

FACTORIZATIONS OF ALMOST SIMPLE ORTHOGONAL GROUPS OF MINUS TYPE

CAI HENG LI, LEI WANG, AND BINZHOU XIA

ABSTRACT. This is the fourth one in a series of papers classifying the factorizations of almost simple groups with nonsolvable factors. In this paper we deal with orthogonal groups of minus type.

Key words: group factorizations; almost simple groups

MSC2020: 20D40, 20D06, 20D08

1. INTRODUCTION

An expression $G = HK$ of a group G as the product of subgroups H and K is called a *factorization* of G , where H and K are called *factors*. A group G is said to be *almost simple* if $S \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ for some nonabelian simple group S , where $S = \text{Soc}(G)$ is the *socle* of G . In this paper, by a factorization of an almost simple group we mean that none its factors contains the socle. The main aim of this paper is to solve the long-standing open problem:

Problem 1.1. *Classify factorizations of finite almost simple groups.*

Determining all factorizations of almost simple groups is a fundamental problem in the theory of simple groups, which was proposed by Wielandt [25, 6(e)] in 1979 at The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups. It also has numerous applications to other branches of mathematics such as combinatorics and number theory, and has attracted considerable attention in the literature. In what follows, all groups are assumed to be finite if there is no special instruction.

The factorizations of almost simple groups of exceptional Lie type were classified by Hering, Liebeck and Saxl [11]¹ in 1987. For the other families of almost simple groups, a landmark result was achieved by Liebeck, Praeger and Saxl [20] thirty years ago, which classifies the maximal factorizations of almost simple groups. (A factorization is said to be *maximal* if both the factors are maximal subgroups.) Then factorizations of alternating and symmetric groups are classified in [20], and factorizations of sporadic almost simple groups are classified in [9]. This reduces Problem 1.1 to the problem on classical groups of Lie type. Recently, factorizations of almost simple groups with a factor having at least two nonsolvable composition factors are classified in [15]², and those with a factor being solvable are described in [16] and [5].

As usual, for a finite group G , we denote by $G^{(\infty)}$ the smallest normal subgroup of X such that $G/G^{(\infty)}$ is solvable. For factorizations $G = HK$ with nonsolvable factors H and K such that $L = \text{Soc}(G)$ is a classical group of Lie type, the triple $(L, H^{(\infty)}, K^{(\infty)})$ is described in [14]. Based on this work, in the present paper we characterize the triples (G, H, K) such that $G = HK$ with H and K nonsolvable, and G is an orthogonal group of minus type.

¹In part (b) of Theorem 2 in [11], A_0 can also be $G_2(2)$, $SU_3(3) \times 2$, $SL_3(4).2$ or $SL_3(4).2^2$ besides $G_2(2) \times 2$.

²In Table 1 of [15], the triple $(L, H \cap L, K \cap L) = (\text{Sp}_6(4), (\text{Sp}_2(4) \times \text{Sp}_2(16)).2, G_2(4))$ is missing, and for the first two rows $R.2$ should be $R.P$ with $P \leq 2$.

For groups H, K, X, Y , we say that (H, K) contains (X, Y) if $H \geq X$ and $K \geq Y$, and that (H, K) *tightly contains* (X, Y) if in addition $H^{(\infty)} = X^{(\infty)}$ and $K^{(\infty)} = Y^{(\infty)}$. Our main result is the following Theorem 1.2. Note that it is elementary to determine the factorizations of G/L as this group has relatively simple structure (and in particular is solvable).

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be an almost simple group with socle $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$, where $m \geq 4$, and let H and K be nonsolvable subgroups of G not containing L . Then $G = HK$ if and only if (with H and K possibly interchanged) $G/L = (HL/L)(KL/L)$ and (H, K) tightly contains (X^α, Y^α) for some (X, Y) in Table 1.1 and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(L)$.*

Remark. Here are some remarks on Tables 1.1:

- (I) The column Z gives the smallest almost simple group with socle L that contains X and Y . In other words, $Z = \langle L, X, Y \rangle$. It turns out that $Z = XY$ for all pairs (X, Y) .
- (II) The groups X, Y and Z are described in the corresponding lemmas whose labels are displayed in the last column.
- (III) The description of groups X and Y are up to conjugations in Z (see Lemma 2.5(b) and Lemma 2.3).

