

# IMPROVING CASAZZA-KALTON-CHRISTENSEN-VAN EIJDHOVEN PERTURBATION WITH APPLICATIONS

K. MAHESH KRISHNA

Department of Humanities and Basic Sciences  
Aditya College of Engineering and Technology  
Surampalem, East-Godavari  
Andhra Pradesh 533 437 India  
Email: kmaheshak@gmail.com

February 10, 2022

**Abstract:** Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be an invertible Lipschitz map. Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a map and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then we prove that  $T$  is an invertible Lipschitz map. This improves 25 years old Casazza-Kalton-Christensen-van Eijndhoven perturbation. It also improves 28 years old Soderlind-Campanato perturbation and 2 years old Barbagallo-Ernst-Thera perturbation. We give applications to the theory of metric frames. The notion of Lipschitz atomic decomposition for Banach spaces is also introduced.

**Keywords:** Paley-Wiener perturbation, Lipschitz map, metric frame, atomic decomposition.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 26A16, 47A55, 42C15.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and  $I_{\mathcal{X}}$  be the identity operator on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Carl Neumann's classical result says that if  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a bounded linear operator such that  $\|T - I_{\mathcal{X}}\| < 1$ , then  $T$  is invertible [39]. Following two results are consequences of this result. They are known as **Paley-Wiener theorems**.

- (1) Sequences close to orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces are Riesz bases [40, 52].
- (2) Sequences close to Schauder bases in Banach spaces are Schauder bases [4, 44].

History of Paley-Wiener theorems are nicely presented in [1, 43]. It was in the setting of Hilbert spaces, Paley-Wiener theorem was first generalized by Pollard [42], second generalized by Sz. Nagy [21] and third generalized by Hilding [33]. Hilding proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** [33] (*Hilding perturbation*) *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. If a linear operator  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is such that there exists  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  with*

$$\|Th - h\| \leq \lambda \|Th\| + \lambda \|h\|, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H},$$

*then  $T$  is bounded, invertible and*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \|h\| &\leq \|Th\| \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \|h\|, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}; \\ \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \|h\| &\leq \|T^{-1}h\| \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \|h\|, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

It took around 50 years to improve Theorem 1.1 to the most generality for Banach spaces.

**Theorem 1.2.** [9, 11, 49] (*Casazza-Kalton-Christensen-van Eijndhoven perturbation*) *Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a bounded invertible operator. If a linear operator  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is*

such that there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  with

$$\|Tx - Sx\| \leq \lambda_1 \|Sx\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X},$$

then  $T$  is bounded, invertible and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|Sx\| &\leq \|Tx\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|Sx\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}; \\ \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\|S\|} \|y\| &\leq \|T^{-1}y\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \|S^{-1}\| \|y\|, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

There is an improvement of Theorem 1.2 which is due to Guo with an extra assumption that  $T$  is bounded.

**Theorem 1.3.** [26] *Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a bounded invertible operator. If a bounded linear operator  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is such that there exist  $\lambda_1 \in [0, 1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$  with*

$$\|Tx - Sx\| \leq \lambda_1 \|Sx\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X},$$

then  $T$  is invertible. Further, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying  $1 > \lambda_2 - \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \varepsilon \|TS^{-1}\| < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \lambda_1 - \varepsilon \|TS^{-1}\|}{1 + \lambda_2 - \varepsilon} \|Sx\| &\leq \|Tx\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \|TS^{-1}\|}{1 - \lambda_2 + \varepsilon} \|Sx\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}; \\ \frac{1 - \lambda_2 + \varepsilon}{1 + \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \|TS^{-1}\|} \frac{1}{\|S\|} \|y\| &\leq \|T^{-1}y\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2 - \varepsilon}{1 - \lambda_1 - \varepsilon \|TS^{-1}\|} \|S^{-1}\| \|y\|, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2 and its variants are useful in various studies such as stability of frames for Hilbert spaces [11], stability of frames and atomic decompositions for Banach spaces [47], stability of frames for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules [27], stability of G-frames [48], multipliers for Hilbert spaces [46], quantum detection problem [5], continuous frames [24], fusion frames [10], operator representations of frames (dynamics of frames) [15], pseudo-inverses of operators [22], outer inverses of operators [51], shift-invariant spaces [35], frame sequences [17], sampling [53] etc.

The main objective of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1.2 for Lipschitz functions between Banach spaces. We do this in Theorem 2.9. We show that our result generalizes Soderlind-Campanato Perturbation (Theorem 3.1) and Barbagallo-Ernst-Thera perturbation (Theorem 3.2). We then give an application to the theory of frames for metric spaces. Further, the notion of Lipschitz atomic decomposition for Banach spaces is introduced and a perturbation result is derived using Theorem 2.9.

## 2. IMPROVING CASAZZA-KALTON-CHRISTENSEN-VAN EIJDHOVEN PERTURBATION

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a metric space and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space. Recall that a function  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is said to be Lipschitz if there exists  $b > 0$  such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq b d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

A Lipschitz function  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is said to be bi-Lipschitz if there exists  $a > 0$  such that

$$a d(x, y) \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** [50] *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space.*

- (i) *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a metric space. The collection  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X})$  is defined as  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X}) := \{f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ is Lipschitz}\}$ . For  $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X})$ , the Lipschitz number is defined as*

$$\text{Lip}(f) := \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{M}, x \neq y} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d(x, y)}.$$

- (ii) Let  $(\mathcal{M}, 0)$  be a pointed metric space. The collection  $\text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X})$  is defined as  $\text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X}) := \{f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ is Lipschitz and } f(0) = 0\}$ . For  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X})$ , the Lipschitz norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_0} := \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{M}, x \neq y} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d(x, y)}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** [50] Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space.

- (i) If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a metric space, then  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X})$  is a semi-normed vector space w.r.t. the semi-norm  $\text{Lip}(\cdot)$ .  
 (ii) If  $(\mathcal{M}, 0)$  is a pointed metric space, then  $\text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X})$  is a Banach space w.r.t. the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}_0}$ . Further,  $\text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X}) := \text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  is a unital Banach algebra. In particular, if  $T \in \text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X})$  satisfies  $\|T - I_{\mathcal{X}}\|_{\text{Lip}_0} < 1$ , then  $T$  is invertible and  $T^{-1} \in \text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X})$ .

