

# Some Remarks on the Notion of Bohr Chaos and Invariant Measures

Matan Tal

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

## Abstract

The notion of Bohr chaos was introduced in [3, 4]. We answer a question raised in [3] of whether a non uniquely ergodic minimal system of positive topological entropy can be Bohr chaotic. We also prove that all systems with the specification property are Bohr chaotic, and by this line of thought give an independent proof (and strengthening) of theorem 1 of [3] for the case of invertible systems. In addition, we present an obstruction for Bohr chaos: a system with fewer than a continuum of ergodic invariant probability measures cannot be Bohr chaotic.

## 1 Introduction and Main Results

The notion of Bohr Chaos was introduced recently in [3, 4], but let us recall the definitions. Two bounded complex sequences  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$  are said to be *orthogonal* (or *uncorrelated*<sup>1</sup>) if  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n b_n \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Given a topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$ , i.e. a compact metric space  $X$  equipped with a continuous transformation  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , a bounded real sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is said to be *orthogonal* to it if it is orthogonal to all sequences  $(f(T^n x))_{n \geq 0}$  where  $x \in X$  and  $f \in C(X)$  (the complex valued continuous functions). Last,  $(X, T)$  is said to be *Bohr chaotic* if it is not orthogonal to any non-trivial bounded real sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , namely that there exists  $x \in X$  and  $f \in C(X)$

---

<sup>1</sup>Actually it corresponds to the notion of correlation only if one of the sequences has such an average equal to 0. But as we shall see in a moment that is the only interesting case.

for which  $(f(T^n x))_{n \geq 0}$  correlates with  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  in the sense:  $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f(T^n x) \right| > 0$ .

By non-triviality of  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  we mean  $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |a_n| > 0$ .

Notice that defining Bohr chaos with real sequences and functions will yield an equivalent definition since for any complex sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |a_n| > 0$  satisfies

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\Re(a_n)| > 0 \text{ or } \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\Im(a_n)| > 0.$$

Recall that two probability measure preserving systems  $(X, T, \mu)$ ,  $(Y, S, \nu)$  are measure-theoretically disjoint, by definition, if the only  $T \times S$  invariant measure on  $X \times Y$  that projects onto  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively is the product measure  $\mu \times \nu$  (this definition originates in [5]). In section 2 we observe that a Bohr chaotic topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  must have for any ergodic dynamical system  $(Y, S, \nu)$  an invariant measure for which the two systems will not be disjoint (cor. 2.2).

Given a uniquely ergodic system, by taking  $a_n = \xi^n$  where  $\xi \in S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  is not one of the eigenvalues of its Koopman operator on  $L^2$  (defined relative to its invariant probability measure), it is shown in [3] that it is not Bohr chaotic. In section 2 we then prove that any system possessing a cardinality strictly smaller than  $\aleph$  of ergodic invariant probability measures cannot be Bohr Chaotic (cor. 2.4).

By the well-known measure-theoretic disjointness of a system of zero measure-theoretic entropy to the Bernoulli-shift with two symbols (since it is a system of completely positive entropy), it is shown in [3] that any topological dynamical system of zero topological entropy is not Bohr chaotic. Thus a topological dynamical system cannot be Bohr chaotic if it is either of zero topological entropy or uniquely ergodic. Hence, the authors of [3] implicitly raised the question about the possibility of Bohr chaos in *minimal* systems (i.e. systems in which every orbit is dense) of positive topological entropy which are not uniquely ergodic (by section 2 here we know they should actually have a continuum of ergodic invariant probability measures). In section 3 we present an example of a minimal system which is indeed Bohr chaotic. The example's construction is inspired by the example of B. Weiss of a minimal system which is *universal*, in the sense that for any probability measure preserving system it possesses an invariant measure which makes it measure-theoretically

isomorphic to it (as usual, modulu null sets). So, in particular, Weiss' system has a continuum of ergodic invariant measures.

In section 4 we prove that invertible topological dynamical systems admitting the specification property are Bohr chaotic. Such systems are known to be universal for probability measure preserving systems with entropy less than theirs (see [1]). It is not known to us whether such a universality is by itself a sufficient condition for a topological dynamical system to be Bohr chaotic. In the end of section 4, we show how its results imply an independent proof and a generalization of theorem 1 of [3] when we restrict our attention to invertible systems (theorem 1 of [3] does not require the system to be invertible).

