

ON THE LEFT CONNECTED SUBALGEBRA OF THE DESCENT ALGEBRA OF A COXETER GROUP OF CLASSICAL TYPE

LINUS HELLEBRANDT AND GÖTZ PFEIFFER

ABSTRACT. A Coxeter group of classical type A_n , B_n or D_n contains a chain of subgroups of the same type. We show that intersections of conjugates of these subgroups are again of the same type, and make precise in which sense and to what extent this property is exclusive to the classical types of Coxeter groups. As the main tool for the proof we use Solomon’s descent algebra. Using Stirling numbers, we express certain basis elements of the descent algebra as polynomials and derive explicit multiplication formulas for a commutative subalgebra of the descent algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

For subgroups J and K of a finite group W , Mackey’s Theorem describes the restriction to K of a character induced from J to W in terms of intersections of W -conjugates of both J and K , which are parametrized by the (J, K) -double cosets of W . In such a context it is natural to ask: which subgroups of W do occur as intersections of conjugates of J and K ?

In [4], for example, this question arises for a Coxeter group W of type B_n , with Coxeter groups $J, K \leq W$ of types B_j, B_k , for some $j, k \leq n$. In this case the intersections turn out to be Coxeter groups of type B_l , $l \leq n$. Representatives of the conjugacy classes of these subgroups can be generated by subsets of the Coxeter generators of W , and lie in a maximal chain of such subgroups of W .

In this paper we classify all such chains of subgroups of an irreducible finite Coxeter group W , generated by a set S of simple reflections. For a finite Coxeter group, the natural place to study such questions is the descent algebra.

The descent algebra $\Sigma(W)$ is a remarkable subalgebra of the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}[W]$, introduced by Solomon [6] in 1976. It has a linear basis consisting of $2^{|S|}$ elements x_J , $J \subseteq S$, and its radical coincides with the kernel of the homomorphism from $\Sigma(W)$ into the character ring of W which maps x_J to the permutation character π_J of the action of W on the cosets of the subgroup W_J generated by $J \subseteq S$.

In this setup, the search for maximal chains of (conjugacy classes of) subgroups which are closed under intersection leads us to consider subalgebras $\mathbb{Q}[x_M]$ of $\Sigma(W)$, where M is a maximal subset of S . We have $\dim \mathbb{Q}[x_M] = \#\{\pi_M(w) : w \in W\}$, since the minimal polynomial of x_J , $J \subseteq S$, is

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{a} \in \{\pi_J(w) : w \in W\}} (x - \mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbb{Q}[x],$$

by a theorem of Bonnafé–Pfeiffer [2]. We classify all cases where $\mathbb{Q}[x_M]$ is spanned as \mathbb{Q} -vector space by a “native” basis, i.e., a subset of the basis $\{x_J : J \subseteq S\}$. It turns out that this is almost exclusively the case when W is of classical type A_n , B_n or D_n and, as in the above example of the Coxeter group of type B_n , the subset M is “left-connected” in S , in sense that we will make precise shortly. We also show that, if M is left-connected

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20F55; Secondary 05E16, 33C80.

Key words and phrases. Finite Coxeter Group, Descent Algebra, Stirling Numbers, Pochhammer Symbol.

in S , the native basis elements x_J of $\mathbb{Q}[x_M]$ are integer polynomials in x_M . For each of the three classical types of Coxeter groups, we provide explicit formulas for the structure constants of the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[x_M]$ relative to its native basis.

We proceed as follows. In Section 2, we set up notation for a finite Coxeter group W and its descent algebra $\Sigma(W)$, and we review some useful properties of Coxeter groups of classical type. In Section 3, we define W_M in W as *maximally left-connected*, if W_M is of type X_{n-1} in W of type X_n , where X is any of the classical types A , B or D , subject to some natural constraints on n . The choice of name is derived from the position of M in the Coxeter diagram of (W, S) . We show that in these maximally left-connected cases the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[x_M]$ has a native basis. In Section 4, we consider the converse statement and argue that in almost all cases where M is maximal, but not left-connected in W , the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[x_M]$ does not have a native basis. The only exceptions are dihedral types and that of $B_1 \times A_1$ in B_3 . We can now state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let W be an irreducible finite Coxeter group with Coxeter generators S . Let $J \subseteq S$ be a maximal subset. Then the subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ of the descent algebra admits a native basis if and only if*

- (i) W is of classical type A_n , B_n or D_n , and J is maximally left-connected in S ;
- (ii) W is of dihedral type $I_2(m)$ with $m \geq 5$; or
- (iii) W is of type B_3 and W_J is of type $B_1 \times A_1$.

