

# An algorithm for Berenstein-Kazhdan decoration functions and trails for minuscule representations

Yuki Kanakubo\*, Gleb Koshevoy† and Toshiki Nakashima‡

## Abstract

For a simply connected connected simple algebraic group  $G$ , a cell  $B_{w_0}^- = B^- \cap U\overline{w_0}U$  is a geometric crystal with a positive structure  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^- : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(w_0)} \rightarrow B_{w_0}^-$ . Applying the tropicalization functor to a rational function  $\Phi_{BK}^h = \sum_{i \in I} \Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i}$  called the half decoration on  $B_{w_0}^-$ , one can realize the crystal  $B(\infty)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{l(w_0)}$ . By computing  $\Phi_{BK}^h$ , we get an explicit form of  $B(\infty)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{l(w_0)}$ . In this paper, we give an algorithm to compute  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-$  explicitly for  $i \in I$  such that  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is a minuscule representation of  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . In particular, the algorithm works for all  $i \in I$  if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_n$ . The algorithm computes a directed graph  $DG$ , called a *decoration graph*, whose vertices are labelled by all monomials in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_{l(w_0)})$ . The decoration graph has some properties similar to crystal graphs of minuscule representations. We also verify that the algorithm works in some other cases, for example, the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $G_2$  though  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is non-minuscule.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of ‘geometric crystals’ was introduced in [1] as a geometric analog of Kashiwara’s crystals, which are defined as irreducible algebraic varieties  $X$  equipped with certain  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -actions and rational functions, which correspond to Kashiwara operators and  $\varepsilon$ -functions, weight functions. If there is a birational map  $\theta : T' \rightarrow X$  called a positive structure with an algebraic torus  $T'$  then one can obtain a crystal via a tropicalization functor  $\text{Trop}$  (see subsections 3.2, 3.3). By this functor,  $T'$  corresponds to  $\text{Trop}(T') = X_*(T') = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T')$ .

We can define geometric crystal structures on varieties related to a reductive group  $G$ . In [1, 2], it is shown  $B_{w_0}^- = B^- \cap U\overline{w_0}U$  and  $T \cdot B_{w_0}^-$  have geometric crystal structures, where  $B, B^-$  are opposite Borel subgroups,  $U \subset B$  is a unipotent radical,  $T = B \cap B^-$  is a maximal torus and  $\overline{w_0} \in \text{Norm}_G(T)$  is a representative of the longest element  $w_0$  in Weyl group  $W = \text{Norm}_G(T)/T$ . Defining a positive structure  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}} : T \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(w_0)} \rightarrow T \cdot B_{w_0}^-$  associated with a reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$  of  $w_0$ , we get a free crystal  $X_*(T \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(w_0)})$  by the tropicalization functor. Considering the tropicalization of a rational function  $\Phi_{BK}$  on  $T \cdot B_{w_0}^-$ , one obtain a subcrystal

$$\{z \in X_*(T \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(w_0)}) \mid \text{Trop}(\Phi_{BK} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}})(z) \geq 0\},$$

which is isomorphic to the disjoint union of all crystal bases  $B(\lambda)$  of the finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$  with highest weights  $\lambda$  [2]. Here  $L\mathfrak{g}$  is the Langlands dual Lie algebra of

\*Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan: y-kanakubo@math.tsukuba.ac.jp.

†Institute of Information Transmission Problems Russian Academy of Sciences, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation : koshevoy@cemi.rssi.ru.

‡Division of Mathematics, Sophia University, Kioicho 7-1, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan: toshiki@sophia.ac.jp.

$\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . The function  $\Phi_{BK}$  is defined as

$$\Phi_{BK} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i}}{\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i}} + \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\Delta_{w_0 s_i \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i}}{\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i}} \quad (1.1)$$

and called a *Berenstein-Kazhdan decoration function* or *BK potential function*. Here,  $\Lambda_i$  is the  $i$ -th fundamental weight, for  $u, v \in W$ , a function  $\Delta_{u \Lambda_i, v \Lambda_i}$  is a generalized minor (Definition 2.2).

In [12], a *half potential*  $\Phi_{BK}^h = \sum_{i \in I} \Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i}$  is introduced, which is the restriction of the first term of  $\Phi_{BK}$  in (1.1) to  $B_{w_0}^-$ . Defining the positive structure  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^- : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(w_0)} \rightarrow B_{w_0}^-$  on  $B_{w_0}^-$ , we get a subcrystal

$$\{z \in X_*(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(w_0)} \mid \text{Trop}(\Phi_{BK}^h \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-)(z) \geq 0\}, \quad (1.2)$$

which is isomorphic to the crystal base  $B(\infty)$  of the negative part  $U_q^-(L\mathfrak{g})$ . Finding monomials appearing in  $\Phi_{BK}^h \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-$ , one obtain an explicit form of  $B(\infty)$  in  $X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(w_0)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{l(w_0)}$ . It is important to find the explicit form for studies of the string cones or polyhedral realizations of  $B(\infty)$  [15, 17]. As related works, in the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_n$ , Gleizer and Postnikov gave a purely combinatorial rule to compute the inequalities which define the string cone using the rigorous paths in a graph constructed from wiring diagrams [7]. In [5], a combinatorial expression of string cones via dual Reineke vectors constructed by rhombus tiling tools is given.

In this paper, firstly, we invent an algorithm for computing  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-$  explicitly in the case  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is a minuscule representation (Theorem 5.1). The algorithm computes a graph  $DG$  whose vertices are labelled by all monomials in  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_{l(w_0)})$ . From information of exponents of a monomial, our algorithm generates new monomials one after another without any complicated combinatorial tools. The rule to construct the new monomials is similar to the computation of polyhedral realizations given in [17] or action of Kashiwara operators of *monomial realizations* of Kashiwara's crystals [14, 16]. In particular, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_n$  then we calculate  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i}$  for all  $i \in I$  so that one can compute the explicit form of (1.2). For example, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_3$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 2)$  then our algorithm computes the following graphs  $DG$  of  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} DG (i = 1) : & \quad t_4 \rightarrow \frac{t_5}{t_6}, \\ DG (i = 2) : & \quad t_6, \\ DG (i = 3) : & \quad t_1 \rightarrow \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_5} \rightarrow \frac{t_2}{t_6} \rightarrow \frac{t_3}{t_4 t_6}. \end{aligned}$$

By these graphs, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_1, s_1 \Lambda_1} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) &= t_4 + \frac{t_5}{t_6}, \quad \Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_2, s_2 \Lambda_2} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) = t_6, \\ \Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_3, s_3 \Lambda_3} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) &= t_1 + \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_5} + \frac{t_2}{t_6} + \frac{t_3}{t_4 t_6}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the tropicalization functor, one obtain the explicit form of (1.2):

$$B(\infty) \cong \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_6) \in X_*(\mathbb{C}^\times)^6 \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \left| \begin{array}{l} z_4 \geq 0, z_5 - z_6 \geq 0, z_6 \geq 0, \\ z_1 \geq 0, z_2 + z_4 - z_5 \geq 0, \\ z_2 - z_6 \geq 0, z_3 - z_4 - z_6 \geq 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Next, we prove that our graph  $DG$  has some properties similar to crystal graphs of minuscule representations. The monomials in  $DG$  are parametrized by  $\mathbf{i}$ -trails ([3], see Theorem 2.5) and a bijection

between a set of  $\mathbf{i}$ -trails of bipartite type and a Demazure crystal of a fundamental representation are given in [11], which gives us a motivation to compare the graph  $DG$  and crystal graphs.

Finally, we show that our algorithm works for the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $G_2$  though the representations  $V(\Lambda_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are not minuscule. Thus, one can compute the explicit form of (1.2). We also give other examples such that  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is non-minuscule and our algorithm works. From these examples, in the case  $\mathbf{i}$  is ‘adapted’, that is, it satisfies the assumption in Remark 6.3, we expect our algorithm works and the monomials in the graph  $DG$  appear in a crystal graph of a monomial realization for a fundamental representation.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we review generalized minors and their calculation by using  $\mathbf{i}$ -trails. Section 3 provides a background on geometric crystals and decoration functions. In Section 4, several properties of generalized minors  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i}$  are shown. Section 5 presents our main results. We give an algorithm to compute the graph  $DG$  when  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is a minuscule representation in Theorem 5.1. Next, we prove the graph  $DG$  has several similar properties to crystal graphs of minuscule representations. In Section 6, we consider the case  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is non-minuscule. It is shown the algorithm in Theorem 5.1 works in the case  $G$  is of type  $G_2$  via direct computations. Furthermore, we give several examples the algorithm works when  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is non-minuscule and  $\mathbf{i}$  is a specific one.

**Acknowledgements** Y.K. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20J00186. G.K. is partially supported by Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry NRU HSE, RF Government grant, ag. N 14.641.31.0001. T.N. was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20K03564. Y.K. and T.N. would like to thank to MCCME and V.Poncelet Center in Moscow for hospitality. G.K. also thanks Sophia University for hospitality. We thank Denis Mironov for writing a code in Sage based on the algorithm in Theorem 5.1.

## 2 Trails and generalized minors

### 2.1 Notation

Let  $G$  be a simply connected connected simple algebraic group,  $B, B^- \subset G$  Borel subgroups,  $T := B \cap B^-$  the maximal torus,  $W = \text{Norm}_G(T)/T$  Weyl group,  $U, U^-$  be unipotent radicals of  $B, B^-$ ,  $A = (a_{i,j})$  the Cartan matrix of  $G$  with an index set  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We set  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  with Chevalley generators  $e_i, f_i, h_i$  ( $i \in I$ ), a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  and the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . We use the same numbering of the vertices in the Dynkin diagram as in Kac’s book [10]. Let  $\Lambda_i$  be the  $i$ -th fundamental weight, that is,  $\langle h_j, \Lambda_i \rangle = \delta_{j,i}$ . Let  $P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i$  be the weight lattice,  $P_+ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Lambda_i$  the positive weight lattice,  $P^* = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}h_i$  the dual weight lattice,  $\{\alpha_i\}$  ( $i \in I$ ) the set of simple roots. For  $\lambda \in P_+$ , let  $V(\lambda)$  denote the finite dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda$ . For two integers  $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $l \leq m$ , we set  $[l, m] := \{l, l+1, \dots, m-1, m\}$ .

### 2.2 An open embedding

For  $i \in I$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , we set

$$x_i(t) := \exp(te_i), \quad y_i(t) := \exp(tf_i) \in G.$$

Let  $\phi_i : SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G$  be the canonical embedding such that

$$x_i(t) = \phi_i \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad y_i(t) = \phi_i \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

For  $i \in I$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , one sets

$$t^{h_i} := \phi_i \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \in T$$

and

$$x_{-i}(t) := y_i(t)t^{-h_i} = \phi_i \left( \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \right) \in G.$$

We also define

$$\bar{s}_i := x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1) \in \text{Norm}_G(T),$$

which is a representative of a simple reflection  $s_i \in W = \text{Norm}_G(T)/T$ . For each  $w \in W$ , one can define the a representative  $\bar{w} \in \text{Norm}_G(T)$  by the rule  $\bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{u} \cdot \bar{v}$  if  $l(uv) = l(u) + l(v)$ . We put  $B_w^- := B^- \cap U\bar{w}U$  for  $w \in W$ . For a reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$  of the longest element  $w_0 \in W$ , one defines a map  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^- : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N \rightarrow G$  as

$$\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N) := x_{-i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{-i_N}(t_N).$$

**Proposition 2.1.** [3]  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-$  is an open embedding  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^N \hookrightarrow B_{w_0}^-$ .

