

ABSENCE OF EMBEDDED EIGENVALUES FOR NON-LOCAL SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

Atsuhide Ishida

*Katsushika Division, Institute of Arts and Sciences
Tokyo University of Science, Tokyo, 125-8585, Japan*
aishida@rs.tus.ac.jp

József Lőrinczi

*Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
Reáltanoda utca 13-15, 1053 Budapest, Hungary*
lorinczi@renyi.hu

Itaru Sasaki

*Department of Mathematics, Shinshu University
Matsumoto, Asahi 3-1-1, Japan*
isasaki@shinshu-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We consider non-local Schrödinger operators with kinetic terms given by several different types of functions of the Laplacian and potentials decaying to zero at infinity, and derive conditions ruling embedded eigenvalues out. Our goal in this paper is to advance techniques based on virial theorems, Mourre estimates, and an extended version of the Birman-Schwinger principle, previously developed for classical Schrödinger operators but thus far not used for non-local operators. We also present a number of specific cases by choosing particular classes of kinetic and potential terms, and discuss existence/non-existence of at-edge eigenvalues in a basic model case in function of the coupling parameter.

Key-words: non-local Schrödinger operators, embedded eigenvalues, resonances

2010 MS Classification: primary 47A75, 47G30; secondary 34L40, 47A40, 81Q10

1 Introduction

Classical Schrödinger operators $H = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V$, featuring the Laplacian Δ and a multiplication operator V called potential continues to be a much researched subject in mathematical physics. When the kinetic term is changed for a suitable pseudo-differential operator, the appropriately defined sum gives rise to a non-local Schrödinger operator. Operators of this type attract

increasing attention for their interest in functional analysis and stochastic processes, and their relevance in various models in mathematical physics.

In [16] we introduced a class of non-local Schrödinger operators $H = H_0 + V$ with kinetic term $H_0 = \Phi(-\Delta)$ given by a so-called Bernstein function Φ of the Laplacian (for details see Remark 2.4 below), and with Kato-class or more singular potentials. This has the special interest that Bernstein functions describe the Lévy-Khintchine exponents of subordinators, and thus an analysis of the semigroup $\{e^{-tH} : t \geq 0\}$ via Feynman-Kac techniques becomes possible by using subordinate Brownian motion. Specific choices include key operators in mathematical physics, which will be our main examples in this paper, such as fractional (i.e., massless relativistic) Schrödinger operators with $H_0 = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, relativistic Schrödinger operators with $H_0 = (-\Delta + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$, where $m \geq 0$ is the rest mass of the particle, and many others involving further applications such as anomalous transport. The relativistic (square root Klein-Gordon) operator obtained for $\alpha = 1$ and $m > 0$ is an early motivation for the study of spectral properties of such non-local Schrödinger operators [49, 15, 9, 26]. For further interest in these operators we refer to [25, 6, 7, 11].

As it is well-known already from classical Schrödinger operators, for potentials decaying to zero at infinity the spectrum of H can be very intricate, involving a rich phenomenology. Going back to a paper by Wigner and von Neumann published in 1929, it is also known that eigenvalues embedded in the absolutely continuous spectrum may exist. This is a long range effect due to a combination of slow decay at a rate $O(1/|x|)$ and oscillations of the potential. The study of such potentials has intensified over the decades, leading even to experimental realisations [8]; for summaries see [35, 12] and the references therein.

The related problem of non-existence of embedded eigenvalues is of an equal interest, triggered by a pioneering result by Kato [23] showing that if $V(x) = o(1/|x|)$, then no embedded eigenvalues exist above the edge of the continuous spectrum. Also, it is a fundamental question if for a given potential a bound state exists at the spectral edge, i.e., whether zero may be an eigenvalue. This is a borderline case giving rise to a phenomenology of its own. For instance, the occurrence of zero-resonances is known to be a key condition for the Efimov effect, leading to the emergence of a countable set of bound states in an interacting three-particle quantum system, with eigenvalues accumulating at zero. On the other hand, in case no zero-eigenvalues occur, specific dispersive estimates on the time evolutions of projections to the continuous spectrum under the unitary Schrödinger semigroup can be obtained yielding Strichartz bounds [41], or in another context, time operators exist [3, 4].

For non-local Schrödinger operators the occurrence of zero or strictly positive eigenvalues just begins to be studied. In [28] we have constructed Neumann-Wigner type potentials for the operator $(-\Delta + m^2)^{1/2} - m$, for sufficiently large $m > 0$, giving rise to embedded eigenvalues equal to $\sqrt{1 + m^2} - m$. Also, we have shown that they converge to classical cases of Neumann-Wigner type potentials in the non-relativistic limit. We have also obtained two families of fractional Schrödinger operators in one-dimension for the case $m = 0$ for which zero-eigenvalues occur. In [20] we have gone beyond this and constructed potentials on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, for $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ with arbitrary order $0 < \alpha < 2$; for further details see Section 5 below.

In a further study [5] we have undertaken a systematic description of potentials generating eigenvalues at zero for non-local Schrödinger operators with kinetic term $H_0 = \Phi(-\Delta)$, given by Bernstein functions Φ . Our results reveal a specific interplay between the sign at infinity and the decay at infinity of such potentials, and a qualitative difference in the mechanisms between non-local operators with heavy-tailed and exponentially light-tailed jump measures, respectively (e.g., massless vs massive relativistic Laplacians). Furthermore, by using a Feynman-Kac formula-based analysis, in [22] we derived sharp estimates on the spatial decay of eigenfunctions at zero-eigenvalue or zero-resonance for fractional and comparable Schrödinger operators, and identified possible scenarios of decay, which are significantly different from cases of bound states at negative eigenvalues [21]. For some other developments in the direction of existence of strictly positive embedded eigenvalues we refer to [29, 38, 10], to [48, 37] for scattering theory of relativistic operators with $\alpha = 1$, and [19] for a scattering theory for a more general class.

In the present paper our focus is on the non-existence aspect of embedded eigenvalues by using purely operator theory methods. Apart from implications of absence of at-edge eigenvalues under specific conditions shown in [5, Sect. 5], which is an independent approach from other techniques, some results in this direction have been obtained for fractional Schrödinger operators with $\alpha = 1$ and dimension $d = 3$ in [37], and more in [13, 43, 44, 39, 40] by using unique continuation arguments. It is natural to ask how do the criteria of absence of embedded eigenvalues observed for classical Schrödinger operators modify for non-local cases, and as a first step in this direction, our goal is to explore how far stock methods such as virial theorems, Mourre estimates, and an extension of the Birman-Schwinger principle to include the edge of the continuous spectrum can be developed to lead to results on non-existence of embedded eigenvalues. Fitting with these techniques, in the remainder of the paper we will consider three different frameworks of non-local operators (some going beyond Bernstein functions of the Laplacian, and derive conditions ruling out either positive or zero eigenvalues.

Our first set of results is based on a counterpart of the virial theorem (Section 2). We consider a class of operators of the form $H = \omega(-i\nabla) + V$, with conditions on ω and V fixed in Assumption 2.1. This class includes massless and massive relativistic Schrödinger operators, and other cases in which the kinetic term is given by a class of Bernstein functions, but it does not cover cases when ω is slowly varying (see Example 2.3 and Remark 2.4). Our main result in this section is a virial-type theorem showing that no eigenvalues beyond zero exist (Theorem 2.2). In case when $\omega(-i\nabla) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, this translates to non-existence of positive eigenvalues for a negative potential like $V(x) = -C(1 + |x|^2)^{-\beta/2}$, $C > 0$, whenever $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ (Example 2.6).

A second way we pursue is to obtain a Mourre estimate for non-local Schrödinger operators of the form $H = \Psi(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta) + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Section 3). First we investigate the pure point spectrum, under the conditions of Assumption 3.1 on Ψ and V . In Lemma 3.3 we prove that H has the $C^1(A)$ property with respect to the conjugate operator $A = -\frac{i}{2}(x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x)$, i.e., a more convenient operator than used in [31] in the initial attempts to apply the theory for pseudo-differential symbols. Then we prove in Theorem 3.4 that H has a pure point spectrum containing eigenvalues of finite multiplicity, with only possible accumulation point at zero. Our

strategy is based on [2]. Under further conditions (see Assumption 3.7) we then prove that this continues essentially to hold (Theorem 3.10). We discuss fundamental models, such as the fractional Laplacian $\Psi(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ and the massive relativistic operator $\Psi(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta) = (-\Delta + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$ as specific cases, showing finite multiplicity of eigenvalues above zero in Examples 3.5-3.6 and 3.11. In Theorems 3.12 and 3.14 we arrive at showing that the operators H in the given class have no embedded eigenvalue above zero. Applying these theorems, we give some concrete potentials (see also Examples 3.13 and 3.15-3.16).

Thirdly, we apply an extended version of Birman-Schwinger principle [45] to non-local Schrödinger operators with Bernstein functions of the Laplacian (Section 4), which differs from the classic version by including zero (the edge of the essential spectrum). Under a smallness condition on the potential, we show in Theorem 4.5 that in the case of the fractional Laplacian for $d \geq 3$ with bounded and compactly supported potentials no eigenvalues occur at zero or above. Corollary 4.6 extends this conclusion to fully supported potentials under an extra smallness condition on its L^1 -norm. In the remaining part of the section, we focus on the massive relativistic operator for $d = 3$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$ to prove by using its resolvent that there exist no eigenvalues in $[0, \infty)$.

In the concluding Section 5 we study the existence and absence of embedded eigenvalues for the model case $H = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} - C_\alpha(1 + |x|^2)^{-\alpha}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, in function of the coupling parameter $C_\alpha > 0$. In Theorem 5.1 we show that (under a restriction on α), when C_α is small enough, no eigenvalue at the spectral edge occurs, while it does for specific larger choices of C_α . Also, we prove a property on the location of the pure point spectrum and the existence of a discrete spectrum in two different regimes of C_α .

Finally we note that we will adopt the notation $\text{Spec}(A)$ throughout for the spectrum of operator A , with the subscripts “ess” for the essential, “p” for the pure point, “ac” for the absolutely continuous, and “d” for the discrete components of the spectrum, and mean the full spectrum when no subscript appears. Also, we write $\text{Dom}(A)$ to denote the domain of operator A , two-slot pointed brackets denote usual scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and for one-slot we also use the standard shorthand $\langle \cdot \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\cdot|^2}$.

2 Virial theorem for non-local Schrödinger operators

Let V and ω be real-valued Borel functions on \mathbb{R}^d . In this section we consider non-local Schrödinger operators of the form

$$H = \omega(-i\nabla) + V,$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the scaling

$$f_a(x) = f(ax), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.1}$$

We will use a framework given by the basic restrictions below.

