

On the Bands of the Schrödinger Operator with a Matrix Potential

O.A.Veliev

Dogus University, Istanbul, Turkey.

e-mail: oveliev@dogus.edu.tr

Abstract

In this article we consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator $L(Q)$ with a Hermitian periodic $m \times m$ matrix potential Q . We investigate the bands and gaps of the spectrum and prove that most of the positive real axis is overlapped by m bands. Moreover, we find a condition on the potential Q for which the number of gaps in the spectrum of $L(Q)$ is finite.

Key Words: Self-adjoint differential operator, Spectral bands, Periodic matrix potential.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34L05, 34L20.

1 Introduction and Preliminary Facts

Let $L(Q)$ be the differential operator generated in the space $L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$ of the vector functions $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ by the differential expression

$$-y'' + Qy, \quad (1)$$

where $y_k \in L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $Q(x) = (q_{s,j}(x))$ is a $m \times m$ Hermitian matrix for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $q_{s,j}$ is the complex-valued locally square summable function and $Q(x+1) = Q(x)$. It is well-known that [2, Chap.XIII], [4, 10, 13] the spectrum $\sigma(L(Q))$ of the operator $L(Q)$ is the union of the spectra of the operators $L_t(Q)$ for $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$, where $L_t(Q)$ is the operator generated in $L_2^m[0, 1]$ by the differential expression (1) and the quasiperiodic conditions $y(1) = e^{it}y(0)$, $y'(1) = e^{it}y'(0)$. For $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$ the spectra $\sigma(L_t(Q))$ of the operators $L_t(Q)$ consist of the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda_2(t) \leq \dots \quad (2)$$

called the Bloch eigenvalues of $L(Q)$. The n -th band function λ_n continuously depends on t and its range

$$I_n(Q) = \{\lambda_n(t) : t \in (-\pi, \pi]\} \quad (3)$$

is called the n -th band of the spectrum of L :

$$\sigma(L(Q)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(Q).$$

The continuity of λ_n in the case $m = 1$ was proved in [12]. The general case follows from the arguments of the perturbation theory described in [6] and [12]. In the Remark 1 of the next section, for the independence of this paper, we give a proof of this statement within the framework of this paper. The bands I_n approach infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The spaces between the bands I_k and I_{k+1} (if exist) for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are called the gaps in the spectrum of $L(Q)$.

In this paper we investigate the set of the Bloch eigenvalues, bands and gaps of $L(Q)$. For this first we consider the set of the Bloch eigenvalues of the operators $L(O)$ and $L(C)$, where O is the $m \times m$ zero matrix and

$$C = \int_{[0,1]} Q(x) dx. \quad (4)$$

It is clear that

$$\varphi_{k,1,t} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{k,t} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_{k,2,t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{k,t} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \varphi_{k,m,t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ e_{k,t} \end{pmatrix}$$

are the eigenfunctions of the operator $L_t(O)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $(2\pi k + t)^2$, where $e_{k,t}(x) = e^{i(2\pi k + t)x}$. If $t \neq 0, \pi$ then the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $(2\pi k + t)^2$ is m and the corresponding eigenspace is

$$E_k(t) = \text{Span} \{ \varphi_{k,1,t}, \varphi_{k,2,t}, \dots, \varphi_{k,m,t} \}.$$

In the cases $t = 0$ and $t = \pi$ the multiplicities of the eigenvalues $(2\pi k)^2$ for $k \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ and $(2\pi k + \pi)^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are $2m$ and the corresponding eigenspaces are

$$E_k(0) = \text{Span} \{ \varphi_{n,j,0} : n = k, -k; j = 1, 2, \dots, m \}$$

and

$$E_k(\pi) = \text{Span} \{ \varphi_{n,j,\pi} : n = k, -(k+1); j = 1, 2, \dots, m \}$$

respectively. Thus the points $(2\pi k)^2$ for $k \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ and $(2\pi k + \pi)^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the exceptional Bloch eigenvalues of $L(O)$, in the sense that at these points the multiplicities of the eigenvalues are changed. It is clear that $(2\pi k + t)^2$ is an exceptional Bloch eigenvalue of $L(O)$ if and only if

$$(2\pi k + t)^2 = (2\pi n + t)^2 \quad (5)$$

for some $n \neq k$. Since (5) holds only in the cases $t = 0, n = -k, k \neq 0$ and $t = \pi, n = -k - 1$, only the points $(2\pi k)^2$ for $k \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ and $(2\pi k + \pi)^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the exceptional Bloch eigenvalues of $L(O)$.

To analyze the set of the Bloch eigenvalues and the spectrum of the operator $L(C)$ we introduce the following notations, where C is defined in (4). Denote by $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_p$ the distinct eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix C . If the multiplicity of μ_j is m_j , then $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_p = m$. Let $u_{j,1}, u_{j,2}, \dots, u_{j,m_j}$ be the eigenvectors of the matrix C corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_j . It is not hard to see that

$$\Phi_{k,j,s,t}(x) = u_{j,s} e^{i(2\pi k + t)x}$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, m_j$ are the eigenfunctions of $L_t(C)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue

$$\mu_{k,j}(t) = (2\pi k + t)^2 + \mu_j. \quad (6)$$

To consider the spectrum of $L(Q)$ we use the following result of [16].

