

**2-NILPOTENT MULTIPLIER AND 2-CAPABILITY OF FINITE
2-GENERATOR p -GROUPS OF CLASS TWO**

F. JOHARI AND A. KAHENI *

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime number. We give the explicit structure of 2-nilpotent multiplier for each finite 2-generator p -group of class two. Moreover, 2-capable groups in that class are characterized.

Keywords: 2-Capable group, 2-Nilpotent multiplier, p -Group.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The first classification for finite 2-generator p -groups of class two for an odd prime p was given by Bacon and Kappe [2]. In 1999, Kappe, Vissler, and Sarmin [6] generalized the previous classification to the case $p = 2$. Later, Ahmad, Magidin, and Morse [1] discovered that these classifications were incomplete. They corrected these omissions by giving a full classification of these groups as stated in the following.

Theorem 1.1. [1, Theorem 1.1] *Let p be a prime and $n > 2$. Every 2-generated p -group of class exactly 2 corresponds to an ordered 5-tuple of integers, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \rho, \sigma)$, such that:*

- (i) $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$;
- (ii) $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = n$;
- (iii) $0 \leq \rho \leq \gamma$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq \gamma$; where $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \rho, \sigma)$ corresponds to the group presented by

$$G = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b]^{p^\rho}, b^{p^\beta} = [a, b]^{p^\sigma} \rangle.$$

Moreover:

FAMILY (1) *If $\alpha > \beta$, then G is isomorphic to:*

- (a) $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \rho, \gamma)$, when $\rho \leq \sigma$.
- (b) $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \gamma, \sigma)$, when $0 \leq \sigma < \sigma + \alpha - \beta \leq \rho$ or $\sigma < \rho = \gamma$.
- (c) $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \rho, \sigma)$, when $0 \leq \sigma < \rho < \min(\gamma, \sigma + \alpha - \beta)$.

FAMILY (2) *If $\alpha = \beta > \gamma$, or $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$, and $p > 2$, then G is isomorphic to $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \min(\rho, \sigma), \gamma)$.*

FAMILY (3) *If $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$, and $p = 2$, then G is isomorphic to:*

- (a) $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \min(\rho, \sigma), \gamma)$, when $0 \leq \min(\rho, \sigma) < \gamma - 1$.
- (b) $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \gamma - 1, \gamma - 1)$, when $\rho = \sigma = \gamma - 1$.
- (c) $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \gamma, \gamma)$, when $\min(\rho, \sigma) \geq \gamma - 1$ and $\max(\rho, \sigma) = \gamma$.

The groups listed in 1(a)-3(c) are pairwise nonisomorphic.

*Corresponding author. Email : azamkaheni@birjand.ac.ir.
Mathematics Subject Classification 2010. 20C25, 20D15.

The idea of computing the nonabelian tensor square and the nonabelian exterior square for these groups was started in [2, 6], but these computations were based on the previous classification. Magidin and Morse [8] computed various homological functors for these groups, using the new classification in [1]. These functors include the nonabelian tensor square, the nonabelian exterior square, and the Schur multiplier. They also determined which of these groups are capable. A group G is called capable if G is isomorphic to the center factor of some group H . The following result gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the capability of 2-generator p -groups of class two.

Lemma 1.2. [8, Theorems 63 and 67] *Let $G = G_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \rho, \sigma)$ be a 2-generator p -group of class two with the presentation as in Theorem 1.1. Then the following results hold:*

- (a) *For an odd prime number p , G is capable if and only if $\alpha - \beta = \rho - \sigma$ and $\beta = \rho$.*
- (b) *For $p = 2$, G is capable if and only if it meets one of the following conditions:*
 - (i) $\rho \leq \sigma, \alpha = \beta$, and $\rho = \gamma$;
 - (ii) $\rho > \gamma, (\alpha - \beta) = (\rho - \sigma) > \delta_{\beta\gamma}$, and $\rho = \gamma$, where $\delta_{\beta\gamma}$ is the Kronecker delta;
 - (iii) $\rho = \sigma = \gamma = \beta$, and $\alpha = \gamma + 1$.

Using Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, all capable 2-generator p -groups of class two are listed as follows:

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be a 2-generator p -group of class two. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (a) *If p is odd, then G is capable if and only if G is isomorphic to exactly one of the following groups.*
 - (i) $G \cong G_1 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\alpha} = a^{p^\alpha} = b^{p^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 \rangle$.
 - (ii) $G \cong G_2 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = a^{p^\alpha} = b^{p^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, \alpha > \gamma \rangle$.
 - (iii) $G \cong G_3 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, b^{p^\beta} = [a, b]^{p^\sigma} \rangle$, where $\alpha - \beta = \gamma - \sigma$ and $0 \leq \sigma < \gamma$.
- (b) *If $p = 2$, then G is capable if and only if G is isomorphic to exactly one of the following groups.*
 - (iv) $G \cong G_4 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\beta} = a^{2^\beta} = b^{2^\beta} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 \rangle$.
 - (v) $G \cong G_5 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\beta} = a^{2^{\beta+1}} = b^{2^\beta} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 \rangle$.
 - (vi) $G \cong G_6 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = a^{2^\alpha} = b^{2^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, \alpha > \gamma \rangle$.
 - (vii) $G \cong G_7 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = a^{2^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, b^{2^\beta} = [a, b]^{2^\sigma} \rangle$, where $\alpha - \beta > \delta_{\beta\gamma}$, $\alpha - \beta = \gamma - \sigma$, $\alpha > \beta$, $\gamma > \sigma$, and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Burns and Ellis [4] generalized the notion of capability for groups to c -capability. A group G is called c -capable if $G \cong H/Z_c(H)$ for some group H , where $Z_c(H)$ is the c -th term of the upper central series of H for all c with $c \geq 1$. Clearly, each $(c + 1)$ -capable group is c -capable. The converse of this statement is not true in general. A counterexample was given by Burns and Ellis [4]. Despite of this flaw example, someone try to find groups in which two concepts of capability and c -capability are equivalent for them. Finitely generated abelian groups, extra special p -groups, and also generalized extra special p -groups are some such groups (for more details, see [4, 11, 12]). Recently, Monfared, Kayvanfar, and Johari [10] showed that