We now develop perturbation result for Lipschitz functions using various results. Our developments are motivated from the linear version of improvement of Paley-Wiener theorem by van Eijndhoven [49]. Since  $\text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X})$  is a unital Banach algebra, we can talk about the notion of spectrum and resolvents. In the remaining part of the paper, the spectrum of an element  $T \in \text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X})$  is denoted by  $\sigma(T)$  and the resolvent by  $\rho(T)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and  $T \in \text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X})$ . Suppose there are  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| \geq \beta_0 \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}, \forall \alpha \leq \alpha_0.$$

Then  $(-\infty, \alpha_0] \subseteq \rho(T)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ , then there is nothing to argue. So let  $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\sigma(T)$  is non empty, define

$$\lambda_0 := \min\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \in \sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Claim:  $\lambda_0 \geq \alpha_0$ . If this is false, we have  $\lambda_0 < \alpha_0$ . From the assumption we then have

$$\|Tx - Ty - \lambda_0(x - y)\| \geq \beta_0 \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$$

which implies

$$\|(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})x - (T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})y\| \geq \beta_0 \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$$

which says that  $T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}}$  is injective. We now try to show that  $T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}}$  is surjective. Let  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Define

$$\alpha_n := \lambda_0 - \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the definition of  $\lambda_0$  we then have  $T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}}$  is invertible for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using Inequality (1) we have

$$\|(T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})x - (T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})y\| \geq \beta_0 \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

which gives

$$\|u - v\| \geq \beta_0 \|(T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}u - (T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{X}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

which implies

$$\|(T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}_0} \leq \frac{1}{\beta_0}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now define

$$x_n := (T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}y, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now using resolvent identity in Banach algebra [20] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_m\| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| \|(T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(T - \alpha_m I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}y\| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| \|(T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}_0} \|(T - \alpha_m I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}_0} \|y\| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \|y\|, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}_n$  is Cauchy and it converges to an element, say  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})x - y\| &= \|(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})x - (T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})x_n\| \\ &\leq \|(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})x - (T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})x_n\| + \|(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})x_n - (T - \alpha_n I_{\mathcal{X}})x_n\| \\ &\leq \|(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}_0} \|x_n - x\| + |\alpha_n - \lambda_0| \|x_n\| \\ &\leq \|(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}_0} \|x_n - x\| + \frac{1}{n} \|x_n\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $(T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}})x = y$ . Thus  $T - \lambda_0 I_{\mathcal{X}}$  is invertible. Hence  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(T) \cap \rho(T) = \emptyset$  which is a contradiction which proves the claim as well as the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** Note that Lemma 2.3 also holds for real Banach spaces. In fact, if spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is empty then the lemma holds trivially and if it is non empty, then it becomes a compact set and proof of Lemma 2.3 can be carried over. Due to this, all coming results in this paper are valid for real Banach spaces also.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and  $T \in \text{Lip}_0(\mathcal{X})$ . Suppose there are  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta_1 > 0$  such that

$$(2) \quad \|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| \geq \beta_1 \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}, \forall \alpha \leq \alpha_1.$$

Then  $(-\infty, \alpha_1 + \beta_1) \subseteq \rho(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \eta < \beta_1$ . Define  $\alpha_0 := \alpha_1 + \eta$  and  $\beta_0 := \beta_1 - \eta$ . Let  $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$ .

Case (i):  $\alpha \leq \alpha_1$ . Then from Inequality (2),  $\|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| \geq \beta_1 \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Case (ii): Let  $\alpha_1 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| &\geq \|Tx - Ty - \alpha_1(x - y)\| - (\alpha - \alpha_1) \|x - y\| \\ &\geq (\beta_1 - \eta) \|x - y\| = \beta_0 \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 now gives  $(-\infty, \alpha_0] \subseteq \rho(T)$ . Result follows by observing that

$$(-\infty, \alpha_1 + \beta_1) = \bigcup_{0 < \eta < \beta_1} (-\infty, \alpha_1 + \eta].$$

$\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space,  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a map,  $T0 = 0$  and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$(3) \quad \|Tx - Ty - (x - y)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then

(i)  $T$  is Lipschitz and

$$(4) \quad \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|x - y\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii) We have

$$\left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right) \subseteq \rho(T).$$

(iii)  $T$  is invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \|x - y\| \leq \|T^{-1}x - T^{-1}y\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(iv) We have

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \leq \|T\|_{Lip_0} \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \leq \|T^{-1}\|_{Lip_0} \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then using Inequality (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \|Tx - Ty - (x - y)\| + \|x - y\| \leq \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\| + \|x - y\| \\ &= (1 + \lambda_1) \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &\implies \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|x - y\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &\leq \|Tx - Ty - (x - y)\| + \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\| + \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &= \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + (1 + \lambda_2) \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &\implies \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|x - y\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T$  is Lipschitz and (i) holds. Let  $\alpha \leq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| &= \|(1 - \alpha)(x - y) - (x - y - (Tx - Ty))\| \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha) \|x - y\| - \|Tx - Ty - (x - y)\| \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha) \|x - y\| - \lambda_1 \|x - y\| - \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha - \lambda_1) \|x - y\| - \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha - \lambda_1) \|x - y\| - \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| + \lambda_2 \alpha \|x - y\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha) \|x - y\| - \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty - \alpha(x - y)\| &\geq \frac{1 - \alpha - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha}{1 + \lambda_2} \|x - y\| \\ &= \frac{1 - \lambda_1 - (1 - \lambda_2) \alpha}{1 + \lambda_2} \|x - y\| \geq \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 2.5 for  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_1 = \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}$  we get  $(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}) \subseteq \rho(T)$ . Since  $0 \in (-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2})$ ,  $T$  is invertible. Using Inequality (4) we then get

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\| \leq \|u - v\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{X}$$

which gives (iii). Finally (iv) follows from (i) and (iii).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S \in Lip_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  be invertible. Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a map,  $T0 = 0$  and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$(5) \quad \|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then

(i)  $T$  is Lipschitz and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii)  $\alpha S - T$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ .

(iii)  $T$  is invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\|S\|_{Lip_0}} \|u - v\| \leq \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \|S^{-1}\|_{Lip_0} \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

(iv) We have

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|S\|_{Lip_0} \leq \|T\|_{Lip_0} \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|S\|_{Lip_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\|S\|_{Lip_0}} \leq \|T^{-1}\|_{Lip_0} \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \|S^{-1}\|_{Lip_0}.$$

*Proof.* Define  $R := TS^{-1}$ . Then Inequality (5) gives

$$\|TS^{-1}u - TS^{-1}v - (SS^{-1}u - SS^{-1}v)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|SS^{-1}u - SS^{-1}v\| + \lambda_2 \|TS^{-1}u - TS^{-1}v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y},$$

i.e.,

$$\|Ru - Rv - (u - v)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|u - v\| + \lambda_2 \|Ru - Rv\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

By applying Theorem 2.6 to  $R$  we get the following.

(i)  $R$  is Lipschitz hence  $T$  is Lipschitz. Further,

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|R(Sx) - R(Sy)\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

But  $\|R(Sx) - R(Sy)\| = \|Tx - Ty\|, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ .

(ii)  $\alpha I_{\mathcal{X}} - R$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ . Since  $S$  is invertible we then have  $\alpha S - T$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ .

(iii)  $R$  is invertible hence  $T$  is invertible. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\|S\|_{Lip_0}} \|u - v\| &\leq \frac{1}{\|S\|_{Lip_0}} \|R^{-1}u - R^{-1}v\| \leq \|S^{-1}(R^{-1}u) - S^{-1}(R^{-1}v)\| \\ &= \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\| \leq \|S^{-1}\|_{Lip_0} \|R^{-1}u - R^{-1}v\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \|S^{-1}\|_{Lip_0} \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) This follows easily from (i) and (iii).  $\square$

Our next job is to derive the results by removing the condition  $T0 = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space,  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a map and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty - (x - y)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then

(i)  $T$  is Lipschitz and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|x - y\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii)  $\alpha I_{\mathcal{X}} - T$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ .

(iii)  $T$  is invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \|x - y\| \leq \|T^{-1}x - T^{-1}y\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(iv) We have

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \leq \text{Lip}(T) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \leq \text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1}.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$\tilde{T}x := Tx - T0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then  $\tilde{T}0 = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}x - \tilde{T}y - (x - y)\| &= \|Tx - Ty - (x - y)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &= \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|\tilde{T}x - \tilde{T}y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2.6 and using the fact that ‘a map is bijective if and only if its translate is bijective’, proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  be invertible. Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a map and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then

(i)  $T$  is Lipschitz and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii)  $\alpha S - T$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ .

(iii)  $T$  is invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\text{Lip}(S)} \|u - v\| \leq \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \text{Lip}(S^{-1}) \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

(iv) We have

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \text{Lip}(S) \leq \text{Lip}(T) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \text{Lip}(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\text{Lip}(S)} \leq \text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} \text{Lip}(S^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* Define  $R := TS^{-1}$  and the proof is similar to proof of Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

Following two corollaries are motivated from [33].

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S \in Lip(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  be invertible. Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a map and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$(6) \quad \|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| \leq ((\lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\|)^p + (\lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then

(i)  $T$  is Lipschitz and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii)  $\alpha S - T$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ .

(iii)  $T$  is invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{Lip(S)} \|u - v\| \leq \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} Lip(S^{-1}) \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

(iv) We have

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} Lip(S) \leq Lip(T) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} Lip(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{1}{Lip(S)} \leq Lip(T^{-1}) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} Lip(S^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* Note that if  $r, s \geq 0$ , then

$$(r^p + s^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq r + s \quad \text{if } p \geq 1.$$

Hence Inequality (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| &\leq ((\lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\|)^p + (\lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Result follows by applying Theorem 2.9. □

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S \in Lip(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  be invertible. Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a map and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \left[0, 2^{1 - \frac{1}{p}}\right)$  such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| \leq ((\lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\|)^p + (\lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then

(i)  $T$  is Lipschitz and

$$\frac{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1}{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1}{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2} \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii)  $\alpha S - T$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1}{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2}\right)$ .

(iii)  $T$  is invertible and

$$\frac{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2}{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1} \frac{1}{Lip(S)} \|u - v\| \leq \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\| \leq \frac{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2}{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1} Lip(S^{-1}) \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

(iv) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1}{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2} Lip(S) \leq Lip(T) \leq \frac{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1}{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2} Lip(S) \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2}{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1} \frac{1}{Lip(S)} \leq Lip(T^{-1}) \leq \frac{1 + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2}{1 - 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1} Lip(S^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* Note that if  $r, s \geq 0$ , then

$$(r^p + s^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(r + s) \quad \text{if } p < 1.$$

Hence Inequality (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| &\leq ((\lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\|)^p + (\lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\| + 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Result follows by applying Theorem 2.9. □

Next we generalize Corollary 1 in [11].

