1.3 generalizes the observability inequalities in [34, 11]. As mentioned above, Theorem 1.3 does not follow directly from the Lebeau-Robbiano strategy. But it can be proved with a similar idea. In fact, based on the telescoping method, the observability inequality can be reduced to an interpolation inequality, see Corollary 4.2. In this way, we prove Theorem 1.3 by the interpolation inequality (1.20). Note that this approach proving observability inequality has been used successfully in [8].

Based on the techniques developed in this paper, we can establish the following observability inequalities for heat equations in weighted spaces, which are of independent interest.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (A1) hold and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a thick set. Then for every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on δ, n, a and E so that*

$$(1.23) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(T, x)|^2 (1 + |x|^2)^\delta dx \leq C e^{C(T + \frac{1}{T})} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 (1 + |x|^2)^\delta dx dt$$

holds for all $T > 0$ and all solutions of

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = a(t, x)u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad u(0, x) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1 + |x|^2)^\delta dx).$$

This paper is mainly devoted to observability estimates for solutions of fractional heat equations with real analytic potentials depending on both space and time variables in

the whole space \mathbb{R}^n . We refer the reader to, e.g., [12, 28, 29, 30, 9, 25, 26, 19] for null controllability results for fractional heat equations on bounded domains.

Throughout the paper, we use $n \geq 1$ to denote the spatial dimension. In some places, we use $A \lesssim B$ to denote $A \leq CB$ for some universal constant $C > 0$. If both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ hold, then we write $A \sim B$. The Fourier transform is given by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

We use $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1 + |x|^2)^\delta dx)$ to denote the Hilbert space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|x|^2)^\delta dx)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 (1 + |x|^2)^\delta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It reduces to the usual $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space if $\delta = 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we first establish some interpolation inequalities for real analytic functions, then prove Theorem 1.2 with the aid of Theorem 1.1. Finally, we prove the Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 in Section 4.

2. ANALYTIC BOUNDS

2.1. Preliminaries. For every $\sigma > 0$, we define the Banach space $G^\sigma = G^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$, consisting of analytic function in $S_\sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\operatorname{Im}z| < \sigma\}$, endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{G^\sigma} = \sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|f(\cdot + iy)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This kind of analytic functions, according to the Paley-Wiener theorem, is related to the function whose Fourier transform decays exponentially at infinity. The proof of the following lemma is inspired by Problem 76 in [32, p.132].

Lemma 2.1. *For all $\sigma > 0$ and all $f \in G^\sigma$*

$$(2.1) \quad \|e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{G^\sigma} \lesssim \|e^{\sigma|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. We first claim that

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{G^\sigma} \sim \sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|e^{y \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In fact, by the Fourier inversion,

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular, replacing x by $x + iy$, we find

$$f(x + iy) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-y \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) \, d\xi, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for every $|y| < \sigma$. By the Plancherel theorem,

$$\sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|f(\cdot + iy)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|e^{-y \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|e^{y \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This, recalling the definition of G^σ norm, proves (2.2).

Now we prove (2.1). We note that (2.2) implies $\|f\|_{G^\sigma} \lesssim \|e^{\sigma|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ if we use the simple fact that $|y \cdot \xi| \leq |y||\xi| \leq \sigma|\xi|$. Thus it remains to show $\|e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{G^\sigma}$. This, using (2.2) again, reduces to proving $\|e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|e^{y \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. By a scaling argument, it suffices to consider the case $\sigma = 1$, namely

$$(2.3) \quad \|e^{\frac{1}{2}|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{|y| < 1} \|e^{y \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In the case $n = 1$, this holds clearly, see [17, p.5285]. But the higher dimension cases need more analysis. In fact, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Lebesgue measure

$$\left| \left\{ |y| < 1 : y \cdot \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right| \sim 1.$$

This implies that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(2.4) \quad e^{|\xi|} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 \lesssim \int_{|y| < 1} e^{2y \cdot \xi} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 \, dy.$$

Integrating (2.4) over $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and using the Fubini theorem, we infer that

$$\|e^{\frac{1}{2}|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \lesssim \int_{|y| < 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2y \cdot \xi} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \, dy \lesssim \sup_{|y| < 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2y \cdot \xi} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi.$$

This proves (2.3), and completes the proof. \square

For every $\sigma > 0$, we introduce the following analytic function space A^σ endowed with the norm

$$(2.5) \quad \|f\|_{A^\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sigma^{|\alpha|} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\alpha!}.$$

Remark 2.2. If a satisfies **(A1)**, then for all $t \geq 0$, $a(t, \cdot) \in A^{\frac{R}{2}}$. In fact,

$$\|a\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)^{|\alpha|} \|\partial_x^\alpha a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\alpha!} \leq C \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} 2^{-|\alpha|} \lesssim 1.$$

Lemma 2.3. For all $\sigma > 0$ and all $a \in A^\sigma, u \in G^\sigma$

$$\|au\|_{G^\sigma} \lesssim \|a\|_{A^\sigma} \|u\|_{G^\sigma}.$$

Proof. Assume that $a \in A^\sigma$. By the Taylor expansion for multi-variable function

$$a(x + iy) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\partial_x^\alpha a(x)}{\alpha!} (iy)^\alpha,$$

we deduce that

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |y| < \sigma} |a(x + iy)| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left| \frac{\partial_x^\alpha a(x)}{\alpha!} (iy)^\alpha \right| \leq \|a\|_{A^\sigma}.$$

Recalling the definition of G^σ norm, and using (2.6), we obtain

$$\|au\|_{G^\sigma} \lesssim \sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|(au)(x + iy)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|a\|_{A^\sigma} \sup_{|y| < \sigma} \|u(x + iy)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|a\|_{A^\sigma} \|u\|_{G^\sigma}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $\{e^{-t\Lambda^s}\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the analytic semigroup generated by $-\Lambda^s$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This semigroup can be expressed by the Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{e^{-t\Lambda^s} f}(\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^s} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that $s > 1$. Then for all $t \geq 0$ and all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\|e^{-t\Lambda^s} f\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Fix $t > 0$. By (2.1) and the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\|e^{-t\Lambda^s} f\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}} \lesssim \|e^{\frac{1}{s}|\xi| - t|\xi|^s} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|e^{\frac{1}{s}|\xi| - t|\xi|^s}\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The desired bound follows from the fact that

$$\|e^{\frac{1}{s}|\xi| - t|\xi|^s}\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{s - s^s} \lesssim 1,$$

where we used $s > 1$ in the last inequality. \square

Using the semigroup $\{e^{-t\Lambda^s}\}_{t \geq 0}$, we can rewrite the fractional heat equation (1.13) as an integral equation

$$(2.7) \quad u(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^s} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Lambda^s} (au)(s) ds.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Assume (A1) holds for some $R > 0$. Then there exists a unique solution u of (2.7) satisfying*

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}} \leq C \exp \left\{ Ct \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, (\frac{R}{2})^s],$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4,

$$(2.8) \quad \|e^{-t\Lambda^s} u_0\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}} \leq C_0 \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|au\|_{G^\sigma} \leq C_1 \|a\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}} \|u\|_{G^\sigma}, \quad \sigma \in [0, \frac{R}{2}]$$

for some $C_0, C_1 > 0$. Here $\|a\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}}$ is finite, see Remark 2.2.