All sections are independent from one another although they share the common thread of linking Bohr chaos with an abundance of invariant measures.

**Note:** All measures referred to hereinafter are probability measures.

**Acknowledgements:** The author wishes to thank N. Chandgotia, A. Fan, H. Furstenberg, T. Meyerovitch and B. Weiss for their useful advice.

## 2 Bohr Chaos, Invariant Measures and Spectra

In [3] it is proved that a uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system is not Bohr Chaotic. Arguing along similar lines (related to N. Wiener's notion of generalized Fourier series - see [9, 10, 11]) it is possible to prove a more general fact.

**Lemma 2.1:** Let  $(Y, S)$  be a topological dynamical system with invariant measure  $\mu$ ,  $y$  a generic point for  $\mu$  and  $g \in C(Y)$  of vanishing integral. If  $(X, T)$  is a topological dynamical system, and  $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(T^n x) g(S^n y) \right| > 0$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $f \in C(X)$ , then there exists a  $T$ -invariant measure  $\eta$  for which  $(X, T, \eta)$  and  $(Y, S, \mu)$  are not measure-theoretically disjoint.

**Proof:** There exists a monotone sequence  $N_0 < N_1 < \dots$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} f(T^n x) g(S^n y)$  exists and is not zero.

Passing to a subsequence if necessary  $\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} \delta_{T^n x} \times \delta_{S^n y}$  converges to a measure  $\theta$  on  $(X \times Y, T \times S)$ . Taking  $\eta$  to be the projection of  $\theta$  onto  $X$ ,  $\theta$  becomes a joining of  $(X, T, \eta)$  and  $(Y, S, \mu)$ . But  $\int_{X \times Y} f(x) g(y) d\theta(x, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} f(T^n x) g(S^n y) \neq 0$  and therefore  $\theta$  is not the product measure and thus the two systems are not measure-theoretically disjoint. ■

**Corollary 2.2:** If  $(X, T)$  is Bohr chaotic and  $(Y, S, \mu)$  is an ergodic system then there exists a  $T$ -invariant measure  $\eta$  such that  $(X, T, \eta)$  and  $(Y, S, \mu)$  are not measure-theoretically disjoint.

**Proof:** For any generic point  $y \in Y$  and  $g \in C(Y)$  of vanishing integral for which

$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |g(S^n y)| > 0$ , Bohr chaoticity implies that there exists  $x \in X$  and  $f \in C(X)$  for which

$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(T^n x) g(S^n y) \right| > 0$  as needed in order to apply lemma 2.1. ■

It is unknown if the converse to cor. 2.2 is true, or even if in order to test Bohr chaoticity of  $(X, T)$  it is sufficient to consider sequences  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  which are generic points of the shift space  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  for some ergodic shift-invariant measure.

**Corollary 2.3:** If a topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  is Bohr Chaotic than for any

$\xi \in S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  there exists an invariant measure and an integer  $a > 0$  for which  $\xi^a$  is an eigenvalue of the Koopman operator on  $L^2(X, \mu)$ .

**Proof:** For  $R_\xi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  the rotation by  $\xi$  and  $m$  the Haar measure on  $S^1$  the fact that  $(X, T, \eta)$  is not measure-theoretically disjoint to  $(S^1, R_\xi, m)$  means that  $\xi^a$  is an eigenvalue for some integer  $a > 0$ , as required (it is a well-known fact that any Kronecker system is measure-theoretically disjoint from any measure preserving system which shares no common eigenvalues with it except 1 - this is a generalization of the even better known fact about measure-theoretic disjointness of

weakly-mixing and Kronecker systems). ■

**Corollary 2.4:** If a topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  has less than a continuum of ergodic invariant measures then it is not Bohr Chaotic.