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  be invertible. Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a map and there exists  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| \leq \lambda \|Sx - Sy\| + \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

*Then  $T$  is Lipschitz, invertible and*

$$\text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \lambda} \text{Lip}(S^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* Define  $R := TS^{-1}$ . Then

$$\|Ru - Rv - (u - v)\| \leq \lambda \|u - v\| + \|Ru - Rv\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Note that  $\text{Lip}(R) \neq 0$ . Let

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1 - \lambda}{\text{Lip}(R)} \right\}.$$

Define  $\lambda_1 := \lambda - \varepsilon \text{Lip}(R)$  and  $\lambda_2 := 1 - \varepsilon$ . Then  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ru - Rv - (u - v)\| &\leq \lambda \|u - v\| + \|Ru - Rv\| \\ &\leq \lambda \|u - v\| + \|Ru - Rv\| + \varepsilon (\text{Lip}(R) \|u - v\| - \|Ru - Rv\|) \\ &= (\lambda + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(R)) \|u - v\| + (1 - \varepsilon) \|Ru - Rv\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 2.8 we get that  $R$  is Lipschitz, invertible and

$$\text{Lip}(R^{-1}) \leq \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{1 - (\lambda + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(R))}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small, we must have

$$\text{Lip}(R^{-1}) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \lambda}.$$

Substituting the expression of  $R$  gives

$$\frac{1}{\text{Lip}(S^{-1})} \text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \leq \text{Lip}(ST^{-1}) = \text{Lip}(R^{-1}) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \lambda}.$$

□

We finally derive the following non-linear version of Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces and  $S \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  be invertible. Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a Lipschitz map and there exist  $\lambda_1 \in [0, 1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$  such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty - (Sx - Sy)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|Sx - Sy\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then  $T$  is Lipschitz invertible. Further, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying  $1 > \lambda_2 - \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1}) < 1$ , we have

(i)

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_1 - \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})}{1 + \lambda_2 - \varepsilon} \|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})}{1 - \lambda_2 + \varepsilon} \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii)  $\alpha S - T$  is invertible for all  $\alpha \in \left(-\infty, \frac{1 - \lambda_1 - \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})}{1 + \lambda_2 - \varepsilon}\right)$ .

(iii)  $T$  is invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2 + \varepsilon}{1 + \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})} \frac{1}{\text{Lip}(S)} \|u - v\| \leq \|T^{-1}u - T^{-1}v\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2 - \varepsilon}{1 - \lambda_1 - \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})} \text{Lip}(S^{-1}) \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

(iv) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \lambda_1 - \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})}{1 + \lambda_2 - \varepsilon} \text{Lip}(S) &\leq \text{Lip}(T) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})}{1 - \lambda_2 + \varepsilon} \text{Lip}(S) \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{1 - \lambda_2 + \varepsilon}{1 + \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})} \frac{1}{\text{Lip}(S)} &\leq \text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2 - \varepsilon}{1 - \lambda_1 - \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1})} \text{Lip}(S^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Define  $R := TS^{-1}$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying  $1 > \lambda_2 - \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(TS^{-1}) < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ru - Rv - (u - v)\| &\leq \lambda_1 \|u - v\| + \lambda_2 \|Ru - Rv\| \\ &= \lambda_1 \|u - v\| + (\lambda_2 - \varepsilon) \|Ru - Rv\| + \varepsilon \|Ru - Rv\| \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \|u - v\| + (\lambda_2 - \varepsilon) \|Ru - Rv\| + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(R) \|u - v\| \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \varepsilon \text{Lip}(R)) \|u - v\| + (\lambda_2 - \varepsilon) \|Ru - Rv\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Remaining parts of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

It is an easy observation that the constant  $\lambda_1$  in Theorem 2.13 can not be improved. We are therefore left with the following open problem.

**Question 2.14.** *Can the constant  $\lambda_2$  be improved further in Theorem 2.13?*

### 3. APPLICATIONS

Our first two applications of Theorem 2.9 are easy proofs of Soderlind-Campanato perturbation and Barbagallo-Ernst-Thera perturbation.

**Theorem 3.1.** [6, 45] (*Soderlind-Campanato perturbation*) *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real Banach space,  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a map and there exist  $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$  such that*

$$\|\alpha Ax - \alpha Ay - (x - y)\| \leq \beta \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

*Then  $A$  is Lipschitz, invertible and  $\text{Lip}(A^{-1}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $T = \alpha A$  and  $\lambda_1 = \beta$  in Theorem 2.9. Then  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \text{Lip}(A^{-1}) = \text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda_1} = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** [3] (*Barbagallo-Ernst-Thera perturbation*) *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real Banach space,  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a map and there exist  $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$  such that*

$$(7) \quad \|Ax - Ay - (\alpha x - \alpha y)\| \leq \beta \|Ax - Ay\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

*Then*

(i) *If  $\beta < 1/2$ , then  $A$  is Lipschitz, invertible and  $\text{Lip}(A^{-1}) \leq \frac{1-\beta}{\alpha(1-2\beta)}$ .*

(ii) If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Hilbert space, then  $A$  is Lipschitz, invertible and  $\text{Lip}(A^{-1}) \leq \frac{1+\beta}{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Set  $T = \frac{1}{\alpha}A$  and  $\lambda_2 = \beta$  in Theorem 2.9. Then

- (i)  $\alpha \text{Lip}(A^{-1}) = 1 + \lambda_2 = 1 + \beta \leq \frac{1-\beta}{1-2\beta}$ .
- (ii)  $\alpha \text{Lip}(A^{-1}) = 1 + \lambda_2 = 1 + \beta$ .

□

**Remark 3.3.** In [3], it is stated that “the number  $1/2$  in Theorem 3.2 can not be improved” (see line -7, page 20 , line -14, page 20, and line 14, page 18 in [3]). In an attempt of giving an example, an operator  $A$  is constructed which satisfies  $\|A - I_{\mathcal{X}}\| = 1/2\|A\|$ . Unfortunately this example does not satisfy Inequality (7). Reason is the following. The operator  $A$  is constructed as follows. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and assume that  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Z}$  for some closed subspaces  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then the projections  $P : \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Z} \ni y \oplus z \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $Q : \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Z} \ni y \oplus z \rightarrow z \in \mathcal{X}$  are bounded linear operators. Define  $A := 2P$  which is linear. Then

$$A - I_{\mathcal{X}} = 2P - (P + Q) = P - Q.$$

Now for  $x = y \oplus z \in \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ , we have

$$\|Ax - x\| = \|Px - Qx\| = \|y \oplus (-z)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|Ax\| = \|2Px\| = 2\|y\|.$$

Thus there is no  $\beta \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Ax - x\| = \|y \oplus z\| \leq 2\beta\|y\| = \beta\|Ax\|, \quad \forall x = y \oplus z \in \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Z}.$$

In view of Theorem 2.9, we see that the statement given in [3] “the number  $1/2$  in Theorem 3.2 can not be improved” is false. However, the statement “ $1/2$  is optimal for symmetry modulus” is true.