Theorem 4(a) of [16]. All large eigenvalues of $L_t(Q)$ lie in ε_k neighborhood

$$U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t)) := (\mu_{k,j}(t) - \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(t) + \varepsilon_k)$$

of the eigenvalues $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ of $L_t(C)$, where $\varepsilon_k = c_1(|\frac{\ln|k|}{k}| + q_k)$,

$$q_k = \max \left\{ \left| \int_{[0,1]} q_{s,r}(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \right| : s, r = 1, 2, \dots, m; n = \pm 2k, \pm(2k + 1) \right\},$$

c_1 is a constant and does not depend on $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Moreover, for each large eigenvalue $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ of $L_t(C)$ there exists an eigenvalue of $L_t(Q)$ lying in ε_k neighborhood of $\mu_{k,j}(t)$.

Now let's explain a brief outline of this paper. Using Theorem 4(a) of [16], we first prove that most of the positive real axis is overlapped by m bands and estimate the length of the gap between the bands (see Theorems 1 and 2). Then, in order to investigate the spectrum of $L(Q)$ in detail by using the asymptotic formulas and perturbation theory we consider the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $L_t(C)$ and the large exceptional points of the spectrum of $L(C)$. We consider the operator $L(Q)$ as perturbation of $L(C)$ by $Q - C$ and prove that the perturbation $Q - C$ may generate the gaps in $\sigma(L(Q))$ only at the neighborhoods of the exceptional Bloch eigenvalues (see Theorem 3 and Corollary 2) of $L(C)$. In Theorem 4 we find a condition (see Condition 1) on the eigenvalues of the matrix C for which the number of gaps in the spectrum of $L(Q)$ is finite. Note that in [16] we proved Theorem 4 under the assumption that the matrix C has three simple eigenvalues μ_{j_1}, μ_{j_2} and μ_{j_3} satisfying Condition 1. These assumption simplifies the proof of Theorem 4. In this paper we prove Theorem 4 without any conditions on the multiplicity of these eigenvalues. Finally, note that in [8, 14, 15] we studied the non-self-adjoint operators with a periodic matrix potential. This paper can be considered as continuation of

the paper [16], in which the self-adjoint case was investigated. The self-adjoint case, was considered also in [1], where the main goal was to reformulate some spectral problems for the differential operator with periodic matrix coefficients as problems of conformal mapping theory.

Finally note that a great number of paper is devoted to the scalar case ($m = 1$) (see for example the monographs [3] and [7] and the paper [9]). In this paper we consider the finite-zone potentials in the vectorial case. Therefore let us only stress the significant difference between the scalar and vectorial cases in the investigations of the finite-zone potentials. In case $m = 1$ the finite zone potentials are infinitely differentiable functions and have a special form expressed by Riemann θ function (see [7, Chapters 8 and 9] and [5]), while in the vectorial case we guarantee finite number of gaps under simple algebraic condition on the eigenvalue of the matrix C . Moreover, the method used in this paper for the investigation of the vectorial case is absolutely different from the methods used in the scalar case.

2 Main Results

One can easily verify that the set

$$\left\{ (2\pi k + t)^2 : t \in (-\pi, \pi], k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

of Bloch eigenvalues $(2\pi k + t)^2$ of $L(O)$ overlap $2m$ times (counting the multiplicity) the half line $(0, \infty)$, since $(2\pi k + t)^2 = (-2\pi k - t)^2$ for $t \in (0, \pi)$ and the multiplicity of the eigenvalues $(2\pi k)^2$ for $k \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ and $(2\pi k + \pi)^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is $2m$. Since the both Bloch eigenvalues $(2\pi k + t)^2$ and $(-2\pi k - t)^2$ belong to the same band of $L(O)$, any element of the half line $(0, \infty)$ is overlapped by m bands of $L(O)$. To investigate this overlapping problem for the operator $L(Q)$ let us note that the eigenvalues of $L_t(Q)$ numbered in non-decreasing order (see (2)) continuously depend on $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

Remark 1 *Here we prove (within the framework of this paper) that the eigenvalues $\lambda_n(t)$ defined in (2) continuously depend on t . The eigenvalues of the operator $L_t(Q)$ are the roots of the characteristic determinant*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda, t) &= \det(U_\nu(Y_j))_{j,\nu=1}^2 = \\ &= e^{i2mt} + f_1(\lambda)e^{i(2m-1)t} + f_2(\lambda)e^{i(2m-2)t} + \dots + f_{2m-1}(\lambda)e^{it} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

which is a polynomial of e^{it} with entire coefficients $f_1(\lambda), f_2(\lambda), \dots$, where

$$U_\nu(Y_j) = Y_j^{(\nu-1)}(1, \lambda) - e^{it} Y_j^{(\nu-1)}(0, \lambda),$$

$Y_1(x, \lambda)$ and $Y_2(x, \lambda)$ are the solutions of the matrix equation

$$-Y''(x) + Q(x)Y(x) = \lambda Y(x)$$

satisfying $Y_1(0, \lambda) = O$, $Y_1'(0, \lambda) = I$ and $Y_2(0, \lambda) = I$, $Y_2'(0, \lambda) = O$ (see [11] Chapter 3).