**Proof :** If  $\{\mu_i\}_i$  are the ergodic invariant measures of the system then

$\Sigma(\mu_i) := \{\xi \in S^1 : \xi \text{ is an eigenvalue of the Koopman operator on } L^2(X, \mu_i)\}$  is countable and hence the cardinality of  $\Sigma = \bigcup_i \Sigma(\mu_i)$  is smaller than  $\aleph$ , so there must be some  $\xi \in S^1$  for which  $\xi^a \in S^1 \setminus \Sigma$  for all integers  $a > 0$ . We claim that if  $\xi^a \in S^1 \setminus \Sigma$  then it cannot be an eigenvalue of any invariant measure  $\mu$  (and then cor. 2.3 concludes the proof). For if  $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$  was an eigenfunction of the Koopman operator with eigenvalue  $\xi^a$ , then in  $L^2$  with respect to all measures in the ergodic decomposition it has to be either the zero function or an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\xi^a$ . Since  $\int |f| d\mu > 0$  the latter alternative has to occur on a set of positive measure of ergodic components. ■

The converse to cor. 2.3 is false. As a counter-example consider the subshift of  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  generated by the union of all Sturmian subshifts (it is the closure of this union). It satisfies the consequent of cor. 2.3 regarding the spectra of the invariant probability measures, but it is not Bohr chaotic because it has zero topological entropy (in any point of this system appear no more than  $N + 1$  different words of length  $N$ , and so it is immediate that any ergodic measure has zero entropy by considering one of its generic points).

### 3 An Example of a Minimal System Which is Bohr Chaotic

The idea for the following construction is taken from B. Weiss' example of a universal minimal system given in [8].

**Lemma 3.1:** Assume  $X \subseteq \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}$  ( $\Lambda$  a finite set) is a mixing shift of finite type (hereinafter abbreviated as SFT),  $L > 2$ ,  $W$  an allowable word in it and  $K > 0$  such that for any allowable words

$\alpha, \beta$  and  $l \geq K$  there exists an allowable word  $\alpha w \beta$  such that  $w$  is a word of length  $l$  that contains  $W$  (such a  $K$  always exists in a mixing SFT). Then the non-empty SFT  $X_{L,W,K} \subseteq X$  composed of the points in  $X$  for which every word of length  $LK$  contains  $W$  is mixing.

**Proof:** We construct for any  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_{L,W,K}$  a  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_{L,W,K}$  such that  $z_n = x_n$  for  $n < 0$  and  $z_n = y_n$  for  $n \geq LK$ . First, of course we define  $z_n = x_n$  for  $n < 0$  and  $z_n = y_n$  for  $n \geq LK$ . If  $W$  appears in  $x_{-length(W)+1} \dots x_0 \dots x_{K-1}$  then we define  $z_0 \dots z_{K-1} := x_0 \dots x_{K-1}$ , otherwise we take  $z_0 \dots z_{K-1}$  to be any word that contains  $W$  such that  $\dots x_{-3} x_{-2} x_{-1} z_0 \dots z_{K-1}$  is allowable in  $X$ . And if  $W$  appears in  $y_{(L-1)K} \dots y_{LK-1} \dots y_{LK+length(W)-2}$  then we define

$z_{(L-1)K} \dots z_{LK-1} := y_{(L-1)K} \dots y_{LK-1}$ , otherwise we take  $z_{(L-1)K} \dots z_{KL-1}$  to be any word that contains  $W$  such that

$z_{(L-1)K} \dots z_{KL-1} y_{KL} y_{KL+1} y_{KL+2} \dots$  is allowable in  $X$ .  $L > 2$  and so it remains to take any  $z_K \dots z_{(L-1)K-1}$  that contains  $W$  and for which  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X$ . It follows that  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_{L,W,K}$ . ■

**Lemma 3.2:** Assume we are given a sequence of positive integers  $L_i$  satisfying  $L := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{16}{L_i} < 1$ . With the aid of lemma 3.1, we define inductively a decreasing sequence of mixing SFTs:

$X_0 := \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $X_i$  is the mixing SFT with  $K_i$  as some  $K$  as in that lemma when applied to  $X_{i-1}$ ,  $L_i$  and  $W_{i-1}$  - some allowable word of  $X_{i-1}$ . Then the subshift  $X = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i \neq \emptyset$  is Bohr chaotic.