TABLE 1.1. (X, Y) for orthogonal groups of minus type

Row	Z	X	Y	Remark	Lemma
1	$\text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$	$\Omega_{2m-1}(q)$	$\text{SU}_m(q)$	m odd	4.1
2	$\text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$	$q^{2m-2}:\Omega_{2m-2}^-(q)$	$\text{SU}_m(q)$	m odd	4.2
3	$\Omega_{2m}^-(2)$	$\Omega_{2m-2}^-(2).2$	$\text{SU}_m(2)$	m odd	4.3
4	$\text{O}_{2m}^-(2)$	$\Omega_{2m-2}^-(2).2$	$\text{SU}_m(2).2$	m odd	4.4
5	$\Gamma\text{O}_{2m}^-(4)$	$\Omega_{2m-2}^-(4).4$	$\text{SU}_m(4).4$	m odd	4.4
6	$\text{O}_{2m}^-(2)$	$\Gamma\text{O}_m^-(4)$	$\Omega_{2m-1}(2).2$	m even	4.5
7	$\Gamma\text{O}_{2m}^-(4)$	$\Gamma\text{O}_m^-(16)$	$\Omega_{2m-1}(4).4$	m even	4.5
8	$\text{O}_{2m}^-(2)$	$\text{SU}_{m/2}(4).4$	$\Omega_{2m-1}(2).2$	$m/2$ odd	4.6
9	$\Gamma\text{O}_{2m}^-(4)$	$\text{SU}_{m/2}(16).8$	$\Omega_{2m-1}(4).4$	$m/2$ odd	4.6
10	$\Omega_{10}^-(2)$	$\text{A}_{12}, \text{M}_{12}$	$2^8:\Omega_8^-(2)$		5.1
11	$\Omega_{18}^-(2)$	$3.\text{J}_3$	$2^{16}:\Omega_{16}^-(2)$		5.2

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect some elementary facts regarding group factorizations.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group, let H and K be subgroups of G , and let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then $G = HK$ if and only if $HK \supseteq N$ and $G/N = (HN/N)(KN/N)$.*

Proof. If $G = HK$, then $HK \supseteq N$, and taking the quotient modulo N we obtain

$$G/N = (HN/N)(KN/N).$$

Conversely, suppose that $HK \supseteq N$ and $G/N = (HN/N)(KN/N)$. Then

$$G = (HN)(KN) = HNK$$

as N is normal in G . Since $N \subseteq HK$, it follows that $G = HNK \subseteq H(HK)K = HK$, which implies $G = HK$. \square

Let L be a nonabelian simple group. We say that (H, K) is a *factor pair* of L if H and K are subgroups of $\text{Aut}(L)$ such that $HK \supseteq L$. For an almost simple group G with socle L and subgroups H and K of G , Lemma 2.1 shows that $G = HK$ if and only if $G/L = (HL/L)(KL/L)$ and (H, K) is a factor pair. As the group G/L has a simple structure (and in particular is solvable), it is elementary to determine the factorizations of G/L . Thus to know all the factorizations of G is to know all the factor pairs of L . Note that, if (H, K) is a factor pair of L , then any pair of subgroups of $\text{Aut}(L)$ containing (H, K) is also a factor pair of L . Hence we have the following:

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be an almost simple group with socle L , and let H and K be subgroups of G such that (H, K) contains some factor pair of L . Then $G = HK$ if and only if $G/L = (HL/L)(KL/L)$.*

In light of Lemma 2.2, the key to determine the factorizations of G with nonsolvable factors is to determine the minimal ones (with respect to the containment) among factor pairs of L with nonsolvable subgroups.

Lemma 2.3. *Let L be a nonabelian simple group, and let (H, K) be a factor pair of L . Then (H^α, K^α) and (H^x, K^y) are factor pairs of L for all $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(L)$ and $x, y \in L$.*

Proof. It is evident that $H^\alpha K^\alpha = (HK)^\alpha \supseteq L^\alpha = L$. Hence (H^α, K^α) is a factor pair. Since $xy^{-1} \in L \subseteq HK$, there exist $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ such that $xy^{-1} = hk$. Therefore,

$$H^x K^y = x^{-1} H x y^{-1} K y = x^{-1} H h k K y = x^{-1} H K y \supseteq x^{-1} L y = L,$$

which means that (H^x, K^y) is a factor pair. \square

The next lemma is [21, Lemma 2(i)].

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be an almost simple group with socle L , and let H and K be subgroups of G not containing L . If $G = HK$, then $HL \cap KL = (H \cap KL)(K \cap HL)$.*

The following lemma implies that we may consider specific representatives of a conjugacy class of subgroups when studying factorizations of a group.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $G = HK$ be a factorization. Then for all $x, y \in G$ we have $G = H^x K^y$ with $H^x \cap K^y \cong H \cap K$.*

Proof. As $xy^{-1} \in G = HK$, there exists $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ such that $xy^{-1} = hk$. Thus

$$H^x K^y = x^{-1} H x y^{-1} K y = x^{-1} H h k K y = x^{-1} H K y = x^{-1} G y = G,$$

and

$$H^x \cap K^y = (H^{xy^{-1}} \cap K)^y \cong H^{xy^{-1}} \cap K = H^{hk} \cap K = H^k \cap K = (H \cap K)^k \cong H \cap K. \quad \square$$

3. NOTATION

Throughout this paper, let $q = p^f$ be a power of a prime p , let $m \geq 4$ be an integer, let $\bar{}$ be the homomorphism from $\Gamma\text{O}_{2m}^-(q)$ to $\text{P}\Gamma\text{O}_{2m}^-(q)$ modulo scalars, let V be a vector space of dimension $2m$ over \mathbb{F}_q equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic form Q , whose associated bilinear form is β , let V_{\sharp} be a vector space of dimension m over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} with the same underlying set as V , and let Tr be the trace of the field extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q$. For $w \in V$ with $Q(w) \neq 0$, let r_w be the reflection in w defined by

$$r_w: V \rightarrow V, \quad v \mapsto v - \frac{\beta(v, w)}{Q(w)} w.$$

We fix the notation for some field extension subgroups of $\text{O}(V)$ as follows, according to the parity of m .

