

THE A -MÖBIUS FUNCTION OF A FINITE GROUP

FRANCESCA DALLA VOLTA AND ANDREA LUCCHINI

ABSTRACT. The Möbius function of the subgroup lattice of a finite group G has been introduced by Hall and applied to investigate several different questions. We propose the following generalization. Let A be a subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(G)$ of a finite group G and denote by $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$ the set of A -conjugacy classes of subgroups of G . For $H \leq G$ let $[H]_A = \{H^a \mid a \in A\}$ be the element of $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$ containing H . We may define an ordering in $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$ in the following way: $[H]_A \leq [K]_A$ if $H^a \leq K$ for some $a \in A$. We consider the Möbius function μ_A of the corresponding poset and analyse its properties and possible applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Möbius function of a finite partially ordered set (poset) P is the map $\mu_P : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\mu_P(x, y) = 0$ unless $x \leq y$, in which case it is defined inductively by the equations $\mu_P(x, x) = 1$ and $\sum_{x \leq z \leq y} \mu_P(x, z) = 0$ for $x < y$.

In a celebrated paper [7], P. Hall used for the first time the Möbius function μ of the subgroup lattice of a finite group G to investigate some properties of G , in particular to compute the number of generating t -tuples of G . A detailed investigation of the properties of the function μ associated to a finite group G is given by T. Hawkes, I. M. Isaacs and M. Özaydin in [8]. In that paper, the authors also consider the Möbius function λ of the poset of conjugacy classes of subgroups of G , where $[H] \leq [K]$ if $H \leq K^g$ for some $g \in G$ (see [8, Section 7]). In particular, they propose the interesting and intriguing question of comparing the values of μ and λ .

In this paper we aim to generalize the definitions and main properties of the functions μ and λ to a more general context. Let G and A be a finite group and a subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(G)$ of G , respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$ the set of A -conjugacy classes of subgroups of G . For $H \leq G$ let $[H]_A = \{H^a \mid a \in A\}$ be the element of $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$ containing H . We may define an ordering in $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$ in the following way: $[H]_A \leq [K]_A$ if $H^a \leq K$ for some $a \in A$; we consider the Möbius function μ_A of the corresponding poset. We will write $\mu_A(H, K)$ in place of $\mu_A([H]_A, [K]_A)$. When $A = \text{Inn}(G)$, we write $\mathcal{C}(G)$ and $[H]$, in place of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Inn}(G)}(G)$ and $[H]_{\text{Inn}(G)}$. When $A = 1$, $\mu_A = \mu$ is the Möbius function in the subgroup lattice of G , introduced by P. Hall. In the case when $A = \text{Inn}(G)$ is the group of the inner automorphism, then $\mu_{\text{Inn}(G)} = \lambda$. Note that for any subgroup A of $\text{Aut}(G)$, we get $[G]_A = \{G\}$.

In Section 2, we prove some general properties of μ_A . In particular we prove the following result:

Proposition 1. *Let G be a finite solvable group. If $G' \leq K \leq G$ and A is the subgroup of $\text{Inn}(G)$ obtained by considering the conjugation with the elements of K , then $\lambda(H, G) = \mu_A(H, G)$ for any $H \leq G$.*

To illustrate the meaning of the previous proposition, consider the following example. Let $G = A_4$ be the alternating group of degree 4 and A the subgroup of $\text{Inn}(G)$ induced by conjugation with the elements of $G' \cong C_2 \times C_2$. The posets $\mathcal{C}(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$ are different. For example there are three subgroups of G of order 2, which are conjugated in G , but not A -conjugated. However $\lambda(H, G) = \mu_A(H, G)$ for any $H \leq G$.

In Section 3, we generalize some result given by Hall in [7], about the cardinality $\phi(G, t)$ of the set $\Phi(G, t)$ of t -tuples (g_1, \dots, g_t) of group elements g_i such that $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$. As observed by P. Hall, using the Möbius inversion formula, it can be proved that

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(G, t) = \sum_{H \leq G} \mu(H, G) |H|^t.$$

We generalize this formula, showing that $\phi(G, t)$ can be computed with a formula involving μ_A for any possible choice of A .

Theorem 2. *For any finite group G and any subgroup A of $\text{Aut}(G)$,*

$$\phi(G, t) = \sum_{[H]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)} \mu_A(H, G) |\cup_{a \in A} (H^a)^t|.$$

If G is not cyclic, then $\phi(G, 1) = 0$, so we obtain the following equality, involving the values of μ_A .

Corollary 3. *If G is not cyclic, then*

$$0 = \sum_{[H]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)} \mu_A(H, G) |\cup_{a \in A} H^a|.$$

Further generalizations are given in Section 4, where we consider the function $\phi^*(G, t)$, which is an analogue of $\phi(G, t)$: actually, $\phi^*(G, t)$ denotes the cardinality of the set of t -tuples (H_1, \dots, H_t) of subgroups of G such that $G = \langle H_1, \dots, H_t \rangle$. As a corollary of our formula for computing $\phi^*(G, t)$, we obtain the following unexpected result.

