

Controlled frames in n -Hilbert spaces and their tensor products

Prasenjit Ghosh

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta,
35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata, 700019, West Bengal, India
e-mail: prasenjitpuremath@gmail.com

T. K. Samanta

Department of Mathematics, Uluberia College,
Uluberia, Howrah, 711315, West Bengal, India
e-mail: mumpu_tapas5@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

The concepts of controlled frames and it's dual in n -Hilbert spaces and their tensor products have been introduced and then some of their characterizations are given. We further study the relationship between controlled frame and bounded linear operator in tensor product of n -Hilbert spaces. At the end, the direct sum of controlled frames in n -Hilbert space is being considered.

Keywords: n -normed space, n -inner product space, tensor product of Hilbert spaces, frame, dual frame, controlled frame.

2010 MSC: Primary 42C15; Secondary 46C07, 46C50.

1 Introduction

In the study of vector spaces, the main characteristic of a basis is that every elements can be represented as a superposition of the elements in the basis. A frame is also sequence of elements in a Hilbert space, which allows every elements to be written as a linear combinations of the elements in the frame. However, the corresponding coefficients are not necessarily unique. So, frame can be considered as a generalizations of a basis. In fact, frames play important role in theoretical research of wavelet analysis, signal denoising, feature extraction, robust signal processing etc. In 1946, D. Gabor [8] first initiated a technique for rebuilding signals using a family of elementary signals. In 1952, Duffin and Schaeffer abstracted Gabor's method to define frame for Hilbert space in their fundamental paper [6]. Later on, in 1986, as the wavelet era began, Daubechies et. al [4] observed that frames can be used to find series expansions of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which are very similar to the expansions using orthonormal bases.

Controlled frame is one of the newest generalization of frame. I. Bogdanova et al. [2] introduced controlled frame for spherical wavelets to get numerically more efficient approximation algorithm. Thereafter, P. Balaz [1] developed weighted and controlled frame in Hilbert space. S. Rabinson [17] presented the basic concepts of tensor product of Hilbert spaces. The tensor product of Hilbert spaces X and Y is

a certain linear space of operators which was represented by Folland in [7], Kadison and Ringrose in [14].

The concept of 2-inner product space was first introduced by Diminnie et. al [5] in 1970's. In 1989, A. Misiak [16] developed the generalization of a 2-inner product space for $n \geq 2$.

In this paper, our focus is to study controlled frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in n -Hilbert spaces and their tensor products. We will see that any controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) is a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in n -Hilbert space and the converse part is also true under some conditions. In tensor product of n -Hilbert spaces, we shall established that an image of a controlled frame under a bounded linear operator will be a controlled frame if and only if the operator is invertible. Dual controlled frame in tensor product of n -Hilbert spaces is discussed and finally, we shall established that the direct sum of controlled frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) is again a controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) under some sufficient conditions.

2 Preliminaries

Theorem 2.1. [3] *Let H_1, H_2 be two Hilbert spaces and $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator with closed range \mathcal{R}_U . Then there exists a bounded linear operator $U^\dagger : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ such that $UU^\dagger x = x \ \forall x \in \mathcal{R}_U$.*

The operator U^\dagger defined in Theorem (2.1), is called the pseudo-inverse of U .

Theorem 2.2. [15] *The set $\mathcal{S}(H_1)$ of all self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space H_1 is a partially ordered set with respect to the partial order \leq which is defined as for $T, S \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$*

$$T \leq S \Leftrightarrow \langle Tf, f \rangle \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle \ \forall f \in H_1.$$

Definition 2.3. [15] *A self-adjoint operator $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ is called positive if $\langle Ux, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H_1$. In notation, we can write $U \geq 0$. A self-adjoint operator $V : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ is called a square root of U if $V^2 = U$. If, in addition $V \geq 0$, then V is called positive square root of U and is denoted by $V = U^{1/2}$.*

Theorem 2.4. [15] *The positive square root $V : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ of an arbitrary positive self-adjoint operator $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ exists and is unique. Further, the operator V commutes with every bounded linear operator on H_1 which commutes with U .*

In a complex Hilbert space, every bounded positive operator is self-adjoint and any two bounded positive operators can be commute with each other.

Definition 2.5. [3] *A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in a separable Hilbert space H_1 is said to be a frame for H_1 if there exist positive constants A, B such that*

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2 \ \forall f \in H_1. \quad (1)$$

The constants A and B are called frame bounds. If the collection $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies only the right inequality of (1) then it is called a Bessel sequence with bound B .

Definition 2.6. [1] Let C be a bounded linear operator on H_1 which has bounded inverse. A frame controlled by the operator C or C -controlled frame is a family of vectors $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in H_1 , such that there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$, satisfying

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i \rangle \langle C f_i, f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H_1.$$

The controlled frame operator $S : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ is defined by

$$S f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i \rangle C f_i \quad \forall f \in H_1.$$

Definition 2.7. [18] The tensor product of Hilbert spaces $(H_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ and $(H_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ is denoted by $H_1 \otimes H_2$ and it is defined to be an inner product space associated with the inner product

$$\langle f \otimes g, f' \otimes g' \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle_1 \langle g, g' \rangle_2 \quad \forall f, f' \in H_1 \text{ \& } g, g' \in H_2.$$

The norm on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ is given by

$$\|f \otimes g\| = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_2 \quad \forall f \in H_1 \text{ \& } g \in H_2.$$

The space $H_1 \otimes H_2$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the above inner product.