First assume that $m = 2\ell + 1$ is odd. In this case we may equip V_{\sharp} with a nondegenerate unitary form β_{\sharp} such that $Q(v) = \beta_{\sharp}(v, v)$ for all $v \in V$, and thus $\text{GU}(V_{\sharp}) < \text{O}(V)$. Take a standard \mathbb{F}_{q^2} -basis $E_1, F_1, \dots, E_{\ell}, F_{\ell}, D$ for V_{\sharp} , so that

$$\beta_{\sharp}(E_i, E_j) = \beta_{\sharp}(F_i, F_j) = \beta_{\sharp}(E_i, D) = \beta_{\sharp}(F_i, D) = 0, \quad \beta_{\sharp}(E_i, F_j) = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \beta_{\sharp}(D, D) = 1$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Let $\psi \in \Gamma\text{U}(V_{\sharp})$ such that

$$\psi: a_1 E_1 + b_1 F_1 + \dots + a_{\ell} E_{\ell} + b_{\ell} F_{\ell} + cD \mapsto a_1^p E_1 + b_1^p F_1 + \dots + a_{\ell}^p E_{\ell} + b_{\ell}^p F_{\ell} + c^p D$$

for $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\ell}, b_{\ell}, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $\lambda + \lambda^q = 1$. Then we have

$$Q(\lambda E_1) = \beta_{\sharp}(\lambda E_1, \lambda E_1) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$Q(F_1) = \beta_{\sharp}(F_1, F_1) = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$Q(\lambda E_1 + F_1) = \beta_{\sharp}(\lambda E_1 + F_1, \lambda E_1 + F_1) = \lambda + \lambda^q = 1,$$

and hence

$$\beta(\lambda E_1, F_1) = Q(\lambda E_1 + F_1) - Q(\lambda E_1) - Q(F_1) = 1. \tag{3}$$

From (1)–(3) we see that $(\lambda E_1, F_1)$ is a hyperbolic pair with respect to Q . Thus there exists a standard basis $e_1, f_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, f_{m-1}, d, d'$ for V as in [20, 2.2.3] such that

$$e_1 = \lambda E_1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_1 = F_1.$$

Then $Q(e_1 + f_1) = \beta_{\sharp}(e_1 + f_1, e_1 + f_1) = 1$, which implies that $e_1 + f_1$ is a nonsingular vector in both V (with respect to Q) and V_{\sharp} (with respect to β_{\sharp}). Let $\phi \in \Gamma\text{O}(V)$ be as defined in [13, §2.8] with respect to the basis $e_1, f_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, f_{m-1}, d, d'$. Then ϕ fixes $e_1, f_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, f_{m-1}, d$, and commutes with $r_{e_1+f_1}$.

Next assume that $m = 2\ell$ is even. In this case we may equip V_{\sharp} with a nondegenerate quadratic form Q_{\sharp} of minus type such that $Q(v) = \text{Tr}(Q_{\sharp}(v))$ for all $v \in V$, and thus $\text{O}(V_{\sharp}) < \text{O}(V)$. Let β_{\sharp} be the associated symmetric bilinear linear form of Q_{\sharp} , and take a standard \mathbb{F}_{q^2} -basis $E_1, F_1, \dots, E_{\ell-1}, F_{\ell-1}, D, D'$ for V_{\sharp} , so that

$$Q_{\sharp}(E_i) = Q_{\sharp}(F_i) = 0, \quad Q_{\sharp}(D) = 1 = \beta_{\sharp}(D, D'), \quad Q_{\sharp}(D') = \mu, \quad \beta_{\sharp}(E_i, F_j) = \delta_{i,j},$$

$$\beta_{\sharp}(E_i, E_j) = \beta_{\sharp}(F_i, F_j) = \beta_{\sharp}(E_i, D) = \beta_{\sharp}(F_i, D) = \beta_{\sharp}(E_i, D') = \beta_{\sharp}(F_i, D') = 0$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $x^2 + x + \mu$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

4. INFINITE FAMILIES OF (X, Y) IN TABLE 1.1

In the first lemma we construct the factor pair (X, Y) in Row 1 of Table 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $G = \Omega(V) = \Omega_{2m}^-(q)$ with odd m , let $H = G_{e_1+f_1}$, let $K = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})$, let $Z = \overline{G}$, let $X = \overline{H}$, and let $Y = \overline{K}$. Then $H \cap K = \text{SU}_{m-1}(q)$, and $Z = XY$ with $Z = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$, $X \cong H = \Omega_{2m-1}(q)$ and $Y \cong K = \text{SU}_m(q)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $Z = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$, and $Y \cong K = \text{SU}_m(q)$ as m is odd. Since $e_1 + f_1$ is a nonsingular vector in both V (with respect to Q) and V_{\sharp} (with respect to β_{\sharp}), we have $X \cong H = G_{e_1+f_1} = \Omega_{2m-1}(q)$ and

$$H \cap K = G_{e_1+f_1} \cap \text{SU}(V_{\sharp}) = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})_{e_1+f_1} = \text{SU}_{m-1}(q).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|K|}{|H \cap K|} = \frac{|\text{SU}_m(q)|}{|\text{SU}_{m-1}(q)|} = q^{m-1}(q^m + 1) = \frac{|\Omega_{2m}^-(q)|}{|\Omega_{2m-1}(q)|} = \frac{|G|}{|H|},$$

and so $G = HK$. Hence $Z = \overline{G} = \overline{H} \overline{K} = XY$. \square