“capability” and “2-capability” are coincide for finite 2-generator 2-groups of class two. More precisely, they gave a classification of all 2-capable 2-generator 2-groups of class two and proved that for these groups each capable group is 2-capable. Furthermore, they computed the 2-nilpotent multiplier of 2-capable 2-generator 2-groups of class two.

The main result of the present paper is to classify all 2-capable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two. At first, we determine the structure of 2-nilpotent multipliers for all capable 2-generator p -groups of class two when p is odd. Then for these p -groups, we show that each capable group is 2-capable. Finally, we compute 2-nilpotent multipliers for all noncapable 2-generator p -groups of class two for an arbitrary prime number p , to clarify the structure of 2-nilpotent multiplier for each 2-generator p -group of class two.

Now, we state our main results.

The following result describes 2-nilpotent multipliers for all capable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two for $p > 2$.

Theorem A. *Let p be an odd prime number and G be a capable finite 2-generator p -group of class two with the presentation as in Corollary 1.3(a). Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(5)} & \text{if } G \cong G_1, \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\gamma}^{(3)} & \text{if } G \cong G_2, \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)} & \text{if } G \cong G_3, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_r^{(t)}$ denotes the direct sum of t copies of \mathbb{Z}_r , in which \mathbb{Z}_r is the cyclic group of order r .

The next result gives the exact structure of 2-capable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two.

Theorem B. *Let G be a finite 2-generator p -group of class two for odd prime p . Then G is 2-capable if and only if G is isomorphic to exactly one of the p -groups G_1 , G_2 , or G_3 .*

Now, one can obtain a full classification of all 2-capable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two, using Theorem B and [10, Theorem 4.3].

Corollary C. *Let G be a finite 2-generator p -group of class two. Then the following results hold:*

- (a) *If p is an odd prime number, then G is 2-capable if and only if G is isomorphic to exactly one of the following p -groups.*
 - (i) $G \cong G_1 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\alpha} = a^{p^\alpha} = b^{p^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 \rangle$.
 - (ii) $G \cong G_2 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = a^{p^\alpha} = b^{p^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, \alpha > \gamma \rangle$.
 - (iii) $G \cong G_3 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, b^{p^\beta} = [a, b]^{p^\sigma} \rangle$, where $\alpha - \beta = \gamma - \sigma$ and $0 \leq \sigma < \gamma$.
- (b) *If $p = 2$, then G is 2-capable if and only if G is isomorphic to exactly one of the following 2-groups.*
 - (iv) $G \cong G_4 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\beta} = a^{2^\beta} = b^{2^\beta} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 \rangle$.
 - (v) $G \cong G_5 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\beta} = a^{2^{\beta+1}} = b^{2^\beta} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 \rangle$.
 - (vi) $G \cong G_6 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = a^{2^\alpha} = b^{2^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, \alpha > \gamma \rangle$.
 - (vii) $G \cong G_7 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = a^{2^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, b^{2^\beta} = [a, b]^{2^\sigma} \rangle$, where $\alpha - \beta > \delta_{\beta\gamma}$, $\alpha - \beta = \gamma - \sigma$, $\alpha > \beta$, $\gamma > \sigma$, and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

The following result is immediately obtained from Corollary 1.3, Theorem B, and [10, Corollary 4.4].

Corollary D. *Let G be a finite 2-generator p -group of class two. Then G is 2-capable if and only if G is capable.*

Recall that the epicenter of a group G , $Z^*(G)$, is the smallest central subgroup of G with capable quotient, which is defined in [3]. In particular, G is capable if and only if $Z^*(G) = 1$. Following [4, 9], the 2-epicenter of G , $Z_2^*(G)$, is the smallest subgroup of $Z_2(G)$ with 2-capable quotient. In fact, G is 2-capable if and only if $Z_2^*(G)$ is trivial. Moreover, $Z^*(G) \subseteq Z_2^*(G)$. (For more information, see [4, Lemma 2.1]).

Here, we prove that the epicenter and the 2-epicenter for a finite 2-generator p -group of class two are coincide.

Theorem E. *Let G be a finite 2-generator p -group of class two. Then $Z^*(G) = Z_2^*(G)$.*

In the sequel, we give the exact structure of 2-nilpotent multipliers for all capable 2-generator p -groups of class two in Sections 2 and 4. Moreover, the 2-capability of such groups is discussed in Section 3.