For $Q \in \mathcal{B}(H_1)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H_2)$, the tensor product of operators Q and T is denoted by $Q \otimes T$ and defined as

$$(Q \otimes T) A = Q A T^* \quad \forall A \in H_1 \otimes H_2.$$

Theorem 2.8. [7] Suppose $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{B}(H_1)$ and $T, T' \in \mathcal{B}(H_2)$. Then

- (i) $Q \otimes T \in \mathcal{B}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ and $\|Q \otimes T\| = \|Q\| \|T\|$.
- (ii) $(Q \otimes T)(f \otimes g) = Q f \otimes T g$ for all $f \in H_1, g \in H_2$.
- (iii) $(Q \otimes T)(Q' \otimes T') = (Q Q') \otimes (T T')$.
- (iv) $Q \otimes T$ is invertible if and only if Q and T are invertible, in which case $(Q \otimes T)^{-1} = (Q^{-1} \otimes T^{-1})$.
- (v) $(Q \otimes T)^* = (Q^* \otimes T^*)$.

Definition 2.9. [13] A n -norm on a linear space X (over the field \mathbb{K} of real or complex numbers) is a function

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|, \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$$

from X^n to the set \mathbb{R} of all real numbers such that for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$,

- (i) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,
- (ii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under permutations of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n ,
- (iii) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$,
- (iv) $\|x + y, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|y, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$.

A linear space X , together with a n -norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$, is called a linear n -normed space.

Definition 2.10. [16] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a linear space of dimension greater than or equal to n over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is the real or complex numbers field. A n -inner product on X is a map

$$(x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle, \quad x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$$

from X^{n+1} to the set \mathbb{K} such that for every $x, y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$,

- (i) $\langle x_1, x_1 | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle x_1, x_1 | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,
- (ii) $\langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \langle x, y | x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n} \rangle$ for every permutations (i_2, \dots, i_n) of $(2, \dots, n)$,
- (iii) $\langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle}$,
- (iv) $\langle \alpha x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$,
- (v) $\langle x + y, z | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \langle x, z | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle + \langle y, z | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$.

A linear space X together with n -inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle$ is called n -inner product space.

Theorem 2.11. [12] For n -inner product space $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle)$,

$$|\langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \|y, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$$

hold for all $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, where

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = \sqrt{\langle x_1, x_1 | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle},$$

is called Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Definition 2.12. [13] Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a linear n -normed space. A sequence $\{x_k\}$ in X is said to converge to some $x \in X$ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, e_2, \dots, e_n\| = 0$$

for every $e_2, \dots, e_n \in X$ and it is called a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{l, k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_l - x_k, e_2, \dots, e_n\| = 0$$

for every $e_2, \dots, e_n \in X$. The space X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in this space is convergent in X . A n -inner product space is called n -Hilbert space if it is complete with respect to its induce norm.

Definition 2.13. [9] A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in a n -Hilbert space H is said to be a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H if there exist constant $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \quad (2)$$

for all $f \in H$. The infimum of all such B is called the optimal upper frame bound and supremum of all such A is called the optimal lower frame bound. A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies only the right inequality of (2) is called a Bessel sequence associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in H with bound B .

Let L_F denote the linear subspace of a n -Hilbert space H spanned by the non-empty finite set $F = \{a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$, where a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n are fixed elements in H . Then the quotient space H/L_F is a normed linear space with respect to the norm,

$$\|x + L_F\|_F = \|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \quad \text{for every } x \in H.$$

Let M_F be the algebraic complement of L_F , then $H = L_F \oplus M_F$. Define

$$\langle x, y \rangle_F = \langle x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \quad \text{on } H.$$

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F$ is a semi-inner product on H and this semi-inner product induces an inner product on the quotient space H/L_F which is given by

$$\langle x + L_F, y + L_F \rangle_F = \langle x, y \rangle_F = \langle x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

By identifying H/L_F with M_F in an obvious way, we obtain an inner product on M_F . Now, for every $x \in M_F$, we define $\|x\|_F = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_F}$ and it can be easily verify that $(M_F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is a norm space. Let H_F be the completion of the inner product space M_F .

Definition 2.14. [9] Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H . Then the bounded linear operator $T_F : l^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow H_F$, defined by $T_F \{c_i\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i f_i$, is called pre-frame operator and its adjoint operator described by

$$T_F^* : H_F \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{N}), \quad T_F^* f = \{\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

is called the analysis operator. The operator $S_F : H_F \rightarrow H_F$ given by

$$S_F f = T_F T_F^* f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle f_i, \quad \text{for all } f \in H_F,$$

is called the frame operator.