Furthermore the native basis consists of integer polynomials in x_J exclusively for (i).

2. NOTATION

Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system, and let $\ell(w)$ denote the minimal length of $w \in W$ as a word in S . For each subset $J \subseteq S$, let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by J . The set

$$X_J = \{w \in W : \ell(ws) > \ell(w) \text{ for all } s \in J\}$$

is a distinguished transversal of the left cosets wW_J in W consisting of representatives of minimal length in their coset. For $K \subseteq S$, the set $X_K^{-1} = \{w^{-1} : w \in X_K\}$ is a distinguished transversal of the right cosets $W_K w$ in W , and the set $X_{JK} = X_J^{-1} \cap X_K$ is a distinguished transversal of the double cosets $W_J w W_K$ in W .

For $J \subseteq S$, define $x_J = \sum_{w \in X_J} w$. The subspace $\Sigma(W) = \sum_{J \subseteq S} \mathbb{Q}x_J$ of the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}W$ is a ring with identity $x_S = 1$, and thus a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}W$, called the descent algebra of W . The elements x_J , $J \subseteq S$, are linearly independent, and thanks to Solomon [6],


$$(2.1) \quad x_J x_K = \sum_{d \in X_{JK}} x_{J^d \cap K} = \sum_{L \subseteq S} a_{JKL} x_L,$$

where $a_{JKL} = \#\{d \in X_{JK} \mid J^d \cap K = L\}$, for $J, K, L \subseteq S$.

For $J \subseteq K \subseteq S$, we denote $X_J^{(K)} = X_J \cap W_K$. Then $X_J^{(K)}$ is a distinguished left transversal of W_J in W_K , and $X_J = X_K X_J^{(K)} = \{vw : v \in X_K, w \in X_J^{(K)}\}$, by [3, 2.1.5]. Accordingly, we have

$$(2.2) \quad x_J = x_K x_J^{(K)},$$

where $x_J^{(K)} = \sum_{w \in X_J^{(K)}} w$.

FIGURE 1. Coxeter graphs for type A_n, B_n and D_n

2.1. Leftconnected subgroups. In the following section we are mostly concerned with Coxeter groups of type A_n, B_n and D_n , whose Coxeter graphs of the respective types are given by Figure 1. The types A_n, B_n and D_n are in most parts well understood. For example there exists a well known faithful permutation representation for these types, which in detail is presented in [1, 1.5; 8.1; 8.2]:

Remark 2.1. (i) For type A_n there exists an isomorphism between W and the symmetric group S_{n+1} of permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ which sends the basis element s_i to the involution $(i, i+1)$ interchanging i and $i+1$. This gives rise to an action of W on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$.

(ii) Denote by S_n^B the group of signed permutations on the set $\{-n, -n+1, \dots, n-1, n\}$, which consists of the permutations π satisfying $\pi(-i) = -\pi(i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. For type B_n there exists an isomorphism between W and S_n^B sending s_i to the involution $(-i, -i+1)(i-1, i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, and s_1 to $(-1, 1)$. This gives rise to an action of W on the set $\{-n, -n+1, \dots, n-1, n\}$.

(iii) Denote by S_n^D the subgroup of S_n^B consisting of all signed permutations π which map an even number of positive integers onto negative integers. For type D_n there exists an isomorphism between W and S_n^D which sends s_i to the involution $(-i, -i+1)(i-1, i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, and s_1 to $(-2, 1)(-1, 2)$.

Let W be of type A_n ($n \geq 1$), B_n ($n \geq 2$) or D_n ($n \geq 3$), with $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ as in the diagrams in Figure 1. For $J = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_j\}$ and $K = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$, $0 \leq j, k \leq n$, we set $W_j = W_J$, $X_j = X_J$ and $X_{jk} = X_{JK}$. Moreover, in $\mathbb{Q}W$, we set $x_j = x_J$ and $x_j^{(k)} = x_J^{(k)}$. Thus, (2.2) becomes $x_j = x_j^{(k)} x_k$, for $0 \leq j \leq k \leq n$. For type D_n we exclude the occurrence of $J = \{s_1\}$ or $K = \{s_1\}$ by instead putting $W_1 := W_\emptyset$, $X_1 := X_\emptyset$ and so forth. In Section 3.4 we furthermore put $x_0 := 2x_1$ for type D_n , for a simplified notation.