Assumption 2.1. *The following conditions hold:*

(A.1) For almost every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\omega(\xi) \geq 0$ is non-negative, $\omega(a\xi)$ is non-decreasing in $a \in (0, 1)$, and $\omega(\xi)$ is once differentiable with the bound $\xi \cdot (\nabla_\xi \omega)(\xi) \leq c\omega(\xi)$ for some $c > 0$.

(A.2) The function

$$b(a) := \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\omega(a\xi)}{\omega(\xi)}, \quad a \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right),$$

is well-defined, once differentiable in a neighbourhood of $a = 1$, and $\lim_{a \uparrow 1} b'(a) > 0$ exists.

(A.3) V is relatively bounded with respect to $\omega(-i\nabla)$ with relative bound less than 1.

(A.4) There exists a multiplication operator W in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{Dom}(W) \supset \text{Dom}(\omega(-i\nabla))$, such that for all $\psi \in \text{Dom}(\omega(-i\nabla))$

$$\frac{1}{1-a}(V - V_a)\psi \rightarrow W\psi \quad \text{as } a \uparrow 1$$

in weak convergence sense.

Note that by (A.3) and the Kato-Rellich theorem H is self-adjoint on $\text{Dom}(\omega(-i\nabla))$. The following is the main theorem in this section and corresponds to [36, Th. XIII 59, Th. XIII 60] for the case $\omega(-i\nabla) = -\Delta$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Assumption 2.1 hold, and define $q = 1/\lim_{a \uparrow 1} b'(a)$. Suppose that*

$$\langle \psi, (V + qW)\psi \rangle < 0, \quad \psi \in \text{Dom}(\omega(-i\nabla)) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then H has no eigenvalue in $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, if V is relatively compact with respect to $\omega(-i\nabla)$, then the essential spectrum of H is $[0, \infty)$ and H has no embedded eigenvalue above zero.

Proof. Let λ be an arbitrary eigenvalue of H with corresponding eigenfunction ψ , $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$, and denote $p = -i\nabla$. By (A.1) we have that $\text{Dom}(\omega(-i\nabla)) \subset \text{Dom}(\omega(-ai\nabla))$. By a basic property of difference operators we get that $\psi_a \in \text{Dom}(\omega(p))$ and

$$\omega(p)\psi_a = b(\omega(p)\psi)_a + (\omega_a(p) - b\omega(p))\psi_a.$$

holds for every $a \in (0, 1)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, using the scaling (2.1). Since $\omega(p)\psi = -V(x)\psi + \lambda\psi$, we have

$$\omega(p)\psi_a = b((\lambda - V)\psi)_a + ((\omega_a(p) - b\omega(p))\psi)_a,$$

and thus

$$\langle \psi, \omega(p)\psi_a \rangle = b \langle \psi, (\lambda - V)\psi_a \rangle + \langle \psi, ((\omega_a(p) - b\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle \quad (2.3)$$

Since $\omega(p)$ is self-adjoint, (2.3) becomes

$$\langle \psi, (\lambda - V)\psi_a \rangle = b \langle \psi, (\lambda - V)\psi_a \rangle + \langle \psi, ((\omega_a(p) - b\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle.$$

Hence for every $b \neq 1$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \langle \psi, \psi_a \rangle &= \frac{1}{1-b} \langle \psi, (V - V_a)\psi_a \rangle + \langle \psi, V_a\psi_a \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-b} \langle \psi, ((\omega_a(p) - b\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By a denseness argument, we get that $\psi_a \rightarrow \psi$ as $a \uparrow 1$ in strong convergence sense. Using (A.4) we get

$$\langle (V - V_a)\psi, \varphi \rangle = (1-a) \left\langle \left(\frac{V - V_a}{1-a} - W \right) \psi, \varphi \right\rangle + (1-a) \langle W\psi, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

as $a \uparrow 1$ for $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We therefore have $\sup_{a \in [1/2, 1)} \|V_a\psi\| < \infty$ and

$$\langle \psi, V_a\psi_a \rangle - \langle \psi, V\psi \rangle = \langle (V_a - V)\psi, \psi \rangle + \langle V_a\psi, (\psi_a - \psi) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.5)$$

as $a \uparrow 1$. Note that (A.1)-(A.2) imply that $b(a) < 1$ in a neighbourhood of $a = 1$ and

$$1 > b(a) \geq \frac{\omega(a\xi)}{\omega(\xi)} \rightarrow 1$$

holds as $a \uparrow 1$, for almost every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We thus extend $b(a)$ to $a = 1$ by $b(1) = \lim_{a \uparrow 1} b(a) = 1$. In a neighbourhood of $a = 1$ we have

$$\frac{1-b(a)}{1-a} = \frac{b(a+1-a) - b(a)}{1-a} = b'(a) + O(1-a) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \frac{1-b(a)}{1-a} = \lim_{a \uparrow 1} b'(a) = \frac{1}{q} \quad (2.6)$$

Write now $b = b(a)$ in (2.4). By (A.4), (2.6) and strong convergence of $\psi_a \rightarrow \psi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{a \uparrow 1} \frac{1}{1-b(a)} \langle \psi, (V - V_a)\psi_a \rangle \\ &= \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \frac{1-a}{1-b(a)} \frac{1}{1-a} \langle \psi, (V - V_a)\psi_a \rangle = \langle \psi, qW(x)\psi \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where we used that $\sup_{a \in [1/2, 1)} \left\| \frac{V - V_a}{1-a} \psi \right\| < \infty$, resulting from (A.4). By (A.1)-(A.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(\xi) - \omega(a\xi)}{1-a} &= \frac{1}{1-a} \int_a^1 \xi \cdot (\nabla_\xi \omega)(\theta\xi) d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{c}{1-a} \int_a^1 \frac{\omega(\theta\xi)}{\theta} d\theta \leq \frac{c}{(1-a)a} \int_a^1 b(\theta) d\theta \omega(\xi) \leq 2c\omega(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(\xi) - \omega(a\xi)}{1-a} \rightarrow \xi \cdot (\nabla_\xi \omega)(\xi) \quad \text{as } a \uparrow 1$$

hold for almost every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $\sup_{a \in [1/2, 1)} |(1-a)/(1-b(a))| < \infty$ by (2.6), and

$$\frac{\omega(a\xi) - b(a)\omega(\xi)}{1-b(a)} = \omega(\xi) - \frac{1-a}{1-b(a)} \frac{\omega(\xi) - \omega(a\xi)}{1-a},$$

we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\omega(a\xi) - b(a)\omega(\xi)}{1 - b(a)} \right| \leq \omega(\xi) + 2c \sup_{a \in [1/2, 1)} \left| \frac{1 - a}{1 - b(a)} \right| \omega(\xi). \quad (2.8)$$

By (A.1) and (2.8), we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{\omega(a\xi) - b(a)\omega(\xi)}{1 - b(a)} - \omega(\xi) + q\xi \cdot (\nabla_\xi \omega)(\xi) \right) \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right| \leq C\omega(\xi)|\hat{\psi}(\xi)|,$$

where $\hat{\psi}$ denotes the Fourier transform of ψ . Since $\omega\hat{\psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, dominated convergence then gives

$$\frac{\omega(ap) - b(a)\omega(p)}{1 - b(a)} \psi \rightarrow (\omega(p) - qp \cdot (\nabla_\xi \omega)(p)) \psi \quad (2.9)$$

in strong convergence sense as $a \uparrow 1$. Noting that $\langle f_a, g_a \rangle = a^{-d} \langle f, g \rangle$, we thus obtain

$$\frac{1}{1 - b(a)} \langle \psi_a, ((\omega_a(p) - b(a)\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle \rightarrow \langle \psi, (\omega(p) - qp \cdot (\nabla_\xi \omega)(p)) \psi \rangle \quad (2.10)$$

as $a \uparrow 1$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1 - b(a)} \langle \psi, ((\omega_a(p) - b(a)\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - b(a)} \langle \psi_a, ((\omega_a(p) - b(a)\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle + \frac{1}{1 - b(a)} \langle \psi - \psi_a, ((\omega_a(p) - b(a)\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The second term at the right hand side of (2.11) is

$$\frac{1}{1 - b(a)} |\langle \psi - \psi_a, ((\omega_a(p) - b(a)\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle| \leq \|\psi - \psi_a\| a^{-d/2} \left\| \frac{\omega(ap) - b(a)\omega(p)}{1 - b(a)} \psi \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.12)$$

as $a \uparrow 1$, where we used $\|f_a\| = a^{-d/2} \|f\|$ and the strong convergence (2.9). By (2.10)-(2.12), we have

$$-\infty < \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \frac{1}{1 - b(a)} \langle \psi, ((\omega_a(p) - b(a)\omega(p))\psi)_a \rangle = \langle \psi, (\omega(p) - qp \cdot (\nabla_\xi \omega)(p)) \psi \rangle \leq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

noting that using (A.2) the operator inequality $\omega(ap) \leq b(a)\omega(p)$ follows. By (2.2), (2.4)-(2.5), (2.7) and (2.13), we have then $\lambda \langle \psi, \psi \rangle < 0$, hence H has no non-negative eigenvalues. \square

Assumptions (A.1) and (A.2) are conditions on the kinetic term $\omega(p)$ in H . Theorem 2.2 can be applied to various specific choices of non-local Schrödinger operators.

Example 2.3. Some examples of $\omega(p)$ of immediate interest and the values of the corresponding coupling parameter $q = 1/\lim_{a \uparrow 1} b'(a)$ are as follows:

- (1) $\omega(p) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$, with $q = \frac{1}{2}$ (classical Schrödinger operator)

- (2) $\omega(p) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, with $q = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ (fractional Schrödinger operator)
- (3) $\omega(p) = (-\Delta + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, $m > 0$, with $q = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ (relativistic Schrödinger operator)
- (4) $\omega(p) = -\Delta + c(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, $c > 0$, with $q = \frac{1}{2}$ (jump-diffusion operator).

Remark 2.4. For their special interest, we recall that the Bernstein functions mentioned in the Introduction have the canonical description

$$\Phi(u) = k + bu + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-yu})\mu(dy), \quad u \geq 0, \quad (2.14)$$

in terms of three parameters, two numbers $k, b \geq 0$, and a Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mu((-\infty, 0)) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (y \wedge 1)\mu(dy) < \infty$. The non-local operator $\Phi(-\Delta)$ can be constructed by using functional calculus, and the case $k = 0 = b$ corresponds to a purely jump component. The choices of ω in Example 2.3 are Bernstein functions as given in (2.14), however, we also note that not every Bernstein function satisfies the conditions set in Assumption 2.1. While it is known that $\Phi(u) = \log(1 + u^{\alpha/2})$ is a Bernstein function, choosing $\omega(p) = \log(1 + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2})$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, we see that $b(a) = 1$. Hence $b'(a) = 0$ and condition (A.2) fails to hold.