2. 2-NILPOTENT MULTIPLIERS OF SOME CAPABLE p -GROUPS

Let G be a group presented as F/R to be the quotient group of a free group F by a normal subgroup R . From [7], the Baer invariant of a group G with respect to the variety of nilpotent groups of class at most 2 is called the 2-nilpotent multiplier of G , $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G)$, and defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong (R \cap \gamma_3(F)) / [R, F, F],$$

in which $\gamma_3(F) = [F, F, F]$. It is well known that the 2-nilpotent multiplier of G is abelian and independent of the choice of its free presentation (see [7]).

We need the notion of basic commutator for computing the 2-nilpotent multipliers of groups.

Definition 2.1. Let X be an arbitrary subset of a free group and select an arbitrary total order for X . The basic commutators on X , their weight wt , and the ordering among them are defined as follows:

- (i) The elements of X are basic commutators of weight one, ordered according to the total order previously chosen.
- (ii) Having defined the basic commutators of weight less than n , a basic commutator of weight n is $d = [s, k]$, where:
 - (a) s and k are basic commutators and $wt(s) + wt(k) = n$, and
 - (b) $s > k$, and if $s = [s_1, s_2]$, then $k \geq s_2$.
- (iii) The basic commutators of weight n follow those of weight less than n . The basic commutators of weight n are ordered among themselves in any total order, but the most common used total order is lexicographic order, that is if $[b_1, a_1]$ and $[b_2, a_2]$ are basic commutators of weight n , then $[b_1, a_1] < [b_2, a_2]$ if and only if $b_1 < b_2$ or $b_1 = b_2$ and $a_1 < a_2$.

Let G be a finite 2-generator p -group of class two for $p > 2$ with the free presentation F/R such that F is a free group generated by $\{a, b\}$ with a normal subgroup R . Then $\gamma_3(F) \subseteq R$, because of nilpotency of G . Thus

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \frac{R \cap \gamma_3(F)}{[R, F, F]} \cong \frac{\gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F)}{[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)},$$

where $\gamma_5(F)$ is the 5-th term of the lower central series of F . It is known that $\gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F)$ is the free abelian group with the basis of all basic commutators of weights 3 and 4 on $\{a, b\}$. By considering $a > b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F) = \langle & [a, b, a]\gamma_5(F), [a, b, b]\gamma_5(F), [a, b, b, b]\gamma_5(F), \\ & [a, b, a, a]\gamma_5(F), [a, b, b, a]\gamma_5(F) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one can gain the structure of $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G)$ by providing a suitable basis for $[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)$.

Now, we determine the structure of 2-nilpotent multipliers of p -groups in Corollary 1.3, whenever p is odd.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be the group G_1 presented in Corollary 1.3(a)(i). Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(5)}.$$

Proof. Let F/R be a free presentation for G_1 such that F is a free group on $\{a, b\}$ and $R = \langle a^{p^\alpha}, b^{p^\alpha}, [a, b]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, b], [a, b, a] \rangle^F$. Hence,

$$\frac{[R, F, F]}{\gamma_5(F)} = \frac{\langle [a^{p^\alpha}, f_1, f_2], [b^{p^\alpha}, f_3, f_4], [[a, b]^{p^\alpha}, f_5, f_6] \mid f_i \in F, 1 \leq i \leq 6 \rangle^F \gamma_5(F)}{\gamma_5(F)}.$$

Using [11, Lemma 3.3], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [a^{p^\alpha}, f_1, f_2]^f &\equiv [a, f_1, f_2]^{p^\alpha} [a, f_1, a, f_2]^{\binom{p^\alpha}{2}} [a, f_1, f_2, f]^{p^\alpha} \pmod{\gamma_5(F)}, \\ [b^{p^\alpha}, f_3, f_4]^f &\equiv [b, f_3, f_4]^{p^\alpha} [b, f_3, b, f_4]^{\binom{p^\alpha}{2}} [b, f_3, f_4, f]^{p^\alpha} \pmod{\gamma_5(F)}, \\ \text{and } [[a, b]^{p^\alpha}, f_5, f_6]^f &\equiv [a, b, f_5, f_6]^{p^\alpha} \pmod{\gamma_5(F)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $f_i, f \in F$. Since $p > 2$, $[a, f_1, f_2]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F) \in [R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A = \{ & [a, b, b]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F), [a, b, a]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F), [a, b, b, b]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F), \\ & [a, b, b, a]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F), [a, b, a, a]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F) \} \end{aligned}$$

generates $[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)$. Since $\gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F)$ is a free abelian group, it is easy to see that A is linearly independent, and so A is a basis for $[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)$. Thus

$$[R, F, F] \equiv \langle [a, b, b]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, a]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, b, b]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, b, a]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, a, a]^{p^\alpha} \rangle \pmod{\gamma_5(F)}.$$

Since $\gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(5)}$ and $[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F) \cong (p^\alpha \mathbb{Z})^5$, we get $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(5)}$. This proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be the group G_2 presented in Corollary 1.3(a)(ii). Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\gamma}^{(3)}.$$

Proof. Let F/R be a free presentation for G_2 such that F is a free group on $\{a, b\}$ and $R = \langle [a, b]^{p^\gamma}, a^{p^\alpha}, b^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, b], [a, b, a], \alpha > \gamma \rangle^F$. By some commutator computations similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.2, one can reach that

$$[R, F, F] \equiv \langle [a, b, b]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, a]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, b, b]^{p^\gamma}, [a, b, b, a]^{p^\gamma}, [a, b, a, a]^{p^\gamma} \rangle \pmod{\gamma_5(F)}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_2) \cong \frac{\gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F)}{[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\gamma}^{(3)}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be the group G_3 presented in Corollary 1.3(a)(iii). Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)}.$$