It is easy to verify that $A I_F \leq S_F \leq B I_F$. Since S_F^{-1} commutes with both S_F and I_F , multiplying in the inequality, $A I_F \leq S_F \leq B I_F$ by S_F^{-1} , we get $B^{-1} I_F \leq S_F^{-1} \leq A^{-1} I_F$. For more details on frames in n -Hilbert spaces and their tesnor product one can go through the papers [9, 10, 11].

For the remaining part of this paper, $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle)$ is consider to be a n -Hilbert space. I_F will denote the identity operator on H_F and $\mathcal{B}(H_F)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operator on H_F . $\mathcal{GB}(H_F)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators which have bounded inverse. If $S, R \in \mathcal{GB}(H_F)$, then R^*, R^{-1} and SR are also belongs to $\mathcal{GB}(H_F)$. $\mathcal{GB}^+(H_F)$ is the set of all positive operators in $\mathcal{GB}(H_F)$ and C, C_1, C_2 are invertible operators in $\mathcal{GB}(H_F)$. $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ denote the space of square summable scalar-valued sequences with index set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} .

3 Controlled frame in n -Hilbert space

In this section, we introduce the notion of controlled frame in n -Hilbert space and discuss it's several properties. At the end, dual controlled frame in n -Hilbert space is presented.

Definition 3.1. *Let $C \in \mathcal{GB}(H_F)$. A frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) controlled by the operator C or C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) is a family of vectors $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in H such that there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &\leq B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H_F. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The constants A and B are called lower and upper bounds of C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) , respectively.

- (i) The family of vectors $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is called a C -controlled tight frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) if $A = B$ and it is called C -controlled Parseval frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) if $A = B = 1$.
- (ii) If only the right inequality of (3) is satisfied then it is called a C -controlled Bessel sequence associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in H with bound B .

Remark 3.2. *Suppose that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a C -controlled tight frame associated to*

(a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with bounds A . Then for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \\ & \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, A^{-1/2} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \left\langle C A^{-1/2} f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\ & \quad = \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This verify that $\{A^{-1/2} f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled Parseval frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H .

Definition 3.3. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a C -controlled Bessel sequence associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in H . The operator $T_C : l^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow H_F$ given by $T_C(\{c_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i C f_i$ is called pre-frame operator or the synthesis operator. The adjoint operator described by

$$T_C^* : H_F \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{N}), T_C^* f = \{\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle\}_{i \in I}, f \in H_F$$

is called the analysis operator. The C -controlled frame operator $S_C : H_F \rightarrow H_F$ is defined as

$$S_C f = T_C T_C^* f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C f_i \quad \forall f \in H_F.$$

For each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_C f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H then from (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \langle f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle &\leq \langle S_C f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \leq B \langle f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow A I_H \leq S_C \leq B I_H. \end{aligned}$$

From this we can conclude that S_C is bounded, self-adjoint, positive and invertible.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a C -controlled Bessel sequence associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in H with bound B . Then the operator given by

$$U : l^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow H_F, U(\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i C f_i$$

is well-defined and bounded operator from $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ into H_F with $\|U\| \leq \sqrt{B} \|C^{1/2}\|$.

Proof. Suppose $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled Bessel sequence associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in H with bound B . Then for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \leq B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2.$$

Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$. For arbitrary $l > k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^l a_i C f_i - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i C f_i \right\|_F^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=k+1}^l a_i C f_i, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{f \in H_F, \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\{ \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=k+1}^l a_i C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \right\} \\ &= \sup_{f \in H_F, \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=k+1}^l a_i \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \right|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in H_F, \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\{ \sum_{i=k+1}^l \langle f, C f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \right\} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{i=k+1}^l |a_i|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\langle \sum_{i=k+1}^l \langle f, C f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \| \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \langle C S_C f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \| \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\langle (C S_C)^{1/2} f, (C S_C)^{1/2} f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \| \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\| (C S_C)^{1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \| \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \left\| S_C^{1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \| \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|=1} \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \langle S_C f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \| \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \|^2 \\ &\leq B \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \| \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i C f_i$ is a Cauchy sequence which is convergent in H_F . Thus U is well-defined and bounded with $\|U\| \leq \sqrt{B} \|C^{1/2}\|$. \square

For arbitrary $f \in H_F$ and $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, U \{a_i\} | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle &= \left\langle f, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i C f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{a_i} \langle C f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle f, U \{a_i\} \rangle_F = \langle \{ \langle C f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \}, \{ a_i \} \rangle$$

and hence

$$U^* f = \{ \langle C f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \}_{i=1}^\infty \quad \forall f \in H_F.$$

The following theorem shows that any controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) is a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H and $C \in \mathcal{GB}^+(H_F)$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H .*