Proposition 4. *Let $\sigma(X)$ denote the number of subgroups of a finite group X . For any finite group G , the following equality holds:*

$$1 = \sum_{H \leq G} \mu(H, G) \sigma(H).$$

Finally, in Section 5, we consider one question originated from a result given by Hawkes, Isaacs and Özaydin in [8]: they proved that the equality

$$\mu(1, G) = |G'| \lambda(1, G)$$

holds for any finite solvable group G ; later Pahlings [10] generalized the result proving that

$$(1.2) \quad \mu(H, G) = |N_{G'}(H) : G' \cap H| \cdot \lambda(H, G)$$

holds for any $H \leq G$ whenever G is finite and solvable. Following [6], we say that G satisfies the (μ, λ) -property if (1.2) holds for any $H \leq G$. Several classes of non-solvable groups satisfy the (μ, λ) -property, for example all the minimal non-solvable groups (see [6]). However it is known that the (μ, λ) -property does not hold for every finite group. For instance, it does not hold for the following finite almost simple groups: $A_9, S_9, A_{10}, S_{10}, A_{11}, S_{11}, A_{12}, S_{12}, A_{13}, S_{13}, J_2, PSU(3, 3), PSU(4, 3), PSU(5, 2), M_{12}, M_{23}, M_{24}, PSL(3, 11), HS, \text{Aut}(HS), \text{He}, \text{Aut}(H), McL, PSL(5, 2), G_2(4), Co_3, P\Omega^-(8, 2), P\Omega^+(8, 2)$. It is somehow intriguing to notice that although the (μ, λ) -property fails for the sporadic groups M_{12}, J_2, McL , it holds for their automorphism groups.

We prove the following generalization of Pahlings's result.

Theorem 5. *Let N be a solvable normal subgroup of a finite group G . If G/N satisfies the (μ, λ) -property, then G also satisfies the (μ, λ) -property.*

An almost immediate consequence of the previous theorem is the following.

Corollary 6. *$PSU(3, 3)$ is the smallest group which does not satisfy the (μ, λ) property.*

In the last part of Section 5, we use Theorem 2 to deduce some consequences of the (μ, λ) -property. In particular we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *Suppose that a finite group G satisfies the (μ, λ) -property. Then, for every positive integer t , the following equality is satisfied:*

$$\sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) \left(\frac{|H|^{t-1} |G| |G'H|}{|G'N_G(H)|} - |\cup_{a \in A} (H^a)^t| \right) = 0.$$

Some open questions are proposed along the paper.

2. APPLYING SOME GENERAL PROPERTIES OF THE MÖBIUS FUNCTION

Given a poset P , a closure on P is a function $\bar{\cdot} : P \rightarrow P$ satisfying the following three conditions:

- a) $x \leq \bar{x}$ for all $x \in P$;
- b) if $x, y \in P$ with $x \leq y$, then $\bar{x} \leq \bar{y}$;
- c) $\bar{\bar{x}} = \bar{x}$ for all $x \in P$.

If $\bar{\cdot}$ is a closure map on P , then $\overline{P} = \{x \in P \mid \bar{x} = x\}$ is a poset with order induced by the order on P . We have:

Theorem 8 (The closure theorem of Crapo [3]). *Let P be a finite poset and let $\bar{\cdot} : P \rightarrow P$ be a closure map. Fix $x, y \in P$ such that $y \in \overline{P}$. Then*

$$\sum_{\bar{z}=y} \mu_P(x, z) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\overline{P}}(x, y) & \text{if } x = \bar{x} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [7], P. Hall proved that if $H < G$, then $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$ only if H is an intersection of maximal subgroups of G . Using the previous theorem, the following more general statement can be obtained.

Proposition 9. *If $H < G$ and $\mu_A(H, G) \neq 0$, then H can be obtained as intersection of maximal subgroups of G .*

Proof. Let H be a proper subgroup of G and let \overline{H} be the intersection of the maximal subgroups of G containing H . The map $[H]_A \mapsto [\overline{H}]_A$ is a well defined closure map on $\mathcal{C}_A(G)$, so the conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 8. \square

An element a of a poset \mathcal{P} is called conjunctive if the pair $\{a, x\}$ has a least upper bound, written $a \vee x$, for each $x \in \mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 10. [8, Lemma 2.7] *Let \mathcal{P} be a poset with a least element 0, and let $a > 0$ be a conjunctive element of \mathcal{P} . Then, for each $b > a$, we have*

$$\sum_{a \vee x = b} \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = 0.$$

From the above Lemma 10, it follows easily the following Lemma 11 which, together with Lemma 12 and Lemma 14 allow us to prove Proposition 1