Proof. Let F/R be a free presentation for G_3 such that F is a free group on $\{a, b\}$ and $R = \langle [a, b]^{p^\gamma}, a^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, b], [a, b, a], b^{p^\beta} [a, b]^{p^{-\sigma}} \rangle^F$. One can easily check that $[R, F, F]$ is generated by the following set

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[a, b, f_1, f_2]^{p^\gamma} \gamma_5(F), [a, f_3, f_4]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F), [b, f_5, f_6]^{p^\beta} [a, b, f_5, f_6]^{p^{-\sigma}} \gamma_5(F) \\ & \quad | f_i \in F, 1 \leq i \leq 6\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.2, $[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)$ is generated by the elements $[a, b, a]^{p^\alpha} \gamma_5(F)$, $[a, b, b]^{p^\beta} \gamma_5(F)$, $[a, b, b]^{p^{-\beta}} [a, b, a, a]^{p^{-\sigma}} \gamma_5(F)$, $[a, b, b, b]^{p^{-\sigma}} \gamma_5(F)$, and $[a, b, b, a]^{p^{-\sigma}} \gamma_5(F)$. Therefore, the following set

$$\{[a, b, b]^{p^\beta}, [a, b, a]^{p^\alpha}, [a, b, b, b]^{p^\sigma}, [a, b, b, a]^{p^\sigma}, [a, b, b]^{p^\beta} [a, b, a, a]^{p^\sigma}\}$$

is a basis for the group $[R, F, F]$ in modulo $\gamma_5(F)$. By easy calculations, the set $\{[a, b, b], [a, b, a], [a, b, b, b], [a, b, b, a], [a, b, b]^{p^\beta - \sigma} [a, b, a, a]\}$ is also a basis for the free abelian group $\gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_3) & \cong \frac{\gamma_3(F)/\gamma_5(F)}{[R, F, F]/\gamma_5(F)} \cong \frac{[a, b, b]}{[a, b, b]^{p^\beta}} \oplus \frac{[a, b, a]}{[a, b, a]^{p^\alpha}} \oplus \frac{[a, b, b, b]}{[a, b, b, b]^{p^\sigma}} \\ & \oplus \frac{[a, b, b, a]}{[a, b, b, a]^{p^\sigma}} \oplus \frac{[a, b, b]^{p^\beta - \sigma} [a, b, a, a]}{([a, b, b]^{p^\beta - \sigma} [a, b, a, a])^{p^\sigma}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. The result is obtained by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4.

3. 2-CAPABILITY OF SOME p -GROUPS

This section is devoted to determine all 2-capable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two for odd prime p .

The following results are useful for determining the 2-capability of groups.

Lemma 3.1. [9, Theorem 4.4] and [4, Lemma 2.1(vii)] *Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G contained in $Z_2(G)$. Then*

- (i) $N \subseteq Z_2^*(G)$ if and only if the natural map $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/N)$ is a monomorphism.
- (ii) The sequence $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/N) \rightarrow N \cap \gamma_3(G) \rightarrow 1$ is exact.

An immediate result of Lemma 3.1 is as follows:

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a group of nilpotency class two and N be a normal subgroup of G . Then $N \subseteq Z_2^*(G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/N)$.*

Note that, the 2-capability implies that the capability. For this, we just need to discuss the 2-capability of groups in Corollary 1.3(a).

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a p -group such that $G \cong G_1$ or $G \cong G_2$ with the presentations as in Corollary 1.3. Then G is 2-capable.*

Proof. Assume that $G \cong G_1$. From [4, Theorem 1.3], G_1/G'_1 is 2-capable, and so $Z_2^*(G_1) \subseteq G'_1 = \langle [a, b] \rangle$. Let x be a nontrivial element of $Z_2^*(G_1)$. If $\langle x \rangle = \langle [a, b]^r \rangle$ with $\gcd(p, r) = 1$, then $\langle x \rangle = G'$. Using [11, Theorem 2.3] and Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_1/G'_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(5)}$. Therefore, we will have a contradiction by Lemma 3.1(i). Now, let $\gcd(p, r) \neq 1$. Then $r = p^s t$ for some s with $1 \leq s < \alpha$ and $\gcd(p, t) = 1$. Hence $\langle x \rangle = \langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle$. We denote the image of $y \in G_1$ in $G_1/\langle x \rangle$ by \bar{y} . Thus

$$G_1/\langle x \rangle = \langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mid \bar{a}^{p^\alpha} = \bar{b}^{p^\alpha} = [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]^{p^s} = [\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{a}] = [\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{b}] = 1, \alpha > s \rangle \cong G_2.$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, $|\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_1)| > |\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_1/\langle x \rangle)|$, which is a contradiction, using Lemma 3.1(i). So, $Z_2^*(G_1) = 1$, and the result follows in this case. Now, let $G \cong G_2$. By a similar way, we get $Z_2^*(G_2) = 1$, as desirable. \square

The following lemma is an essential key in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be the group G_3 presented in Corollary 1.3 (iii). Assume that $1 \neq d = a^{i'} p^{\beta+k_1} b^{j'} p^{\beta+k_2}$ and $\gcd(p, i') = \gcd(p, j') = 1$, where k_1 and k_2 are integers. Then the following results hold:*

- (i) $k_1 = k_2 = k$, $d = a^{i'} p^{\beta+k} b^{j'} p^{\beta+k}$, and $k < \alpha - \beta$.
- (ii) $|d| = p^{\alpha-\beta-k}$.
- (iii) $G/\langle d \rangle \cong \langle a_1, b_1 \mid a_1^{p^\alpha} = b_1^{p^\alpha} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^\gamma} = [a_1, b_1, b_1] = [a_1, b_1, a_1] = 1, b_1^{p^\beta} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^\sigma}, a_1^{p^{\beta+k}} = b_1^{-p^{\beta+k}}, \beta + k < \alpha \rangle$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/\langle d \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\beta+k}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)}$.