Proof. Suppose that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with bounds A and B . Then for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 &= A \left\| C^{1/2} C^{-1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \\ &\leq A \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \left\| C^{-1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle C^{-1/2} f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, C^{-1/2} f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &= \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle C^{-1/2} f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle f_i, C^{1/2} f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &= \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle C^{-1/2} f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle f_i, C^{1/2} f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \langle S_F C^{-1/2} f, C^{1/2} f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &= \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \langle S_F f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow A \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^{-2} \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle|^2 = \langle f, S_F f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\
& = \langle f, C^{-1} C S_F f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\
& = \left\langle (C^{-1} C S_F)^{1/2} f, (C^{-1} C S_F)^{1/2} f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\
& = \left\| (C^{-1} C S_F)^{1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \\
& \leq \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \left\| (C S_F)^{1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \\
& = \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \langle f, C S_F f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\
& = \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \langle f, S_C f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\
& = \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\
& \leq B \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with bounds $A \left\| C^{1/2} \right\|^{-2}$ and $B \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2$. This completes the proof. \square

Next theorem shows that any frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) is a controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) under some conditions.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $C \in \mathcal{GB}^+(H_F)$ be a self-adjoint operator. If $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H then $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H .*

Proof. Suppose that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with

bounds A and B . Then for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 &= A \left\| C^{-1/2} C^{1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \\
&\leq A \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \left\| C^{1/2} f, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle C^{1/2} f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \left\langle C^{1/2} f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\
&= \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \left\langle C^{1/2} f, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f_i, C^{1/2} f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\
&= \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \left\langle C^{1/2} f, C^{1/2} S_F f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\
&= \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \left\langle f, C S_F f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\
&\Rightarrow A \left\| C^{-1/2} \right\|^{-2} \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \left\langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \left\langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle f, C S_F f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle = \left\langle C f, S_F f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\
&\leq \|C f, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|S_F f, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\
&\leq \|C\| \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\| B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\
&= B \|C\| \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H . This completes the proof. \square

For every controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) we can get a canonical controlled tight frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) with frame bound 1.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with frame operator S_C . Suppose S_C^{-1} commutes with C . Then $\left\{ S_C^{-1/2} f_i \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled Parseval frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H .*

Proof. The existence of unique positive square root of S_C^{-1} follows from Theorem 2.2, since $S_C^{-1/2}$ is a limit of a sequence of polynomials in S_C^{-1} , it commutes with

S_C^{-1} and therefore with S_C . Then for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= S_C^{-1/2} S_C S_C^{-1/2} f \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, S_C^{-1/2} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle S_C^{-1/2} C f_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, S_C^{-1/2} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle C S_C^{-1/2} f_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 &= \langle f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, S_C^{-1/2} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle C S_C^{-1/2} f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, S_C^{-1/2} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \left\langle C S_C^{-1/2} f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left\{ S_C^{-1/2} f_i \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled Parseval frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H . \square

We now give the concept of dual controlled frame in n -Hilbert space.

Definition 3.8. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H . Then a C -controlled frame $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) satisfying

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C f_i \quad \forall f \in H_F$$

is called a dual controlled frame or alternative dual controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) of $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be two C -controlled Bessel sequences associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) in H . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C f_i \quad \forall f \in H_F$.
- (ii) $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C g_i \quad \forall f \in H_F$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let T_C and $T_{C'}$ be the pre-frame operators of $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, respectively. Composing T_C with the adjoint of $T_{C'}$, for all $f \in H_F$, we get

$$T_C T_{C'}^* : H_F \rightarrow H_F, T_C T_{C'}^* f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C f_i.$$

Now, in terms of pre-frame operators (i) can be written as $T_C T_{C'}^* = I_F$ and this equivalent to $T_{C'} T_C^* = I_F$. Therefore, for each $f \in H_F$,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C g_i.$$

Similarly, (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows. \square

Remark 3.10. Suppose that the equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.9 are satisfied. Then using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for every $f \in H_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 &= \langle f, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C g_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C g_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle C f, g_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\|C^{-1/2}\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \right)^{1/2} \times \\ &\quad \left(\|C^{-1/2}\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle C f, g_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C g_i, C f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \right)^{1/2} \times \\ &\quad B^{1/2} \|C^{-1/2}\|^2 \|C f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{B \|C^{-1/2}\|^4 \|C\|^2} \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \langle C f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H . Similarly, it can be shown that $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is also a C -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H .

4 Controlled frame in tensor product of n -Hilbert spaces

In this section, we present the concept of controlled frame in tensor product of n -Hilbert spaces and give a characterization. Dual controlled frame in tensor product

of n -Hilbert spaces is also described. Finally, we consider the direct sum of controlled frames in n -Hilbert spaces.

Let H and K be two n -Hilbert spaces associated with the n -inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_2$, respectively. The tensor product of H and K is denoted by $H \otimes K$ and it is defined to be an n -inner product space associated with the n -inner product given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f_1 \otimes g_1, f_2 \otimes g_2 | f_3 \otimes g_3, \dots, f_n \otimes g_n \rangle \\ &= \langle f_1, f_2 | f_3, \dots, f_n \rangle_1 \langle g_1, g_2 | g_3, \dots, g_n \rangle_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n \in H$ and $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n \in K$. The n -norm on $H \otimes K$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \| f_1 \otimes g_1, f_2 \otimes g_2, \dots, f_n \otimes g_n \| \\ &= \| f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \|_1 \| g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \|_2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for all $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in H$ and $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in K$, where the n -norms $\| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|_1$ and $\| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|_2$ are generated by $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_2$, respectively. The space $H \otimes K$ is complete with respect to the above n -inner product. Therefore the space $H \otimes K$ is an n -Hilbert space.