Lemma 11. *Let N be an A -invariant normal subgroup of G and $H \leq G$. If $H < HN < G$, then*

$$\mu_A(H, G) = - \sum_{[Y]_A \in \mathcal{S}_A(H, N)} \mu_A(H, Y),$$

with $\mathcal{S}_A(H, N) = \{[Y]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G) \mid [H]_A \leq [Y]_A < [G]_A \text{ and } YN = G\}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be the interval $\{[K]_A \in \mathcal{C}_G(A) \mid [H]_A \leq [K]_A \leq [G]_A\}$. Notice that $[HN]_A$ is a conjunctive element of \mathcal{P} . Indeed $[HN]_A \vee [K]_A = [KN]_A$ for every $[K]_A \in \mathcal{P}$. So the conclusion follows immediately from Lemma 10 \square

Lemma 12. *Let K and A be a subgroup of G and the subgroup of $\text{Inn}(G)$ induced by the conjugation with the elements of K , respectively. Assume that N is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of G contained in K and $H < HN \leq G$. Then*

$$\mu_A(H, G) = -\mu_A(HN, G)\gamma_A(N, H),$$

where $\gamma_A(N, H)$ is the number of A -conjugacy classes of complements of N in G containing H .

Proof. If $HN = G$, then H is a maximal subgroup of G , hence $\mu_A(H, G) = -1$, while $\mu_A(HN, G) = \mu_A(G, G) = 1$ and $\gamma_A(N, H) = 1$, so the statement is true. So we may assume $HN < G$ and apply Lemma 11. Suppose $[Y]_A \in \mathcal{S}_A(H, N)$. Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{J \leq G \mid H \leq J \leq Y\}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \{L \leq G \mid HN \leq L\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_A = \{[J]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G) \mid [H]_A \leq [J]_A \leq [Y]_A\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_A = \{[L]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G) \mid [HN]_A \leq [L]_A\}.$$

The map $\eta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ sending J to JN is an order preserving bijection. Clearly, if $J_2 = J_1^x$ for some $x \in K$, then $\gamma(J_2) = NJ_2 = NJ_1^x = (NJ_1)^x = (\gamma(J_1))^x$. Conversely assume $\gamma(J_2) = (\gamma(J_1))^x$ with $x \in K$. Since $YN = G$, $x = yn$ with $n \in N$ and $y \in Y \cap K$. Thus $J_2N = (J_1N)^x = (J_1N)^y$ and consequently $J_2 = J_2N \cap Y = (J_1N)^y \cap Y = (J_1N \cap Y)^y = J_1^y$. It follows that η induces an order preserving bijection from \mathcal{C}_A to \mathcal{D}_A , but then $\mu_A(H, Y) = \mu_A(HN, YN) = \mu_A(HN, G)$. \square

The statement of the previous lemma leads to the following open question.

Question 13. *Let G be a finite group, $A \leq \text{Aut}(G)$ and N an A -invariant normal subgroup of G . Does $\mu_A(HN, G)$ divide $\mu_A(H, G)$ for every $H \leq G$?*

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 14. *Let A be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ and N an A -invariant normal subgroup of G . Every $a \in A$ induces an automorphism \bar{a} of G/N . Let $\bar{A} = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in A\}$. Then, for any $H \leq G$, $\mu_A(HN, G) = \mu_{\bar{A}}(HN/N, G/N)$.*

Proof of Proposition 1. We work by induction on $|G| \cdot |G : H|$. The statement is true if G is abelian. Assume that G' contains a minimal normal subgroup, say N , of G . If $N \leq H$, then, by Lemma 14

$$\lambda(H, G) = \lambda(H/N, G/N) = \mu_{\bar{A}}(H/N, G/N) = \mu_A(H, G).$$

So we may assume $N \not\leq H$. If H is not an intersection of maximal subgroups of G , then $\lambda(H, G) = \mu_A(H, G) = 0$. Suppose $H = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_t$ where M_1, \dots, M_t are maximal subgroups of G . In particular N is not contained in M_i for some i , so M_i is a complement of N in G containing H and $N \cap H = 1$. By Lemma 12, we have

$$\lambda(H, G) = -\lambda(HN, G)\gamma(N, H), \quad \mu_A(H, G) = -\mu_A(HN, G)\gamma_A(N, H),$$

where $\gamma(N, H)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of complements of N in G containing H and $\gamma_A(N, H)$ is the number of A -conjugacy classes of these complements. Suppose that K_1, K_2 are two conjugated complements of N in G containing H . Then $K_2 = K_1^n$ for some $n \in N_N(H)$. Since $N \leq G' \leq K$, it follows $\gamma(N, H) = \gamma_A(N, H)$. Moreover, by induction, $\lambda(HN, G) = \mu_A(HN, G)$, hence we conclude $\lambda(H, G) = \mu_A(H, G)$. \square

3. GENERALIZING A FORMULA OF PHILIP HALL

We begin with introducing the functions $\Psi_A(H, t)$ and $\psi_A(H, t)$, analogue of $\Phi(H, t)$ and $\phi(H, t)$ in the general case of any possible subgroup A of $\text{Aut}(G)$.