Proof. Consider the image of $y \in G$ in $G/\langle d \rangle$ by \tilde{y} . As a result,

$$G/\langle d \rangle = \langle \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \mid \tilde{a}^{p^\alpha} = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]^{p^\gamma} = 1, \tilde{b}^{p^\beta} = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]^{p^\sigma}, \tilde{a}^{i'} p^{\beta+k_1} = \tilde{b}^{-j'} p^{\beta+k_2} = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]^{-j' p^{\sigma+k_2}}, [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{b}] = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a}] = 1 \rangle.$$

Since $\alpha - \beta = \gamma - \sigma$ and $|\tilde{a}^{i'} p^{\beta+k_1}| = |\tilde{b}^{j'} p^{\beta+k_2}|$, we obtain $k_1 = k_2$. Put $k_1 = k_2 = k$. Thus

$$1 \neq d = a^{i'} p^{\beta+k} b^{j'} p^{\beta+k} \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(p, i') = \gcd(p, j') = 1.$$

If $\beta + k \geq \alpha$, then $G/\langle d \rangle \cong G$, and so $d = 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\beta + k < \alpha$. The case (i) is obtained. Now, we want to compute the order of d . Using [8, Section 5.1 p.28], $Z(G) = \langle a^{p^\gamma}, [a, b], b^{p^\gamma} \rangle$, and hence, $\langle a^{i'} p^{\beta+k}, b^{j'} p^{\beta+k} \rangle \subseteq Z(G)$. Since $\gamma - \sigma = \alpha - \beta > k$ and $|b| = |a| = p^\alpha$, we get $|a^{i'} p^{\beta+k}| = p^{\alpha-\beta-k} = |b^{j'} p^{\beta+k}| = |d| = p^{\alpha-\beta-k} \neq 1$. The case (ii) is obtained. Without loss of generality, taking $i' = j' = 1$, using [1, Proposition 3.1], we have

$$G/\langle d \rangle \cong H = \langle a_1, b_1 \mid a_1^{p^\alpha} = b_1^{p^\alpha} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^\gamma} = 1, b_1^{p^\beta} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^\sigma}, a_1^{p^{\beta+k}} = b_1^{-p^{\beta+k}}, [a_1, b_1, b_1] = [a_1, b_1, a_1] = 1, \beta + k < \alpha \rangle.$$

By [3, Proposition 1.1], we get $a_1^{p^{\beta+k}} \in Z^*(H) \subseteq Z_2^*(H)$. We denote the image of $y \in H$ in $H/\langle a_1^{p^{\beta+k}} \rangle$ by \bar{y} . As a result,

$$H_1 = H/\langle a_1^{p^{\beta+k}} \rangle = \langle \bar{a}_1, \bar{b}_1 \mid \bar{a}_1^{p^{\beta+k}} = [\bar{a}_1, \bar{b}_1]^{p^{\sigma+k}} = 1, \bar{b}_1^{p^\beta} = [\bar{a}_1, \bar{b}_1]^{p^\sigma}, [\bar{a}_1, \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_1] = [\bar{a}_1, \bar{b}_1, \bar{a}_1] = 1 \rangle.$$

Now, Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 3.2 imply that

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/\langle d \rangle) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\beta+k}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)},$$

and the case (iv) is proved. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be the group G_3 presented in Corollary 1.3 (iii). Then G is 2-capable.*

Proof. Put $M = \langle a^{p^\beta}, b^{p^\beta} \rangle$. Using [8, Section 5.1 p.28], $Z(G_3) = \langle a^{p^\gamma}, [a, b], b^{p^\gamma} \rangle$, and so $M \trianglelefteq G_3$. Consider the image of $y \in G_3$ in G_3/M by \tilde{y} . As a result,

$$G_3/M = \langle \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \mid \tilde{a}^{p^\beta} = \tilde{b}^{p^\beta} = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]^{p^\sigma} = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a}] = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{b}] = 1, \beta > \sigma \rangle \cong G_2.$$

From Theorem 3.3, G_3/M is 2-capable and hence $Z_2^*(G_3) \subseteq M$. We claim that $Z_2^*(G_3) = 1$. By the way of contradiction, assume that $1 \neq d \in Z_2^*(G_3)$ is an arbitrary element. Then $d = a^{ip^\beta} b^{jp^\beta}$ such that i and j are integers. Suppose that $i = i'p^{k_1}, j = j'p^{k_2}$, and $\gcd(p, i') = \gcd(p, j') = 1$, where k_1 and k_2 are integers. Lemmas 2.4 and 3.4 imply that $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_3/\langle d \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\beta+k}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)}$. Hence, $|\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_3)| > |\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_3/\langle d \rangle)|$, and we will have $d \notin Z_2^*(G)$, by Lemma 3.1(i). This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Let G be 2-capable. Then G is capable, and so Corollary 1.3(a) implies that G is isomorphic to one of the groups G_1, G_2 , or G_3 . The converse holds by Theorems 3.3 and 3.5.