We say that a subgroup U of W is *left connected* in W , if $U = W_j$ for some $0 \leq j \leq n$, where for type D_n we require $j \geq 1$. This definition generalizes the notion of left connected subsets of S for W of type B_n , introduced by Gerber, Hiß, Jacon [4], to all classical types of finite Coxeter groups. We will show in Corollary 3.5, 3.8 and 3.12 for each of the types A_n, B_n and D_n that

$$x_j x_k = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{jkl} x_l$$

for nonnegative integer coefficients a_{jkl} , which generalizes [4, 2.2 Lemma] for type A_n and D_n .

3. NATIVE BASES IN CLASSICAL TYPES

In this section we define a particular class of subalgebras of $\Sigma(W)$ for any finite Coxeter system. By applying some general properties of the descent algebra to study this algebra for the maximal left connected subgroup in type A_n, B_n and D_n , we will see that for this particular case the algebra admits a basis which is a subset of the standard basis of $\Sigma(W)$ and corresponds to a set of falling factorials.

Definition 3.1. Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system and $\mathfrak{E} \subseteq \Sigma(W)$ be a subalgebra of the descent algebra of W . We call a basis of \mathfrak{E} *native* if it is a subset of the standard basis $\{x_J \mid J \subseteq S\}$ of $\Sigma(W)$. \square

A trivial example for native bases is the standard basis $\{x_J \mid J \subseteq S\}$ of the descent algebra itself, which is a native basis of $\Sigma(W)$. In the following we will explore non trivial examples of subalgebras which admit native bases.

Definition 3.2. Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system and $J \subseteq S$ be a maximal subset of S . Define

$$\mathfrak{E}(W, x_J) := \mathbb{Q}[x_J],$$

the smallest subalgebra of the descent algebra $\Sigma(W)$, and the group ring $\mathbb{Q}W$, containing the element x_J . For type A_n, B_n and D_n put $\mathfrak{E}(W) := \mathfrak{E}(W, x_{n-1})$. \square

It is clear by its definition that $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ is a commutative algebra isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ for all three types via the insertion homomorphism. We now explore the algebras $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ separately for those types. We will see that $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ admits a native basis and determine its structural constants with respect to this basis. But first we review some properties of falling powers.

3.1. Falling Factorial Powers. Following [5], for $k \geq 0$, the k th falling power of x is

$$(3.1) \quad x^{\underline{k}} := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - i) = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ m \end{bmatrix} x^m,$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ is a Stirling number of the first kind, the number of ways to arrange k elements in m cycles. Conversely, for $k \geq 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad x^k = \sum_{m=0}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} x^{\underline{m}},$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ m \end{matrix} \right\}$ is a Stirling number of the second kind, the number of ways to partition an k -element set into m nonempty subsets. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$(3.3) \quad x^{\underline{a}} x^{\underline{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(a,b)} \binom{a}{k} \binom{b}{k} k! x^{\underline{a+b-k}},$$

where the coefficient $\binom{a}{k} \binom{b}{k} k!$ counts the number of partial bijections between k elements of a set A with $|A| = a$ and a set B with $|B| = b$.

3.2. Type A. In the following consider (W, S) a Coxeter system of type A_n .

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$(3.4) \quad x_{n-1} x_{n-k} = k x_{n-k} + x_{n-k-1}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

where we set $x_{-1} := x_0$. So, in particular, $x_{n-1} x_0 = n x_0 + x_{-1} = (n+1) x_0$.