For any $H \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)$ and any positive integer t , let

- (1) $\Omega_A(H, t) = \bigcup_{a \in A} (H^a)^t$;
- (2) $\omega_A(H, t) = |\Omega_A(H, t)|$;
- (3) $\Psi_A(H, t) = \{(g_1, \dots, g_t) \in G^t \mid \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle = H^a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$;
- (4) $\psi_A(H, t) = |\Psi_A(H, t)|$.

If $(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in \Omega_A(H, t)$, then $\langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle \leq H^a$ for some $a \in A$, hence $\langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle = K$ for some $K \leq G$ with $[K]_A \leq [H]_A$. Thus

$$\sum_{[K]_A \leq [H]_A} \psi_A(K, t) = \omega_A(H, t)$$

and therefore, by the Möbius inversion formula,

$$\sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)} \mu_A(H, G)\omega_A(H, t) = \psi_A(G, t).$$

On the other hand $\psi_A(G, t) = \phi(G, t)$ so we have proved the following formula.

Theorem 15. *For any finite group G and any subgroup A of $\text{Aut}(G)$,*

$$\phi(G, t) = \sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)} \mu_A(H, G)\omega_A(H, t).$$

Notice that if $A = 1$, then $\omega_A(H, t) = |H^t|$, so that the result by Hall given in (1.1) is a particular case of the previous theorem.

Corollary 16. *If G is not cyclic, then*

$$0 = \phi(G, 1) = \sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)} \mu_A(H, G) \omega_A(H, 1).$$

Taking $A = \text{Inn}(G)$, we deduce in particular that if G is not cyclic, then

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(H)} \lambda(H, G) \omega_{\text{Inn}(G)}(H, 1) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(H)} \lambda(H, G) |\cup_g H^g| = 0.$$

For example, if $G = S_4$, then the values of $\lambda(H, G)$ and $|\cup_g H^g|$ are as in the following table and $24 - 12 - 16 - 15 + 4 + 9 + 7 - 1 = 0$.

	$\lambda(H, G)$	$ \cup_g H^g $
S_4	1	24
A_4	-1	12
D_4	-1	16
S_3	-1	15
K	1	4
$\langle(1, 2, 3, 4)\rangle$	0	10
$\langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle$	1	9
$\langle(1, 2)\rangle$	1	7
$\langle(1, 2)(3, 4)\rangle$	0	4
1	-1	1

If $G = A_5$, then the values of $\lambda(H, G)$, $\omega_{\text{Inn}(G)}(H, 1) = |\cup_g H^g|$, $\omega_{\text{Inn}(G)}(H, 2) = |\cup_g (H^g)^2|$ (taking only the subgroups H with $\lambda(H, G) \neq 0$) are as in the following table and $60 - 36 - 36 - 40 + 21 + 32 - 1 = 0$.

	$\lambda(H, G)$	$ \cup_g H^g $	$ \cup_g (H^g)^2 $
A_5	1	60	3600
A_4	-1	36	636
S_3	-1	36	306
D_5	-1	40	550
$\langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle$	1	21	81
$\langle(1, 2)(3, 4)\rangle$	2	16	46
1	-1	1	1

Moreover

$$3600 - 636 - 306 - 550 + 81 + 2 \cdot 46 - 1 = 2280 = \frac{19}{30} \cdot 3600 = \phi(A_5, 2).$$

If $G = D_p = \langle a, b \mid a^p = 1, b^2 = 1, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle$ and p is an odd prime, then the behaviour of the subgroups in $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is described by the following table.

	$\lambda(H, G)$	$ \cup_g H^g $
D_p	1	$2p$
$\langle a \rangle$	-1	p
$\langle b \rangle$	-1	$p + 1$
1	-1	1

Another interesting example is given by considering $G = C_p^n$ and $A = \text{Aut}(G)$. Let $H \cong C_p^{n-1}$ be a maximal subgroup of G . Then, for $K \leq G$, $\mu_A(K, G) \neq 0$ if and only if either $[K]_A = [G]_A$ or $[K]_A = [H]_A$. Clearly $\cup_{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)} H^\alpha = G$ so $\mu_A(G, G)\omega_A(G, 1) - \mu_A(H, G)\omega_A(H, 1) = |G| - |G| = 0$. More in general $\Omega_A(H, t)$ is the set of t -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_t) such that $(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in K^t$ for some maximal subgroup K of G , so $\mu_A(G, G)\omega_A(G, t) - \mu_A(H, G)\omega_A(H, t) = |G|^t - \omega_A(H, t)$ is the number of generating t -tuples of G .