Proof of Theorem E. If G is capable, then $Z^*(G) = Z_2^*(G) = 1$, using Theorem B. Otherwise, since $G/Z^*(G)$ is nilpotent of class at most 2, Corollary D and [4, Theorem 1.3] imply that $G/Z^*(G)$ is 2-capable, and so $Z_2^*(G)/Z^*(G) \subseteq Z_2^*(G/Z^*(G)) = 1$. Hence, $Z_2^*(G) \subseteq Z^*(G)$ as required.

4. 2-NILPOTENT MULTIPLIERS OF SOME NONCAPABLE p -GROUPS

In this section, we intend to determine the structures of 2-nilpotent multipliers for noncapable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two.

A criteria for detecting a capable group is the notion of the exterior center. The exterior center of a group G , $Z^\wedge(G)$, is defined in [5] as follows:

$$Z^\wedge(G) = \{g \in G \mid g \wedge h = 1_{G \wedge G} \text{ for all } h \in G\},$$

where \wedge denotes the operator of the nonabelian exterior square. It is shown [5] that $Z^*(G) = Z^\wedge(G)$. It implies that G is capable if and only if $Z^\wedge(G) = 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $G = G_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \rho, \sigma)$ be a 2-generator p -group of class two presented as in Theorem 1.1. If $k > \max(\rho, \sigma)$, then*

$$a^{p^k} \wedge b = a \wedge b^{p^k} = (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}}$$

Proof. We claim that $a^{p^k} \wedge b = (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}}$. For this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{p^k} \wedge b &= \prod_{i=0}^{p^k-1} (a^i (a \wedge b)) = \prod_{i=0}^{p^k-1} (a \wedge a^i b) \\
&= \prod_{i=0}^{p^k-1} (a \wedge [a^i, b] b) = \prod_{i=0}^{p^k-1} (a \wedge [a^i, b]) (a \wedge b) \\
&= (a \wedge b)^{1+\dots+p^k} \prod_{i=1}^{p^k-1} (a \wedge [a, b])^i \\
&= (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p^k-1} (a \wedge [a, b])^i \\
&= (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}} (a \wedge [a, b])^{1+\dots+p^k-1} \\
&= (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}} (a \wedge [a, b])^{\frac{p^k(p^k-1)}{2}} \\
&= (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}} (a \wedge [a, b]^{\frac{p^k(p^k-1)}{2}}) \\
&= (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}} (a \wedge ([a, b]^{p^\rho})^{\frac{p^k-\rho(p^k-1)}{2}}) \\
&= (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}} (a \wedge (a^{p^\alpha})^{\frac{p^k-\rho(p^k-1)}{2}}) = (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(4.1) \quad a^{p^k} \wedge b = (a \wedge b)^{\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}}.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.2) \quad a \wedge b^{p^k} = (b^{p^k} \wedge a)^{-1} = (b \wedge a)^{-\frac{p^k(p^k+1)}{2}},$$

as desirable. \square

Note that, in Lemma 4.1, the condition $k > \max(\rho, \sigma)$ may be replaced by $k \geq \max(\rho, \sigma)$, whenever p is an odd prime number.

Using Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, all noncapable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two are described as follows:

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a finite 2-generator p -group of class two with the presentation as in Theorem 1.1. Then the following results hold:*

If p is an odd prime number, then G is noncapable if and only if G is isomorphic to exactly one of the following groups.

- (1) $K_1 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 = b^{p^\beta}, a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b]^{p^\rho} \rangle$, where $\alpha > \beta \geq \gamma$ and $0 \leq \rho < \gamma$.
- (2) $K_2 = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^\alpha} = b^{p^\beta} = [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 \rangle$, where $\alpha > \beta \geq \gamma$.
- (3) $K_3 = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, b^{p^\beta} = [a, b]^{p^\sigma} \rangle$, where $\alpha > \beta \geq \gamma$ and $0 \leq \sigma < \sigma + \alpha - \beta < \gamma$.
- (4) $K_4 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b]^{p^\rho}, b^{p^\beta} = [a, b]^{p^\sigma} \rangle$, where $\alpha > \beta \geq \gamma$ and $0 \leq \sigma < \rho < \min(\gamma, \sigma + \alpha - \beta)$.

- (5) $K_5 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1 = b^{p^\alpha}, a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b]^{p^\rho} \rangle$, where $\alpha > \gamma > \rho$.
 If $p = 2$, then G is noncapable if and only if G is isomorphic to exactly one of the following groups.
- (6) $K_6 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = [a, b]^{2^\rho}, b^{2^\beta} = 1 \rangle$, where $\alpha > \beta \geq \gamma$ and $0 \leq \rho < \gamma$.
- (7) $K_7 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = 1 = b^{2^\beta} \rangle$, where $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$.
- (8) $K_8 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\beta} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = 1 = b^{2^\beta} \rangle$, where $\alpha > \beta + 1$.
- (9) $K_9 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = 1, b^{2^\beta} = [a, b]^{2^\sigma} \rangle$, where $0 \leq \sigma < \sigma + \alpha - \beta < \gamma$.
- (10) $K_{10} = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = [a, b]^{2^\rho}, b^{2^\beta} = [a, b]^{2^\sigma} \rangle$, where $0 \leq \sigma < \rho < \min(\gamma, \sigma + \alpha - \beta)$.
- (11) $K_{11} = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\gamma} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = [a, b]^{2^\rho}, b^{2^\alpha} = 1 \rangle$, where $\alpha > \gamma > \rho$.
- (12) $K_{12} = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = [a, b]^{2^\rho}, b^{2^\alpha} = 1 \rangle$, where $\alpha > \rho$.
- (13) $K_{13} = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\beta} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\beta} = [a, b]^{2^\rho}, b^{2^\beta} = 1 \rangle$, where $\beta - 1 > \rho$.
- (14) $K_{14} = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{2^\alpha} = [a, b, b] = [a, b, a] = 1, a^{2^\alpha} = [a, b]^{2^{\alpha-1}} = b^{2^\alpha} \rangle$.