The above result can be applied to specific cases of interest.

Example 2.5. Let $d = 3$ and $\omega(p) = \sqrt{-\Delta}$. Consider the homogeneous Coulomb-type potential $V(x) = -C|x|^{-\gamma}$, with $C > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then V is $\sqrt{-\Delta}$ -compact with relative bound less than 1 by [34, Prop. p. 170] and [36, Ex. 7 p. 118]. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a-1}(V_a(x) - V(x)) &= \frac{1}{a-1} \frac{-C}{|x|^\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{a^\gamma} - 1 \right) \\ &= V(x) a^{-\gamma} \frac{1 - a^\gamma}{a-1} \xrightarrow{a \uparrow 1} -\gamma V(x) =: W(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then $V + qW = V - \gamma V = (1 - \gamma)V < 0$. Thus by Theorem 2.2 the operator $H = \omega(p) + V$ has no positive eigenvalue.

Example 2.6. Let $\omega(p) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ with $\alpha > 0$, and consider

$$V(x) = -\frac{C}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\beta/2}}$$

with $C > 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$. We have $W(x) = x \cdot \nabla V(x) = C\beta|x|^2 \langle x \rangle^{-\beta-2}$. Then

$$V + qW = -C \langle x \rangle^{-\beta-2} (1 + (1 - \beta/\alpha)|x|^2) < 0$$

and thus $H = \omega(p) + V$ has no positive eigenvalue. We will obtain an improved result for this case in Example 5.3.

3 Mourre estimate for non-local Schrödinger operators

In this section we consider the operator

$$H = H_0 + V := \Psi(p^2/2) + V \quad (3.1)$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real measurable function subject to the conditions below, and $p = -i\nabla$. Our goal here is to develop the general ideas in [31] to the framework given by a class of non-local Schrödinger operators defined by the conditions below. As it will be seen, we will be able to use a conjugate operator which is simpler than those originally used in the context of pseudo-differential operators, and we derive our results by the virial theorem in the context of Mourre theory completed with a virial condition, arriving at some verifiable criteria which can be checked concretely on specific cases.

First we investigate the pure point spectrum of H . In this case V may have singularities and satisfy rather general conditions. We use the notation $\langle \cdot \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\cdot|^2}$.

Assumption 3.1. *The following conditions hold:*

$$(H.1) \quad \Psi \in C^1(0, \infty), \quad \Psi \geq 0, \quad \Psi' \geq 0, \quad \text{and } \Psi(+0) = \Psi(0) = 0.$$

$$(H.2) \quad \text{There exist constants } c_1, d_1 \geq 0 \text{ such that } u^{1/2} \leq c_1 \Psi(u) + d_1, \text{ for all } u \geq 0.$$

$$(H.3) \quad \text{There exist constants } c_2, d_2 \geq 0 \text{ such that } u\Psi'(u) \leq c_2 \Psi(u) + d_2, \text{ for all } u \geq 0.$$

$$(H.4) \quad \text{There exists a constant } \mu > 0 \text{ such that } 2u\Psi'(u) \geq \mu\Psi(u), \text{ for all } u \geq 0.$$

$$(H.5) \quad \langle x \rangle V \text{ is } H_0\text{-compact.}$$

We note that by (H.5) the operator H is self-adjoint on $\text{Dom}(H) = \text{Dom}(H_0)$.

Remark 3.2. We note that (H.1)-(H.2) imply $\text{Spec}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$. By (H.4) we find that the set $\{u > 0 : \Psi'(u) = 0\}$ and $\text{Spec}_p(H_0)$ are empty, and that $\text{Spec}_{ac}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$ (see [19, Prop. 1.1]). We also find by (H.5) that $\text{Spec}_{ess}(H_0) = \text{Spec}_{ess}(H) = [0, \infty)$.

Let $U(\theta) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the unitary transform defined by

$$U(\theta)\psi(x) := e^{d\theta/2}\psi(e^\theta x), \quad \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Its generator is $A = \frac{1}{2}(x \cdot p + p \cdot x)$, i.e., A is self-adjoint and $U(\theta) = e^{i\theta A}$.

Recall that a bounded operator B is called of class $C^1(A)$ if for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the map $t \mapsto e^{itA} B e^{-itA} \psi$ is of class C^1 , and a self-adjoint operator B is called of class $C^1(A)$ if for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and some $z \in \rho(B)$ the map $t \mapsto e^{itA} (B - z)^{-1} e^{-itA} \psi$ is of class C^1 , where $\rho(B)$ is the resolvent set of B . For more details we refer to [2]. According to [2], we first prove $C^1(A)$ property of H .

Lemma 3.3. *Let (H.1)-(H.3) and (H.5) of Assumption 3.1 hold. Then H belongs to the class $C^1(A)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the Schwartz space, which is a dense subspace in both $\text{Dom}(H_0)$ and $\text{Dom}(A)$. For a $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Fourier transform gives

$$\mathcal{F} \left[\langle H_0 \rangle e^{i\theta A} \langle H_0 \rangle^{-1} \psi \right] (\xi) = e^{-d\theta/2} \langle \Psi(\xi^2/2) \rangle \left\langle \Psi(e^{2\theta} \xi^2/2) \right\rangle^{-1} \mathcal{F}[\psi](e^{-\theta} \xi).$$

Thus $e^{i\theta A} \text{Dom}(H_0) \subset \text{Dom}(H_0)$ and

$$\sup_{|\theta| \leq 1} \left\| \langle H_0 \rangle e^{i\theta A} \langle H_0 \rangle^{-1} \right\| < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

hold. By a straightforward computation we obtain

$$i[H_0, A] = \Psi'(p^2/2)p^2$$

on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since for all $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the form

$$\langle \psi, \Psi'(p^2/2)p^2 \varphi \rangle = \left\langle \sqrt{\Psi'(p^2/2)} p \psi, \sqrt{\Psi'(p^2/2)} p \varphi \right\rangle \quad (3.3)$$

is closable and semi-bounded, there exists a self-adjoint operator associated with the closed extension of (3.3). We denote this self-adjoint operator by

$$i[H_0, A]_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^0 = \Psi'(p^2/2)p^2,$$

and see that $\text{Dom}(H_0) = \text{Dom}(i[H_0, A]_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^0)$ holds by (H.3) and (H.4). In virtue of [31, Prop. II.1], the self-adjoint operator $i[H_0, A]^0$ associated with the form $i[H_0, A]$ on $\text{Dom}(A) \cap \text{Dom}(H_0)$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$i[H_0, A]^0 = i[H_0, A]_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^0.$$

Next we consider the commutator of V with A . Since $\langle x \rangle^{-1} A \langle p \rangle^{-1}$ is bounded, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} A \psi\| \leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} A \langle p \rangle^{-1}\| \|\langle p \rangle \psi\| \leq C \|\langle H_0 \rangle \psi\|$$

for $\psi \in \text{Dom}(H_0) \cap \text{Dom}(A)$ by (H.2). Due to (H.5), for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists a positive constant C_ε such that

$$\|\langle x \rangle V \varphi\| \leq \varepsilon \|H_0 \varphi\| + C_\varepsilon \|\varphi\|.$$

Thus we have

$$|\langle V \psi, A \varphi \rangle| = \left| \langle \langle x \rangle V \psi, \langle x \rangle^{-1} A \varphi \rangle \right| \leq C \|\langle H_0 \rangle \psi\| \|\langle H_0 \rangle \varphi\|$$

and

$$|\langle V \psi, A \varphi \rangle - \langle A \psi, V \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|\langle H_0 \rangle \psi\| \|\langle H_0 \rangle \varphi\|$$

for $\psi, \varphi \in \text{Dom}(A) \cap \text{Dom}(H_0)$. Using the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a bounded operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{H}_2 = \text{Dom}(H_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2} = \mathcal{H}_2^*$ satisfying

$$\langle V \psi, A \varphi \rangle - \langle A \psi, V \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi, \mathcal{L} \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{-2}}, \quad \psi, \varphi \in \text{Dom}(A) \cap \text{Dom}(H_0).$$

Note that \mathcal{H}_{-2} is the completion of $\{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_0^\infty \langle \lambda \rangle^{-2} d\langle E_{H_0}(\lambda) \psi, \psi \rangle < \infty\}$, where E_{H_0} is the spectral measure of H_0 . Denote $\mathcal{L} = [V, A]_{-2}$. Since

$$|\langle \psi, i[H_0, A]^0 \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|\psi\| \|\langle H_0 \rangle \varphi\|$$

by (H.3) and (3.3), $[H_0, A]^0 \psi = [H_0, A]_{-2} \psi$ holds for all $\psi \in \text{Dom}(H_0)$. We thus obtain

$$[H, A]_{-2} = [H_0, A]^0 + [V, A]_{-2}$$

on $\text{Dom}(H_0)$. Also, the estimate

$$|\langle A\psi, H\psi \rangle - \langle H\psi, A\psi \rangle| = |\langle \psi, [H, A]_{-2} \psi \rangle| \leq C \|\langle H_0 \rangle \psi\|^2 \leq C(\|H\psi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2) \quad (3.4)$$

holds for $\psi \in \text{Dom}(A) \cap \text{Dom}(H_0)$, where we used (H.5). Note that $\langle x \rangle (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-1}$ is bounded by (H.3). Using the resolvent formula we have

$$(H - z)^{-1} = -(H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle x \rangle V (H - z)^{-1} + (H_0 - z)^{-1}$$

and $\langle x \rangle (H - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-1}$ is bounded for all $z \in \rho(H)$ by (H.5). This implies that

$$(H - z)^{-1} \text{Dom}(\langle x \rangle) \subset \text{Dom}(H_0) \cap \text{Dom}(\langle x \rangle) \subset \text{Dom}(A), \quad (3.5)$$

since A is closed and $2A\psi = x \cdot (p\psi) + p \cdot (x\psi)$ holds for $\psi \in \text{Dom}(H_0) \cap \text{Dom}(\langle x \rangle)$ by (H.2). It is seen that (3.5) is equivalent to

$$\text{Dom}(\langle x \rangle) \subset \left\{ \psi \in \text{Dom}(A) : (H - z)^{-1} \psi \in \text{Dom}(A) \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Relations (3.4) and (3.6) imply that $H \in C^1(A)$ by an application of [2, Th. 6.2.10(a)]. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let (H.1)-(H.5) of Assumption 3.1 hold. $\text{Spec}_p(H) \setminus \{0\}$ is discrete and the multiplicity of each eigenvalue is finite. The only accumulation point of $\text{Spec}_p(H)$ can be at zero.*