The second lemma is on the factor pair (X, Y) in Row 2 of Table 1.1.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $G = \Omega(V) = \Omega_{2m}^-(q)$ with odd m , let $H = G_{E_1}$, let $K = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})$, let $Z = \overline{G}$, let $X = \overline{H}$, and let $Y = \overline{K}$. Then $H \cap K = (q \cdot q^{2m-4}) : \text{SU}_{m-2}(q)$, and $Z = XY$ with $Z = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$, $X \cong H = q^{2m-2} : \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q)$ and $Y \cong K = \text{SU}_m(q)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $Z = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$. Since m is odd, we have $Y \cong K = \text{SU}_m(q)$. Since $Q(E_1) = \beta_{\sharp}(E_1, E_1) = 0$, we see that E_1 is a singular vector in both V (with respect to Q) and V_{\sharp} (with respect to β_{\sharp}). Thus $X \cong H = G_{E_1} = q^{2m-2} : \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q)$ and

$$H \cap K = G_{E_1} \cap \text{SU}(V_{\sharp}) = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})_{E_1} = (q \cdot q^{2m-4}) : \text{SU}_{m-2}(q).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|K|}{|H \cap K|} = \frac{|\text{SU}_m(q)|}{|(q \cdot q^{2m-4}) : \text{SU}_{m-2}(q)|} = (q^m + 1)(q^{m-1} - 1) = \frac{|\Omega_{2m}^-(q)|}{|q^{2m-2} : \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q)|} = \frac{|G|}{|H|},$$

and so $G = HK$. Therefore, $Z = \overline{G} = \overline{H}\overline{K} = XY$. \square

In the following two lemmas we construct the factor pairs (X, Y) in Rows 3–5 of Table 1.1. Recall the notation of the field extension subgroups of $\text{O}(V)$ for odd m given at the start of this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $Z = \Omega(V) = \Omega_{2m}^-(2)$ with $q = 2$ and m odd, let $X = Z_{\{e_1, f_1\}}$, and let $Y = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})$. Then $Z = XY$ with $X = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(2) \cdot 2$, $Y = \text{SU}_m(2)$ and $X \cap Y = \text{SU}_{m-2}(2)$.*

Proof. Clearly, $Y = \text{SU}_m(2)$. Since (e_1, f_1) is a hyperbolic pair with respect to Q , we have $Z_{e_1, f_1} = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q)$ and $Z_{\{e_1, f_1\}} = Z_{e_1, f_1} : \langle s \rangle$ for some s swapping e_1 and f_1 . In particular, $X = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(2) \cdot 2$.

Suppose that $Y_{\{e_1, f_1\}} \neq Y_{e_1, f_1}$, that is, there exists $t \in Y$ swapping $e_1 = \lambda E_1$ and $f_1 = F_1$. Then as $t \in Y = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})$, we obtain

$$\lambda = \beta_{\sharp}(\lambda E_1, F_1) = \beta_{\sharp}((\lambda E_1)^t, F_1^t) = \beta_{\sharp}(F_1, \lambda E_1) = \lambda^q,$$

contradicting the condition $\lambda + \lambda^q = 1$.

Thus we conclude that $Y_{\{e_1, f_1\}} = Y_{e_1, f_1}$. Consequently,

$$X \cap Y = Z_{\{e_1, f_1\}} \cap Y = Y_{\{e_1, f_1\}} = Y_{e_1, f_1} = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})_{e_1, f_1} = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})_{E_1, F_1} = \text{SU}_{m-2}(2).$$

As $q = 2$, we then derive that

$$\frac{|Y|}{|X \cap Y|} = \frac{|\text{SU}_m(2)|}{|\text{SU}_{m-2}(2)|} = 2^{2m-3}(2^m + 1)(2^{m-1} - 1) = \frac{|\Omega_{2m}^-(2)|}{|\Omega_{2m-2}^-(2) \cdot 2|} = \frac{|Z|}{|X|},$$

which yields $Z = XY$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $Z = \Omega(V) : \langle \rho \rangle$ with $q \in \{2, 4\}$, m odd and $\rho \in \{\phi, (r_{e_1+f_1})^{2/f} \phi\}$, let $X = \Omega(V)_{e_1, f_1} : \langle \rho \rangle$, and let $Y = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp}) : \langle \psi \rangle$. Then $Z = XY$ with $Z = \Gamma\text{O}_{2m}^-(q)$, $X = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q) \cdot (2f)$, $Y = \text{SU}_m(q) \cdot (2f)$ and $X \cap Y = \text{SU}_{m-2}(q)$.*

Proof. Clearly, $Z = \Gamma\text{O}_{2m}^-(q)$, $X = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q) \cdot (2f)$, and $Y = \text{SU}_m(q) \cdot (2f)$.