Consider $G = \{b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$, where b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n are fixed elements in K and L_G denote the linear subspace of K spanned by G . Now, we can define the Hilbert space K_G with respect to the inner product is given by

$$\langle p + L_G, q + L_G \rangle_G = \langle p, q \rangle_G = \langle p, q | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2; \forall p, q \in K.$$

Remark 4.1. According to the definition 2.7, $H_F \otimes K_G$ is the Hilbert space with respect to the inner product:

$$\langle p \otimes q, p' \otimes q' \rangle = \langle p, p' \rangle_F \langle q, q' \rangle_G,$$

for all $p, p' \in H_F$ and $q, q' \in K_G$.

Definition 4.2. Let $C_1 \otimes C_2 \in \mathcal{GB}(H_F \otimes K_G)$. Then the sequence $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ in $H \otimes K$ is said to be a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$ if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & A \| f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty \langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\ & \quad \langle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(f_i \otimes g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\ & \leq B \| f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \|^2; \forall f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be the sequences of vectors in H and K , respectively and $a_2 \otimes b_2, a_3 \otimes b_3, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n$ be fixed elements in $H \otimes K$. The constants A, B are called the frame bounds. If the sequence $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ satisfies only the right inequality of (6) then it is called a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled Bessel sequence associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ in $H \otimes K$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be the sequences of vectors in n -Hilbert spaces H and K . The sequence $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty \subseteq H \otimes K$ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$ if and only if $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a C_1 -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a C_2 -controlled frame associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K .

Proof. Suppose that the sequence $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. Then, for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G - \{\theta \otimes \theta\}$, there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & A \|f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n\|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\ & \quad \langle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(f_i \otimes g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\ & \leq B \|f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \times \\ & \quad \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\ & \leq B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\ & \Rightarrow A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \times \\ & \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\ & \leq B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$ is non-zero element i.e., $f \in H_F$ and $g \in K_G$ are non-zero elements. Here, we may assume that every $f_i, C_1 f_i$ and a_2, \dots, a_n are linearly independent and every $g_j, C_2 g_j$ and b_2, \dots, b_n are linearly independent. Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1$$

are non-zero. Therefore, by the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \\ & \leq \frac{B \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \\ & \leq B_1 \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \quad \forall f \in H_F, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } A_1 = \inf_{g \in K_G} \left\{ \frac{A \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$B_1 = \sup_{g \in K_G} \left\{ \frac{B \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2} \right\}.$$

This shows that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C_1 -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H . Similarly, it can be shown that $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a C_2 -controlled frame associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K .

Conversely, suppose that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C_1 -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with bounds A, B and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a C_2 -controlled frame associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K with bounds C, D . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \\ & \leq B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \quad \forall f \in H_F, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\ & \leq D \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \quad \forall g \in K_G. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above two inequalities and using (4) and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & AC \|f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n\|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\ & \quad \langle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(f_i \otimes g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\ & \leq BD \|f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n\|^2; \forall f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.4. Let $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ be a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. According to the definition 3.3, the frame operator $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2} : H_F \otimes K_G \rightarrow H_F \otimes K_G$ is described by

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}(f \otimes g) \\ & = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(f_i \otimes g_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$.

Proposition 4.5. If S_{C_1} , S_{C_2} and $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}$ are the corresponding frame operator for $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$, respectively, then $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2} = S_{C_1} \otimes S_{C_2}$ and $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}^{-1} = S_{C_1}^{-1} \otimes S_{C_2}^{-1}$.

Proof. Since $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}$ is the frame operator for $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}(f \otimes g) \\ & = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(f_i \otimes g_j) \\ & = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 (C_1 f_i \otimes C_2 g_j) \\ & = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 C_1 f_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 C_2 g_j \right) \\ & = S_{C_1} f \otimes S_{C_2} g = (S_{C_1} \otimes S_{C_2})(f \otimes g) \quad \forall f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2} = S_{C_1} \otimes S_{C_2}$. Since S_{C_1} and S_{C_2} are invertible, by Theorem 2.8 (iv), $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}^{-1} = (S_{C_1} \otimes S_{C_2})^{-1} = S_{C_1}^{-1} \otimes S_{C_2}^{-1}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.6. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a C_1 -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with bounds A, B and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a C_2 -controlled frame associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K with bounds C, D having their corresponding frame operator S_{C_1} and S_{C_2} , respectively. Then $AC I_{F \otimes G} \leq S_{C_1 \otimes C_2} \leq BD I_{F \otimes G}$, where $I_{F \otimes G}$ is the identity operator on $H_F \otimes K_G$.