Proof. Take $f \in C_0^\infty(\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta)$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda - \delta > 0$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} f(H)i[H, A]_{-2}f(H) &= f(H) (\Psi'(p^2/2)p^2 + i[V, A]_{-2}) f(H) \\ &\geq \mu f(H)Hf(H) + f(H) (-\mu V + i[V, A]_{-2}) f(H) \\ &\geq \mu(\lambda - \delta)f(H)^2 + K \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

with

$$K = -\mu f(H)Vf(H) + f(H)i[V, A]_{-2}f(H),$$

where we used (H.4). Condition (H.5) implies that $f(H)Vf(H)$ is a compact operator. We show that $f(H)i[V, A]_{-2}f(H)$ is also compact. By the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula and (3.5), $f(H)\text{Dom}(\langle x \rangle) \subset \text{Dom}(H_0) \cap \text{Dom}(\langle x \rangle)$ holds. We then obtain

$$\langle \psi, f(H)[V, A]_{-2}f(H)\varphi \rangle = \langle \langle x \rangle Vf(H)\psi, \langle x \rangle^{-1}Af(H)\phi \rangle - \langle \langle x \rangle^{-1}Af(H)\psi, \langle x \rangle Vf(H)\varphi \rangle,$$

for every $\psi, \varphi \in \text{Dom}(\langle x \rangle)$. This shows that $f(H)i[V, A]_{-2}f(H)$ is compact by (H.2) and (H.5). The Mourre inequality (3.7) then completes the proof by making use of [2, Cor. 7.2.11]. \square

Example 3.5. The function $\Psi(u) = (2u + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$ with $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ and $m > 0$ satisfies conditions (H.1)-(H.4). Indeed,

$$2u\Psi'(u) = \alpha\Psi(u) + \alpha m \left(1 - m^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1}(2u + m^{\frac{2}{\alpha}})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\right) \geq \alpha\Psi(u)$$

and (H.3)-(H.4) hold. It can be directly verified that $\tilde{V}(x) = \langle x \rangle V(x)$ belongs to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $q = 2$ for $d < 2\alpha$, and $q > \frac{d}{\alpha}$ for $d \geq 2\alpha$, satisfies (H.5) (see also [18, Prop. 1.5]). This includes Coulomb-like local singularities.

Example 3.6. Let $\Psi(u) = (2u)^{\alpha/2}$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. Clearly, $2u\Psi'(u) = \alpha\Psi(u)$ holds. The singular part \tilde{V} as in Example 3.5 satisfies (H.5), which can be seen in a similar manner as in the previous example.

Due to (H.2), in Examples 3.5-3.6 we needed $\alpha \geq 1$. If V has a smoothness as given in (H.6) below, we can relax to $\alpha > 0$ without (H.2) and (H.5) (see Example 3.11).

Assumption 3.7. *The following condition holds:*

(H.6) *The potential satisfies $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and*

$$|V(x)| \leq c \langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}, \quad |\nabla V(x)| \leq c \langle x \rangle^{-1-\gamma}$$

with suitable constants $c, \gamma > 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Note that (H.6) implies that V is bounded and H is self-adjoint on $\text{Dom}(H) = \text{Dom}(H_0)$.

Remark 3.8. It is seen that $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(u) = \infty$ holds by (H.4) without (H.2). Indeed,

$$\left(u^{-\delta}\Psi(u)\right)' = u^{-\delta}(\Psi'(u) - \delta u^{-1}\Psi(u)) \geq u^{-1-\delta}\Psi(u)(\mu/2 - \delta) > 0$$

for $0 < \delta < \mu/2$ and $0 < u_0 \leq u$. Thus $\Psi(u) \geq u_0^{-\delta}\Psi(u_0)u^\delta \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, we find by (H.4) that the set $\{u > 0 : \Psi(u) \neq 0, \Psi'(u) = 0\}$ and $\text{Spec}_p(H_0) \setminus \{0\}$ are empty. Under (H.6), $\text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H_0) = \text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H)$ also holds.

First we prove the counterparts of Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 for the case when (H.6) holds. Without assuming (H.2) we can not use (3.5) directly since $\langle p \rangle (H_0 - z)^{-1}$ may not be bounded. However, making use of [31] will yield the stronger (3.10).

Lemma 3.9. *Let (H.1) and (H.3) of Assumption 3.1, and (H.6) of Assumption 3.7 hold. Then H belongs to class $C^1(A)$.*

Proof. From (3.2) and by writing

$$\langle H \rangle e^{i\theta A} \langle H \rangle^{-1} = \langle H \rangle \langle H_0 \rangle^{-1} \langle H_0 \rangle e^{i\theta A} \langle H_0 \rangle^{-1} \langle H_0 \rangle \langle H \rangle^{-1},$$

we obtain

$$\sup_{|\theta| \leq 1} \|\langle H \rangle e^{i\theta A} \langle H \rangle^{-1}\| < \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

Since V is bounded and differentiable, a direct computation on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ gives

$$[V, A] = ix \cdot \nabla V,$$

which is a bounded operator due to assumption (H.6). Therefore the commutator $[H, A]^0$ can be defined by

$$[H, A]^0 = [H_0, A]^0 + ix \cdot \nabla V, \quad (3.9)$$

with $\text{Dom}([H, A]^0) = \text{Dom}([H_0, A]^0) \supset \text{Dom}(H_0)$. By (3.8)-(3.9) and [31, Prop. II.2] we then have the inclusion

$$(H - z)^{-1} \text{Dom}(A) \subset \text{Dom}(A). \quad (3.10)$$

Note also that for $\psi \in \text{Dom}(A) \cap \text{Dom}(H)$ the estimate

$$|\langle A\psi, H\psi \rangle - \langle H\psi, A\psi \rangle| \leq C (\|H\psi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2) \quad (3.11)$$

holds. Relations (3.10)-(3.11) and [2, Th. 6.2.10(a)] then imply that H belongs to class $C^1(A)$. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let (H.1), (H.3) and (H.4) of Assumption 3.1, and (H.6) of Assumption 3.7 hold. Then $\text{Spec}_p(H) \setminus \{0\}$ is discrete and the multiplicity of its elements is at most finite. The only possible accumulation point of $\text{Spec}_p(H)$ is zero.*

Proof. Take $f \in C_0^\infty(\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta)$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda - \delta > 0$. Since $(\mu V + x \cdot \nabla V)f(H)$ is a compact operator, we have the Mourre inequality

$$\begin{aligned} f(H)i[H, A]^0 f(H) &= f(H) (\Psi'(p^2/2)p^2 - x \cdot \nabla V) f(H) \\ &\geq \mu(\lambda - \delta) f(H)^2 - f(H)(\mu V + x \cdot \nabla V)f(H), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where we used (H.4). Lemma 3.9 and the Mourre inequality (3.12) complete the proof again by an application of [2, Cor. 7.2.11]. \square

Example 3.11. Let $\Psi(u) = (2u + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $m > 0$ or $\Psi(u) = (2u)^{\alpha/2}$ with $\alpha > 0$. If V satisfies (H.6) of Assumption 3.7, then the claim of Theorem 3.10 holds for $H = \Psi(p^2/2) + V$.

By the above arguments, we can prove absence of embedded eigenvalues above zero as follows.

Theorem 3.12. *Let conditions (H.1), (H.3) and (H.4) of Assumption 3.1 and (H.6) of Assumption 3.7 hold, and suppose that V satisfies*

$$-\mu V - x \cdot \nabla V \geq 0.$$

Then H has no positive eigenvalue.

Proof. For $f \in C_0^\infty(a, b)$ with $0 < a < b < \infty$, we have the Mourre inequality

$$\begin{aligned} f(H)i[H, A]^0 f(H) &\geq \mu f(H)Hf(H) + f(H)(-\mu V - x \cdot \nabla V)f(H) \\ &\geq \mu \alpha f(H)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

without any compact operators. This yields the absence of the eigenvalues in (a, b) immediately (for a proof see [2, Cor. 7.2.11] or [31, Th.]). \square

The estimate (3.13) is called the strict Mourre inequality, which we will use again below.

Example 3.13. Let $\Psi(u) = (2u)^{\alpha/2}$ with $\alpha > 0$, and

$$V(x) = -\frac{C}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\beta/2}}$$

with $C > 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$. Then $H = \Psi(p^2/2) + V$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.12. Indeed, with $\mu = \alpha$ we have

$$-\alpha V - x \cdot \nabla V = C \left(\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} - \beta |x|^2 \langle x \rangle^{-\beta-2} \right) = C \left((\alpha - \beta) \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} + \beta \langle x \rangle^{-\beta-2} \right) > 0.$$

Then we have the same conclusion as in Example 2.6.

Theorem 3.14. *Let conditions (H.1), (H.3) and (H.4) of Assumption 3.1 and (H.6) of Assumption 3.7 hold, and suppose that there exists a function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$\Psi'(p^2/2)p^2 \geq F(x). \quad (3.14)$$

If there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$-\varepsilon \mu V + (1 - \varepsilon)F - x \cdot \nabla V \geq 0, \quad (3.15)$$

then H has no positive eigenvalue.

Proof. For $f \in C_0^\infty(a, b)$ with $0 < a < b < \infty$, our argument is based on the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} f(H) \left(\Psi'(p^2/2)p^2 - x \cdot \nabla V \right) f(H) \\ &= f(H) \left(\varepsilon \Psi'(p^2/2)p^2 + (1 - \varepsilon)\Psi'(p^2/2)p^2 - x \cdot \nabla V \right) f(H) \\ &\geq f(H) \left(\varepsilon \mu H - \varepsilon \mu V(x) + (1 - \varepsilon)F(x) - x \cdot \nabla V \right) f(H) \\ &\geq \varepsilon \mu \alpha f(H)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The strict Mourre inequality yields the absence of the eigenvalues in (a, b) . \square

Example 3.15. Let $\Psi(u) = (2u)^{\alpha/2}$ with $0 < \alpha < d$. The generalized Hardy inequality ([15, Thm. 2.5]) says that there exists $C_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\Psi(p^2/2) \geq \frac{C_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha},$$

where we used the assumption that $\alpha < d$. The condition (3.15) then becomes

$$-\varepsilon\alpha V(x) + (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{C_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} - x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Take

$$V(x) = \frac{C}{(1 + |x|^2)^\nu},$$

with $C > 0$ and $\nu > \alpha/2$ satisfying (H.6). Also, it is seen that (3.16) holds for sufficiently small $C > 0$. We then conclude that $H = \Psi(p^2/2) + V$ has no positive eigenvalue by Theorem 3.14.