Suppose that $X \cap Y \not\leq \Omega(V)$. Then there exist $s \in \Omega(V)_{e_1, f_1}$ and $t \in \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})$ such that $\phi^f s = \psi^f t$. Since ϕ and s both fix e_1 and f_1 , it follows that $\phi^f s$ fixes $e_1 = \lambda E_1$ and $f_1 = F_1$. This together with $t \in \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \beta_{\sharp}(\lambda E_1, F_1) = \beta_{\sharp}((\lambda E_1)^{\phi^f s}, F_1^{\phi^f s}) \\ &= \beta_{\sharp}((\lambda E_1)^{\psi^f t}, F_1^{\psi^f t}) = \beta_{\sharp}((\lambda^q E_1)^t, F_1^t) = \beta_{\sharp}(\lambda^q E_1, F_1) = \lambda^q, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the condition $\lambda + \lambda^q = 1$.

Thus we conclude that $X \cap Y \leq \Omega(V)$. Accordingly,

$$X \cap Y = (X \cap \Omega(V)) \cap Y = \Omega(V)_{e_1, f_1} \cap Y = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})_{e_1, f_1} = \text{SU}(V_{\sharp})_{E_1, F_1} = \text{SU}_{m-2}(q).$$

Observe $q = 2f$ as $q \in \{2, 4\}$. We then derive that

$$\frac{|Y|}{|X \cap Y|} = \frac{|\mathrm{SU}_m(q).(2f)|}{|\mathrm{SU}_{m-2}(q)|} = 2fq^{2m-3}(q^m + 1)(q^{m-1} - 1) = \frac{|\Gamma\mathrm{O}_{2m}^-(q)|}{|\Omega_{2m-2}^-(q).(2f)|} = \frac{|Z|}{|X|}.$$

This yields $Z = XY$. \square

In the next lemma we construct the factor pairs (X, Y) in Rows 6–7 of Table 1.1. Recall the notation of the field extension subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ for even m given at the start of this section.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $Z = \Gamma\mathrm{O}(V) = \Gamma\mathrm{O}_{2m}^-(q)$ with $q \in \{2, 4\}$ and m even, let $X = \Gamma\mathrm{O}(V_{\sharp})$, and let $Y = Z_{D'}$. Then $Z = XY$ with $X = \Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2)$, $Y = \Omega_{2m-1}(q).(2f)$ and $X \cap Y = \Omega_{m-1}(q^2).2$.*

Proof. It is clear that $X = \Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2)$ is maximal in Z . Since $x^2 + x + \mu$ is irreducible, we have $\mu \notin \mathbb{F}_q$, that is, $\mu \neq \mu^q$. Then as q is even, we obtain

$$Q(D') = \mathrm{Tr}(Q_{\sharp}(D')) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mu) = \mu + \mu^q \neq 0.$$

It follows that an element in Z stabilizes $\langle D' \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ if and only if it fixes D' . Hence

$$Y = Z_{D'} = Z_{\langle D' \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}} = \mathrm{N}_1[Z] = \Omega_{2m-1}(q).(2f)$$

is maximal in Z . Then [20, Theorem A] asserts that $Z = XY$, which implies

$$|X \cap Y| = \frac{|X|}{|Z|/|Y|} = \frac{|\Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2)|}{|Z|/|\mathrm{N}_1[Z]|} = \frac{|\Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2)|}{|\Omega_{2m}^-(q)|/|\Omega_{2m-1}(q)|} = \frac{|\Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2)|}{q^{m-1}(q^m + 1)} = 2|\Omega_{m-1}(q)|$$

as $q = 2f$. Let r be the reflection in V_{\sharp} with respect to the vector D' . Then $r \in \mathrm{O}(V_{\sharp}) \setminus \Omega(V_{\sharp})$, and r fixes D' . This implies that

$$X \cap Y = \Gamma\mathrm{O}(V_{\sharp}) \cap Z_{D'} \geq \Omega(V_{\sharp}) \cdot \langle r \rangle = \Omega_{m-1}(q^2).2,$$

which together with $|X \cap Y| = 2|\Omega_{m-1}(q)|$ leads to $X \cap Y = \Omega(V_{\sharp}) \cdot \langle r \rangle = \Omega_{m-1}(q^2).2$. \square

Now we give the factor pairs (X, Y) in Rows 8–9 of Table 1.1.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $Z = \Gamma\mathrm{O}(V) = \Gamma\mathrm{O}_{2m}^-(q)$ with $q \in \{2, 4\}$ and $m = 2\ell$ for some odd ℓ , let $X = \mathrm{SU}_{\ell}(q^2).(4f) < \Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2) < Z$, and let $Y = Z_{D'}$. Then $Z = XY$ with $Y = \Omega_{2m-1}(q).(2f)$ and $X \cap Y = \mathrm{SU}_{\ell-1}(q^2).2$.*