Proof. Since S_{C_1} and S_{C_2} are frame operators, we have

$$A I_F \leq S_{C_1} \leq B I_F, \quad C I_G \leq S_{C_2} \leq D I_G,$$

where I_F and I_G are the identity operators on H_F and K_G , respectively. Taking tensor product on the above two inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} AC(I_F \otimes I_G) &\leq (S_{C_1} \otimes S_{C_2}) \leq BD(I_F \otimes I_G) \\ \Rightarrow AC I_{F \otimes G} &\leq S_{C_1 \otimes C_2} \leq BD I_{F \otimes G}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Next, we establish the frame decomposition formula in $H \otimes K$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be C_1 -controlled and C_2 -controlled frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) and (b_2, \dots, b_n) for H and K with the corresponding frame operators S_{C_1} and S_{C_2} , respectively. Then for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes g &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f \otimes g, S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}^{-1}(f_i \otimes g_j) \mid a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \right\rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(f_i \otimes g_j), \\ f \otimes g &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j \mid a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \right\rangle S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}^{-1}(C_1 \otimes C_2)(f_i \otimes g_j). \end{aligned}$$

provided both the series converges unconditionally for all $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$.

Proof. Since S_{C_1} is the corresponding frame operator for $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= S_{C_1} S_{C_1}^{-1} f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle S_{C_1}^{-1} f, f_i \mid a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle C_1 f_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, S_{C_1}^{-1} f_i \mid a_2, \dots, a_n \right\rangle C_1 f_i \quad \forall f \in H_F. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle g, S_{C_2}^{-1} g_j \mid b_2, \dots, b_n \right\rangle C_2 g_j \quad \forall g \in K_G.$$

Thus, for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \otimes g \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, S_{C_1}^{-1} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle C_1 f_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, S_{C_2}^{-1} g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle C_2 g_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, S_{C_1}^{-1} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle g, S_{C_2}^{-1} g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 (C_1 f_i \otimes C_2 g_j) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, S_{C_1}^{-1} f_i \otimes S_{C_2}^{-1} g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 f_i \otimes C_2 g_j) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, (S_{C_1}^{-1} \otimes S_{C_2}^{-1}) (f_i \otimes g_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 f_i \otimes C_2 g_j) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}^{-1} (f_i \otimes g_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2) (f_i \otimes g_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left\{ \langle f, S_{C_1}^{-1} f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \left\{ \langle g, S_{C_2}^{-1} g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are C_1 -controlled and C_2 -controlled Bessel sequence associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) and (b_2, \dots, b_n) in H and K , respectively, the above series converges unconditionally. On the other hand, for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \otimes g = S_{C_1}^{-1} S_{C_1} f \otimes S_{C_2}^{-1} S_{C_2} g \\
&= S_{C_1}^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 C_1 f_i \right) \otimes S_{C_2}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 C_2 g_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}^{-1} (C_1 \otimes C_2) (f_i \otimes g_j).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be C_1 -controlled and C_2 -controlled tight frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) and (b_2, \dots, b_n) for H and K with bounds A_1 and A_2 , respectively. Then for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$, we have*

$$f \otimes g = \frac{1}{A_1 A_2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, f_i \otimes g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2) (f_i \otimes g_j).$$

In the next theorem, we establish that an image of controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) under a bounded linear operator becomes a controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) if and only if the bounded linear operator have to be invertible.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a C_1 -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H with bounds A, B and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a C_2 -controlled frame associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K with bounds C, D having their corresponding frame operators S_{C_1} and S_{C_2} , respectively. Suppose C_1 and C_2 commutes with U_1 and U_2 , respectively. Then $\{\Delta_{ij} = (U_1 \otimes U_2)(f_i \otimes g_j)\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$ if and only if $U_1 \otimes U_2$ is an invertible operator on $H_F \otimes K_G$.*

Proof. First we suppose that $U_1 \otimes U_2$ is an invertible on $H_F \otimes K_G$. Then by Theorem 2.8, U_1 and U_2 are invertible on H_F and K_G , respectively. For each $f \in H_F$ and $g \in K_G$, we have

$$\|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1 \leq \|U_1^{-1}\| \|U_1^*(f), a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1, \text{ and} \quad (7)$$

$$\|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2 \leq \|U_2^{-1}\| \|U_2^*(g), b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2. \quad (8)$$

Now, for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty \langle f \otimes g, (U_1 \otimes U_2)(f_i \otimes g_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\ & \quad \langle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(U_1 \otimes U_2)(f_i \otimes g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty \langle f \otimes g, (U_1 f_i \otimes U_2 g_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\ & \quad \langle (C_1 U_1 f_i \otimes C_2 U_2 g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty \langle f, U_1 f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle g, U_2 g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \times \\ & \quad \langle C_1 U_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_2 U_2 g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty \langle U_1^* f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle U_2^* g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \times \\ & \quad \langle C_1 f_i, U_1^* f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_2 g_j, U_2^* g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \langle U_1^* f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, U_1^* f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \times \\ & \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle U_2^* g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, U_2^* g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\ &\leq BD \|U_1^* f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|U_2^* g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\ &\leq BD \|U_1^*\|^2 \|U_2^*\|^2 \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\ &= BD \|U_1 \otimes U_2\|^2 \|f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, (U_1 \otimes U_2) (f_i \otimes g_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\
& \quad \langle (C_1 \otimes C_2) (U_1 \otimes U_2) (f_i \otimes g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\
& \geq AC \|U_1^* f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|U_2^* f, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\
& \geq \frac{AC \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2}{\|U_1^{-1}\|^2 \|U_2^{-1}\|^2} \text{ [by (7) and (8)]} \\
& = \frac{AC}{\|(U_1 \otimes U_2)^{-1}\|^2} \|f \otimes g, a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\Delta_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$.