### 2.3 Generalized minors

Let  $G_0 := U^-TU$  be the open subset of  $G$  whose elements  $x \in G_0$  are uniquely decomposed as  $x = [x]_- [x]_0 [x]_+$  with  $[x]_- \in U^-$ ,  $[x]_0 \in T$  and  $[x]_+ \in U$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [4] For  $u, v \in W$  and  $i \in I$ , the generalized minor  $\Delta_{u\Lambda_i, v\Lambda_i}$  is the regular function on  $G$  such that for any  $x \in \bar{u}G_0\bar{v}^{-1}$ , it holds

$$\Delta_{u\Lambda_i, v\Lambda_i}(x) = ([\bar{u}^{-1}x\bar{v}]_0)^{\Lambda_i}.$$

Here, for  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $j \in I$ , we set  $(t^{h_j})^{\Lambda_i} = (t^{\Lambda_i(h_j)})$  and extend it to the group homomorphism  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

We can compute generalized minors by a representation theoretical way. First, let  $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be the anti-involution

$$\omega(e_i) = f_i, \quad \omega(f_i) = e_i, \quad \omega(h) = h \quad (h \in P^*)$$

and we extend it to  $G$  by setting  $\omega(x_i(c)) = y_i(c)$ ,  $\omega(y_i(c)) = x_i(c)$  and  $\omega(t) = t$  for  $i \in I$  and  $t \in T$ . Here,  $x_i(c)$  and  $y_i(c)$  are defined in subsection 2.2. Note that  $\omega(\bar{s}_i^{\pm 1}) = \bar{s}_i^{\mp 1}$ . There exists a  $\mathfrak{g}$  (or  $G$ )-invariant bilinear form on the finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V(\Lambda_i)$  with a highest weight vector  $u_{\Lambda_i}$  such that  $\langle u_{\Lambda_i}, u_{\Lambda_i} \rangle = 1$  and

$$\langle au, v \rangle = \langle u, \omega(a)v \rangle, \quad (u, v \in V(\Lambda_i), a \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ (or } G)).$$

For  $g \in G$ , we have the following simple fact:

$$\Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda_i}(g) = \langle gu_{\Lambda_i}, u_{\Lambda_i} \rangle.$$

Thus, for  $w, w' \in W$ , one obtain

$$\Delta_{w'\Lambda_i, w\Lambda_i}(g) = \Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda_i}(\bar{w}'^{-1}g\bar{w}) = \langle g\bar{w} \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, \bar{w}' \cdot u_{\Lambda_i} \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

## 2.4 i-trails

**Definition 2.3.** For a finite dimensional representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , two weights  $\gamma, \delta$  of  $V$  and a sequence  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$  of indices from  $[1, n]$ , we say a sequence  $\pi = (\gamma = \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l = \delta)$  is a *pre-i-trail* from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  if for  $k \in [1, l]$ , it holds  $\gamma_k \in P$  and  $\gamma_{k-1} - \gamma_k = c_k \alpha_{i_k}$  with some nonnegative integer  $c_k$ .

Note that for  $k \in [1, N]$ , it holds

$$c_k = \frac{\gamma_{k-1} - \gamma_k}{2}(h_{i_k}). \quad (2.2)$$

**Definition 2.4.** [3] In the setting of Definition 2.3, if pre-i-trail  $\pi$  satisfies

- $e_{i_1}^{c_1} e_{i_2}^{c_2} \dots e_{i_l}^{c_l}$  is a non-zero linear map from  $V_\delta$  to  $V_\gamma$ ,

then  $\pi$  is called an *i-trail* from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$ , where  $V = \bigoplus_{\mu} V_{\mu}$  is the weight decomposition of  $V$ .

For a pre-i-trail  $\pi$ , we set

$$d_k(\pi) := \frac{\gamma_{k-1} + \gamma_k}{2}(h_{i_k}). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that  $d_k(\pi) = c_k + \gamma_k(h_{i_k}) \in \mathbb{Z}$  by (2.2). If  $\gamma_{k-1} = s_{i_k} \gamma_k$  then  $d_k(\pi) = 0$ . For a reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$  of an element in  $W$ , we define  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_l) := x_{-i_1}(t_1) \dots x_{-i_l}(t_l) \in G$ , which coincides with the open embedding in Proposition 2.1 when  $w = w_0$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [3] For  $u, v \in W$  and  $i \in [1, n]$ , we see that  $\Delta_{u\Lambda_i, v\Lambda_i}(\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_l))$  is a linear combination of the monomials  $t_1^{d_1(\pi)} \dots t_l^{d_l(\pi)}$  with positive coefficients for all i-trail from  $-u\Lambda_i$  to  $-v\Lambda_i$  in  $V(-w_0\Lambda_i)$ .

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l)$  and  $\pi' = (\gamma'_0, \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_l)$  be two i-trails from  $-u\Lambda_i$  to  $-v\Lambda_i$  with integers  $\{c_k\}_{k \in [1, l]}$ ,  $\{c'_k\}_{k \in [1, l]}$  such that  $\gamma_{k-1} - \gamma_k = c_k \alpha_{i_k}$ ,  $\gamma'_{k-1} - \gamma'_k = c'_k \alpha_{i_k}$ . If  $d_k(\pi) = d_k(\pi')$  for all  $k \in [1, l]$  then by the relation  $d_k(\pi) = c_k + \gamma_k(h_{i_k})$  and  $\gamma_l = \gamma'_l = -v\Lambda_i$ , one can inductively show  $\gamma_k = \gamma'_k$  and  $c_k = c'_k$  for  $k = l, l-1, \dots, 1$  so that  $\pi = \pi'$ . Therefore, for each monomial  $M$  in  $\Delta_{u\Lambda_i, v\Lambda_i}(\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_l))$ , there uniquely exists a corresponding i-trail  $\pi$  such that  $M = t_1^{d_1(\pi)} \dots t_l^{d_l(\pi)}$ .

## 3 Decorated geometric crystals

### 3.1 Crystals and monomial realizations

First, let us recall the definition of crystals. We use a slightly different notation from [13].

**Definition 3.1.** [13] A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -crystal is a set  $\mathcal{B}$  together with the maps  $\tilde{\gamma}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$  and  $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \sqcup \{0\}$  ( $i \in I$ ) satisfying the followings: For  $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $i, j \in I$ ,

- (1)  $\tilde{\varphi}_i(b) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(b) + \tilde{\gamma}_i(b)$ ,
- (2)  $\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{e}_i b) = \tilde{\gamma}_j(b) + a_{j,i}$  if  $\tilde{e}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{f}_i b) = \tilde{\gamma}_j(b) - a_{j,i}$  if  $\tilde{f}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- (3)  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(b) - 1$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_i(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(b) + 1$  if  $\tilde{e}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- (4)  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(b) + 1$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_i(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(b) - 1$  if  $\tilde{f}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- (5)  $\tilde{f}_i(b) = b'$  if and only if  $b = \tilde{e}_i(b')$ ,
- (6) if  $\tilde{\varphi}_i(b) = -\infty$  then  $\tilde{e}_i(b) = \tilde{f}_i(b) = 0$ .

The *crystal graph* of  $\mathcal{B}$  is the colored directed graph such that vertices are elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  and arrows are determined by  $b \xrightarrow{i} b'$  if and only if  $b' = \tilde{f}_i b$  for  $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $i \in I$ . We call  $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$  *Kashiwara operators*. A crystal  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be *free* if the Kashiwara operators  $\tilde{e}_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) are bijections  $\tilde{e}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

It is well-known that the irreducible integrable highest weight module  $V_q(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in P_+$ ) of quantized universal enveloping algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  has the crystal base  $(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$  and the negative part  $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  also has the crystal base  $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ . The sets  $B(\lambda)$  and  $B(\infty)$  are important and interesting crystals.

Here, we review the *monomial realization* of  $B(\lambda)$  [14, 16]. We fix  $p$  a set of integers  $\{p_{j,k} \mid j, k \in I, a_{j,k} < 0\}$  such that  $p_{j,k} + p_{k,j} = 1$ . For doubly-indexed variables  $\{Y_{s,i} \mid i \in I, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , let us define a crystal structure on the set of Laurent monomials

$$\mathcal{Y} := \left\{ Y = \prod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in I} Y_{s,i}^{\zeta_{s,i}} \mid \zeta_{s,i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ only finitely many } \zeta_{s,i} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

For  $Y = \prod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in I} Y_{s,i}^{\zeta_{s,i}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ , we set  $\tilde{\gamma}_i(Y) := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_{s,i}$  and

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(Y) := \max \left\{ \sum_{k \leq s} \zeta_{k,i} \mid s \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad \tilde{e}_i(Y) := \tilde{\varphi}_i(Y) - \tilde{\gamma}_i(Y)$$

for  $i \in I$ . Putting

$$A_{s,k} := Y_{s,k} Y_{s+1,k} \prod_{j: a_{j,k} < 0} Y_{s+p_{j,k},j}^{a_{j,k}} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in I,$$

one define actions of Kashiwara operators as follows:

$$\tilde{f}_i Y := \begin{cases} A_{n_{f_i},i}^{-1} Y & \text{if } \tilde{\varphi}_i(Y) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{\varphi}_i(Y) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{e}_i Y := \begin{cases} A_{n_{e_i},i} Y & \text{if } \tilde{e}_i(Y) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{e}_i(Y) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$n_{f_i} := \min \left\{ r \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \tilde{\varphi}_i(Y) = \sum_{k \leq r} \zeta_{k,i} \right\}, \quad n_{e_i} := \max \left\{ r \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \tilde{\varphi}_i(Y) = \sum_{k \leq r} \zeta_{k,i} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** [14, 16]

(i) The set  $\mathcal{Y}$  together with the above maps  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ ,  $\tilde{e}_i$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ , and  $\tilde{f}_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) is a crystal.

(ii) If a monomial  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  satisfies  $\tilde{e}_i(Y) = 0$  for all  $i \in I$  then the set

$$\{\tilde{f}_{j_s} \cdots \tilde{f}_{j_1} Y \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \dots, j_s \in I\} \setminus \{0\}$$

is isomorphic to the crystal  $B(\sum_{i \in I} \tilde{\gamma}_i(Y) \Lambda_i)$ .

## 3.2 Geometric crystals and tropicalization functor

Next, we review the definition of geometric crystals, which is a geometric analog of crystals.

**Definition 3.3.** [2] Let  $X$  be an irreducible algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We suppose that for each  $i \in I$ , rational functions  $\gamma_i, \varepsilon_i$  on  $X$  and a unital rational  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  action  $\bar{e}_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times X \rightarrow X$  on  $X$  are defined. The quadruple  $(X, \{\bar{e}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in I})$  is said to be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -*geometric crystal* if the following holds:

1.  $(\{1\} \times X) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{e}_i)$  is open dense in  $\{1\} \times X$  for each  $i \in I$ , where  $\text{dom}(\bar{e}_i)$  denotes the domain of definition of  $\bar{e}_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times X \rightarrow X$ . We write  $\bar{e}_i^c(x) := \bar{e}_i(c, x)$  for  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $x \in X$ .
2. It holds  $\gamma_j(\bar{e}_i^c(x)) = c^{a_{i,j}} \gamma_j(x)$  for any  $i, j \in I$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .
3. For  $i, j \in I$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_i^{c_1} \bar{e}_j^{c_2} &= \bar{e}_j^{c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1} && \text{if } a_{i,j} = 0, \\ \bar{e}_i^{c_1} \bar{e}_j^{c_1 c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_2} &= \bar{e}_j^{c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1 c_2} \bar{e}_j^{c_1} && \text{if } a_{i,j} = a_{j,i} = -1, \\ \bar{e}_i^{c_1} \bar{e}_j^{c_1^2 c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1 c_2} \bar{e}_j^{c_2} &= \bar{e}_j^{c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1 c_2} \bar{e}_j^{c_1^2 c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1} && \text{if } a_{i,j} = -2, a_{j,i} = -1, \\ \bar{e}_i^{c_1} \bar{e}_j^{c_1^3 c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1^2 c_2} \bar{e}_j^{c_1 c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_2} &= \bar{e}_j^{c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1 c_2} \bar{e}_j^{c_1^3 c_2} \bar{e}_i^{c_1^2 c_2} \bar{e}_j^{c_1} && \text{if } a_{i,j} = -3, a_{j,i} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

4. For any  $i, j \in I$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,

$$\varepsilon_i(\bar{e}_i^c(x)) = c^{-1} \varepsilon_i(x), \quad \varepsilon_i(\bar{e}_j^c(x)) = \varepsilon_i(x) \text{ if } a_{i,j} = 0.$$

We also set  $\varphi_i(x) := \gamma_i(x) \varepsilon_i(x)$  for  $i \in I$ .

**Remark 3.4.** The above definitions of  $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i$  are different from [2]. By the flip  $\varepsilon_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i^{-1}, \varphi_i \mapsto \varphi_i^{-1}$ , they coincide with the above one. We follow the notation in [12].