**Proof:** Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a bounded real sequence satisfying  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} |a_n| > 0$ . And let us assume without loss of generality that  $N_k > 2N_{k-1}$  for all  $k$ . We define a sequence  $(x_n^{(0)})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  by

$$x_n^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 1 & a_n \geq 0 \\ -1 & a_n < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} a_n x_n^{(0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} |a_n|$  for all  $k$ . The point is we can recursively modify  $(x_n^{(i-1)})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  to form  $(x_n^{(i)})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_i$  (for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $(*) \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} a_n x_n^{(i)} > \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{16}{L_j}\right) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} |a_n|$  for all  $k$ . This implies that any of  $(x_n^{(i)})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ 's partial limits (as a sequence in  $i$ )  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies

$\sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} a_n x_n > \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{16}{L_j}\right) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} |a_n|$  for all  $k$  and thus  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} a_n x_n \geq \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{16}{L_j}\right)$ .  
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} |a_n| > 0$ . It remains to show how those  $(x_n^{(i)})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_i$  fulfilling condition (\*) are constructed. For simplicity of notation let us assume that every  $L_i$  is a multiple of 8.

For every integer  $l$ , we divide the segment  $x_{lK_i \frac{L_i}{2}}^{(i-1)}, \dots, x_{(l+1)K_i \frac{L_i}{2}-1}^{(i-1)}$  into disjoint consecutive words of length  $K_i$ :  $V_1, \dots, V_{\frac{L_i}{2}}$ . If, for every  $l$ , we modify one of these  $V_i$  (say, sequentially for the non-negative  $l$ s and then sequentially for the negative ones) such that it will contain  $W_i$  while staying in  $X_{i-1}$ , then the new point will lie in  $X_i$ . We now describe how to choose a  $V_i$  to modify for every  $l$  such that also condition (\*) will be satisfied.

If there is no  $k$  for which  $lK_i \frac{L_i}{2} \leq N_k < (l+1)K_i \frac{L_i}{2}$  then  $(x_n^{(i)})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is defined by selecting from each such sequence of words  $V_1, \dots, V_{\frac{L_i}{2}}$  one for which the sum of  $|a_n|$  on its indices is minimal and modifying it to contain  $W_i$  - this is possible by the choice of  $K_i$ .

Else, if  $l > 0$  there is exactly one  $k_0$  for which  $lK_i \frac{L_i}{2} \leq N_{k_0} < (l+1)K_i \frac{L_i}{2}$  (since  $N_k > 2N_{k-1}$  for all  $k$ ), so this index  $N_{k_0}$  belongs either to  $V_1, \dots, V_{\frac{L_i}{4}}$  or to  $V_{\frac{L_i}{4}+1}, \dots, V_{\frac{L_i}{2}}$ . In the one of these two word collections of which  $N_{k_0}$  does not belong, we select the word for which the sum of  $|a_n|$  on its indices is minimal and modify it to contain  $W_i$ .

The case which is left to handle is the case where  $l = 0$  and there exist  $k$ s for which  $0 < N_k < K_i \frac{L_i}{2}$ . But then again, although there can be many  $k$ s for which  $0 < N_k < K_i \frac{L_i}{4}$ , there can be at most one  $k_0$  for which  $K_i \frac{L_i}{4} \leq N_{k_0} < K_i \frac{L_i}{2}$ . So such an index  $N_{k_0}$  can belong either to at most one of  $V_{\frac{L_i}{4}+1}, \dots, V_{\frac{3L_i}{8}}$  or to  $V_{\frac{3L_i}{8}+1}, \dots, V_{\frac{L_i}{2}}$ . And again, in the one of these two word collections of which  $N_{k_0}$  does not belong, we select the word for which the sum of  $|a_n|$  on its indices is minimal and modify it to contain  $W_i$ . ■

**Theorem 3.3:** There exists a minimal topological dynamical system that is Bohr Chaotic.

**Proof:** We will construct a minimal subshift  $X \subseteq \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  that satisfies the conditions of lemma

3.2. First let us fix some sequence of positive integers  $L_i$  satisfying  $L := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{16}{L_i} < 1$ .