The following result gives an element in the epicenter of some noncapable finite 2-generator p -groups of class two.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $G = K_i$ be the 2-generator p -group of class two presented as in Corollary 4.2. Then for $i \neq 8$, we have*

- (i) $b^{p^\alpha} \in Z_2^*(G)$, if $a^{p^\alpha} = 1$;
- (ii) $a^{p^\beta} \in Z_2^*(G)$, if $b^{p^\beta} = 1$;
- (iii) $a^{p^\alpha} \in Z_2^*(G)$, if $\sigma < \rho$.

Proof. Let $G = K_i$. Then $Z^*(G) = Z_2^*(G)$, by Theorem E. It is obvious that $x \in Z^\wedge(G) = Z^*(G)$ if and only if $x \wedge a = 1 = x \wedge b$. Therefore, for each case, it is enough to show that three elements $b^{p^\alpha} \wedge a$, $a^{p^\beta} \wedge b$, and $a^{p^\alpha} \wedge b$ are trivial, respectively. Now, it is easy to achieve the desired result, by using a similar method as stated in Lemma 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that G is a noncapable 2-generator p -group of class two given in Corollary 4.2 (1)-(5). Then the structure of 2-nilpotent multiplier of G is as follows:*

- (1) Let $G \cong K_1$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\rho}^{(3)}$.
- (2) Let $G \cong K_2$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\gamma}^{(3)}$.
- (3) Let $G \cong K_3$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)}$.
- (4) Let $G \cong K_4$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\rho-\sigma+\beta}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\sigma}^{(3)}$.
- (5) Let $G \cong K_5$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\rho}^{(3)}$.

Proof. (1) Let $G \cong K_1$. Then by Theorem 4.3(ii), $a^{p^\beta} \in Z_2^*(G)$. Since $G/\langle a^{p^\beta} \rangle \cong G_2$, we will have the result by Theorem A and Corollary 3.2.

- (2) Let $G \cong K_2$. It is not difficult to see that $G/\langle a^{p^\beta} \rangle \cong G_1$, when $\beta = \gamma$, and otherwise $G/\langle a^{p^\beta} \rangle \cong G_2$. Now, the result is in hand by Theorem 4.3(ii), Theorem A, and Corollary 3.2.
- (3) Let $G \cong K_3$. Theorem 4.3(i) implies that $b^{p^\alpha} \in Z_2^*(G)$, and hence $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/\langle b^{p^\alpha} \rangle)$, by Corollary 3.2, in which

$$G/\langle b^{p^\alpha} \rangle \cong \langle a_1, b_1 \mid a_1^{p^\alpha} = b_1^{p^\alpha} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^{\alpha-\beta+\sigma}} = 1, b_1^{p^\beta} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^\sigma},$$

$$[a_1, b_1, b_1] = [a_1, b_1, a_1] = 1, 0 \leq \sigma < \sigma + \alpha - \beta, \beta < \alpha \rangle.$$

Since $\alpha - \beta = \alpha - \beta + \sigma - \sigma$, we get $G/\langle b^{p^\alpha} \rangle$ is capable. So, $G/\langle b^{p^\alpha} \rangle \cong G_3$. The result is concluded that by Theorem A.

- (4) Let $G \cong K_4$. From Theorem 4.3(iii), we have $a^{p^\alpha} \in Z_2^*(G)$. Since,

$$G/\langle a^{p^\alpha} \rangle \cong H = \langle a_1, b_1 \mid a_1^{p^\alpha} = b_1^{p^{\rho-\sigma+\beta}} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^\rho} = 1, b_1^{p^\beta} = [a_1, b_1]^{p^\sigma},$$

$$[a_1, b_1, b_1] = [a_1, b_1, a_1] = 1, 0 \leq \sigma < \rho < \sigma + \alpha - \beta, \beta < \alpha \rangle,$$

and $\rho < \sigma + \alpha - \beta$, we have $G/\langle a^{p^\alpha} \rangle$ is noncapable. Theorem 4.3(ii) follows that $a_1^{p^{\rho-\sigma+\beta}} \in Z_2^*(H)$. So, $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H/\langle a_1^{p^{\rho-\sigma+\beta}} \rangle)$, by Corollary 3.2. Now, one can obtain the result by Theorem A, because of $H/\langle a_1^{p^{\rho-\sigma+\beta}} \rangle \cong G_3$.

- (5) Let $G \cong K_5$. Then $a^{p^\alpha} \in Z^*(G)$, by Theorem 4.3(ii). Therefore $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/\langle a^{p^\alpha} \rangle) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_2)$, as required. \square

The next result gives the structure of 2- nilpotent multiplier of other two generator groups.

