

THE PLANAR PURE BRAID GROUP IS A DIAGRAM GROUP

DANIEL S. FARLEY

ABSTRACT. The n -strand planar pure braid group is the fundamental group of $\mathbb{R}^n - \Delta$, where Δ consists of all n -tuples in which three or more coordinates are the same.

We prove that the n -strand planar pure braid group is a diagram group, for all $n \geq 1$. Here, “diagram group” refers to the class of groups studied at length by Guba and Sapir. We can deduce numerous corollaries. For instance, the n -strand planar pure braid group acts freely and cocompactly on a CAT(0) cubical complex. It is linear, bi-orderable, and biautomatic. The representation of the planar pure braid groups as diagram groups also offers procedures for computing group presentations and homology.

We similarly find that “cylindrical” versions of the planar pure braid group are annular diagram groups. This establishes some of the above corollaries for the cylindrical (or annular) planar pure braid groups.

After initially posting a version of this preprint to the arXiv, the author learned that the main theorem (Theorem 1) had previously been observed by Anthony Genevois. We refer the reader to the acknowledgments for details.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the space $X_n = \mathbb{R}^n - \Delta$, where Δ is the set of all n -tuples of real numbers (x_1, \dots, x_n) , such that $x_i = x_j = x_k$, for some $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$. The fundamental group Γ_n of this space has been studied under several different names (for a short guide, see the introduction to [16]), and in mathematical contexts ranging from low-dimensional topology [14] to topological robotics [7]. We will follow [16] in calling Γ_n the n -strand planar pure braid group.

In this short note, we will show that Γ_n is a diagram group, for all $n \geq 1$. Indeed, it is not difficult to observe, after comparing definitions, that $\Gamma_n \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}_n, w_n)$, where $w_n = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid x_i x_j = x_j x_i \ (i \neq j) \rangle.$$

This is our main theorem. We will briefly review the necessary definitions in the body of the paper.

The author proved that each diagram group acts freely and cellularly on an explicitly defined CAT(0) cubical complex [3]. The quotient of the cube complex by this action is called the *Squier complex* in [5]. (Note that, in [10], it is the 2-skeleton of the above quotient that is called the Squier complex. In this note, we use the definition from [5].) Theorem 3.13 from [3] easily implies that the Squier complex is compact in the case of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}_n, w_n)$.

Date: December 11, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F36, 20F65; Secondary 57M07.

Key words and phrases. diagram groups, CAT(0) cubical complex, planar braid groups.

Various corollaries now follow from the work of others. Genevois [5] proves that any compact Squier complex is special. In particular, the associated diagram group embeds into a right-angled Artin group by results of [11], and is therefore linear [12, 13] and bi-orderable [2]. A result of Niblo and Reeves [17] shows that any group acting properly and cocompactly on a CAT(0) cubical complex is biautomatic. It follows directly that each Γ_n is linear, bi-orderable, and biautomatic. Guba and Sapir have proved that all diagram groups are bi-orderable [9], which gives a second proof that the Γ_n are bi-orderable. They have also computed presentations of diagram groups and the integral homology groups of diagram groups (see [10] and [8], respectively).

Several of the above corollaries also follow from the literature. The introduction of [16] clearly shows that, for each n , Γ_n is a finite-index subgroup of a right-angled Coxeter group. Coxeter groups are well-known to be linear, so the linearity of Γ_n follows directly. Moreover, the main result of [18] implies that right-angled Coxeter groups act properly and cocompactly on CAT(0) cubical complexes, which shows that Γ_n acts properly and cocompactly on a CAT(0) cubical complex. The latter fact implies that Γ_n is biautomatic [17]. We note also that presentations of Γ_n [15, 16] and integral homology groups of Γ_n [1] have been computed.

Thus, after comparing the above lists of corollaries, it appears that the proof that Γ_n is bi-orderable is our main new result. Nevertheless, the literature on diagram groups offers a number of additional techniques that may prove useful in the further study of planar pure braid groups.

Acknowledgments: I first learned about the planar braid groups during a stimulating talk by Jesús González at the “2nd International Workshop on Topology and Geometry” at the National University of San Marcos, Lima, Peru, in 2019. It is a pleasure to thank him, and the organizers of the conference. I would also like to thank Gabriel Drummond-Cole for encouraging me to write a short note on this topic.

[Added (9/8/2021): After I posted this preprint to the arXiv, Anthony Genevois informed me that Theorem 1 occurs as part of Example 5.43 from [6] (or Example 5.40 from the arXiv version). His example also showed that the planar pure braid group is acylindrically hyperbolic. I would also like to thank Shane O Rourke for pointing out a correction.]

2. DIAGRAM GROUPS

In this section, we offer a short definition of semigroup pictures and diagram groups. The reader who would like a more thorough introduction should refer to [4] or (especially) [10].