We construct a subshift  $X$  of the shift system  $(\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  as the intersection of a descending family of mixing SFTs  $X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq \dots$  defined recursively (the recursion will also define  $0 < K_1 < K_2 < \dots$  and  $W_0, W_1, \dots \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{-1, 1\}^n$  which are certain allowable words in the corresponding  $X_i$ s):

- $X_0$  will be the full shift: all possible sequences of  $-1$ s and  $1$ s. It is of course a mixing SFT, ”  $-11$ ” is an allowable word and we denote it by  $W_0$ .
- Since  $X_{i-1}$  is a mixing SFT there exists  $K_i > 0$  such that for any allowable of words  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $l \geq K_i$  there exists an allowable word  $\alpha w \beta$  such that  $w$  is a word of length  $l$  that contains  $W_{i-1}$ .  $X_i$  will be the subshift of  $X_{i-1}$  for which every word of length  $L_i K_i$  contains  $W_{i-1}$ . By lemma 3.1 it is a mixing SFT.

Choose  $W_i$  to be a word containing all  $X_i$  allowable words of length  $i + 1$ .

$X := \bigcap_i X_i$  is non-empty by compactness of each  $X_i$ , and it is minimal because by the choice of the  $W_i$ s all points have dense orbits. ■

## 4 The Specification Property implies Bohr Chaos

### 4.1 Proof for an Invertible Subshift

Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite set. An invertible subshift  $X$  of the shift system  $(\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ , containing more than one point, is said to satisfy *the specification property*, if there exists some  $u \geq 0$  such that for any two allowable words  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of  $X$  (in any length) there exists a point  $x \in X$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  appearing in it spaced by exactly  $u$  coordinates (on which there is no constraint). Mixing sofic subshifts containing more than one point are such examples. Notice that all subshifts admitting specification have positive topological entropy. In the present section we prove that such an  $X$  is Bohr chaotic.



We define the overlap between two different words  $a_0 \dots a_{r-1}$  and  $b_0 \dots b_{s-1}$  to be

$$\max(\{1 \leq k \leq r, s : a_{r-k} \dots a_{r-1} = b_0 \dots b_{k-1} \text{ or } b_{s-k} \dots b_{s-1} = a_0 \dots a_{k-1}\} \cup \{0\}),$$

and the self-overlap of a word  $a_1 \dots a_m$  to be

$$\max(\{1 \leq k < m : a_{r-k} \dots a_{r-1} = a_0 \dots a_{k-1}\} \cup \{0\}).$$

**Theorem 4.1.1:** An invertible subshift  $X$  admitting specification is Bohr chaotic.

**Proof:** The idea is to take two different allowable words  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of equal length  $l$ , and to consider the subshift  $Y \subseteq X$  containing all points composed of these words with spaces  $u$  between them (the spaces can contain anything). Now, if all such points could be locally parsed uniquely, then it would be possible to define a  $\sigma$ -equivariant continuous map  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Z$  that receives such a point and “forgets” the information in the spaces: fills them all with zeros. Denoting by  $Z$  the image of  $\varphi$ , and by  $Z'$  all points of  $Z$  of which an  $\alpha$  or a  $\beta$  begins at the zero coordinate.  $Z'$  is not invariant to  $\sigma$ , however it is invariant to  $\sigma^{l+u}$  and  $(Z', \sigma^{l+u})$  is isomorphic to  $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ .  $Z', \sigma(Z'), \dots, \sigma^{l+u-1}(Z')$  are pairwise disjoint, and this easily implies that  $(Z, \sigma)$  is Bohr chaotic and hence so is  $(X, \sigma)$  (one can use the main result of [3] but there is no need for it).

It is left to find words  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for which the parsing will indeed be unique. Taking  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be two words as in lemma 4.1.2 for  $r = \frac{1}{3}$ , double parsing implies  $l - \frac{l}{3} - u < \frac{l}{3}$  which is equivalent to  $l < 3u$ . So taking  $l \geq 3u$  guarantees that the parsing will be unique. ■

**Lemma 4.1.2:** For  $0 < r < 1$ , an invertible subshift  $X$  of topological entropy  $h > 0$ , and any large enough  $l$  there exists two different allowable words  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of length  $l$  such that the overlap between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and the self-overlaps of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are all smaller than  $rl$ .