Proof. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 we have $Y = \Omega_{2m-1}(q).(2f)$. Let $A = \Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2)$ be a maximal subgroup of Z containing X . Then Lemma 4.5 asserts that $Z = AY$ with $A \cap Y = \Omega_{m-1}(q^2).2$. Moreover, from Lemma 4.1 we see that $X \cap Y = X \cap (A \cap Y)$ has a normal subgroup $\mathrm{SU}_{\ell-1}(q^2)$, and $A = X(A \cap Y)$. Thus $Z = X(A \cap Y)Y = XY$ and

$$|X \cap Y| = |X \cap (A \cap Y)| = \frac{|X||A \cap Y|}{|A|} = \frac{|\mathrm{SU}_{\ell}(q^2).(4f)||\Omega_{m-1}(q^2).2|}{|\Gamma\mathrm{O}_m^-(q^2)|} = 2|\mathrm{SU}_{\ell-1}(q^2)|.$$

Consequently, $X \cap Y = \mathrm{SU}_{\ell-1}(q^2).2$. \square

5. SPORADIC CASES OF (X, Y) IN TABLE 1.1

In this section, we give the sporadic pairs (X, Y) in Table 1.1, namely, the pairs (X, Y) in Rows 10–11 of Table 1.1.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $Z = \Omega_{10}^-(2)$, let X be a subgroup of Z isomorphic to A_{12} or M_{12} (there is a unique conjugacy class of such subgroups X in each case), and let $Y = \mathrm{P}_1[Z] = 2^8:\Omega_8^-(2)$. Then $Z = XY$ with*

$$X \cap Y = \begin{cases} (\mathrm{A}_4 \times \mathrm{A}_8).2 & \text{if } X = \mathrm{A}_{12} \\ 2_+^{1+4}.\mathrm{S}_3 & \text{if } X = \mathrm{M}_{12}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $Z = \Omega_{18}^-(2)$, let $X = 3.J_3 < \text{SU}_9(2) < Z$, and let $Y = \text{P}_1[Z] = 2^{16}:\Omega_{16}^-(2)$. Then $Z = XY$ with $X \cap Y = 2^{2+4}.(3 \times \text{S}_3)$.*

Proof. Let $M = \text{SU}_9(2)$ be a subgroup of Z containing X . By Lemma 4.2 we have $M \cap Y = 2^{1+14}:\text{SU}_7(2)$ with $M \cap Y \not\cong \mathbf{Z}(M) = 3$. Then it follows from Lemma ?? that

$$X \cap Y = X \cap (M \cap Y) \cong (X/\mathbf{Z}(M)) \cap ((M \cap Y)\mathbf{Z}(M)/\mathbf{Z}(M)) = 2^{2+4}.(3 \times \text{S}_3).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{|X|}{|X \cap Y|} = \frac{|3.J_3|}{|2^{2+4}.(3 \times \text{S}_3)|} = (2^9 + 1)(2^8 - 1) = \frac{|\Omega_{18}^-(2)|}{|2^{16}:\Omega_{16}^-(2)|} = \frac{|Z|}{|Y|},$$

and so $Z = XY$. □

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let G be an almost simple group with socle $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$, and let H and K be nonsolvable subgroups of G not containing L . In Subsections 4 and 5 it is shown that all pairs (X, Y) in Table 1.1 are factor pairs of L . Hence by Lemma 2.2 we only need to prove that, if $G = HK$, then (H, K) tightly contains (X^α, Y^α) for some (X, Y) in Table 1.1 and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(L)$. Suppose that

$$G = HK.$$

Then by [14, Theorem 7.1] the triple $(L, H^{(\infty)}, K^{(\infty)})$ lies in Table 6.1.

TABLE 6.1. $(L, H^{(\infty)}, K^{(\infty)})$ for orthogonal groups of minus type

Row	L	$H^{(\infty)}$	$K^{(\infty)}$	Conditions
1	$\text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$	$\Omega_{2m-1}(q), \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q), q^{2m-2}:\Omega_{2m-2}^-(q)$	$\text{SU}_m(q)$	m odd
2	$\Omega_{2m}^-(2)$	$\text{SU}_{m/2}(4)$ ($m/2$ odd), $\Omega_m^-(4)$, $\text{SU}_{m/4}(16)$ ($m/4$ odd), $\Omega_{m/2}^-(16)$	$\text{Sp}_{2m-2}(2)$	
3	$\Omega_{2m}^-(4)$	$\text{SU}_{m/2}(16)$ ($m/2$ odd), $\Omega_m^-(16)$	$\text{Sp}_{2m-2}(4)$	
4	$\Omega_{10}^-(2)$	$\text{A}_{12}, \text{M}_{12}$	$2^8:\Omega_8^-(2)$	
5	$\Omega_{18}^-(2)$	$3.J_3$	$2^{16}:\Omega_{16}^-(2)$	

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that $H^{(\infty)} = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(q)$ and $K^{(\infty)} = \text{SU}_m(q)$ with m odd. Then $q \in \{2, 4\}$, and (H, K) tightly contains some pair (X, Y) in Rows 3–5 of Table 1.1.*

Proof. Let $M = \mathbf{N}_G(H^{(\infty)})$. Then $H \leq M = \text{N}_2^+[G]$, and so $G = MK$. Note from [13, Table 3.5.F] that M is maximal in G for $q \geq 4$. Then by [20, Theorem A] we have $q \leq 4$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $H^{(\infty)} = \Omega(V)_{e_1, f_1}$ and $K^{(\infty)} = \text{SU}(V_\#)$. Then

$$H \cap K \geq H^{(\infty)} \cap K^{(\infty)} = \text{SU}(V_\#)_{e_1, f_1} = \text{SU}(V_\#)_{E_1, F_1} = \text{SU}_{m-2}(q).$$