Proof. We show that Equation (3.4) holds for $k < n$, by induction on k . For $k = 0$ we have $x_{n-k} = x_n = 1$, thus $x_{n-1}x_{n-0} = x_{n-1} + 0x_{n-0}$. For $k = 1$ we have only 2 double cosets of W_{n-1} in W with distinguished coset representatives 1 and s_n . Thus

$$(3.5) \quad x_{n-1}^2 = x_{n-2} + x_{n-1}$$

by Equation (2.1), with an exception for $n = 1$ where $x_0^2 = 2x_0$ holds. Thus (3.4) holds for $k \leq 1$, which also proves the case $n \leq 2$. Suppose $n > 2$. By Equation (2.2) we have

$$x_j^{(n)} = x_k^{(n)} x_j^{(k)},$$

for all $0 \leq j \leq k \leq n$. The cases $k \in \{0, 1\}$ have already been dealt with, thus let $k \geq 2$ and (3.4) be true for $k - 1$. Then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} x_{n-1}^{(n)} x_{n-k}^{(n)} &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} x_{n-1}^{(n)} x_{n-k+1}^{(n)} x_{n-k}^{(n-k+1)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Ind.}}{=} ((k-1)x_{n-k+1}^{(n)} + x_{n-k}^{(n)}) x_{n-k}^{(n-k+1)} \\ &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} (k-1)x_{n-k}^{(n)} + x_{n-k+1}^{(n)} x_{n-k}^{(n-k+1)} x_{n-k}^{(n-k+1)} \\ &\stackrel{(3.5)}{=} (k-1)x_{n-k}^{(n)} + x_{n-k+1}^{(n)} (x_{n-k}^{(n-k+1)} + x_{n-k-1}^{(n-k+1)}) \\ &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} kx_{n-k}^{(n)} + x_{n-k-1}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by induction (3.4) holds for all $0 \leq k < n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Multiplying x_{n-1} with x_0 yields

$$(3.7) \quad x_{n-1}^{(n)} x_0^{(n)} = (n+1)x_0^{(n)},$$

since x_0 is the sum over all elements in W and x_{n-1} consists of $n+1$ summands, as its number of summands is equal to the index of W_{n-1} in W . \square

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3,

$$(3.8) \quad x_{n-k} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x_{n-1} - i) = x_{n-1}^k, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

and

$$(x_{n-1} - n - 1)x_0 = x_0(x_{n-1} - n) - x_0 = 0.$$

It follows that $x_{n-1}^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} = x_{n-1}^n$ and that $x_{n-1}^{\frac{n+t}{n-1}} = 0$, for $t > 1$. This shows the following.

Proposition 3.4. *As \mathbb{Q} -algebras $\mathfrak{E}(W) = \mathbb{Q}[x_{n-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^{n+1} - x^n)$. Its \mathbb{Q} -bases $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{x_{n-1}^0, \dots, x_{n-1}^n\}$ are related by the base change formulas*

$$(3.9) \quad x_{n-k} = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ m \end{bmatrix} x_{n-1}^m, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n-1}^k = \sum_{m=0}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} x_{n-m},$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. \square

This confirms that the basis elements x_j of $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ are integral polynomials in x_{n-1} , $0 \leq j \leq n$. Moreover, the identification with falling powers yields a multiplication formula in terms of explicit structure constants of $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ with respect to the basis $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

Corollary 3.5. *We have*

$$(3.10) \quad x_j x_k = \sum_{l=-1}^{\min(j,k)} \binom{n-j}{k-l} \binom{n-k}{j-l} (n-j-k+l)! x_l,$$

for $0 \leq j, k \leq n$.

Proof. From (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^{n-j} x_k^{n-k} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\min(n-j, n-k)} \binom{n-j}{n-j-s} \binom{n-k}{n-k-s} s! x_{n-j+n-k-s}^{n-j+n-k-s} \\ &= \sum_{l=j+k-n}^{\min(j, k)} \binom{n-j}{k-l} \binom{n-k}{j-l} (n+l-j-k)! x_{n-l}^{n-l}. \end{aligned}$$

And, as $x_{n-l}^{n-l} = 0$ unless $n-l \leq n+1$, it follows that

$$x_j x_k = x_{n-1}^{n-j} x_{n-1}^{n-k} = \sum_{l=-1}^{\min(j, k)} \binom{n-j}{k-l} \binom{n-k}{j-l} (n+l-j-k)! x_l,$$

as desired. \square

Alternatively we can prove Corollary 3.5 using formula (2.1) by counting for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the coset representatives $x \in X_{jk}$ satisfying

$$(3.11) \quad \{1, 2, \dots, j\}^x \cap \{1, 2, \dots, k\} = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}.$$