Another generalization of (1.1), essentially due to Gaschütz, has been described by Brown in [1, Section 2.2]. Let N be a normal subgroup of G and suppose that G/N admits t generators for some integer t . Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$ be a generating t -tuple of G/N and denote by $P(G, N, t)$ the probability that a random lift of y to a t -tuple of G generates G . Then $P(G, N, t) = \phi(G, N, t)|N|^t$, where $\phi(G, N, t)$ is the number of generating t -tuples of G lying over y . Using again the Möbius inversion formula it can be proved:

$$(3.1) \quad \phi(G, N, t) = \sum_{H \leq G, HN=G} \mu(H, G)|H \cap N|^t.$$

This formula can be generalized in our contest in the following way:

Theorem 17. *Let N be an A -invariant normal subgroup of G and fix $g_1, \dots, g_t \in G$ with the property that $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle N$. Define*

- $\Omega_A(H, N, t) = \{(n_1, \dots, n_t) \mid \langle g_1 n_1, \dots, g_t n_t \rangle \leq H^a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$;
- $\omega_A(H, N) = |\Omega_A(H, N, t)|$

and let $\mathcal{C}_A(G, N) = \{[H]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G) \mid HN = G\}$. Then

$$\phi(G, N, t) = \sum_{[H]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G, N)} \mu_A(H, G)\omega_A(H, N, t).$$

Proof. Fix $g_1, \dots, g_t \in G$ with the property that $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle N$. Then $\phi(G, N, t)$ is the cardinality of the set

$$\Phi(G, N, g_1, \dots, g_t) = \{(n_1, \dots, n_t) \in N^t \mid \langle g_1 n_1, \dots, g_t n_t \rangle = G\}.$$

Set:

$$\Psi_A(H, N, g_1, \dots, g_t) = \{(n_1, \dots, n_t) \in N^t \mid \langle g_1 n_1, \dots, g_t n_t \rangle = H^a \text{ for some } a \in A\};$$

$$\psi_A(H, N, t) = |\Psi_A(H, N, g_1, \dots, g_t)|.$$

Notice that $\omega_A(H, N, t) \neq 0$ if and only if $[H]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G, N)$. If $(n_1, \dots, n_t) \in \Omega_A(H, N, t)$, then $\langle g_1 n_1, \dots, g_t n_t \rangle \leq H^a$ for some $a \in A$, and $\langle g_1 n_1, \dots, g_t n_t \rangle = K$ for some $K \leq G$ with $[K]_A \leq [H]_A$. Thus

$$\sum_{[K]_A \leq [H]_A} \psi_A(K, N, t) = \omega_A(H, N, t)$$

and therefore, by the Möbius inversion formula

$$\sum_{[H]_A \in \mathcal{C}_A(G, N)} \mu_A(H, G)\omega_A(H, N, t) = \psi_A(G, N, t) = \phi(G, N, t) \quad \square$$

4. ANOTHER APPLICATION OF MÖBIUS INVERSION FORMULA

Denote by $\Phi^*(G, t)$ the set of t -tuples (H_1, \dots, H_t) of subgroups of G such that $G = \langle H_1, \dots, H_t \rangle$ and by $\phi^*(G, t)$ the cardinality of this set. For any $H \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)$ and any positive integer t , let

- (1) $\Sigma_A(H, t) = \{(H_1, \dots, H_t) \mid \langle H_1, \dots, H_t \rangle \leq H^a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$;
- (2) $\sigma_A(H, t) = |\Sigma_A(H, t)|$;
- (3) $\Gamma_A(H, t) = \{(H_1, \dots, H_t) \mid \langle H_1, \dots, H_t \rangle = H^a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$;
- (4) $\gamma_A(H, t) = |\Gamma_A(H, t)|$.

Theorem 18.

$$\phi^*(G, t) = \sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)} \mu_A(H, G) \sigma_A(H, t).$$

Proof. If $(H_1, \dots, H_t) \in \Sigma_A(H, t)$, then $\langle H_1, \dots, H_t \rangle = K$ for some $K \leq G$ with $[K]_A \leq [H]_A$. Thus

$$\sum_{[K] \leq_A [H]} \gamma_A(K, t) = \sigma_A(H, t)$$

and therefore, by the Möbius inversion formula,

$$\sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}_A(G)} \mu_A(H, G) \sigma_A(H, t) = \gamma_A(G, t) = \phi^*(G, t). \quad \square$$

In the particular case when $A = 1$, $\sigma_A(H, t) = \sigma(H)^t$, denoting with $\sigma(H)$ the number of subgroups of H . So we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 19.

$$\phi^*(G, t) = \sum_{H \leq G} \mu(H, G) \sigma(H)^t.$$

Clearly $\Sigma^*(G, t) = \{G\}$, so $\phi^*(G, 1) = 1$ and therefore it follows:

Corollary 20.

$$1 = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_A} \mu_A(H, G) \sigma_A(H, 1).$$

In particular:

Corollary 21.

$$1 = \sum_{H \leq G} \mu(H, G) \sigma(H).$$

For example, if $G = A_5$ then the subgroups of G with $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$ are listed in the following table (where $\kappa(H, G)$ denote the numbers of conjugate of H in G).