Fix $T \in [0, (\frac{R}{2})^s]$. Define a ball

$$\mathcal{B} = \{u : \|u\|_X \leq M \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}$$

where $M = e^{C_2 T}$, $C_2 = C_0 C_1 \sup_{t \geq 0} \|a\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}}$, and

$$\|u\|_X = C_0^{-1} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-C_2 t} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}}.$$

Now we consider the mapping

$$\Gamma u = e^{-t\Lambda^s} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Lambda^s} (au)(\tau) d\tau.$$

If $u \in \mathcal{B}$, then by (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma u\|_X &\leq C_0^{-1} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-C_2 t} \|e^{-t\Lambda^s} u_0\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}} \\ &\quad + C_0^{-1} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-C_2 t} \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)\Lambda^s} (au)(\tau)\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}} d\tau \\ &\leq \|u_0\| + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-C_2 t} \int_0^t C_1 \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \|u(\tau)\|_{G^{\tau\frac{1}{s}}} d\tau \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-C_2 t} \int_0^t C_2 e^{C_2 \tau} d\tau \|u\|_X \\ &= \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + (1 - e^{-C_2 T}) \|u\|_X \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + (1 - e^{-C_2 T}) M \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = M \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\Gamma \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

Note that in the second inequality above, we have used (2.8)-(2.9) and the inequality $t\frac{1}{s} - (t-\tau)\frac{1}{s} \leq \tau\frac{1}{s}$ to obtain that

$$\|e^{-(t-\tau)\Lambda^s} (au)\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s}}} \leq C_0 \|au\|_{G^{t\frac{1}{s} - (t-\tau)\frac{1}{s}}} \leq C_0 \|au\|_{G^{\tau\frac{1}{s}}} \leq C_0 C_1 \sup_{t \geq 0} \|a\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}} \|u\|_{G^{\tau\frac{1}{s}}}.$$

In the third inequality above, we have used the definitions of C_2 and X .

Moreover, if $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$, then

$$\|\Gamma u - \Gamma v\|_X \leq (1 - e^{-C_2 T}) \|u - v\|_X.$$

Hence, $\Gamma : \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{B}$ is a contraction mapping, and (2.7) has a unique solution u in \mathcal{B} , namely satisfying the bound

$$C_0^{-1} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-C_2 t} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{t^{\frac{1}{s}}}} \leq M \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which implies that

$$\|u(T, \cdot)\|_{G^{T^{\frac{1}{s}}}} \leq C_0 e^{2C_2 T} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This gives the desired bound, since $T \in [0, (\frac{R}{2})^s]$ is arbitrary. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Assume (A1) holds for some $R > 0$. Let $b \in [0, \frac{R}{2}]$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ so that the solution of (2.7) satisfies*

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{t^{\frac{1}{s}+b}}} \leq C \exp \left\{ Ct \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u_0\|_{G^b}, \quad \forall t \in [0, (\frac{R}{2} - b)^s].$$

Proof. The proof is the same as above. In fact, it suffices to use

$$\|e^{-t\Lambda^s} u_0\|_{G^{t^{\frac{1}{s}+b}}} \leq C_0 \|u_0\|_{G^b}$$

instead of (2.8). \square

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i). Now we shall use Proposition 2.6 repeatedly and an iteration argument to obtain an analytic bound with a fixed analytic radius, which is independent of the time variable.

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that (A1) holds for some $R > 0$, then there exist constants $c, C > 0$ so that the solution of (2.7) satisfies*

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}} \leq \exp \left\{ C(t^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} + Ct \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad t \in (0, (\frac{R}{2})^s].$$

Proof. Let $t \in (0, T]$ with $T = (\frac{R}{2})^s$. For every $m \geq 1$, make decomposition

$$[0, t] = \left[0, \frac{1}{m}t\right] \cup \left[\frac{1}{m}t, \frac{2}{m}t\right] \cup \dots \cup \left[\frac{(m-1)}{m}t, t\right].$$

Using Proposition 2.6 on the intervals $[\frac{j-1}{m}t, \frac{j}{m}t]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\frac{1}{m}t, \cdot)\|_{G(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} &\leq C \exp \left\{ C \frac{1}{m}t \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \|u(\frac{2}{m}t, \cdot)\|_{G^2(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} &\leq C \exp \left\{ C \frac{1}{m}t \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u(\frac{1}{m}t)\|_{G(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} \\ &\dots \\ \|u(\frac{j}{m}t, \cdot)\|_{G^j(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} &\leq C \exp \left\{ C \frac{1}{m}t \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u(\frac{j-1}{m}t)\|_{G^{(j-1)}(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} \\ &\dots \\ \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^m(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} &\leq C \exp \left\{ C \frac{1}{m}t \|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u(\frac{m-1}{m}t)\|_{G^{(m-1)}(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities we infer that

$$(2.10) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^m(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} \leq C^m \exp\{Ct\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})}\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

provided that

$$m(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \frac{R}{2}.$$

Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$m(\frac{1}{m}t)^{\frac{1}{s}} \sim R.$$

Which is in fact equivalent to

$$m \sim (t^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}.$$

Then it follows from (2.10) that for some $c, C' > 0$

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}} \leq C^{C'(t^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}} \exp \left\{ Ct\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad t \in (0, (\frac{R}{2})^s].$$

This implies the desired bound and completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i). Let $t_0 = (\frac{R}{2})^s$. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t_0, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}} &\leq \exp \left\{ C(t_0^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} + Ct_0\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ C(1 + t_0\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})}) \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for all $\tau \geq 0$ that

$$(2.11) \quad \|u(\tau + t_0, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}} \leq \exp \left\{ C(1 + t_0\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})}) \right\} \|u(\tau)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By the classical energy estimate we have

$$\|u(\tau)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \exp\{C\tau\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})}\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then we deduce from (2.11) that for all $t \geq t_0$

$$(2.12) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}} \leq \exp \left\{ C(1 + t\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})}) \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.7 again, we have for all $t \in (0, t_0]$

$$(2.13) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}} \leq \exp \left\{ C \left[(t^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} + t\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right] \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining (2.12)-(2.13), we infer that for any $t > 0$

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}} \leq \exp \left\{ C \left[1 + (t^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} + t\|a\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; A^{\frac{R}{2}})} \right] \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This implies the bound (1.18), since $\|e^{\frac{\sigma R}{2}|\xi|}\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{cR}}$, which follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii).