Conversely, suppose that $\{\Delta_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. Now, for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, \Delta_{ij} | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2) \Delta_{ij} \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, U_1 f_i \otimes U_2 g_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 U_1 f_i \otimes C_2 U_2 g_j) \\
& = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle U_1^* f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 U_1 C_1 f_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle U_2^* g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 U_2 C_2 g_j \right) \\
& = U_1 S_{C_1} U_1^* f \otimes U_2 S_{C_2} U_2^* g = (U_1 \otimes U_2) (S_{C_1} \otimes S_{C_2}) (U_1^* \otimes U_2^*) (f \otimes g) \\
& = (U_1 \otimes U_2) S_{C_1 \otimes C_2} (U_1 \otimes U_2)^* (f \otimes g)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the frame operator for $\{\Delta_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ is $(U_1 \otimes U_2) S_{C_1 \otimes C_2} (U_1 \otimes U_2)^*$ and therefore it is invertible. Also, we know that $S_{C_1 \otimes C_2}$ is invertible and hence $U_1 \otimes U_2$ is invertible on $H_F \otimes K_G$. This completes the proof. \square

We now present the concept of a dual controlled frame in $H \otimes K$.

Definition 4.10. Let $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ be a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. Then a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame $\{e_i \otimes h_j\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \otimes g \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, e_i \otimes h_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2) (f_i \otimes g_j), \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f \otimes g \in H \otimes K$, is called a dual $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$ of $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a pair of dual C_1 -controlled frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a pair of dual C_2 -controlled frames associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K . Then $\{e_i \otimes h_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ is a dual $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$ of $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty, \{e_i \otimes h_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ are $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frames associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. Since $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are dual C_1 -controlled and C_2 -controlled frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) and (b_2, \dots, b_n) of $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, respectively, for all $f \in H_F, g \in K_G$,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 C_1 f_i, \text{ and } g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, h_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 C_2 g_j.$$

Then, for all $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes g &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 C_1 f_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, h_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 C_2 g_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle g, h_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 (C_1 f_i \otimes C_2 g_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, e_i \otimes h_j | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2) (f_i \otimes g_j). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.12. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a pair of dual C_1 -controlled frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a pair of dual C_2 -controlled frames associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K . Suppose $U \in \mathcal{B}(H_F), V \in \mathcal{B}(K_G)$ are unitary operators, C_1 and C_2 commutes with U and V , respectively. Then $\Lambda = \{(U \otimes V)(f_i \otimes g_j)\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ and $\Gamma = \{(U \otimes V)(e_i \otimes h_j)\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ also form a pair of dual $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frames associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.11, the sequences $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ and $\{e_i \otimes h_j\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$ form a pair of dual $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frames associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$

for $H \otimes K$. Now, for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, (U \otimes V)(f_i \otimes g_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\
& \quad \langle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(U \otimes V)(f_i \otimes g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, (U f_i \otimes V g_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \times \\
& \quad \langle (C_1 U f_i \otimes C_2 V g_j), f \otimes g | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, U f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 U f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \times \\
& \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, V g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 V g_j, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle U^* f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, U^* f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \times \\
& \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle V^* g, g_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_j, V^* g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a C_1 -controlled frame associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) for H and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a C_2 -controlled frame associated to (b_2, \dots, b_n) for K , the above calculation shows that the sequence Λ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. Similarly, it can be shown that Γ is a $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. Furthermore, for each $f \otimes g \in H_F \otimes K_G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes f, (U \otimes V)(e_i \otimes h_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 \otimes C_2)(U \otimes V)(f_i \otimes g_j) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, (U e_i \otimes V h_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (C_1 U f_i \otimes C_2 V g_j) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle f \otimes g, (U e_i \otimes V h_j) | a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \rangle (U C_1 f_i \otimes V C_2 g_j) \\
&= U \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle U^* f, e_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 C_1 f_i \otimes V \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle V^* g, h_j | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 C_2 g_j \\
&= U U^*(f) \otimes V V^*(g) = f \otimes g.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Λ and Γ form a pair of dual $(C_1 \otimes C_2)$ -controlled frames associated to $(a_2 \otimes b_2, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n)$ for $H \otimes K$. \square

Now, we end this section by considering controlled frame in the direct sum of n -Hilbert spaces H and K . The direct sum of n -Hilbert spaces H and K is denoted by $H \oplus K$ and defined to be a n -Hilbert space associated with the n -inner product

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f_1 \oplus g_1, f_2 \oplus g_2 | f_3 \oplus g_3, \dots, f_n \oplus g_n \rangle \\ &= \langle f_1, f_2 | f_3, \dots, f_n \rangle_1 + \langle g_1, g_2 | g_3, \dots, g_n \rangle_2, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n \in H$ and $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n \in K$. The n -norm on $H \otimes K$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \| f_1 \oplus g_1, f_2 \oplus g_2, \dots, f_n \oplus g_n \| \\ &= \| f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \|_1 + \| g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \|_2, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for all $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in H$ and $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in K$, where the n -norms $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$ are generated by $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_2$, respectively.

