

NON-LINEAR TRACES ON MATRIX ALGEBRAS, MAJORIZATION, UNITARY INVARIANT NORMS AND 2-POSITIVITY

MASARU NAGISA AND YASUO WATATANI

ABSTRACT. We study non-linear traces of Choquet type and Sugeno type on matrix algebras. They have certain partial additivities. We show that these partial additivities characterize non-linear traces of both Choquet type and Sugeno type respectively. There exists a close relation among non-linear traces of Choquet type, majorization, unitary invariant norms and 2-positivity.

AMS subject classification: Primary 46L07, Secondary 47A64

Key words: non-linear trace, monotone map

1. INTRODUCTION

In [23] we study several classes of general non-linear positive maps between C^* -algebras. Recall that Ando-Choi [1] and Arveson [3] initiated the study non-linear completely positive maps and extend the Stinespring dilation theorem. Hiai-Nakamura [18] studied a non-linear counterpart of Arveson's Hahn-Banach type extension theorem [2] for completely positive linear maps. Belçitâ-Neeb [4] studied non-linear completely positive maps and dilation theorems for real involutive algebras. Recently Dadkhah-Moslehian [9] studied some properties of non-linear positive maps like Lieb maps and the multiplicative domain for 3-positive maps. Dadkhah-Moslehian-Kian [10] investigate continuity of non-linear positive maps between C^* -algebras.

A typical example of non-linear positive mas is given as the functional calculus by a continuous positive function. See, for example, [5], [6], [15] and [25]. In particular operator monotone functions are important to study operator means in Kubo-Ando theory in [21].

One important motivation of non-linear positive maps on C^* -algebras is non-additive measure theory, which was initiated by Sugeno [26] and Dobrakov [14]. Choquet integrals [8] and Sugeno integrals [26] are commonly used as non-linear integrals in non-additive measure theory. The differences of them are two operations used: sum and product for Choquet integrals and maximum and minimum for Sugeno integrals. They have partial additivities. Choquet integrals have comonotonic additivity and Sugeno integrals have comonotonic F-additivity (fuzzy additivity). Conversely it is known that Choquet integrals and Sugeno integrals are characterized by these partial additivities, see, for example,

[12], [24], [11], [7], [13]. More precisely, comonotonic additivity, positive homogeneity and monotony characterize Choquet integrals. Similarly comonotonic F-additivity, F-homogeneity and monotony characterize Sugeno integrals. As a general notion of non-linear integrals, we remark the inclusion-exclusion integral by Honda-Okazaki [20] for non-additive monotone measures.

In this paper we study non-linear traces of Choquet type and Sugeno type on matrix algebras, which are matrix versions of Choquet integrals and Sugeno integrals. We characterize non-linear traces of Choquet type and Sugeno type by partial additivities. We also show that there exists a close relation among non-linear traces of Choquet type, majorization, unitary invariant norms and 2-positivity.

Non-linear traces of Choquet type have comonotonic additivity and non-linear traces of Sugeno type have comonotonic F-additivity (fuzzy additivity). Conversely these partial additivities characterize non-linear traces of both Choquet type and Sugeno type respectively. More precisely comonotonic additivity, positive homogeneity, unitary invariance and monotony characterize non-linear traces of Choquet type. Similarly comonotonic F-additivity, F-homogeneity, unitary invariance and monotony characterize non-linear traces of Sugeno type.

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. We denote by A^+ and B^+ their positive cones. Consider a non-linear positive map $\varphi : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$. We should note that there exist at least three ways to extend such map φ to $\tilde{\varphi} : A \rightarrow B$: For $a \in A$,

- (1) $\tilde{\varphi}(a) = \varphi(a_1) - \varphi(a_2) + i(\varphi(a_3) - \varphi(a_4))$ for $a = a_1 - a_2 + i(a_3 - a_4)$ with $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in A^+$ and $a_1 a_2 = a_3 a_4 = 0$.
- (2) analytic extension in functional calculus.
- (3) $\tilde{\varphi}(a) = \varphi(|a|)$.

We should choose an appropriate way in various situations.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17K18739.

2. NON-LINEAR TRACES OF CHOQUET TYPE

In this section we study non-linear traces of Choquet type on matrix algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a set and \mathcal{B} a σ -field on Ω . A function $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *monotone measure* if μ satisfies

- (1) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, and
- (2) For any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, if $A \subset B$, then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$.

We recall the discrete Choquet integral with respect to a monotone measure on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = P(\Omega)$ be the set of all subsets of Ω and $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a finite monotone measure .

Definition 2.2. The discrete Choquet integral of $f = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$ with respect to a monotone measure μ on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is defined as follows:

$$(C) \int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(i+1)})\mu(A_i) + x_{\sigma(n)}\mu(A_n),$$

where σ is a permutation on Ω such that $x_{\sigma(1)} \geq x_{\sigma(2)} \geq \dots \geq x_{\sigma(n)}$ and $A_i = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(i)\}$. Here we should note that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(i+1)})\chi_{A_i} + x_{\sigma(n)}\chi_{A_n}.$$

Let $A = \mathbb{C}^n$ and define $(C-\varphi)_\mu : (\mathbb{C}^n)^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ by the Choquet integral $(C-\varphi)_\mu(f) = (C) \int f d\mu$. Then $(C-\varphi)_\mu$ is a non-linear positive map and it is *monotone* in the sense that, if $0 \leq f \leq g$ then $(C) \int f d\mu \leq (C) \int g d\mu$. It is *positively homogeneous* in the sense that $(C) \int k f d\mu = k (C) \int f d\mu$ for a scalar $k \geq 0$.