	$\mu(H, G)$	$\kappa(H, G)$	$\sigma(H)$
A_5	1	1	59
A_4	-1	5	10
S_3	-1	10	6
D_5	-1	6	8
$\langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle$	2	10	2
$\langle(1, 2)(3, 4)\rangle$	4	15	2
1	-60	1	1

According with Corollary 21, $1 = 59 - 5 \cdot 10 - 10 \cdot 6 - 6 \cdot 8 + 2 \cdot 10 \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 15 \cdot 2 - 60$.

For a finite group G , denote by $P(G, t)$ and $P^*(G, t)$ the probability of generating G with, respectively, t elements or t subgroups. It can be easily seen that $P(G, t) = P(G/\text{Frat}(G), t)$, but in general $P^*(G, t) \neq P^*(G/\text{Frat}(G), t)$. For example, if $G \cong C_{p^a}$, then G and $H \cong C_{p^{a-1}}$ are the unique subgroups of G with non trivial Möbius number and therefore

$$P(G, t) = \frac{|G|^t - |H|^t}{|G|^t} = 1 - \frac{1}{p^t},$$

$$P^*(G, t) = \frac{\sigma(G)^t - \sigma(H)^t}{\sigma(G)^t} = 1 - \frac{a^t}{(a+1)^t}.$$

So $P(G, t)$ is independent on a , while $P^*(G, t)$ tends to 0 when a tends to infinity.

5. THE (μ, λ) -PROPERTY

Proof of Theorem 5. Working by induction on the order of G , it suffices to prove the statement in the particular case when N is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of G . Let H be a subgroup of G . If $N \leq H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(H, G) &= \mu(H/N, G/N) = \lambda(H/N, G/N) |N_{G'/N/N}(H/N) : H/N \cap G'N/N| \\ &= \lambda(H, G) |N_{G'N}(H) : H \cap G'N| = \lambda(H, G) |NN_{G'}(H) : N(H \cap G')| \\ &= \lambda(H, G) \frac{|N_{G'}(H) : H \cap G'|}{|N \cap N_{G'}(H) : N \cap H \cap G'|} = \lambda(H, G) \frac{|N_{G'}(H) : H \cap G'|}{|N \cap G' : N \cap G'|} \\ &= \lambda(H, G) |N_{G'}(H) : H \cap G'|. \end{aligned}$$

So we may assume $N \not\leq H$. If H is not an intersection of maximal subgroups of G , then $\mu(G, H) = \lambda(G, H) = 0$. So we may assume $H = M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_t$ where M_1, \dots, M_t are maximal subgroups of G . Since N is not contained in H , then N is not contained in M_i for some i , but then M_i is a complement of N in G containing H and $N \cap H = 1$. If $g \in N_G(HN)$, then $g = xn$ with $x \in M_i$ and $n \in N$. In particular $H^x \leq HN \cap M_i = H(N \cap M_i) = H$, so $N_G(HN) = N_G(H)N$. By Lemma 12, we have

$$\frac{\mu(H, G)}{\lambda(H, G)} = \frac{\mu(HN, G)}{\lambda(HN, G)} \frac{\kappa}{\delta} = |N_{G'N}(HN) : HN \cap G'N|^{\frac{\kappa}{\delta}} = |NN_{G'}(H) : HN \cap G'N|^{\frac{\kappa}{\delta}}$$

where κ is the number of complements of N in G containing H and δ is the number of conjugacy classes of these complements. First assume that $N \leq Z(G)$. Then $\kappa = \delta$, $G' = M'_i \leq M_i$, $N \cap G' = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{\lambda(H, G)} &= |NN_{G'}(H) : HN \cap G'N|^{\frac{\kappa}{\delta}} = |NN_{G'}(H) : HN \cap G'N| \\ &= |NN_{G'}(H) : N(H \cap G')| = |N_{G'}(H) : H \cap G'|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally assume $N \not\leq Z(G)$. Then $N \leq G'$, $\kappa/\delta = |N_N(H)|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{\lambda(H, G)} &= |NN_{G'}(H) : HN \cap G'N|^{\frac{\kappa}{\delta}} = |NN_{G'}(H) : N(H \cap G')| |N_N(H)| \\ &= \frac{|N| |N_{G'}(H)|}{|N_N(H)|} \frac{|N_N(H)|}{|N| |H \cap G'|} = |N_{G'}(H) : H \cap G'|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Corollary 6. Suppose that G has minimal order with respect to the property that G does not satisfy the (μ, λ) property. By the previous proposition, G contains no abelian minimal normal subgroup and therefore $\text{soc}(G) = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_t$ is a direct product of nonabelian finite simple groups. If $|G| \leq |PSU(3, 3)| = 6048$, then either $t = 1$ or $G = \text{soc}(G) = A_5 \times A_5$. So it suffices to check that $A_5 \times A_5$ and any almost simple group of order at most 6048 satisfies the (μ, λ) property. Since, for every $H \leq G$, $\lambda(H, G)$ and $\mu(H, G)$ can be computed from the table of marks of G (see [10, Proposition 1]), this task can be easily completed using the library of table of marks available in GAP [4]. \square