Lemma 2.8. *Assume that (A2) holds. Then there exists $C = C(n, M, \kappa) > 0$ so that*

$$\|a\|_{A^R} \leq e^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}, \quad \forall R > 0.$$

Proof. By the assumption (A2), we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^\alpha a| \leq CM^{|\alpha|}(\alpha!)^\kappa, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

By the definition of A^R norm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{A^R} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{R^{|\alpha|} \|\partial_x^\alpha a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\alpha!} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} C \frac{(MR)^{|\alpha|}}{(\alpha!)^{1-\kappa}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} 2^{-|\alpha|} C \frac{(2MR)^{|\alpha|}}{(\alpha!)^{1-\kappa}} \lesssim \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(2MR)^{|\alpha|}}{(\alpha!)^{1-\kappa}} \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(2MR)^\alpha}{(\alpha!)^{1-\kappa}} \right)^n = \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(2MR)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\kappa}}}{\alpha!} \right)^{n(1-\kappa)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the inequality $x^n(n!)^{-1} \leq e^x$ for all $x > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we find

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(2MR)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\kappa}}}{\alpha!} \leq e^{(2MR)^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}.$$

Then we conclude that

$$\|a\|_{A^R} \lesssim e^{n(1-\kappa)(2MR)^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}.$$

This gives the desired bound. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii). According to Lemma 2.8, we have for some $C > 0$

$$(2.14) \quad \sup_{t>0} \|a\|_{A^R} \leq C e^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}, \quad \forall R > 0.$$

Let u be the solution of (1.13). Fix $t > 0$. By Theorem 1.1 (i), there exists $C > 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{u}(t, \cdot) e^{C^{-1}R|\xi|} \right\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \exp \left\{ C \left[1 + (t^{-1}R^s)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} + t \sup_{t>0} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}} \right] \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ C \left(t^{-\frac{1}{s-1}} + t \right) \left(R^{\frac{s}{s-1}} + \sup_{t>0} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{A^{\frac{R}{2}}} \right) \right\} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $R \geq 1$. By using (2.14) and absorbing the term $R^{\frac{s}{s-1}}$, we have

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} |\widehat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq e^{C(t^{-\frac{1}{s-1}}+t)} e^{Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \quad \forall R \geq 1.$$

Rewrite (2.15) as

$$(2.16) \quad e^{-2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} |\widehat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq e^{C(t^{-\frac{1}{s-1}}+t)} e^{-Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \quad \forall R \geq 1.$$

Integrating (2.16) over $R \in [1, \infty)$ and changing the integration order, we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^\infty e^{-2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} dR |\widehat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C e^{C(t^{-\frac{1}{s-1}}+t)} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

Thanks to (2.17), Theorem 1.1 (ii) holds true if one can show the following claim: There exists $c > 0$ so that

$$(2.18) \quad \int_1^\infty e^{-2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} dR \geq c e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^{1-\kappa}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Finally, it remains to show (2.18). Without loss of generality, we assume $C > 1$. In fact, in the case $|\xi| \leq 4C^2 e^{2^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}C}$, we have

$$(2.19) \quad \int_1^\infty e^{-2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} dR \geq \int_1^2 e^{-2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} dR \gtrsim e^{|\xi| \log(e+|\xi|)}.$$

This proves (2.18).

In the case $|\xi| > 4C^2 e^{2^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}C}$, let

$$(2.20) \quad R_0 = \left(C^{-1} \log \frac{|\xi|}{4C^2} \right)^{1-\kappa} > 2.$$

If $1 \leq R \leq R_0$, one can check that

$$C^{-1}R|\xi| > C^{-1}R \cdot 4C^2 e^{2^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}C} > 2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.21) \quad e^{-2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} \geq e^{C^{-1}R|\xi|}.$$

It follows from (2.20)-(2.21) that (2.21) holds if $R \in [R_0 - 1, R_0]$. Thus,

$$(2.22) \quad \int_1^\infty e^{-2Ce^{CR^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}}} e^{2C^{-1}R|\xi|} dR \geq \int_{R_0-1}^{R_0} e^{C^{-1}R|\xi|} dR \geq e^{C^{-1}(R_0-1)|\xi|}.$$

By (2.20) again, we have

$$R_0 \sim (\log(e + |\xi|))^{1-\kappa}, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that for some small $c > 0$

$$e^{C^{-1}(R_0-1)|\xi|} \geq ce^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^{1-\kappa}}, \quad |\xi| > 4C^2e^{2\frac{1}{1-\kappa}C}.$$

This, together with (2.22), shows that (2.18) holds. □

3. QUANTITATIVE UNIQUE CONTINUATION FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

In this section, we first prove some interpolation inequalities on thick sets for analytic functions and ultra-analytic functions, respectively; and then we prove Theorem 1.2. To this end, we first recall a local interpolation inequality for analytic functions.

Lemma 3.1 ([1, Theorem 1.3]). *Let $R > 0$ and let $f : B_{2R} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be real analytic in B_{2R} verifying*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \leq M(\rho R)^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|!, \quad \text{when } x \in B_{2R} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

for some positive numbers M and $\rho \in (0, 1]$. Let $\omega \subset B_R$ be a subset of positive measure. Then there are constants $C = C(\rho, |\omega|/|B_R|) > 0$ and $\theta = \theta(\rho, |\omega|/|B_R|) \in (0, 1)$ so that

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leq CM^{1-\theta} \left(\frac{1}{|\omega|} \int_\omega |f(x)| dx \right)^\theta.$$

Here and in the sequel, we use B_R to denote a ball in \mathbb{R}^n with radius R , Q_L a cube in \mathbb{R}^n with side length L .

Remark 3.2. As a consequence of (3.1), we can derive an L^p version inequality, which will be useful later. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$|B_R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \geq \|f\|_{L^p(B_R)}, \quad \frac{1}{|\omega|} \int_\omega |f(x)| dx \leq |\omega|^{\frac{1}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Inserting them into (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(B_R)} \leq C |B_R|^{\frac{1}{p}} |\omega|^{\theta(\frac{1}{p}-1)} M^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}^\theta.$$

Clearly, (3.2) also holds in the case that $p = \infty$.

Based on Lemma 3.1, we establish an interpolation inequality of unique continuation for functions in G^σ , with an explicit index θ depending on σ .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L, \sigma > 0$ and $\omega \subset Q_L$ be a subset of positive measure. Then there exist two constants $C = C(p, n, |\omega|, L, \sigma) > 0$ and $C' = C'(n, |\omega|, L) > 0$ so that*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Q_L)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}^\theta M^{1-\theta}$$

holds for all $\theta \in (0, e^{-C' \max\{1, \frac{L}{\sigma}\}})$, where

$$M = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sigma^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L})}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in G^\sigma$ with some $\sigma > 0$. Clearly, f is real analytic on \mathbb{R}^n . Also, by the definition of M , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L})} \leq M \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

If $\sigma \geq L$, then (3.3) holds with $(\frac{1}{\sigma})^{|\alpha|}$ replaced by $(\frac{1}{L})^{|\alpha|}$. Since $\omega \subset Q_L$ has a positive measure, we can apply Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2 (with $\rho = 1$, $R = L$) to obtain that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Q_L)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}^{\theta_0} M^{1-\theta_0}$$

for some $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$. Thus this lemma holds in this case.