We now give applications to the theory of frames. Paley-Wiener theorem for orthonormal basis in Hilbert spaces inspired the study of perturbation of frames for Hilbert spaces. This was first derived by Christensen in his two papers [12,13]. This motivated the perturbation of frames and atomic decompositions for Banach spaces [16]. Crucial result used in all these perturbation results is the Neumann series. Later, using Theorem 1.2, Casazza and Christensen [11] improved the results obtained in paper [13]. Using Theorem 1.2 Stoeva made a systematic study of perturbations of frames for Banach spaces [47]. For the sake of completeness, we note that Theorem 1.2 was used in the study of perturbations of frames for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules [27].

Large body of work on frames for Hilbert spaces (see [14,23,28,29]) lead to the well developed theory of frames (known as Banach frames and  $\mathcal{X}_d$ -frames) for Banach spaces (see [7,8,18,25]) lead to the beginning of frames for frames for metric spaces (known as metric frames) [36].

For stating these definitions we need the definition of BK-space (Banach scalar valued sequence space or Banach co-ordinate space).

**Definition 3.4.** [2] A sequence space  $\mathcal{M}_d$  is said to be a BK-space if all the coordinate functionals are continuous, i.e., whenever  $\{x_n\}_n$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_d$  converging to  $x \in \mathcal{M}_d$ , then each coordinate of  $x_n$  converges to each coordinate of  $x$ .

**Definition 3.5.** [36] Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a metric space and  $\mathcal{M}_d$  be an associated BK-space. Let  $\{f_n\}_n$  be a collection in  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{K})$  (where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and  $S : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . If:

- (i)  $\{f_n(x)\}_n \in \mathcal{M}_d$ , for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

- (ii) *There exist positive  $a, b$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq \|\{f_n(x) - f_n(y)\}_n\|_{\mathcal{M}_d} \leq b d(x, y), \forall x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,*  
 (iii)  *$S$  is Lipschitz and  $S(\{f_n(x)\}_n) = x$ , for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,*

then we say that  $(\{f_n\}_n, S)$  is a **metric frame** for  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . We say constant  $a$  as lower frame bound and constant  $b$  as upper frame bound.

As noted in [36], we observe that if  $(\{f_n\}_n, S)$  is a metric frame for  $\mathcal{M}$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_d$ , then Definition 3.5 tells that the analysis map

$$\theta_f : \mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x := \{f_n(x)\}_n \in \mathcal{M}_d$$

is well-defined and bi-Lipschitz. Further,  $S\theta_f = I_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Now we improve Theorem 4 in [11] to metric frames for Banach spaces.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $(\{f_n\}_n, S)$  be a metric frame with lower frame bound  $a$  and upper frame bound  $b$  for a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a Lipschitz map and suppose that there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu \geq 0$  such that  $\max\{\lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \mu b\} < 1$  and*

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S\{c_n\}_n - S\{d_n\}_n - (T\{c_n\}_n - T\{d_n\}_n)\| &\leq \lambda_1 \|S\{c_n\}_n - S\{d_n\}_n\| + \lambda_2 \|T\{c_n\}_n - T\{d_n\}_n\| \\ &+ \mu \|\{c_n - d_n\}_n\|, \quad \forall \{c_n\}_n, \{d_n\}_n \in \mathcal{M}_d. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a collection  $\{g_n\}_n$  in  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $(\{g_n\}_n, T)$  is a metric frame for  $\mathcal{X}$  with lower and upper frame bounds

$$\frac{a(1 - \lambda_2)}{1 + \lambda_1 + \mu b}, \quad \frac{b(1 + \lambda_2)}{1 - (\lambda_1 + \mu b)}$$

respectively.

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , by taking  $\{c_n\}_n$  as  $\theta_f x$  and  $\{d_n\}_n$  as  $\theta_f y$  in Inequality (8) we get

$$\|S\theta_f x - S\theta_f y - (T\theta_f x - T\theta_f y)\| \leq \lambda_1 \|S\theta_f x - S\theta_f y\| + \lambda_2 \|T\theta_f x - T\theta_f y\| + \mu \|\theta_f x - \theta_f y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

But  $S\theta_f x = x, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y - (T\theta_f x - T\theta_f y)\| &\leq \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|T\theta_f x - T\theta_f y\| + \mu \|\theta_f x - \theta_f y\| \\ &\leq (\lambda_1 + \mu \text{Lip}(\theta_f)) \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|T\theta_f x - T\theta_f y\| \\ &\leq (\lambda_1 + \mu b) \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|T\theta_f x - T\theta_f y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9 now says that the map  $T\theta_f$  is Lipschitz invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1 + \mu b} \leq \text{Lip}(T\theta_f)^{-1} \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - (\lambda_1 + \mu b)}.$$

Define  $g_n := f_n(T\theta_f)^{-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $g_n$  is Lipschitz for all  $n$ ,  $\{g_n(x)\}_n \in \mathcal{M}_d$ , for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{g_n(x) - g_n(y)\}_n\| &= \|\{f_n((T\theta_f)^{-1}(x)) - f_n((T\theta_f)^{-1}(y))\}_n\| \leq b \|(T\theta_f)^{-1}(x) - (T\theta_f)^{-1}(y)\| \\ &\leq b \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - (\lambda_1 + \mu b)} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1 + \mu b} \|x - y\| &\leq a \|(T\theta_f)^{-1}(x) - (T\theta_f)^{-1}(y)\| \leq \|\{f_n((T\theta_f)^{-1}(x)) - f_n((T\theta_f)^{-1}(y))\}_n\| \\ &= \|\{g_n(x) - g_n(y)\}_n\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

which establish lower and upper frame bounds. Further,

$$T\{g_n(x)\}_n = T\{f_n(T\theta_f)^{-1}(x)\}_n = T\theta_f((T\theta_f)^{-1}(x)) = x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Therefore  $(\{g_n\}_n, T)$  is a metric frame for  $\mathcal{X}$ . □

We now give another application of Theorem 2.9. For this purpose, we introduce the notion of non-linear atomic decompositions.