Now using these statements we prove that for each n the function λ_n defined in (3) is continuous at each point $t_0 \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Since $\lambda_n(t_0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exist $k \leq n$ and $p \geq n$ such that $\lambda_{k-1}(t_0) < \lambda_k(t_0) = \lambda_{k+1}(t_0) = \dots = \lambda_p(t_0) < \lambda_{p+1}(t_0)$ if $\lambda_n(t_0) > \lambda_1(t_0)$. Then the boundaries of the rectangles

$$R_1 = \{c < x < d_1, |y| < 1\} \text{ and } R_2 = \{c < x < d_2, |y| < 1\}$$

belong to the resolvent set of the operator $L_{t_0}(Q)$, where $\lambda_{k-1}(t_0) < d_1 < \lambda_k(t_0)$, $\lambda_p(t_0) < d_2 < \lambda_{p+1}(t_0)$ and c is a number for which $\sigma(L) \subset (c, \infty)$. It implies that $\Delta(\lambda, t_0) \neq 0$ for each $\lambda \in \partial(R_1)$. Since $\Delta(\lambda, t_0)$ is a continuous function on the compact $\partial(R_1)$, there exists $a > 0$ such that $|\Delta(\lambda, t_0)| > a$ for all $\lambda \in \partial(R_1)$. Moreover, $\Delta(\lambda, t)$ is a polynomial of e^{it} with entire coefficients. Therefore, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|\Delta(\lambda, t)| > a/2$ for all $t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_1)$ and $\lambda \in \partial(R_1)$. It means that $\partial(R_1)$ belong to the resolvent set of $L_t(Q)$ for all $t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_1)$. Moreover

$$(L_t - \lambda I)^{-1} f(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, \xi, \lambda, t) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $G(x, \xi, \lambda, t)$ is the Green's function of $L_t - \lambda I$ defined by formula

$$G(x, \xi, \lambda, t) = g(x, \xi, \lambda) - \frac{1}{\Delta(\lambda, t)} \sum_{j,v=1}^2 Y_j(x, \lambda) V_{jv}(x, \lambda) U_v(g),$$

(see formula (8) of [7, p.117]). Here g does not depend on t and V_{jv} is the transpose of that m th-order matrix consisting of the cofactor of the element $U_v(Y_j)$ in the determinant $\det(U_v(Y_j))_{j,v=1}^2$. Hence the entries of the matrices $V_{jv}(x, \lambda)$ and $U_v(g)$ either do not depend on t or have the form $u(1, \lambda) - e^{it}u(0, \lambda)$ and $h(1, \xi, \lambda) - e^{it}h(0, \xi, \lambda)$ respectively, where the functions u and h do not depend on t . Therefore using these formulas and the last inequality for $|\Delta(\lambda, t)|$ one can easily verify that $(L_t - \lambda I)^{-1}$ continuously depend on $t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_1)$ for $\lambda \in \partial(R_1)$. This implies that the operators L_t for each $t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_1)$ have $k - 1$ eigenvalues in R_1 , since L_{t_0} have $k - 1$ eigenvalues in R_1 . It is clear that these eigenvalues are $\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \dots, \lambda_{k-1}(t)$. In the same way we prove that there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that the operators L_t for $t \in (t_0 - \delta_2, t_0 + \delta_2)$ have p eigenvalues in R_2 and they are $\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \dots, \lambda_p(t)$. Thus the closed rectangle $R = \{d_1 \leq x \leq d_2, |y| \leq 1\}$ contains $p - k + 1$ eigenvalues of L_t for $t \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ and they are $\lambda_k(t), \lambda_{k+1}(t), \dots, \lambda_p(t)$, where $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and $n \in [k, p]$.

Now we are ready to prove that λ_n is continuous at the point t_0 if $\lambda_n(t_0) > \lambda_1(t_0)$. Consider any sequence $\{(\lambda_n(t_k), t_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $t_k \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_k \rightarrow t_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let (λ, t_0) be any limit point of the sequence $\{(\lambda_n(t_k), t_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since Δ is a continuous function with respect to the pair (λ, t) and $\Delta(\lambda_n(t_k), t_k) = 0$ for all k we have $\Delta(\lambda, t_0) = 0$. It means that

λ is an eigenvalue of $L_{t_0}(Q)$ lying in the rectangle R , that is, $\lambda = \lambda_k(t_0) = \lambda_{k+1}(t_0) = \dots = \lambda_p(t_0)$, where $n \in [k, p]$. Thus $\lambda_n(t_k) \rightarrow \lambda_n(t_0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for any sequence $\{t_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converging to t_0 and λ_n is continuous at the point t_0 if $\lambda_n(t_0) > \lambda_1(t_0)$. To prove the case $\lambda_n(t_0) = \lambda_1(t_0)$ it is enough to consider only the rectangle $R_2 = \{c < x < d_2, |y| < 1\}$, where $\lambda_1(t_0) = \lambda_2(t_0) = \dots = \lambda_p(t_0) < d_2 < \lambda_{p+1}(t_0)$.