**Proof:** Given an ergodic invariant measure  $\mu$  of entropy  $\tilde{h}$  on  $(X, \sigma)$ , by theorem 1 of [7],

$x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X$   $\mu$ -almost-surely satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_n(x)}{n} = \tilde{h}$  where

$R_n(x) = \min \{k > 0 : x_k \dots x_{k+n-1} = x_0 \dots x_{n-1}\}$  (as one would probably guess from the Shannon-Macmillan-Breiman theorem). In fact, theorem 1 of [7] says exactly this but for a slightly different

definition of  $R_n(x)$ . It is defined as  $\min \{k > n - 1 : x_k \dots x_{x_{k+n-1}} = x_0 \dots x_{n-1}\}$ , and let us denote this definition as  $R'_n(x)$ . We claim that for any given  $x$  - unless  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R'_n(x)}{n} = 0$  (and then also  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_n(x)}{n} = 0$ ) -  $R_n(x) \neq R'_n(x)$  differ only for a finite set of  $n$ -s (and hence

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_n(x)}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R'_n(x)}{n}$ ). For if there exists an infinite strictly monotone increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  for which  $R_{n_k}(x) \neq R'_{n_k}(x)$ , then  $R'_{R_{n_k}(x)}(x) = R_{n_k}(x)$ , and hence

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R'_{R_{n_k}(x)}(x)}{R_{n_k}(x)} = 0$ . So theorem 1 of [7] indeed implies the result stated at the beginning of this paragraph.

Taking  $\mu$  to be an ergodic invariant measure of maximal entropy on  $(X, \sigma)$ , we obtain

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_n(x)}{n} = h > 0$   $\mu$ -almost-surely. By Egorov's theorem this implies that for any large enough  $l$  there is a positive  $\mu$ -probability that  $x$  will satisfy  $R_{\lfloor rl \rfloor}(x) > 2l$  and  $R_{\lfloor rl \rfloor}(\sigma^l x) > l$ . For any such  $x$ ,  $\alpha = x_0 \dots x_{l-1}$  and  $\beta = x_l \dots x_{2l-1}$  will do. ■

## 4.2 Proof for a General Invertible Topological Dynamical System

Let us recall the definition of the specification property for a general invertible topological dynamical system - not necessarily a subshift (it appears, for example, in [6]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space containing more than one point, and  $(X, T)$  a topological dynamical system.

For any  $z \in X$ , an orbit segment  $z, Tz, \dots, T^{r-1}z$  is said to  $\varepsilon$ -shadow a sequence  $z^{(0)}, \dots, z^{(r-1)} \in X$  if

$$d(z, z^{(0)}), d(Tz, z^{(1)}), \dots, d(T^{r-1}z, z^{(r-1)}) < \varepsilon.$$

$(X, T)$  is said satisfy *the specification property*, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $u_\varepsilon \geq 0$  such that for any finite number of orbit segments

$$(x^{(1)}, Tx^{(1)}, \dots, T^{r_1-1}x^{(1)}), \dots, (x^{(n)}, Tx^{(n)}, \dots, T^{r_n-1}x^{(n)})$$

there exists a point  $z \in X$  for which

$$z, Tz, \dots, T^{r_1-1}z \text{ } \varepsilon\text{-shadows } x^{(1)}, Tx^{(1)}, \dots, T^{r_1-1}x^{(1)},$$

$$T^{r_1+u_\varepsilon}z, Tz, \dots, T^{r_1+r_2+u_\varepsilon-1}z \text{ } \varepsilon\text{-shadows } x^{(2)}, Tx^{(2)}, \dots, T^{r_2-1}x^{(2)},$$

$T^{r_1+r_2+2u_\varepsilon}z, Tz, \dots, T^{r_1+r_2+r_3+2u_\varepsilon-1}z$   $\varepsilon$ -shadows  $x^{(3)}, Tx^{(3)}, \dots, T^{r_3-1}x^{(3)}$  etc.

