

An Algorithm to Solve the Generalized Conjugacy Problem in Relatively Hyperbolic Groups

Chris Karpinski *

September 7, 2021

Abstract

In this note, we provide a (super-exponential time) algorithm to solve the generalized conjugacy problem in relatively hyperbolic groups, given solvability of the generalized conjugacy problem in each of the parabolic subgroups.

arXiv:2109.01763v1 [math.GR] 4 Sep 2021

*McGill University, email: christopher.karpinski@mail.mcgill.ca

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20F65, 20F67, 20F70, 05C25.

Key words and phrases. Algorithmic problems, generalized conjugacy problem, relatively hyperbolic groups.

This work was supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2016-06154

1 Introduction

The generalized conjugacy problem (GCP), also known as the simultaneous conjugacy problem or the conjugacy problem for finite lists, asks whether there exists an algorithm such that given as input a recursive presentation for a recursively presented group G and two finite lists $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ of elements of G decides whether or not these two lists are conjugate, that is, if there exists an element $x \in G$ such that $a_i^x = b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ (recall that the notation a^x means $x^{-1}ax$).

The GCP is known to be solvable in several classes of groups. Ladra and Silva gave a solution to the GCP in finitely generated virtually free groups in [7]. Bezverkhii showed in [1] that the GCP is solvable in Artin groups of large type. The solvability of the GCP in braid groups and in general linear groups was studied by Hahn, Lee and Park in [6]. An important class of groups for which the GCP is solvable is the class of hyperbolic groups, as was first shown by Bridson and Howie in [3]. However, Bridson and Howie were unable to produce a sub-exponential time algorithm that dealt with lists of torsion elements. In [4], a linear run-time algorithm was constructed by Buckley and Holt that solves the GCP for lists of torsion elements as well, thereby establishing a linear run-time algorithm to solve the GCP in hyperbolic groups.

The solvability of the GCP in the more general relatively hyperbolic groups (with sufficient algorithmic solvability conditions on the parabolic subgroups, as usual) has remained an open problem. In this paper, we give an algorithm to solve the GCP in relatively hyperbolic groups, with parabolic subgroups $\{H_1, \dots, H_N\}$ each having solvable GCP.

Notation and Setup

Throughout this paper, we fix a group G generated by a finite set X hyperbolic relative to finitely generated subgroups $\{H_1, \dots, H_N\}$. We assume that the GCP is solvable in each parabolic subgroup H_i . We assume that X satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1 from [8] (note that as shown in [8], such finite generating set X always exists) and that X is symmetrized, that is, $X = X^{-1}$. We will denote $\Gamma := \Gamma(G; X)$ the (non-relative) Cayley graph of G with respect to X and $\hat{\Gamma} := \Gamma(G; X \cup \mathcal{H})$ (where $\mathcal{H} = \cup_{i=1}^N H_i$) the relative Cayley graph of G with respect to X, \mathcal{H} . Given an element $g \in G$, as usual $|g|_X, |g|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}}$ denote the word lengths of g with respect to the generating sets X and $X \cup \mathcal{H}$, respectively. The notation $B_r(x)$ denotes the closed ball of radius r about the point x in $\hat{\Gamma}$ with its natural combinatorial metric and similarly $B_r^X(x)$ denotes the closed ball of radius r about the point x in Γ with its natural combinatorial metric.

Main result

Our goal will be to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There exists a recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ be conjugate lists of elements in G and let $\mu = \max\{|a_i|_X, |b_i|_X : i = 1, \dots, m\}$. Then there exists an element x conjugating $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ to $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ such that $|x|_X \leq f(\mu)$.*

Theorem 1 immediately produces an algorithm to solve the GCP in G , namely, for each $x \in B_{f(\mu)}^X(1)$ check if $a_i^x = b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ using the solution to the word problem in G (which exists since the word problem is solvable in each H_i ; see section 5.1 in [8]). If these checks fail for all $x \in B_{f(\mu)}^X(1)$ then by Theorem 1 the lists are not conjugate, otherwise the lists are conjugate. The function f that we will produce will be super-exponential in μ . We shall not attempt to produce algorithms with optimal efficiency in this paper, though we conjecture that there exists a polynomial time algorithm to solve the GCP in relatively hyperbolic groups provided the GCP can be solved in polynomial time in the parabolic subgroups.