We may use Theorem 15 to deduce some consequences of the (μ, λ) -property.

Theorem 22. *Suppose that a finite group G satisfies the (μ, λ) -property. Then*

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) \left(\frac{|H|^{t-1}|G||G'H|}{|G'N_G(H)|} - \omega(H, t) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 15,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) \omega(H, t) &= \phi(G, t) = \sum_{H \leq G} \mu(H, G) |H|^t \\ &= \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \mu(H, G) |G : N_G(H)| |H|^t \\ &= \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) |N_{G'}(H) : G' \cap H| |G : N_G(H)| |H|^t \\ &= \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) \frac{|H|^t |G| |N_{G'}(H)|}{|G' \cap H| |N_G(H)|} \\ &= \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) \frac{|H|^{t-1} |G| |G'H|}{|G'N_G(H)|}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

A natural question is whether (5.1) is also a sufficient condition for the (μ, λ) -property. For any $H \leq G$, set $\mu^*(H, G) = |N_{G'}(H) : G' \cap H| \lambda(H, G)$. The validity of (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) \omega(H, t) - \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \mu^*(H, G) |H|^t |G : N_G(H)| = 0.$$

In any case we must have

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \lambda(H, G) \omega(H, t) - \sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \mu(H, G) |H|^t |G : N_G(H)| = 0.$$

So (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \frac{(\mu(H, G) - \mu^*(H, G)) |H|^t}{|N_G(H)|} = 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{[H] \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mid \mu(H, G) \neq \mu^*(H, G)\}$. Then (5.1) is true if and only if

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{(\mu(H, G) - \mu^*(H, G)) |H|^t}{|N_G(H)|} = 0.$$

For example, if $G = PSU(3, 3)$, then \mathcal{T} consists of four conjugacy classes of subgroups and the corresponding values are given by the following table:

$\mu(H, G)$	$\mu^*(H, G)$	$ H $	$ N_G(H) $
-48	0	2	96
3	0	6	18
0	-4	8	32
1	2	24	24

In this case (5.2) is equivalent to

$$2^{t-1} - 6^{t-1} - 8^{t-1} + 24^{t-1} = 0$$

which is true only if $t = 1$.

For any positive integer n let

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{T}, |H|=n} \frac{\mu(H, G) - \mu^*(H, G)}{|N_G(H)|}.$$

Proposition 23. *A finite group G satisfies (5.1) for any positive integer t if and only if $\tau(n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Question 24. *Does $\tau(n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $\mu^*(H, G) = \mu(H, G)$ for any $H \leq G$?*

For any $H \leq G$, consider

$$\alpha(H, t) = \frac{|H|^{t-1}|G||G'H|}{|G'N_G(H)|}, \quad \beta(H, t) = \alpha(H, t) - \omega(H, t).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}^*(G) = \{[H] \in \mathcal{C}(H) \mid [H] < [G] \text{ and } \lambda(H, G) \neq 0\}$. If G satisfies the (λ, μ) -property, then for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, the vector

$$\beta_t(G) = (\beta(H, t))_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)}$$

is an integer solution of the linear equation

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)} \lambda(H, G)x_H = 0.$$

One could investigate about the dimension of the vector space generate by the vectors $\beta_t(G)$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, if $G = A_5$, then we may order the elements of $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$ so that $H_1 = A_4$, $H_2 = S_3$, $H_3 = D_5$, $H_4 = \langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle$, $H_5 = \langle(1, 2)(3, 4)\rangle$, $H_6 = 1$. Then (5.3) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{[H] \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)} \lambda(H, G)x_H = -x_{H_1} - x_{H_2} - x_{H_3} + x_{H_4} + 2x_{H_5} - x_{H_6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1(G) &= (24, 24, 20, 39, 44, 59), \\ \beta_2(G) &= (84, 54, 50, 99, 74, 59), \\ \beta_3(G) &= (264, 114, 110, 279, 134, 59), \\ \beta_4(G) &= (804, 234, 230, 819, 254, 59), \\ \beta_5(G) &= (2424, 474, 470, 2439, 494, 59), \\ \beta_6(G) &= (7284, 954, 950, 7299, 974, 59). \end{aligned}$$

The first three vectors $\beta_1(G), \beta_2(G), \beta_3(G)$ are linearly independent, while $\beta_4(G), \beta_5(G)$ and $\beta_6(G)$ can be obtained as linear combinations of $\beta_1(G), \beta_2(G), \beta_3(G)$.