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\chi = (X, \{\bar{e}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in I})$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -geometric crystal. For a rational function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the pair  $(\chi, f)$  is said to be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -upper (resp. lower) half-decorated geometric crystal if

$$f(\bar{e}_i^c(x)) = f(x) + (c^{-1} - 1) \varepsilon_i(x) \quad (\text{resp. } f(\bar{e}_i^c(x)) = f(x) + (c - 1) \varphi_i(x))$$

for any  $i \in I, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $x \in X$ . Then  $f$  is said to be an upper (resp. lower) half-decoration or upper (resp. lower) half-potential.

Let  ${}^t\mathbb{Z} := \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$  be the tropical semi-field with the multiplication ‘+’ and summation ‘min’. We define a map  $\mathbb{V} : \mathbb{C}(x) \rightarrow {}^t\mathbb{Z}$  as

$$\mathbb{V}(f(x)) := \begin{cases} -\deg(f(x^{-1})) & \text{if } f \not\equiv 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } f \equiv 0, \end{cases}$$

where for  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $h(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m'} b_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x] \setminus \{0\}$  with  $a_m \neq 0, b_{m'} \neq 0$ , we set  $\deg(g(x)/h(x)) := m - m'$ . For an algebraic torus  $T'$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $X^*(T') := \text{Hom}(T', \mathbb{C}^\times)$  and  $X_*(T') := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T')$  be the sets of characters and cocharacters, respectively.

**Definition 3.6.** (i) Let  $T'$  be an algebraic torus. A rational function  $f : T' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be positive if  $f$  can be written as

$$f = \frac{g}{h}$$

with some regular functions  $g = \sum_{\mu \in X^*(T')} a_\mu \mu$  and  $h = \sum_{\mu \in X^*(T')} b_\mu \mu (\neq 0)$  such that all  $a_\mu, b_\mu$  are nonnegative integers.

(ii) Let  $f : T' \rightarrow T''$  be a rational map between two algebraic tori  $T', T''$ . The map  $f$  is said to be positive if  $\xi \circ f : T' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a positive rational function for any  $\xi \in X^*(T'')$ .

For two positive rational functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2 \in \mathbb{C}(x) \setminus \{0\}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(f_1 \cdot f_2) &= \mathbb{V}(f_1) + \mathbb{V}(f_2), & \mathbb{V}(f_1/f_2) &= \mathbb{V}(f_1) - \mathbb{V}(f_2), \\ \mathbb{V}(f_1 + f_2) &= \min(\mathbb{V}(f_1), \mathbb{V}(f_2)). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $T', T''$  be algebraic tori,  $f : T' \rightarrow T''$  be a rational map. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the pairing between  $X^*(T'')$  and  $X_*(T')$ . We define a map  $\widehat{f} : X_*(T') \rightarrow X_*(T'')$  as for  $\chi \in X^*(T'')$  and  $\xi \in X_*(T')$ ,

$$\langle \chi, \widehat{f}(\xi) \rangle = \mathbb{V}(\chi \circ f \circ \xi).$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}_+$  be the category whose objects are algebraic tori over  $\mathbb{C}$  and morphisms are positive rational maps and  $\mathfrak{Set}$  be the category of sets. We can define a functor  $\text{Trop} : \mathcal{T}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{Set}$  as

$$T' \mapsto X_*(T'), \quad (f : T' \rightarrow T'') \mapsto (\widehat{f} : X_*(T') \rightarrow X_*(T'')).$$

The functor  $\text{Trop}$  is called a *tropicalization*. For instance, if  $T' = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$ ,  $T'' = \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $f : T' \rightarrow T''$  is defined as

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1^2 + 2x_2x_3 + x_3^2}{x_1 + x_2}$$

then  $\text{Trop}(f) : X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^3) \rightarrow X_*(\mathbb{C}^\times)$  is given by

$$\text{Trop}(f)(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \min(2z_1, z_2 + z_3, 2z_3) - \min(z_1, z_2),$$

where we identify  $X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^3)$ ,  $X_*(\mathbb{C}^\times)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this way, the product  $\times$ , sum  $+$  and division  $\div$  in  $f$  correspond to the sum  $+$ , min and minus  $-$  in  $\text{Trop}(f)$  respectively.

### 3.3 Tropicalizations of geometric crystals

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\chi = (X, \{\bar{\varepsilon}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in I})$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -geometric crystal,  $T'$  an algebraic torus and  $\theta : T' \rightarrow X$  a birational map.

(i) For  $i \in I$ , rational functions  $\gamma_i \circ \theta : T' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\varepsilon_i \circ \theta : T' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are positive.

(ii) For  $i \in I$ , the rational map  $\bar{\varepsilon}_{i,\theta} : \mathbb{C}^\times \times T' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  defined as  $(c, t) \mapsto \theta^{-1} \circ \bar{\varepsilon}_i^c \circ \theta(t)$  is positive.

Then  $\theta$  is said to be a *positive structure* on  $\chi$ . Additionally, if  $f$  is an upper or lower half decoration of  $\chi$  and the conditions (i), (ii) and

(iii) the rational function  $f \circ \theta : T' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive

hold then  $\theta$  is said to be a positive structure on  $(\chi, f)$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** [1, 18] Let  $\chi = (X, \{\bar{\varepsilon}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in I})$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -geometric crystal,  $\theta : T' \rightarrow X$  be its positive structure. We set

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_i := \text{Trop}(\bar{\varepsilon}_{i,\theta}) : \mathbb{Z} \times X_*(T') \rightarrow X_*(T'),$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_i := \text{Trop}(\gamma_i \circ \theta) : X_*(T') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_i := \text{Trop}(\varepsilon_i \circ \theta) : X_*(T') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the 6-tuple  $(X_*(T'), \{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(1, \cdot)\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(-1, \cdot)\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\varphi}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\gamma}_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a free  ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$ -crystal. Here,  ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$  is the finite dimensional simple Lie algebra whose Cartan matrix is  ${}^tA$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_i := \tilde{\gamma}_i + \tilde{\varepsilon}_i$ .

Let  $(\chi, f)$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -upper (resp. lower) decorated geometric crystal with a positive structure  $\theta : T' \rightarrow X$ . Let us consider a subset

$$\tilde{B}_{\theta,f} := \{x \in X_*(T') \mid \text{Trop}(f \circ \theta)(x) \geq 0\} \subset X_*(T').$$

Defining  $\tilde{e}_i(z, x) = 0$  if  $\tilde{e}_i(z, x) \notin \tilde{B}_{\theta,f}$  for  $z = 1, -1$ , one can define a crystal structure on  $\tilde{B}_{\theta,f}$  as

$$\mathbb{B}_{\theta,f} := (\tilde{B}_{\theta,f}, \{\tilde{e}_i(1, \cdot)\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{e}_i(-1, \cdot)\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i|_{\tilde{B}_{\theta,f}}\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\varphi}_i|_{\tilde{B}_{\theta,f}}\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\gamma}_i|_{\tilde{B}_{\theta,f}}\}_{i \in I}). \quad (3.1)$$

**Proposition 3.10.** [12] If  $f$  is upper (resp. lower) half decoration then  $\mathbb{B}_{\theta,f}$  is an upper (resp. lower) normal crystal, that is,

$$\tilde{e}_i(x) = \max\{n \geq 0 \mid \tilde{e}_i(n, x) \neq 0\}, \quad (\text{resp. } \tilde{\varphi}_i(x) = \max\{n \geq 0 \mid \tilde{e}_i(-n, x) \neq 0\}).$$



### 3.4 Geometric crystal structure on $B_{w_0}^-$

We use the notation in the subsection 2.1. One can define an upper half geometric crystal structure on  $B_{w_0}^- = B^- \cap U\overline{w_0}U$  following subsection 2.2 of [2]. For  $i \in I$ , we define a rational function  $\gamma_i : B_{w_0}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  as

$$\gamma_i : B_{w_0}^- \hookrightarrow B^- \xrightarrow{\sim} T \times U \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} T \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

In the beginning of subsection 2.2, we defined the canonical embedding  $\phi_i : SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G$  for each  $i \in I$ . There exists the natural projection  $pr_i : B^- \rightarrow B^- \cap \phi_i(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ . Hence, for any  $x \in B_{w_0}^-$ , there uniquely exists  $v = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $pr_i(x) = \phi_i(v)$ . Now, we define the rational function  $\varepsilon_i$  on  $B_{w_0}^-$  as

$$\varepsilon_i(x) = \frac{b_{22}}{b_{21}}.$$

The above definition is different from [2] by the reason of flip in Remark 3.4. The unital rational  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action  $\bar{\varepsilon}_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times B_{w_0}^- \rightarrow B_{w_0}^-$  is defined as

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_i(c, x) := x_i((c-1)\varphi_i(x)) \cdot x \cdot x_i((c^{-1}-1)\varepsilon_i(x))$$

if  $\varepsilon_i(x)$  is well-defined, that is,  $b_{21} \neq 0$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon}_i(c, x) := x$  if  $\varepsilon_i(x)$  is not well-defined. Here,  $x_i$  is the notation defined in the beginning of subsection 2.2.

**Proposition 3.11.** [2] *The quadruple  $(B_{w_0}^-, \{\bar{\varepsilon}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -geometric crystal.*

We define a regular function on  $B_{w_0}^-$  as

$$\Phi_{\text{BK}}^h = \sum_{i \in I} \Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i}. \quad (3.2)$$

**Proposition 3.12.** [12] *The function  $\Phi_{\text{BK}}^h$  is an upper half decoration on the geometric crystal  $B_{w_0}^-$ .*

Recall that we defined an open embedding  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^- : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N \hookrightarrow B_{w_0}^-$  in Proposition 2.1, which gives a positive structure of  $(B_{w_0}^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h)$ . Hence, we get a crystal  $\mathbb{B}_{\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h}$  as in (3.1):

$$\tilde{B}_{\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h} := \{z \in X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^N) \mid \text{Trop}(\Phi_{\text{BK}}^h \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-)(z) \geq 0\},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h} = (\tilde{B}_{\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h}, \{\tilde{e}_i(1, \cdot)\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{e}_i(-1, \cdot)\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\varphi}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{\gamma}_i\}_{i \in I}).$$

Here, we omitted the notation of restrictions  $|_{\tilde{B}_{\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h}}$  for  $\tilde{e}_i(1, \cdot)$ ,  $\tilde{e}_i(-1, \cdot)$ ,  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** [12]  *$\mathbb{B}_{\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h}$  is a  $L$ - $\mathfrak{g}$ -crystal isomorphic to the crystal  $B(\infty)$ .*

## 4 Properties of $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i}$

In this section, we prove some properties of  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i}$ , which is a summand of  $\Phi_{\text{BK}}^h$ . We fix a reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$  of the longest element  $w_0 \in W$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** [12] *For  $i \in I$ , the Laurent polynomial  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  has a term*

$$t_J t_{J+1}^{a_{i, J+1, i}} \cdots t_N^{a_{i, N, i}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $J := \max\{1 \leq k \leq N \mid i_k = i\}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** For  $i \in I$ , we suppose that  $s_{i_N} s_{i_{N-1}} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}} \alpha_{i_k} = \alpha_i$  with some  $k \in [1, N]$ . Then the Laurent polynomial  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  has a term

$$t_k. \quad (4.2)$$

*Proof.*

Since  $s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \alpha_i = \alpha_{i_k}$ , the expression  $s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} s_i$  is reduced (Lemma 3.11 of [10]). Defining  $c_l$  ( $k+1 \leq l \leq N$ ) as  $c_l = \max\{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid e_{i_l}^t e_{i_{l+1}}^{c_{l+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i} \neq 0\}$ , we get

$$e_{i_{k+1}}^{c_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i} = v_{-s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} s_i \Lambda_i}, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $v_{-w \Lambda_i}$  denotes a non-zero vector in extremal weight space  $V(-w_0 \Lambda_i)_{-w \Lambda_i}$  for  $w \in W$ .