To prove Theorem 1, we will make use of the following results from Section 3.4 of [8] (see [8] for the

definitions of symmetric pairs of geodesics, k -similar geodesics, synchronous components/vertices, etc.):

Theorem (8, Theorem 3.37). *For any $k \geq 0$, there exists a constant $\chi = \chi(k)$ with the following property. Let (p, q) be a symmetric pair of k -similar geodesics in $\hat{\Gamma}$, u, v a pair of synchronous vertices on p and q , respectively. Then $d_X(u, v) \leq \chi$.*

Lemma (8, Lemma 3.43). *For any $k \geq 0, \lambda \geq 1, c \geq 0$, there exists a constant $\eta = \eta(\lambda, c, k)$ such that the following condition holds. Let (p, q) be an arbitrary symmetric pair of k -similar (λ, c) -quasi-geodesics without backtracking in $\hat{\Gamma}$ such that no synchronous components of p and q are connected. Then for any $i = 1, \dots, N$ and any H_i -component e of p , we have $d_X(e_-, e_+) \leq \eta \ell([p_-, e_+])$ where $[p_-, e_+]$ is the segment of p .*

Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to Inna Bumagin for introducing the author to the subject of this note, for continuous support and advice, for providing helpful suggestions on earlier drafts of this note and for many useful discussions which have aided the author tremendously in producing this work.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

2.1 Bounding the relative length of conjugating elements

We first show that for arbitrary conjugate lists $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ we may bound above the relative length of a shortest (in relative length) conjugating element.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ be two conjugate lists. Let $\mu = \max\{|a_i|_X, |b_i|_X : i = 1, \dots, m\}$. Let x be an element of minimal relative length such that $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}^x = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. Then $|x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} \leq (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|X|^{\mu+1}}$, where $\chi(\mu)$ is the constant from Theorem 3.37 in [8].*

Proof. We follow a similar idea to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [3].

First, note that we may assume that the a_i are all distinct, as if there are any repetitions in the list, then we may simply remove the repeated elements from $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ and this will not affect the conjugacy of the original list (the list with repeated elements).

We begin by fixing a geodesic word representing x : $x = g_1 g_2 \dots g_\ell$, where $g_i \in X \cup \mathcal{H}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. We shall also denote $x_j := g_1 \dots g_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Suppose, for contradiction, that $\ell > (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|X|^{\mu+1}}$.

Note that for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, the equality $a_i^x = b_i$ produces a geodesic quadrilateral Q_i in the relative Cayley graph $\hat{\Gamma}$ as shown in Figure 1, where the a_i, b_i are represented by relative geodesics, which are labeled by fixed geodesic words over $X \cup \mathcal{H}$ that we have chosen for the a_i, b_i . In each Q_i , the yellow paths α_i, β_i (which form the top and bottom edges, respectively, of the geodesic quadrilateral Q_i) are μ -similar symmetric geodesics in $\hat{\Gamma}$ labeled by the geodesic word $g_1 \dots g_\ell$ representing x .

For any $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, we examine the synchronous subpaths $p_{ij} \subseteq \alpha_i$ and $q_{ij} \subseteq \beta_i$ which are each labeled by the subword x_j of x (see Figure 1). Denoting $u_{ij} := (p_{ij})_+, v_{ij} := (q_{ij})_+$, we have that u_{ij}, v_{ij} are synchronous vertices on α_i, β_i so by Theorem 3.37 in [8] we have $d_X(u_{ij}, v_{ij}) \leq \chi(\mu)$, where $\chi(\mu)$ is a constant from Theorem 3.37 in [8] depending only on μ . Note that $v_{ij}^{-1} u_{ij} = a_i^{x_j}$, so we obtain $|a_i^{x_j}|_X \leq \chi(\mu)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.