Example 3.16. Suppose that $d \geq 3$. Let $\Psi(u) = (2u + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $m > 0$. As stated in Example 3.5, the function $\Psi(u)$ satisfies (H.1), (H.3) and (H.4). Next we give an example of $F(x)$ satisfying (3.14). As shown in Example 3.5, we have $2u\Psi'(u) \geq \alpha\Psi(u)$. Hence it is enough to find a function $F(x)$ such that

$$\alpha\Psi(p^2/2) \geq F(x). \quad (3.17)$$

For simplicity, we consider the case $m = 1$. We have the lower estimates

$$\Psi(u) \geq \begin{cases} (3^{\alpha/2} - 1)u & 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ (3^{\alpha/2} - 1)u^{\alpha/2} & u > 1. \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$\alpha\Psi(p^2/2) \geq \min \{D_{1,\alpha}p^2, D_{2,\alpha}|p|^\alpha\},$$

where $D_{1,\alpha} = 2^{-1}(3^{\alpha/2} - 1)$, $D_{2,\alpha} = 2^{-\alpha/2}(3^{\alpha/2} - 1)$. Thus,

$$(\alpha\Psi(p^2/2))^{-1} \leq \max \{(D_{1,\alpha}p^2)^{-1}, (D_{2,\alpha}|p|^\alpha)^{-1}\} \leq D_{1,\alpha}^{-1}p^{-2} + D_{2,\alpha}^{-1}|p|^{-\alpha}.$$

By the generalized Hardy inequality $|p|^\gamma \geq C_\gamma|x|^{-\gamma}$ and Fourier transform we obtain

$$|x|^\gamma \geq C_\gamma|p|^{-\gamma}, \quad 0 < \gamma < d.$$

We use this inequality for the choices $\gamma = 2$ and $\gamma = \alpha$. Thus we get

$$(\alpha\Psi(p^2/2))^{-1} \leq D_{1,\alpha}^{-1}C_2^{-1}|x|^2 + D_{2,\alpha}^{-1}C_\alpha^{-1}|x|^\alpha$$

see [42, Cor. 10.12]. Note that here we used the condition $d \geq 3$. This implies that

$$\alpha\Psi(p^2/2) \geq (D_{1,\alpha}^{-1}C_2^{-1}|x|^2 + D_{2,\alpha}^{-1}C_\alpha^{-1}|x|^\alpha)^{-1}.$$

Thus we arrive at the inequality (3.17) with the lower bound

$$F(x) := (D_{1,\alpha}^{-1}C_2^{-1}|x|^2 + D_{2,\alpha}^{-1}C_\alpha^{-1}|x|^\alpha)^{-1}. \quad (3.18)$$

Next, we discuss the condition (H.6) and (3.15). In the current setup, since $\mu = \alpha$, (3.15) is of the form

$$-\varepsilon\alpha V(x) + (1 - \varepsilon)F(x) - x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Take the function F defined in (3.18). Then F is positive and $F(x) = O(|x|^{-2})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the following example

$$V(x) = \frac{C}{(1 + |x|^2)^\nu},$$

with $C > 0$ and $\nu \leq 1$. Then (H.6) clearly holds. Note that $V = O(|x|^{-2\nu})$ and $x \cdot \nabla V = O(|x|^{-2\nu})$. Take $\varepsilon = 1/2$. Then, for sufficiently small $C > 0$, (3.19) holds. Therefore, by Theorem 3.14, $H = \Psi(p^2/2) + V$ has no positive eigenvalue.

4 Extended Birman-Schwinger principle

In this section we will show non-existence of zero eigenvalues by an extension of the classical Birman-Schwinger principle, which we discuss first. Consider a classical Schrödinger operator $H(\gamma) = H_0 - \gamma V$, $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$, such that $V \geq 0$ is relatively form-compact with respect to H_0 . A consequence of this is that $\text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H(\gamma)) = \text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$. Also, $H(\gamma)$ is bounded from below since $-\gamma V$ is relatively form-bounded with an infinitesimally small relative bound.

We briefly recall the classical Birman-Schwinger principle, for details see e.g. [27]. For $\lambda \geq 0$ we have $H(\gamma)\psi = -\lambda\psi$ exactly when $(H_0 + \lambda)\psi = \gamma V\psi$. This means that $V\psi \in \text{Dom}((H_0 + \lambda)^{-1})$ and $\psi = \gamma(H_0 + \lambda)^{-1}V\psi$. Since $\psi \in \text{Dom}(V^{1/2})$, the identity $V^{-1/2}V^{1/2}\psi = \gamma(H_0 + \lambda)^{-1}V^{1/2}V^{1/2}\psi$ gives $V^{1/2}\psi = \gamma V^{1/2}(H_0 + \lambda)^{-1}V^{1/2}V^{1/2}\psi$. Thus for a $\lambda \geq 0$ we have $H(\gamma)\psi = -\lambda\psi$ exactly when

$$V^{1/2}(H_0 + \lambda)^{-1}V^{1/2}\varphi = \frac{1}{\gamma}\varphi,$$

where $\varphi = V^{1/2}\psi$. Define the operator

$$K_\lambda = V^{1/2}(H_0 + \lambda)^{-1}V^{1/2},$$

called Birman-Schwinger kernel. It can be shown that K_λ is a compact operator for $\lambda > 0$ whenever (i) $d = 1$, $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, (ii) $d = 2$, $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $p > 1$, and for $\lambda \geq 0$ whenever (iii) $d \geq 3$, $V \in L^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; for a proof we refer to [27, Lem. 4.144], see also [45, p61]. Note that

$$-\lambda \in \text{Spec}(H(\gamma)) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} \in \text{Spec}(K_\lambda).$$

holds. Let $\lambda > 0$ and denote by $N_\lambda(V) = \#\{\mu \leq -\lambda : \mu \text{ is an eigenvalue of } H(\gamma)\}$. Then the Birman-Schwinger principle says that

$$N_\lambda(V) = \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad N_0(V) = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} N_\lambda(V) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0)$$

holds. Note that $N_0(V)$ does not include a count of zero eigenvalues.

We give now an upgraded variant of this which also includes zero eigenvalues, and replace $H(\gamma)$ by a non-local Schrödinger operator. The Birman-Schwinger principle gives

$$\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(H) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0).$$

while the extended Birman-Schwinger principle can be stated as

$$\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(H) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0), \quad (4.1)$$

so that the left-hand side in (4.1) includes the number of non-positive eigenvalues of H . In particular, whenever $\dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0) < 1$, the implication is that H has no non-positive eigenvalues. In the case of the classical Laplacian as the kinetic energy operator, the extended Birman-Schwinger principle is covered in [45], while the proof there holds in a larger framework, including the one in the present paper. An extended Birman-Schwinger principle has been studied also in [30, 47] in another context, but since it remained little known, we provide here a proof, following the main lines of a previous discussion in the monograph [27]. We discuss it for non-local Schrödinger operators with Bernstein functions of the Laplacian, and leave further extensions to the reader. Let Φ be a Bernstein function as given (2.14), with $b = 0$, and consider $H_0 = \Phi(-\Delta)$. Define

$$\bar{N}_\lambda(V) = \dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, -\lambda]}(H) = \#\{\text{eigenvalues of } H \leq -\lambda\}, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

Note that $\bar{N}_\lambda(V) = N_\lambda(V)$ for $-\lambda < 0$, and $\bar{N}_0(V) \geq N_0(V)$. The extended Birman-Schwinger principle is as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $V \leq 0$ and it is H_0 -form-compact. Let $\lambda > 0$. Then*

$$\bar{N}_\lambda(V) = \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N}_0(V) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0).$$

In particular, if $\dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0) = 0$, then H has no non-positive eigenvalues.

Proof. The proof of the first part follows from Lemmas 4.3-4.4 below by choosing $T = H_0$, $S = V$, and $L_0 = K_0$. The second part follows by having that $\bar{N}_0(V) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0) = 0$, and thus $\text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H) = [0, \infty)$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $d \geq 3$ and suppose that $V \leq 0$ is H_0 -form compact. If $\|K_0\| < 1$, then H has no non-positive eigenvalues.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 we have $\bar{N}_0(V) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(K_0) = 0$, and $\text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H) = [0, \infty)$. \square

We show the two lemmas referred to above.

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{K} be a separable Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} , and T a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{K} such that $\inf \text{Spec}(T) = 0$ and $0 \notin \text{Spec}_p(T)$. Let S be a self-adjoint operator such that $S \leq 0$ and $L_\lambda = |S|^{1/2}(T + \lambda)^{-1}|S|^{1/2}$ is compact for $\lambda \geq 0$. Take $\gamma > 1$. Then $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(T + S) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(T + \gamma S)$.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(T + \gamma S) < \dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(T + S)$. There exists a positive integer n such that $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(T + \gamma S) = n \geq 0$. Then $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(T + S) \geq n + 1$. Let $\mathcal{K} \supset \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n+1}\}$ be an arbitrary orthonormal system of $\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(T + S)$, denote by \mathcal{L} the linear span of $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n+1}\}$, and consider a projection $P : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. The restriction $A = P(T + \gamma S)P|_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a self-adjoint operator from \mathcal{L} to itself. Denote the eigenvalues of A by $\tilde{\mu}_1 \leq \tilde{\mu}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$, and write

$$\mu_j = \sup_{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{j-1} \in \mathcal{K}} \inf_{\substack{\psi \in \text{Dom}(A); \|\psi\|=1 \\ \psi \in \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{j-1}\}^\perp}} \langle \psi, A\psi \rangle$$

for the eigenvalues of A . Using the the Rayleigh-Ritz principle, they compare like $\mu_j \leq \tilde{\mu}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n + 1$. We have $\langle f, (T + \gamma S)f \rangle = \langle f, (T + S)f \rangle + (\gamma - 1)\langle f, Sf \rangle \leq 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}$. Suppose that $0 = \langle g, (T + \gamma S)g \rangle$ for some $g \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $\langle g, (T + S)g \rangle = (\gamma - 1)\langle g, Sg \rangle = 0$ and $\langle g, Tg \rangle = 0$. However, $\text{Spec}_p(T) \not\ni 0$ implies that $g = 0$, thus A is strictly negative and $\tilde{\mu}_j < 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n + 1$. In particular, $\mu_{n+1} \leq \tilde{\mu}_{n+1} < 0$. This gives $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(T + \gamma S) \geq n + 1$, contradicting that $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(T + \gamma S) = n$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *If L_0 is compact, then $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(T + S) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(L_0)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(T + S) \leq \lim_{\gamma \uparrow 1} \dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(T + \gamma S). \quad (4.2)$$

Note that $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(T + \gamma S) = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow 0} \dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(T + \gamma S)$, and by the Birman–Schwinger principle we have $\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(T + \gamma S) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(\gamma L_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda < 0$. Since $L_\lambda \leq L_0$, we get $\dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(\gamma L_\lambda) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(\gamma L_0)$. Combining this with (4.2) gives

$$\dim \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(T + S) \leq \lim_{\gamma \uparrow 1} \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(\gamma L_0) \leq \dim \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(L_0). \quad \square$$

Now we apply the extended Birman-Schwinger principle to prove that zero is not an eigenvalue to some non-local Schrödinger operators. Choose $\Phi(u) = (2u)^{\alpha/2}$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$, so that $H_0 = \Phi(p^2/2) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $d \geq 3$ and $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and compactly supported potential. Denote by $B_R(x)$ a ball of radius R centered in x , and choose $R > 0$ such that $\text{supp } V \subset B_R(0)$. Suppose that $V \leq 0$ and*

$$\|V\|_\infty < \frac{d(2^\alpha - 1)}{C_{d,\alpha} \omega_d 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5^d}(2R)^\alpha}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $C_{d,\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma((d-\alpha)/2)}{\pi^{d/2} 2^{2\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha/2)}$ and ω_d is the surface area of the d -dimensional sphere. Then $H = H_0 + V$ with $H_0 = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ has no non-positive eigenvalue. In particular, zero is not an eigenvalue of H .