We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_{i_k}, -s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} s_i \Lambda_i \rangle &= -\langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} (\Lambda_i - \alpha_i) \rangle \\ &= -\langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i \rangle + \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_k} \rangle \\ &= -\langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i \rangle + 2 \\ &= -\langle s_{i_N} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}} h_{i_k}, \Lambda_i \rangle + 2 \\ &= -\langle h_i, \Lambda_i \rangle + 2 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

By

$$s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} s_i \Lambda_i = s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} (\Lambda_i - \alpha_i) = s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i - \alpha_{i_k}$$

and

$$\langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i \rangle = \langle s_{i_N} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}} h_{i_k}, \Lambda_i \rangle = \langle h_i, \Lambda_i \rangle = 1,$$

it holds

$$s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} s_i \Lambda_i = s_{i_k} s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i, \quad (4.5)$$

which yields

$$v_{-s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} s_i \Lambda_i} = v_{-s_{i_k} s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i}. \quad (4.6)$$

Putting  $c_l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq k-1$ ) as  $c_l = \max\{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid e_{i_l}^t e_{i_{l+1}}^{c_{l+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k-1}}^{c_{k-1}} v_{-s_{i_k} s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i} \neq 0\}$ , we get

$$e_{i_1}^{c_1} \cdots e_{i_{k-1}}^{c_{k-1}} v_{-s_{i_k} s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \Lambda_i} = v_{-w_0 \Lambda_i}. \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7) and putting  $c_k = 0$ , we see that the following sequence  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  of weights is an  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail from  $-w_0 \Lambda_i$  to  $-s_i \Lambda_i$ :

$$\gamma_N = -s_i \Lambda_i, \quad \gamma_{l-1} = \gamma_l + c_l \alpha_{i_l} \quad (1 \leq l \leq N).$$

Since for  $l \in [1, N] \setminus \{k\}$  it holds  $\gamma_{l-1} = s_{i_l} \gamma_l$ , we obtain  $d_l(\pi) = \frac{\gamma_{l-1} + \gamma_l}{2}(h_{i_l}) = 0$ . The definition  $c_k = 0$  means  $\gamma_{k-1} = \gamma_k = -s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} s_i \Lambda_i$  and it follows from (4.4) that  $d_k(\pi) = \frac{\gamma_{k-1} + \gamma_k}{2}(h_{i_k}) = 1$ .

Thus  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  has a term  $t_1^{d_1(\pi)} t_2^{d_2(\pi)} \cdots t_N^{d_N(\pi)} = t_k$  by Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

## 5 $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ for minuscule representations $V(\Lambda_i)$

We use the same notation as in the previous section. In this section, we take  $i \in I$  such that  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is a minuscule representation, that is, the Weyl group  $W$  acts transitively on the weights of  $V(\Lambda_i)$ . We give an algorithm to compute  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  explicitly. The table of  $i \in I$  is as follows [9]. Note that the numbering of Dynkin diagram is the same one as in [10] as said before:

Type of $\mathfrak{g}$	$A_n$	$B_n$	$C_n$	$D_n$	$E_6$	$E_7$
$i$	$1, 2, \dots, n$	$n$	$1$	$1, n-1, n$	$1, 5$	$6$

## 5.1 Main theorem

By a property of minuscule representations, for any weight  $\mu$  of  $V(\Lambda_i)$  (or  $V(-w_0\Lambda_i)$ ) and  $t \in I$ , it holds

$$\langle h_t, \mu \rangle \in \{1, 0, -1\}. \quad (5.1)$$

For  $j \in [1, N]$  such that  $j^+ \leq N$ , we define

$$A_j := t_j t_{j^+} \prod_{j < l < j^+} t_l^{a_{i_l, i_j}}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $j^+ := \min\{l \in [1, N] \mid i_l = i_j, l > j\} \cup \{N+1\}$ . We also set  $j^- := \max\{l \in [1, N] \mid i_l = i_j, l < j\} \cup \{0\}$ . The following theorem is our main theorem:

**Theorem 5.1.** *For  $i \in I$ , let  $k \in [1, N]$  such that  $s_{i_N} s_{i_{N-1}} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}} \alpha_{i_k} = \alpha_i$ . Then the set of monomials appearing in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  coincides with the set of Laurent monomials which label the vertices in the directed graph  $DG$  obtained by the following algorithm:*

- (1) Let  $DG_0$  be the graph which has only one vertex  $t_k$  and no arrow.
- (2) For each sink  $M$  of  $DG_l$  and for each  $j \in [1, N]$  such that  $j^+ \leq N$ , we add vertices  $M \cdot A_j^{-1}$  and arrows  $M \rightarrow M \cdot A_j^{-1}$  to  $DG_l$  if and only if  $M$  has a factor  $t_j^{+1}$  and does not have factors  $t_{j^+}^{+1}$ . Let  $DG_{l+1}$  be the directed graph obtained from  $DG_l$  by this step.
- (3) If a graph  $DG_r$  has only one sink

$$t_J t_{J+1}^{a_{i_{J+1}, i}} \cdots t_N^{a_{i_N, i}},$$

in (4.1) of Proposition 4.1 then we stop this algorithm and put  $DG = DG_r$ .

Here, ‘ $M$  has a factor  $t_j^{+1}$ ’ means the exponent of  $t_j$  is positive in  $M$ .

We call the graph  $DG$  *decoration graph*. Note that two same monomials obtained from different sinks define the same vertex in step (2).

As for the coefficients, we can prove the following:

**Proposition 5.2.** *The coefficient of each monomial in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  is 1.*

By Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, the sum of all Laurent monomials in the decoration graph  $DG$  coincides with  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ .

*Proof.*

Using the bilinear form in (2.1), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N) &= \langle \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N) \overline{s_i} \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, \overline{w_0} \cdot u_{\Lambda_i} \rangle \\ &= \langle \exp(t_1 f_{i_1}) t_1^{-h_{i_1}} \cdots \exp(t_N f_{i_N}) t_N^{-h_{i_N}} u_{s_i\Lambda_i}, u_{w_0\Lambda_i} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $u_{w\Lambda_i}$  denotes the extremal weight vector of weight  $w\Lambda_i$  for  $w \in W$ . It follows by (5.1) that  $f_j^2 = 0$  on  $V(\Lambda_i)$  for any  $j \in I$ . Hence,

$$\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \langle (1 + t_1 f_{i_1}) t_1^{-h_{i_1}} \cdots (1 + t_N f_{i_N}) t_N^{-h_{i_N}} u_{s_i\Lambda_i}, u_{w_0\Lambda_i} \rangle.$$

We expand  $(1 + t_1 f_{i_1}) t_1^{-h_{i_1}} \cdots (1 + t_N f_{i_N}) t_N^{-h_{i_N}} u_{s_i \Lambda_i}$  as

$$(1 + t_1 f_{i_1}) t_1^{-h_{i_1}} \cdots (1 + t_N f_{i_N}) t_N^{-h_{i_N}} u_{s_i \Lambda_i} = \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N=0,1} (t_1 f_{i_1})^{\epsilon_1} t_1^{-h_{i_1}} \cdots (t_N f_{i_N})^{\epsilon_N} t_N^{-h_{i_N}} u_{s_i \Lambda_i}$$

and put  $F(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N) := (t_1 f_{i_1})^{\epsilon_1} t_1^{-h_{i_1}} \cdots (t_N f_{i_N})^{\epsilon_N} t_N^{-h_{i_N}}$ . To prove our claim, supposing  $F(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N) u_{s_i \Lambda_i} = F(\epsilon'_1, \dots, \epsilon'_N) u_{s_i \Lambda_i} \neq 0$  for any  $t_1, \dots, t_N \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , we need to show  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N) = (\epsilon'_1, \dots, \epsilon'_N)$ . If not, one can take  $l \in [1, N]$  such that  $\epsilon_l \neq \epsilon'_l$  and  $\epsilon_L = \epsilon'_L$  for  $l < L \leq N$ . We may assume  $\epsilon_l = 1$  and  $\epsilon'_l = 0$ . By  $\epsilon_L = \epsilon'_L$  for  $l < L \leq N$ , it can be written

$$\begin{aligned} & (t_{l+1} f_{i_{l+1}})^{\epsilon_{l+1}} t_{l+1}^{-h_{i_{l+1}}} \cdots (t_N f_{i_N})^{\epsilon_N} t_N^{-h_{i_N}} u_{s_i \Lambda_i} \\ &= (t_{l+1} f_{i_{l+1}})^{\epsilon'_{l+1}} t_{l+1}^{-h_{i_{l+1}}} \cdots (t_N f_{i_N})^{\epsilon'_N} t_N^{-h_{i_N}} u_{s_i \Lambda_i} = a u_\mu \end{aligned}$$

with an extremal weight  $\mu$  and non-zero coefficient  $a \in \mathbb{C}[t_{l+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$ . Since  $(t_l f_{i_l}) t_l^{-h_{i_l}} u_\mu \neq 0$  by  $F(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N) u_{s_i \Lambda_i} \neq 0$ , we see that  $\langle h_{i_l}, \mu \rangle = 1$ , which yields

$$(t_l f_{i_l}) t_l^{-h_{i_l}} u_\mu = u_{s_i \mu}. \quad (5.3)$$

Using  $\langle h_{i_l}, \mu \rangle = 1$  again, it holds

$$t_l^{-h_{i_l}} u_\mu = t_l^{-1} u_\mu. \quad (5.4)$$

Combining (5.3) with (5.4), the coefficient of  $F(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N) u_{s_i \Lambda_i}$  does not include the factor  $t_l^{-1}$  and the coefficient of  $F(\epsilon'_1, \dots, \epsilon'_N) u_{s_i \Lambda_i}$  includes it, which is absurd. Thus, we get  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N) = (\epsilon'_1, \dots, \epsilon'_N)$  and our claim is proved.  $\square$

**Example 5.3.** We consider the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_4$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (4, 3, 2, 3, 1, 2, 4, 3, 2, 4)$ . Following Theorem 5.1, let us compute  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_{10})$  for  $i \in I = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . First, we assume  $i = 1$ . Since  $s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_3 \alpha_4 = \alpha_1$ , the graph  $DG_0$  is the graph which has only one vertex  $t_1$ . The monomial in (3) of Theorem 5.1 is

$$\frac{t_5}{t_6 t_9}.$$

Since  $t_1$  is the unique sink in  $DG_0$ , the graph  $DG_1$  is as follows:

$$DG_1: t_1 \rightarrow t_1 \cdot A_1^{-1} = \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7}.$$

In  $DG_1$ , the monomial  $\frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7}$  is the unique sink and  $t_4$  has a positive exponent in  $\frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7}$  and  $t_6 = t_{4^+}$  does not. Hence, the graph  $DG_2$  is as follows:

$$DG_2: t_1 \rightarrow \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7} \rightarrow \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7} \cdot A_4^{-1} = \frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8}.$$

Remark that  $t_2$  has a positive exponent in  $\frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7}$  but  $t_4 = t_{2^+}$  also has a positive exponent so that we do not add the monomial  $\frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7} \cdot A_2^{-1}$ . We see that in  $DG_2$ , the monomial  $\frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8}$  is the unique sink and the exponents of  $t_2, t_6$  are positive and those of  $t_{2^+} = t_4, t_{6^+} = t_9$  are not. Thus, adding  $\frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8} \cdot A_2^{-1} = \frac{t_3 t_6}{t_4 t_8}$  and  $\frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8} \cdot A_6^{-1} = \frac{t_2}{t_9}$ , one obtain the graph  $DG_3$ :

$$DG_3: \quad t_1 \longrightarrow \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7} \longrightarrow \frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8} \longrightarrow \frac{t_3 t_6}{t_4 t_8}$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \frac{t_2}{t_9}$$

In  $DG_3$ , the monomials  $\frac{t_3 t_6}{t_4 t_8}$  and  $\frac{t_2}{t_9}$  are sinks. Hence, we add the monomials  $\frac{t_3 t_6}{t_4 t_8} \cdot A_6^{-1} = \frac{t_2}{t_9} \cdot A_2^{-1} = \frac{t_3}{t_4 t_9}$ :

$$DG_4 : \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} t_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \frac{t_3 t_6}{t_4 t_8} \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \frac{t_2}{t_9} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \frac{t_3}{t_4 t_9} \end{array}$$

By a remark we said after Theorem 5.1, we add only one monomial  $\frac{t_3}{t_4 t_9}$  in this step. Thus, the monomial  $\frac{t_3}{t_4 t_9}$  is the unique sink in  $DG_4$ . Thus, adding the monomial  $\frac{t_3}{t_4 t_9} \cdot A_3^{-1} = \frac{t_5}{t_6 t_9}$ , one get the graph  $DG_5$ . In  $DG_5$ , the unique sink  $\frac{t_5}{t_6 t_9}$  is the monomial in (3) of Theorem 5.1 so that the algorithm is finished:

$$DG_5 = DG : \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} t_1 & \xrightarrow{1} & \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7} & \xrightarrow{4} & \frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8} & \xrightarrow{2} & \frac{t_3 t_6}{t_4 t_8} \\ & & & & \downarrow 6 & & \downarrow 6 \\ & & & & \frac{t_2}{t_9} & \xrightarrow{2} & \frac{t_3}{t_4 t_9} & \xrightarrow{5} & \frac{t_5}{t_6 t_9} \end{array}$$