First using this Remark and Theorem 4(a) of [16] we consider the overlapping problem for $L(Q)$. For this in the following remark we explain Theorem 4(a) of [16] for the family of the operators $L(C + \varepsilon(Q - C))$ for $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 2 To prove Theorem 4(a) we used the formula

$$(\lambda_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{n,i}(t))(\Psi_{k,j,t}, \Phi_{n,i,t}) = ((Q(x) - C)\Psi_{k,j,t}, \Phi_{n,i,t}) \quad (7)$$

and proved that

$$(\Psi_{k,j,t}(x), (Q(x) - C)\Phi_{n,i,t}(x)) = O\left(\frac{\ln |k|}{k}\right) + O(b_k). \quad (8)$$

Moreover, the last estimation does not depend on t . If the potential Q is replaced by $C + \varepsilon(Q - C)$ then the formula (7) has the form

$$(\lambda_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{n,i}(t))(\Psi_{k,j,t}, \Phi_{n,i,t}) = (\varepsilon(Q(x) - C)\Psi_{k,j,t}, \Phi_{n,i,t}) \quad (9)$$

Instead of (7) using (9) and repeating the proof of (8) we get

$$(\Psi_{k,j,t}(x), \varepsilon(Q(x) - C)\Phi_{n,i,t}(x)) = O\left(\frac{\ln |k|}{k}\right) + O(\varepsilon q_k).$$

Therefore, repeating the proof of Theorem 4(a) of [16] we obtain that all large eigenvalues of $L_t(C + \varepsilon(Q - C))$ for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ lie in $\varepsilon_k =: c_1(|\frac{\ln |k|}{k}| + q_k)$ neighborhood of the eigenvalues $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ for $|k| \geq N$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, where the constants N and c_1 do not depend on t and ε .

Now we are ready to prove the following theorem about the overlapping problem.

Theorem 1 There exists a positive integer N_1 such that if $s \geq N_1$ then the intervals

$$I(s) := \left[(s\pi)^2 + \mu_p + \varepsilon(s), (s\pi + \pi)^2 + \mu_1 - \varepsilon(s) \right]$$

are contained in each of the bands

$$I_{sm+1}(Q), I_{sm+2}(Q), \dots, I_{sm+m}(Q),$$

where $\varepsilon(s) = \varepsilon_k$ if $s \in \{2k, 2k + 1\}$ and ε_k is defined in Remark 2.

Proof. First consider the case $s = 2k$. One can easily verify that the number of the periodic Bloch eigenvalues of $L(C)$ (the eigenvalues of $L_0(C)$ counting the multiplicity) lying in the interval

$$\left[c, (s\pi)^2 + \mu_p + \varepsilon(s) \right]$$

is $sm + m$, where c is a constant such that the spectra of the operators $L(C + \varepsilon(Q - C))$ are contained in (c, ∞) for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. It follows from Remark 2 that there exist constants N_1 and c such that if $s \geq N_1$, then the boundary of the rectangle

$$R_1 = \left\{ c < x < (s\pi)^2 + \mu_p + \varepsilon(s), \quad |y| < 1 \right\}$$

belong to the resolvent set of the operators $L_0(C + \varepsilon(Q - C))$ for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Hence, the projection of $L_0(C + \varepsilon(Q - C))$ defined by contour integration over the boundary of R_1 depends continuously on ε . It implies that the number of eigenvalues (counting the multiplicity) of $L_t(C + \varepsilon(Q - C))$ lying in R_1 are the same for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Since $L_0(C)$ has $sm + m$ eigenvalues (counting the multiplicity) in R_1 , the operator $L_0(Q)$ has also $sm + m$ eigenvalues.

In the same way we prove that the rectangle

$$R_2 = \left\{ c < x < (s\pi)^2 + \mu_1 - \varepsilon(s), \quad |y| < 1 \right\}$$

contains $sm - m$ eigenvalues of $L_0(Q)$. Therefore the interval

$$\left((s\pi)^2 + \mu_1 - \varepsilon(s), (s\pi)^2 + \mu_p + \varepsilon(s) \right) \quad (10)$$

contains $2m$ periodic eigenvalues and they are

$$\lambda_{sm-m+1}(0) \leq \lambda_{sm-m+2}(0) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{sm+m}(0).$$

In the similar way we prove that the interval

$$\left((s\pi + \pi)^2 + \mu_1 - \varepsilon(s), (s\pi + \pi)^2 + \mu_p + \varepsilon(s) \right) \quad (11)$$

contains $2m$ antiperiodic eigenvalues and they are

$$\lambda_{sm+1}(\pi) \leq \lambda_{sm+2}(\pi) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{sm+2m}(\pi)$$

Thus the bands I_r for $r = sm + 1, sm + 2, \dots, sm + m$ contain the point $\lambda_r(0)$ from interval (10) and the point $\lambda_r(\pi)$ from interval (11). Therefore the bands $I_{sm+1}, I_{sm+2}, \dots, I_{sm+m}$ contains the interval $I(s)$. In the same way we prove the case $s = 2k + 1$. ■

Theorem 1 immediately imply the following.