We define the  $\varepsilon$ -overlap between two different orbit segments  $x, Tx, \dots, T^{r-1}x$  and  $y, Ty, \dots, T^{s-1}y$  of points  $x, y \in X$  and the  $\varepsilon$ -self-overlap an orbit segment  $x, f(x), \dots, f^{r-1}(x)$  in the same manner as the parallel notions of section 4.1 only with the equalities inside the curly brackets replaced by requiring  $\varepsilon$ -shadowing (for example, we do not require  $T^{r-k}x \dots T^{r-1}x = y \dots T^{k-1}y$  but that  $T^{r-k}x \dots T^{r-1}x$   $\varepsilon$ -shadows  $y \dots T^{k-1}y$ ).

**Theorem 4.2.1:** Given a compact metric space  $(X, d)$ , an invertible topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  admitting specification is Bohr chaotic.

**Proof:** Considering an  $\varepsilon$  as in lemma 4.2.2 with  $r = \frac{1}{3}$ , we then take two orbit segments  $x, Tx, \dots, T^{l-1}x$  and  $y, Ty, \dots, T^{l-1}y$  with small  $\varepsilon$ -overlaps as guaranteed to exist by it and also require  $l \geq 3u_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}$ .

We denote by  $Y \subseteq X$  the sub-system containing all points  $z$  such that  $\dots, T^{-2}z, T^{-1}z, z, Tz, T^2z, \dots$  is composed of  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -shadowings of the above two orbit segments with  $u_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}$  spaces between them which can contain anything. These points can be uniquely parsed, and from here we can argue as in the first paragraph of theorem 4.1.1 to finish the proof. ■

**Remark:** Notice that all we need for theorem 4.2.1 is that for some  $\varepsilon$  and  $l$  there will be two different orbit segments of length  $l$  with an  $\varepsilon$ -overlap and  $\varepsilon$ -self-overlaps smaller than  $\frac{l}{3}$  which “admit specification” for  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  with spaces  $u_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \leq \frac{l}{3}$ . By “admitting specification” we mean that we can combine them as we wish (up to  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -shadowings) with spaces of size  $u_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}$  to form a complete orbit. So we do not need the entire specification property in all its strength.

**Lemma 4.2.2:** Given  $0 < r < 1$ , a compact metric space  $(X, d)$ , an invertible topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  of topological entropy  $h > 0$ , then for any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , any large enough  $l$  satisfies that there exist two different orbit segments  $x, Tx, \dots, T^{l-1}x$  and  $y, Ty, \dots, T^{l-1}y$  with the  $\varepsilon$ -overlap between them and their  $\varepsilon$ -self-overlaps all smaller than  $rl$ .<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>A similar result can be found in lemma 5.6 of [1].

**Proof:** First we state a theorem from [2]. Denote  $B_{n,\varepsilon}(x) := \{y \in X : \forall 0 \leq i < n \, d(T^i y, T^i x) < \varepsilon\}$  (the  $n$ -th Bowen ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  around  $x$ ),  $R_{n,\varepsilon}(x) := \min \{k > 0 : T^k x \in B_{n,\varepsilon}(x)\}$ . If  $\mu$  is an ergodic invariant measure of entropy  $\tilde{h}$  on  $(X, T)$ , then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_{n,\varepsilon}(x)}{n}$  equals a constant  $C_\varepsilon$   $\mu$ -almost-surely. Theorem 3 of [2] (together with remark (D) above it) says that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\varepsilon = \tilde{h}$ .

Using this theorem in the same spirit as theorem 1 of [7] in the last paragraph of the proof of lemma 4.1.2 yields the required result. ■

For a topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$ , theorem 1 in [3] says that if it contains a closed subset  $Y$  for which there exists a  $k > 0$  such that  $Y$  is  $T^k$ -invariant and  $(Y, T^k) \cong (\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  (for some finite set  $\Lambda$ ) then it is Bohr chaotic. It is interesting to notice that the proof of theorem 4.2.1 - together with the remark following it - can serve us for an independent ergodic-theoretic proof of this fact for an invertible system in an even more general formulation.

**Theorem 4.2.3:** If an invertible topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  contains a closed subset  $Y$  for which there exists a  $k > 0$  such that  $Y$  is  $T^k$ -invariant and  $(Y, T^k)$  admits specification then  $(X, T)$  is Bohr chaotic.