By [1, 1.5.3] we have $\ell(xs_i) > \ell(x)$, if and only if $x(i) < x(i+1)$, which implies

$$X_j = \{x \in W \mid x(i) < x(i+1), 1 \leq i \leq j\}.$$


Therefore

- (i) $x(1) < x(2) < \dots < x(k+1)$,
- (ii) $x^{-1}(1) < x^{-1}(2) < \dots < x^{-1}(j+1)$,

for each $x \in X_{jk}$. Thus for a fixed l any coset representative satisfying equation (3.11) stabilizes the elements $1, 2, \dots, l+1$ point-wise while not stabilizing $l+2$. The coefficient

$$\binom{n-j}{n-j-k+l} \binom{n-k}{n-j-k+l} (n-j-k+l)!$$

can then be read as all bijections between the $n-j-k+l$ elements in $\{k+2, \dots, n+1\}$ whose image is not determined by (ii), and the $n-j-k+l$ elements in $\{j+2, \dots, n+1\}$ in the image whose pre-image is not determined by (i).



3.3. Type B. In the following consider (W, S) a Coxeter system of type B_n . The set of distinguished double coset representatives of W_{n-1} in W is

$$X_{n-1, n-1} = \{1, s_n, s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n\},$$

see, e.g., [3, Example 2.2.5]. Thus $x_{n-1}^2 = 2x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}$. Analogously to Lemma 3.3 induction over k yields:

Lemma 3.6. *We have*

$$(3.12) \quad x_{n-1}x_{n-k} = 2kx_{n-k} + x_{n-k-1},$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where we put $x_{-1} := 0$. So, in particular, $x_{n-1}x_0 = 2nx_0 + x_{-1} = 2nx_0$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.6,

$$(3.13) \quad x_{n-k} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x_{n-1} - 2i) = 2^k \left(\frac{x_{n-1}}{2} \right)^k, \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad (x_{n-1} - 2n)x_0 = 0.$$

This shows the following.

Proposition 3.7. *As $\mathfrak{E}(W) = \mathbb{Q}[x_{n-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^{n+1})$, where x_{n-1} is sent to the coset of $2x^1$. Its \mathbb{Q} -bases $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{x_{n-1}^0, \dots, x_{n-1}^n\}$ are related by the base change formulas*

$$(3.15) \quad x_{n-k} = \sum_{m=0}^k (-2)^{k-m} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ m \end{bmatrix} x_{n-1}^m, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n-1}^k = \sum_{m=0}^k \begin{Bmatrix} k \\ m \end{Bmatrix} 2^{k-m} x_{n-m},$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. The minimal polynomial of x_{n-1} arises from Equation (3.13) and (3.14) as $\mu = \prod_{i=0}^n (x - 2i)$. Furthermore the natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{E}(W) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(\mu)$ sends x_{n-k} to the coset of $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - 2i)$. Sending a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ to the coset $f(2x) + (x^{n+1})$ yields an algebra epimorphism from $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ to $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^{n+1})$. Since $\mu(2x) = 2^{n+1}x^{n+1}$ and x^{n+1} generate the same ideal of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ the kernel of this epimorphism is exactly (μ) , thus it induces an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ and $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^{n+1})$. Under this isomorphism x_{n-k} is sent to the coset of $2^k x^k$. The change of basis formulas of the native basis to the standard basis arise from Equation (3.1) and (3.2) under this isomorphism. \square

This confirms that the basis elements x_j of $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ are integral polynomials in x_{n-1} , $0 \leq j \leq n$. Moreover, the identification with multiples of falling powers yields a multiplication formula in terms of explicit structure constants of $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ with respect to the basis $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. The proof proceeds analogously to Corollary 3.5 with the difference that the coset x^{n+1} already equals 0, thus eliminating the second term for x_0 . This yields:

Corollary 3.8. *We have*

$$(3.16) \quad x_j x_k = \sum_{l=0}^{\min(j,k)} \binom{n-j}{k-l} \binom{n-k}{j-l} (n-j-k+l)! 2^{n-j-k+l} x_l,$$

for $0 \leq j, k \leq n$. \square

A similar interpretation of the coefficient of x_i as for type A_n exists. Here the action of W on $\{-n, -n+1, \dots, n\}$ yields $\ell(ws_i) > \ell(w)$, if and only if $w(i-1) < w(i)$, for all $w \in W$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, by [1, 8.1.2]. The factor $2^{n-j-k+i}$ arises since for the bijection between the two sets with $n-j-k+i$ elements we can also choose the sign of each element freely.