Real valued functions f and g on a set Ω are said to be *comonotonic* if $(f(s) - f(t))(g(s) - g(t)) \geq 0$ for any $s, t \in \Omega$, that is, $f(s) < f(t)$ implies $g(s) \leq g(t)$ for any $s, t \in \Omega$. In particular consider when $\Omega = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a finite n points set. Then f and g are comonotonic if and only if there exists a permutation σ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}) \geq f(x_{\sigma(2)}) \geq \dots \geq f(x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

$$g(x_{\sigma(1)}) \geq g(x_{\sigma(2)}) \geq \dots \geq g(x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

We should note that comonotonic relation is not transitive and not an equivalent relation. The Choquet integral has *comonotonic additivity*, that is, for any comonotonic pair f and g ,

$$(C) \int (f + g) d\mu = (C) \int f d\mu + (C) \int g d\mu$$

The Choquet integral has comonotonic additivity, positive homogeneity and monotony. Conversely comonotonic additivity, positive homogeneity and monotony characterize the Choquet integral.

We shall consider a matrix version of the discrete Choquet integral and its characterization. We introduce non-linear traces of Choquet type on matrix algebras.

Definition 2.3. A non-linear positive map $\varphi : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ is called a *trace* if φ is unitarily invariant, that is, $\varphi(uau^*) = \varphi(a)$ for any $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ and any unitary $u \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

- φ is *monotone* if $a \leq b$ implies $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ for any $a, b \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$.
- φ is *positively homogeneous* if $\varphi(ka) = k\varphi(a)$ for any $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ and any scalar $k \geq 0$.

- φ is *comonotonic additive on the spectrum* if

$$\varphi(f(a) + g(a)) = \varphi(f(a)) + \varphi(g(a))$$

for any $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ and any comonotonic functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$, where $f(a)$ is a functional calculus of a by f and $C(\sigma(a))$ is the set of continuous functions on the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of a .

- φ is *monotone increasing additive on the spectrum* if

$$\varphi(f(a) + g(a)) = \varphi(f(a)) + \varphi(g(a))$$

for any $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ and any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$. Then by induction, we also have

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(f_i(a))$$

for any monotone increasing functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n in $C(\sigma(a))$.

A finite monotone measure $\mu : P(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is *permutation invariant* if $\mu(A) = \mu(\sigma(A))$ for any subset $A \subset \Omega$ and any permutation σ on Ω . The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing function with $\alpha(0) = 0$, that is,*

$$0 = \alpha(0) \leq \alpha(1) \leq \alpha(2) \leq \dots \leq \alpha(n).$$

Define a finite measure $\mu_\alpha : P(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by

$$\mu_\alpha(A) = \alpha(\#A) \quad \text{for a subset } A \subset \Omega$$

where $\#A$ is the cardinality of A . Then μ_α is a permutation invariant monotone measure. Conversely any permutation invariant monotone measure on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ has this form.

Definition 2.5. Let $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. We denote by μ_α the associated permutation invariant monotone measure on $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Define $\varphi_\alpha : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ as follows: For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ be the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order : $\lambda_1(a) \geq \lambda_2(a) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(a)$ with counting

multiplicities. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a)) \mu_\alpha(A_i) + \lambda_n(a) \mu_\alpha(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a)) \alpha(\#A_i) + \lambda_n(a) \alpha(\#A_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a)) \alpha(i) + \lambda_n(a) \alpha(n),\end{aligned}$$

where $A_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$. We call φ_α the non-linear trace of Choquet type associated with α . Note that φ_α is norm continuous on $(M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, since each λ_i is norm continuous on $(M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$.

Remark 2.6. Let $\mu : P(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a finite monotone measure on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, which is not necessarily permutation invariant. Put $\alpha(i) = \mu(\{1, 2, \dots, i\})$ and $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let μ_α be the associated permutation invariant monotone measure and φ_α the non-linear trace of Choquet type associated with α . This φ_α coincides with the non-linear trace of Choquet type that we introduced in our previous paper [23].

We shall characterize non-linear traces of Choquet type.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\varphi : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ be a non-linear positive map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) φ is a non-linear trace $\varphi = \varphi_\alpha$ of Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$
- (2) φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone and positively homogeneous.
- (3) φ is comonotonic additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone and positively homogeneous.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that φ is a non-linear trace $\varphi = \varphi_\alpha$ of Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function α . For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a) p_i$$

be the spectral decomposition of a , where $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order : $\lambda_1(a) \geq \lambda_2(a) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(a)$ with counting multiplicities. Then the spectrum $\sigma(a) = \{\lambda_i(a) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For any monotone increasing functions f and

g in $C(\sigma(a))$, we have the spectral decompositions

$$f(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i(a))p_i, \quad g(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\lambda_i(a))p_i,$$

$$\text{and } (f + g)(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f(\lambda_i(a)) + g(\lambda_i(a)))p_i.$$

Since $f + g$ is also monotone increasing on $\sigma(a)$ as well as f and g , we have

$$f(\lambda_1(a)) \geq f(\lambda_2(a)) \geq \cdots \geq f(\lambda_n(a)),$$

$$g(\lambda_1(a)) \geq g(\lambda_2(a)) \geq \cdots \geq g(\lambda_n(a)),$$

$$\text{and } (f + g)(\lambda_1(a)) \geq (f + g)(\lambda_2(a)) \geq \cdots \geq (f + g)(\lambda_n(a)).$$

Therefore

$$\varphi_\alpha(f(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (f(\lambda_i(a)) - f(\lambda_{i+1}(a)))\alpha(i) + f(\lambda_n(a))\alpha(n),$$

$$\varphi_\alpha(g(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (g(\lambda_i(a)) - g(\lambda_{i+1}(a)))\alpha(i) + g(\lambda_n(a))\alpha(n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(f(a) + g(a)) &= \varphi_\alpha((f + g)(a)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ((f + g)(\lambda_i(a)) - (f + g)(\lambda_{i+1}(a)))\alpha(i) + (f + g)(\lambda_n(a))\alpha(n) \\ &= \varphi_\alpha(f(a)) + \varphi_\alpha(g(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ_α is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum.