Figure 1: The geodesic quadrilateral Q_i representing the conjugacy $a_i^x = b_i$ and the synchronous subpaths p_{ij}, q_{ij} representing the subword x_j of x

Thus, for each $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, the tuple $(a_1^{x_j}, \dots, a_m^{x_j})$ is an element of $(B_{\chi(\mu)}^X(1))^m$, the cardinality of which is bounded above by $(|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^m$. Let us now bound above m in terms of μ .

As $|b_i|_X \leq \mu$ for all i and since the b_i are all distinct (as the a_i are all distinct and the lists are conjugate), we have $m \leq |B_\mu^X(1)| \leq |X|^\mu + 1$.

Therefore, as j ranges through $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$, the number of distinct tuples $(a_1^{x_j}, \dots, a_m^{x_j})$ that may be produced is at most $(|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|\ell|^\mu + 1}$. Since $\ell > (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|\ell|^\mu + 1}$, by the Pigeonhole Principle, there exist $1 \leq s < t \leq \ell$ such that $(a_1^{x_s}, \dots, a_m^{x_s}) = (a_1^{x_t}, \dots, a_m^{x_t})$, i.e. $a_i^{x_s x_t^{-1}} = a_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. This yields that $x_s x_t^{-1} x$ conjugates $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ to $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. However, note that $|x_s x_t^{-1} x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} \leq |x_s|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} + |x_t^{-1} x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} = s + \ell - t < \ell$, contradicting the minimality of ℓ .

We therefore conclude that $\ell \leq (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|\ell|^\mu + 1}$. □

Remark 3. Note that Theorem 2 gives a short proof of the solvability of the GCP in G when G is a hyperbolic group (where $\mathcal{H} = \{1\}$).

2.2 Bounding parabolic components of conjugating elements

We bound parabolic components of minimal relative length conjugating elements to establish the following theorem, which yields Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *There is a recursive function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Suppose that $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ are conjugate lists of elements. Let $\mu = \max\{|a_i|_X, |b_i|_X : i = 1, \dots, m\}$. There exists a conjugating element x between the two lists such that $|x|_X \leq (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|\ell|^\mu + 1} g(\mu)$.*

Proof. Let x be a shortest (in relative length) conjugating element between the two lists. By Theorem 2, we have $|x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} \leq (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|\ell|^\mu + 1}$. Fixing a geodesic word w representing x , as in the proof of Theorem 2, we have m geodesic quadrilaterals representing simultaneous conjugacy diagrams as shown in Figure 2, where each orange geodesic path has label the fixed geodesic word that we have chosen for x and each a_i, b_i are represented by fixed geodesics. In each geodesic quadrilateral the geodesics whose label represents x are a symmetric pair of μ -similar geodesics.

We consider two cases:

Case 1: There does not exist an H_i -syllable of w such that the H_i -component corresponding to this syllable is connected to its synchronous component in each quadrilateral Q_j . Then for each syllable w , we may choose some quadrilateral Q_i such that the component labeled h corresponding to this syllable is not connected to its synchronous component on the opposite side of Q_i . Looking at the symmetric pair of geodesics labeled by this component h , these geodesics are $\chi(\mu)$ -similar by Theorem 3.37 in [8] because their endpoints are synchronous vertices. By Lemma 3.43 in [8] we have $|h|_X \leq \eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))$. Thus, we may bound above the X -length of each syllable of w by $\eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))$. We therefore have that $|x|_X \leq |x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} \eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu)) \leq (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|X|^{\mu+1}} \eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))$.

Case 2: There exists a syllable of w such that the component corresponding to this syllable is connected to its synchronous component in each quadrilateral Q_i . Let h_1, \dots, h_n be the labels all of the components which are connected to their synchronous components in each of the quadrilaterals Q_i (see Figure 2) (note that the h_i may belong to different parabolic subgroups).




Figure 2: The case of connected synchronous components in each conjugacy diagram Q_i (Case 2)

Denote the connectors between the component labeled h_j with its synchronous component in Q_i by g_{ij} and f_{ij} for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$ (see Figure 2).