3.4. **Type D.** In the following consider (W, S) a Coxeter system of type D_n . The set of distinguished double coset representatives of W_{n-1} in W is

$$X_{n-1, n-1} = \{1, s_n, s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_3 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n\},$$

see, e.g., [3, Example 2.2.6]. Thus $x_{n-1}^2 = 2x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}$. Analogously to Lemma 3.3 induction over k yields:

Lemma 3.9. *We have*

$$(3.17) \quad x_{n-1}x_{n-k} = 2kx_{n-k} + x_{n-k-1} \text{ for } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\},$$

where we set $x_0 := 2x_1$. So, in particular, $x_{n-1}x_1 = 2nx_1$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.9,

$$(3.18) \quad x_{n-k} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x_{n-1} - 2i) = 2^k \left(\frac{x_{n-1}}{2}\right)^k, \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad (x_{n-1} - 2n)x_1 = 0.$$

This shows the following.

Proposition 3.10. *As $\mathfrak{E}(W) = \mathbb{Q}[x_{n-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^n - x^{n-1})$, where x_{n-1} is sent to the coset of $2x^1$. Its \mathbb{Q} -bases $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{x_{n-1}^0, \dots, x_{n-1}^{n-1}\}$ are related by the base change formulas*

$$(3.20) \quad x_{n-k} = \sum_m (-2)^{k-m} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ m \end{bmatrix} x_{n-1}^m, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n-1}^k = \sum_m \begin{Bmatrix} k \\ m \end{Bmatrix} 2^{k-m} x_{n-m},$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Proof. The minimal polynomial of x_{n-1} arises from Equation (3.18) and (3.19) as $\mu = (x - 2n) \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} (x - 2i)$. Furthermore the natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{E}(W) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(\mu)$ sends x_{n-k} to the coset of $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - 2i)$. Sending a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ to the coset $f(2x) + (x^n - x^{n-1})$ yields an algebra epimorphism from $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ to $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^n - x^{n-1})$. Since $\mu(2x) = 2^n(x^n - x^{n-1})$ and $x^n - x^{n-1}$ generate the same ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ the kernel of this epimorphism is exactly (μ) , thus it induces an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ and $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^n - x^{n-1})$. Under this isomorphism x_{n-k} is sent to the coset of $2^k x^k$. The change of basis formulas of the native basis to the standard basis arise from Equation (3.1) and (3.2) under this isomorphism. \square

This confirms that the basis elements x_j of $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ are integral polynomials in x_{n-1} , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Comparing Proposition 3.10 with Proposition 3.4 we see that the ideals factoring $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ for type D_n and type A_{n-1} are identical. This gives us a surprising connection between $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ for type D_n and $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ for type A_{n-1} .

Corollary 3.11. *Let (W', S') be a Coxeter system of type A_{n-1} for $n \geq 3$. To distinguish between elements in $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ and $\mathfrak{E}(W')$ denote by x_i^D the element $x_i \in \mathfrak{E}(W)$ and by x_i^A the element $x_i \in \mathfrak{E}(W')$. There exists a \mathbb{Q} -algebra isomorphism*

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{E}(W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}(W'),$$

with

$$\varphi(x_j^D) = 2^{n-j} x_{j-1}^A,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. \square

We can solve multiplication in $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ by either using the multiplication formula (3.3) for falling factorials and the isomorphism onto $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^n - x^{n-1})$ from Proposition 3.10, or using the isomorphism between $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ and $\mathfrak{E}(W')$ in Corollary 3.11 and the multiplication formula for type A_{n-1} in Corollary 3.5. Both methods yield the following.

Corollary 3.12. *We have*

$$(3.21) \quad x_j x_k = \sum_{l=0}^{\min(j,k)} \binom{n-j}{k-l} \binom{n-k}{j-l} (n-j-k+l)! 2^{n-j-k+l} x_l,$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, where as a reminder $x_0 = 2x_1$. So, in particular, $x_j x_1 = \frac{n!}{j!} 2^{n-j} x_1$. \square

To conclude our study of $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ we summarize the general results of Proposition 3.4, 3.7 and 3.10.