**Definition 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_d$  be a BK-space. Let  $\{f_n\}_n$  be a sequence in  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{K})$  and  $\{\tau_n\}_n$  to be a sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$  If:*

- (i)  $\{f_n(x)\}_n \in \mathcal{M}_d$ , for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,
- (ii) There exist positive  $a, b$  such that

$$a \|x - y\| \leq \|\{f_n(x) - f_n(y)\}_n\|_{\mathcal{M}_d} \leq b \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X},$$

- (iii)  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\tau_n$ , for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

then we say that  $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$  is a **Lipschitz atomic decomposition** for  $\mathcal{X}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . We say constant  $a$  as lower Lipschitz atomic bound and constant  $b$  as upper Lipschitz atomic bound.

In [8] it is proved that not every Banach space admits an atomic decomposition. Motivated from this, we ask the following open problem.

**Question 3.8.** *Classify Banach spaces which admit Lipschitz atomic decompositions.*

Following proposition gives various examples of Lipschitz atomic decompositions.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$  be an atomic decomposition for a Banach space  $\mathcal{Y}$  w.r.t. BK-space  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and let  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a bi-Lipschitz map such that there exists a linear map  $A : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  satisfying  $BA = I_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Then  $(\{f_n := g_n A\}_n, \{\tau_n := B\omega_n\}_n)$  is a Lipschitz atomic decomposition  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . In particular, if a Banach space admits a Schauder basis, then it admits a Lipschitz atomic decomposition.*

Particular case of Proposition 3.9 gives the following example.

**Example 3.10.** *Let  $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$  be an atomic decomposition for a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t. a BK-space  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be any bi-Lipschitz map. Define  $A : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto (x, Tx) \in \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}$  and  $B : \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X} \ni (x, y) \mapsto x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $A$  is bi-Lipschitz,  $B$  is linear and satisfies  $BA = I_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Hence  $(\{f_n := g_n A\}_n, \{\tau_n := B\omega_n\}_n)$  is a Lipschitz atomic decomposition for  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_d$ .*

At this point it seems that it is best to develop some theory of Lipschitz atomic decompositions before giving an application of Theorem 2.9.

Proposition 2.3 in [8] shows that under certain conditions, there is a close relationship between Banach frames and atomic decompositions. Following is the non-linear version of that result.

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and  $\mathcal{M}_d$  be a BK-space. Let  $\{f_n\}_n$  be a sequence in  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{K})$  and  $S : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a bounded linear operator. If the standard unit vectors  $\{e_n\}_n$  form a Schauder basis for  $\mathcal{M}_d$ , then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(\{f_n\}_n, S)$  is a metric frame for  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- (ii)  $(\{f_n\}_n, \{Se_n\}_n)$  is a Lipschitz atomic decomposition for  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_d$ .

*Proof.* We set  $\tau_n = Se_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)Se_n = S \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)e_n \right) = S(\{f_n(x)\}_n), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

□

Well established dilation theory of frames for Hilbert spaces says that frames are images of Riesz bases under projections [19,29,34]. This result has been extended to frames and atomic decompositions for Banach spaces [8,30–32,37]. In the next theorem we derive a dilation result for Lipschitz atomic decompositions. We need a proposition to use in the theorem.

**Proposition 3.12.** [38] *A sequence  $\{\tau_n\}_n$  in a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Schauder basis for  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if the following three conditions hold.*

- (i)  $\tau_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ .
- (ii) *There exists  $b > 0$  such that for every sequence  $\{a_k\}_k$  of scalars and every pair of natural numbers  $n < m$ , we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \tau_k \right\| \leq b \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \tau_k \right\|.$$

- (iii)  $\overline{\text{span}}\{\tau_n\}_n = \mathcal{X}$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$  be a Lipschitz atomic decomposition for a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . Then there is a Banach space  $\mathcal{Z}$  with a Schauder basis  $\{\omega_n\}_n$ , an injective map  $\theta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  and a map  $P : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  satisfying  $P(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{X}$ ,  $P^2 = P$  and  $P\omega_n = \theta\tau_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* We generalize the idea of proof of Theorem 2.6 in [8] (which is motivated from the arguments in [41]) to non-linear setting. Let  $c_{00}$  be the vector space of scalar sequences with only finitely many non-zero terms. Let  $\{e_n\}_n$  be the standard unit vectors in  $c_{00}$ .

Case (i):  $\tau_n \neq 0$ , for all  $n$ . We define a norm on  $c_{00}$  as follows. Let  $\{a_n\}_n \in c_{00}$ . Define

$$(9) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \right\| := \max_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \tau_k \right\|.$$

Proposition 3.12 then tells that  $\{e_n\}_n$  is a Schauder basis for the completion of  $c_{00}$ , call as  $\mathcal{Z}$  w.r.t. just defined norm. Define

$$\theta : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \theta x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)e_n \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

From the first condition of the definition of Lipschitz atomic decomposition, from Definition 9 and from the construction of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , it follows that  $\theta$  is well-defined. From the third condition of definition of atomic decomposition,  $\theta$  is injective. We next define

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{Z} \ni \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \mapsto \Gamma \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \right) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{X}.$$

By verifying  $\Gamma$  is bounded linear on dense subset  $c_{00}$  of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , we see that  $\Gamma$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then

$$(10) \quad \Gamma \theta x = \Gamma \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)e_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\tau_n = x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

So if we define  $P := \theta\Gamma$ , then  $P^2 = \theta\Gamma\theta\Gamma = \theta\Gamma = P$ . Equation (10) tells that  $P(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{X}$ . We next see that  $Pe_n = \theta\Gamma e_n = \theta\tau_n, \forall n$ . Thus we can take  $\omega_n = e_n$ , for all  $n$  to get the result.