Here, for two monomials  $M, M'$ , the notation  $M \xrightarrow{j} M'$  implies  $M' = M \cdot A_j^{-1}$ . Hence, it holds

$$\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_1, s_1 \Lambda_1} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_{10}) = t_1 + \frac{t_2 t_4}{t_7} + \frac{t_2 t_6}{t_8} + \frac{t_3 t_6}{t_4 t_8} + \frac{t_2}{t_9} + \frac{t_3}{t_4 t_9} + \frac{t_5}{t_6 t_9}.$$

Similarly, the graphs for  $i = 2, 3, 4$  are as follows:

$$DG (i = 2) : t_9,$$

$$DG (i = 3) : \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} t_4 & \xrightarrow{4} & \frac{t_6 t_7}{t_8} & \xrightarrow{6} & \frac{t_7}{t_9} \\ & & \downarrow 7 & & \downarrow 7 \\ & & \frac{t_6}{t_{10}} & \xrightarrow{6} & \frac{t_8}{t_9 t_{10}} \end{array},$$

$$DG (i = 4) : t_{10}.$$

These graphs mean

$$\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_2, s_2 \Lambda_2} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_{10}) = t_9, \quad \Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_4, s_4 \Lambda_4} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_{10}) = t_{10},$$

$$\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_3, s_3 \Lambda_3} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_{10}) = t_4 + \frac{t_6 t_7}{t_8} + \frac{t_7}{t_9} + \frac{t_6}{t_{10}} + \frac{t_8}{t_9 t_{10}}.$$

Under the identification  $X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{10}) = \mathbb{Z}^{10}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Trop}(\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_1, s_1 \Lambda_1} \circ \theta_i^-)(z_1, \dots, z_{10}) \\ &= \min(z_1, z_2 + z_4 - z_7, z_2 + z_6 - z_8, z_3 + z_6 - z_4 - z_8, z_2 - z_9, z_3 - z_4 - z_9, z_5 - z_6 - z_9), \end{aligned}$$


$$\text{Trop}(\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_2, s_2 \Lambda_2} \circ \theta_i^-)(z_1, \dots, z_{10}) = z_9, \quad \text{Trop}(\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_4, s_4 \Lambda_4} \circ \theta_i^-)(z_1, \dots, z_{10}) = z_{10},$$

$$\text{Trop}(\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_3, s_3 \Lambda_3} \circ \theta_i^-)(z_1, \dots, z_{10}) = \min(z_4, z_6 + z_7 - z_8, z_7 - z_9, z_6 - z_{10}, z_8 - z_9 - z_{10}).$$

Hence one obtain an explicit form of  $\tilde{B}_{\theta_i^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h}$ :

$$\tilde{B}_{\theta_i^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h} = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_{10}) \in X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{10}) \left| \begin{array}{l} z_1 \geq 0, \quad z_2 + z_4 - z_7 \geq 0, \quad z_2 + z_6 - z_8 \geq 0, \\ z_3 + z_6 - z_4 - z_8 \geq 0, \quad z_2 - z_9 \geq 0, \\ z_3 - z_4 - z_9 \geq 0, \quad z_5 - z_6 - z_9 \geq 0, \\ z_9 \geq 0, \quad z_4 \geq 0, \quad z_6 + z_7 - z_8 \geq 0, \\ z_7 - z_9 \geq 0, \quad z_6 - z_{10} \geq 0, \quad z_8 - z_9 - z_{10} \geq 0, \\ z_{10} \geq 0. \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

**Example 5.4.** We consider the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $D_4$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 3, 4, 2, 1, 4, 3, 2, 3, 4, 2)$ . Following Theorem 5.1, let us compute  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_{12})$  for  $i = 1, 3, 4$  since  $V(\Lambda_1)$ ,  $V(\Lambda_3)$  and  $V(\Lambda_4)$  are minuscule representations. First, we set  $i = 1$ . By  $s_2s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_4s_3s_2\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$ , it follows  $DG_0$  has the unique vertex  $t_1$ . Applying Theorem 5.1, we get the following decoration graph  $DG$ :



Just as in the previous example, the notation  $M \xrightarrow{j} M'$  implies  $M' = M \cdot A_j^{-1}$ . Similarly, we obtain

$$DG(i=3): t_7 \xrightarrow{7} \frac{t_9}{t_{11}} \xrightarrow{9} \frac{t_{10}}{t_{12}}, \quad DG(i=4): t_8 \xrightarrow{8} \frac{t_9}{t_{10}} \xrightarrow{9} \frac{t_{11}}{t_{12}}.$$

Although we can not apply Theorem 5.1 to  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2}$  since  $V(\Lambda_2)$  is not minuscule, it is easy to calculate  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_{12}) = t_{12}$  by  $i_{12} = 2$  and Proposition 4.11 of [3]. In this way, we get

the explicit form of  $\tilde{B}_{\theta_i^-, \Phi_{\text{BK}}^h}$  under the identification  $X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{12}) = \mathbb{Z}^{12}$  :

$$= \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_{12}) \in X_*((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{12}) \left| \begin{array}{l} z_1 \geq 0, \quad z_2 + z_5 - z_6 \geq 0, \quad z_2 + z_7 + z_8 - z_9 \geq 0, \\ z_3 + z_4 + z_7 + z_8 - z_5 - z_9 \geq 0, \quad z_2 + z_8 - z_{11} \geq 0, \\ z_2 + z_7 - z_{10} \geq 0, \quad z_3 + z_4 + z_8 - z_5 - z_{11} \geq 0, \\ z_2 + z_9 - z_{10} - z_{11} \geq 0, \\ z_3 + z_4 + z_7 - z_5 - z_{10} \geq 0, \\ z_3 + z_8 - z_7 - z_{11} \geq 0, \quad z_3 + z_4 + z_9 - z_5 - z_{10} - z_{11} \geq 0, \\ z_2 - z_{12} \geq 0, \quad z_4 + z_7 - z_8 - z_{10} \geq 0, \\ z_3 + z_9 - z_7 - z_{10} - z_{11} \geq 0, \\ z_4 + z_9 - z_8 - z_{10} - z_{11} \geq 0, \quad z_3 + z_4 - z_5 - z_{12} \geq 0, \\ z_3 - z_7 - z_{12} \geq 0, \quad z_5 + z_9 - z_7 - z_8 - z_{10} - z_{11} \geq 0, \\ z_4 - z_8 - z_{12} \geq 0, \quad z_5 - z_7 - z_8 - z_{12} \geq 0, \\ z_6 - z_9 - z_{12} \geq 0, \quad z_{12} \geq 0, \\ z_7 \geq 0, \quad z_9 - z_{11} \geq 0, \quad z_{10} - z_{12} \geq 0, \\ z_8 \geq 0, \quad z_9 - z_{10} \geq 0, \quad z_{11} - z_{12} \geq 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

## 5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.1

In what follows,  $v_{-w\Lambda_i}$  denotes an extremal weight vector in  $V(-w_0\Lambda_i)$  with weight  $-w\Lambda_i$  for  $w \in W$ . In this subsection, we give a proof of Theorem 5.1. Let us start with the following Lemma:

**Lemma 5.5.** (1) For  $j \in [0, N]$  and  $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we suppose that  $e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i} \neq 0$ . For  $t \in I$ , the value of

$$\langle h_t, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}) \rangle$$

is 1 or 0 or  $-1$ .

(2) Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be an  $i$ -trail from  $-w_0\Lambda_i$  to  $-s_i\Lambda_i$ . For  $j \in [1, N]$  and  $t \in I$ , the values of

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{j-1}(h_t), \quad \gamma_j(h_t) \\ d_j(\pi) &= \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) \end{aligned}$$

are 1 or 0 or  $-1$ .

*Proof.*

(1) Our claim is an easy consequence of (5.1).

(2) Let  $\{c_l\}$  be the integers for  $\pi$  as in Definition 2.3. Since

$$\gamma_j = \gamma_N + \sum_{t=j}^{N-1} (\gamma_t - \gamma_{t+1}) = -s_i\Lambda_i + \sum_{t=j}^{N-1} c_{t+1}\alpha_{i_{t+1}} = \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}),$$

$$\gamma_{j-1} = \text{wt}(e_{i_j}^{c_j} e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}),$$

our statement (2) follows by (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** If a monomial  $M$  appearing in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  belongs to  $\{\prod_{l=1}^N t_l^{d_l} \mid d_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  then

$$M = t_k,$$

where  $k \in [1, N]$  is the same one as in Theorem 5.1.

*Proof.*

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail from  $-w_0\Lambda_i$  to  $-s_i\Lambda_i$  corresponding to  $M$ , that is, putting

$$d_l = \frac{\gamma_{l-1} + \gamma_l}{2}(h_{i_l}),$$

it holds  $M = \prod_{l=1}^N t_l^{d_l}$  (Theorem 2.5). As seen in the proof of Lemma 5.5 (2), for each  $l \in [1, N]$ , it holds

$$\gamma_l = \text{wt}(e_{i_{l+1}}^{c_{l+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}), \quad \gamma_{l-1} = \text{wt}(e_{i_l}^{c_l} e_{i_{l+1}}^{c_{l+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}), \quad (5.5)$$

where the integers  $\{c_l\}$  are same as in Definition 2.3. Hence we see that  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) \leq \gamma_l(h_{i_l}) + 2c_l = \gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l})$ . The nonnegativity of  $d_l$  and Lemma 5.5 (2) mean that  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = \gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 1$  or  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = \gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 0$  or  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = -1, \gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 1$ . Hence, if  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = 0$  (resp.  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = 1, \gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = -1$ ) then  $\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 0$  (resp.  $\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 1, \gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 1$ ). Thus, the value of  $\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l})$  and

$$c_l = \frac{\gamma_{l-1} - \gamma_l}{2}(h_{i_l})$$

are uniquely determined from  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l})$ . In particular, since  $\gamma_N(h_{i_N}) = -s_i\Lambda_i(h_{i_N})$  is a fixed value, the value of  $c_N$  is uniquely determined. By the relation in (5.5), the weight  $\gamma_{N-1}$  is also uniquely determined. From the value of  $\gamma_{N-1}(h_{i_{N-1}})$ , the integer  $c_{N-1}$  is uniquely determined and so is weight  $\gamma_{N-2}$  by (5.5). Repeating this argument, we see that  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_N$  are uniquely determined and so is the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail  $\pi$ . Since Proposition 4.2 says  $t_k \in \{\prod_{l=1}^N t_l^{d_l} \mid d_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  appears in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  as a term, we get  $M = t_k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7.** *We suppose  $j \in [1, N]$  and  $j^+ \leq N$ . For a term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$  of  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ , if  $d_j = 1$  then  $d_{j^+} \neq -1$ .*

*Proof.*

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail corresponding to the term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$  with integers  $\{c_l\}$  in Definition 2.3. The assumption  $d_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 1$  and Lemma 5.5 (2) yields  $\gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = \gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$ . The equation  $\gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = 1$  means

$$\langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}) \rangle = 1.$$

It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{j^+-1}(h_{i_j}) &= \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j^+}}^{c_{j^+}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}) \rangle \\ &= \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \cdots e_{i_{j^+}}^{c_{j^+}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i\Lambda_i}) \rangle - \langle h_{i_j}, \sum_{t=j+1}^{j^+-1} c_t \alpha_{i_t} \rangle \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Considering Lemma 5.5 (2), we obtain  $\gamma_{j^+-1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$ . Since  $d_{j^+} = \frac{\gamma_{j^+-1} + \gamma_{j^+}}{2}(h_{i_j})$ , using Lemma 5.5 (2) again, it holds  $d_{j^+} \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.8.** *We suppose  $j \in [1, N]$  and  $j^+ \leq N$ . For a term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$  of  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ , it holds  $d_j = 1$  and  $d_{j^+} = 0$  if and only if the corresponding  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail satisfies*

$$c_j = 0, \quad c_{j^+} = 1, \quad \text{for } s \in [j+1, j^+-1] \text{ such that } a_{i_s, i_j} < 0, \text{ it holds } c_s = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Here,  $\{c_l\}$  are integers in Definition 2.3.