**Proof:** We show that the system  $\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i Y, T\right)$  is a topological dynamical system that fulfills the requirement of the remark following the proof of theorem 4.2.1, thus it is Bohr chaotic and thus so is  $(X, T)$ .

$\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i Y, T\right)$  has positive entropy (because  $(Y, T^k)$  has), and so there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  that fits lemma 4.2.2.

We take a  $\delta > 0$  for which  $d(x, y), d(Tx, Ty), d(T^2x, T^2y), \dots, d(T^{k-1}x, T^{k-1}y) < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}$  for any  $x, y \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i Y$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ .

By lemma 4.2.2, there exist two different orbit segments  $x^{(1)}, Tx^{(1)}, \dots, T^{(l'-1)k}x^{(1)}$  and  $x^{(2)}, Tx^{(2)}, \dots, T^{(l'-1)k}x^{(2)}$  - where  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)} \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i Y$  - that have an  $\varepsilon_0$ -overlap and  $\varepsilon_0$ -self-overlaps all smaller than  $\frac{l'}{4}$  and  $l' \geq 3u_\delta$ , where  $u_\delta$  is the the specification spacing of  $(Y, T^k)$  for  $\delta$ . By

appending at most  $k - 1$  orbit coordinates to the left and to the right we can obtain two different orbit segments of length  $l$  satisfying  $l' \leq l \leq l' + 2k - 2$ :  $y^{(1)}, Ty^{(1)}, \dots, T^{(l-1)k}y^{(1)}$  and  $y^{(2)}, Ty^{(2)}, \dots, T^{(l-1)k}y^{(2)}$  - where  $y^{(1)}, y^{(2)} \in Y$  - that have an  $\varepsilon_0$ -overlap and  $\varepsilon_0$ -self-overlaps all smaller than  $\frac{l}{3}$  and  $l \geq 3u_\delta$ .

We claim that these last two orbit segments fulfill for the system  $\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i Y, T\right)$  what is required in the remark following the proof of theorem 4.2.1. The specification property of  $(Y, T^k)$  lets us combine them as we wish with spaces  $ku_\delta$  and  $\frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}$ -shadowings (because of the choice of  $\delta$ ). In addition, they are of length  $lk \geq 3ku_\delta$ . ■

## References

- [1] N. Chandgotia, T. Meyerovitch, Borel Subsystems and Ergodic Universality for Compact  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Systems via Specification and Beyond, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 2019.
- [2] T. Downarowicz, B. Weiss. Entropy Theorems along Times when  $x$  Visits a Set. Illinois J. Math., 48(1), pages 59–69, 2004.
- [3] A. Fan, S. Fan, V. Ryzhikov, W. Shen, Bohr Chaoticity of Topological Dynamical Systems, arXiv preprint, 2021.
- [4] A. Fan, K. Schmidt, E. Verbitskiy, Bohr Chaoticity of Principal Algebraic Actions and Riesz Product Measures, arXiv preprint, 2021.
- [5] H. Furstenberg, Disjointness in Ergodic Theory, Minimal Sets, and a Problem in Diophantine Approximation, Mathematical Systems Theory 1, pages 1-49, 1967.
- [6] D. Kwietniak, M. Łacka, P. Oprocha, A Panorama of Specification-Like Properties and Their Consequences, Dynamics and Numbers, Vol. 669 of Contemp. Math., AMS, pages 155–186, 2016.
- [7] D. S. Ornstein, B. Weiss, Entropy and Data Compression Schemes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 39, pages 78-83, 1993.

- [8] B. Weiss, Countable Generators in Dynamics - Universal Minimal Models, Contemporary Mathematics vol. 94 (Measure and Measurable Dynamics), pages 321-326, 1989.
- [9] N. Wiener, Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series with Engineering Applications, Technology Press of Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Wiley, 1949.
- [10] N. Wiener, Generalized Harmonic Analysis, Acta Mathematica, Vol. 55, pages 117–258, 1930.
- [11] N. Wiener, A. Wintner, Harmonic Analysis and Ergodic Theory, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 63, pages 415-426, 1941.

Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Edmond J. Safra campus, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel.

matan.tal@mail.huji.ac.il