Theorem 3.13. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system of type A_n, B_n or D_n . Then $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ admits a native basis, consisting of all basis elements of $\Sigma(W)$ corresponding to left-connected subgroups of W . Moreover all elements of the native basis are integral polynomials in x_{n-1} .*

We will see in the next section that native bases for $\mathfrak{E}(W, x_j)$ are not exclusive for the leftconnected case. But demanding that the elements of the native basis are integral polynomials in x_j only holds for $\mathfrak{E}(W)$.

4. NO NATIVE BASES

The following criterion explains why, in most cases of J maximal in S , $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ does not have a native basis.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $s \in S$ and set $J := S \setminus \{s\}$ and $K := J^s \cap J$.*

- (i) *If $x_J x_K \neq x_K x_J$ then $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ does not have a native basis.*
- (ii) *If there exist elements $y \in X_{JK}$ and $t \in J \setminus K$ such that $t^y \in K$ then $x_J x_K \neq x_K x_J$.*

Proof. (i) Assume, for a contradiction, that $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ has a native basis. This basis contains x_J and x_K , as both $J, K \in \text{supp}(x_J^2)$ (since by (2.1), $x_J^2 = \sum_{x \in X_{JJ}} x_{J \cap J}$ and clearly $1, s \in X_{JJ}$). But $x_J x_K \neq x_K x_J$ is absurd since $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ is commutative. Therefore $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ does not have a native basis.

(ii) Set $x = y^{-1} \in X_{KJ}$. Then $t \in K^x \cap J$ but $t \notin K$. Hence $K^x \cap J \notin \text{supp}(x_J x_K)$ as clearly $L \subseteq K$ for all $L \in \text{supp}(x_J x_K)$. But $K^x \cap J \in \text{supp}(x_K x_J)$ as $x \in X_{KJ}$. So $\text{supp}(x_J x_K) \neq \text{supp}(x_K x_J)$, whence $x_J x_K \neq x_K x_J$. \square

We list some explicit cases in Table 1. Here, for $J \subseteq K \subseteq S$, we write d_J^K for the longest right coset representative $w_J w_K$ of W_J in W_K . With this notation, in each case, it is straightforward to verify that the listed element y satisfies the conditions $y \in X_{JK}$ and $t^y \in K$. Here, and in the remainder of this section, the labelling of the elements of S follows the conventions used, e.g., in [3, 1.3].

In fact, Table 1 almost suffices to classify all native bases, as follows.

Proposition 4.2. *Let W be an irreducible finite Coxeter group with simple reflections S . Let $s \in S$ and $J := S \setminus \{s\}$ be such that J is not left-connected in S . Then the subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ of $\Sigma(W)$ has a native basis if and only if*

- (i) *W is of type $I_2(\mathfrak{m})$ with $\mathfrak{m} \geq 5$; or*
- (ii) *W is of type B_3 and $s = s_2$.*

W	s	K	$t \in J \setminus K$	$y \in X_{JK}$	t^y
B_3	s_1	$\{s_3\}$	s_2	d_{23}^{123}	s_3
H_3	s_1	$\{s_3\}$	s_2	d_{23}^{123}	s_3
H_3	s_3	$\{s_1\}$	s_2	d_{12}^{123}	s_1
A_4	s_2	$\{s_4\}$	s_1	$d_{13}^{123} d_{13}^{134}$	s_4
B_4	s_2	$\{s_4\}$	s_3	$d_{13}^{123} d_{13}^{134}$	s_4
H_4	s_2	$\{s_4\}$	s_3	$d_{13}^{123} d_{13}^{134}$	s_4
H_4	s_4	$\{s_1, s_2\}$	s_3	$d_3^{34} d_1^{12} d_2^{23} d_3^{34}$	s_2
D_5	s_1	$\{s_2, s_4, s_5\}$	s_3	$d_{234}^{1234} d_{34}^{345}$	s_4
E_6	s_6	$\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$	s_5	$d_{2345}^{23456} d_{345}^{1345}$	s_4
E_7	s_7	$\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_5\}$	s_6	$d_{23456}^{234567} d_{3456}^{13456}$	s_5
E_8	s_8	$\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_6\}$	s_7	$d_{234567}^{2345678} d_{34567}^{134567}$	s_6

TABLE 1. No Native Basis

Proof. Note that if $L \subseteq S$ is such that $s \in L$ and the subgroup W_L of W together with the reflection s occurs as one of the cases in Table 1 then, with the same notation $J = S \setminus \{s\}$ and $K = J^s \cap J$, it still follows that $x_J x_K \neq x_K x_J$. This is because one can choose the same elements $t \in J \setminus K$ and $y \in X_{JK}$ as in the table so that $t^y \in K$. Hence, in these cases, $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ does not have a native basis by Lemma 4.1.