*Proof.*

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail corresponding to the term  $t_1^{d_1} \dots t_N^{d_N}$  with integers  $\{c_l\}$  in Definition 2.3. First, we suppose that  $d_j = 1$  and  $d_{j^+} = 0$ . By  $d_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 1$  and Lemma 5.5 (2), it holds  $\gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = \gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$ . It follows from  $\gamma_{j-1} - \gamma_j = c_j \alpha_{i_j}$  that  $c_j = 0$ . The equality  $\gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = 1$  implies

$$\langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = 1. \quad (5.7)$$

Let us take  $s \in [j+1, j^+ - 1]$  such that  $a_{i_s, i_j} < 0$ . If  $c_s > 0$  then (5.7) means

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{s+1}}^{c_{s+1}} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle \\ &= \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \dots e_{i_{s+1}}^{c_{s+1}} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle - \langle h_{i_j}, \sum_{t=j+1}^s c_t \alpha_{i_t} \rangle > 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts Lemma 5.5 (1). Therefore, it holds  $c_s = 0$ . In the above formula, taking  $s = j^+ - 1$  and combining with (5.7), it follows

$$\gamma_{j^+-1}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j^+}}^{c_{j^+}} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = 1.$$

By  $d_{j^+} = 0$ , it holds  $\gamma_{j^+}(h_{i_j}) + \gamma_{j^+-1}(h_{i_j}) = 0$  so that  $\gamma_{j^+}(h_{i_j}) = -1$ . It follows by  $\gamma_{j^+-1} - \gamma_{j^+} = c_{j^+} \alpha_{i_j}$  that  $c_{j^+} = 1$ . Hence, the condition (5.6) holds.

Next, we suppose the corresponding  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail satisfies (5.6). The assumption  $c_{j^+} = \frac{\gamma_{j^+-1} - \gamma_{j^+}}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 1$  and Lemma 5.5 (2) imply that  $\gamma_{j^+-1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$  and  $\gamma_{j^+}(h_{i_j}) = -1$ . Therefore, we obtain  $d_{j^+} = \frac{\gamma_{j^+-1} + \gamma_{j^+}}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 0$ . We see that

$$\gamma_{j^+-1}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j^+}}^{c_{j^+}} e_{i_{j^++1}}^{c_{j^++1}} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = 1.$$

Since we supposed for  $s \in [j+1, j^+ - 1]$  such that  $a_{i_s, i_j} < 0$ , it follows  $c_s = 0$ , we can verify that

$$\gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \dots e_{i_{j^+}}^{c_{j^+}} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = 1.$$

The assumption  $c_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} - \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 0$  means that  $\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$ , which yields  $d_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $j \in [1, N]$ . For a term  $t_1^{d_1} \dots t_N^{d_N}$  of  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ , if  $d_j = 1$  and  $j^+ = N + 1$  then  $i_j = i$  and*

$$t_1^{d_1} \dots t_N^{d_N} = t_j t_{j+1}^{a_{i_{j+1}, i_j}} \dots t_N^{a_{i_N, i_j}}.$$

*Proof.*

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail corresponding to the term  $t_1^{d_1} \dots t_N^{d_N}$  with integers  $\{c_l\}$ . By  $d_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 1$ , it follows by Lemma 5.5 (2) that  $\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = 1$  so that  $c_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} - \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 0$ .

We suppose that  $i_j \neq i$ . Note that by  $s_i \Lambda_i = \Lambda_i - \alpha_i$ , we obtain

$$\langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Thus, the assumption  $j^+ = N + 1$  means

$$1 = \gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle + \langle h_{i_j}, \sum_{t=j+1}^N c_t \alpha_{i_t} \rangle \leq 0,$$

which is absurd. Hence, we suppose  $i_j = i$ . It follows

$$\langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = 1. \quad (5.8)$$

By (5.8) and

$$1 = \gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j+1}}^{c_{j+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle + \langle h_{i_j}, \sum_{t=j+1}^N c_t \alpha_{i_t} \rangle,$$

for any  $l \in [j+1, N]$  such that  $a_{i_j, i_l} < 0$ , it holds  $c_l = 0$ . For  $l \in [j+1, N]$  such that  $a_{i_j, i_l} = 0$ , it holds  $e_{i_l} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i} = 0$  by  $\langle h_{i_l}, -s_i \Lambda_i \rangle = 0$ . Thus,  $c_l = 0$ . In this way, we see that  $c_{j+1} = c_{j+2} = \cdots = c_N = 0$ . The exponents  $c_1, \cdots, c_{j-1}$  are uniquely determined by

$$c_l = \max\{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid e_{i_l}^t e_{i_{l+1}}^{c_{l+1}} \cdots e_{i_{j-1}}^{c_{j-1}} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}\}$$

for  $l = 1, 2, \cdots, j-1$ . Hence, the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail is uniquely determined. Since the function  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \cdots, t_N)$  has a term (4.1) and this term satisfies the assumption of our claim, it holds

$$t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N} = t_j t_{j+1}^{a_{i_j+1, i_j}} \cdots t_N^{a_{i_N, i_j}}.$$

□

**Proposition 5.10.** *For a term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$  of  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \cdots, t_N)$ , it holds  $d_j > 0$  for some  $j \in [1, N]$ .*

*Proof.*

We assume  $d_j \leq 0$  for all  $j \in [1, N]$  and deduce a contradiction from this assumption. Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail corresponding to the term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$  with integers  $\{c_l\}$ . One define  $i^* \in I$  as the index such that  $\gamma_0 = -w_0 \Lambda_i = \Lambda_{i^*}$ . Let us prove

$$\gamma_l = s_{i_l} s_{i_{l-1}} \cdots s_{i_1} \Lambda_{i^*} \quad (l \in [0, N]) \quad (5.9)$$

by the induction on  $l$ . We suppose  $\gamma_{l-1} = s_{i_{l-1}} s_{i_{l-2}} \cdots s_{i_1} \Lambda_{i^*}$ . Since  $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{l-2}} s_{i_{l-1}} h_{i_l}$  is a positive coroot, it holds

$$\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = \langle h_{i_l}, s_{i_{l-1}} s_{i_{l-2}} \cdots s_{i_1} \Lambda_{i^*} \rangle = \langle s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{l-2}} s_{i_{l-1}} h_{i_l}, \Lambda_{i^*} \rangle \in \{0, 1\}.$$

By  $d_l = \frac{\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) + \gamma_l(h_{i_l})}{2} \leq 0$ , we see that if  $\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 0$  (resp.  $\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) = 1$ ) then  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = 0$  (resp.  $\gamma_l(h_{i_l}) = -1$ ) and  $c_l = \frac{\gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) - \gamma_l(h_{i_l})}{2} = 0$  (resp.  $c_l = 1$ ). Thus, it follows  $\gamma_l = \gamma_{l-1} - c_l \alpha_{i_l} = \gamma_{l-1} - \gamma_{l-1}(h_{i_l}) \alpha_{i_l} = s_{i_l} \gamma_{l-1}$ , which induces (5.9). In particular, one gets  $\gamma_N = w_0 \Lambda_{i^*} = -\Lambda_i$ , which contradicts  $\gamma_N = -s_i \Lambda_i$ . □

**Proposition 5.11.** *We suppose  $j \in [1, N]$  and  $j^- \geq 1$ . For a term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$  of  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \cdots, t_N)$ , it holds  $d_j = -1$  and  $d_{j^-} = 0$  if and only if the corresponding  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail satisfies*

$$c_j = 0, \quad c_{j^-} = 1, \quad \text{for } s \in [j^- + 1, j - 1] \text{ such that } a_{i_s, i_j} < 0, \text{ it holds } c_s = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

*Proof.*

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail with integers  $\{c_l\}$  corresponding to the term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$ . First, we suppose that  $d_j = -1$  and  $d_{j^-} = 0$ . By  $d_j = -1$ , it holds

$$d_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = -1$$

and  $\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = -1$  by Lemma 5.5 (2). Therefore, we have  $c_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) - \gamma_j(h_{i_j})}{2} = 0$ . We take  $s \in [j^- + 1, j - 1]$  such that  $a_{i_s, i_j} < 0$ . Since

$$\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_j}^{c_j} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = -1,$$

if  $c_s > 0$  then

$$\langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_s}^{c_s} \cdots e_{i_j}^{c_j} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_j}^{c_j} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle + \langle h_{i_j}, \sum_{t=s}^{j-1} c_t \alpha_{i_t} \rangle < -1,$$

which contradicts Lemma 5.5 (1). Thus,  $c_s = 0$  and

$$\gamma_{j^-}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j^-+1}}^{c_{j^-+1}} \cdots e_{i_{j-1}}^{c_{j-1}} e_{i_j}^{c_j} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = -1. \quad (5.11)$$

It follows from the assumption  $d_{j^-} = \frac{\gamma_{j^-+1} + \gamma_{j^-}}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 0$  that  $\gamma_{j^-+1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$ . In conjunction with (5.11), we get  $c_{j^-} = \frac{\gamma_{j^-+1} - \gamma_{j^-}}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 1$  and the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail satisfies (5.10).

Next, we assume (5.10). Taking the assumption  $c_{j^-} = \frac{\gamma_{j^-+1}(h_{i_j}) - \gamma_{j^-}(h_{i_j})}{2} = 1$  into account, we see that  $\gamma_{j^-+1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$  and  $\gamma_{j^-}(h_{i_j}) = -1$  by Lemma 5.5 (2) so that

$$d_{j^-} = \frac{\gamma_{j^-+1} + \gamma_{j^-}}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 0.$$

By  $\gamma_{j^-}(h_{i_j}) = -1$ , we obtain

$$\langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j^-+1}}^{c_{j^-+1}} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = \gamma_{j^-}(h_{i_j}) = -1.$$

Since  $c_s = 0$  for  $s \in [j^- + 1, j - 1]$  such that  $a_{i_s, i_j} < 0$ , it holds

$$\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_j}^{c_j} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle = -1.$$

Using the assumption  $c_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} - \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = 0$ , it follows  $\gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = -1$ . Consequently,

$$d_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = -1. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 5.12.** *Let  $j \in [1, N]$ . For a term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$  of  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ , if  $d_j = -1$  then  $j^- \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.*

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail corresponding to the term  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N}$ . There exist positive integers  $c_l$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) such that  $\gamma_{l-1} - \gamma_l = c_l \alpha_{i_l}$ . Considering Lemma 5.5 (2), the assumption  $d_j = -1$  means

$$d_j = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = -1$$

and  $\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = -1$ . By

$$-1 = \gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_j}^{c_j} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle,$$

if  $j^- = 0$  then

$$\langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(v_{-w_0 \Lambda_i}) \rangle = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_1}^{c_1} \cdots e_{i_j}^{c_j} \cdots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle \leq -1.$$

Since  $-w_0 \Lambda_i$  is a dominant integral weight, it is absurd. Consequently, we get  $j^- \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.13.** Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$ ,  $\pi' = (\gamma'_0, \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_N)$  be pre- $\mathbf{i}$ -trails from  $-w_0\Lambda_i$  to  $-s_i\Lambda_i$ . We take nonnegative integers  $c_l, c'_l$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) as

$$\gamma_{l-1} - \gamma_l = c_l \alpha_{i_l}, \quad \gamma'_{l-1} - \gamma'_l = c'_l \alpha_{i_l}.$$

We suppose that there exists  $j \in [1, N]$  such that  $j^+ \leq N$  and

$$\begin{aligned} c'_l &= c_l \quad \text{for } l \in [1, N] \setminus \{j, j^+\}, \\ c'_j &= c_j + 1, \quad c'_{j^+} = c_{j^+} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$t_1^{d_1(\pi')} \dots t_N^{d_N(\pi')} = t_1^{d_1(\pi)} \dots t_N^{d_N(\pi)} A_j^{-1},$$

where  $d_m$  is defined as (2.3) and  $A_j$  is defined in (5.2).