For $a, b \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ be the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order and $\lambda(b) = (\lambda_1(b), \lambda_2(b), \dots, \lambda_n(b))$ be the list of the eigenvalues of b in decreasing order. Assume that $a \leq b$. By the mini-max principle for eigenvalues, we have that $\lambda_i(a) \leq \lambda_i(b)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a))\alpha(i) + \lambda_n(a)\alpha(n) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i(a)(\alpha(i) - \alpha(i-1)) + \lambda_1(a)\alpha(1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i(b)(\alpha(i) - \alpha(i-1)) + \lambda_1(b)\alpha(1) = \varphi_\alpha(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ_α is monotone.

For a positive scalar k , $ka = \sum_{i=1}^n k\lambda_i(a)p_i$ and

$$\lambda(ka) = (k\lambda_1(a), k\lambda_2(a), \dots, k\lambda_n(a))$$

is the list of the eigenvalues of ka in decreasing order,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha(ka) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (k\lambda_i(a) - k\lambda_{i+1}(a))\alpha(i) + k\lambda_n(a)\alpha(n) \\ &= k\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a))\alpha(i) + \lambda_n(a)\alpha(n)\right) = k\varphi_\alpha(a).\end{aligned}$$

Thus φ_α is positively homogeneous. It is clear that φ_α is unitarily invariant by definition.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone and positively homogeneous. Let $I = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n$ be the decomposition of the identity by minimal projections. Define a function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ by $\alpha(i) = \varphi(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_i)$. Since φ is unitarily invariant, α does not depend on the choice of minimal projections. Since φ is monotone, α is monotone increasing. For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a)p_i$ be the spectral decomposition of a , where $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order with counting multiplicities. Define n functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in C(\sigma(a))$ by

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a), & \text{if } x \in \{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_i(a)\} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \{\lambda_{i+1}(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a)\} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and

$$f_n(x) = \lambda_n(a) \quad \text{for } x \in \{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a)\}.$$

Then f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are monotone increasing functions in $C(\sigma(a))$ such that

$$f_1(x) + f_2(x) + \cdots + f_n(x) = x \quad \text{for } x \in \{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a)\}.$$

Therefore

$$f_1(a) + f_2(a) + \cdots + f_n(a) = a.$$

Moreover we have that

$$f_i(a) = (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a))(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and $f_n(a) = \lambda_n(a)(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n) = \lambda_n(a)I$.

Since φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum and positively homogeneous, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a) &= \varphi(f_1(a) + f_2(a) + \cdots + f_n(a)) \\
&= \varphi(f_1(a)) + \varphi(f_2(a)) + \cdots + \varphi(f_n(a)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi((\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a))(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_i)) + \varphi(\lambda_n(a)(I)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a))\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_i) + \lambda_n(a)\varphi(I) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a))\alpha(i) + \lambda_n(a)\alpha(n) = \varphi_\alpha(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore φ is equal to the non-linear trace φ_α of Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function α .

(3) \Rightarrow (2) It is clear from the fact that any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$ are comonotonic.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a)p_i$ be the spectral decomposition of a , where $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order with counting multiplicities. For any comonotonic functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$, there exists a permutation τ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda_{\tau(1)}(a)) &\geq f(\lambda_{\tau(2)}(a)) \geq \cdots \geq f(\lambda_{\tau(n)}(a)), \\
g(\lambda_{\tau(1)}(a)) &\geq g(\lambda_{\tau(2)}(a)) \geq \cdots \geq g(\lambda_{\tau(n)}(a))
\end{aligned}$$

by ordering with multiplicities. Considering this fact, we can prove (1) \Rightarrow (3) similarly as (1) \Rightarrow (2). \square

3. NON-LINEAR TRACES OF CHOQUET TYPE, MAJORIZATION, UNITARY INVARIANT NORMS AND 2-POSITIVITY

In this section we discuss relations among non-linear traces of Choquet type, the majorization theory for eigenvalues and singular values of matrices and unitary invariant norms of matrices. We also study 2-positivity of non-linear functional. For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a)p_i$ be the spectral decomposition of a , where $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order with counting multiplicities. For fixed $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we denote by λ_i a non-linear map $\lambda_i : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ given by $\lambda_i(a)$ for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^+$.

Proposition 3.1. *The set $\text{Ch-T} := \{\varphi_\alpha | \alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)\}$ is monotone increasing and $\alpha(0) = 0\}$ of non-linear traces of Choquet type on $(M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ is a convex subset of the affine set $\{h : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow$*

\mathbb{C}^+ with pointwise sum and positive scalar multiplication. Then Ch-T is equal to a convex cone

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i \mid c_i \in [0, \infty), i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

where α and c_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are related by $c_1 = \alpha(1)$, $c_i = \alpha(i) - \alpha(i-1)$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) or $\alpha(j) = \sum_{i=1}^j c_i$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Moreover consider the normalized set

$$S := \{ \varphi \in \text{Ch-T} \mid \varphi(I) = 1 \}.$$

Then the set of extreme points of the set S is equal to $\{ \lambda_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$.