Corollary 1 *Any spectral gap (α, β) with $\alpha > (\pi N_1)^2$ (if exists) is contained in the intervals*

$$U(s) := ((\pi s)^2 + \mu_1 - \varepsilon(s-1), (\pi s)^2 + \mu_p + \varepsilon(s)),$$

for $s > N_1$. Moreover, the spectral gap $(\alpha, \beta) \subset U(s)$ lies between the bands $I_{sm}(Q)$ and $I_{sm+1}(Q)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 the intervals $I(s)$ for $s \geq N_1$ are the subsets of the spectrum. Therefore the spectral gap (α, β) with $\alpha > (\pi N_1)^2$ is contained between $I(s-1)$ and $I(s)$ for some $s > N_1$. It means that $(\alpha, \beta) \subset U(s)$. The bands $I_{sm+j}(Q)$ and $I_{sm+j+1}(Q)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ have common intervals $I(s)$ and hence there is not gaps between they. It means that the gap (α, β) is located between the bands I_{ms} and I_{ms+1} . ■

Now using Corollary 1 and Theorem 4(a) of [16] we prove the following.

Theorem 2 *The length of the spectral gaps (α, β) lying in $U(s)$ for $s > N_1$ is not greater than $2 \max \{\varepsilon(s-1), \varepsilon(s)\}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1 we have

$$((s\pi)^2 + \mu_1 - \varepsilon(s-1)) \leq \alpha < \beta \leq (\pi s)^2 + \mu_p + \varepsilon(s).$$

Now suppose on the contrary that the length of the gap (α, β) is greater than $2 \max \{\varepsilon(s-1), \varepsilon(s)\}$. Then it follows from last equalities that

$$\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \in ((s\pi)^2 + \mu_1, (\pi s)^2 + \mu_p), \quad \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} > \max \{\varepsilon(s-1), \varepsilon(s)\}$$

Using (6) one can easily conclude that there exist $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ such that the equality $\mu_{s,j}(t) = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}$ holds. On the other hands, by Theorem 4(a) of [16] there exists an eigenvalue λ of $L_t(Q)$ lying in $\max \{\varepsilon(s-1), \varepsilon(s)\}$ neighborhood of $\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}$. Therefore

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} \right) = (\alpha, \beta).$$

Hence (α, β) is not a gap in the spectrum of $L(Q)$. This contradiction imply the proof of the theorem. ■

To investigate the spectrum of $L(Q)$ in detail by using the asymptotic formulas and perturbation theory we need to consider the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $L_t(C)$ and the exceptional points of the spectrum of $L(C)$. The multiplicity of $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ is m_j if $\mu_{k,j}(t) \neq \mu_{n,i}(t)$ for all $(n, i) \neq (k, j)$. The multiplicity of $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ is changed, that is, $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ is an exceptional point of the spectrum of $L(C)$ if

$$(2\pi k + t)^2 + \mu_j = (2\pi n + t)^2 + \mu_i \tag{12}$$

for some $(n, i) \neq (k, j)$. Since $(2\pi k + t)^2 = (-2\pi k - t)^2$, it is enough to study the equality (12) for $t \in [0, \pi]$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we investigate the large exceptional Bloch eigenvalues $(2\pi k + t)^2 + \mu_j$ of $\sigma(L(C))$, because we are going to investigate the spectrum of the operator $L(Q)$ by using the asymptotic formulas for the large eigenvalues. In other words, we need to consider (12) in the case when $|k|$ is a large number. Then (12) has a solution $t \in [0, \pi]$ only in the cases $n = -k$ and $n = -k - 1$. In these cases (12) implies that the large eigenvalue $(2\pi k + t)^2 + \mu_j$ is an exceptional Bloch eigenvalue of $L(C)$ if either

$$\mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{-k,i}(t) = 8\pi kt + \mu_j - \mu_i = 0 \tag{13}$$

or

$$\mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{-k-1,i}(t) = 4\pi(2k+1)(t-\pi) + \mu_j - \mu_i = 0 \quad (14)$$

for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. We denote by

$$t(2k, j, i) = \frac{\mu_i - \mu_j}{4\pi(2k)}$$

and

$$t(2k+1, j, i) = \pi + \frac{\mu_i - \mu_j}{4\pi(2k+1)}$$

the solutions of equations (13) and (14) lying in $[0, \pi]$. Thus eliminating the sets $\{t(2k, j, i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and $\{t(2k+1, j, i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$ from $[0, \pi]$ we conclude that, if t belongs to the remaining part of $[0, \pi]$, then the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ is m_j , where k is a large number. In other word, $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ is a non-exceptional Bloch eigenvalue of $L(C)$. However, to investigate the perturbation of these non-exceptional Bloch eigenvalues by using the asymptotic formulas obtained in [16], we eliminate δ_k -neighborhoods $U_{\delta_k}(t(2k, j, i))$ and $U_{\delta_k}(t(2k+1, j, i))$ of $t(2k, j, i)$ and $t(2k+1, j, i)$ from $[0, \pi]$, where $\delta_k = o(k^{-1})$. Moreover, δ_k can be chosen so that the remaining part of $[0, \pi]$ consists of the pairwise disjoint intervals $[a(k, j, s), b(k, j, s)]$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, v$:

$$[0, \pi] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1,2,\dots,p} (U_{\delta_k}(t(2k, j, i)) \cup U_{\delta_k}(t(2k+1, j, i))) \right) = \bigcup_{s=1}^v [a(k, j, s), b(k, j, s)], \quad (15)$$

where $a(k, j, s) < b(k, j, s) < a(k, j, s+1) < b(k, j, s+1)$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, v$. These intervals have the following property.