Now we consider the other case that $\sigma < L$. We first claim that there exists a point $x_0 \in Q_L$ so that $Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}(x_0) \subset Q_L$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{|\omega \cap Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}(x_0)|}{|Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}(x_0)|} \geq c_0$$

for some $c_0 = c_0(n, L, |\omega|) > 0$. In fact, let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, we split Q_L as disjoint small cubes $Q_{\frac{L}{k}}(x)$, then

$$|\omega| = \sum_x |\omega \cap Q_{\frac{L}{k}}(x)|.$$

Choose an x_0 so that $|\omega \cap Q_{\frac{L}{k}}(x_0)| = \max_x |\omega \cap Q_{\frac{L}{k}}(x)|$. Since there are k^n small cubes, we infer that

$$(3.5) \quad |\omega \cap Q_{\frac{L}{k}}(x_0)| \geq k^{-n} |\omega|.$$

Set $k = \lfloor \frac{3L}{\sigma} \rfloor + 1$. Then $\frac{L}{k} \leq \frac{\sigma}{3}$, and of course $Q_{\frac{L}{k}}(x_0) \subset Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}$. Thus (3.5) becomes

$$|\omega \bigcup Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}(x_0)| \geq k^{-n} |\omega|,$$

which, noting $k \leq \frac{4L}{\sigma}$, implies that

$$\frac{|\omega \cap Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}(x_0)|}{|Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}(x_0)|} \geq \frac{k^{-n} |\omega|}{\left(\frac{\sigma}{3}\right)^n} \geq \left(\frac{3}{4L}\right)^n |\omega|.$$

This proves the claim (3.4).

Since the proof in the case $p = \infty$ is similar, we can now assume that $2 \leq p < \infty$. From (3.4) and the bound (3.3), we apply Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2 (with $\rho = 1$, $R = \sigma$, ω replaced by $\omega \cap Q_{\frac{\sigma}{3}}$) to obtain that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{Q_\sigma(x_0)} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{\omega \cap Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(x_0)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^\delta M^{p(1-\delta)},$$

and similarly for all $Q_\sigma(y) \subset Q_L$

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{Q_\sigma(y)} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^\delta M^{p(1-\delta)},$$

where $\delta = \delta(n, L, |\omega|) \in (0, 1)$ and $C = C(p, n, L, |\omega|, \sigma) > 0$. We point out that δ is independent of σ , which is important in our proof.

On the one hand, it follows from (3.6) that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(x_0)} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_\omega |f(x)|^p dx \right)^\delta M^{p(1-\delta)}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.7) that

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y)} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y')} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^\delta M^{p(1-\delta)}$$

for all $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $|y - y'| \leq \frac{\sigma}{3}$ and $Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y), Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y') \subset Q_L$. With (3.9) in hand, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can use the Harnack chain argument to prove that

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y)} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y')} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\delta^m} M^{p(1-\delta^m)}$$

for all $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $|y - y'| \leq \frac{m\sigma}{3}$ and $Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y), Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y') \subset Q_L$. Since $x_0 \in Q_L$, we have

$$|y - x_0| \leq \sqrt{n}L, \quad \text{for all } y \in Q_L.$$

This, together with (3.10) (setting $y' = x_0$), implies that for all $Q_\sigma(y) \subset Q_L$

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(y)} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(x_0)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\delta^m} M^{p(1-\delta^m)},$$

where $m = \lceil \frac{3\sqrt{n}L}{\sigma} \rceil + 1$. Integrating (3.11) over $\{y \in Q_L : Q_\sigma(y) \subset Q_L\}$, we infer that

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{Q_L} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{1}{3}\sigma}(x_0)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\delta^m} M^{p(1-\delta^m)},$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Finally, combining (3.8) and (3.12), we get

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{Q_L} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{\omega} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\delta^{m+1}} M^{p(1-\delta^{m+1})}.$$

Recall that $m \leq \frac{L}{\sigma}(3\sqrt{n} + 1)$, we have for some $C' = C(n, L, |\omega|) > 0$

$$\delta^{m+1} = e^{-(m+1)\log \delta^{-1}} \geq e^{-C' \frac{L}{\sigma}} := \theta.$$

This, together with (3.13) and the trivial bound $\int_{\omega} |f(x)|^p dx \leq M^p$, gives that

$$\int_{Q_L} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{\omega} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\theta} M^{p(1-\theta)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

For our purpose, we need to bound the quantity M in Lemma 3.3 in terms of $\|f\|_{G^\sigma}$. To this end, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define

$$(3.14) \quad M_j = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sigma^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L}(jL))}.$$

Here we use the convention notation $jL = (j_1L, j_2L, \dots, j_nL) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $\sigma, L > 0$. Then there exists $C = C(n, \sigma, L) > 0$ so that*

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{G^{4\sigma}}, \quad \text{for all } f \in G^{4\sigma}.$$

Proof. Thanks to the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

it suffices to consider the case $p = 2$. Using the definition (3.14), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sigma^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L}(jL))} \right)^2.$$

We claim that there exists $C = C(n, L) > 0$ so that

$$(3.16) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sigma^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L})} \leq C(1 + \sigma^{-n}) \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2(Q_{2L})}.$$

In fact, since Q_{2L} satisfies the cone property, by the Sobolev embedding $\|f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L})} \leq C\|f\|_{H^n(Q_{2L})}$, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L})} \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq n} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} f\|_{L^2(Q_{2L})}.$$

For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} f\|_{L^2(Q_L)} &\leq (2\sigma)^{-|\alpha+\beta|} (\alpha + \beta)! \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2(Q_{2L})} \\ &\leq C(1 + \sigma^{-n}) \sigma^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2(Q_{2L})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the facts that $\sigma^{-|\alpha+\beta|} \leq \sigma^{-|\alpha|}(1 + \sigma^{-n})$ and $2^{-|\alpha|}(\alpha + \beta)! \leq C|\alpha|!$. This, together with (3.17), gives the bound (3.16).