Proof. Clearly, V is H_0 -compact. By Fourier transform we get

$$\Phi(p^2/2)^{-1}|V|^{1/2}\psi(x) = \mathcal{F}^*|\xi|^{-\alpha}\mathcal{F}|V|^{1/2}\psi(x) = C_{d,\alpha} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|V(y)|^{1/2}\psi(y)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and every $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [46, Sect. V.1]. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \||V|^{1/2}\Phi(p^2/2)^{-1}|V|^{1/2}\psi\|^2 &= C_{d,\alpha}^2 \int_{B_R(0)} \left| \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|V(y)|^{1/2}\psi(y)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy \right|^2 |V(x)| dx \\ &\leq C_{d,\alpha}^2 \int_{B_R(0)} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x)} \frac{|V(y)|^{1/2}|\psi(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy \right)^2 |V(x)| dx \\ &\leq C_{d,\alpha}^2 \|V\|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x)} \frac{|\psi(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy \right)^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Using the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, we have

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x)} \frac{|\psi(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy \leq \frac{\omega_d}{d(2^\alpha-1)} (2R)^\alpha M[\psi](x),$$

see [14, Lem. (a)] with $A = \omega_d/d$, where

$$M[\psi](x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(0)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |\psi(y)| dy.$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood-Winner theorem [46, Th. I.1] we also have $\|M[\psi]\| \leq 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5^d}\|\psi\|$, thus (4.4) gives

$$\||V|^{1/2}\Phi(p^2/2)^{-1}|V|^{1/2}\psi\| \leq \frac{C_{d,\alpha}\omega_d 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5^d}(2R)^\alpha}{d(2^\alpha-1)} \|V\|_\infty \|\psi\|,$$

and thus (4.3) implies that $\||V|^{1/2}\Phi(p^2/2)^{-1}|V|^{1/2}\| < 1$. Then the extended Birman-Schwinger principle and Corollary 4.2 complete the proof. \square

The argument can further be extended to fully supported potentials.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $d \geq 3$ and $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $\|V\|_\infty$ and $\|V\|_1$ are small enough such that*

$$2C_{d,\alpha}^2 \left[\frac{8 \cdot 5^d \omega_d^2}{d^2(2^\alpha-1)^2} \|V\|_\infty^2 + \|V\|_1^2 \right] < 1 \quad (4.5)$$

holds. Then $H = H_0 + V$ with $H_0 = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ has no non-positive eigenvalue, in particular, zero is not an eigenvalue of H .

Proof. Since $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, V is H_0 -compact. As in (4.4), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \||V|^{1/2}\Phi(p^2/2)^{-1}|V|^{1/2}\psi\|^2 &\leq C_{d,\alpha}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\left(\int_{B_1(x)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1(x)} \right) \frac{|V(y)|^{1/2}|\psi(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy \right)^2 |V(x)| dx \\ &\leq 2C_{d,\alpha}^2 (I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$I_1 = \|V\|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{B_1(x)} \frac{|\psi(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy \right)^2 dx,$$

$$I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1(x)} \frac{|V(y)|^{1/2} |\psi(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy \right)^2 dx.$$

I_1 can be estimated by the theorem above as

$$I_1 \leq \left(\frac{\omega_d 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5^d}}{d(2^\alpha - 1)} \right)^2 \|V\|_\infty^2,$$

and Schwarz inequality gives

$$I_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)| dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(y)|^{1/2} |\psi(y)| dy \right)^2 \leq \|V\|_1^2 \|\psi\|^2.$$

We thus have $\| |V|^{1/2} \Psi(p^2/2)^{-1} |V|^{1/2} \| < 1$ by using (4.5), and the extended Birman-Schwinger principle and Corollary 4.2 complete the proof. \square

Next we consider the massive relativistic case $\Phi(u) = (2u + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $m > 0$ in dimension $d = 3$. By a combination of the limiting resolvent method and the extended Birman-Schwinger principle we prove the absence of non-positive eigenvalues of $H = H_0 + V$ with $H_0 = (-\Delta + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$. We start with the following relative compactness result, which is a counterpart to our case of e.g. [32, Lem. 5.9] for $H_0 = -\Delta$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $2 \leq q < \infty$, $q > \frac{3}{\alpha}$ and suppose that $V \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then V is H_0 -compact.*

Proof. We first prove that $f(x)g(p)$ is a bounded operator for $f, g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|f(x)g(p)\| \leq C \|f\|_q \|g\|_q. \quad (4.7)$$

Put $q_1 = 2q/(2+q)$ and $q_2 = q_1/(q_1-1)$. By the Hausdorff-Young and Hölder inequalities,

$$\|g(p)\psi\|_{q_2} \leq (2\pi)^{3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2})} \|g\hat{\psi}\|_{q_1} \leq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2}} \|g\|_q \|\psi\|$$

for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. This implies (4.7) since $\|f(x)g(p)\psi\| \leq \|f\|_q \|g(p)\psi\|_{q_2}$ holds by using the Hölder inequality again. Let $\rho > 0$ and denote by B_ρ the ball of radius ρ centered in the origin. Define $V_\rho(x) = V(x)\mathbf{1}_{B_\rho}(x)$ and $R_\rho(\xi) = (\Phi(\xi^2/2) + 1)^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{B_\rho}(\xi)$. Note that $V_\rho, R_\rho \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_\rho(x)R_\rho(\xi)|^2 dx d\xi = \|V_\rho\|^2 \|R_\rho\|^2$, the operator $V_\rho(x)R_\rho(p)$ is of Hilbert-Schmidt type. Note that $(\Phi(\xi^2/2) + 1)^{-1} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ due to $q > \frac{3}{\alpha}$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|V(H_0 + 1)^{-1} - V_\rho R_\rho(p)\| \\ & \leq C(\|V - V_\rho\|_q \|(\Phi(\xi^2/2) + 1)^{-1}\|_q + \|V\|_q \|(\Phi(\xi^2/2) + 1)^{-1} - R_\rho\|_q) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ using (4.7) and the dominated convergence theorem. \square

Lemma 4.8. Denote the resolvent of H_0 by $R(z) = (\Phi(p^2/2) - z)^{-1}$. For $s > 1$,

$$\langle x \rangle^{-s} \Phi(p^2/2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-s} = \langle x \rangle^{-s} R(\pm i0) \langle x \rangle^{-s} \quad (4.8)$$

exists in the L^2 operator norm sense.

Proof. For the case of $\alpha = 2$, the existence of (4.8) is well known (see, e.g., [24, Th. 5.1]). For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, fix $0 < \theta = \arg(z + m) < 2\pi$. Then $(z + m)^{2/\alpha} = |z + m|^{2/\alpha} e^{2i\theta/\alpha} e^{4in\pi/\alpha}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\alpha < 2$, the solution of $(\zeta + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m - z = 0$ for ζ is unique in the complex plane by the fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We write $\zeta(z) = (z + m)^{2/\alpha} - m^{2/\alpha}$ with $\text{Im}\sqrt{\zeta(z)} > 0$. We first show that the operator $\langle x \rangle^{-s} R(z) \langle x \rangle^{-s}$ has the integral kernel

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y; z) = \langle x \rangle^{-s} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\zeta(z)}|x-y|}}{2\alpha\pi (\zeta(z) + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2-1} |x-y|} \langle y \rangle^{-s}. \quad (4.9)$$

The kernel of $R(z)$ is given by the Fourier transform

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}}{\Phi(|\xi|^2/2) - z} d\xi,$$

which is radially symmetric in $x - y$. It is sufficient to consider $x = (0, 0, x_3)$ with $x_3 > 0$ and $y = (0, 0, 0)$ as in [34, Ex. 1, XI.7] or [32, Ex. 6.1] for $\alpha = 2$. Using polar coordinates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}}{\Phi(|\xi|^2/2) - z} d\xi &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{ix_3 r \sin\phi} r^2 \cos\phi}{\Phi(r^2/2) - z} d\phi dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e^{ix_3 r \lambda} r^2}{\Phi(r^2/2) - z} d\lambda dr = \frac{2\pi}{ix_3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{r e^{ix_3 r}}{\Phi(r^2/2) - z} dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we made the change of variable $\lambda = \sin\phi$. The improper integral can be computed by an application of the residue theorem. Since $x_3 > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, the integral on the upper half circle with radius $\rho > 0$ vanishes as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$\Phi(r^2/2) - z = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\zeta(z) + m^{2/\alpha} \right)^{\alpha/2-1} (r^2 - \zeta(z)) + O((r^2 - \zeta(z))^2)$$

as $r \rightarrow \sqrt{\zeta(z)}$, we have

$$\text{Res}_{r=\sqrt{\zeta(z)}} \frac{r e^{ix_3 r}}{\Phi(r^2/2) - z} = \frac{e^{ix_3 \sqrt{\zeta(z)}}}{\alpha (\zeta(z) + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2-1}}.$$