A careful inspection of the classification of finite irreducible Coxeter groups shows that, apart from rank 2 and the left-connected maximal subsets $J \subseteq S$ this leaves only three cases to consider: W of type B_3 with $s = s_2$, W of type H_3 with $s = s_2$ and W of type F_4 with $s = s_1$. Case (i), where W is of rank 2, is described in detail in Example 4.3. Case (ii), where W is of type B_3 and $s = s_2$ is described in detail in Example 4.4.

In both remaining cases, it can be explicitly verified that $x_J x_K \neq x_K x_J$ for some $K \in \text{supp}(x_J^2)$:

- $W = H_3, s = s_2$: $J = \{s_1, s_3\}$, $x = d_1^{12} d_2^{23}$, $J^x \cap J = K = \{s_3\}$. Then $K^y \cap J = \{s_1\} \not\subseteq K$ for $y = s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2$.
- $W = F_4, s = s_1$: $J = \{s_2, s_3, s_4\}$, $x = d_{23}^{123}$, $J^x \cap J = K = \{s_2, s_3\}$. Then $K^y \cap J = \{s_4\} \not\subseteq K$ for $y = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1$.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.2. \square

Example 4.3. If W is of type $I_2(m)$, $m = 2k + l$ (for $k \geq 1, l = 1, 2$), with $s = s_1$ and $J = \{s_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll}
x_J^0 = x_s & x_s = x_J^0 \\
x_J^1 = x_J & x_J = x_J^1 \\
x_J^2 = lx_J + kx_\emptyset & x_\emptyset = -\frac{l}{k}x_J^1 + \frac{1}{k}x_J^2
\end{array}$$

Thus, $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ has a native basis but, unless $k = 1$, not all of its elements are integer polynomials in x_J . If $k = 1$ then W is of type A_2 ($l = 1$) or B_2 ($l = 2$) and J is left-connected in S .

Example 4.4. If W is of type B_3 , with $s = s_2$, $J = \{s_1, s_3\}$ and $K = \{s_1\}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_J^0 = x_s & x_s = x_J^0 \\ x_J^1 = x_J & x_J = x_J^1 \\ x_J^2 = 2x_J + x_K + 2x_\emptyset & x_K = -\frac{14}{5}x_J^1 + \frac{8}{5}x_J^2 - \frac{1}{10}x_J^3 \\ x_J^3 = 4x_J + 6x_K + 32x_\emptyset & x_\emptyset = \frac{2}{5}x_J^1 - \frac{3}{10}x_J^2 + \frac{1}{20}x_J^3 \end{array}$$

Thus, $\mathbb{Q}[x_J]$ has a native basis, but not all of its elements are integer polynomials in x_J .

Our Main Theorem 1.1 now follows from Theorem 3.13 and Proposition 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anders Björner and Francesco Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 231, Springer, New York, 2005. MR 2133266
- [2] C. Bonnafé and G. Pfeiffer, *Around Solomon's descent algebras*, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **11** (2008), no. 6, 577–602. MR 2453230
- [3] Meinolf Geck and Götz Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 21, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR 1778802
- [4] Thomas Gerber, Gerhard Hiss, and Nicolas Jacon, *Harish-Chandra series in finite unitary groups and crystal graphs*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2015), no. 22, 12206–12250. MR 3456719
- [5] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics*, second ed., Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, 1994, A foundation for computer science. MR 1397498
- [6] Louis Solomon, *A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group*, *J. Algebra* **41** (1976), no. 2, 255–264. MR 444756

L.H.: INSTITUTE OF ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY, UNIVERSITY OF STUTTGART, STUTTGART, GERMANY

Email address: `linus.hellebrandt@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de`

G.P.: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, GALWAY, UNIVERSITY ROAD, GALWAY, IRELAND

Email address: `goetz.pfeiffer@nuigalway.ie`