Let $\mathcal{O} = G/L$, $\mathcal{O}_1 = H/H^{(\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 = K/K^{(\infty)}$. It follows that

$$|H^{(\infty)}|_p |\mathcal{O}_1|_p |K^{(\infty)}|_p |\mathcal{O}_2|_p = |H|_p |K|_p = |G|_p |H \cap K|_p \geq |L|_p |\mathcal{O}|_p |\text{SU}_{m-2}(q)|_p,$$

and thus

$$|\mathcal{O}_1|_p |\mathcal{O}_2|_p \geq \frac{|L|_p |\text{SU}_{m-2}(q)|_p |\mathcal{O}|_p}{|H^{(\infty)}|_p |K^{(\infty)}|_p} = \frac{|\Omega_{2m}^-(q)|_p |\text{SU}_{m-2}(q)|_p |\mathcal{O}|_p}{|\Omega_{2m}^-(q)|_p |\text{SU}_m(q)|_p} = q |\mathcal{O}|_p. \quad (4)$$

Note from [13, Proposition 4.3.18] that $|K \cap L|_p = |K^{(\infty)}|_p$. Hence $|\mathcal{O}|_p \geq |KL/L|_p = |K/K \cap L|_p = |\mathcal{O}_2|_p$, and so (4) yields $|\mathcal{O}_1|_p \geq q$.

First assume $q = 2$. Then $|\mathcal{O}_1|_2 \geq 2$, and so $H \geq X$ for some $X = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(2).2$. Applying this conclusion to the factorization $HL \cap KL = (H \cap KL)(K \cap HL)$ we also obtain $H \cap KL \geq \Omega_{2m-2}^-(2).2$. If $X \leq L$, then (H, K) tightly contains the pair (X, Y) with $Y = K^{(\infty)}$, as in Row 3 of Table 1.1. If $X \not\leq L$ and $K \leq L$, then $H \cap L = H \cap KL \geq \Omega_{2m-2}^-(2).2$ and so we are led to the previous case where $X \leq L$. If $X \not\leq L$ and $K \not\leq L$, then (H, K) tightly contains the pair (X, Y) with $Y = \text{SU}_m(2).2$, as in Row 4 of Table 1.1.

Next assume $q = 3$. Then $|H|_3 \leq |M|_3 = |\Omega_{2m-2}^-(3)|_3 = |H^{(\infty)}|_3$, which implies that $|\mathcal{O}_1|_3 = 1$, contradicting the conclusion $|\mathcal{O}_1|_p \geq q$.

Finally assume $q = 4$. By [20, Theorem A] we have $G = \text{Aut}(L) = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(4).4$. This conclusion applied to the factorization $HL \cap KL = (H \cap KL)(K \cap HL)$ leads to $HL = KL = \Omega_{2m-2}^-(4).4$. It follows that $H = (H \cap L).4$ and $K = (K \cap L).4$. Hence (H, K) tightly contains the pair (X, Y) in Row 5 of Table 1.1. \square

By virtue of Lemma 6.1, if $(L, H^{(\infty)}, K^{(\infty)})$ lies in Row 1 of Table 6.1, then (H, K) tightly contains some pair (X, Y) in Rows 1–5 of Table 1.1.

If $(L, H^{(\infty)}, K^{(\infty)})$ lies in Row 2 or 3 of Table 6.1, then the next two lemmas show that (H, K) tightly contains (X, Y) in Rows 6–9 of Table 1.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that $K^{(\infty)} = \text{Sp}_{2m-2}(q)$ with $q \in \{2, 4\}$ and either $H^{(\infty)} = \text{SU}_{m/2}(q^2)$ with $m/2$ odd or $H^{(\infty)} = \Omega_m^-(q^2)$. Then (H, K) tightly contains some pair (X, Y) in Rows 6–9 of Table 1.1.*

Proof. Since $K^{(\infty)} = \text{Sp}_{2m-2}(q)$, we have $K \leq B$ for some $B = \text{N}_1[G]$. Since either $H^{(\infty)} = \text{SU}_{m/2}(q^2)$ with $m/2$ odd or $H^{(\infty)} = \Omega_m^-(q^2)$, there exists a maximal subgroup A of G such that $A^{(\infty)} = \Omega_m^-(q^2)$. From $G = HK$ we deduce $G = AB$. Then [20, Theorem A] implies $G = \text{Aut}(L) = \text{O}_{2m}^-(q)$. Applying this conclusion to the factorization $HL \cap KL = (H \cap KL)(K \cap HL)$ we obtain $HL = KL = \text{O}_{2m}^-(q)$. Hence $H/H \cap L \cong K/K \cap L = 2f$, and so (H, K) tightly contains some pair (X, Y) in Rows 6–9 of Table 1.1. \square