Case (ii):  $\tau_n = 0$ , for some  $n$ . Let  $J = \{n : \tau_n \neq 0\}$ . We now apply case (i) to the collection  $\{a_n\}_{n \in J}$ . Let  $\theta, \mathcal{Z}, \Gamma$  and  $P$  be as in the case (i). Without affecting the definition of atomic decomposition, we can take  $f_n = 0$  for all  $n \in J^c$ . Now consider the space  $\mathcal{Z} \oplus \ell^2(J^c)$  and let  $\{\rho_n\}_{n \in J^c}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\ell^2(J^c)$ . Define  $Q : \mathcal{Z} \oplus \ell^2(J^c) \ni z \oplus y \mapsto Q(z \oplus y) := Pz \oplus 0 \in \mathcal{Z} \oplus \ell^2(J^c)$ . Now the space  $\mathcal{Z} \oplus \ell^2(J^c)$  has Schauder basis  $\{\tau_n \oplus 0, 0 \oplus \rho_m\}_{n \in J, m \in J^c}$  and  $Q$  satisfy the conclusions. Thus we can take  $\omega_n = e_n$ , for all  $n \in J$  and  $\omega_n = \rho_n$ , for all  $n \in J^c$  to get the result.  $\square$

We leave the further study of Lipschitz atomic decomposition to future work and end the paper with an application of Theorem 2.9.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$  be a Lipschitz atomic decomposition with lower Lipschitz atomic bound  $a$  and upper Lipschitz atomic bound  $b$  for  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . Let  $\{\omega_n\}_n$  be a collection in  $\mathcal{X}$  and suppose that there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu \geq 0$  such that the following conditions hold.*

- (i) *For each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\omega_n$  converges in  $\mathcal{X}$ .*
- (ii)  *$\max\{\lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \mu b\} < 1$  and*
- (iii)

$$(11) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - d_n)(\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \leq \lambda_1 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - d_n)\tau_n \right\| + \lambda_2 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - d_n)\omega_n \right\| + \mu \|\{c_n - d_n\}_n\|, \quad \forall \{c_n\}_n, \{d_n\}_n \in \mathcal{M}_d.$$

*Then there exists a collection  $\{g_n\}_n$  in  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$  is a Lipschitz atomic decomposition for  $\mathcal{X}$  with lower and upper Lipschitz atomic bounds*

$$\frac{a(1 - \lambda_2)}{1 + \lambda_1 + \mu b}, \quad \frac{b(1 + \lambda_2)}{1 - (\lambda_1 + \mu b)}$$

*respectively.*

*Proof.* From the first condition we get that the map  $T : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\omega_n \in \mathcal{X}$  is well-defined. Now using Inequality (11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y - (Tx - Ty)\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(x) - f_n(y))\tau_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(x) - f_n(y))\omega_n \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(x) - f_n(y))(\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(x) - f_n(y))\tau_n \right\| + \lambda_2 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(x) - f_n(y))\omega_n \right\| + \mu \|\{f_n(x) - f_n(y)\}_n\| \\ &= \lambda_1 \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\| + \mu \|\{f_n(x) - f_n(y)\}_n\| \\ &\leq (\lambda_1 + \mu b) \|x - y\| + \lambda_2 \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9 then says that  $T$  is Lipschitz, invertible and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1 + \mu b} \leq \text{Lip}(T)^{-1} \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - (\lambda_1 + \mu b)}.$$

Define  $g_n := f_n T^{-1}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{g_n(x)\}_n \in \mathcal{M}_d$ , for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{g_n(x) - g_n(y)\}_n\| &= \|\{f_n(T^{-1}x) - f_n(T^{-1}y)\}_n\| \leq b\|T^{-1}x - T^{-1}y\| \\ &\leq b \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - (\lambda_1 + \mu b)} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}, \\ a \frac{1 - \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1 + \mu b} \|x - y\| &\leq a\|T^{-1}x - T^{-1}y\| \leq \|\{f_n(T^{-1}x) - f_n(T^{-1}y)\}_n\| \\ &= \|\{g_n(x) - g_n(y)\}_n\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)\omega_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(T^{-1}x)\omega_n = T(T^{-1}x) = x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

□

We conclude the paper with the following remarks.

**Remark 3.15.** *We can improve Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.6 and 3.14 using Theorem 2.13.*

**Remark 3.16.** *So far in the literature, there are three ways to prove Theorem 1.2 one given in [9], another in [49] and yet another in [11]. As we mentioned earlier, we have done the non-linear version of arguments used in [49]. We hope that arguments used in [9] and [11] can be generalized to give different proofs of Theorem 2.9.*

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Dr. P. Sam Johnson, Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Surathkal for several discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Maynard G. Arsove. The Paley-Wiener theorem in metric linear spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 10:365–379, 1960.
- [2] Jozef Banas and Mohammad Mursaleen. *Sequence spaces and measures of noncompactness with applications to differential and integral equations*. Springer, New Delhi, 2014.
- [3] Annamaria Barbagallo, Octavian-Emil Ernst, and Michel Théra. Orthogonality in locally convex spaces: two nonlinear generalizations of Neumann’s lemma. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 484(1):123663, 18, 2020.
- [4] R. P. Boas, Jr. Expansions of analytic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48:467–487, 1940.
- [5] Sara Botelho-Andrade, Peter G. Casazza, Desai Cheng, and Tin T. Tran. The solution to the frame quantum detection problem. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 25(5):2268–2323, 2019.
- [6] Sergio Campanato. On the condition of nearness between operators. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 167:243–256, 1994.
- [7] Peter Casazza, Ole Christensen, and Diana T. Stoeva. Frame expansions in separable Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 307(2):710–723, 2005.
- [8] Peter G. Casazza, Deguang Han, and David R. Larson. Frames for Banach spaces. In *The functional and harmonic analysis of wavelets and frames (San Antonio, TX, 1999)*, volume 247 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 149–182. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [9] Peter G. Casazza and Nigel J. Kalton. Generalizing the Paley-Wiener perturbation theory for Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(2):519–527, 1999.
- [10] Peter G. Casazza, Gitta Kutyniok, and Shidong Li. Fusion frames and distributed processing. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 25(1):114–132, 2008.
- [11] Peter G. Casazza and Ole Christensen. Perturbation of operators and applications to frame theory. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 3(5):543–557, 1997.
- [12] Ole Christensen. Frame perturbations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(4):1217–1220, 1995.