Note that (3.16) holds for all $Q_{2L}(jL), j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we deduce from (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j^2 &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2(Q_{2L}(jL))} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \right)^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2(Q_{2L}(jL))}^2 \\ &\lesssim \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here the implicit constant depends only on n, σ, L . Then the lemma follows if we can show that

$$(3.18) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{G^{4\sigma}}.$$

In fact, by the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \sim \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|(i\xi)^\alpha \widehat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \lesssim \|e^{2\sigma|\xi|} \widehat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where we have used $(2\sigma)^{|\alpha|} |(i\xi)^\alpha| \leq (2\sigma|\xi|)^{|\alpha|} \leq |\alpha|! e^{2\sigma|\xi|}$. The bound (3.19) and Lemma 2.1 imply (3.18). Thus the proof is completed. \square

We now present the following Hölder type inequality of unique continuation on thick sets for functions in G^σ .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\sigma > 0$ and E be a thick set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exist two constants $C = C(p, n, E, \sigma) > 0$ and $C' = C'(n, E) > 0$ so that*

$$(3.20) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(E)}^\theta \|f\|_{G^\sigma}^{1-\theta}$$

holds for all $f \in G^\sigma$ and all $\theta \in (0, e^{-C' \max\{1, \sigma^{-1}\}})$.

In particular, by letting $p = 2$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\sigma > 0$ and E be a thick set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exist two constants $C = C(n, E, \sigma) > 0$ and $C' = C'(n, E) > 0$ so that*

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_E |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^\theta \|f\|_{G^\sigma}^{2(1-\theta)}$$

holds for all $f \in G^\sigma$ and $\theta \in (0, e^{-C' \max\{1, \sigma^{-1}\}})$.

Before proving Theorem 3.5, we give two remarks below.

Remark 3.7. The inequality (3.20) fails in the case $1 \leq p < 2$. Given now $1 \leq p < 2$. Since $\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, this claim follows if we can disprove

$$(3.22) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{G^\sigma}, \quad \forall f \in G^\sigma.$$

To this end, for every $s > 0$, define a function

$$f_s(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then $f_s \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $s > \frac{n}{p}$. Moreover, by [13, Proposition 6.1.5, p.6], if $s < n$, then the Fourier transform \widehat{f}_s satisfies that

$$|\widehat{f}_s(\xi)| \leq \begin{cases} C e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}, & |\xi| \geq 2, \\ C |\xi|^{s-n}, & |\xi| \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_s \in G^{\frac{1}{4}}$ if $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$. Since $p < 2$, we can always choose an s_0 so that $s_0 \leq \frac{n}{p}$ and $s_0 \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$. Then $f_{s_0} \in G^{\frac{1}{4}}$ but $f_{s_0} \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, this shows that (3.22) fails to hold in the case $\sigma = \frac{1}{4}$. We conclude the same result for the general $\sigma > 0$ after a scaling argument.

Remark 3.8. We recall here some previous works on the interpolation inequality (3.21).

- In [24], E is thick, but no explicit dependence of θ on σ , proved by Carleman estimates.
- In [35], E is the complement set of a ball, $\theta \sim e^{-1/\sigma}$, proved by three ball inequality of analytic functions.
- In [4, 14], E is a Borel set satisfying the thick condition, $\theta \sim e^{-1/\sigma}$, proved by harmonic measure estimate.

Note that the complement set of every ball is a thick set, every Borel set is a Lebesgue measurable set (but the converse is not true), Corollary 3.6 covers the results in [4, 24, 35, 14] in a unified way.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let E be a thick set. Then there exists $L > 0$ so that

$$(3.23) \quad \inf_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |E \cap Q_L(jL)| = C_0 > 0.$$

Let $\sigma > 0$. Since the lower bound C_0 is independent of j , we apply Lemma 3.3 with $\omega = E \cap Q_L(jL)$ to find that

$$(3.24) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(Q_L(jL))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(E \cap Q_L(jL))}^\theta M_j^{1-\theta},$$

where $\theta = e^{-C' \max\{1, L/\sigma\}} \in (0, 1)$, $C = C(p, n, |\omega|, L, \sigma) > 0$, $C' = C'(n, |\omega|, L) > 0$ and

$$M_j = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sigma^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2L}(jL))}.$$

The proof splits into two cases.

Case (1): $p = \infty$. By Lemma 2.1, we know

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j \leq C \|f\|_{G^{4\sigma}},$$

which, together with (3.24), shows that

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q_L(jL))} \leq C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|f\|_{L^\infty(E \cap Q_L(jL))}^\theta M_j^{1-\theta} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(E)}^\theta \|f\|_{G^{4\sigma}}^{1-\theta}.$$

Note that this holds for all $\sigma > 0$, replacing 4σ by σ , we conclude (3.20) in this case.

Case (2): $2 \leq p < \infty$. Taking the p -th power of (3.24) we obtain

$$(3.25) \quad \int_{Q_L(jL)} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{E \cap Q_L(jL)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^\theta M_j^{p(1-\theta)}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Using the decomposition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{Q_L(jL)} |f(x)|^p dx$$

and the bound (3.25), we deduce

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} C \left(\int_{E \cap Q_L(jL)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^\theta M_j^{p(1-\theta)} \\ &\leq C \left(\varepsilon \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j^p + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{E \cap Q_L(jL)} |f(x)|^p dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

On one hand,

$$(3.27) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{E \cap Q_L(jL)} |f(x)|^p dx = \int_E |f(x)|^p dx.$$

On the other hand, thanks to Lemma 3.4, we have

$$(3.28) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_j^p \leq C \|f\|_{G^{4\sigma}}^p.$$

Inserting (3.27)-(3.28) into (3.26), we have

$$(3.29) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\varepsilon \|f\|_{G^{2\sigma}}^p + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \int_E |f(x)|^p dx \right)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $C > 0$ is a different constant.

By taking $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ so that

$$\varepsilon_0 \|f\|_{G^{2\sigma}}^p = \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \int_E |f(x)|^p dx,$$

we deduce from (3.29) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_E |f(x)|^p dx \right)^\theta \|f\|_{G^{4\sigma}}^{p(1-\theta)}.$$

This shows that (3.20) holds for $2 \leq p < \infty$. It completes the proof. \square

To prove interpolation inequalities for ultra-analytic functions, we proceed with a different approach, which relies on the following uncertainty principle.

Theorem 3.9. *Let E be a thick set in \mathbb{R}^n and let $N > 0$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{CN} \int_E |f(x)|^2 dx$$

holds for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\text{supp} \hat{f} \subset B_N(0)$.

Proof. This is the classical Logvinenko-Sereda theorem, see e.g. [15, 21]. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let $c > 0, \delta > 0$ and E be a thick set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists $C > 0$ so that*

$$(3.30) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{e^{c\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)}^\theta \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta}$$

holds for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and f satisfying $\|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$.