We thus obtain (4.9). We can assume $1 < s < 3/2$ without loss of generality and next prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x-y|^{-2} \langle y \rangle^{-2s} dy \leq C(|x|^{1-2s} + |x|^{1-2s'}), \quad (4.10)$$

where $1 < s' < s$. When $|y| \leq |x|/2$, we have $|x-y| \geq |x| - |y| \geq |x|/2$. We estimate

$$\int_{|y| \leq |x|/2} |x-y|^{-2} \langle y \rangle^{-2s} dy \leq 16\pi |x|^{-2} \int_0^{|x|/2} r^{2-2s} dr \leq C|x|^{1-2s}.$$

When $|y| > |x|/2$, we have $|x - y| \leq |x| + |y| < 3|y|$ and obtain

$$\int_{|y| > |x|/2} |x - y|^{-2} \langle y \rangle^{-2s} dy \leq \langle x/2 \rangle^{1-2s'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x - y|^{-2} \langle x - y \rangle^{-1-2(s-s')} dy \leq C|x|^{1-2s'}.$$

A combination of the above two estimates then gives (4.10). It follows from (4.10) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{K}(x, y; z)|^2 dx dy \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x \rangle^{-2s} (|x|^{1-2s} + |x|^{1-2s'}) dx < \infty. \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore the kernel \mathcal{K} has the Hilbert-Schmidt property, including the point $z = 0$. In particular, the constant C in the right-hand-side of (4.11) is independent of z . By dominated convergence we then have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{K}(x, y; z) - \mathcal{K}(x, y; 0)|^2 dx dy \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. This means that (4.8) exists in the L^2 operator norm sense. \square

Theorem 4.9. *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $V \leq 0$, such that*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^s |V|^{1/2}\|_\infty < \|\langle x \rangle^{-s} R(\pm i0) \langle x \rangle^{-s}\|^{1/2} \quad (4.12)$$

for $s > 1$. Then $H = H_0 + V$ has no non-positive eigenvalue, in particular, zero is not an eigenvalue of H .

Proof. Since

$$\langle x \rangle^{2sq} |V(x)|^q \leq \|\langle x \rangle^s |V|^{1/2}\|_\infty^{2q}$$

and $s > 1$, $V \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $q > 3/2$. Therefore, V is H_0 -compact by Lemma 4.7. We obtain

$$\| |V|^{1/2} \Phi(p^2/2)^{-1} |V|^{1/2} \| \leq \| |V|^{1/2} \langle x \rangle^s \|_\infty \| \langle x \rangle^{-s} R(\pm i0) \langle x \rangle^{-s} \| \| \langle x \rangle^s |V|^{1/2} \|_\infty < 1$$

by (4.12). Then the extended Birman-Schwinger principle and Corollary 4.2 complete the proof. \square

Example 4.10. Let $H = (-\Delta + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m + V$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $m > 0$, and let

$$V(x) = -\frac{C}{(1 + |x|^2)^\nu}$$

with $\nu > 1$ and $C > 0$ sufficiently small that satisfy the conclusion of Example 3.16. In addition, if $C > 0$ satisfies $0 < C < \|\langle x \rangle^{-\nu} R(\pm i0) \langle x \rangle^{-\nu}\|^{1/2}$, then $\text{Spec}_p(H) = \emptyset$ in virtue of Example 3.16 and Theorem 4.9.

5 Existence vs absence of at-edge eigenvalues in function of the coupling constant

Finally we present a first case where by a combination of the above results we can prove the existence/absence of zero-eigenvalue dichotomy for fractional Schrödinger operators in function

of the magnitude of the coupling constant. Due to the simple form of the potential this can be seen as a prototype case, and further examples can be constructed in a similar way.

As already mentioned in the Introduction, in [20] we have obtained the following result on the existence of embedded eigenvalues at zero. Let P be a harmonic polynomial, homogeneous of degree $l \geq 0$, i.e., such that $P(kx) = k^l P(x)$ for all $k > 0$, and $\Delta P = 0$. Also, let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $c \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, and consider Gauss' hypergeometric function

$${}_2\mathbf{F}_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a & b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{\Gamma(c+k)} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

with the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_k = a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1)$ and the usual Gamma function Γ . Let $\kappa > 0$. Then with $\delta = d + 2l$, $d \geq 1$, the family of decaying potentials

$$V_{\kappa, \alpha}(x) = -\frac{2^\alpha}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta + \alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \kappa\right) (1 + |x|^2)^\kappa {}_2\mathbf{F}_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{\delta + \alpha}{2} & \frac{\alpha}{2} + \kappa \\ \frac{\delta}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -|x|^2 \right), \quad (5.1)$$

generate zero-eigenvalues with the eigenfunctions

$$\varphi_\kappa(x) = \frac{P(x)}{(1 + |x|^2)^\kappa}, \quad (5.2)$$

i.e.,

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \varphi_\kappa + V_{\kappa, \alpha} \varphi_\kappa = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

holds in distributional sense, for all $0 < \alpha < 2$. Next we will study a sub-family of these potentials.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $d = 3$ and consider the potential*

$$V_\alpha(x) = -\frac{C_\alpha}{(1 + |x|^2)^\alpha}$$

where $C_\alpha > 0$, and the non-local Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} + V_\alpha$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The following occur:

- (1) If $\frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2$, then there exist $A(\alpha) > 0$ such that $(-\infty, 0] \cap \text{Spec}_p(H_\alpha)$ is empty whenever $C_\alpha < A(\alpha)$, in particular, $0 \notin \text{Spec}_p(H_\alpha)$. Moreover, $A(\alpha)$ satisfies

$$A(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{4\pi C_{3, \alpha} I_\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{6} \left(\frac{3(2^d - 1)}{10\sqrt{10}} I_\alpha \right)^{2 - \frac{2\alpha}{3}} \left(\frac{3}{\alpha} - 1 \right)^{1 - \frac{\alpha}{3}}}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $C_{3, \alpha} = \frac{\Gamma((3-\alpha)/2)}{\pi^{3/2} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha/2)}$ and $I_\alpha = \int_0^\infty r^2 \langle r \rangle^{-2\alpha} dr$.

- (2) If $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $C_\alpha = 2^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta + \alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\delta - \alpha}{2})}$ with $\delta = 3 + 2\ell$ for $\ell \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, then $0 \in \text{Spec}_p(H_\alpha)$.

Furthermore, we have the following properties:

(3) If $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $C_\alpha < a$ for $a > 0$, then $\text{Spec}_p(H_\alpha) \cap (a, \infty) = \emptyset$. Moreover, if $\frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2$ and $C_\alpha < \min\{a, A(\alpha)\}$, where $A(\alpha)$ is chosen as in (1) above, then $\text{Spec}_p(H_\alpha) \subset (0, a]$.

(4) If $0 < \alpha < 2$, then there exists a constant $B(\alpha) > 0$ such that $\text{Spec}_d(H_\alpha)$ is not empty whenever $C_\alpha > B(\alpha)$.

Proof. (1) For $\rho > 0$, it follows from

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} \Phi(p^2/2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} \psi\|^2 \leq 32\pi^2 C_{3,\alpha}^2 \left(\frac{1000}{9(2^d-1)^2} \rho^{2\alpha} + I_\alpha^2 \rho^{2\alpha-6} \right) \|\psi\|^2.$$

that $\langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} \Phi(p^2/2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}$ is bounded by replacing $B_1(x)$ with $B_\rho(x)$ of (4.6) in the proof of Corollary 4.6. Define $A(\alpha) = 1/\|\langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} \Phi(p^2/2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}\|$. Then

$$\| |V_\alpha|^{1/2} \Phi(p^2/2)^{-1} |V_\alpha|^{1/2} \| = C_\alpha \|\langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} \Phi(p^2/2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}\| < 1$$

holds for $C_\alpha < A(\alpha)$. To estimate $A(\alpha)$, note that the minimiser of the function $f(\rho) = 1000\rho^{2\alpha}/(9(2^d-1)^2) + I_\alpha^2\rho^{2\alpha-6}$ is

$$\rho_{\min} = \left(\frac{3(2^d-1)}{10\sqrt{10}} I_\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{3}{\alpha} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

so that we have

$$f(\rho_{\min}) = \frac{3I_\alpha^2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{3(2^d-1)}{10\sqrt{10}} I_\alpha \right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{3}-2} \left(\frac{3}{\alpha} - 1 \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{3}-1}.$$

This implies (5.4).

(2) Choosing $\kappa = \frac{\delta-\alpha}{2}$ in (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{(\delta-\alpha)/2,\alpha}(x) \\ &= -\frac{2^\alpha}{\Gamma(\frac{\delta-\alpha}{2})} \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\delta-\alpha}{2}\right) (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{\delta-\alpha}{2}} {}_2\mathbf{F}_1\left(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\delta-\alpha}{2} \mid -|x|^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$\frac{(1-z)^{-a}}{\Gamma(b)} = {}_2\mathbf{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ b \end{matrix} \mid z\right)$$

see [33, eq. (15.4.6)] we readily obtain

$$V_\alpha(x) \equiv V_{(\delta-\alpha)/2,\alpha}(x) = -2^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\delta-\alpha}{2})} (1+|x|^2)^{-\alpha},$$

i.e., a zero eigenvalue exists due to (5.1)-(5.3).

(3) It is sufficient to prove the first half of the statement. As proved in Lemma 3.9, the commutator $[H_\alpha, A]^0$ is well-defined and H_α belongs to class $C^1(A)$. Take $\varepsilon = (a - C_\alpha)\alpha/(a + C_\alpha) > 0$. We compute, for $f \in C_0^\infty(a, b)$ with $a < b < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(H_\alpha) i[H_\alpha, A]^0 f(H_\alpha) = f(H_\alpha) (\alpha\Psi(p^2/2) - 2\alpha C_\alpha |x|^2 \langle x \rangle^{-2\alpha-2}) f(H_\alpha) \\ &= \varepsilon f(H_\alpha) \Psi(p^2/2) f(H_\alpha) \\ &+ f(H_\alpha) ((\alpha - \varepsilon)H_\alpha + (\alpha - \varepsilon)C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-2\alpha} - 2\alpha C_\alpha |x|^2 \langle x \rangle^{-2\alpha-2}) f(H_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

By the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & a(\alpha - \varepsilon) + (\alpha - \varepsilon)C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-2\alpha} - 2\alpha C_\alpha |x|^2 \langle x \rangle^{-2\alpha-2} \\ &= \langle x \rangle^{-2\alpha-2} (a(\alpha - \varepsilon) \langle x \rangle^{2\alpha+2} - (\alpha + \varepsilon)C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^2 + 2\alpha C_\alpha) > 2\alpha C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-2\alpha-2} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $a(\alpha - \varepsilon) = (\alpha + \varepsilon)C_\alpha$ and $(\alpha + \varepsilon)C_\alpha(\langle x \rangle^{2\alpha+2} - \langle x \rangle^2) > 0$, we obtain

$$f(H_\alpha) i[H_\alpha, A]^0 f(H_\alpha) > \varepsilon f(H_\alpha) \Psi(p^2/2) f(H_\alpha) > a\varepsilon f(H_\alpha)^2.$$

The strict Mourre estimate (see (3.13)) implies the absence of eigenvalues in (a, b) .