Proof. The proof is based on the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a)) \alpha(i) + \lambda_n(a) \alpha(n) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i(a) (\alpha(i) - \alpha(i-1)) + \lambda_1(a) \alpha(1) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n c_i \lambda_i(a) + c_1 \lambda_1(a). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$ be the natural convex cone. Then the convex cone $\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i \mid c_i \in [0, \infty), i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$ is affine isomorphic to \mathbb{R}_+^n through $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i \mapsto (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$. Since $\lambda_i(I) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the convex subset S is isomorphic to $\{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \}$. Therefore the set of extreme points of S is equal to $\{ \lambda_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$. \square

Example 3.2. We sometimes denote α by $\alpha = (\alpha(0), \alpha(1), \alpha(2), \dots, \alpha(n))$.

- (1) If $\alpha = (0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$, then $\varphi_\alpha(a) = \text{Tr}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a)$ is the usual linear trace.
- (2) If $\alpha = (0, \overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^i, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n-i+1})$, then $\varphi_\alpha(a) = \lambda_1(a)$.
- (3) If $\alpha = (\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^i, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n-i+1})$, then $\varphi_\alpha(a) = \lambda_i(a)$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$).
- (4) If $\alpha = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, then $\varphi_\alpha(a) = \lambda_n(a)$.

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The decreasing rearrangement of x is denoted by $x^\downarrow = (x_1^\downarrow, x_2^\downarrow, \dots, x_n^\downarrow)$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the weak majorization $x \prec_w y$ is defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^\downarrow \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^\downarrow \quad (\text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n).$$

The majorization $x \prec y$ is defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^\downarrow \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^\downarrow \quad (\text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\downarrow = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^\downarrow.$$

For $a, b \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, if $a \leq b$, then $\lambda_i(a) \leq \lambda_i(b)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $\lambda_i(a) \leq \lambda_i(b)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\lambda(a) \prec_w \lambda(b)$. If $\lambda(a) \prec \lambda(b)$, then $\lambda(a) \prec_w \lambda(b)$. See, for example, [5], [17] and [19] for majorization theory of matrices.

Moreover we see that $a \leq b$ if and only if $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ for any positive linear functional. It is known that $\lambda(a) \prec \lambda(b)$ if and only if a is in the convex hull of the unitary orbits of b . We shall consider similar facts for the condition that $\lambda_i(a) \leq \lambda_i(b)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proposition 3.3. *For $a, b \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\varphi_\alpha(a) \leq \varphi_\alpha(b)$ for any non-linear trace φ_α of Choquet type associated with all monotone increasing function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$.
- (2) $\lambda_i(a) \leq \lambda_i(b)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (3) there exists a contraction $c \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a = bc^*$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is clear, because there exists $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ with $\varphi_\alpha(a) = \lambda_i(a)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since any non-linear trace φ_α of Choquet type is positively spanned by λ_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, (2) implies that $\varphi_\alpha(a) \leq \varphi_\alpha(b)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that $\lambda_i(a) \leq \lambda_i(b)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then there exist constants d_i with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ such that $\lambda_i(a) = d_i \lambda_i(b) d_i$. Let $d = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a diagonal matrix. By diagonalization, there exist unitaries u and v in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $uau^* = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ and $vbv^* = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(b), \lambda_2(b), \dots, \lambda_n(b))$. We have $uau^* = d v b v^* d^*$. Then $c := u^* d v$ is a contraction and $a = bc^*$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that there exists a contraction $c \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a = bc^*$. Then, for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\lambda_i(a) \leq \|c\| \lambda_i(b) \|c^*\| \leq \lambda_i(b).$$

□

If Tr is the usual linear trace, then $\|a\|_1 := \text{Tr}(|a|)$ is a unitary invariant norm of $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. We shall replace the usual linear trace by non-linear traces of Choquet type.

Definition 3.4. Let $\varphi = \varphi_\alpha$ be a non-linear trace of Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Define $\| |a| \|_\alpha := \varphi_\alpha(|a|)$ for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since φ_α is unitarily invariant, $\| |uav| \|_\alpha = \| |a| \|_\alpha$ for any unitaries u and v .

Proposition 3.5. *In the above setting, assume that $\alpha(1) > 0$. If $\| | \cdot \|_\alpha$ is a unitary invariant norm, then $\alpha(i+1) + \alpha(i-1) \leq 2\alpha(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. Let $I = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n$ be a resolution of the identity by minimal projections. For $i = 2, \dots, n-1$, let

$$a = p_1 + p_2 + 2p_3 + 2p_4 + \dots + 2p_{i+1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(i+1) + \alpha(i-1) &= \varphi_\alpha(a) = \|||p_1 + p_2 + 2p_3 + 2p_4 + \cdots + 2p_{i+1}\|||_\alpha \\ &\leq \|||p_1 + p_3 + \cdots p_{i+1}\|||_\alpha + \|||p_2 + p_3 + \cdots p_{i+1}\|||_\alpha \\ &= \alpha(i) + \alpha(i) = 2\alpha(i).\end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1$, we have that

$$\alpha(2) + \alpha(0) = \alpha(2) = \|||p_1 + p_2\|||_\alpha \leq \|||p_1\|||_\alpha + \|||p_2\|||_\alpha = 2\alpha(1).$$

□

Example 3.6. If $\alpha = (0, 1, 2, \dots, k, k, \dots, k)$, then $\varphi_\alpha(a) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(a)$. Therefore

$$\|||a\|||_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(|a|) = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i(a)$$

gives a Ky Fan norm, where $s_i(a) := \lambda_i(|a|)$ is the i -th singular value of $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

It is known that any unitary invariant norm on matrix algebras gives a 2-positive map as in [22] and [5, Theorem IX.5.10]. We shall study when $\|||a\|||_\alpha$ is a unitary invariant norm for a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$.