*Proof.*

The assumption implies that for  $l \in [1, N] \setminus [j, j^+ - 1]$ ,

$$\gamma'_l = \gamma_l$$

by  $\gamma_l = \gamma_{l+1} + c_{l+1} \alpha_{i_{l+1}}$  and  $\gamma_N = \gamma'_N$ . For  $l \in [j, j^+ - 1]$ , it holds

$$\gamma'_l = \gamma_l - \alpha_{i_j}.$$

Hence, for  $l \in [1, N] \setminus [j, j^+]$ ,

$$d_l(\pi') = \frac{\gamma'_{l-1} + \gamma'_l}{2}(h_{i_l}) = \frac{\gamma_{l-1} + \gamma_l}{2}(h_{i_l}) = d_l(\pi).$$

We also see that

$$\begin{aligned} d_j(\pi') &= \frac{\gamma'_{j-1} + \gamma'_j}{2}(h_{i_j}) = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j - \alpha_{i_j}}{2}(h_{i_j}) = d_j(\pi) - 1, \\ d_{j^+}(\pi') &= \frac{\gamma'_{j^+-1} + \gamma'_{j^+}}{2}(h_{i_{j^+}}) = \frac{\gamma_{j^+-1} - \alpha_{i_j} + \gamma_{j^+}}{2}(h_{i_{j^+}}) = d_{j^+}(\pi) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and for  $l \in [j+1, j^+ - 1]$ ,

$$d_l(\pi') = \frac{\gamma'_{l-1} + \gamma'_l}{2}(h_{i_l}) = \frac{\gamma_{l-1} + \gamma_l - 2\alpha_{i_j}}{2}(h_{i_l}) = d_l(\pi) - a_{i_l, i_j}.$$

Therefore, it holds

$$t_1^{d_1(\pi')} \dots t_N^{d_N(\pi')} = t_1^{d_1(\pi)} \dots t_N^{d_N(\pi)} A_j^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Let  $M = t_1^{d_1} \dots t_N^{d_N}$  be a monomial appearing in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  and  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail from  $-w_0\Lambda_i$  to  $-s_i\Lambda_i$  corresponding to  $M$  with nonnegative integers  $c_l = \frac{\gamma_{l-1} - \gamma_l}{2}(h_{i_l})$  ( $l \in [1, N]$ ). We set

$$L(M) := \sum_{l=1}^N l \cdot c_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (5.12)$$

**Lemma 5.14.** Let  $M$  be a monomial appearing in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ . If  $M \neq t_k$  then there exist  $m \in [1, N]$  and a monomial  $M'$  in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  such that  $m^+ \leq N$ ,  $M = M' \cdot A_m^{-1}$ ,  $L(M) < L(M')$  and in  $M'$ , the exponents of  $t_m$  and  $t_{m^+}$  are equal to 1 and 0, respectively.

*Proof.*

Let  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail corresponding to  $M = t_1^{d_1} \dots t_N^{d_N}$  with integers  $c_l = \frac{\gamma_{l-1} - \gamma_l}{2}(h_{i_l})$  ( $l \in [1, N]$ ). Taking Lemma 5.6 into account, we can take an integer  $j \in [1, N]$  as

$$j := \min\{l \in [1, N] \mid d_l = -1\}. \quad (5.13)$$

It holds  $j^- \geq 1$  by Proposition 5.12. Since  $d_j = -1 = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j}{2}(h_{i_j})$ , we have  $\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \gamma_j(h_{i_j}) = -1$ . Considering

$$-1 = \gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_j}^{c_j} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle,$$

it holds

$$\gamma_{j-}(h_{i_j}) = \langle h_{i_j}, \text{wt}(e_{i_{j-+1}}^{c_{j-+1}} \dots e_{i_j}^{c_j} \dots e_{i_N}^{c_N} v_{-s_i \Lambda_i}) \rangle \leq -1.$$

Lemma 5.5 (2) says  $\gamma_{j-}(h_{i_j}) = -1$ . By the minimality of  $j$  (5.13), it holds  $d_{j-} = \frac{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_{j-}}{2}(h_{i_j}) \geq 0$ . Hence, Lemma 5.5 (2) and  $\gamma_{j-}(h_{i_j}) = -1$  imply  $\gamma_{j-1}(h_{i_j}) = 1$  and  $d_{j-} = 0$ . Using Proposition 5.11, the  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail  $\pi$  satisfies  $c_j = 0$ ,  $c_{j-} = 1$  and for  $s \in [j^-, j-1]$  such that  $a_{i_s, i_j} < 0$ , it follows  $c_s = 0$ .

Let  $\pi' = (\gamma'_0, \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_N)$  be the pre- $\mathbf{i}$ -trail with integers  $c'_l = \frac{\gamma'_{l-1} - \gamma'_l}{2}(h_{i_l})$  ( $l \in [1, N]$ ) such that

$$c'_j = 1, \quad c'_{j-} = 0, \quad c'_l = c_l \quad (l \in [1, N] \setminus \{j, j^-\}).$$

We can verify  $\pi'$  is an  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail since  $e_t e_{t'} = e_{t'} e_t$  if  $a_{t, t'} = 0$ . Hence, putting  $M' := t_1^{d_1(\pi')} \dots t_N^{d_N(\pi')}$ , the Laurent monomial  $M'$  is a term appearing in  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  and using Proposition 5.13, it holds  $M = M' \cdot A_{j-}^{-1}$ . It is easy to see  $d_{j-}(\pi') = 1$  and  $d_j(\pi') = 0$  using Proposition 5.8. Putting  $m = j^-$ , we get our claim.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.1*

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of monomials appearing in  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ . For a directed graph  $H$ , let  $V(H)$  be the set of vertices in  $H$ . First, we prove  $V(DG) \subset \mathcal{M}$ . By Proposition 4.2, we see that  $V(DG_0) = \{t_k\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . We prove  $V(DG_l) \subset \mathcal{M}$  by induction on  $l$ . We assume that  $V(DG_l) \subset \mathcal{M}$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $M \in V(DG_{l+1})$  be a sink which has a factor  $t_j^{+1}$  such that  $j^+ \leq N$  and does not have factors  $t_{j^+}^{+1}$ . By Lemma 5.5 (2) and Lemma 5.7, the exponent of  $t_{j^+}$  is 0 in  $M$ . Since  $M \in V(DG_{l+1}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ , there is a corresponding  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$ . We can take integers  $c_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) as

$$\gamma_{m-1} - \gamma_m = c_m \alpha_{i_m}.$$

By Proposition 5.8, it holds

$$c_j = 0, \quad c_{j^+} = 1, \quad \text{for } s \in [j+1, j^+ - 1] \text{ such that } a_{i_s, i_j} < 0, \text{ one get } c_s = 0.$$

Let  $\pi' = (\gamma'_0, \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_N)$  be the pre- $\mathbf{i}$ -trail and  $c'_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) be integers satisfying

$$\gamma'_{m-1} - \gamma'_m = c'_m \alpha_{i_m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c'_m &= c_m \quad \text{for } m \in [1, N] \setminus \{j, j^+\}, \\ c'_j &= c_j + 1 \quad c'_{j^+} = c_{j^+} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e_t e_{t'} = e_{t'} e_t$  if  $a_{t, t'} = 0$ , we can verify that  $\pi'$  is an  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail. By Proposition 5.13, the monomial corresponding to  $\pi'$  is

$$\mathcal{M} \ni t_1^{d_1(\pi')} \dots t_N^{d_N(\pi')} = t_1^{d_1(\pi)} \dots t_N^{d_N(\pi)} A_j^{-1} = M \cdot A_j^{-1}.$$

By the above argument, it holds  $V(DG_{l+1}) \subset \mathcal{M}$  so that  $V(DG) = V(DG_r) \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Note that in step (2) of Theorem 5.1, if a monomial  $M$  other than  $t_J t_{J+1}^{a_{i_{J+1},i}} \cdots t_N^{a_{i_N,i}}$  in step (3) is a sink of  $DG_l$  then at least one arrow  $M \rightarrow M'$  is added by Proposition 5.9 and 5.10.

Next, we prove  $V(DG) \supset \mathcal{M}$ . For any  $M = t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_N^{d_N} \in \mathcal{M}$ , let us prove  $M \in V(DG)$ . Our claim is evident when  $M = t_k$ , so we assume that  $M \neq t_k$ . Using Lemma 5.14, one can take a monomial  $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $m_1 \in [1, N]$  such that  $m_1^+ \leq N$ ,  $M = M_1 \cdot A_{m_1}^{-1}$ ,  $L(M_1) > L(M)$  and the exponents of  $t_{m_1}$  and  $t_{m_1^+}$  in  $M_1$  are 1 and 0, respectively, where  $L$  is the notation in (5.12). Using Lemma 5.14 repeatedly, since the set  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite, we can also take monomials  $M_2, M_3, \dots, M_s = t_k \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $m_2, \dots, m_s$  such that

$$M_{l-1} = M_l \cdot A_{m_l}^{-1},$$

$m_l^+ \leq N$ ,  $L(M_l) > L(M_{l-1})$  and the exponents of  $t_{m_l}$  and  $t_{m_l^+}$  in  $M_l$  are 1 and 0, respectively for  $l = 2, 3, \dots, s$ . Since the exponent of  $t_{m_l}$  is positive and  $m_l^+ \leq N$ , we see that  $M_l \neq t_J t_{J+1}^{a_{i_{J+1},i}} \cdots t_N^{a_{i_N,i}}$  for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Thus,  $M = t_k \cdot A_{m_s}^{-1} A_{m_{s-1}}^{-1} \cdots A_{m_2}^{-1} A_{m_1}^{-1} \in V(DG)$ .  $\square$

### 5.3 Properties of the decoration graph $DG$

In this subsection, we prove that the decoration graph  $DG$  in Theorem 5.1 possesses several similar properties to crystal graphs  $B(\Lambda_i)$  for minuscule weights  $\Lambda_i$ . First, the following proposition is an easy consequence of Theorem 5.1.

**Proposition 5.15.** *The directed graph  $DG$  has the unique source  $t_k$  and unique sink  $t_J t_{J+1}^{a_{i_{J+1},i}} \cdots t_N^{a_{i_N,i}}$ .*

Next, we prove the following:

**Proposition 5.16.** *In the decoration graph  $DG$  of Theorem 5.1, we suppose that there are arrows  $M \rightarrow M \cdot A_j^{-1}$  and  $M \rightarrow M \cdot A_m^{-1}$  with  $j, m \in [1, N]$  such that  $j \neq m$  and  $j^+ \leq N$ ,  $m^+ \leq N$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ M \cdot A_m^{-1} & & M \cdot A_j^{-1} \end{array}$$

Then there are two arrows  $M \cdot A_m^{-1} \rightarrow M \cdot A_m^{-1} A_j^{-1}$  and  $M \cdot A_j^{-1} \rightarrow M \cdot A_j^{-1} A_m^{-1}$  in  $DG$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ M \cdot A_m^{-1} & & M \cdot A_j^{-1} \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & M \cdot A_j^{-1} A_m^{-1} & \end{array}$$


*Proof.* We may assume  $m < j$ . Let  $M = \prod_{l=1}^N t_l^{d_l}$  and  $\pi = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_N)$  be the corresponding  $\mathbf{i}$ -trail with nonnegative integers  $\{c_l\}_{l \in [1, N]}$  satisfying  $\gamma_{l-1} - \gamma_l = c_l \alpha_{i_l}$ . By the assumption, Lemma 5.5, Lemma 5.7 and (2) of Theorem 5.1, we see that  $d_j = d_m = 1$ ,  $d_{j^+} = d_{m^+} = 0$ . If  $m^+ < j$  then the exponents of  $t_j$  and  $t_{j^+}$  in  $M \cdot A_m^{-1}$  are 1 and 0, respectively. Thus, there is an arrow  $M \cdot A_m^{-1} \rightarrow M \cdot A_m^{-1} A_j^{-1}$  by (2) of Theorem 5.1. Similarly, there is an arrow  $M \cdot A_j^{-1} \rightarrow M \cdot A_j^{-1} A_m^{-1}$ . Hence, we assume  $j < m^+$  so that  $i_j \neq i_m$ . It follows from Proposition 5.8 that

$$c_j = 0, c_{j^+} = 1, \text{ for } s \in [j+1, j^+-1] \text{ such that } a_{i_s, i_j} < 0, \text{ it holds } c_s = 0, \quad (5.14)$$


$$c_m = 0, c_{m^+} = 1, \text{ for } s \in [m+1, m^+-1] \text{ such that } a_{i_s, i_m} < 0, \text{ it holds } c_s = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

In particular, it holds  $c_{j^+} = c_{m^+} = 1$ . We see that  $a_{i_j, i_m} = 0$  because if not,  $m^+ < j^+$  contradicts (5.14) and  $j^+ < m^+$  contradicts (5.15). Therefore, the exponents of  $t_j, t_{j^+}$  in  $M$  are same as in  $M \cdot A_m^{-1}$ , which yields there is an arrow  $M \cdot A_m^{-1} \rightarrow M \cdot A_m^{-1} A_j^{-1}$  by (2) of Theorem 5.1. Similarly, there is an arrow  $M \cdot A_j^{-1} \rightarrow M \cdot A_j^{-1} A_m^{-1}$ .  $\square$

The above proposition is an analog of the following property of crystal graphs  $B(\Lambda_i)$  for minuscule weights  $\Lambda_i$ : If  $b \in B(\Lambda_i)$  satisfies  $\tilde{f}_l b \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{f}_r b \neq 0$  with some  $l, r \in I$  ( $l \neq r$ ):




then it holds  $\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_r b = \tilde{f}_r \tilde{f}_l b \in B(\Lambda_i)$ :



Note that arrows in  $DG$  are naturally colored by  $[1, N]$  and arrows in crystal graphs are colored by  $I$ . As seen in the graph of  $i = 1$  of Example 5.4, in  $DG$  it may happen there are arrows  $M \rightarrow M \cdot A_j^{-1}$  and  $M \rightarrow M \cdot A_m^{-1}$  with  $j, m \in [1, N]$  such that  $i_j = i_m \in I$ . In fact, there are two arrows colored by 2 and 9 ( $i_2 = i_9 = 2$ ) starting from the monomial  $M = \frac{t_2 t_9}{t_{10} t_{11}}$ .