Proof. The proof relies on a high-low frequency decomposition. Let $N > 0$. Define $P_{\leq N}$ and $P_{> N}$ as

$$\widehat{P_{\leq N} f} = \chi_{|\xi| \leq N} \widehat{f}, \quad \widehat{P_{> N} f} = \chi_{|\xi| > N} \widehat{f},$$

where χ_A denotes the characteristic functions of the set A . By Theorem 3.9,

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|P_{\leq N} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|P_{> N} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C e^{CN} \|P_{\leq N} f\|_{L^2(E)} + \|P_{> N} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C e^{CN} \|f\|_{L^2(E)} + (1 + C e^{CN}) \|P_{> N} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C e^{CN} \|f\|_{L^2(E)} + (1 + C e^{CN}) e^{-cN(\log(e+N))^\delta} \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Arbitrarily fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and then choose N_0 so that

$$(3.32) \quad (1 + C e^{CN_0}) e^{-cN_0(\log(e+N_0))^\delta} = \varepsilon.$$

This is always possible since the set $\{(1 + C e^{cN}) e^{-cN(\log(e+N))^\delta} : N > 0\}$ contains the interval $(0, 1)$. Letting $N = N_0$ in (3.31), we obtain

$$(3.33) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{CN_0} \|f\|_{L^2(E)} + \varepsilon \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since $1 + C e^{CN_0} \lesssim e^{\frac{c}{2}N_0(\log(e+N_0))^\delta}$, we deduce from (3.32) that

$$e^{\frac{c}{2}N_0(\log(e+N_0))^\delta} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \lesssim e^{cN_0(\log(e+N_0))^\delta}.$$

This implies that if $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > e$,

$$\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sim N_0(\log(e + N_0))^\delta,$$

or equivalently

$$(3.34) \quad N_0 \sim \frac{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log(e + N_0))^\delta}.$$

Iterating (3.34) gives that

$$(3.35) \quad N_0 \lesssim \frac{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\delta}.$$

We also need the inequality: if $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > e^e$,

$$(3.36) \quad C \frac{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\delta} \leq \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + e^{C(\frac{C\theta}{1-\theta})^\frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

This can be proved by considering the case $C \frac{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\delta} \leq \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and $C \frac{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\delta} > \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ separately. Then inserting (3.35)-(3.36) into (3.33), we find that if $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > e^e$,

$$(3.37) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{e^{C(\frac{C\theta}{1-\theta})^\frac{1}{\delta}}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)} + \varepsilon \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Finally, we prove (3.30). The proof splits into two cases.

Case (1). $\|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} / \|f\|_{L^2(E)} > e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta}}$. Choose ε so that

$$\varepsilon^{-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)} = \varepsilon \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \left(\|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} / \|f\|_{L^2(E)} \right)^\theta > e^e.$$

We deduce from (3.37) that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{e^{C(\frac{C\theta}{1-\theta})^\frac{1}{\delta}}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)}^\theta \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta}.$$

Thus (3.30) holds in this case.

Case (2). $\|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} / \|f\|_{L^2(E)} \leq e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta}}$. The proof is easier. In fact, using

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)},$$

we infer that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\theta \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta} \leq (C e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)})^\theta \left(C \|e^{c|\xi|(\log(e+|\xi|))^\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{1-\theta},$$

which implies that (3.30) also holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let E be a thick set. It follows from Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 2.1 that there exist two constants $C = C(n, E, \sigma) > 0$ and $C' = C'(n, E) > 0$ so that

$$(3.38) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_E |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^\theta \|e^{\sigma|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2(1-\theta)}$$

holds for all $\sigma > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, e^{-C' \max\{1, \sigma^{-1}\}})$. Thus Theorem 1.2 (i) follows from Theorem 1.1 (i) and (3.38). Theorem 1.2 (ii) follows from Theorem 1.1 (ii) and Theorem 3.10. \square

4. OBSERVABILITY INEQUALITIES FOR FRACTIONAL HEAT EQUATIONS

In this section, we first present an abstract criterion for some functions to ensure an observability inequality. Then we apply it to solutions of (1.13) and prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. We start with the following proposition, which roughly says that an interpolation inequality with a fixed θ implies an observability inequality.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\delta > 0$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $C \geq 1$ and E be a subset of Ω . Assume that $u(t, x)$ is a function on $[0, 1] \times \Omega$ so that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2_x(\Omega)} < \infty$ and*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{\frac{C}{(t_2-t_1)^\delta}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}$$

holds for all $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$. Then for every $T \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C^{\frac{1}{\theta}} e^{\frac{C+1}{\theta T^\delta}} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

Proof. Fix $T > 0$. Let $l_1 = T$. For every integer $m \geq 2$, define $l_m = \lambda^{m-1} l_1$ so that $0 < \dots < l_{m+1} < l_m < \dots < l_1$ and

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{l_m - l_{m+1}}{l_{m+1} - l_{m+2}} = \lambda^{-1} := \left(\frac{C+1}{C+1-\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} > 1.$$

Applying (4.1) with $t_2 = l_m$ and $t_1 = l_{m+1}$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(l_m, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{\frac{C}{(l_m-l_{m+1})^\delta}} \left(\int_{l_{m+1}}^{l_m} \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(l_{m+1}, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Using the inequality $a^\theta b^{1-\theta} \leq \varepsilon^{-(1-\theta)} a + \varepsilon^\theta b$ ($\forall a, b, \varepsilon > 0$), we deduce from (4.4) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(l_m, x)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^{-(1-\theta)} C^{\frac{1}{\theta}} e^{\frac{C}{\theta(l_m-l_{m+1})^\delta}} \int_{l_{m+1}}^{l_m} \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt + \varepsilon^\theta \int_{\Omega} |u(l_{m+1}, x)|^2 dx$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, which can be rewritten as

$$(4.5) \quad \varepsilon^{1-\theta} e^{-\frac{C}{\theta(l_m-l_{m+1})^\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |u(l_m, x)|^2 dx - \varepsilon e^{-\frac{C}{\theta(l_m-l_{m+1})^\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |u(l_{m+1}, x)|^2 dx \\ \leq C^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \int_{l_{m+1}}^{l_m} \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

Letting $\varepsilon = e^{-\frac{1}{\theta(l_m - l_{m+1})^\delta}}$ in (4.5) and using (4.3), we infer that

$$e^{-\frac{C+1-\theta}{\theta(l_m - l_{m+1})^\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |u(l_m, x)|^2 dx - e^{-\frac{C+1-\theta}{\theta(l_{m+1} - l_{m+2})^\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |u(l_{m+1}, x)|^2 dx \leq C^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \int_{l_{m+1}}^{l_m} \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

Taking the sum over $m \geq 1$, we find

$$(4.6) \quad e^{-\frac{C+1-\theta}{\theta(l_1 - l_2)^\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |u(l_1, x)|^2 dx \leq \sum_{m \geq 1} C^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \int_{l_{m+1}}^{l_m} \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt \leq C^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt,$$

where we used the fact

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{C+1-\theta}{\theta(l_{m+1} - l_{m+2})^\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |u(l_{m+1}, x)|^2 dx = 0,$$

which follows from $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\Omega)} < \infty$ and $l_{m+1} - l_{m+2} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then (4.2) follows from (4.6) clearly. \square

We now replace the space-time norm in (4.1) by a space norm at the final time.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\delta > 0$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $C \geq 1$ and E be a subset of Ω . Assume that $u(t, x)$ is a function on $[0, 1] \times \Omega$ so that for all $t_1 < t_2$*