The following lemma is known for matrix algebras and the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , for example, see [16],

Lemma 3.7. *Let A be a von Neumann algebra. For operators $a, b, c \in A$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the 2×2 operator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$.*
- (2) *there exists a contraction $k \in A$ such that $c = a^{1/2}kb^{1/2}$.*

Proof. The usual proof gives that we can choose k in the von Neumann algebra A . □

Remark 3.8. The above Lemma does not hold for a general C^* -algebra A . For example, take $A = C[0, 1]$ be the C^* -algebra of the continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. Put $a, b, c \in C[0, 1]$ by $a(x) = b(x) = x$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and $c(x) = x|\sin \frac{1}{x}|$ for $x \in (0, 1]$ and $c(0) = 0$. Then (1) holds but (2) does not hold. In fact, on the contrary suppose that there were a contraction $k \in C[0, 1]$ such that $c = a^{1/2}kb^{1/2}$. Then $k(x) = |\sin \frac{1}{x}|$ for $x \in (0, 1]$ and this contradicts that k is continuous on $[0, 1]$.

The following proposition is known for matrix algebras $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the algebra $B(H)$ of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H as in [22] and [5, Theorem IX.5.10]. Using the Lemma above, we can prove it for a general von Neumann algebra essentially by the same proof as follows:

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-linear functional on a von Neumann algebra A . Then the followings are equivalent:*

(1) φ is 2-positive, that is, $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(a) & \varphi(c) \\ \varphi(c^*) & \varphi(b) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ for any $a, b, c \in A$

with $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$.

(2) φ satisfies the following four conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv):

(i) φ is positive, that is, $\varphi(a) \geq 0$ for any $a \in A^+$.

(ii) φ is monotone on A^+ , that is, if $a \leq b$, then $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ for any $a, b \in A^+$.

(iii) φ is $*$ -preserving, that is, $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ for any $a \in A$.

(iv) φ satisfies Schwartz inequality, that is,

$$|\varphi(a^*b)|^2 \leq \varphi(a^*a)\varphi(b^*b) \text{ for any } a, b \in A.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For $a, b \in A$, $0 \leq a \leq b$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$.

Therefore (i) and (ii) is trivial. Since for any $a \in A$, $\begin{pmatrix} I & a \\ a^* & a^*a \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$,

we have $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(I) & \varphi(a) \\ \varphi(a^*) & \varphi(a^*a) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$. Thus $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ and (iii) holds.

For $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^*a & a^*b \\ b^*a & b^*b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & 0 \\ b^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Since its determinant is positive, (iv) $|\varphi(a^*b)|^2 \leq \varphi(a^*a)\varphi(b^*b)$ is proved.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ for $a, b, c \in A$. Then there exists a contraction $k \in A$ such that $c = a^{1/2}kb^{1/2}$. By (i), $\varphi(a) \geq 0$ and $\varphi(b) \geq 0$. By (iii), $\varphi(c^*) = \varphi(c)^*$. By (vi) and (ii), we have

$$|\varphi(c)|^2 = |\varphi(a^{1/2}kb^{1/2})|^2 \leq \varphi(a^{1/2}a^{1/2})\varphi(b^{1/2}k^*kb^{1/2}) \leq \varphi(a)\varphi(b).$$

Therefore $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(a) & \varphi(c) \\ \varphi(c^*) & \varphi(b) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$. \square

For a general C^* -algebra, we need an additional assumptions for the moment. We do not know whether we need the operator norm continuity of φ .

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-linear functional on a unital C^* -algebra A . Assume that φ is operator norm continuous. Then the followings are equivalent:*

(1) φ is 2-positive.

(2) φ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) φ is positive, that is, $\varphi(a) \geq 0$ for any $a \in A^+$.

(ii) φ is monotone on A^+ , that is, if $a \leq b$, then $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ for any $a, b \in A^+$.

- (iii) φ is $*$ -preserving, that is, $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ for any $a \in A$.
 (iv) φ satisfies Schwartz inequality, that is,

$$|\varphi(a^*b)|^2 \leq \varphi(a^*a)\varphi(b^*b) \text{ for any } a, b \in A.$$

Proof. The only non-trivial part is (2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ for $a, b, c \in A$. First assume that a and b are invertible. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & a^{-1/2}cb^{-1/2} \\ b^{-1/2}c^*a^{-1/2} & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Therefore $k := a^{-1/2}cb^{-1/2}$ is contraction and $c = a^{1/2}kb^{1/2}$. By the same proof of Proposition 3.9 we have that $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(a) & \varphi(c) \\ \varphi(c^*) & \varphi(b) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$. If a and b are not invertible, then replacing them by $a + \varepsilon I$ and $b + \varepsilon I$, the norm continuity of φ implies that $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(a) & \varphi(c) \\ \varphi(c^*) & \varphi(b) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let $\|\cdot\| : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a unitary invariant norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is 2-positive.*