The space $H_F \oplus K_G$ is the Hilbert space with respect to the inner product:

$$\langle p \oplus q, p' \oplus q' \rangle = \langle p, p' \rangle_F + \langle q, q' \rangle_G,$$

for all $p, p' \in H_F$ and $q, q' \in K_G$.

Definition 4.13. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H_F)$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(K_G)$. Then the direct sum of the operators T and U is the operator $T \oplus U : H_F \oplus K_G \rightarrow H_F \oplus K_G$ defined as $(T \oplus U)(f \oplus g) = Tf \oplus Ug$.

It is easy to verify that $T \oplus U$ is a well-defined bounded linear operator whose norm is given by $\|T \oplus U\| = \sup\{\|T\|, \|U\|\}$.

In the following theorem we will show that direct sum of controlled frames is a controlled frame in n -Hilbert space under some sufficient conditions.

Theorem 4.14. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a C_1 -controlled and C_2 -controlled frames associated to (a_2, \dots, a_n) and (b_2, \dots, b_n) for H and K with bounds A, B and C, D , respectively. Then $\{f_i \oplus g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $(C_1 \oplus C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n)$ for $H \oplus K$, provided for each $f \in H_F$ and $g \in K_G$, we have

$$(i) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_2 g_i, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_i | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 = 0.$$

Proof. For each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f \oplus g, f_i \oplus g_i | a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n \rangle \times \\
& \quad \langle (C_1 \oplus C_2)(f_i \oplus g_i), f \oplus g | a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f \oplus g, f_i \oplus g_i | a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n \rangle \times \\
& \quad \langle C_1 f_i \oplus C_2 g_i, f \oplus g | a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 + \langle g, g_i | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \} \times \\
& \quad \{ \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 + \langle C_2 g_i, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_i | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 \langle C_1 f_i, f | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle_1 + \\
& \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle g, g_i | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \langle C_2 g_i, g | b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle_2 \\
&\leq B \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 + D \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \max\{B, D\} \|f \oplus g, a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $f \oplus g \in H \oplus K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f \oplus g, f_i \oplus g_i | a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n \rangle \times \\
& \quad \langle (C_1 \oplus C_2)(f_i \oplus g_i), f \oplus g | a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n \rangle \\
&\geq A \|f, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_1^2 + C \|g, b_2, \dots, b_n\|_2^2 \\
&\geq \min\{A, C\} \|f \oplus g, a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the sequence $\{f_i \oplus g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a $(C_1 \oplus C_2)$ -controlled frame associated to $(a_2 \oplus b_2, \dots, a_n \oplus b_n)$ for $H \oplus K$ with bounds $\max\{B, D\}$ and $\min\{A, C\}$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] P. Balazs, J. P. Antonie and A. Grybos, *Weighted and controlled frames: mutual relationship and first numerical properties*, Int. J. Wavelets, Multiresolution Info. Proc., 14 (2010), No. 1, 109-132.
- [2] I. Bogdanova, P. Vandergheynst, J. P. Antoine, L. Jacques and M. Morrvidone, *Stereographic wavelet frames on the sphere*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 16 (2005), 223-252.
- [3] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, Birkhauser (2008).

- [4] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, Y. Mayer, *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 27 (5) (1986) 1271-1283.
- [5] C. Diminnie, S. Gahler, A. White, *2-inner product spaces*, Demonstratio Math. 6 (1973) 525-536.
- [6] R. J. Duffin, A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc ., 72, (1952), 341-366.
- [7] G. B. Folland, *A Course in abstract harmonic analysis*, CRC Press BOCA Raton, Florida.
- [8] D. Gabor, *Theory of communications*, J. Inst. Elec. Engrg. 93 (1946), 429-457.
- [9] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Construction of frame relative to n -Hilbert space*, Journal of Linear and Topological Analysis, Vol. 10, No. 02, (2021), 117-130.
- [10] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Frame in tensor product of n -Hilbert spaces*, Submitted, arXiv: 2101.01938, Accepted in Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis.
- [11] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Atomic systems in n -Hilbert spaces and their tensor products*, Submitted, arXiv: 2104.01535.
- [12] H. Gunawan, *On n -inner products, n -norm, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*, Sci. Math. Jpn., 55 (2002), 53-60.
- [13] H. Gunawan, Mashadi, *On n -normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27 (2001), 631-639.
- [14] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York 1983.
- [15] E. Kreyzig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*. Wiley, New York (1989).
- [16] A. Misiak, *n -inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., 140(1989), 299-319.
- [17] S. Rabinson, *Hilbert space and tensor products*, Lecture notes September 8, 1997.
- [18] G. Upender Reddy, N. Gopal Reddy and B. Krishna Reddy, *Frame operator and Hilbert-Schmidt Operator in Tensor Product of Hilbert Spaces*, Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometric Theories, 7:1, (2009), 61-70.