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{\frac{C}{(t_2 - t_1)^\delta}} \left(\int_E |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Then for every $T \in (0, 1]$, there exists $C' > 0$ depending only on C, δ, θ so that

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C' e^{\frac{C'}{T^\delta}} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

Proof. Arbitrarily give $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$. Let $s \in (t_1, t_2]$. Applying (4.8) we have

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(s, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{\frac{C}{(s - t_1)^\delta}} \left(\int_E |u(s, x)|^2 dx \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Integrating (4.10) over $s \in [\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}, t_2]$, using the Hölder inequality we infer that

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |u(s, x)|^2 dx ds \leq C \left(\frac{t_2 - t_1}{2} \right)^{1-\theta} e^{\frac{C2^\delta}{(t_2-t_1)^\delta}} \left(\int_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}^{t_2} \int_E |u(s, x)|^2 dx ds \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.7) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{2C}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |u(s, x)|^2 dx ds.$$

This, together with (4.11), gives that

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C \left(\frac{2}{t_2 - t_1} \right)^\theta e^{\frac{C2^\delta}{(t_2-t_1)^\delta}} \left(\int_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}^{t_2} \int_E |u(s, x)|^2 dx ds \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Absorbing $\left(\frac{2}{t_2-t_1}\right)^\theta$ by the exponential term $e^{\frac{C2^\delta}{(t_2-t_1)^\delta}}$, and enlarging the integral interval $[\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}, t_2]$ to $[t_1, t_2]$ in (4.12), we conclude that

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C_0 e^{\frac{C_0}{(t_2-t_1)^\delta}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_E |u(s, x)|^2 dx ds \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta}$$

for some constant $C_0 \geq 1$ depending only on C, δ, θ . Since $t_1 < t_2$ can be chosen arbitrarily in (4.13), the inequality (4.9) immediately follows from Proposition 4.1. \square

With the aid of Corollary 4.2, we can prove the observability inequality for (1.13) by interpolation inequalities as in Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Multiplying (1.13) with u and integrating over $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t, x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Lambda^{\frac{\delta}{2}} u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |a| |u(t, x)|^2 dx, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

This, noting $a \in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$, implies that for some $C > 0$

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{C(t_2-t_1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx, \quad \forall t_2 \geq t_1.$$

Case (1). When $0 < T \leq 1$. Let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$. On one hand, by (4.14) we have

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx$$

with $C_1 = Ce^C$. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 1.2 (i) that

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx \leq C_2 e^{\frac{C_2}{(t_2-t_1)^\delta}} \left(\int_E |u(t_2, x)|^2 dx dt \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(t_1, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1-\theta},$$

where $\delta = s - 1 > 0$, the constants $C_2 \geq 1, \theta \in (0, 1)$ depending only on $n, s, a(t, x)$ and E . Since (4.15)-(4.16) hold for all $t_1 < t_2$, according to Corollary 4.2, we conclude that

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C_3 e^{\frac{C_3}{T^\delta}} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

This proves Theorem 1.3 in this case.

Case (2). When $T > 1$. We first apply (4.17) with $T = 1$ to find that

$$(4.18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(1, x)|^2 dx \leq C_3 e^{C_3} \int_0^1 \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

And then we apply (4.14) with $t_2 = T$ and $t_1 = 1$ to get

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{C(T-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(1, x)|^2 dx.$$

Combining (4.18)-(4.19) we infer that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C_3 e^{C_3} C e^{CT} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

This shows that Theorem 1.3 also holds for $T > 1$. It completes the proof. \square

To prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following observability inequality for heat equations with lower order terms. Note that it includes a gradient term, so it is a slightly stronger version than Theorem 1.3 in the case $s = 2$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let E be a thick set. Assume that A and B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n satisfy **(A1)**. Then there exists $C > 0$ depending only on $n, E, A(t, x)$ and $\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{x}) = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$ so that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{C(T+\frac{1}{T})} \int_0^T \int_E |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt$$

holds for all $T > 0$ and all solutions of

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mathbf{B}(t, x) \cdot \nabla u + A(t, x)u, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Since the main idea is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.3, we only give a sketch here. Assume that A and B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n satisfy **(A1)** for some $R > 0$. Rewrite the equation of u as

$$(4.20) \quad \partial_t u - \Delta u = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{B}(t, x)u) + \tilde{A}(t, x)u, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\tilde{A}(t, x) = A(t, x) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ satisfies **(A1)** with $R > 0$. The integral form of (4.20) is

$$(4.21) \quad u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \left(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{B}(t, x)u) + \tilde{A}(t, x)u \right) ds.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{G^{\sqrt{t}}} \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \left(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{B}(t, x)u) + \tilde{A}(t, x)u \right) ds \right\|_{G^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2n}}} \\ & \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \left(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{B}(t, x)u) + \tilde{A}(t, x)u \right) \right\|_{G^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2n}}} ds \\ & \leq C\sqrt{t} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2n}}}, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities, using the contraction principle, we infer that there exists a unique solution u of (4.21) satisfying

$$(4.22) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2n}}} \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0,$$

where $T_0 > 0$ is a small constant. With the bound (4.22) in hand, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i), after an iteration argument we infer that

$$(4.23) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{G^{R_0}} \leq C e^{C(t+\frac{1}{t})} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

with a large constant $C > 0$ and some $R_0 < R$. Thanks to (4.23), it follows from Corollary 3.6 that some interpolation inequalities of unique continuation hold. Finally we apply Corollary 4.2 to conclude the desired observability inequality. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First note that, by changing variable, we can reduce the weighted observability to an unweighted one. In fact, let u be a solution of

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = a(t, x)u, \quad u(0, x) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1 + |x|^2)^\delta dx).$$

Set $v = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}u$. Then v satisfies the equation

$$(4.24) \quad \partial_t v - \Delta v = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla v + Av, \quad v(0, x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$A = \delta(\delta - 1)(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + a(t, x)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n), \quad B_j = 2\delta x_j(1 + |x|^2)^{-1}.$$

Let E be a thick set. If one can show that there exists $C > 0$ so that

$$(4.25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v(T, x)|^2 dx \leq C e^{C(T + \frac{1}{T})} \int_0^T \int_E |v(t, x)|^2 dx dt$$

for all $T > 0$ and all solutions of (4.24), then Theorem 1.4 follows clearly.

It remains to show (4.25). Our strategy is to apply Proposition 4.3. To this end, we first claim that, for every $s > 0$, the function $h_s(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}}$ satisfies the bound

$$(4.26) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha h_s(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C 12^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on s, n . In fact, according to [13, Proposition 6.1.5, p.6], the Fourier transform \widehat{h}_s satisfies that

$$|\widehat{f}_s(\xi)| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}, \quad |\xi| \geq 2,$$

and for $|\xi| \leq 2$

$$|\widehat{f}_s(\xi)| \leq \begin{cases} C(1 + |\xi|^{s-n}), & 0 < s < n, \\ C(1 + \log \frac{2}{|\xi|}), & s = n, \\ C, & s > n. \end{cases}$$

One can check that $e^{\frac{1}{3}|\xi|} \widehat{h}_s(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and so $h_s \in G^{\frac{1}{3}}$. By (3.18), we have

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha h_s(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C 6^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

which, together with the Sobolev embedding $H^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, proves the claim (4.26).