Lemma 1 *There exists N_2 such that if $|k| > N_2$,*

$$4\pi(2|k| - 2)\delta_k = 2 \max\{\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{-k}, \varepsilon_{-k-1}\}, \quad (16)$$

and the quasimomentum t belongs to the intervals $[a(k, j, s), b(k, j, s)]$ defined in (15), then the following statements hold.

(a) *The inequality*

$$|\mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{n,i}(t)| \geq 4\pi(2|k| - 1)\delta_k \quad (17)$$

holds for $n = -k, -k-1$ and for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

(b) *The closed interval*

$$\overline{U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t))} = [\mu_{k,j}(t) - \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(t) + \varepsilon_k]$$

has no common points with $\overline{U_{\varepsilon_n}(\mu_{n,i}(t))}$ for $|n| \geq N_2$ and $(n, i) \neq (k, j)$.

Proof. (a) Introduce the notations $f(t) = \mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{-k,i}(t)$ and $g(t) = \mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{-k-1,i}(t)$. By the definition of $t(2k, j, i)$ and $t(2k+1, j, i)$ we have $f(t(2k, j, i)) = 0$ and $g(t(2k+1, j, i)) = 0$ (see (13) and (14)). On the other hand, the derivatives of the functions f and g are $8\pi k$ and $4\pi(2k+1)$ respectively. Therefore if t does not belong to the δ_k -neighborhood of $t(2k, j, i)$ and $t(2k+1, j, i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ that is, if t belong to the intervals $[a(k, j, s), b(k, j, s)]$, then $|f(t)| \geq 8\pi |k| \delta_k$ and $|g(t)| \geq 4\pi |2k+1| \delta_k$. These inequalities imply (17).

(b) If (16) holds then it follows from (17) that the distance $|\mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{n,i}(t)|$ between the centres of the intervals $U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t))$ and $U_{\varepsilon_n}(\mu_{n,i}(t))$ is greater than the total sum $\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_n$ of the radii of these intervals for $n = -k, -k-1$. Therefore $\overline{U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t))}$ has no common points with the intervals $\overline{U_{\varepsilon_n}(\mu_{n,i}(t))}$ for $n = -k, -k-1$. Similarly, if $n \neq k, -k, -k-1$, $|k| > N_2$, $|n| \geq N_2$ and $t \in [0, \pi]$ then $|\mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{n,i}(t)|$ is a large number and hence is greater than $\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_n$. If $n = k$ and $i \neq j$, then $|\mu_{k,j}(t) - \mu_{n,i}(t)| = |\mu_j - \mu_i| > \varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_n$, since $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The lemma is proved. ■

Now using this lemma we consider the spectrum of $L(Q)$.

Theorem 3 *Let $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ be fixed. For any interval $[a, b] := [a(k, j, s), b(k, j, s)]$ of (15) the following statements hold.*

(a) *If $t \in [a, b]$, then the operator $L_t(Q)$ has m_j eigenvalues (counting the multiplicity) lying in the interval $U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t)) = (\mu_{k,j}(t) - \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(t) + \varepsilon_k)$.*

(b) *There exists l such that the eigenvalues of $L_t(Q)$ lying in $U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t))$ are $\lambda_{l+1}(t), \lambda_{l+2}(t), \dots, \lambda_{l+m_j}(t)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.*

(c) *If $k > 0$ ($k < 0$), then the interval $[\mu_{k,j}(a) + \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(b) - \varepsilon_k]$*

$([\mu_{k,j}(b) + \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(a) - \varepsilon_k])$ is a subset of the bands $\Gamma_{l+1}, \Gamma_{l+2}, \dots, \Gamma_{l+m_j}$ of the spectrum of $L(Q)$.

Proof. (a) Theorem 4(a) of [16] implies that there exist n and N such that the eigenvalues $\lambda_s(t)$ for $s > n$ lie in $U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,i}(t))$ for $|k| > N$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. On the other hand, by Lemma 1 the integer N can be chosen so that the closed interval $\overline{U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t))}$ for $t \in [a, b]$ and $|k| > N$ has no common points with the intervals $\overline{U_{\varepsilon_n}(\mu_{n,i}(t))}$ for $|n| \geq N$ and $(n, i) \neq (k, j)$. Therefore the circle

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \mu_{k,j}(t)| = \varepsilon_k\} \quad (18)$$

belong to the resolvent set of $L_t(Q)$. Repeating the proof of the case $\varepsilon = 1$, one can easily verify that the circle (18) lies in the resolvent sets of $L_t(C + \varepsilon(Q - C))$ for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Since $L_t(C)$ has m_j eigenvalues (counting the multiplicity) in the circle (18), the operator $L_t(Q)$ has also m_j eigenvalues.