Proof. It is known that any unitary invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies the condition (2) in Proposition 3.9 as in [5, IX.5]. Therefore $\|\cdot\|$ is 2-positive. \square

For example, the Ky Fan k -norms defined as $\|a\|_{(k)} := s_1(a) + s_2(a) + \dots + s_k(a)$ is 2-positive and s_1 is also 2-positive but $s_2 : M_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is not 2-positive. In fact, consider the diagonal matrices $a = \text{diag}(1, 1, 3), b = \text{diag}(3, 1, 1), c = \text{diag}(\sqrt{3}, 1, \sqrt{3})$ in $M_3(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_2(a) & s_2(c) \\ s_2(c^*) & s_2(b) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not positive. We shall extend this example that s_2 is not 2-positive as follows:

Proposition 3.12. *Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i$ with $c_i \in [0, \infty), i = 1, \dots, n$. Define $s(a) = \varphi(|a|)$ for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. If $c_k < c_{k+1}$ for some k , then s is not 2-positive.*

Proof. Suppose that $c_k < c_{k+1}$. We can choose a number $t > 1$ such that $c_{k+1} > \sqrt{t}c_k$. Then it holds $tc_k + c_{k+1} < \sqrt{t}(c_k + c_{k+1})$. Consider the following positive diagonal matrices

$$a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), b = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ c = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$$

in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$a_i = b_i = c_i = t \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

$$a_i = b_i = c_i = 1 \text{ for } i = k+2, \dots, n$$

and

$$a_k = t, a_{k+1} = 1, b_k = 1, b_{k+1} = t, c_k = \sqrt{t}, c_{k+1} = \sqrt{t}.$$

Define 2 by 2 operator matrix $A \in M_2(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $c = \sqrt{a}I\sqrt{b}$, A is positive. Consider

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} s(a) & s(c) \\ s(c) & s(b) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i(a) & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i(c) \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i(c) & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(a) = s(b) &= t \sum_{i=1}^k c_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i = t \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i + tc_k + c_{k+1} + \sum_{i=k+2}^n c_i \\ &< t \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i + \sqrt{t}(c_k + c_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=k+2}^n c_i = s(c). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\det B = s(a)s(b) - s(c)^2 < 0$, this shows that s is not 2-positive. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\|\cdot\| : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an semi-norm on a general C^* -algebra A . If $\varphi = \|\cdot\|$ satisfies the condition (2) in Proposition 3.10, then $\|\cdot\|$ is 2-positive without assuming that $\|\cdot\|$ is operator norm continuous.*

Proof. Without assuming that $\|\cdot\|$ is operator norm continuous, it is enough to note that

$$\| \|a + \varepsilon I\| - \|a\| \| \leq \| \|\varepsilon I\| \| = \varepsilon \| \|I\| \| \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\varphi = \varphi_\alpha$ be a non-linear trace of Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(1) > 0$. Put $c_i := \alpha(i) - \alpha(i-1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Recall that $\varphi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i$. Define $\| \|a\| \|_\alpha := \varphi_\alpha(|a|)$ for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) α is concave in the sense that $\frac{\alpha(i+1) + \alpha(i-1)}{2} \leq \alpha(i)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$).
- (2) $(c_i)_i$ is a decreasing sequence: $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_n$.
- (3) $\| \| \cdot \| \|_\alpha$ is a unitary invariant norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.
- (4) $\| \| \cdot \| \|_\alpha$ is 2-positive.

Proof. It is trivial that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose that $(c_i)_i$ is a decreasing sequence. The Ky Fan k -norms is defined as

$$\|a\|_{(k)} := s_1(a) + s_1(a) + \cdots + s_k(a) = \lambda_1(|a|) + \lambda_2(|a|) + \cdots + \lambda_k(|a|)$$

for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\| \|a\| \|_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(|a|) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i(|a|) = c_n \|a\|_{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (c_i - c_{i+1}) \|a\|_{(i)}$$

Therefore $\| \| \|_\alpha$ is a unitary invariant norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Use Corollary 3.11.

(4) \Rightarrow (2): Use Proposition 3.12. \square

4. NON-LINEAR TRACES OF SUGENO TYPE

In this section we study non-linear traces of Sugeno type on matrix algebras. We recall the Sugeno integral with respect to a monotone measure on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 4.1. The discrete Sugeno integral of $f = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$ with respect to a monotone measure μ on a finite set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is defined as follows:

$$(S) \int f d\mu = \vee_{i=1}^n (x_{\sigma(i)} \wedge \mu(A_i)),$$

where σ is a permutation on Ω such that $x_{\sigma(1)} \geq x_{\sigma(2)} \geq \cdots \geq x_{\sigma(n)}$, $A_i = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(i)\}$ and $\vee = \max$, $\wedge = \min$. Here we should note that

$$f = \vee_{i=1}^n (x_{\sigma(i)} \chi_{A_i}).$$

Let $A = \mathbb{C}^n$ and define $(S-\varphi)_\mu : (\mathbb{C}^n)^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ by the Sugeno integral $(S-\varphi)_\mu(f) = (S) \int f d\mu$. Then $(S-\varphi)_\mu$ is a non-linear monotone positive map.

We shall consider a matrix version of the discrete Sugeno integral.

Definition 4.2. Let $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing function with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and μ_α be the associated permutation invariant monotone measure on $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Define $\psi_\alpha : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ as follows: For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ be the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order : $\lambda_1(a) \geq \lambda_2(a) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(a)$ with counting multiplicities. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\alpha(a) &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \mu_\alpha(A_i)) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \alpha(\# A_i)) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \alpha(i)), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$. We call ψ_α the non-linear trace of Sugeno type associated with α .