A *semigroup presentation* \mathcal{P} is a pair $\langle \Sigma \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$, where Σ is a finite set (or *alphabet*) and \mathcal{R} is a collection of equalities between positive, non-empty words in Σ . We consider three types of ingredients:

- a non-empty collection of *wires*, each of which is homeomorphic to $[0, 1]$;
- a possibly-empty collection of *transistors*, each of which is a homeomorph of $[0, 1]^2$. A non-empty collection of points on the top and bottom of any given transistor are *contacts*; each contact is labeled by a letter from Σ . (We do not allow the corners of a transistor to be contacts.) If we read the labels of the contacts on a given transistor from left to right, we obtain non-empty positive words w_t and w_b in the generators (from the top and bottom




FIGURE 1. On the left, we have an $(x_1x_2x_3, x_3x_1x_2)$ -picture over the presentation $\mathcal{P}_3 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \mid x_ix_j = x_jx_i (i \neq j) \rangle$. The right half of the figure depicts the operation of reducing a dipole in a diagram over \mathcal{P}_n .

of the transistor, respectively). We then say that the transistor in question is a (w_t, w_b) -transistor. The equality $w_t = w_b$ (or $w_b = w_t$) is required to be among the equalities of \mathcal{R} (note: and *not* merely a consequence of the latter);

- a *frame*, which is a homeomorph of $\partial[0, 1]^2$. Certain points on the top and bottom of the frame (but never the corners) are also called contacts and are given labels from the alphabet Σ . By reading the labels on these contacts from left to right, we obtain non-empty positive words u and v (from the top and bottom, respectively).

We assemble the above in \mathbb{R}^2 subject to certain constraints. The frame is to enclose all of the wires and transistors. Each wire is to be monotonic (i.e., no horizontal line can cross the same wire twice), and no two wires or two transistors may touch. Each wire should connect a pair of contacts having the same label, and every contact is to be the endpoint of some (unique) wire. The resulting figure is a (u, v) -semigroup picture over \mathcal{P} . Two such semigroup pictures are equivalent if they are isotopic by a label-matching isotopy.

Given a (u, v) -semigroup picture Δ_1 over \mathcal{P} and a (v, w) -semigroup picture Δ_2 over \mathcal{P} , we define the product $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$ by stacking Δ_1 on top of Δ_2 . This product is well-defined up to isotopy and $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$ is a (u, w) -semigroup picture over \mathcal{P} . Additionally, it is not difficult to see that \circ is associative when the relevant products exist. If we fix a positive non-empty word u in the alphabet Σ , then the collection of all (u, u) -semigroup pictures over \mathcal{P} becomes a semigroup.

If a (u, v) -transistor T_1 occurs above a (v, u) -transistor T_2 in such a way that the wires issuing from the bottom contacts of T_1 connect bijectively and in an order-preserving fashion to the top contacts of T_2 , then we say that T_1 and T_2 form a *dipole*. We *delete* the dipole by removing the transistors T_1 and T_2 and the wires connecting them, and then gluing the wires that were attached to the top of T_1 , in order, to the wires that were attached to the bottom of T_2 . The inverse of the latter operation is called *inserting* a dipole. We say that two semigroup pictures over \mathcal{P} are *equivalent modulo dipoles* if one can be obtained from the other by repeatedly inserting and/or deleting dipoles. “Equivalent modulo dipoles” is easily seen to be an equivalence relation on semigroup diagrams over \mathcal{P} .




FIGURE 2. We have depicted an instance of the planar braid relation, in the case of a three-strand braid.

The set of all (u, u) -semigroup diagrams over \mathcal{P} modulo dipoles make up a group under the operation \circ , denoted $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}, u)$ and called the *diagram group over \mathcal{P} at the base word u* .

3. PLANAR PURE BRAIDS

We will use the description of planar braids that appears in [16]. Fix a natural number n . A *planar braid* is a collection of n smooth descending arcs in \mathbb{R}^2 connecting n distinct points on one horizontal line with n distinct points directly beneath them on another horizontal line. A given point in \mathbb{R}^2 is allowed to be on two of the arcs, but never on three.

Two planar braids b_1 and b_2 are *isotopic* if there is an endpoint-preserving isotopy of the plane carrying b_1 onto b_2 such that all intermediate homeomorphisms in the isotopy also carry b_1 to planar braids. We then consider the isotopy classes of braids modulo the additional relation that allows adjacent strands of the planar braid to pass over each other, as pictured in Figure 2. The elements of the *n -strand planar braid group*, denoted \overline{B}_n , are the resulting equivalence classes. The product $b_1 b_2$ is defined by stacking b_1 on top of b_2 .