(4) We show that for sufficiently large C_α the discrete spectrum is non-empty. Recall that the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}))$ of $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^\alpha \widehat{f}(y) \overline{\widehat{g}(y)} dy, \quad f, g \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^\alpha |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 dy < \infty\}$. Since the potential V_α is decaying at infinity, it is known that $\text{Spec}(H_\alpha) = \text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H_\alpha) \cup \text{Spec}_d(H_\alpha)$, where $\text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}(H_\alpha) = \text{Spec}_{\text{ess}}((-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}) = [0, \infty)$, $\text{Spec}_d(H_\alpha) \subset (-\infty, 0)$, and $\text{Spec}_d(H_\alpha)$ consists of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity whenever it is non-empty. Also, by general arguments, whenever there exists $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{E}(f, f) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(x) f^2(x) dx < 0$, it follows that $\text{Spec}_d(H_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. To choose a suitable test function, let f_r and λ_r be the principal Dirichlet eigenfunction and eigenvalue, respectively, for the fractional Laplacian for a ball $B_r(0)$ centered in the origin, and denote the corresponding quadratic form by $(\mathcal{E}_0, \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}_0))$. Then we have $\text{supp } f_r = B_r(0)$, $\|f_r\|_2 = 1$, and f_r is a non-increasing radial function by [1, Def. 1.3, Cor. 2.3]. Since $\mathcal{E}(f_r, f_r) \leq c\mathcal{E}_0(f_r, f_r) = c\lambda_r$, with a constant $c > 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{E}(f_r, f_r) - C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f_r^2(x)}{(1 + |x|^2)^\alpha} dx \leq c\lambda_r - C_\alpha \int_{B_r(0)} \frac{f_r^2(x)}{(1 + |x|^2)^\alpha} dx \leq c\lambda_r - \frac{C_\alpha}{(1 + r^2)^\alpha}.$$

Thus by choosing $C_\alpha > B(\alpha) = c \inf_{r \geq 0} \lambda_r (1 + r^2)^\alpha$, we have at least one negative eigenvalue. \square

Remark 5.2. We note that the number of negative eigenvalues for the potential in Theorem 5.1 is finite, in particular, zero is not an accumulation point. Indeed, by the Lieb-Thirring inequality [17] we have for the number N_0 of negative eigenvalues

$$N_0 \leq L_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V_\alpha(x)|^{3/\alpha} dx = 4\pi L_\alpha \omega_d C_\alpha^{3/\alpha} I_3,$$

where $L_\alpha > 0$ and I_3 denotes I_α with α extended to 3.

Example 5.3. Let $\frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2$ for $d = 3$, and

$$V(x) = -\frac{C}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\beta/2}}$$

with $C > 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$. If $C < A(\beta/2)$ with the same constant as in (1) of Theorem 5.1, then $H = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} + V$ has no eigenvalue in virtue of Examples 2.6, 3.13 and (1) of Theorem 5.1.

Acknowledgments AI thanks JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP20K03625, JP21K03279 and JP21KK0245) and Tokyo University of Science Grant for International Joint Research for support. JL gratefully thanks the hospitality of IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, and both thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support (EPSRC grant no EP/K032208/1) and hospitality during the programme “Fractional Differential Equations” where work on this paper was undertaken. IS thanks JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP16 K17612 and JP20K03628) for support. The authors thank Professor Masahito Ohta of Tokyo University of Science for his valuable comments, and also thank reviewers.

References

- [1] F.J. Almgren, E.H. Lieb: *Symmetric decreasing rearrangement is sometimes continuous*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), 683-773
- [2] W.O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, V. Georgescu: *C_0 -groups, Commutator Methods and Spectral Theory of N -Body Hamiltonians*, Progress in Mathematics **135**, Birkhäuser, 1996
- [3] A. Arai: Necessary and sufficient conditions for a Hamiltonian with discrete eigenvalues to have time operators, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **87** (2009), 67-80
- [4] A. Arai, F. Hiroshima: Ultra-weak time operators of Schrödinger operators, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **18** (2017), 2995-3033
- [5] G. Ascione and J. Lórinzi: Potentials for non-local Schrödinger operators with zero eigenvalues, *J. Diff. Equations* **317**, 264-364, 2022
- [6] A. Biswas, J. Lórinzi: Universal constraints on the location of extrema of eigenfunctions of non-local Schrödinger operators, *J. Diff. Equations* **267** (2019), 267-306
- [7] A. Biswas, J. Lórinzi: Maximum principles and Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci type estimates for non-local Schrödinger equations with exterior conditions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **51** (2019), 1543–1581
- [8] F. Capasso et al: Observation of an electronic bound state above a potential well, *Nature* **358** (1992), 565-567
- [9] R. Carmona, W.C. Masters, B. Simon: Relativistic Schrödinger operators: asymptotic behaviour of the eigenfunctions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **91** (1990), 117-142
- [10] J.-C. Cuenin: Embedded eigenvalues of generalized Schrödinger operators, *J. Spectr. Theory* **10** (2020), 415-437
- [11] C.-S. Deng, W. Liu, E. Nane: Finite time blowup of solutions to SPDEs with Bernstein functions of the Laplacian, *Potential Anal.* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-021-09978-1>
- [12] M.S.P. Eastham, H. Kalf: *Schrödinger-Type Operators with Continuous Spectra*, Pitman, 1982
- [13] M.M. Fall, V. Felli: Unique continuation property and local asymptotics of solutions to fractional elliptic equations, *Comm. Part. Diff. Eqs.* **39** (2014), 354-397

- [14] L.I. Hedberg: On certain convolution inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1972), 505–510
- [15] I.W. Herbst: Spectral theory of the operator $(p^2 + m^2)^{1/2} - Ze^2/r$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **53** (1977), 285–294
- [16] F. Hiroshima, T. Ichinose, J. Lőrinczi: Path integral representation for Schrödinger operators with Bernstein functions of the Laplacian, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **24** (2012), 1250013
- [17] F. Hiroshima, J. Lőrinczi: Lieb-Thirring bound for Schrödinger operators with Bernstein functions of the Laplacian, *Commun. Stoch. Anal.* **6** (2012), 589–602
- [18] A. Ishida: Minimal velocity bound for relativistic operator with fractional powers, arXiv: 2007.05388
- [19] A. Ishida, K. Wada: Threshold between short and long-range potentials for non-local Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **23**, 32 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-020-09356-0>
- [20] C. Jäh, J. Lőrinczi: Eigenvalues at the continuum edge for fractional Schrödinger operators, preprint, 2021
- [21] K. Kaleta, J. Lőrinczi: Fall-off of eigenfunctions for non-local Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials, *Potential Anal.* **46** (2017), 647–688
- [22] K. Kaleta, J. Lőrinczi: Zero-energy bound state decay for non-local Schrödinger operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **374** (2020), 2151–2191
- [23] T. Kato: Growth properties of solutions of the reduced wave equation with a variable coefficient, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **12** (1959), 403–425
- [24] S. T. Kuroda: *Spectral Theory II*, Iwanami Shoten, 1979 (in Japanese)
- [25] M. Kwaśnicki, J. Mucha: Extension technique for complete Bernstein functions of the Laplace operator, *J. Evol. Equ.* **18** (2018), 1341–1379
- [26] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer: *The Stability of Matter in Quantum Mechanics*, Cambridge University Press, 2010
- [27] J. Lőrinczi, F. Hiroshima, V. Betz: *Feynman–Kac-Type Theorems and Gibbs Measures on Path Space*, Walter de Gruyter, 2020, second edition
- [28] J. Lőrinczi, I. Sasaki: Embedded eigenvalues and Neumann-Wigner potentials for relativistic Schrödinger operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **273** (2017), 1548–1575
- [29] M. Maceda: On the Birman-Schwinger principle applied to $\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} - m$, *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006), 033506
- [30] S. Molchanov, B. Vainberg: On general Cwikel-Lieb-Rozenblum and Lieb-Thirring inequalities, in *Around the Research of Vladimir Maz'ya*, International Mathematical Series, vol. 13 (A. Laptev, ed.), Springer, 2010
- [31] E. Mourre: Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators *Comm. Math. Phys.* **78** (1981), 391–408

- [32] S. Nakamura: *Spectral Theory for Quantum Mechanics*, Kyoritsu Shuppan, 2012 (in Japanese)
- [33] F.W.J. Olver et al: *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010
- [34] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. 2: Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness*, Academic Press, 1975
- [35] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. 3: Scattering Theory*, Academic Press, 1979
- [36] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. 4: Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, 1980
- [37] S. Richard, T. Umeda: Low energy spectral and scattering theory for relativistic Schrödinger operators, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **45** (2016), 141-179
- [38] S. Richard, J. Uchiyama, T. Umeda: Schrödinger operators with n positive eigenvalues: an explicit construction involving complex-valued potentials, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **92** (2016), 7-12
- [39] A. Rüländ: Unique continuation for fractional Schrödinger equations with rough potentials, *Comm. Part. Diff. Eqs.* **40** (2015), 77-114
- [40] A. Rüländ: On quantitative unique continuation properties of fractional Schrödinger equations: Doubling, vanishing order and nodal domain estimates, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 2311-2362
- [41] W. Schlag: Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators: A survey, in: *Mathematical Aspects of Nonlinear Dispersive Equations* (J. Bourgain, C.E. Kenig, S. Klainerman, eds.), Princeton University Press, 2007, pp. 255–285
- [42] K. Schmüdgen: *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg New York London, 2012
- [43] I. Seo: On unique continuation for Schrödinger operators of fractional and higher orders, *Math. Nachr.* **287** (2014), 699-703
- [44] I. Seo: Unique continuation for fractional Schrödinger operators in three and higher dimensions, *Proc. AMS* **143** (2015), 1661-1664
- [45] B. Simon: *Trace Ideals and their Applications*, 2nd ed., Amer. Math. Soc., 2005
- [46] E.M. Stein: *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970
- [47] N. Teranishi: Absence of ground states of generalized spin-boson models, *Kyushu J. Math.* **72** (2018), 1-14
- [48] T. Umeda: Generalized eigenfunctions of relativistic Schrödinger operators I, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **127** (2006), 1-46
- [49] R.A. Weder: Spectral properties of one-body relativistic spin-zero Hamiltonians, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. A (N.S.)* **20** (1974), 211–220