(b) Let us denote the eigenvalues of $L_t(Q)$ lying in $U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t))$ by $\lambda_{l(t)+1}(t), \lambda_{l(t)+2}(t), \dots, \lambda_{l(t)+m_j}(t)$. We need to prove that $l(t)$ does not depend on $t \in [a, b]$. Since we numerate the eigenvalues of $L_t(Q)$ in nondecreasing order (see (2)) $\lambda_{l(t)}(t)$ and $\lambda_{l(t)+m_j+1}(t)$ do not belong to the interval $U_{\varepsilon_k}(\mu_{k,j}(t))$ and

$$\lambda_{l(t)}(t) < \lambda_{l(t)+s}(t) < \lambda_{l(t)+m_j+1}(t) \quad (19)$$

for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, m_j$. It follows from the continuity of the band functions and (19) that for each $t \in [a, b]$ there exists a neighborhood $U(t)$ of t such that

$$\lambda_{l(t)}(y) < \lambda_{l(t)+s}(y) < \lambda_{l(t)+m_j+1}(y)$$

for $y \in U(t)$. In the other words, $l(y) = l(t)$ for all $y \in U(t)$. Thus we have

$$\forall t \in [a, b], \exists U(t) : l(y) = l(t), \forall y \in U(t). \quad (20)$$

Let $U(t_1), U(t_2), \dots, U(t_\omega)$ be a finite subcover of the open cover $\{U(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ of the compact $[a, b]$, where $U(t)$ is the neighborhood of t satisfying (20). By (20), we have $l(y) = l(t_i)$ for all $y \in U(t_i)$. Clearly, if $U(t_i) \cap U(t_j) \neq \emptyset$, then $l(t_i) = l(z) = l(t_j)$, where $z \in U(t_i) \cap U(t_j)$. Thus $l(t_1) = l(t_2) = \dots = l(t_\omega)$ and hence $l(t)$ does not depend on $t \in [a, b]$.

(c) We consider the case $k > 0$. The case $k < 0$ can be considered in the same way. Since

$$\lambda_{l+s}(a) \in (\mu_{k,j}(a) - \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(a) + \varepsilon_k)$$

and

$$\lambda_{l+s}(b) \in (\mu_{k,j}(b) - \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(b) + \varepsilon_k)$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, m_j$ the interval $[\mu_{k,j}(a) + \varepsilon_k, \mu_{k,j}(b) - \varepsilon_k]$ is a subset of Γ_{l+s} for $s = 1, 2, \dots, m_j$. ■

Using this theorem and the construction of the intervals (15) we prove the following consequence.

Corollary 2 *There exists $N_3 > \max\{N, N_1, N_2\}$ and a sequence $\{\gamma_k\} \rightarrow 0$ such that $\gamma_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and the spectral gap (α, β) defined in Corollary 1 and lying in $U(k)$ for $k > N_3$ is contained in the intersection of the sets $S(1, k), S(2, k), \dots, S(p, k)$, where*

$$S(j, k) = \bigcup_{i=1, 2, \dots, p} \left((\pi k)^2 + \frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{2} - \gamma_k, (\pi k)^2 + \frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{2} + \gamma_k \right).$$

Proof. We say that the intervals $[A + \varepsilon, B - \varepsilon]$ and $(A - \varepsilon, B + \varepsilon)$ are respectively the $\varepsilon > 0$ contraction and extension of the intervals $[A, B]$ and (A, B) . In Theorem 3 (c) we proved that the ε_k contraction of the images $\mu_{k,j}([a, b])$ of the intervals $[a, b]$ of (15) is a subset of the spectrum of $L(Q)$. On the other hand, the intervals of (15) are obtained from $[0, \pi]$ by eliminating the open intervals

$$(t(2k, j, i) - \delta_k, t(2k, j, i) + \delta_k), (t(2k + 1, j, i) - \delta_k, t(2k + 1, j, i) + \delta_k) \quad (21)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Therefore, it follows from (6) that the gaps in the spectrum are the subset of the ε_k extension of the images $\mu_{k,j}((c, d))$ of the intervals (c, d) of (21). Since

$$\mu_{k,j}(t(2k, j, i)) = (2\pi k)^2 + \frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{2} + \left(\frac{\mu_i - \mu_j}{8\pi k} \right)^2$$

and

$$\mu_{k,j}(t(2k+1, j, i)) = (2\pi k + \pi)^2 + \frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{2} + \left(\frac{\mu_i - \mu_j}{4\pi(2k+1)} \right)^2,$$

using (6) and (16) we obtain that for any interval (c, d) of (21) the interval $\mu_{k,j}((c, d))$ and hence its ε_k extension are contained in $S(j, k)$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. The corollary is proved. ■

Now we find a condition on the eigenvalues of the matrix C for which the spectrum of $L(Q)$ contains the interval (H, ∞) for some constant H . If the matrix C has only one eigenvalue μ with multiplicity m , then it is possible that the spectrum of $L(Q)$ has infinitely many gaps. For example, if $Q = qI$, where q is not a finite zone scalar potential and I is the $m \times m$ unit matrix then the spectrum of $L(Q)$ has infinitely many gaps. If the matrix C has only two eigenvalues μ_1 and μ_2 , then the sets $S(1, k)$ and $S(2, k)$ have a common interval

$$\left((2\pi k)^2 + \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2} - \gamma_k, (2\pi k)^2 + \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2} + \gamma_k \right).$$

Therefore, Corollary 2 does not imply that the number of the gaps in the spectrum of $L(Q)$ is finite. However, we prove that if the number of different eigenvalues of the matrix C is greater than 2, then three sets $S(j_1, k)$, $S(j_2, k)$ and $S(j_3, k)$ for the large values of k have no common intervals if the following condition holds.