We have that for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\{g_{1j}, g_{2j}, \dots, g_{mj}\}^{h_j} = \{f_{1j}, f_{2j}, \dots, f_{mj}\}$. Therefore, the lists $\{g_{1j}, g_{2j}, \dots, g_{mj}\}, \{f_{1j}, f_{2j}, \dots, f_{mj}\}$ are elements in some parabolic subgroup H which are conjugate by an element of H . Since the GCP is solvable in H , there exists a conjugating element $s_j \in H$ such that

$\{g_{1j}, g_{2j}, \dots, g_{mj}\}^{s_j} = \{f_{1j}, f_{2j}, \dots, f_{mj}\}$ and $|s_j|_X \leq \theta(\mu)$ for some recursive function θ (this θ depends on the parabolic subgroup but we may just take the max of the θ s over each of the parabolic subgroups to remove this dependence on the subgroups, and also the argument of θ should depend on $\max\{|g_{ij}|_X, |f_{ij}|_X : i = 1, \dots, m\}$, but we have $|g_{ij}|_X, |f_{ij}|_X \leq \chi(\mu)$ for all i, j so we may assume the upper bound is a function of μ). Let $x' \in G$ be formed by replacing each label h_j by s_j . Note that $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}^{x'} = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ (because s_j conjugates each $\{g_{1j}, g_{2j}, \dots, g_{mj}\}$ to $\{f_{1j}, f_{2j}, \dots, f_{mj}\}$) and $|x'|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} = |x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}}$. In x' we may bound the X -length of the s_j by $\theta(\mu)$ and for the components of the geodesics representing x' which are not connected to their synchronous component in some Q_i , then as in Case 1 we can bound the X -length of such components by $\eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))$. Thus, each component of our word representing x' has X -length bounded above by $\max\{\theta(\mu), \eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))\}$. Hence $|x'|_X \leq |x'|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} \max\{\theta(\mu), \eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))\} = |x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} \max\{\theta(\mu), \eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))\} \leq (|X|^{\chi(\mu)} + 1)^{|X|^{\mu} + 1} \max\{\theta(\mu), \eta(1, 0, \chi(\mu))\}$.

Therefore, we set $g = \max\{\theta, \eta(1, 0, \chi(-))\}$. Note that this is a recursive function since θ is a recursive function (by the solvability of the GCP in each of the parabolic subgroups), $\eta(1, 0, -)$ is a recursive function by the proof of Lemma 3.43 in [8] and χ is a recursive function by the proof of Theorem 3.37 in [8]. □

Remark 5. *Note that if the parabolic subgroups are abelian, then we do not have Case 2 in the proof of Theorem 4, as if we had Case 2, then we would have $g_{ij} = f_{ij}$ for all i, j because they are conjugate elements in an abelian group. Therefore, we could obtain a new conjugating element between $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ by deleting each of the connected synchronous components shown in Case 2. This would be a shorter conjugating element than x , a contradiction.*

3 References

- [1] Bezverkhii, V.N. (1999). Decision of the generalized conjugacy problem in Artin groups of large type. *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.*, 1999, Volume 5, Issue 1, Pages 1–38.
- [2] M.R. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [3] M.R. Bridson, J. Howie. (2005). Conjugacy of finite subsets in hyperbolic groups. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 15(4), 725-756.
- [4] Buckley, D.J., Holt D.F. (2013). The Conjugacy Problem in Hyperbolic Groups for Finite Lists of Group Elements. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 23(5), 1127-1150.
- [5] D.B.A. Epstein and D.F. Holt. The linearity of the conjugacy problem in word-hyperbolic groups. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 16(2):287-305, 2006.
- [6] Geun Hahn, S., Lee, E., Hong Park, J. (2003). Complexity of the generalized conjugacy problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 130(1), 33-36.
- [7] Ladra, M., Silva, P. V. (2011). The generalized conjugacy problem for virtually free groups. *Forum Mathematicum*, 23(3), 447-482.
- [8] Osin, D.V. (2006). Relatively hyperbolic groups: intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 179(843):vi+100, 2006.