There are examples the graph  $DG$  coincides with a subgraph of a genuine crystal graph of the monomial realization (see 3.1) for a minuscule representation if we replace each color  $l$  of arrow in  $DG$  with  $i_l$ . For instance, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $A_4$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (2, 1, 3, 2, 4, 3, 4, 1, 2, 1)$ . Then the graph  $DG$  for  $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_3, s_3 \Lambda_3} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_{10})$  is as follows:



Replacing variables as  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{10}) \leftrightarrow (Y_{1,2}, Y_{1,1}, Y_{1,3}, Y_{2,2}, Y_{1,4}, Y_{2,3}, Y_{2,4}, Y_{2,1}, Y_{3,2}, Y_{3,1})$  and coloring each arrow as  $M \xrightarrow{i_j} M \cdot A_j^{-1}$ , the graph  $DG$  coincides with the subgraph of monomial realization of  $B(\Lambda_2)$  with  $p_{2,1} = p_{2,3} = p_{3,4} = 1$  and the highest weight vector  $Y_{1,2}$ , which is obtained by removing the lowest weight vector  $\frac{1}{Y_{3,3}}$  from the monomial realization.

## 6 Examples of non-minuscule cases

### 6.1 $\Delta_{w_0 \Lambda_i, s_i \Lambda_i} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ of type $G_2$


In this section, we assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $G_2$  with Cartan matrix  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$  such that  $a_{2,1} = -3$  and  $a_{1,2} = -1$ . Let us prove that the algorithm in Theorem 5.1 works for this case.

In the case  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2)$

By the results in [12],

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{w_0\Lambda_1, s_1\Lambda_1} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6) \\ = & t_1 + \frac{t_2^3}{t_3} + 3\frac{t_2^2}{t_4} + 3\frac{t_2t_3}{t_4^2} + \frac{t_3^2}{t_4^3} + 2\frac{t_3}{t_5} + \frac{t_4^3}{t_5^2} + 3\frac{t_2t_4}{t_5} + 3\frac{t_2}{t_6} + 3\frac{t_3}{t_4t_6} + 3\frac{t_4^2}{t_5t_6} + 3\frac{t_4}{t_6^2} + \frac{t_5}{t_6^3}. \\ & \Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_6. \end{aligned}$$

Applying our algorithm in Theorem 5.1 to  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_1, s_1\Lambda_1}$ , we get the following graph  $DG$ :



Thus, our algorithm works in this case. Note that the above graph coincides with the subgraph of crystal graph of a monomial realization of  $B(\Lambda_1)$  with  $p_{1,2} = 1$  by replacing variables  $(t_1, \dots, t_6)$  as  $(Y_{1,1}, Y_{1,2}, Y_{2,1}, Y_{2,2}, Y_{3,1}, Y_{3,2})$  and coloring arrows properly. The algorithm for  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6)$  clearly works.

In the case  $\mathbf{i} = (2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$

Rewriting  $\theta_{2,1,2,1,2,1}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6)$  as

$$\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6) = \theta_{2,1,2,1,2,1}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6) = \theta_{1,2,1,2,1,2}^-(t'_1, \dots, t'_6),$$

it holds

$$\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6) = \Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_{1,2,1,2,1,2}^-(t'_1, \dots, t'_6) = t'_6.$$

It follows by Proposition 6.2 in [18] that

$$\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t'_6 = t_1 + \frac{t_2}{t_3} + \frac{t_3^2}{t_4} + 2\frac{t_3}{t_5} + \frac{t_4}{t_5^2} + \frac{t_5}{t_6}.$$



Our algorithm to  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_6)$  computes the following graph  $DG$ :

$$t_1 \rightarrow \frac{t_2}{t_3} \rightarrow \frac{t_3^2}{t_4} \rightarrow \frac{t_3}{t_5} \rightarrow \frac{t_4}{t_5^2} \rightarrow \frac{t_5}{t_6}.$$

Therefore, our algorithm works. We can check that above graph coincides with a subgraph of the crystal graph of a monomial realization of  $B(\Lambda_2)$  with  $p_{2,1} = 1$  by replacing variables  $(t_1, \dots, t_6)$  as  $(Y_{1,2}, Y_{1,1}, Y_{2,2}, Y_{2,1}, Y_{3,2}, Y_{3,1})$  and coloring arrows properly. Clearly, it holds

$$\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_1, s_1\Lambda_1} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_6$$

and our algorithm works.

Note that coefficients greater than 1 are appearing in contrary to the case of previous section.

## 6.2 Specific reduced words

We found examples our algorithm works for a specific reduced word even if  $V(-w_0\Lambda_i)$  is not minuscule.

**Example 6.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $B_3$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3)$ . Note that  $V(-w_0\Lambda_1)$  and  $V(-w_0\Lambda_2)$  are not minuscule, however, applying the algorithm in Theorem 5.1 to  $i = 1, 2$ , one obtain the following:

$$t_1 \rightarrow \frac{t_2}{t_4} \rightarrow \frac{t_3^2}{t_5} \rightarrow \frac{t_3}{t_6} \rightarrow \frac{t_5}{t_6^2} \rightarrow \frac{t_7}{t_8} \quad (i = 1),$$

$$t_4 \rightarrow \frac{t_5}{t_7} \rightarrow \frac{t_6^2}{t_8} \rightarrow \frac{t_6}{t_9} \rightarrow \frac{t_8}{t_9^2} \quad (i = 2).$$

By the results in [Theorem 8.1, [19]], we obtain


$$\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_1, s_1\Lambda_1} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_9) = t_1 + \frac{t_2}{t_4} + \frac{t_3^2}{t_5} + 2\frac{t_3}{t_6} + \frac{t_5}{t_6^2} + \frac{t_7}{t_8},$$

$$\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_9) = t_4 + \frac{t_5}{t_7} + \frac{t_6^2}{t_8} + 2\frac{t_6}{t_9} + \frac{t_8}{t_9^2}.$$

Hence, for  $i = 1, 2$ , the set of monomials appearing in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  coincides with the set of Laurent monomials in the directed graph  $DG$ , which implies the algorithm in Theorem 5.1 works in this setting.

**Example 6.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $C_3$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3)$ . Note that  $V(-w_0\Lambda_2)$  is not minus-

cule, however, applying the algorithm in Theorem 5.1 to  $i = 2$ , one obtain the following:



On the other hands, by using the bilinear form (2.1), one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{w_0\Lambda_2, s_2\Lambda_2} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_9) &= t_1 + \frac{t_2t_3}{t_4} + \frac{t_3}{t_5} + \frac{t_2t_4}{t_6} + \frac{t_4^2}{t_5t_6} + \frac{t_2t_5}{t_7} \\ &\quad + 2\frac{t_4}{t_7} + \frac{t_2}{t_8} + \frac{t_5t_6}{t_7^2} + \frac{t_4}{t_5t_8} + \frac{t_5}{t_9} + \frac{t_6}{t_7t_8} + \frac{t_7}{t_8t_9}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, our algorithm works.

**Remark 6.3.** In the case  $V(\Lambda_i)$  is not minuscule, the algorithm in Theorem 5.1 does not work in general, but, there are several examples it works such as type  $G_2$  case or Example 6.1, 6.2. For each  $j, l \in I$  such that  $a_{j,l} < 0$ , if the subword of  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$  consisting of all  $j, l$  is  $(\dots, j, l, j, l, j, l)$  or  $(\dots, l, j, l, j, l, j)$  then we expect the algorithm computes all monomials in  $\Delta_{w_0\Lambda_i, s_i\Lambda_i} \circ \theta_i^-(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)$  correctly. Furthermore, we also expect the all monomials in DG appear in the crystal graph of a monomial realization for  $B(\Lambda_{i_k})$  with

$$p_{j,l} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the subword is } (j, l, j, l, j, l, \dots), \\ 0 & \text{if the subword is } (l, j, l, j, l, j, \dots) \end{cases}$$

by replacing variables  $t_m \leftrightarrow Y_{s,r}$  if  $i_m = r$  and  $s = \#\{a \in [1, m] | i_a = r\}$ . In fact, the reduced words in type  $G_2$  case, Example 6.1, 6.2 and the example in the end of Sect. 5 satisfy the above assumption and the algorithm works and monomials in DG coincide with monomials in a subgraph of crystal graph of a monomial realization.

## References

- [1] A.Berenstein, D.Kazhdan, Geometric and unipotent crystals, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, Special Volume, Part I, 188–236 (2000).

- [2] A.Berenstein, D.Kazhdan, Geometric and unipotent crystals. II. From unipotent bicrystals to crystal bases, Quantum groups, 13–88, Contemp. Math., 433, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2007).
- [3] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties, Invent. Math. 143, no. 1, 77–128 (2001).
- [4] S.Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Double Bruhat cells and total positivity, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12, no. 2, 335–380 (1999).
- [5] V.Genzt, G.Koshevoy and B.Schumann, Combinatorics of canonical bases revisited: type A, Selecta Mathematica, New Series, 27(4), 67 (2021).
- [6] V.Genzt, G.Koshevoy and B.Schumann, Polyhedral parametrizations of canonical bases & cluster duality, Advances in Mathematics, 369, 107178 (2020).
- [7] O.Gleizer, A.Postnikov, Littlewood-Richardson coefficients via Yang-Baxter equation. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 14, 741–774 (2000).
- [8] M.Gross, P.Hacking, S.Keel, M.Kontsevich, Canonical bases for cluster algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 31, 497–608 (2018).
- [9] J.E.Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, xii+169 pp (1972).
- [10] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, xxii+400 pp, (1990).
- [11] A.Joseph, Dual Kashiwara Functions for the  $B(\infty)$  Crystal, In: Kac V., Popov V. (eds) Lie Groups, Geometry, and Representation Theory. Progress in Mathematics, vol 326. Birkhäuser, Cham., (2018).
- [12] Y. Kanakubo, T. Nakashima, Half Potential on Geometric Crystals and Connectedness of Cellular Crystals, arXiv:1910.06182.
- [13] M. Kashiwara, The crystal base and Littelmann’s refined Demazure character formula, Duke Math. J., 71, no 3, 839–858 (1993).
- [14] M. Kashiwara, Realizations of crystals, Combinatorial and geometric representation theory (Seoul, 2001), 133–139, Contemp. Math., 325, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2003).
- [15] P.Littelmann, Cones, crystals, and patterns, Transform. Groups 3, no. 2, 145–179 (1998).
- [16] H. Nakajima,  $t$ -analogs of  $q$ -characters of quantum affine algebras of type  $A_n, D_n$ , Combinatorial and geometric representation theory (Seoul, 2001), 141–160, Contemp. Math., 325, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2003).
- [17] T.Nakashima, A.Zelevinsky, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantized Kac-Moody algebras, Adv. Math. **131**, no. 1, 253–278, (1997).
- [18] T.Nakashima, Geometric Crystals on Schubert Varieties, J. Geom. Phys. 53, no. 2, 197–225 (2005).
- [19] T. Nakashima, Decorations on Geometric Crystals and Monomial Realizations of Crystal Bases for Classical Groups, *J. Algebra*, vol.399, 712–769 (2014).