The situation is completely different when $G = S_3$. We may order the elements of $C^*(G)$ so that $H_1 = \langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle$, $H_2 = \langle (1, 2) \rangle$, $H_3 = 1$. The equation (5.3) has in this case the form $x_{H_1} + x_{H_2} - x_{H_3} = 0$ and $\beta_t(G) = (0, 2, 2)$ independently on the choice of t .

Some properties of the vectors $\beta_t(G)$ are described in the following propositions.

Proposition 25. *If $H \in C^*(G)$, then $\beta(H, t) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $G' \leq H$. In particular $\beta_t(G)$ is a non-negative vector and $\beta_t(G) = 0$ if and only if G is nilpotent.*

Proof. Notice that $\omega(H, t) \leq |G : N_G(H)|(|H|^t - 1) + 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(H, t) &\geq \frac{|H|^{t-1}|G||G'H|}{|G'N_G(H)|} - |G : N_G(H)|(|H|^t - 1) - 1 \\ &= |H|^t|G : N_G(H)|\frac{|G' \cap N_G(H)|}{|G' \cap H|} - |G : N_G(H)|(|H|^t - 1) - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $H \geq G'$. \square

Proposition 26. *The vector $\beta_t(G)$ is independent on the choice of t if and only if G is a nilpotent group or a primitive Frobenius group, with cyclic Frobenius complement.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, if G is nilpotent then $\beta_t(G)$ is the zero vector for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, so we may assume that G is not nilpotent. Assume that $\beta_t(G)$ is independent on the choice of t . Let H be a maximal non-normal subgroup of G . Then $\alpha(H, t) = |H|^t \cdot u$ with $u = |G : H|$. Let H_1, \dots, H_u be the conjugates of H in G . For any $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, u\}$, let $\alpha_J = |\cap_{j \in J} H_j|$. Then

$$\beta(H, t) = \sum_{J \neq \{1, \dots, u\}} (-1)^{|J|+1} |\alpha_J|^t.$$

We must have $\alpha_J = 1$ for every choice of J , otherwise $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta(H, t) = \infty$. Hence H is a Frobenius complement and, since H is a maximal subgroup, the Frobenius kernel V is an irreducible H -module. Since $\beta(V, t) = |V|^t(|H|^t - 1)$ does not depend on t , H must be abelian, and consequently cyclic. So if $\beta_t(G)$ is independent of the choice of t , then G is a primitive Frobenius group with a cyclic Frobenius complement. Conversely assume $G = V \rtimes H$, where H is cyclic and V is an irreducible H -module. If $X \in C^*(G)$, then $\lambda(X, G) \neq 0$, so X is an intersection of maximal subgroups of G and therefore either $V = G' \leq X$, or X is conjugate to a subgroup of H . In the first case $\beta(H, t) = 0$. Assume $X = K^v$ for some $K \leq H$ and $v \in V$. Then $\beta(H, t) = |K|^t|V| - \omega(K, t) = |K|^t|V| - (|V|(|K|^t - 1) + 1) = |V| - 1$. \square

REFERENCES

1. K. S. Brown, The coset poset and probabilistic zeta function of a finite group, *J. Algebra* 225 (2000), no. 2, 989–1012.
2. H. Crapo, The Möbius function of a lattice, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 1 (1966), 126–134.
3. H. Crapo, Möbius inversion in lattices, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 19 (1968) 595–607.
4. The GAP Group, *Gap – groups, algorithms, and programming, version 4.11.1*, 2021, <https://www.gap-system.org>.
5. W. Gaschütz, Zu einem von B. H. und H. Neumann gestellten Problem, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 14 (1955), no. 4-6, 249–252.4

6. F. Dalla Volta and G. Zini, On two Möbius functions for a finite non-solvable group, *Comm. Algebra* 49 (2021), no. 11, 4565–4576.
7. P. Hall, The Eulerian functions of a group, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 7* (1936), 134–151.
8. T. Hawkes, I. M. Isaacs and M. Özaydin, On the Möbius function of a finite group. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 19 (1989), no. 4, 1003–1034.
9. A. Lucchini, On the subgroups with non-trivial Möbius number, *J. Group Theory* 13 (2010), no. 4, 589–600.
10. H. Pahlings, On the Möbius function of a finite group, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 60 (1) (1993), 7–14.

FRANCESCA DALLA VOLTA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI , UNIVERSITY OF MILANO-BICOCCA, VIA COZZI 55, 20126 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: francesca.dallavolta@unimib.it

ANDREA LUCCHINI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “TULLIO LEVI-CIVITA”, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY

Email address: lucchini@math.unipd.it