- [13] Ole Christensen. A Paley-Wiener theorem for frames. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(7):2199–2201, 1995.
- [14] Ole Christensen. *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], second edition, 2016.
- [15] Ole Christensen and Marzieh Hasannasab. Operator representations of frames: boundedness, duality, and stability. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 88(4):483–499, 2017.
- [16] Ole Christensen and Christopher Heil. Perturbations of Banach frames and atomic decompositions. *Math. Nachr.*, 185:33–47, 1997.
- [17] Ole Christensen, Chris Lennard, and Christine Lewis. Perturbation of frames for a subspace of a Hilbert space. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 30(4):1237–1249, 2000.
- [18] Ole Christensen and Diana T. Stoeva.  $p$ -frames in separable Banach spaces. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 18(2-4):117–126, 2003.
- [19] Wojciech Czaja. Remarks on Naimark’s duality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(3):867–871, 2008.
- [20] H. G. Dales. *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, volume 24 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [21] Béla de Sz. Nagy. Expansion theorems of Paley-Wiener type. *Duke Math. J.*, 14:975–978, 1947.
- [22] Jiu Ding. New perturbation results on pseudo-inverses of linear operators in Banach spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 362:229–235, 2003.
- [23] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:341–366, 1952.
- [24] Jean-Pierre Gabardo and Deguang Han. Frames associated with measurable spaces. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 18(2-4):127–147, 2003.
- [25] Karlheinz Gröchenig. Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. *Monatsh. Math.*, 112(1):1–42, 1991.
- [26] Xunxiang Guo. Perturbations of invertible operators and stability of  $g$ -frames in Hilbert spaces. *Results Math.*, 64(3-4):405–421, 2013.
- [27] Deguang Han, Wu Jing, and Ram N. Mohapatra. Perturbation of frames and Riesz bases in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431(5-7):746–759, 2009.
- [28] Deguang Han, Keri Kornelson, David Larson, and Eric Weber. *Frames for undergraduates*, volume 40 of *Student Mathematical Library*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [29] Deguang Han and David R. Larson. Frames, bases and group representations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(697):x+94, 2000.
- [30] Deguang Han, David R. Larson, Bei Liu, and Rui Liu. Dilations of frames, operator-valued measures and bounded linear maps. In *Operator methods in wavelets, tilings, and frames*, volume 626 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 33–53. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [31] Deguang Han, David R. Larson, Bei Liu, and Rui Liu. Operator-valued measures, dilations, and the theory of frames. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 229(1075):viii+84, 2014.
- [32] Deguang Han, David R. Larson, and Rui Liu. Dilations of operator-valued measures with bounded  $p$ -variations and framings on Banach spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 274(5):1466–1490, 2018.
- [33] Sven H. Hilding. Note on completeness theorems of Paley-Wiener type. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 49:953–955, 1948.
- [34] B. S. Kashin and T. Yu. Kulikova. A remark on the description of frames of general form. *Mat. Zametki*, 72(6):941–945, 2002.
- [35] Yoo Young Koo and Jae Kun Lim. Perturbation of frame sequences and its applications to shift-invariant spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 420(2-3):295–309, 2007.
- [36] K. Mahesh Krishna and P. Sam Johnson. Frames for metric spaces. <http://arXiv.org/2011.01870v1> [math.FA] 3 November 2020.
- [37] David R. Larson and Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec. Framings and dilations. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 79(3-4):529–543, 2013.
- [38] Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. I*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [39] Carl Neumann. *Untersuchungen über das logarithmische und Newtonsche Potential*. Teubner, Leipzig, 1877.
- [40] Raymond E. A. C. Paley and Norbert Wiener. *Fourier transforms in the complex domain*, volume 19 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1987.
- [41] A. Pełczyński. Any separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property is a complemented subspace of a Banach space with a basis. *Studia Math.*, 40:239–243, 1971.

- 
- [42] Harry Pollard. Completeness theorems of Paley-Wiener type. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 45:738–739, 1944.
  - [43] James R. Retherford. Basic sequences and the Paley-Wiener criterion. *Pacific J. Math.*, 14:1019–1027, 1964.
  - [44] Friedrich Wilhelm Schäfer. Das Kriterium von Paley und Wiener im Banachschen Raum. *Math. Nachr.*, 3:59–61, 1949.
  - [45] Gustaf Söderlind. Bounds on nonlinear operators in finite-dimensional Banach spaces. *Numer. Math.*, 50(1):27–44, 1986.
  - [46] D. T. Stoeva and P. Balazs. Invertibility of multipliers. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 33(2):292–299, 2012.
  - [47] Diana T. Stoeva. Perturbation of frames in Banach spaces. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, 5(1):1250011, 15, 2012.
  - [48] Wenchang Sun. Stability of  $g$ -frames. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 326(2):858–868, 2007.
  - [49] S. J. L. van Eijndhoven. Hilding’s theorem for Banach spaces. *RANA: reports on applied and numerical analysis, volume 9612: Technische Universiteit Eindhoven*, pages 1–6, 1996.
  - [50] Nik Weaver. *Lipschitz algebras*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
  - [51] Xiaodan Yang and Yuwen Wang. Some new perturbation theorems for generalized inverses of linear operators in Banach spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 433(11-12):1939–1949, 2010.
  - [52] Robert M. Young. *An introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series*, volume 93 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1980.
  - [53] Ping Zhao, Chun Zhao, and Peter G. Casazza. Perturbation of regular sampling in shift-invariant spaces for frames. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(10):4643–4648, 2006.