Condition 1 *Suppose that there exists a triple (j_1, j_2, j_3) such that*

$$\min_{i_1, i_2, i_3} (\text{diam}(\{\mu_{j_1} + \mu_{i_1}, \mu_{j_2} + \mu_{i_2}, \mu_{j_3} + \mu_{i_3}\})) = d \neq 0,$$

where minimum is taken under condition $i_s \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$ and

$$\text{diam}(E) = \sup_{x, y \in E} |x - y|.$$

Let us first discuss why gaps in $\sigma(L(Q))$ do not appear in the interval (H, ∞) if H is a large number and Condition 1 holds. Then in Theorem 4 we give the mathematical proof of this statement. For each $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the set

$$\sigma_j(L(C)) = \left\{ (2\pi k + t)^2 + \mu_j : k \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in (-\pi, \pi] \right\}$$

(let us call it j spectrum) cover the interval (H, ∞) . The perturbation $Q - C$ may generate a gap in $\sigma_j(L(C))$ only at the neighborhood of the exceptional Bloch eigenvalues $(2\pi k + t)^2 + \mu_j$ (let us call it j exceptional Bloch eigenvalue). On the other hand, Condition 1 implies that the j_1, j_2 and j_3 exceptional Bloch eigenvalues have no common points. That is why, for each $\lambda \in (H, \infty)$ there exists $s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that λ does not belong to the neighborhood of j_s exceptional Bloch eigenvalues. Hence the perturbation $Q - C$ does not generate a gap in $\sigma_{j_s}(L(C))$ at the neighborhood of λ .

Now using Condition 1 and Corollary 2 we prove the following.

Theorem 4 *If the matrix C has three eigenvalues μ_{j_1} , μ_{j_2} and μ_{j_3} satisfying Condition 1, then there exists a number H such that $(H, \infty) \subset \sigma(L(Q))$, that is, the number of the gaps in the spectrum of $L(Q)$ is finite.*

Proof. By Corollary 2 the gap (α, β) lying in $U(k)$ for $k > N_3$ belong to the set $S(j_s, k)$ for all $s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and for some $k > N_3$. Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$\bigcap_{s=1,2,3} S(j_s, k) \quad (22)$$

is an empty set for $k > N_3$. Since $\gamma_k \rightarrow 0$ the number N_3 can be chosen so that $4\gamma_k < d$ for $k > N_3$. If the set (22) contains an element x , then using the definitions of $S(j_s, k)$, we obtain that there exist $k > N_3$ and $i_s \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ such that

$$|x - (\pi k)|^2 - \frac{\mu_{j_s} + \mu_{i_s}}{2} < \gamma_k$$

for all $s = 1, 2, 3$. This implies that

$$|(\mu_{j_u} + \mu_{i_u}) - (\mu_{j_v} + \mu_{i_v})| < 4\gamma_k < d$$

for all $u, v \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $v \neq u$. This contradicts Condition 1. ■

References

- [1] D. Chelkak and E. Korotyaev, *Spectral estimates for Schrödinger operators with periodic matrix potentials on the real line*, International Mathematics Research Notices, vol. 2006, Article ID 60314, 41 pages, 2006.
- [2] N. Danford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part II: Spectral Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1988.
- [3] M. S. P. Eastham, *The Spectral Theory of Periodic Differential Operators*, Hafner, New York, 1974.
- [4] I. M. Gelfand, *Expansions in series of eigenfunctions of an equation with periodic coefficients*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 73 (1950), 1117–1120.
- [5] A. R. Its and V. B. Matveev, *Hill operators with finitely many lacunae and multisoliton solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Trudy Mat. Fiz. 23 (1975), 51-67.
- [6] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [7] B. M. Levitan, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems*, Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter, 2018,
- [8] F. G. Maksudov, O. A. Veliev, *Spectral Analysis of Differential Operators with Periodic Matrix Coefficients*, Differ. Equations 25 (1989), 271-277.

- [9] V. A. Marchenko and I. V. Ostrovskii, *A characterization of the spectrum of the Hill operator*. Mat. USSR-Sb., **26** (1975), 493-554.
- [10] D. C. McGarvey, *Differential operators with periodic coefficients in $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$* . Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **11** (1965), 564-596.
- [11] M. A. Naimark, *Linear Differential Operators*. London. George G. Harap&Company 1967.
- [12] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Volume 4*, Academic Press, New York, 1987.
- [13] F. S. Rofe-Beketov, *The spectrum of non-self-adjoint differential operators with periodic coefficients*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **4** (1963), 1563-1566.
- [14] O. A. Veliev, *On the non-self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators with matrix potentials*, Mathematical Notes **81** (2007), 440-448.
- [15] O. A. Veliev, *Uniform convergence of the spectral expansion for a differential operator with periodic matrix coefficients*, Boundary Value Problems **ID 628973** (2008), 22 pp.
- [16] O. A. Veliev, *On the Hill's operator with a matrix potential*, Mathematische Nachrichten **281** (2008), 1341-1350.