Since $a(t, x)$ satisfies **(A1)** for some $R > 0$, using the bound (4.26) with $s = 1$, we see that A satisfies **(A1)** with $R' = \min\{R, \frac{1}{4}\} > 0$. Moreover, using Leibnitz rule and the bound (4.26) with $s = 2$, we have for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha B_j(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C 12^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

Thus every B_j also satisfies **(A1)**. Therefore, we can apply Proposition 4.3 to conclude (4.25). This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Wang was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No.12171442, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) under grant No.CUGSX01. Zhang was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants 11971363, and the Academic Team Building Plan for Young Scholars from Wuhan University under grant 413100085.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Apraiz, L. Escauriaza, Null-control and measurable sets, *ESAIM: COCV* 19 (2013) 239–254.
- [2] K. Beauchard, M. Egidi, K. Pravda-Starov, Geometric conditions for the null-controllability of hypoelliptic quadratic parabolic equations with moving control supports, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 358 (6)(2020) 651–700.
- [3] K. Beauchard, K. Pravda-Starov, Null-controllability of hypoelliptic quadratic differential equations, *J'Éc. polytech. Math.* 5 (2018) 1–43.
- [4] J. Bourgain, S. Dyatlov, Spectral gaps without the pressure condition, *Ann. Math.* 187 (2018) 1–43.
- [5] H. Dong, D. Li, Spatial analyticity of the solutions to the subcritical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 189 (1) (2008) 131–158.
- [6] H. Dong, D. Li, Dong Optimal local smoothing and analyticity rate estimates for the generalized Navier-Stokes equations, *Commun. Math. Sci.* 7(1) (2009) 67–80.
- [7] H. Dong, Q. S. Zhang, Time analyticity for the heat equation and Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 279 (4) (2020) 108563.
- [8] Y. L. Duan, L. J. Wang, C. Zhang, Observability inequalities for the heat equation with bounded potentials on the whole space, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 58 (4) (2020) 1939–1960.
- [9] T. Duyckaerts, L. Miller, Resolvent conditions for the control of parabolic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 263 (2012) 3641–3673.
- [10] L. Escauriaza, S. Montaner, C. Zhang, Analyticity of solutions to parabolic evolutions and applications, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 49 (5)(2017) 4064–4092.
- [11] M. Egidi, I. Veselić, Sharp geometric condition for null-controllability of the heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d and consistent estimates on the control cost, *Arch. Math.* 111 (2018) 85–99.
- [12] H. O. Fattorini, D. L. Russell, Exact controllability theorems for linear parabolic equations in one space dimension, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 43 (1971) 272–292.
- [13] L. Grafakos, *Modern fourier analysis*. Vol. 250, 2nd Ed., New York: Springer, 2009.
- [14] R. Han, W. Schlag, A higher-dimensional Bourgain-Dyatlov fractal uncertainty principle, *Analysis & PDE*, 13(3) (2020) 813–863.
- [15] V. Havin, B. Jöricke, *The Uncertainty Principle in Harmonic Analysis*, Springer Science and Business Media, 2012.
- [16] I. Herbst, E. Skibsted, Analyticity estimates for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Adv. Math.* 228 (4)(2011) 1990–2033.
- [17] J. Huang, M. Wang, New lower bounds on the radius of spatial analyticity for the KdV equation, *J. Differential Equations* 266 (9)(2019) 5278–5317.
- [18] S. Huang, G. Wang, M. Wang, Characterizations of stabilizable sets for some parabolic equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Differential Equations*, 272(25) (2021)255–288.
- [19] A. Koenig, *Contrôlabilité de quelques équations aux dérivées partielles paraboliques peu diffusives*, PhD Thesis, 2019.
- [20] A. Koenig, Lack of null-controllability for the fractional heat equation and related equations, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 58 (6) (2020) 3130–3160.

- [21] O. Kovrijkine, Some results related to the Logvinenko-Sereda Theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (10)(2001) 3037–3047.
- [22] G. Lebeau, L. Robbiano, Contrôle exact de l'équation de la chaleur, Commun. Partial Diff. Eq. 20 (1995) 335–356.
- [23] J. Le Rousseau, I. Moyano, Null-controllability of the Kolmogorov equation in the whole phase space, J. Differ. Equ. 260 (2016) 3193–3233.
- [24] G. Lebeau, I. Moyano, Spectral inequalities for the Schrödinger operator, ArXiv:1901.03513, 2019.
- [25] P. Lissy, On the cost of fast controls for some families of dispersive or parabolic equations in one space dimension, SIAM J. Control Optim. 52 (4) (2014) 2651–2676.
- [26] P. Lissy, Construction of Gevrey functions with compact support using the Bray-Mandelbrojt iterative process and applications to the moment method in control theory, Math. Control Relat. Fields 7 (1)(2017) 21–40.
- [27] P. Lissy, A non-controllability result for the half-heat equation on the whole line based on the prolate spheroidal wave functions and its application to the Grushin equation, 2020, hal-02420212v2.
- [28] S. Micu, E. Zuazua, On the controllability of a fractional order parabolic equation, SIAM J. Control Optim. 44 (6) (2006) 1950–1972.
- [29] L. Miller, On the controllability of anomalous diffusions generated by the fractional Laplacian, Math. Control Signals Systems 18 (3) (2006) 260–271.
- [30] L. Miller, A direct Lebeau-Robbiano strategy for the observability of heat-like semigroups, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 14 (4)(2010) 1465–1485.
- [31] M. Oliver, E. S. Titi, Remark on the rate of decay of higher order derivatives for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n , J. Funct. Anal. 172 (1)(2000) 1–18.
- [32] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, 1975.
- [33] G. Tenenbaum, M. Tucsnak, On the null-controllability of diffusion equations, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 17 (4) (2011) 1088–1100.
- [34] G. Wang, M. Wang, C. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Observable set, observability, interpolation inequality and spectral inequality for the heat equation in \mathbb{R}^n , J. Math. Pure. Appl. 126 (2019) 144–194.
- [35] G. Wang, M. Wang, Y. Zhang, Observability and unique continuation inequalities for the Schrödinger equation, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 21 (2019) 3513–3572.

MING WANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHINA UNIVERSITY OF GEOSCIENCES

WUHAN, 430074, P.R. CHINA

Email address: mwang@cug.edu.cn

CAN ZHANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY; COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE HUBEI
KEY LABORATORY, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, P.R. CHINA

Email address: canzhang@whu.edu.cn