Lemma 6.3. *If $q = 2$ and either $H^{(\infty)} = \text{SU}_{m/4}(16)$ with $m/4$ odd or $H^{(\infty)} = \Omega_{m/2}^-(16)$, then $K^{(\infty)} \not\cong \text{Sp}_{2m-2}(2)$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $K^{(\infty)} \cong \text{Sp}_{2m-2}(2)$. Then $K \leq B$ for some $B = \text{N}_1[G]$. Since either $H^{(\infty)} = \text{SU}_{m/4}(16)$ with $m/4$ odd or $H^{(\infty)} = \Omega_{m/2}^-(16)$, there exist subgroups M and A of G such that $H \leq M < A$ such that A is a maximal subgroup of G with $A^{(\infty)} = \Omega_m^-(4)$ and M is a maximal subgroup of A with $M^{(\infty)} = \Omega_{m/2}^-(16)$. From $G = HK$ we deduce $A = M(A \cap B)$ and $G = AB$. Then [20, Theorem A] implies $G = \text{Aut}(L) = \text{O}_{2m}^-(2)$. It follows that $A = \Gamma\text{O}_m^-(4)$ and $B = \Omega_{2m-1}(2).2$. Hence $A \cap B = \Omega_{m-1}(4).2$ as Lemma 4.5 asserts. Let $N = (A \cap B)\text{Soc}(A)$. Then since $A/\text{Soc}(A) = 4$, it follows that $N < A$. From $A = M(A \cap B)$ and $A \cap B \leq N$ we deduce

$$N = (M \cap N)(A \cap B).$$

Moreover, $\text{Soc}(N) = \text{Soc}(A) = \Omega_m^-(4)$, $(M \cap N)^{(\infty)} = \Omega_{m/2}^-(16)$, and $(A \cap B)^{(\infty)} = \Omega_{m-1}(4)$. Thus [20, Theorem A] implies $N = \Gamma\text{O}_m^-(4)$, contradicting the conclusion that $N < A$. \square

Finally, if $(L, H^{(\infty)}, K^{(\infty)})$ lies in Row 4 or 5 of Table 6.1, then the pair (H, K) tightly contains $(X, Y) = (H^{(\infty)}, K^{(\infty)})$ in Row 10 or 11, respectively, of Table 1.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author acknowledges the support of NNSFC grants no. 11771200 and no. 11931005. The second author acknowledges the support of NNSFC grant no. 12061083.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24 (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265.
- [2] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt and C. M. Roney-Dougal, Certain classical groups are not well-defined, *J. Group Theory*, 12 (2009), 171–180.
- [3] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt and C. M. Roney-Dougal, *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [4] T. Burness and M. Giudici, *Classical Groups, Derangements and Primes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [5] T. C. Burness and C. H. Li, On solvable factors of almost simple groups, *Adv. Math.*, 377 (2021), 107499, 36 pp.
- [6] P. J. Cameron and W. M. Kantor, 2-transitive and antiflag transitive collineation groups of finite projective spaces, *J. Algebra*, 60 (1979), no. 2, 384–422.
- [7] A. M. Cohen and B. N. Cooperstein, The 2-spaces of the standard $E_6(q)$ -module, *Geom. Dedicata*, 25 (1988), 467–480.
- [8] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups: maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [9] M. Giudici, Factorisations of sporadic simple groups, *J. Algebra*, 304 (2006), no. 1, 311–323.
- [10] M. Giudici, S. P. Glasby and C. E. Praeger, Subgroups of Classical Groups that are Transitive on Subspaces, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.07213v1>.
- [11] C. Hering, M. W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, The factorizations of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type, *J. Algebra*, 106 (1987), no. 2, 517–527.
- [12] W. M. Kantor, Antiflag transitive collineation groups, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.02203v1>.
- [13] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [14] C. H. Li, L. Wang and B. Xia, The exact factorizations of almost simple groups, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09551v2>.
- [15] C. H. Li and B. Xia, Factorizations of almost simple groups with a factor having many nonsolvable composition factors, *J. Algebra*, 528 (2019), 439–473.
- [16] C. H. Li and B. Xia, Factorizations of almost simple groups with a solvable factor, and Cayley graphs of solvable groups, to appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.0350v3>.
- [17] M. W. Liebeck, On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 50 (1985), no. 3, 426–446.
- [18] M. W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 54 (1987), no. 3, 477–516.
- [19] M. W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55 (1987), no. 2, 299–330.
- [20] M. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, The maximal factorizations of the finite simple groups and their automorphism groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 86 (1990), no. 432.
- [21] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, On factorizations of almost simple groups, *J. Algebra*, 185 (1996), no. 2, 409–419.
- [22] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, Transitive subgroups of primitive permutation groups, *J. Algebra*, 234 (2000), no. 2, 291–361.
- [23] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, Regular subgroups of primitive permutation groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 203 (2010), no. 952.
- [24] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Yale University, 1968.
- [25] H. Wielandt, Zusammengesetzte Gruppen: Hölders Programm heute, in *The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, 1979)*, pp. 161–173.
- [26] R. Wilson, *The finite simple groups*, Springer, 2009.

(LI) SUSTECH INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN 518055, GUANGDONG, P. R. CHINA
Email address: lich@sustech.edu.cn

(WANG) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY, KUNMING 650091, YUN-
NAN, P. R. CHINA
Email address: wanglei@ynu.edu.cn

(XIA) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE
3010, VIC, AUSTRALIA
Email address: binzhoux@unimelb.edu.au