The *n -strand planar pure braid group* \overline{P}_n is the subgroup of \overline{B}_n consisting of planar braids in which the initial and terminal points of each descending arc lie on a vertical line.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{P}_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid x_i x_j = x_j x_i (i \neq j) \rangle$. The diagram group $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}_n, x_1 \dots x_n)$ is isomorphic to the n -strand planar pure braid group \overline{P}_n .*

Proof. We define a map $\phi : \overline{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}_n, x_1 \dots x_n)$ as follows. Given a planar pure braid b , we first enclose the braid in a frame in such a way that each strand connects a point on the top of the frame to a point on the bottom of the frame. The points of intersection at the top of the frame are labelled, from left to right, x_1 through x_n . The points of intersection at the bottom of the frame are labelled in the same way. We can now speak of the “ x_i -strand”, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, which is the unique strand connecting the point labelled x_i at the top, to the point labelled x_i at the bottom. These labels are necessarily the same, since b is a planar pure braid.

We can assume, up to equivalence, that each crossing in b is transverse. Let c be any such crossing. Assume that the x_i -strand crosses over the x_j -strand from left to right as we move down the braid diagram. In this case, we replace c with an $(x_i x_j, x_j x_i)$ -transistor. Repeating this procedure at each crossing, we eventually arrive at an $(x_1 \dots x_n, x_1 \dots x_n)$ -picture over the presentation \mathcal{P}_n . Conversely, taking any $(x_1 \dots x_n, x_1 \dots x_n)$ -picture Δ , we can remove all labels and replace each



FIGURE 3. On the left, we have an annular $(x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_3)$ -picture over \mathcal{P}_3 and, on the right, its corresponding annular planar pure braid. The dots on the left sides of the inner and outer circles are basepoints that are used to define the operation.

transistor with a transverse crossing. The result is a planar pure braid, which maps to Δ under ϕ .

Note that the planar braid equivalence depicted in Figure 2 has its exact counterpart in the procedure of reducing dipoles (see the right half of Figure 1). It follows that the correspondence ϕ is a well-defined bijection. Finally, we note that the operation (of stacking) is identical in both groups, which makes ϕ an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{P}_n be as defined in Theorem 1. The annular diagram group $D_a(\mathcal{P}_n, x_1 \dots x_n)$ is isomorphic to the annular planar pure braid group.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. The reader who is interested in the precise definition of annular diagram groups can consult [4] and [10]. We indicate the isomorphism in Figure 3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Anders Björner and Volkmar Welker. The homology of “ k -equal” manifolds and related partition lattices. *Adv. Math.*, 110(2):277–313, 1995.
- [2] Gérard Duchamp and Jean-Yves Thibon. Simple orderings for free partially commutative groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 2(3):351–355, 1992.
- [3] Daniel S. Farley. Finiteness and CAT(0) properties of diagram groups. *Topology*, 42(5):1065–1082, 2003.
- [4] Daniel S. Farley. Actions of picture groups on CAT(0) cubical complexes. *Geom. Dedicata*, 110:221–242, 2005.
- [5] Anthony Genevois. Hyperplanes of Squier’s cube complexes. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(6):3205–3256, 2018.
- [6] Anthony Genevois. Contracting isometries of CAT(0) cube complexes and acylindrical hyperbolicity of diagram groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 20(1):49–134, 2020.
- [7] Jesús González, José Luis León-Medina, and Christopher Roque-Márquez. Linear motion planning with controlled collisions and pure planar braids. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 23(1):275–296, 2021.
- [8] V. S. Guba and M. V. Sapir. Diagram groups and directed 2-complexes: homotopy and homology. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 205(1):1–47, 2006.
- [9] V. S. Guba and M. V. Sapir. Diagram groups are totally orderable. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 205(1):48–73, 2006.
- [10] Victor Guba and Mark Sapir. Diagram groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130(620):viii+117, 1997.
- [11] Frédéric Haglund and Daniel T. Wise. Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(5):1551–1620, 2008.

- [12] Tim Hsu and Daniel T. Wise. On linear and residual properties of graph products. *Michigan Math. J.*, 46(2):251–259, 1999.
- [13] Stephen P. Humphries. On representations of Artin groups and the Tits conjecture. *J. Algebra*, 169(3):847–862, 1994.
- [14] Mikhail Khovanov. Doodle groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(6):2297–2315, 1997.
- [15] Jacob Mostovoy. A presentation for the planar pure braid group. 2020.
- [16] Jacob Mostovoy and Christopher Roque-Márquez. Planar pure braids on six strands. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 29(1):1950097, 11, 2020.
- [17] G. A. Niblo and L. D. Reeves. The geometry of cube complexes and the complexity of their fundamental groups. *Topology*, 37(3):621–633, 1998.
- [18] G. A. Niblo and L. D. Reeves. Coxeter groups act on CAT(0) cube complexes. *J. Group Theory*, 6(3):399–413, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OH 45056 U.S.A.
Email address: farleyds@muohio.edu