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be a noncapable 2-generator 2-group of class two given in Corollary 4.2 (6)-(14).*

- (1) Let $G \cong K_6$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\beta}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\rho}^{(3)}$.
- (2) Let $G \cong K_7$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\beta}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\gamma}^{(3)}$.
- (3) Let $G \cong K_8$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\beta-1}}^{(3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\beta+1}}$.
- (4) Let $G \cong K_9$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\sigma}^{(3)}$.
- (5) Let $G \cong K_{10}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\rho-\sigma+\beta}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\beta} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\sigma}^{(3)}$.
- (6) Let $G \cong K_{11}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\rho}^{(3)}$.
- (7) Let $G \cong K_{12}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\rho}^{(3)}$.
- (8) Let $G \cong K_{13}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\beta}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^\rho}^{(3)}$.
- (9) Let $G \cong K_{14}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-1}}^{(3)}$.

Proof. (1) Let $G \cong K_i$, for $i = 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14$. By a similar way used in Theorem 4.4, we can observe that $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_6)$, and the result follows by [10, Theorem 3.3]. Let $G \cong K_8$. Since $G/\langle a^{2^\beta} \rangle$ is 2-capable, we will have $1 \neq Z_2^*(G) \subseteq \langle a^{2^\beta} \rangle$. Hence, $Z_2^*(G) = \langle a^{2^{\beta+q}} \rangle$, and therefore $a^{2^{\alpha-1}} \in Z_2^*(G)$, because of $\alpha > \beta + 1$. Let $H_0 = G$ and $H_i = H_{i-1}/\langle a^{2^{\alpha-i}} \rangle$ for all i with $1 \leq i \leq \alpha - \beta$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H_{i-1}) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H_i)$, whenever $\alpha - i = \beta$. Hence, if $\alpha - 1 = \beta$, then $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/\langle a^{2^\beta} \rangle) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_4)$. Since $\alpha > \beta$, then there exists an element j such that $\alpha - j = \beta$. We increase i from 1 up to j and calculate H_i 's. Now, one can check that

$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H_j) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H_j/\langle a^{2^{\alpha-j}} \rangle) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/\langle a^{2^\beta} \rangle)$, and obtain $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(H_i) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_4)$ for all i with $0 \leq i \leq \alpha - \beta$. Hence, the result is in hand by [10, Theorem 3.3]. Now, let $G \cong K_9$. Then

$$G/\langle b^{2^\alpha} \rangle \cong \langle a_1, b_1 \mid [a_1, b_1]^{2^{\sigma+\alpha-\beta}} = a_1^{2^\alpha} = 1, b_1^{2^\beta} = [a, b]^{2^\sigma}, \beta > \sigma \rangle \cong G_7.$$

Since $b^{2^\alpha} \in Z^*(G) = Z_2^*(G)$, by Theorem 4.3, we will have $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G/\langle b^{2^\alpha} \rangle) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(G_7)$, as desirable. For $G \cong K_{10}$, the result is attained by a similar method to K_9 . □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

F. Johari was supported by the postdoctoral grant “ CAPES/PRINT-Edital $n^\circ 41/2017$, Process number: 88887.511112/2020-00,” at the Federal University of Minas Gerais.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ahmad, A. Magidin and R. F. Morse, Two generator p -groups of nilpotency class 2 and their conjugacy classes. Publ. Math. Debrecen, 81 (2012), no. 1-2, 145–166.
- [2] M. R. Bacon, L. C. Kappe, On capable p -groups of nilpotency class two. Illinois J. Math. 47 (2003), no. 1-2, 49–62. Special issue in honor of Reinhold Baer (1902–1979).
- [3] F. R. Beyl, U. Felgner, P. Schmid, On groups occurring as center factor groups. J. Algebra 61 (1979), no. 1, 161–177.
- [4] J. Burns and G. Ellis, On the nilpotent multipliers of a group. Math. Z. 226 (1997), no. 3, 405–428.
- [5] G. J. Ellis, Tensor products and q -crossed modules. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 51 (1995), no. 2, 243–258.
- [6] L. C. Kappe, M. P. Visscher, N. Sarmin, Two-generator two-groups of class two and their nonabelian tensor squares. Glasg. Math. J. 41 (1999), no. 3, 417–430.
- [7] C. R. Leedham-Green, S. MacKay, Baer-invariants, isologism, varietal laws and homology. Acta Math. 137 (1976), no. 1-2, 99–150.
- [8] A. Magidin and R. F. Morse, Certain homological functors of 2-generator p -groups of class 2, Contemp. Math, 511 (2010), 127–166.
- [9] M. R. R. Moghaddam, and S. Kayvanfar, A new notion derived from varieties of groups, Algebra Colloq. 4(1) (1997), 1–11.
- [10] F. G. Monfared, S. Kayvanfar, F. Johari, 2-Capability of 2-generator 2-groups of class two. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 43 (2020), no. 6, 4171–4181.
- [11] P. Niroomand, M. Parvizi, On the 2-nilpotent multiplier of finite p -groups. Glasg. Math. J. 57 (2015), no. 1, 201–210.
- [12] P. Niroomand, F. Johari, M. Parvizi, c -Nilpotent multiplier of finite p -groups. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 43 (2020), no. 1, 941–956.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS, AV. ANTÔNIO CARLOS 6627, BELO HORIZONTE, MG, BRAZIL

Email address: farangisjohari@ufmg.br, farangisjohari85@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIRJAND, BIRJAND, IRAN

Email address: azamkaheni@birjand.ac.ir