Definition 4.3. A non-linear positive map Let $\psi : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ be a non-linear positive map.

- ψ is *positively F -homogeneous* if $\psi(kI \wedge a) = k \wedge \psi(a)$ for any $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ and any scalar $k \geq 0$.
- ψ is *comonotonic F -additive on the spectrum* if

$$\psi(f(a) \vee g(a)) = \psi(f(a)) \vee \psi(g(a))$$

for any $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ and any comonotonic functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$, where $f(a)$ is a functional calculus of a by f .

- ψ is *monotone increasing F -additive on the spectrum* if

$$\psi(f(a) \vee g(a)) = \psi(f(a)) \vee \psi(g(a))$$

for any $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$ and any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$. Then by induction, we also have

$$\psi\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i(a)\right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n \psi(f_i(a))$$

for any monotone increasing functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n in $C(\sigma(a))$.

We shall characterize non-linear traces of Sugeno type.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\psi : (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ be a non-linear positive map. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) ψ is a non-linear trace $\psi = \psi_\alpha$ of Sugeno type associated with a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$.
- (2) ψ is monotone increasing F -additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone, positively F -homogeneous and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \psi(cI) < +\infty.$$

- (3) ψ is comonotonic F -dditive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone and positively F -homogeneous and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \psi(cI) < +\infty.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that ψ is a non-linear trace $\psi = \psi_\alpha$ of Sugeno type associated with a monotone increasing function α . For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a) p_i$$

be the spectral decomposition of a , where $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order : $\lambda_1(a) \geq \lambda_2(a) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(a)$ with counting multiplicities. Then the spectrum $\sigma(a) =$

$\{\lambda_i(a) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$, we have the spectral decompositions

$$f(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i(a))p_i, \quad g(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\lambda_i(a))p_i,$$

$$\text{and } (f \vee g)(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f(\lambda_i(a)) \vee (g(\lambda_i(a))))p_i.$$

Since $f \vee g$ is also monotone increasing on $\sigma(a)$ as well as f and g , we have

$$f(\lambda_1(a)) \geq f(\lambda_2(a)) \geq \dots \geq f(\lambda_n(a)),$$

$$g(\lambda_1(a)) \geq g(\lambda_2(a)) \geq \dots \geq g(\lambda_n(a)),$$

$$\text{and } (f \vee g)(\lambda_1(a)) \geq (f \vee g)(\lambda_2(a)) \geq \dots \geq (f \vee g)(\lambda_n(a)).$$

Therefore

$$\psi_\alpha(f(a)) = \vee_{i=1}^n (f(\lambda_i(a)) \wedge \alpha(i)),$$

$$\psi_\alpha(g(a)) = \vee_{i=1}^n (g(\lambda_i(a)) \wedge \alpha(i)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\alpha((f \vee g)(a)) &= \vee_{i=1}^n ((f \vee g)(\lambda_i(a)) \wedge \alpha(i)) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (((f(\lambda_i(a)) \vee g(\lambda_i(a))) \wedge \alpha(i)) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (((f(\lambda_i(a)) \wedge \alpha(i)) \vee (g(\lambda_i(a)) \wedge \alpha(i))) \\ &= (\vee_{i=1}^n ((f(\lambda_i(a)) \wedge \alpha(i))) \vee (\vee_{i=1}^n ((g(\lambda_i(a)) \wedge \alpha(i)))) \\ &= \psi_\alpha(f(a)) \vee \psi_\alpha(g(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ_α is monotonic increasing F-additive on the spectrum.

For $a, b \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ be the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order and $\lambda(b) = (\lambda_1(b), \lambda_2(b), \dots, \lambda_n(b))$ be the list of the eigenvalues of b in decreasing order. Assume that $a \leq b$. By the mini-max principle for eigenvalues, we have that $\lambda_i(a) \leq \lambda_i(b)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\alpha(a) &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \alpha(i)) \\ &\leq \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(b) \wedge \alpha(i)) = \psi_\alpha(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ_α is monotone.

For a positive scalar k , $kI \wedge a = \sum_{i=1}^n (k \wedge \lambda_i(a))p_i$ and $\lambda(ka) = (k \wedge \lambda_1(a), k \wedge \lambda_2(a), \dots, k \wedge \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of $kI \wedge a$ in decreasing order, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\alpha(k \wedge a) &= \vee_{i=1}^n (k \wedge \lambda_i(a) \wedge \alpha(i)) \\ &= k \wedge (\vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \alpha(i))) = k \wedge \psi_\alpha(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ_α is positively F-homogeneous. It is clear that ψ_α is unitarily invariant by definition and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \psi_\alpha(cI) = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} (\vee_{i=1}^n (c \wedge \alpha(i))) = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \vee_{i=1}^n \alpha(i) = \alpha(n) < +\infty.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that ψ is monotonic increasing F-additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone, positively F-homogeneous and $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \psi(cI) < +\infty$. Let $I = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n$ be the decomposition of the identity by minimal projections. Define a function $\alpha : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ by

$$\alpha(i) := \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \psi(c(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_i)) \leq \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \psi(cI) < \infty.$$

Since ψ is monotone, α is monotone increasing. Since ψ is unitarily invariant, α does not depend on the choice of minimal projections. For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a) p_i$ be the spectral decomposition of a , where $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order with counting multiplicities.

Define functions $f, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in C(\sigma(a))$ by $f(x) = x$ and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$f_i = \lambda_i(a) \chi_{\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_i(a)\}} = \lambda_i(a) I \wedge c \chi_{\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_i(a)\}}$$

for sufficient large $c \geq \lambda_1(a) = \|a\|$, which does not depend on such c . Each f_i is monotone increasing function on $\sigma(a)$. Since $f = \vee_{i=1}^n f_i$, we have that $a = (\vee_{i=1}^n f_i)(a)$. Since ψ is monotonic increasing F-additive on the spectrum and positively F-homogeneous, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a) &= \psi((\vee_{i=1}^n f_i)(a)) = \vee_{i=1}^n \psi(f_i(a)) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n \psi((\lambda_i(a) I \wedge c \chi_{\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_i(a)\}})(a)) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \psi((c \chi_{\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_i(a)\}})(a))) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \psi(c(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_i))) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge (\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \psi(c(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_i)))) \\ &= \vee_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(a) \wedge \alpha(i)) = \psi_\alpha(a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ψ is a non-linear trace ψ_α of Sugeno type associated with a monotone increasing function α .

(3) \Rightarrow (2) It is clear from the fact that any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$ are comonotonic.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) For $a \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+$, let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a) p_i$ be the spectral decomposition of a , where $\lambda(a) = (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a))$ is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order with counting multiplicities. For any comonotonic functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))$, there exists a permutation τ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$f(\lambda_{\tau(1)}(a)) \geq f(\lambda_{\tau(2)}(a)) \geq \cdots \geq f(\lambda_{\tau(n)}(a)),$$

$$g(\lambda_{\tau(1)}(a)) \geq g(\lambda_{\tau(2)}(a)) \geq \cdots \geq g(\lambda_{\tau(n)}(a))$$

by ordering with multiplicities. Considering this fact, we can prove (1) \Rightarrow (3) similarly as (1) \Rightarrow (2). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando and M. Choi, *Non-linear completely positive maps*, in Aspects of Positivity in Functional Analysis, R. Nagel et al. eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986, 3-13.
- [2] W. B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Acta Math., 123 (1969), 141-224.
- [3] W. B. Arveson, *Nonlinear states on C^* -algebras*, Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Contemporary Math., Vol 62, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, 283-343.
- [4] D. Belçită and K-H. Neeb, *Nonlinear completely positive maps and dilation theory for real involutive algebras*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 83 (2015), 517-562.
- [5] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 169, Springer-Verlag NewYork, 1997.
- [6] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton University Press, 2007.
- [7] A. Chateaufneuf, M. Grabisch and A. Rico, *Modeling attitudes toward uncertainty through the use of the Sugeno integral*, J. Math. Econom., 44 (2008), 1084-1099.
- [8] G. Choquet, *Theory of capacities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 5 (1953), 131-295.
- [9] A. Dadkhah and M. Moslehian, *Non-linear positive maps between C^* -algebras*, Arxiv. math. OA, 1811.03128.
- [10] A. Dadkhah, M. Moslehian and N. Kian, *Continuity of non-linear positive maps between C^* -algebras*, preprint.
- [11] L.M. de Campos and M.J. Bolaños, *Characterization and comparison of Sugeno and Choquet integrals*, Fuzzy set and Systems 52 (1992), 61-67.
- [12] C. Dellacherie, *Quelques commentaires sur les prolongements de capacités*, In: Séminaire de probabilités. V, Strasbourg, 1969-1970, Lecture Notes in Math., 191, Springer, 1971, pp.77-81.
- [13] D. Denneberg and M. Grabisch, *Measure and integral with purely ordinal scales*, J. Math. Psych., 48 (2004), 15-27.
- [14] I. Dobrakov, *On submeasures I*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.), 112 (1974), 1-35.
- [15] W. F. Donoghue, Jr., *Monotone matrix functions and analytic continuation*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [16] C. Foias and A.E. Frazho, *The Commutant Lifting Approach to Interpolation Problems*, Operator Theory:Advances and Applications, 44, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [17] F. Hiai, *Matrix Analysis: Matrix Monotone Functions, Matrix Means, and Majorization*, Interdisciplinary Information Sci., 16(2010), 139-248.
- [18] F. Hiai and Y. Nakamura, *Extensions of nonlinear completely positive maps*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 39 (1987), 367-384.
- [19] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *Introduction to matrix analysis and applications*, Universitext, Springer, 2014.
- [20] A. Honda and Y. Okazaki, *Theory of inclusion-exclusion integral*, Information Sciences 376 (2017), 136-147.
- [21] F. Kubo and T. Ando, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math Ann. 246(1979/80), 205-224.
- [22] E.H. Lieb, *Inequalities for some operator and matrix functions*, Advances in Math., 20(1976), 174-178.
- [23] M. Nagisa and Y. Watatani, *Non-linear monotone positive maps*, to appear in J. Operator Theory.
- [24] D. Schmiedler, *Integral representation without additivity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97 (1986), 255-261.

- [25] B. Simon, *Loewner's Theorem on Monotone Matrix Functions*, Springer, 2019.
- [26] M. Sugeno, *Fuzzy measures and Fuzzy integral*, a survey in M. Gupta, G. Saridis and B. Gaines (eds), *Fuzzy automata and decision processes*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977, 89-102.

(Masaru Nagisa) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, CHIBA, 263-8522, JAPAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY, KUSATSU, SHIGA, 525-8577, JAPAN

Email address: nagisa@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

(Yasuo Watatani) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA, FUKUOKA, 819-0395, JAPAN

Email address: watatani@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp